A First Glance to the Quality Assessment of Dental Photostimulable Phosphor Plates with Deep Learning

Ariana Bermudez*, Saul Calderon-Ramirez[§], Trevor Thang[†], Pascal Tyrrell[‡],
Armaghan Moemeni^{||}, Shengxiang Yang[§], Jordina Torrents-Barrena[¶]

*Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning Group

School of Computing, Costa Rica Institute of Technology, Costa Rica

[†]Faculty of Dentistry, University of Toronto, Canada

[‡]Department of Medical Imaging, University of Toronto, Canada

[§]Centre for Computational Intelligence (CCI), De Montfort University, United Kingdom

^{||}University of Nottingham, United Kingdom

[¶]Department of Mathematics and Computer Engineering, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Spain

*ariana.bermudez@tyrrell4innovation.ca, [†]trevor.thang@mail.utoronto.ca, [‡]Pascal.Tyrrell@utoronto.ca,

[§]sacalderon@itcr.ac.cr, ^{||}armaghan.moemeni@nottingham.ac.uk, [§]syang@dmu.ac.uk, [¶]jordina.torrents@urv.cat

Abstract—Photostimulable Phosphor Plates are commonly used in digital X-ray imaging for dentistry. During its usage, these plates get damaged, influencing the diagnosis performance and confidence of the dentistry professional. We propose a deep learning based classifier to discard or extend the use of photostimulable phosphor (PSP) plates based on their physical damage. The system automatically assesses, for the first time in the literature, when dentists should discard their plates. To validate our methodology, an in-house dataset is built on 25 PSP artifact masks (Carestream, CS 7600) digitally superimposed over 100 Complementary Metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) periapical images (Carestream, RVG 6200) with known radiologic interpretations. From these 2500 images, unique subsets of 100 images were evaluated by 25 dentists to find periapical inflammatory lesions on the tooth. Doctors' opinion on whether the plates should be discarded or not was also collected. State-of-the-art deep convolutional networks were tested using five-fold cross validation, yielding classification accuracies from 87% to almost 89%. Specifically, *InceptionV3* and *Resnet50* obtained the best performances with statistical significance. Qualitative heat-maps showed that such models can identify and employ artifacts to decide on whether to discard the PSP plate or not. This work intends to be the base line for future works related to the automatic PSP plate assessment.

Index Terms—Dentistry, Photostimulable Phosphor Plates, Deep Learning, Quality Assessment.

I. INTRODUCTION

X-ray imaging, also known as radiography, is used by medical experts to explore internal body parts. This technique was developed and published by *Wilhelm Rontgen* in December 28th, 1895 [16]. Later, *Otto Walkhoff* used this method to obtain a radiography of the teeth [2]. Before X-ray machines were developed, dentists based their diagnosis on patients' symptoms or by directly making incisions into the patients' soft tissues. Radiographies are employed to detect decay between teeth and changes in bone density caused by gum disease. They are also useful in determining the proper fit of a

crown and the marginal integrity of fillings [3]. Radiographic examinations are recommended periodically to determine the state of the teeth, bones and gums. Afterwards, additional imaging is prescribed based on individuals' specific needs [1].

Digital radiography is becoming increasingly popular in dentistry due to its low radiation. It does not require film development, yields faster results and is easier to store [31]. There are two types of digital radiography: direct and indirect digital image receptors [4]. An example of the former is the CMOS sensor, in which a CMOS device converts the amount of X-ray energy into a digital signal using photosensitive pixels and on-chip circuitry [19]. PSP plates are indirect digital receptors made of plastic coated with a barium fluorohalide polymer that temporarily stores the X-ray energy onto the plate. With special scanners, this energy is read out and transformed into a digital image [17]. However, these plates are minimally protected, thus suffering physical damage caused by bends, peels and bites. This damage precludes the effective diagnosis of the teeth through radiologic images.

The dental community currently lacks up-to-date guidelines to replace damaged PSP plates (see Figure 1) [20] [35]. The few attempts of defining PSP plate usage guidelines used an objective image quality approach, ignoring the dentistry professional diagnosis performance [35]. Plates are discarded based on each dentist's subjective opinion of whether the plate is going to interfere with the diagnosis. Dentists have varying degrees of knowledge and experience using PSP plates, thus considering different criteria to discontinue its usage. Subjective PSP plate disposal may increase the number of early discarded plates, or the continued usage of damaged PSP plates with diagnosis hindering artifacts. Using damaged PSP plates might require acquiring new radiologic data to ensure a faithful analysis of the region of interest, exposing patients to additional irradiation.

