

1 **Title: Measuring consumer emotional response and acceptance to sustainable**
2 **food products**

3 Qian Yang^a, Yuchi Shen^a, Tim Foster^a, Joanne Hort^{abc}

4 ^aDivision of Food, Nutrition and Dietetics, University of Nottingham, Sutton Bonington
5 Campus, LE12 5RD, United Kingdom

6 ^bFood Experience and Sensory Testing (Feast) Lab, Massey University, Palmerston
7 North, 4410, New Zealand

8 ^cRiddet Institute, Massey University, Palmerston North, 4410, New Zealand

9

10 **Corresponding author:**

11 Qian Yang,

12 Email: qian.yang@nottingham.ac.uk,

13 Address: Sensory Science Centre, Division of Food, Nutrition and Dietetics,
14 University of Nottingham, Sutton Bonington Campus, LE12 5RD, United Kingdom

15

16 **Abstract**

17 With current global challenges such as population growth, climate change and water
18 scarcity, it is critical to develop sustainable strategies to achieve food security. One
19 way to tackle this is by developing new products that use alternative and more
20 sustainable ingredients. Bambara groundnut is a low-impact African legume as it can
21 be grown on marginal soils and is resistant to high temperatures. The aim of this study
22 was to investigate UK consumer acceptability and emotional response to snack
23 products containing Bambara groundnut flour as an alternative sustainable ingredient.
24 A key objective was to understand the contribution that measuring emotional response
25 would reveal. Additionally the impact of extrinsic information on consumer acceptability
26 and emotional response to snack products was investigated by sharing information
27 concerning Bambara groundnut's sustainability and nutritional credentials. 100 UK
28 participants were recruited to evaluate two biscotti and two cracker products. For each
29 category a standard product made from standard ingredients sourced commercially,
30 and one made replacing some of standard flour with Bambara flour were obtained. For
31 each sample, participants were asked to rate their overall liking and emotional
32 response based on sensory properties of the product (the blind condition). Participants
33 were invited back for a second session, where they were informed about global
34 resource challenges, and the sustainable features and nutritional value of Bambara,

35 and which products contained this as an ingredient (informed condition). Under the
36 blind condition, no significant differences in overall liking were observed between
37 standard and Bambara products, indicating UK consumers accept the sensory
38 properties of products that contain Bambara flour. Interestingly, the extrinsic
39 information shifted consumer emotional response towards more positive emotions and
40 less negative emotions when consuming products containing Bambara flour. It also
41 made them felt less *guilty* when consuming the Bambara products, suggesting
42 consumers engage with the idea of sustainable ingredients, and that this sustainable
43 ingredient has potential for future new product development. It also highlighted the
44 value of measuring emotional response for novel products to understand what may
45 drive purchase behaviour when products are matched for liking. Food neophobia
46 status did not impact product acceptability and emotional response between Bambara
47 and standard products, however overall a lower emotional response was found for
48 medium neophobic consumers in general who are more likely to evade novel products.

49

50 **Key words:** Bambara groundnut, emotion response, liking, food neophobia,
51 sustainable ingredient

52

53 **1. Introduction:**

54 Food security is defined by the United Nations as where 'all people, at all times have
55 physical and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food that meets their
56 dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life' (World Food Summit,
57 1995). With current global population growth and a prediction that this will reach over
58 10 billion people by 2050 (FAO, 2009), the goal of improving global food security faces
59 a number of challenges including climate change (Cox, Betts, Jones, Spall, &
60 Totterdell, 2000) and water scarcity (Butler, 2017). Sustainable strategies that enable
61 agricultural production increase, waste reduction, and development of alternative
62 sustainable novel ingredients for food processing are urgently needed. With increased
63 public interest in sustainable food products, consumer attitude and acceptance of
64 novel sustainable food products or ingredients is an important determinant of a novel
65 product's implementation and commercialisation.

66

67 Global demand for rice and wheat is projected to increase one third by 2025 (FAO,
68 2017). With climate change, water sacrifices and land degrading, sustainable crop

69 production is crucial. Rice and wheat crops represent one of the largest agricultural
70 productions in the world, however, both crops require intense labour, water, capital
71 and energy to maintain a good yield, especially in hot climates (Bhatt, Kukal, Busari,
72 Arora, & Yadav, 2016). Much research has been conducted to increase the yield of
73 rice and wheat cropping systems to achieve more sustainable agriculture (Bhatt et al.,
74 2016; Chauhan, Mahajan, Sardana, Timsina, & Jat, 2012) but another approach could
75 be exploring alternative sustainable crops that require less water and energy.

76

77 Sensory properties of food are known as one of the most important factors in consumer
78 food choice (Carrillo, Varela, Salvador, & Fiszman, 2011). However, other extrinsic
79 characteristics including health related claim, ethics, brands, product origin, production
80 method and product description, have also been demonstrated to influence food
81 acceptability and choice (Annunziata & Vecchio, 2013; Binninger, 2015; Morris,
82 Beresford, & Hirst, 2018; Wansink, van Ittersum, & Painter, 2004). Research has
83 shown that health related claims increase consumer liking of processed bread
84 (Johnson & Anderson, 2010) and exotic fruit juice (Vidigal, Minim, Carvalho, Milagres,
85 & Gonçalves, 2011). However, limited or opposite effects have been found for other
86 products categories such as biscuits (Carrillo, Varela, & Fiszman, 2012), and alcohol-
87 free wine (Meillon, Urbano, Guillot, & Schlich, 2010), indicating the effect of health
88 claims is dependent on product type (Fernqvist & Ekelund, 2014). Sustainable
89 information such as fair trade and animal welfare have also been shown to have a
90 significant effect on consumer liking (Carrillo et al., 2012; Grankvist, Marmendal, &
91 Lekedal, 2007). However, limited studies have investigated the impact of global
92 challenge information on products containing sustainable ingredients.

