1	Evaluation of vegetable protein in canine diets: assessment of performance and
2	apparent ileal amino acid digestibility using a broiler model.
3	
4	D. C. Fiacco <sup>1</sup> , J. A. Lowe <sup>2</sup> , J. Wiseman <sup>1</sup> and G. A. White <sup>1*</sup>
5	
6	<sup>1</sup> Division of Animal Sciences, School of Biosciences, Sutton Bonington Campus,
7	University of Nottingham, Loughborough, Leicestershire, LE12 5RD, UK
8	<sup>2</sup> Dodson & Horrell Ltd, Kettering, Northants, UK, NN14 3JW
9	
10	*Corresponding author: Gavin White, gavin.white@nottingham.ac.uk
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

## 24 Summary

25 Recent technological advances in the human food industry with respect to meat processing have decreased the availability of animal proteins to the pet food industry 26 27 which typically formulates diets with an excess of animal protein. In the long term, this is 28 not sustainable thus alternative protein sources need to be investigated. The present study examined three canine diets, comparing a typical animal protein-based diet 29 30 (control) with two experimental diets where the animal protein was substituted in part with vegetable protein (formulated based either on total protein or amino acid content) 31 32 using a broiler model. Each diet was fed to six cages each containing two birds from 33 day 15; 18 cages in total (36 birds). Excreta were collected from days 19 to 21. On day 23, birds were euthanised, weighed, and their ileal digesta collected and pooled for 34 35 each cage. In addition, one leg per cage was collected for evaluation of muscle mass. Results showed no significant difference in animal performance (feed intake or live 36 37 weight gain) or muscle to leg proportion across the diets. Birds fed the control diet and 38 the diet balanced for amino acid content exhibited the greatest coefficients of apparent 39 metabolisability for nitrogen (CAM<sub>N</sub>; P<0.001). Birds fed the diets that contained partial 40 replacement of animal with vegetable protein generally had greater ileal digestibility of 41 amino acids compared to birds fed the control (animal protein) diet. Analysis of excreta 42 showed no dietary difference in terms of dry matter content, however birds fed the diet 43 balanced for total protein and the diet balanced for amino acid content had significantly greater excreta nitrogen than the control (P = 0.038). Overall, the study suggests 44 vegetable proteins when formulated based on amino acid content are a viable 45 46 alternative to animal proteins in canine diets.

48 **Key Words:** amino acid, broiler, canine diets, digestibility, vegetable protein

49

## 50 Introduction

51 The pet food industry relies on products that are surplus to, or unwanted by, the 52 human food industry. Recent advances in human food industry technology utilising 53 animal co-products and an increase in their global human consumption have reduced 54 the amount of these materials available for the pet food sector which presents a 55 challenge to future diet formulation. Current trends point to a global increase of 158 56 million tonnes in meat consumption by 2030 and a 233 million tonne increase by 2050 57 (Boland et al. 2013; WHO 2015). Meat production is projected to increase by 206 million 58 tonnes, but is limited by resources available to feed livestock (Boland et al. 2013). Although companion animals consume a minor proportion of total protein consumed 59 60 globally, their protein sources are also potential protein sources for humans (Boland et 61 al. 2013). Already, the food industry is utilising animal co-products for humans which 62 were once available to the pet food industry. For example, chicken collagen from chicken co-products is being used as sausage casings (Munasinghe et al. 2015), 63 shrimp co-products are used as high value supplements (Bueno-Solano et al. 2009) and 64 pork collagen is used to improve quality of cured ham (Schilling et al. 2003). 65

66

67 Although formulating diets to amino acid requirements is the growing trend in the 68 livestock feed sector, pet food companies still tend to formulate diets based on total 69 protein and to a level in excess of protein requirements (Swanson et al. 2013). At the 70 same time, there is a desire by many pet owners to require more animal materials in 71 their pet food because it is perceived as beneficial to the animal. This dichotomy 72 presents a real challenge to future diet formulation for the pet food industry. The aim of 73 the current study was to compare a typical animal protein-based dog diet (control) with 74 two experimental diets where the animal protein was partially substituted with a 75 vegetable protein source (formulated either on total protein or amino acid content) using a broiler model. This model was used due to limited information about amino acid 76 digestibility in dogs and proven similarities in amino acid digestibility between dogs and 77 78 poultry (Johnson et al. 1998; FEDIAF 2014). In addition, protein-based ingredients have 79 been evaluated comparing avian and canine models (Faber et al. 2010). Previous poultry studies that evaluated protein in dog food assessed animal co-products 80 81 (Johnson et al. 1998; Cramer et al. 2007). The current study expanded upon existing 82 knowledge by examining vegetable protein. The hypotheses tested were that (1) there 83 would be no difference in terms of animal performance between the control diet and the 84 plant-substituted diet formulated on amino acid content and (2) the plant-substituted diet 85 formulated on total protein would underperform in terms of digestibility compared to the 86 control diet. The aim of the study was to determine whether amino acid digestibility, rather than total protein, influenced weight gain and in particular muscle mass. In 87 88 addition, the study aimed to demystify the essentiality of animal tissue in dog food and 89 add further data on the digestibility of amino acids in such diets.

