

1 **Title page**

2 **Article Title**

3 Ankle-foot orthosis adherence in children and adolescents with Cerebral Palsy: A scoping review

4

5 **Authors**

6 Alice Faux-Nightingale<sup>1</sup>; Mihaela Kelemen<sup>2</sup> & Caroline Stewart<sup>1</sup>

7

8 **Author Affiliations**

9 <sup>1</sup> School of Pharmacy and Bioengineering, Keele University, England, ST5 5BG

10 <sup>2</sup> Nottingham University Business School, University of Nottingham, NG8 1BB

11

12 **Name/address and email address of the corresponding author**

13 Alice Faux-Nightingale

14 School of Pharmacy and Bioengineering, Keele University, ST5 5BG

15 a.faux-nightingale@keele.ac.uk

16 ORCID ID - 0000-0002-4865-181X

17

18 **Word count**

19 4,931 words

20

21 **Conflict of Interest**

22 Alice Faux-Nightingale has received a research grant from the Orthopaedic Institute. Mihaela Kelemen

23 and Caroline Stewart declare that they have no conflict of interest.

24 **Abstract**

25 **Background:** Ankle-foot orthoses (AFOs) are commonly supplied to children with Cerebral Palsy (CP) to  
26 support their gait. However, usage is reported to decrease through adolescence<sup>1</sup>. Since AFOs can allow  
27 users to engage in daily activities and develop their independence<sup>2</sup>, a wider understanding of non-  
28 adherence is essential to determining the most appropriate ways to support orthotic prescription for  
29 children with CP in the future.

30 **Objective(s):** This scoping review will present the literature which investigates AFO adherence of  
31 children or adolescents with CP and identify potential avenues for future research and practice.

32 **Eligibility criteria:** Papers which investigated AFO adherence in children/adolescents with CP, either  
33 through usage or factors which could affect usage, were included in this review.

34 **Charting methods:** Data were synthesised using a charting form developed for this review.

35 **Methods:** A literature search was carried out using the EBSCO and Web of Science databases to identify  
36 literature which investigates AFO adherence by children with CP through measurement of AFO usage  
37 and exploration of factors which may influence that usage.

38 **Results:** In total, three papers were included in this review. Two included assessments of AFO usage and  
39 all three included at least anecdotal references to factors which could influence that usage. Variation in  
40 usage time was seen across participants in all studies, though the method used to record usage may  
41 influence reported usage values. Key factors which could affect usage were observed in four key  
42 categories: physical/AFO related factors, personal factors, social factors, and situational  
43 appropriateness.

44 **Conclusions:** Adherence is a complex subject, both measurement of usage and factors which influence  
45 usage are key components needed to understand how children engage with their AFO. By better  
46 understanding the motivators and barriers to adherence, it is possible to better support the provision of

47 AFOs in the future.

48 **Abstract word count: 293 words**

49 **Keywords: AFO, ankle-foot orthoses, adolescence, adherence, cerebral palsy,**

50

51        **1. Background**

52        ***Rationale***

53        Ankle-foot orthoses (AFO) are assistive devices commonly supplied to children with cerebral palsy (CP).  
54        They support from below the knee to around the ankle and under the foot and can provide stability and  
55        support to users and facilitate more efficient walking <sup>1</sup>. AFOs can allow users to keep active, engage in  
56        daily activities, and develop their independence <sup>2</sup>, reducing the chance of further physical  
57        deterioration<sup>3</sup>. However, such outcomes are dependent on adherence to the device.

58        Adherence refers to a user's engagement with the recommendations of their clinical professional  
59        regarding medical devices or prescriptions<sup>4</sup>. In the case of AFOs, it refers not only to wearing an AFO but  
60        also wearing it for the amount of time recommended by a clinician. An early study identified that AFO  
61        adherence of people with CP varies across age groups but is particularly varied during childhood and  
62        early adolescence. Young children (aged five-11) are reported as having some of the highest AFO usage,  
63        with 67% of five year olds reported as using them, but as children develop through adolescence (14-19  
64        years old) this decreases, down to only 16% of 19 year olds reporting usage<sup>1</sup>; similar nonadherence has  
65        been observed in other medical areas<sup>5-9</sup>. Youth under 18 are also suggested to have the lowest  
66        satisfaction levels regarding their AFOs<sup>10</sup> and as being reluctant to wear their AFOs<sup>11</sup>. Low usage in  
67        adolescents also correlates with findings which show that children with CP often lose functional ability  
68        through adolescence and early adulthood <sup>12 13</sup>, though no causative link has been made. Given the  
69        potential physical and social benefits of using AFOs, a wider understanding of this behaviour is essential  
70        to determining the most appropriate ways to support children with CP in the future.