Fig. 1. Blank images of PSP plates with no teeth (Carestream, CS 7600) acquired at the Faculty of Dentistry (University of Toronto).

Given the urgent demand of a standardized procedure to discard or keep plates, we propose, for the first time to our knowledge, a deep learning classification method to estimate whether a dental PSP plate should be replaced due to diagnostically-hindering artifacts. Section II addresses previous related work. Afterwards, section III details the designed approach and the dataset used. Experiments and results are then described in Section IV. Finally, conclusions and future work are discussed in Section V.

II. RELATED WORK

To the best of our knowledge, no work on using machine and deep learning methods for automatic qualitative assessment of PSP plates has been reported yet. Previous techniques used subjective classification schemes to categorize PSP plates based on their damage [20]. Particularly, authors in [17] studied the presence, frequency, and causes of artifacts in intraoral images obtained using PSP plates. Recently, a dataset composed with PSP plates assessed has been developed in [35], where the interference of artifacts in the PSP plates in diagnosis performance of periapical inflammatory disease is evaluated. The study concludes that the PSP plate artifacts interfere mostly in the confidence of the dentistry professional. The dataset developed in [35] is used in this work.

Nevertheless, machine and deep learning approaches have been successfully used in medicine and dentistry. Kavitha *et al.* [22] employed support vector machines to diagnose osteoporosis from dental panoramic radiographs. Lately, Prajapati *et al.* [28] developed a VGG16-based Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) to identify dental caries, periapical infection and periodontitis. Another deep network [21] was recently trained on optical coherence tomography images to classify human oral tissues and detect dental caries.

The PSP plate quality assessment can be generalized as a visual quality inspection of materials task, a problem widely tackled in literature [26]. In Sun *et al.* [36], authors argued that the prevalence of deep learning solutions have increased considerably in metal quality assessment since 2014 due to their high accuracy and flexible data-driven feature extraction. Therefore, automatic visual inspection techniques based on traditional statistical or filtering approaches have declined in the past few years. A recent example of material visual quality assessment using deep learning can be found in [9], where the authors implemented a neural network system for visual fabric (material) inspection. Specifically, the accurate and fast region-based CNN proposed by [29] was applied to damage detection.

III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this work, ResNet [18] and Inception [33] architectures were tested to classify PSP plates. Furthermore, exact damage localization was performed via class activation maps [30].

A. Dataset

Blank images of PSP plates (Carestream, CS 7600) were acquired from the oral radiology clinic at the Faculty of Dentistry (University of Toronto, Canada). A sample is shown in Figure 1. PSP plates were wiped with a 0.6% w/v sodium hypochlorite solution, covered in a plastic hygiene sheathes, and subsequently exposed using a typical posterior periapical setting (Belmont Phot-X IIs, 70 kV, 6.0 mA, 0.22 seconds). The protective sheathes were removed and the plates were scanned and digitalized. These images were exported from the workstation to a portable network graphics format. More concretely, we selected 25 PSP plates: 15 and 5 plates presented severe and intermediate damage, respectively, while 4 plates are new, and the last one is a blank mask image.

A total of 531 dental images were obtained from the Faculty of Dentistry's picture archiving and communication system (PACS) in tagged image file format (TIFF), and acquired using a CMOS digital sensor (Carestream, RVG 6200). A sample image is depicted in Figure 2). CMOS images are artifact free, as no physical plates are used for its acquisition. Therefore, we used them to semi-artificially produce the PSP plate image samples with different degrees of damage, avoiding any additional patient radiation exposure.

Fig. 2. Dental images acquired using a CMOS digital sensor (Carestream, RVG 6200) from the Faculty of Dentistry.