90

# 91 Materials and Methods

All protocols and procedures were conducted under both national and
 institutional guidelines, as approved by the University of Nottingham's Research Ethics
 Committee.

95

96 Trial Design

97 The current trial design followed the format of previously published broiler studies (Kasprzak et al. 2016a; Kasprzak et al. 2016b). Day-old Ross male broiler chicks were 98 99 obtained from PD Hook Hatcheries Ltd., Thirsk UK. They were grouped housed (day 1 100 to day 14) on shavings in a controlled environment (12 hours light, 12 hours dark). Birds 101 were fed a standard commercial starter diet and feed troughs were kept at no more than 102 half full to avoid excessive food wastage. On day 15, birds were allocated to 103 experimental diets with two birds per cage, based on similar individual live weight 104 (differing by no more than ~10g); therefore the cage was the experimental replicate in 105 the study. Each of the three experimental diets was fed to six replicate cages; 18 cages 106 in total (36 birds). Throughout the study, fresh water was available ad libitum.

107 Total collection of excreta was carried out for three days (days 19-21). On day 108 23, birds were starved for one hour, then fed for two hours on experimental diets before 109 slaughter. Both birds per cage were culled by asphyxiation with CO<sub>2</sub> and death was 110 confirmed by cervical dislocation. Following death, the left leg of one bird per cage was 111 removed and placed into an individually-labelled plastic bag and frozen (-20°C) before 112 subsequent analysis. Ileal digesta samples were collected from Meckel's diverticulum to 113 the ileal-cecal-colonic junction and immediately frozen (-20°C) prior to subsequent 114 processing and analysis.

## 116 Diets

117 Three diets were formulated to contain approximately 289 g crude protein /kg DM 118 with various concentrations of two main protein sources (poultry meal and maize gluten 119 60). The major ingredients were from conventional feed raw materials. The control diet 120 (D<sub>Con</sub>) was based on a typical dog diet containing poultry meal as the main protein 121 source. The first experimental diet contained approximately 0.50 vegetable protein 122 replacement formulated based on total protein (DPr). The second experimental diet 123 contained approximately 0.50 vegetable protein replacement formulated on amino acid 124 content (D<sub>AA</sub>). Full diet specifications are shown in Table 1.

125

## 126 Daily Live Weight Gain (DLWG)

127 Starting weights of both birds per cage (at day 15) were used to determine equal 128 weight distribution amongst all diets. Final bird weights per cage were recorded eight 129 days later (day 23) immediately post-mortem. Mean DLWG was calculated using the 130 following equation:

131

132

# 133 Feed Intake

Diets (800g) were weighed into a polythene bag. The bag was labelled with the cage and diet number (six bags per diet, one per cage). On day 19, feed from the bags were fed to the birds. FI was recorded over a three day period (days 19-21). On day 22, feed remaining in the bags, troughs, and any spillage was weighed and recorded. FI percage was calculated using the following equation:

Feed Intake (g) over days 19-21 = Feed in bag at start – (feed in bag at end +
 uneaten feed + spillages)

141

142 Lean Tissue Mass

143 Thawed left legs were weighed (one per cage). The *Adductor longus* was 144 dissected (Stallcup 1954) from each sample leg and weighed to determine muscle 145 mass. Lean tissue mass for each cage was calculated using the following equation:

146 Lean tissue mass (%) = Muscle Mass weight / Whole leg weight x 100

147

#### 148 Dry Matter

Diet and excreta samples were weighed in triplicate and dried to a constant weight in a drying oven. Ileal digesta samples were frozen at -80°C before being freeze dried until there was no further loss of moisture. DM was calculated using the following equation:

153

DM (g/kg) = (Dry weight of sample / Fresh weight of sample) x 1000

154

155 Ash/Acid Insoluble Ash

Ash was analyzed to determine total amount of minerals present in the diets (McDonald et al. 2011). Approximately 10g of each diet and 5g of excreta samples in duplicate were weighed into separate crucibles. Samples were ashed in a Carbolite muffle furnace for 12 hours at 580°C and left to cool before being re-weighed. Total ash
of samples were calculated using the following equation:

161

Total Ash (g/kg) = (Final ash weight / Starting Sample weight) x 1000

162

AIA was used as an indigestible marker to determine apparent digestibility of the experimental diets (Ravindran et al. 1999). Diet and excreta samples were analyzed for AIA using the method by Van Keulen and Young (1977).