93

94 With a global competitive market and increasing consumer wants and needs, food and
95 beverage companies face challenges of developing new products to meet increasing
96 consumer demands but the failure rate of new food products is particularly high (above
97 80%) (Castellion & Markham, 2013). For unfamiliar products this could partly be due
98 to a psychological trait – food neophobia. Food Neophobia is defined as the
99 unwillingness or refusal to try or eat new or unfamiliar food (Pliner & Hobden, 1992).
100 Research has also found that neophobic tend to have lower acceptance to food in
101 general (Henriques, King, & Meiselman, 2009). Barrena and Sánchez (2013) reported
102 that food consumption has an emotional component more pronounced in neophobic

103 using laddering interviews techniques, however so far no research has explored Food
104 neophobia status quantifying emotional response associated with novel food.

105

106 The majority of research investigating the impact of extrinsic characteristics and
107 psychological traits on consumer response focuses on consumer liking and purchase
108 intention (Lange, Martin, Chabanet, Combris, & Issanchou, 2002; Mueller Loose,
109 Osidacz Williamson, Francis, & Lockshin, 2010) rather than emotional response. The
110 interest in measuring emotional response to foods and beverages has increased
111 dramatically in the field of sensory and consumer science in the last decade. The
112 motivation behind this is that it has been shown that emotional response to foods and
113 beverages provides additional information beyond classic food preference testing
114 (King & Meiselman, 2010; Ng, Chaya, & Hort, 2013a; Yang, Dorado, Chaya, & Hort,
115 2018). Sensory properties of food have been shown to elicit different emotions, for
116 example, non-added sugar squash elicited more negative emotions, whereas
117 standard squash elicited more positive emotions (Ng, Chaya, & Hort, 2013a). In order
118 to measure emotional response elicited by food, several self-report questionnaires
119 have been developed (Chaya et al., 2015; Mora, Giussani, Pagliarini, & Chaya 2019;
120 King, Meiselman, & Thomas Carr, 2013; Nestrud, Meiselman, King, Leshner, & Cardello,
121 2016; Ng et al., 2013a). The most popular used emotional questionnaire EsSense 25
122 is a shorter version of EsSense profile, which is applicable for evaluation of a wide
123 range of food and beverages (Nestrud et al., 2016).

124

125 Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc.) is one of the most important
126 legumes in terms of consumption and socioeconomic impact in semi-arid Africa (e.g.
127 Zimbabwe and Nigeria) and can also be found in Asian countries (e.g. Indonesia,
128 Malaysia and Thailand) (Hillocks, Bennett, & Mponda, 2012; Murevanhema &
129 Jideani, 2013; Okpuzor, Ogbunugafor, Okafor, & Sofidiya, 2010). Bambara can be
130 cooked directly e.g. boiled, eaten as a nut snack (Aviara, Lawal, A Atiku, & A Haque,
131 2013; Murevanhema & Jideani, 2013) or roasted and used in preparing soup (Adu-
132 Dapaah & Sangwan 2004). It is also used as a food ingredient by grounding the nuts
133 into a flour to make bread, cake or Okpa (Linnemann, 1993, Okpuzor, Ogbunugafor,
134 Okafor, & Sofidiya, 2010). Bambara puts limited demands on the soil and is capable
135 of growing in nutrient poor soils where most crops would not thrive (Chibarabara,
136 Modo, & Mabhaudhi, 2015). With the effects of climate change and reduced rainfall

137 patterns, Bambara groundnut is believed to be a climate-resilient crop due to its high
138 efficiency of resource capture and conversion which contributes to crop productivity
139 under drought, thus Bambara groundnut could be an alternative crop in severe
140 climate change situations (Mwale, Azam-Ali, & Massawe, 2007, Mayes, Ho,
141 Massawe, Mayes, 2019; Mayes et al., 2019). However, intensive production using
142 fertilisers could lead to negative impact on ecosystem, where a long term strategy for
143 monitoring and managing soil quality is also needed (Chojnacka et al., 2019). In
144 addition to its hardy sustainable nature, Bambara also has a relatively good nutrient
145 profile that is comparable to wheat; it is high in carbohydrate (65%) and relatively
146 high in protein (18%), as well as having sufficient quantities of fat (6.5%)
147 (Murevanhema & Jideani, 2013). Furthermore, research has suggested Bambara
148 flour can be used as a wheat replacement in certain products, as a gluten free
149 ingredient (Hillocks et al., 2012). Bambara could be an alternative ingredient to rice
150 and wheat flour for some food products, and has become a popular plant with
151 researchers and food producers as a potential way to tackle food security (Aviara et
152 al., 2013; Fasoyiro, Widodo, & Taiwo, 2012). In the UK, however, Bambara remains
153 a novel ingredient.

154

155 The aim of this study was to investigate UK consumer acceptability of snack products
156 containing a novel sustainable ingredient: Bambara flour. A key objective was to
157 investigate the value of measuring consumer emotional response when evaluating
158 products containing novel ingredients. In addition, the impact of informing the
159 consumer about the sustainable/nutritional credentials of Bambara in the context of
160 global security on product acceptability and consumer emotional response was also
161 of significant interest. Finally, the role of food neophobia in consumer acceptability
162 and emotional response to products with novel ingredients was also evaluated.

163

164 **2. Materials and Methods:**

165 **2.1. Subjects:**

166 This study was approved by the University of Nottingham Medical School ethics
167 committee (approval code: 90-1706). All participants were gave written informed
168 consent and were offered a disturbance allowance for taking part in the study. In total,
169 100 healthy British adults (76F, 24M), ages 18 to 74 yrs, stratified into three age groups
170 (18-30yrs, 31-50yrs, 51-74yrs), were recruited, subject characteristics are shown in

171 Table 1. British subjects were selected to represent western consumers who would
172 be unfamiliar with a novel sustainable crop. Participants who were allergic/intolerant
173 to nuts, eggs, and/or gluten were excluded from this study.