In short, 100 CMOS cases were combined with 25 PSP plate images to augment the data up to 2500 images. The remaining 431 images superimposed with a blank plate correspond to non-disposable samples (see Figure 3). The dataset generation pipeline is depicted in Figure 4. Pixel addition was performed to create these 2500 samples, where each pixel was weighted and summed with the according pixels' intensities, producing a new image. More details about the pixel weighting sum procedure can be found in [35]. Hence, our dataset is finally composed of 2931 images, where 1320 and 1164 images stand for both no PSP plate disposal and dispose PSP plate classes, respectively.

B. Image labeling

Our 2500 generated images were equally distributed to 25 dentists (100 images each) to evaluate them. Thus, one label per observation (image) was obtained. The minimum clinical requirements to participate in this study were: 1)

Fig. 3. Superimposed images (left) and PSP plates (right).

Fig. 4. Data generation pipeline used to increase the number of sample of our in-house dataset.

being a licensed member of the Ontario dental legislative body (Canada), and 2) being a dentist with at least 1 year of experience, specifically in detecting periapical pathologies from radiologic images. Oral and maxillofacial specialists were excluded from the study. All images were assessed using the same procedure and display configuration. We utilized a LED Dell 24 inches monitor: P2417H, 1920×1080, 60 Hz, brightness and contrast of 75%, with the Windows Photo Viewer. Experts labeled each image by thinking whether they would discard the plate or not.

C. Proposed computational method

We implemented three state-of-the-art deep CNN architectures (*i.e.*, ResNet18, ResNet50 and InceptionV3) to positively or negatively assess PSP plates. The selected models were sampled to represent low (ResNet18), mid (ResNet50) and high (InceptionV3) performing architectures, according to [6].

These models were trained with the aforementioned superimposed images (see Section III-A). Additionally, pretrained

weights obtained from the *ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge* [11] were loaded and tuned for binary PSP plate classification. Our input samples were standardized and resized to 299×299 pixels for its usage in InceptionV3 and to 224×224 to feed the ResNet architectures.

ResNet [18] is a very deep CNN that attenuates the problem of vanishing and exploding gradients by using skip connections. Also, the ResNet architecture implements as a top model a global average pooling step, lowering the total number of parameters to estimate. The tested ResNet18 consists of 18 layers with 11 million of parameters, while ResNet50 is composed of 50 layers and 25 million of parameters.

The Inception CNN architecture (also known as GoogleNet) [33] adds consecutive inception modules with small kernel convolutions to drastically reduce the number of parameters. The InceptionV3 architecture [32] consists of nearly 25 million of parameters to estimate during training.

To visualize the damages detected in the PSP plates, we employed the Gradient Class Activation Maps (Grad-CAM) developed by Selvaraju *et al.* [?]. Grad-CAM performs a forward pass and evaluates the gradients per class. These gradients are subsequently used to generate an image with the activated neurons in the target layer.

IV. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

We performed a 5-fold cross validation. A total of 732 images (20%) were used for model evaluation, while the remaining 2199 images (80%) were used for training. The accuracy, sensitivity and specificity were measured for all tested CNN models to robustly analyse their success rate.

As for the hyper-parameters of the tested architectures, a cross entropy loss function optimized with stochastic gradient descent with a momentum of 0.9, and a learning rate of $\alpha = 0.001$ was used, and a batch size of 4 and a maximum of 10 epochs was used during training, as model convergence proved to be fast in our empirical tests. Fast training allowed to perform tests with statistical significance.

Table I summarizes the aforementioned performance measures, along with a statistical description of the results for $n = 20$ experiments, $k = 5$ folds and $i = 100$ observations, thus yielding $d = 100 - 1 = 99$ degrees of freedom. To reproduce our results and statistical analysis, the CSV files with the yielded values can be found in our Github account <https://github.com/saul1917/PSPclassification.git>.

Architecture	$\bar{x}_{acc}$	s_{acc}	$\bar{x}_{sen}$	s_{sen}	$\bar{x}_{spe}$	s_{spe}
InceptionV3	88.40	1.58	86.82	3.17	87.13	3.03
Resnet50	88.08	1.61	88.56	2.79	85.56	3.35
Resnet18	87.39	1.55	88.79	2.99	83.57	2.91

TABLE I

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR ALL DEEP LEARNING ARCHITECTURES. $\bar{x}$ AND s STAND FOR THE SAMPLE MEAN AND SAMPLE STANDARD DEVIATION, RESPECTIVELY.