166

167 Nitrogen

Diet (5mg), excreta (5mg), and digesta (5mg) samples were weighed in duplicate and placed into a Thermo Scientific Flash 2000 CHNS/O Analyzer which conducted combustion analysis resulting in nitrogen levels for each sample. All analyses were repeated on any samples where variation between duplicates was greater than 5%.

172

173 CAM<sub>N</sub>

174 Coefficient of apparent metabolisability for Nitrogen (CAM<sub>N</sub>) was calculated using
 175 the following equation:

176 CAM<sub>N</sub> = 1- (N Excreta X AIA Diet) / (AIA Excreta X N Diet)

- 178 Where: N Excreta = Nitrogen concentration of excreta (g/kg)
- 179  $AIA_{Diet} = AIA$  concentration of diet (g/kg)
- 180 AIA Excreta = AIA concentration of excreta (g/kg)
- 181 N <sub>Diet</sub> = Nitrogen concentration of diet (g/kg)

## 183 Amino Acids

Dietary and ileal content of amino acids were determined and coefficient of ileal apparent digestibility (CIAD) was calculated according to the technique by Masey O'Neill et al. (2014). CIAD was then multiplied by the dietary content of each amino acid to give content of ileal digestible amino acids (CIDAA).

188

189 Statistical Analysis

All data were subjected to analysis of variance using Genstat v17 (VSN International Ltd, Hemel Hempstead, UK) with diet as the main factor. The level of significance was set at P<0.05.

193

#### 194 **Results**

## 195 Ileal Digesta Analysis

There was no significant (P>0.05) effect of diet on ileal DM and nitrogen content. There was a highly significant effect of diet on CAM<sub>N</sub> (P<0.001). Birds fed the diet balanced on total protein (D<sub>Pr</sub>) exhibited significantly lower values than birds fed the other dietary treatments (Table 2).

200

201 Excreta Analysis

Excreta DM was not significantly different (P>0.05) between dietary treatments (Table 2). Birds fed the diet formulated on total protein ( $D_{Pr}$ ) exhibited significantly lower excreta ash values (P = 0.004) and birds fed the control diet exhibited significantly lower excreta AIA values (P<0.001). There was a significant dietary effect of excreta nitrogen</li>
content (P = 0.038) (Table 2).

207

208 Amino Acid Analysis

Generally, there was no effect of diet on CIAD between birds fed the diets balanced on either amino acid content or total protein. Birds fed the control diet generally had lower CIAD and CIDAA values across the spectrum of amino acids (Table. 3). In general, birds fed the control diet had less digestible amino acids when compared to the partial replacement diets.

214

#### 215 Performance Analysis

There was no significant (P>0.05) effect of diet on DLWG between days 15 to 23 (Table 2). There was also no significant dietary effect on FI between days 19 to 21. Muscle to leg proportion was similarly unaffected by diet (Table 2).

219

## 220 Discussion

With the increasing demand to use animal co-products for human consumption, the availability of animal proteins to the pet food industry is decreasing. This is a concern for the pet food industry because canine diets currently ensure nutritional adequacy but nearly always contain an excess of protein from animal origin (Swanson et al. 2013).

227 Pet food companies still tend to formulate diets based on total protein and, in 228 many cases, there is not enough consideration of the quality, digestibility or amino acid 229 content of the animal protein source. Previous knowledge has suggested that dogs fed 230 a diet partially substituted with vegetable protein (when formulated on a total protein 231 basis) would underperform compared to a diet based on animal protein (Wakshlag et al. 232 2003; Middelbos et al. 2009). The current study supports this suggestion, however it 233 confirms the original hypothesis that animal performance (FI, DLWG) would be no 234 different between birds fed the partial replacement amino acid diet and the control 235 (animal protein) diet.

236 Further evidence from the current trial supporting this suggestion was the 237 observed CAM<sub>N</sub> values which were similar for birds fed the control and amino acid-238 formulated diets, and significantly greater than those observed for birds fed the diet 239 formulated on a total protein basis. This observation suggests that, in terms of apparent 240 total tract digestibility, a vegetable protein diet when formulated on amino acid content is 241 no different from a diet based on animal protein. Previous canine studies have similarly 242 suggested that apparent metabolisable energy and total tract crude protein digestion of 243 animal protein diets is not significantly different from vegetable protein diets (Yamka et 244 al. 2003; Tortola et al. 2013). The current study suggests that the public misconception 245 that canines have the same dietary requirements as wolves, and that canines therefore 246 need protein of animal origin, is incorrect. Canines genetically vary from wolves 247 (Vonholdt et al. 2010; Skoglund et al. 2011) and have developed the ability to digest 248 starch, absorb glucose and can develop insulin resistance (Axelsson et al. 2013).