71

72 This scoping review will present the literature which investigates AFO adherence of children or  
73 adolescents with CP and identify potential avenues for future research and practice. Adherence is a  
74 complex concept, influenced by a number of interconnecting factors<sup>14</sup> but can be broken down into two  
75 key elements: the amount of time that the AFO is used compared with the recommended usage time,  
76 (here referred to as 'usage'); and factors which influence that usage. These are often investigated  
77 separately in the literature, but both are essential for a holistic understanding of adherence.

## 78 **2. Methods**

79 Research databases EBSCO, Google Scholar, and Web of Science were used to identify relevant  
80 literature; EBSCO was used because of its ability to include searches within multiple multi-disciplinary  
81 research databases including MEDLINE, CINAHL, AgeLine, AMED, and APA PsychInfo. The most recent  
82 search was carried out during September 2021.

83 The following search terms were applied to all filters to identify papers relating to AFO adherence by  
84 children and adolescents: "(child\* OR "young adult" OR adolescen\*) AND (CP OR "cerebral palsy") AND  
85 (ortho\* OR "ankle foot" OR AFO) AND (compliance OR usage OR adherence)". All publication years were  
86 included, however papers in languages other than English were excluded due to associated cost and  
87 time of translation. Duplicate articles were removed from the results and then papers were selected  
88 based on relevance according to title and abstract. The remaining papers were read in full and assessed  
89 for relevance, those which reported on adherence or the usage of AFOs by children or adolescents with  
90 CP, where children or adolescents were defined as any age up to 19 years, or factors which may  
91 influence the usage of AFOs by children with CP were included in this review. Relevant papers

92 referenced in already selected papers were also included if they had not appeared in the initial research  
93 database searches.

94 Data were collated using a data charting form developed for this review. Details from the publications  
95 were input into the table e.g. author information and details about the participants and findings were  
96 collected. This data charting process was the starting point of the analysis and was used to synthesise  
97 the results, describing the records of usage and factors which influence usage of AFOs by children with  
98 CP.

### 99 **3. Results**

#### 100 **Selection of sources of evidence**

101 112 papers were initially identified in the literature search, see figure one for a flow chart of the  
102 selection process.

103 *[Figure one: Paper selection process]*

104 Of the identified papers, only three included information about AFO usage by children or adolescents  
105 with CP, and one additional paper was identified through key references; the remaining articles did not  
106 specifically comment on the use of AFOs by children or adolescents with CP and so were not included. A  
107 full list of papers included in this review can be found in Appendix 1. A summary of the findings can be  
108 found in Table One.

109 [Table One]

110 **AFO usage**

111 Two papers included assessments of AFO usage: one measured wear time using temperature sensors  
112 built into the AFOs<sup>15</sup>, while one asked the child to report their usage through a questionnaire<sup>16</sup>. One  
113 paper reported lower wearing times than had been recommended by clinicians<sup>15</sup>. Variation in usage  
114 times was seen across the participants in both studies<sup>15, 16</sup>, with some indication towards patterns of  
115 use<sup>15</sup>.

116 A third paper in this review did discuss usage, however Maas et al.<sup>17</sup> describes patient adherence to a  
117 KAFO style device. The orthosis in this case is designed to provide a stretch during resting, rather than  
118 to enhance gait, and is prescribed to be worn overnight. This contrasts with the other studies which  
119 consider AFOs which are worn by children during the day and can be used while the child is active. The  
120 orthosis design and purpose is different from that of a standard AFO and so the study by Maas et al.<sup>17</sup>  
121 will be discounted from the results about AFO usage and those about factors which affect that usage.  
122 Although discounted from the results about AFO usage, the Maas et al. study provides useful insights  
123 into methods used to measure AFO usage, reporting notable variation between measurements collected  
124 using a temperature sensor and usage as measured by the user's parent<sup>17</sup>.

125 **Factors which affect AFO usage**

126 All three papers (Maas et al. excluded<sup>17</sup>, see above) included examples of varying AFO usage patterns  
127 and suggested factors or circumstances which were likely to influence individuals' usage of AFOs.  
128 Although only one study directly discussed factors which influenced usage of AFOs<sup>16</sup>, the other two  
129 papers mentioned anecdotal cases which suggested factors that could influence usage.