According to Table I, InceptionV3 seems to slightly outperform ResNet in terms of accuracy and specificity, confirming its sample mean higher performance yielded in [6]. However, a statistical analysis is needed to validate our findings. First,

we employed a *Kolmogorov-Smirnov* test to confirm that the distribution of our results is normal in terms of accuracy, sensitivity and specificity for all $i = 100$ observations. Figures 7, 8 and 9 shows the valid box-plot for normally distributed data, which graphically depicts the small differences of the obtained accuracy, specificity and sensitivity, respectively, for the three models.

Given the normal distribution of our dataset, an ANOVA test is also performed [15] for the accuracy, sensitivity and specificity of the three models. Table IV shows that, with a $p < 0.05$, InceptionV3 is better in terms of accuracy than Resnet18 with statistical significance. However, there is not a statistical meaningful difference of InceptionV3 when compared to Resnet50. Specificity wise, InceptionV3 outperforms both models with statistical significance. Particularly, it outperforms Resnet18 by a large margin.

	Resnet50	Resnet18
Accuracy	0.1499 (ND)	7.7891e-06 (+1.01)
Sensitivity	5.4737e-05 (-1.74)	1.0419e-05 (-1.97)
Specificity	6.1710e-04 (+1.57)	5.6591e-15 (+3.56)

TABLE II

INCEPTIONV3 ANOVA p VALUE OF RESNET50 AND RESNET18. ITS IMPROVEMENT (+) OR DETERIORATION (-) IN PERFORMANCE, AND NO DIFFERENCE (ND) IS REPORTED WITH 95% OF CONFIDENCE ($p < 0.05$).

Finally, Table IV depicts that Resnet50 outperforms its Resnet18 in terms of accuracy with statistical significance by a modest margin, given its statistically equal sensitivity and larger specificity boost.

	Resnet18
Accuracy	0.0023 (+0.69)
Sensitivity	0.5733 (ND)
Specificity	1.2973e-05 (+1.99)

TABLE III

RESNET50 ANOVA p VALUE OF RESNET18. ITS IMPROVEMENT (+) OR DETERIORATION (-) IN PERFORMANCE, AND NO DIFFERENCE (ND) IS REPORTED WITH 95% OF CONFIDENCE ($p < 0.05$).

As for a qualitative performance assessment, we generated heatmaps of the on the input images [30] to map exactly which pixels influenced the classification process. The qualitative results obtained for the tested images are fully consistent. When the selected class is dispose PSP plate, most of the pixels belong to artifacts of the plate (see Figure 5). Otherwise, when the selected class is no PSP plate disposal, the activation map is frequently focused on image regions with no artifacts (see Figure 6).

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

This work proposes the first fully automatic system for PSP plate quality assessment. Our semi-artificial training dataset can mimic PSP plate images with teeth without exposing patients to high levels of radiation. The presented model can precisely and efficiently assist the reuse or disposal of PSP plates, which reduces the variability of opinions among dentists and favors the clinical consensus.

Fig. 5. Heatmaps of layer 4 (ResNet50 model) for images where the highest probability was attained by the dispose PSP plate class.

Fig. 6. Heatmaps of layer 4 (ResNet50 model) for images where the highest probability was attained by the no PSP plate disposal class.

All tested models yielded accuracies around 86% and 88% after performing a 5-fold cross validation. A *Kolmogorov-Smirnov* test was conducted to confirm a Gaussian distribution of the results. An ANOVA test was also performed to statistically measure result confidence [5], concluding that InceptionV3 outperforms statistically better than the tested ResNet models, except for ResNet50, accuracy wise. We stress the importance of analysing statistically the obtained results in order to reach rigorous and accurate conclusions, as also seen in [12], [5]. Unfortunately, both machine and deep learning communities often skip these statistical tests.

Additionally, we employed the Grad-CAM technique to

Fig. 7. Box-plot of the accuracy (scaled from 0 to 1) computed from each (1) InceptionV3, (2) ResNet50, (3) ResNet18 CNN model.

Fig. 8. Specificity box-plot of the (scaled from 0 to 1) computed from each (1) InceptionV3, (2) ResNet50, (3) ResNet18 CNN model.