250 Plant-based protein sources have an amino acid profile often lacking the 251 necessary amino acid concentrations needed to meet the nutritional requirements of the 252 dog and bioavailability may differ between sources (McDonald et al. 2011). Previous 253 studies have suggested that vegetable protein diets need to be supplemented in order 254 to meet the minimum amino acid requirements for canines (Clapper et al. 2001; 255 Wakshlag et al. 2003). The current study found that birds fed the partial vegetable 256 replacement diets generally had greater ileal digestible amino acid values compared to 257 birds fed the control diet. This supports previous studies in terms of leucine digestibility because maize gluten has higher leucine levels per unit of DM than poultry meal 258 259 (Clapper et al. 2001; Lemme et al. 2004) and it is suggested that amino acid digestibility is increased in relation to a higher amino acid content in the feed (Tahir and Pesti 260 261 2012).

262

263 Recent reports have suggested that substituting animal protein with plant based 264 protein has an adverse impact on canines (Wakshlag et al. 2003; Middelbos et al. 2009) in terms of lean body wasting and an increase of adipose tissue. However, these 265 266 studies employed diets that were formulated based on total protein rather than amino 267 acid digestibility which could account for the differences observed in lean body mass 268 and cell development in dogs. In the current study there was no difference in lean tissue 269 mass in birds across dietary treatments suggesting that protein source may not be the 270 main factor affecting lean tissue mass. Previous canine studies also support the 271 observed findings on lean tissue mass from the current study (Middelbos et al. 2009). 272 Mean DLWG and feed intake did not significantly differ between birds across diets but DLWG was lower than has been observed in other studies (Wijtten et al. 2010; Butzen et al. 2013). This discrepancy between studies could be attributed to the differences in diet formulations, including variation in protein sources and formulation based on amino acid content versus total protein. Feed form may have been another factor as broilers prefer to eat crumbs or pellets over mash (Jahan et al. 2006; Lemme et al. 2006). The diets in the current study were mash which could have led to the decrease in overall feed consumption and DLWG of the birds.

280

281 A reason for the predominant use of animal proteins in pet food may be their 282 contribution to a pet's well-being in terms of influencing faecal quality (Kuzmuk et al. 283 2005). Current canine management practices require dry faeces for easy handling. 284 Although excreta dry matter in the current study was not significantly different across 285 the diets, other studies have shown higher dry matter contents when animal protein 286 diets have been fed compared against plant protein diets (Clapper et al. 2001; Carciofi 287 et al. 2009; Tortola et al. 2013). This difference in excreta dry matter could be attributed to differences in diet ingredients - e.g. the chemical structure of maize starch, a 288 289 polysaccharide, is associated with a lower dry matter compared to dextrose, a simple 290 monosaccharide (Kong and Adeola 2013). However, in similar canine studies, greater incidences of wet faeces have been found from feeding plant protein diets, although this 291 292 can be alleviated through processing of the plant proteins or the use of concentrates 293 rather than meal or flour (Clapper et al. 2001; Carciofi et al. 2009).

To conclude, the results of the current study suggest animal proteins can be partially substituted with vegetable proteins in canine diets at ~ 500g/kg without detrimental effect on amino acid digestibility or performance, provided that the diets are nutritionally balanced. In addition to the suggestion that up to 500g/kg of a canine diet could be formulated with vegetable protein, the findings of the current study also provide evidence that canine diet formulation should be based around meeting individual amino acid requirements (whether from animal or plant protein) rather than formulating on a protein basis *per se*.

302

# 303 Acknowledgements

304 The authors thank the Bio Support Unit from the University of Nottingham for

305 their technical input, Dr. Dongfang Li from the University of Nottingham for amino acid

306 analysis, and Dodson & Horrell Ltd., Kettering Northants UK for supplying the diets.

307

# 308 References

309

Axelsson, E.; Ratnakumar, A.; Arendt, M.-L.; Maqbool, K.; Webster, M.T.; Perloski, M.; Liberg,
O.; Arnemo, J.M.; Hedhammar, A.; Lindblad-Toh, K., 2013: The genomic signature of dog

domestication reveals adaptation to a starch-rich diet. *Nature* **495**, 360-364.

313

Boland, M.J.; Rae, A.N.; Vereijken, J.M.; Meuwissen, M.P.M.; Fischer, A.R.H.; van Boekel,

315 M.A.J.S.; Rutherfurd, S.M.; Gruppen, H.; Moughan, P.J.; Hendriks, W.H., 2013: The future

supply of animal-derived protein for human consumption. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*29, 62-73.