174

175 **2.2. Products**

176 A number of successful recipes substituting Bambara with other ingredients have
177 previously been developed at Crops for the Future Research Centre (CFF) Malaysia.
178 From these, a sweet snack (biscotti) and a savoury snack (cracker) were selected for
179 this study as they are popular products in the UK, and they allowed the examination
180 of any differences between sweet and savoury products. In total, four samples, across
181 two product categories (sweet biscotti and savoury crackers), were evaluated (Figure
182 1). Using an initial recipe obtained from colleagues at the CFF Malaysia, traditional
183 wheat or rice flour was partially replaced with Bambara flour to make more sustainable
184 snack products in the Food Processing Facility at University of Nottingham (Figure 1a
185 &1c). The final formulation for the Bambara biscotti was 29% egg white, 25% caster
186 sugar, 21% wheat flour, 7% Bambara flour, 18% almond and salt. The final formulation
187 for the Bambara crackers was 71% Bambara flour, 18% rice flour, 11% vegetable oil,
188 salt and pepper. The second product in each category, the standard product [biscotti
189 (Sapori Siena, Colussi Group, Italy) and crackers (Peter's Yard, UK)], Figure 1b & 1d),
190 was sourced commercially to be close to the sensory properties of Bambara products.
191 However, it is worth noting that the sensory properties were perceived to be different
192 by consumers and a brief description of the differences reported by consumers is given
193 in Section 3.2. Estimated nutrition composition were illustrated in Table 2.

194

195 **2.3. Overall Liking**

196 Subjects were asked to rate how much they liked each sample on a labelled affective
197 magnitude (LAM) scale (Schutz & Cardello, 2001).

198

199 **2.4. Emotional response**

200 EsSense25 (Nestrud et al., 2016) was employed to collect emotional response data.
201 It consists of 16 positive (*active, adventurous, calm, enthusiastic, free, good, good-*
202 *natured, happy, interested, joyful, loving, nostalgic, pleasant, satisfied, secure and*
203 *warm*), 3 negative (*bored, disgusted, and worried*), and 6 unclassified emotional terms
204 (*aggressive, guilty, mild, tame, understanding and wild*). For each emotional term, a

205 line scale labeled “not all at” on the left and “extremely” on the right was presented.
206 Participants were instructed to mark the very left of the scale if the emotional term did
207 not apply.

208

209 **2.5. Food Neophobia Status**

210 Participants were requested to complete the Food Neophobia questionnaire (FNQ) (5
211 neophobic statements and 5 neophilic statements) using 7-point scales increasing
212 from strongly disagree to strongly agree (Pliner & Hobden, 1992). Scores for items
213 with neophilic statements were reversed and included ‘I am constantly sampling new
214 and different food’, ‘I like foods from different cultures’, ‘At dinner parties, I will try new
215 foods’, ‘I will eat almost anything’, and ‘I like to try new ethnic restaurant’. The range
216 of neophobic scores obtained from this study was 10 to 47. The higher the score the
217 more neophobic an individual is. Participants were classified as low neophobic (score
218 ≤ 21 , mean score = 16.2) and medium neophobic (score >21 , mean score = 29.3)
219 according to the group median score.

220

221 **2.6. Procedure**

222 Participants were invited to attend two sessions in sensory booths at the sensory
223 laboratory at the University of Nottingham lasting approximately 30 minutes each. As
224 the look of the Bambara samples had not been commercially optimised, product
225 evaluation was performed under red light to mask differences in product appearance.
226 Participants were instructed to refrain from eating and drinking any strong flavoured
227 food and drink an hour before the tasting session.

228

229 Each sample, as shown in Figure 1, was broken into two equal pieces before serving
230 and four pieces of each product were provided to each participant. In the first session
231 participants were asked to consume one piece of each product and rate how much
232 they liked the product, then consume at least another two pieces of the samples and
233 rate how the samples made them feel using the EsSense25 questionnaire. The
234 presentation order of the emotion items was randomised across participants, but the
235 same order was kept for each consumer (King et al., 2013).

236

237 In the second session, a 10 minutes presentation (presentation slides were included
238 in supplementary data) was given to participants to inform them of the challenges in
239 global food security including the impact of population growth, climate change and
240 water scarcity on food production. The key sustainable features of the Bambara plant,
241 including drought resistance, ability to produce a reasonable crop on poor soils and
242 long shelf life were also introduced. Finally, the nutritional benefits of Bambara were
243 briefed, such as high carbohydrate (65%), relatively high protein (18%) and fat content
244 (6%). Participants were subsequently asked to rate their overall liking and emotional
245 response to each product following the same procedure as the first session, apart from
246 the fact that participants received a message on their computer screen to inform them
247 if the product was made using Bambara or not. Samples were presented monadically
248 and followed a randomised balanced design during both sessions. An additional
249 comment box was provided after the overall liking question asking participants to
250 indicate why they liked/disliked the products. Data were collected using Compusense
251 Cloud (Compusense, Canada). A two-minute break and water (Evian, France) were
252 given as a palate cleanser between samples.

253

254 **2.7. Data analysis:**

255 In order to examine the impact of the product and extrinsic information on emotional
256 response and overall liking, a two-factor mixed model analysis of variance (ANOVA),
257 with participants as a random effect, was applied for each product category (biscotti
258 and cracker) respectively. Two-way interactions were included in the ANOVA to
259 determine if a product and condition (extrinsic information) interaction existed. Where
260 significant interactions were observed, further fisher's least significant difference (LSD)
261 tests were applied to identify the specific differences. A multiple factor analysis (MFA)
262 was used to visualise the impact of information on consumer response to the two
263 products.

264

265 A Chi-square analysis was used to examine for association between Food Neophobia
266 group (FNG) and age/gender. In order to examine the impact of FNG on overall liking
267 and emotional responses, a three-factor ANOVA was applied for each product
268 category, with product, condition and FNG as factors.

269

270 **3. Results and Discussions:**

271 **3.1. UK consumer acceptability of Standard v Bambara formulated**
272 **products**

273 As shown in Figure 2, no significant difference in overall liking between the standard
274 and Bambara products were observed for both product categories ($p>0.05$). This
275 indicates that UK consumers accept the sensory properties of the products made by
276 replacing some of the flour with Bambara flour.