Fig. 9. Sensitivity box-plot of the (scaled from 0 to 1) computed from each (1) InceptionV3, (2) ResNet50, (3) ResNet18 CNN model.

demonstrate that our models used plate artifacts to take decisions. The respective heatmaps highlighted the artifact regions of each PSP plate. Interestingly, they can also be used to reveal dentists where the damage is exactly located.

Our results are satisfactory and encourage future work around PSP plate quality assessment. Specifically, we aim to analyze the impact of the dataset size and evaluate whether more training samples are needed to improve the model accuracy and stability [13], [25]. In addition, sophisticated pre-processing techniques such as adaptive unsharp masking [27], [24] (contrast image enhancement and sharpening) are worth exploring to enhance input data. To further improve model accuracy, we aim also to explore semi-supervised learning models as the ones found in [34], [7], [8], [23].

Another improvement for the implemented computer aided assessment is the usage of uncertainty techniques as the Bayesian dropout developed in [14]. Evaluating the model estimation uncertainty might serve as a valuable input for odontologists on whether keep using the plates or not. We plan to evaluate the feasibility of the current implementation to determine if the yielded accuracy is practically enough, and also to evaluate the impact of uncertainty estimation in the automated assessment.

Finally, object-based CNN architectures [10] will be explored deeply to enhance damage localization in PSP plates. The dataset aims to be publicly available soon, as this work

might serve as a base line for future automated PSP plate quality assessment.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dental radiographic examinations: Recommendations for patient selection and limiting radiation exposure. Revised: 2012 American Dental Association Council on Scientific Affairs. Technical report, ADA, 2012.
- [2] R. Rajput A. Dhaliwal, N. Singh, R. Kapila. (PDF) History of X-Rays in Dentistry, 2012.
- [3] American Dental Association. Dental radiographs: Benefits and safety. *JADA*, 142(9):1101, 2011.
- [4] A Anas, Jm Asaad, and Ka Tarboush. A Comparison of intra-oral digital imaging modalities: Charged Couple Device versus Storage Phosphor Plate. *International journal of health sciences*, 4(2):156–67, nov 2010.
- [5] S. Calderon, F. Fallas, M. Zumbado, P.N. Tyrrell, H. Stark, Z. Emersic, B. Meden, and M Solis. Assessing the impact of the deceived non local means filter as a preprocessing stage in a convolutional neural network based approach for age estimation using digital hand x-ray images. In *2018 25th IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP)*, pages 1752–1756. IEEE, 2018.
- [6] A. Canziani, A. Paszke, and E. Culurciello. An analysis of deep neural network models for practical applications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.07678*, 2016.
- [7] Y. Chen, X. Zhu, and S. Gong. Semi-supervised deep learning with memory. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pages 268–283, 2018.
- [8] LI Chongxuan, T. Xu, J. Zhu, and B. Zhang. Triple generative adversarial nets. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 4088–4098, 2017.
- [9] F. Kirchbuchner A. Kuijper D. Siegmund, A. Prajapati. *An Integrated Deep Neural Network for Defect Detection in Dynamic Textile Textures: 6th International Workshop, IWAIPR 2018, Havana, Cuba, September 24–26, 2018, Proceedings*, pages 77–84. 09 2018.
- [10] J. Dai, Y. Li, K. He, and J. Sun. R-fcn: Object detection via region-based fully convolutional networks. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 379–387, 2016.
- [11] J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, L. Li, K. Li, and L. Fei-Fei. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In *2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 248–255. Ieee, 2009.
- [12] J. Dunnmon, D. Yi, P. Langlotz, C. Ré, and et. al. Rubin. Assessment of convolutional neural networks for automated classification of chest radiographs. *Radiology*, 290(2):537–544, 2019.
- [13] Rosa L Figueroa, Qing Zeng-Treitler, Sasikiran Kandula, and Long H Ngo. Predicting sample size required for classification performance. *BMC medical informatics and decision making*, 12(1):8, 2012.
- [14] Yarin Gal and Zoubin Ghahramani. Dropout as a bayesian approximation: Representing model uncertainty in deep learning. In *international conference on machine learning*, pages 1050–1059, 2016.
- [15] E. Girden. *ANOVA: Repeated measures*. Number 84. Sage, 1992.
- [16] Otto Glasser. *Wilhelm Conrad Röntgen and the early history of the Roentgen rays*. Number 1. Norman Publishing, 1993.
- [17] A Gulsahi and C K Secgin. Assessment of intraoral image artifacts related to photostimulable phosphor plates in a dentomaxillofacial radiology department. *Nigerian journal of clinical practice*, 19(2):248–53, 2016.
- [18] R. Shaoqing S. Jian H. Kaiming, Z. Xiangyu. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 770–778, 2016.
- [19] M. Lares J. Gilmore, J. Weldon. CMOS technology for digital dental imaging features, *BioPhotonics*, 2010.
- [20] S. Kalathingal, M. Shrout, C. Comer, and C. Brady. Rating the extent of surface scratches on photostimulable storage phosphor plates in a dental school environment. *Dento maxillo facial radiology*, 39(3):179–83, mar 2010.
- [21] N. Karimian, H. Salehi, M. Mahdian, H. Alnajjar, and A. Tadinada. Deep learning classifier with optical coherence tomography images for early dental caries detection. In *Lasers in Dentistry XXIV*, volume 10473, page 1047304. International Society for Optics and Photonics.
- [22] Muthu Subash Kavitha, Akira Asano, Akira Taguchi, Takio Kurita, and Mitsuhiro Sanada. Diagnosis of osteoporosis from dental panoramic radiographs using the support vector machine method in a computer-aided system. *BMC medical imaging*, 12(1):1, 2012.