318

319 Bueno-Solano, C.; López-Cervantes, J.; Campas-Baypoli, O.N.; Lauterio-García, R.; Adan-

320 Bante, N.P.; Sánchez-Machado, D.I., 2009: Chemical and biological characteristics of protein

321 hydrolysates from fermented shrimp by-products. *Food Chemistry* **112**, 671-675.

322

323 Butzen, F.; Ribeiro, A.; Vieira, M.; Kessler, A.; Dadalt, J.; Della, M., 2013: Early feed restriction

324 in broilers. I–Performance, body fraction weights, and meat quality. *The Journal of Applied* 

325 *Poultry Research* **22**, 251-259.

- 327 Carciofi, A.C.; de-Oliveira, L.D.; Valério, A.G.; Borges, L.L.; de Carvalho, F.M.; Brunetto,
- M.A.; Vasconcellos, R.S., 2009: Comparison of micronized whole soybeans to common protein sources in dry dog and cat diets. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **151**, 251-260.
- sources in dry dog and cat diets. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **151**, 251-260.
- 331 Clapper, G.M.; Grieshop, C.M.; Merchen, N.R.; Russett, J.C.; Brent, J.L.; Fahey, G.C., 2001:
- 332 Ileal and total tract nutrient digestibilities and fecal characteristics of dogs as affected by soybean
- protein inclusion in dry, extruded diets. *Journal of animal science* **79**, 1523-1532.
- 334
- Cramer, K.R.; Greenwood, M.W.; Moritz, J.S.; Beyer, R.S.; Parsons, C.M., 2007: Protein quality
   of various raw and rendered by-product meals commonly incorporated into companion animal
- diets1. Journal of Animal Science 85, 3285-3293.
- 338
- Faber, T.A.; Bechtel, P.J.; Hernot, D.C.; Parsons, C.M.; Swanson, K.S.; Smiley, S.; Fahey, G.C.,
- 2010: Protein digestibility evaluations of meat and fish substrates using laboratory, avian, and
   ileally cannulated dog assays1. *Journal of Animal Science* 88, 1421-1432.
- 342
  - 343 FEDIAF, 2014: A Necessity: Nutrition Quality. Vol. June 2015.
  - 344
  - Jahan, M.; Asaduzzaman, M.; Sarkar, A., 2006: Performance of broiler fed on mash, pellet and
    crumble. *Int. J. Poult. Sci* 5, 265-270.
  - Johnson, M.L.; Parsons, C.M.; Fahey, G.C.; Merchen, N.R.; Aldrich, C.G., 1998: Effects of
    species raw material source, ash content, and processing temperature on amino acid digestibility
    of animal by-product meals by cecectomized roosters and ileally cannulated dogs. *Journal of animal science* 76, 1112-1122.
- 352
- 353 Kasprzak, M.M.; Houdijk, J.G.M.; Kightley, S.; Olukosi, O.A.; White, G.A.; Carre, P.;
- Wiseman, J., 2016a: Effects of rapeseed variety and oil extraction method on the content and ileal digestibility of crude protein and amino acids in rapeseed cake and softly processed
- rapeseed meal fed to broiler chickens. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 213, 90-98.
- 358 Kasprzak, M.M.; Houdijk, J.G.M.; Liddell, S.; Davis, K.; Olukosi, O.A.; Kightley, S.; White,
- 359 G.A.; Wiseman, J., 2016b: Rapeseed napin and cruciferin are readily digested by poultry.
- 360 *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, n/a-n/a.
- 361
- Kong, C.; Adeola, O., 2013: Ileal endogenous amino acid flow response to nitrogen-free diets
  with differing ratios of corn starch to dextrose in broiler chickens. *Poultry Science* 92, 12761282.
- 365
- Kuzmuk, K.N.; Swanson, K.S.; Tappenden, K.A.; Schook, L.B.; Fahey, G.C., 2005: Diet and age
  affect intestinal morphology and large bowel fermentative end-product concentrations in senior
  and young adult dogs. *The Journal of nutrition* 135, 1940-1945.
- 369370 Lemme, A.; Ravindran, V.; Bryden, W., 2004: Ileal digestibility of amino acids in feed
- ingredients for broilers. *World's Poultry Science Journal* **60**, 423-438.
- 372