277

278 **3.2. Consumer emotional response to Standard and Bambara products in**
279 **blind condition**

280 Although no differences were observed for acceptability, some emotional responses
281 were significantly different ($p\leq 0.1$). For biscotti, participants felt significantly more
282 *good_natured* ($p=0.01$), *happy* ($p=0.03$) and *joyful* ($p=0.05$), and increased feelings of
283 *enthusiastic* (0.07), *good* (0.08), *interested* ($p=0.1$), *satisfied* ($p=0.08$) and *warm*
284 ($p=0.06$) were approaching significance when consuming standard biscotti (Figure 3a).
285 The standard crackers evoked significantly higher *calm* ($p=0.01$), *mild* ($p=0.04$) and
286 *tame* ($p=0.003$) emotions, but less *disgusted* ($p=0.05$) and *aggressive* ($p=0.03$)
287 emotions than Bambara crackers (Figure 3b).

288

289 Agreeing with previous findings (Chaya et al., 2015; King et al., 2013; Spinelli, Masi,
290 Zoboli, Prescott, & Monteleone, 2015; Yang et al., 2018), this suggests that emotional
291 response is more discriminating than overall liking. It also indicates that emotional
292 response is better to help developers understand consumer enjoyment of food
293 products and subsequent engagement/purchase compared to measuring liking alone.

294

295 In the blind condition, the differences in emotional response between standard and
296 Bambara samples will be evoked due to differences in their sensory characteristics.
297 Although sensory properties were not characterised in this study, the comments box
298 provided for participants demonstrated that Bambara biscotti were perceived to be
299 more nutty and hard than standard biscotti. Bambara crackers were perceived as more
300 peppery, nutty and grainy than commercial crackers, whereas commercial crackers
301 were perceived as more bland. This indicates that Bambara flour may add an
302 additional nutty flavour to the products and has an impact on the texture of the final
303 product which could contribute to consumer emotional engagement with the products.
304 More insights are required, but this suggests any new product development, as would

305 usually be the case, would need to take into consideration the changes using Bambara
306 groundnut as an ingredient would bring to the sensory properties.

307

308 When looking at the two product categories (biscotti and cracker) in general, as
309 expected, overall liking was rated significantly higher for biscotti (63.9 and 66.8 for
310 Bambara and standard biscotti) than for crackers (46.5 and 49.5 for Bambara and
311 standard crackers). Biscotti also evoked higher positive emotional responses (12 out
312 of 14) than crackers (data not shown here), indicating consuming sweet foods
313 triggered more positive emotions than consuming savoury foods. This aligns with
314 Rousmans, Robin, Dittmar, and Vernet-Maury (2000)'s findings that out of all the
315 tastes, consumers typically like sweetness most. Interestingly, the findings from the
316 current study showed participants also felt *guiltier* when consuming sweet snacks over
317 savoury snacks. This supports a recent finding where a sweet ice tea evoked a much
318 higher *guilty* emotion than less sweetened ice tea (Yang, Kraft, Shen, MacFie, & Ford,
319 2019).

320

321 It should be noted that use of a continuous line scales for emotional measures and a
322 labelled magnitude scale, although still a continuous line scale, for liking, could impact
323 participant use of scale and is a potential question for future research.

324

325 **3.3. The impact of extrinsic information (global challenges and product** 326 **sustainable features) on overall liking and emotional response**

327 For overall liking, no significant *product*condition* interaction was found for either
328 product category (biscotti ($p=0.16$) and cracker ($p=0.12$)), indicating extrinsic
329 information did not impact on consumer acceptability (liking) of the samples. This
330 indicates that knowledge of the use of the novel Bambara flour has no detrimental
331 effect on liking.

332

333 However, when looking at emotional response to biscotti, a significant *product**
334 *condition* interaction was observed on 6 positive emotions (*active* ($p=0.02$),
335 *adventurous* ($p=0.02$), *happy* ($p=0.005$), *good* ($p=0.05$), *good-natured* ($p=0.008$),
336 *interested* ($p=0.003$), and one unclassified emotion (*guilty*) ($p=0.03$) (Figure 4).
337 Interestingly, when participants were informed, they felt significantly less *guilty* when
338 consuming Bambara biscotti ($p=0.001$), but no significant difference was found

339 between the two products when tasted in the blind condition ($p=0.2$). In the blind
340 condition, standard biscotti evoked significantly higher *active* ($p=0.03$), *good_natured*
341 ($p=0.0001$), *happy* ($p=0.002$), *good* ($p=0.02$) and *interested* ($p=0.02$) emotions than
342 Bambara biscotti. However, after participants were informed, no such different
343 emotional responses were found ($p>0.05$). It should be noted that previous studies
344 have reported a tasting order effect on acceptability and emotional response measures
345 (Dorado, Pérez-Hugalde, Picard, & Chaya, 2016; Macfie, Bratchell, Greenhoff, & Vallis,
346 1989), however, balancing the two sessions was not possible in this study. Repeated
347 exposure has been shown to increase liking of novel food such as vegetables
348 (Appleton, Hemingway, Rajska, & Hartwell, 2018; Lakkakula, Geaghan, Zanovec,
349 Pierce, & Tuuri, 2010). However, this is unlikely to be the reason behind the differences
350 here. When participants were informed, Bambara biscotti evoked significantly higher
351 *active* ($p=0.01$), *good_natured* ($p=0.03$) and *adventurous* ($p=0.05$) emotions than
352 when tasted blind, but no significant differences were found for *active* and
353 *good_natured* ($p>0.05$) for standard biscotti. Instead, a significantly lower *adventurous*
354 ($p=0.04$) score was observed after participants were informed for standard biscotti. In
355 addition, standard biscotti also evoked significantly lower *happy* ($p=0.05$) and
356 *interested* ($p=0.02$) emotions when informed, but no significant difference was found
357 for Bambara biscotti ($p>0.05$). The condition effect was different between standard
358 and Bambara biscotti, thus it is unlikely differences are due to order effect or repeated
359 exposure in this investigation.