- [23] S. Laine and T. Aila. Temporal ensembling for semi-supervised learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.02242*, 2016.
- [24] S. Lin, C. Wong, G. Jiang, M. Rahman, T. Ren, N. Kwok, H. Shi, Y. Yu, and T. Wu. Intensity and edge based adaptive unsharp masking filter for color image enhancement. *Optik*, 127(1):407–414, 2016.
- [25] Sayan Mukherjee, Pablo Tamayo, Simon Rogers, Ryan Rifkin, Anna Engle, Colin Campbell, Todd R Golub, and Jill P Mesirov. Estimating dataset size requirements for classifying dna microarray data. *Journal of computational biology*, 10(2):119–142, 2003.
- [26] NJ Neethu and BK Anoop. Role of computer vision in automatic inspection systems. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 123(13), 2015.
- [27] Andrea Polesel, Giovanni Ramponi, and V John Mathews. Image enhancement via adaptive unsharp masking. *IEEE transactions on image processing*, 9(3):505–510, 2000.
- [28] S. A. Prajapati, R. Nagaraj, and S. Mitra. Classification of dental diseases using CNN and transfer learning. In *2017 5th International Symposium on Computational and Business Intelligence (ISCBI)*, pages 70–74.
- [29] H. Kaiming R. Girshick S. Jian R., Shaoqing. Faster r-cnn: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 91–99, 2015.
- [30] Ramprasaath R Selvaraju, Michael Cogswell, Abhishek Das, Ramakrishna Vedantam, Devi Parikh, and Dhruv Batra. Grad-cam: Visual explanations from deep networks via gradient-based localization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 618–626, 2017.
- [31] AJ Solanki and PM Mahant. A review on dental radiographic images. *Int. J. Eng. Res. Appl.*, 7(7):49–53, 2017.
- [32] C. Szegedy, V. Vanhoucke, S. Ioffe, J. Shlens, and Z. Wojna. Rethinking the inception architecture for computer vision. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2818–2826, 2016.
- [33] Christian Szegedy, Sergey Ioffe, Vincent Vanhoucke, and Alexander A Alemi. Inception-v4, inception-resnet and the impact of residual connections on learning. In *Thirty-first AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, 2017.
- [34] A. Tarvainen and H. Valpola. Mean teachers are better role models: Weight-averaged consistency targets improve semi-supervised deep learning results. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 1195–1204, 2017.
- [35] T. Thang, A. Kishen, M. Moayedi, P.N. Tyrrell, W. Zhao, and S. Perschbacher. The effects of physical photostimulable phosphor plate artifacts on the radiologic interpretation of periapical inflammatory disease. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology*, 2019.
- [36] S. Tang Shixi J. Li X. Sun, J. Gu. Research progress of visual inspection technology of steel products—a review. *Applied Sciences*, 8(11):2195, 2018.