- 273 Lemme, A.; Wijtten, P.; Van Wichen, J.; Petri, A.; Langhout, D., 2006: Responses of male
- 374 growing broilers to increasing levels of balanced protein offered as coarse mash or pellets of 375 varying quality. *Poultry Science* **85**, 721-730.
- 376
- Masey O'Neill, H.V.; White, G.A.; Li, D.; Bedford, M.R.; Htoo, J.K.; Wiseman, J., 2014:
- 378 Influence of the in vivo method and basal dietary ingredients employed in the determination of
- the amino acid digestibility of wheat-DDGS in broilers. *Poultry Science* 93, 1178-1185.
- McDonald, P.; Edwards, R.A.; Greenhalgh, J.F.D.; Morgan, C.A.; Sinclair, L.A.; Wilkinson,
  R.G., 2011: *Animal Nutrition*. 7th edn. Pearson.
- 383
- Middelbos, I.S.; Vester, B.M.; Karr-Lilienthal, L.K.; Schook, L.B.; Swanson, K.S., 2009: Age
  and diet affect gene expression profile in canine skeletal muscle. *PloS one* 4, e4481.
- Munasinghe, K.A.; Schwarz, J.G.; Whittiker, M., 2015: Utilization of chicken by-products to
  form collagen films. *Journal of Food Processing* 2015.
- 389
- Ravindran, V.; Hew, L.; Ravindran, G.; Bryden, W., 1999: A comparison of ileal digesta and
  excreta analysis for the determination of amino acid digestibility in food ingredients for poultry. *British poultry science* 40, 266-274.
- Schilling, M.; Mink, L.; Gochenour, P.; Marriott, N.; Alvarado, C., 2003: Utilization of pork
  collagen for functionality improvement of boneless cured ham manufactured from pale, soft, and
  exudative pork. *Meat Science* 65, 547-553.
- 397
  398 Skoglund, P.; Götherström, A.; Jakobsson, M., 2011: Estimation of population divergence times
  399 from non-overlapping genomic sequences: examples from dogs and wolves. *Molecular biology*400 *and evolution* 28, 1505-1517.
- 401
- 402 Stallcup, W.B., 1954: *Myology and serology of the avian family Fringillidae: A taxonomic study*.
  403 University of Kansas.
- 404
- Swanson, K.S.; Carter, R.A.; Yount, T.P.; Aretz, J.; Buff, P.R., 2013: Nutritional sustainability
  of pet foods. *Advances in Nutrition: An International Review Journal* 4, 141-150.
- 407
- Tahir, M.; Pesti, G.M., 2012: A comparison of digestible amino acid databases: Relationship
  between amino acid concentration and digestibility. *The Journal of Applied Poultry Research* 21,
  1-12.
- 410
- 412 Tortola, L.; Souza, N.; Zaine, L.; Gomes, M.; Matheus, L.; Vasconcellos, R.; Pereira, G.;
- 413 Carciofi, A., 2013: Enzyme effects on extruded diets for dogs with soybean meal as a substitute
- 414 for poultry by-product meal. *Journal of animal physiology and animal nutrition* **97**, 39-50.
- 415 416 Van Keulen, J.; Young, B., 1977: Evaluation of acid-insoluble ash as a natural marker in
  - 417 ruminant digestibility studies. *Journal of Animal Science* **44**, 282-287.

418	Vonholdt, B.M.; Pollinger, J.P.; Lohmueller, K.E.; Han, E.; Parker, H.G.; Quignon, P.;
419	Degenhardt, J.D.; Boyko, A.R.; Earl, D.A.; Auton, A.; Reynolds, A.; Bryc, K.; Brisbin, A.;
420	Knowles, J.C.; Mosher, D.S.; Spady, T.C.; Elkahloun, A.; Geffen, E.; Pilot, M.; Jedrzejewski,
421	W.; Greco, C.; Randi, E.; Bannasch, D.; Wilton, A.; Shearman, J.; Musiani, M.; Cargill, M.;
422	Jones, P.G.; Qian, Z.; Huang, W.; Ding, Z.L.; Zhang, Y.P.; Bustamante, C.D.; Ostrander, E.A.;
422	Novembre, J.; Wayne, R.K., 2010: Genome-wide SNP and haplotype analyses reveal a rich
423 424	
424 425	history underlying dog domestication. <i>Nature</i> <b>464</b> , 898-902.
	Walshlag, L. Dom, S. Ondway, C. Kallfalz, E. Elabarty, C. Christonson, D.W. Shanard, L.
426	Wakshlag, J.; Barr, S.; Ordway, G.; Kallfelz, F.; Flaherty, C.; Christensen, B.W.; Shepard, L.;
427	Nydam, D.; Davenport, G., 2003: Effect of dietary protein on lean body wasting in dogs:
428	correlation between loss of lean mass and markers of proteasome-dependent proteolysis. <i>Journal</i>
429	of animal physiology and animal nutrition <b>87</b> , 408-420.
430	WIIO 2015. Nutrition http://www.who.int/nutrition/tonios/2.foodoorgumention/on/index.4.html
431	WHO, 2015: Nutrition <u>http://www.who.int/nutrition/topics/3_foodconsumption/en/index4.html</u>
432	(accessed 28 June 2016). Vol. 12 January.
433	Witten D. Hongoon F. Sporle I. Verstagen M. 2010, Distany emine said layels and feed
434 435	Wijtten, P.; Hangoor, E.; Sparla, J.; Verstegen, M., 2010: Dietary amino acid levels and feed restriction affect small intestinal development, mortality, and weight gain of male broilers.
435 436	Poultry science <b>89</b> , 1424-1439.
430 437	<i>Fourry science</i> <b>89</b> , 1424-1439.
437	Yamka, R.M.; Jamikorn, U.; True, A.D.; Harmon, D.L., 2003: Evaluation of soyabean meal as a
438	protein source in canine foods. <i>Animal feed science and technology</i> <b>109</b> , 121-132.
440	protein source in cannie roods. Animai jeeu science unu technology 109, 121-152.
440	
441	
442	
443	
444	
445	
446	
447	
448	
449	
450	
151	
451	