360

361 For crackers (Figure 5), a significant *product*condition* interaction was found for two
362 positive emotions (*interested* ($p=0.005$) and *good_natured* ($p=0.007$)), one negative
363 emotion (*disgusted* ($p=0.02$)) and two unclassified emotions (*aggressive* ($p=0.02$) and
364 *guilty*) ($p=0.005$)). In line with biscotti's finding, in the blind condition, Bambara
365 crackers evoked a significantly higher *guilty* emotion than standard crackers ($p=0.05$),
366 however, after participants were informed, participants felt significantly less *guilty*
367 when consuming the Bambara product ($p=0.05$). In the blind condition, the Bambara
368 sample evoked significantly higher *disgusted* ($p=0.009$), *aggressive* ($p<0.001$)
369 emotions, but such differences diminished when participants were informed ($p>0.05$).
370 Interestingly, after participants were informed, Bambara crackers evoked a
371 significantly higher *interested* emotion than standard crackers ($p=0.001$), but no
372 significant difference was found for standard cracker ($p=0.7$).

373

374 One of the limitations of this experiment is that no dummy sample is used in this
375 experiment. First position has shown to have a strong effect on liking and emotional
376 response previously (Dorado, Pérez-Hugalde, Picard, & Chaya, 2016; Macfie,
377 Bratchell, Greenhoff, & Vallis, 1989). However, the presentation order is balanced
378 across commercial and Bambara products, and across product categories (biscotti
379 and cracker), the first order effect is counterbalanced across different samples.

380

381 The application of MFA enabled an overall comparison of the two conditions to be
382 obtained on a single map. The correlation circle, as shown in Figure 6, indicates that
383 the blind and informed conditions heavily loaded on the first dimension, which
384 accounted for 72.65% of the variance. The second dimension accounted for 19.85%
385 of the variance. The first dimension (F1) was positively correlated with all positive and
386 most of unclassified emotion items, and negatively correlated with *bored*, *disgusted*,
387 *worried*, and *aggressive* emotions. The second dimension (19.85%) positively
388 correlated with unclassified emotion *wild* and *adventurous*, and negatively correlated
389 with *tame* and *mild* emotions.

390

391 As shown in Figure 7, after participants were informed, the Bambara biscotti and
392 cracker shifted towards the right on the first dimension, indicating sharing the global
393 challenges, sustainable features and nutritional content of Bambara flour made
394 consumers feel more positive about the products. Interestingly, an opposite trend was
395 found for standard products, the informed condition shifted consumer emotional
396 response towards the left on dimension 1, indicating consumers felt more negative
397 about the standard products if the ingredients were not as sustainable as those with
398 Bambara.

399

400 It is well known that product information affects consumer perception of a product.
401 Cardello (2003) found that a safety and benefit statements have a positive impact on
402 expected product liking with novel food processing technologies. Choi and Lee (2019)
403 reported that extrinsic information (packaging) increased product liking for those
404 products that were familiar to consumers. The results from the current study failed to
405 find a significant impact of extrinsic information on liking; however, emotional response

406 demonstrated that presenting extrinsic information about global challenges and
407 bambara, shifted consumer emotional response towards more positive emotions.

408

409 One of the limitations of this study is that the extrinsic information presented contained
410 three different pieces of information (global challenge, sustainable features and
411 nutritional content), making it difficult to identify which element was the driver behind
412 consumer response changes, or indeed, which combination of elements. However,
413 this study adds evidence to the current literature that product information could
414 improve consumer emotional response toward a new product containing sustainable
415 ingredients, indicating consumers are generally interested in sustainable ingredients.

416

417 Liking data frequently fails to discriminate between products of a similar quality and is
418 often unsuccessful in predicting consumer product engagement and purchase. It is
419 increasingly evident that collecting measures of consumer emotional response
420 provides further insights into the consumer-products relationship. However, the
421 increase in positive emotional response for the Bambara products, despite equity in
422 liking with the standard product, once they were informed of its global credentials
423 means that consumers are not simply judging on sensory experience alone. This
424 suggest consumers would want to engage with the Bambara product, assuming a
425 similar price point. Measuring and understanding the emotional response to a
426 product's eating experience alongside its sustainability credentials appears to be an
427 important step for product developers. Understanding the interplay between the
428 influence of the sensory properties and external factors appears to be an important
429 step for developers and marketers when introducing future sustainable foods.

430 **3.4. The impact of food neophobia on overall liking and emotional** 431 **response**

432 A significant association between FNG and age group was found ($p=0.03$), where
433 older participants were more likely to be medium neophobic (76% of 51 -75yrs),
434 compared to 43% of the younger participants (18 – 30yrs) (Table 1). This finding
435 supports previous findings that young people are more willing to accept unfamiliar food
436 compared to older adults (Tuorila, Lähteenmäki, Pohjalainen, & Lotti, 2001; van den
437 Heuvel, Newbury, & Appleton, 2019). In other words, food neophobia may be a barrier
438 for novel food consumption, especially in older adults. However, caution needs to be
439 taken when interpreting the data, as there was only a small sample size ($n=16$ and 17

440 in the middle and older groups). It would be interesting to investigate the effect of age
441 on liking and emotional response to food with novel ingredients, however this
442 investigation is challenged with an unbalanced sample size and the confounding effect
443 with Food Neophobia. Further study with balanced numbers of participants in each
444 age group would be needed to investigate this further. In addition, no significant
445 association was found between FNG group and gender distribution ($p=0.8$) in the
446 current sample size. But it is worth noting that the gender is unbalanced in the current
447 study.

448

449 No significant *FNG*condition* and *FNG*product* interactions were found for each
450 product category ($p>0.05$), thus the data were pooled together within each product
451 category to explore the overall food neophobia effect. For overall liking, no significant
452 FNG effect was found for either product category ($p>0.05$), indicating Food Neophobia
453 did not affect the acceptability of these two product categories.

454

455 Tuorila, Lähteenmäki, Pohjalainen, & Lotti (2001), a larger cohort study ($n=1083$),
456 classified low neophobia group scores between 10 to 22.4, medium neophobia group
457 scores between 22.5 to 45.3 and high neophobia group scores between 45.4 to 70. In
458 the current study, the range of food neophobia scores is between 10 to 47, suggesting
459 the participants here were generally low to medium neophobics, rather than high
460 neophobics. This is not surprising as those willing to participate in a sensory study are
461 more likely to have the willingness to try new foods.

462

463 When looking at emotional response, low-neophobic participants rated biscotti
464 significantly higher on *adventurous, enthusiastic, aggressive, enthusiastic, good,*
465 *interested, loving, nostalgic, secure, bored, aggressive tame* and *wild* emotions,
466 compared to medium neophobic participants ($p<0.05$) (Figure 8a). For crackers, low
467 neophobic participants rated *adventurous, enthusiastic, good, happy, interested, joyful,*
468 *loving, nostalgic, pleasant, satisfied, warm, worried, aggressive, tame, understanding*
469 and *wild* significantly higher than medium neophobic participants ($p<0.05$) (Figure 8b).
470 This finding is interesting, as a heightened emotional response was observed for low
471 neophobic participants regardless of positive or negative emotions, but a stronger
472 effect was generally found for positive emotions. Laureati et al., (2018) found that low

473 food neophobic participants (score ≤ 18) liked strong tasting vegetables and beverages
474 (e.g. bitter, sourness, astringent and alcohol) significantly higher than medium and
475 high food neophobic participants did. A lack of significant difference on overall liking
476 was found in this study, which could be due to the cohort in this study being more
477 aligned to a low neophobic population (Low (mean score =16.2) and Medium (mean
478 score = 29.3)), whereas, in Laureati et al., (2018)'s study, three food neophobia groups
479 were identified (Low (mean score = 14.2), Medium (mean score = 26.1) and high
480 (mean score = 43.3)). To date little research has investigated the link between food
481 neophobia and emotional response to food, although one study has found a link
482 between food neophobia and food disgust (Hartmann & Siegrist, 2018). More research
483 is now needed to investigate this possible relationship.

484

485

486 **4. Conclusion**

487 This study found no significant difference in liking for standard and Bambara products
488 within both biscotti and cracker categories respectively, indicating the sensory
489 properties of products made with Bambara flour are acceptable for UK consumers,
490 and that Bambara flour and wheat/rice flour are interchangeable, at least to the extents
491 used here.

492

493 However, differences were observed between standard and Bambara products
494 regarding emotional response, indicating emotional response is a more sensitive
495 approach to measure consumer perception to products. Interestingly, extrinsic
496 information (global challenge, sustainable features and nutritional content of the
497 ingredient) shifted consumer emotional response toward more positive feelings for
498 Bambara products, indicating consumers generally felt more positive when consuming
499 products that contained sustainable ingredients. This implies that including such
500 information in product promotion can improve consumer engagement with sustainable
501 products.

502

503 This highlighted an important opportunity to understand the relative emotional drivers
504 that engage consumers to consume more sustainable food products. Food neophobia
505 status did not further interact with acceptability and emotional response of Bambara
506 and standard products, however, a general lower emotional response was found for

507 medium neophobic individuals, indicating medium neophobic individuals may have a
 508 lower interest or emotional response to food products in general which has not been
 509 reported in the literature before.

510

511 **Acknowledgments**

512 The authors thank colleagues at the Crops for the Future Research Centre (CFF)
 513 Malaysia for providing product recipes and Bambara flour. We would also like to thank
 514 Dr Sean Mayes, Division of Plant Science, School of Biosciences, University of
 515 Nottingham, for his support during product development and sharing Bambara
 516 information during manuscript writing.

517

518 **Funding:** Funding for this work was obtained by J Hort from the University of
 519 Nottingham's Future Food Beacon of Excellence Programme. Study design, collection,
 520 analysis and interpretation of the data, and writing of the report was solely undertaken
 521 by the authors.

522

523 **5. Reference:**

- 524 Annunziata, A., & Vecchio, R. (2013). Consumer perception of functional foods: A conjoint analysis
 525 with probiotics (Vol. 28).
- 526 Appleton, K. M., Hemingway, A., Rajska, J., & Hartwell, H. (2018). Repeated exposure and
 527 conditioning strategies for increasing vegetable liking and intake: systematic review and
 528 meta-analyses of the published literature. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *108* (4),
 529 842-856.
- 530 Aviara, N. A., Lawal, A., A Atiku, A., & A Haque, M. (2013). Bambara groundnut processing, storage
 531 and utilisation in north eastern nigeria (Vol. 8).
- 532 Barrena, R., & Sánchez, M. (2013). Neophobia, personal consumer values and novel food
 533 acceptance. *Food Quality and Preference*, *27* (1), 72-84.
- 534 Bhatt, R., Kukal, S. S., Busari, M. A., Arora, S., & Yadav, M. (2016). Sustainability issues on rice-wheat
 535 cropping system. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*, *4* (1), 64-74.
- 536 Binninger, A.-S. (2015). *Perception of Naturalness of Food Packaging and Its Role in Consumer*
 537 *Product Evaluation* (Vol. 53).
- 538 Butler, D. (2017). Global Challenges: Water. *Global Challenges*, *1* (1), 61-62.
- 539 Cardello, A. V. (2003). Consumer concerns and expectations about novel food processing
 540 technologies: effects on product liking☆. *Appetite*, *40* (3), 217-233.
- 541 Carrillo, E., Varela, P., & Fiszman, S. (2012). Packaging information as a modulator of consumers'
 542 perception of enriched and reduced-calorie biscuits in tasting and non-tasting tests. *Food*
 543 *Quality and Preference*, *25* (2), 105-115.
- 544 Carrillo, E., Varela, P., Salvador, A., & Fiszman, S. (2011). Main factors underlying consumers' food
 545 choice: A first step for the understanding of attitudes toward 'healthy eating'. *Journal of*
 546 *Sensory Studies*, *26* (2), 85-95.