	Diet			
Ingredients	DCon	D <sub>Pr</sub>	DAA	
Wheat	415	382	433.9	
Full fat linseed	12.5	12.5	20	
Poultry meal	260	130	130	
Beet pulp	40	40	40	
Yeast	12.5	12.5	12.5	
Pea starch	200	183	100	
Salmon oil	10	10	10	
Rape oil	40	55	48	
Premix*	10	10	10	
Maize Gluten 60	-	140	169.5	
Calcium carbonate	-	10	10	
Dicalcium phosphate	-	10	10	
Potassium chloride	-	1.5	1	
Sodium bicarbonate	-	-	1.5	
Sodium Chloride	-	1.5	-	
Lysine HCL	-	2	3.6	
Analysis DM				
Protein	283	287	302	
Oil	111	114	111	
Crude Fibre	33.5	31	31	
Ash	63.4	66	63	
Са	15	15	15	
P	8.5	8.1	8.4	
Na	1.4	1.5	1.4	
CI	2.3	3.5	2.8	
K	4.4	4.3	4.3	
D Lys <sup>†</sup>	10.2	8.5	10.2	
D M&C‡	5.6	6.9	7.9	
Proportion of protein from poultry	0.64	0.31	0.3	
Proportion of protein from gluten	-	0.34	0.39	
Analysed Amino Acid composition§				
Lysine	23.6	28.5	28.4	
Methionine	6.8	8.9	8.9	
Cysteine	6.6	8.2	7.9	
Threonine	15.8	19.6	19.6	
Isoleucine	17.3	20.8	20.3	
Leucine	33.3	40.4	40.2	
Valine	22.8	26.3	25.9	

# **Table 1.** Composition of experimental diets (g/kg as fed)

Histidine	9.6	12.9	11.0
Phenylalanine	19.4	22.4	22.2
Arginine	31.2	37.9	36.4

454 D<sub>con</sub> = Control diet containing poultry meal as the main protein source

455 D<sub>Pr</sub> = Partial replacement with vegetable protein, formulated on total protein basis

456 D<sub>AA</sub> = Partial replacement with vegetable protein, formulated on amino acid basis

457

<sup>458</sup> <sup>\*</sup>Wheat based premix contained (mg/kg of final diet otherwise noted) vitamin A (14000 iu/kg), vitamin D3

459 (4000 iu/kg), vitamin E (60), Cu (20), Zn (90), Mn (80), Se (0.25), Fe (20), I (1), vitamin K (3), vitamin B1
460 (4), vitamin B2 (12), vitamin B6 (6), vitamin B12 (0.04), Folic (2), Nicotinic (60), Pantothenic (20), Biotin

460 (4), vitamin B2 (12), vitamin B6 (6), vitamin B12 (0.04), Folic (2), Nicotinic (60
461 (0.2), Choline Chloride (500), mycocurb (1000), ronozyme NP (180)

462

463 <sup>†</sup> Digestible Lysine

- 464 <sup>‡</sup> Digestible Methionine and Cysteine
- 465 § expressed as g/kg (100% DM basis)

467 **Table 2.** Ileal and excreta analysis and animal performance of broilers fed diets
468 formulated with animal or vegetable protein sources