- 547 Castellion, G., & Markham, S. K. (2013). Perspective: New Product Failure Rates: Influence of
548 Argumentum ad Populum and Self-Interest. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 30
549 (5), 976-979.
- 550 Chauhan, B. S., Mahajan, G., Sardana, V., Timsina, J., & Jat, M. L. (2012). Chapter Six - Productivity
551 and Sustainability of the Rice–Wheat Cropping System in the Indo-Gangetic Plains of the
552 Indian subcontinent: Problems, Opportunities, and Strategies. In D. L. Sparks (Ed.), *Advances*
553 *in Agronomy* (Vol. 117, pp. 315-369): Academic Press.
- 554 Chaya, C., Eaton, C., Hewson, L., Vázquez, R. F., Fernández-Ruiz, V., Smart, K. A., & Hort, J. (2015).
555 Developing a reduced consumer-led lexicon to measure emotional response to beer. *Food*
556 *Quality and Preference*, 45, 100-112.
- 557 Chibarabada, T. P., Modi, A. T., & Mabhaudhi, T. (2015). Water use characteristics of a bambara
558 groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* L. Verdc) landrace during seedling establishment. *Water SA*,
559 41, 472-482.
- 560 Choi, Y., & Lee, J. (2019). The effect of extrinsic cues on consumer perception: A study using milk tea
561 products. *Food Quality and Preference*, 71, 343-353.
- 562 Chojnacka, K., Kowalski, Z., Kulczycka, J., Dmytryk, A., Gorecki, H., Ligas, B., & Gramza, M. (2019).
563 Carbon footprint of fertilizer technologies. *J Environ Manage*, 231, 962-967.
- 564 Cox, P. M., Betts, R. A., Jones, C. D., Spall, S. A., & Totterdell, I. J. (2000). Acceleration of global
565 warming due to carbon-cycle feedbacks in a coupled climate model. *NATURE*, 408 (6809),
566 184-187.
- 567 Demattè, M. L., Endrizzi, I., Biasioli, F., Corollaro, M. L., Pojer, N., Zampini, M., Aprea, E., & Gasperi, F.
568 (2013). Food neophobia and its relation with olfactory ability in common odour
569 identification. *Appetite*, 68, 112-117.
- 570 Dorado, R., Pérez-Hugalde, C., Picard, A., & Chaya, C. (2016). Influence of first position effect on
571 emotional response. *Food Quality and Preference*, 49, 189-196.
- 572 FAO. (2009). How to feed the world in 2050, FAO.
- 573 FAO. (2017). The future of food and agriculture, Trends and challenges. FAO, Rome.
- 574 Fasoyiro, S., Widodo, Y., & Taiwo, K. (2012). Processing and Utilization of Legumes in the Tropics. In
575 Feldman, A., Ho, W. K., Massawe, F., & Mayes, S. (2019). Bambara Groundnut is a Climate-Resilient
576 Crop: How Could a Drought-Tolerant and Nutritious Legume Improve Community Resilience
577 in the Face of Climate Change? In A. Sarkar, S. R. Sensarma & G. W. vanLoon (Eds.),
578 *Sustainable Solutions for Food Security : Combating Climate Change by Adaptation* (pp. 151-
579 167). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- 580 Fernqvist, F., & Ekelund, L. (2014). Credence and the effect on consumer liking of food – A review.
581 *Food Quality and Preference*, 32, 340-353.
- 582 Grankvist, G., Marmendal, M., & Lekedal, H. (2007). Values and eco - and fair - trade labelled
583 products. *British Food Journal*, 109 (2), 169-181.
- 584 Hartmann, C., & Siegrist, M. (2018). Development and validation of the Food Disgust Scale. *Food*
585 *Quality and Preference*, 63 (Supplement C), 38-50.
- 586 Henriques, A. S., King, S. C., & Meiselman, H. L. (2009). Consumer segmentation based on food
587 neophobia and its application to product development. *Food Quality and Preference*, 20 (2),
588 83-91.
- 589 Hillocks, R., Bennett, C., & Mponda, O. (2012). *Bambara nut: A review of utilization, market potential*
590 *and crop improvement* (Vol. 20).
- 591 Johnson, G. H., & Anderson, G. H. (2010). Snacking Definitions: Impact on Interpretation of the
592 Literature and Dietary Recommendations. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 50
593 (9), 848-871.
- 594 King, S. C., & Meiselman, H. L. (2010). Development of a method to measure consumer emotions
595 associated with foods. *Food Quality and Preference*, 21 (2), 168-177.