					469
		Diet			
Item	$D_{Con}$	D <sub>Pr</sub>	DAA	SE	Р
lleal digesta					
DM (g/kg)	239	252	245	5.8	0.116
N (g/kg DM)	71	83	79	5.2	0.085
CAMN	0.319 <sup>a</sup>	0.219 <sup>b</sup>	0.391 <sup>a</sup>	0.037	0.001
Excreta analysis					
DM (g/kg)	415	430	389	38.3	0.565
Ash (g/kg DM)	242 <sup>a</sup>	247 <sup>a</sup>	256 <sup>b</sup>	3.4	0.004
AIA (g/kg DM)	15 <sup>a</sup>	12 <sup>b</sup>	13 <sup>b</sup>	0.7	<0.001
N (g/kg DM)	101 <sup>a</sup>	110 <sup>bc</sup>	107 <sup>ac</sup>	3.3	0.038
Bird performance					
DLWG (g/d)	52	60	57	7.6	0.604
FI (g/d)	296	290	301	26.5	0.921
Muscle to leg ratio	6.1	5.6	5.8	0.69	0.800

 $D_{Con}$  = Control diet containing poultry meal (animal protein) as the main protein source  $D_{Pr}$  = Partial replacement with vegetable protein, formulated on total protein basis  $D_{AA}$  = Partial replacement with vegetable protein, formulated on amino acid basis

<sup>a,b</sup> Within a row, means without common superscripts are significantly different as indicated by the P-value.

- 470 **Table 3.** Coefficient of ileal apparent digestibility (CIAD) of amino acids and Content of
- 471 ileal digestible amino acids (CIDAA) of broilers fed diets differing in protein source. Data

472 represents average of 6 birds per treatment.

473

	Diet				
ltem	D <sub>Con</sub>	D <sub>Pr</sub>	DAA	SE	Р
CIAD					
Lysine	0.605	0.640	0.660	0.0395	NS
Methionine	0.536 <sup>a</sup>	0.638 <sup>b</sup>	0.654 <sup>b</sup>	0.0258	<.001
Cysteine	0.337 <sup>a</sup>	0.083 <sup>b</sup>	0.046 <sup>b</sup>	0.0285	<.001
Threonine	0.498 <sup>a</sup>	0.559 <sup>ab</sup>	0.590 <sup>b</sup>	0.0325	0.037
Isoleucine	0.611 <sup>a</sup>	0.672 <sup>b</sup>	0.681 <sup>b</sup>	0.0173	0.002
Leucine	0.605 <sup>a</sup>	0.694 <sup>b</sup>	0.710 <sup>b</sup>	0.0272	0.003
Valine	0.577 <sup>a</sup>	0.610 <sup>a</sup>	0.754 <sup>b</sup>	0.0673	0.042
Histidine	0.592 <sup>a</sup>	0.683 <sup>b</sup>	0.653 <sup>b</sup>	0.0258	0.009
Phenylalanine	0.617 <sup>a</sup>	0.686 <sup>b</sup>	0.710 <sup>b</sup>	0.0163	<.001
Arginine	0.693	0.723	0.729	0.0237	NS
CIDAA					
Lysine	14.3 <sup>a</sup>	18.2 <sup>b</sup>	18.8 <sup>b</sup>	0.96	<.001
Methionine	3.7 <sup>a</sup>	5.7 <sup>b</sup>	5.8 <sup>b</sup>	0.19	<.001
Cysteine	2.2 <sup>a</sup>	0.7 <sup>b</sup>	0.4 <sup>b</sup>	0.23	<.001
Threonine	<b>7.9</b> <sup>a</sup>	11.0 <sup>b</sup>	11.6 <sup>b</sup>	0.52	<.001
Isoleucine	10.6ª	14.0 <sup>b</sup>	13.8 <sup>b</sup>	0.32	<.001
Leucine	20.2 <sup>a</sup>	28.1 <sup>b</sup>	28.5 <sup>b</sup>	0.91	<.001
Valine	13.2 <sup>a</sup>	16.0 <sup>ac</sup>	19.5 <sup>bc</sup>	1.72	0.008
Histidine	5.7 <sup>a</sup>	8.8 <sup>b</sup>	7.2°	0.27	<.001
Phenylalanine	12.0 <sup>a</sup>	15.4 <sup>b</sup>	15.8 <sup>b</sup>	0.34	<.001
Arginine	21.6 <sup>a</sup>	27.4 <sup>b</sup>	26.6 <sup>b</sup>	0.75	<.001

474

475 D<sub>Con</sub> = Control diet containing poultry meal (animal protein) as the main protein source

476 D<sub>Pr</sub> = Partial replacement with vegetable protein, formulated on total protein basis

477 D<sub>AA</sub> = Partial replacement with vegetable protein, formulated on amino acid basis

478 479

479 a.b Within a row, means without common superscripts are significantly different as indicated by the P 480 value.