- 596 King, S. C., Meiselman, H. L., & Thomas Carr, B. (2013). Measuring emotions associated with foods:
597 Important elements of questionnaire and test design. *Food Quality and Preference*, 28 (1), 8-
598 16.
- 599 Laureati, M., Spinelli, S., Monteleone, E., Dinnella, C., Prescott, J., Cattaneo, C., Proserpio, C., De
600 Toffoli, A., Gasperi, F., Endrizzi, I., Torri, L., Peparaio, M., Arena, E., Bonello, F., Condelli, N.,
601 Di Monaco, R., Gatti, E., Piasentier, E., Tesini, F., & Pagliarini, E. (2018). Associations between
602 food neophobia and responsiveness to “warning” chemosensory sensations in food products
603 in a large population sample. *Food Quality and Preference*, 68, 113-124.
- 604 Lakkakula, A., Geaghan, J., Zanovec, M., Pierce, S., & Tuuri, G. (2010). Repeated taste exposure
605 increases liking for vegetables by low-income elementary school children. *Appetite*, 55 (2),
606 226-231.
- 607 Lange, C., Martin, C., Chabanet, C., Combris, P., & Issanchou, S. (2002). Impact of the information
608 provided to consumers on their willingness to pay for Champagne: comparison with hedonic
609 scores. *Food Quality and Preference*, 13 (7), 597-608.
- 610 Macfie, H. J., Bratchell, N., Greenhoff, K., & Vallis, L. V. (1989). Designs to balance the effect of order
611 of presentation and first-order carry-over effects in hall tests. *Journal of Sensory Studies*, 4
612 (2), 129-148.
- 613 Mayes, S., Ho, W. K., Chai, H. H., Gao, X., Kundy, A. C., Mateva, K. I., Zahrulakmal, M., Hahiree, M. K.
614 I. M., Kendabie, P., Licea, L. C. S., Massawe, F., Mabhaudhi, T., Modi, A. T., Berchie, J. N.,
615 Amoah, S., Faloye, B., Abberton, M., Olaniyi, O., & Azam-Ali, S. N. (2019). Bambara
616 groundnut: an exemplar underutilised legume for resilience under climate change. *Planta*,
617 250 (3), 803-820.
- 618 Meillon, S., Urbano, C., Guillot, G., & Schlich, P. (2010). Acceptability of partially dealcoholized wines
619 – Measuring the impact of sensory and information cues on overall liking in real-life settings.
620 *Food Quality and Preference*, 21 (7), 763-773.
- 621 Mora, M., Giussani, B., Pagliarini, E., & Chaya, C. (2019). Improvement of an emotional lexicon for
622 the evaluation of beers. *Food Quality and Preference*, 71, 158-162.
- 623 Morris, C., Beresford, P., & Hirst, C. (2018). Impact of food retailer branding on expectation
624 generation and liking. *Journal of Sensory Studies*, 33 (2), e12322.
- 625 Mueller Loose, S., Osidacz Williamson, P., Francis, I., & Lockshin, L. (2010). *The relative importance of*
626 *extrinsic and intrinsic wine attributes: Combining discrete choice and informed sensory*
627 *consumer testing*.
- 628 Murevanhema, Y. Y., & Jideani, V. A. (2013). Potential of Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (L.)
629 *Verdc*) milk as a probiotic beverage—a review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr*, 53 (9), 954-967.
- 630 Nations, U. (1975). Report of the World Food Conference. In. New York.
- 631 Mwale, S. S., Azam-Ali, S. N., & Massawe, F. J. (2007). Growth and development of bambara
632 groundnut (*Vigna subterranea*) in response to soil moisture: 2. Resource capture and
633 conversion. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 26 (4), 354-362.
- 634 Nestrud, M. A., Meiselman, H. L., King, S. C., Leshner, L. L., & Cardello, A. V. (2016). Development of
635 EsSense25, a shorter version of the EsSense Profile®. *Food Quality and Preference*, 48, Part
636 A, 107-117.
- 637 Ng, M., Chaya, C., & Hort, J. (2013a). Beyond liking: Comparing the measurement of emotional
638 response using EsSense Profile and consumer defined check-all-that-apply methodologies.
639 *Food Quality and Preference*, 28 (1), 193-205.
- 640 Ng, M., Chaya, C., & Hort, J. (2013b). The influence of sensory and packaging cues on both liking and
641 emotional, abstract and functional conceptualisations. *Food Quality and Preference*, 29 (2),
642 146-156.
- 643 Okpuzor, J., Ogbunugafor, H. A., Okafor, U., & Sofidiya, M. O. (2010). Identification of protein types
644 in Bambara nut seeds: Perspectives for dietary protein supply in developing countries. *Excli j*,
645 9, 17-28.

- 646 Pliner, P., & Hobden, K. (1992). Development of a Scale to Measure the Trait of Food Neophobia in
647 Humans. *Appetite*, *19* (2), 105-120.
- 648 Rousmans, S., Robin, O., Dittmar, A., & Vernet-Maury, E. (2000). Autonomic nervous system
649 responses associated with primary tastes. *Chem Senses*, *25* (6), 709-718.
- 650 Schutz, H. G., & Cardello, A. V. (2001). A labeled affective magnitude (LAM) scale for assessing food
651 liking/disliking. *Journal of Sensory Studies*, *16* (2), 117-159.
- 652 Spinelli, S., Masi, C., Zoboli, G. P., Prescott, J., & Monteleone, E. (2015). Emotional responses to
653 branded and unbranded foods. *Food Quality and Preference*, *42*, 1-11.
- 654 Tuorila, H., Lähteenmäki, L., Pohjalainen, L., & Lotti, L. (2001). Food neophobia among the Finns and
655 related responses to familiar and unfamiliar foods. *Food Quality and Preference*, *12* (1), 29-
656 37.
- 657 van den Heuvel, E., Newbury, A., & Appleton, K. M. (2019). The Psychology of Nutrition with
658 Advancing Age: Focus on Food Neophobia. *Nutrients*, *11* (1).
- 659 Vidigal, M. C. T. R., Minim, V. P. R., Carvalho, N. B., Milagres, M. P., & Gonçalves, A. C. A. (2011).
660 Effect of a health claim on consumer acceptance of exotic Brazilian fruit juices: Açaí (*Euterpe*
661 *oleracea* Mart.), Camu-camu (*Myrciaria dubia*), Cajá (*Spondias lutea* L.) and Umbu (*Spondias*
662 *tuberosa* Arruda). *Food Research International*, *44* (7), 1988-1996.
- 663 Wansink, B., van Ittersum, K., & Painter, J. E. (2004). How Diet and Health Labels Influence Taste and
664 Satiation. *Journal of Food Science*, *69* (9), S340-S346.
- 665 World Food Summit. (1996), Rome Declaration on World Food Security.
- 666 Yang, Q., Dorado, R., Chaya, C., & Hort, J. (2018). The impact of PROP and thermal taster status on
667 the emotional response to beer. *Food Quality and Preference*.
- 668 Yang, Q., Kraft, M., Shen, Y., MacFie, H., & Ford, R. (2019). Sweet Liking Status and PROP Taster
669 Status impact emotional response to sweetened beverage. *Food Quality and Preference*.
- 670