130 Key factors identified in this review can be put into the following categories:

- 131 - Physical/AFO related factors – AFO tuning was associated with increased wear times for some  
132 participants<sup>16</sup>; discomfort or pain due to the AFO was viewed negatively<sup>16</sup> and associated with  
133 reduced wear<sup>18</sup>; tuned AFOs were described as supporting the user with their activities and  
134 contributed towards positive perception of gait<sup>16</sup>.
- 135 - Personal factors – Aesthetic or cosmetic consideration of the device and associated footwear  
136 was raised as a factor of significance to children with CP but not reported as affecting the wear  
137 time<sup>16</sup>; AFOs were described as an object which interacts with identity, with individual  
138 perception of the device being affected by personal identity constructs<sup>18</sup>, though this was not  
139 reported as affecting the wear time.
- 140 - Social factors – Peer perception of the device was raised by children who use AFOs but not  
141 reported as affecting wear time<sup>16, 18</sup>; parents and other figures of authority were described as  
142 affecting the child’s level of AFO usage<sup>18</sup>.
- 143 - Situational appropriateness – Anecdotal evidence was present to suggest that children  
144 established their own rules for AFO use according to the activity, location, and any impediment  
145 or discomfort which the AFO imposed on the user in those scenarios<sup>18</sup>; usage was reported as  
146 varied between the weekdays and weekends, though no further investigation was made into  
147 this observation<sup>15</sup>.

148 None of these factors were identified consistently across participants in the papers.

#### 149 **4. Discussion**

150 This scoping review presents a summary of the current research of the adherence of AFOs by children  
151 with CP, as investigated through usage and factors which may influence usage. The review notes that  
152 there is a lack of literature in this area with only one paper considering both the extent that children



153 wear their AFOs and factors which interact with that wear time, and none of them investigating  
154 adherence in depth or seeking to understand the extent that factors can influence usage; furthermore,  
155 all papers exhibited low participation numbers with one of the four a pilot study. It was apparent from  
156 these papers that while there may be similarities and patterns across the papers, usage and factors  
157 which affect usage are likely to be unique to the AFO user and will need to be considered on an  
158 individual basis.

### 159 **Usage**

160 Adherence was notably varied across the studies with papers reporting considerable heterogeneity  
161 within the data. One of the papers which objectively measured usage using temperature sensors  
162 reported lower usage levels than had been recommended<sup>15</sup>, although with so few papers in this review  
163 this is not a firm finding and would benefit from being investigated in greater detail in the future.

164 One paper in the review compared methods to measure AFO usage and identified variation in recorded  
165 wear times according to the monitoring method used<sup>17</sup>. The paper highlights the importance of  
166 considering methodology carefully when conducting research into AFO adherence, and potential  
167 problems with using subjective methods (i.e. questionnaires or interviews) of data collection for  
168 accurately measuring wear time where the data is produced retrospectively by invested stakeholders.

169 Objective methods of measuring usage have been suggested to be an effective way of monitoring  
170 orthosis usage, with temperature sensors most commonly used in this review and more widely in the  
171 literature<sup>15, 17, 19 20</sup>, though another paper has also reported success with the use of pressure sensors<sup>19</sup>.

172 While there were reports of problems with data collection using temperature sensors in this review <sup>15, 17</sup>,  
173 the continuous data collection not only produces more accurate measurements of wear time, but has  
174 the added advantage being able to use the data for a more detailed investigation of AFO usage. As

175 suggested in the review<sup>15</sup>, these data could be analysed further to identify patterns of use which may  
176 provide superficial insight into factors which affect the user's behaviour, though discussion with the  
177 individual would be needed to fully understand the reasons behind the behaviour.

#### 178 **Factors which influence usage**

179 All of the papers in the review, even those which did not explicitly investigate factors which influence  
180 AFO usage, included at least anecdotal elements which were suggested to contribute towards AFO  
181 wear. The most common factors raised in the review were: perception of the device, particularly  
182 regarding impact on user function; and cosmesis.

183 The thoughts about the device discovered in this review were similar to those seen in wider literature  
184 about AFO and similar orthotic use in other populations. Perception of the device supporting and aiding  
185 user function was a contributing factor to usage in the review<sup>16</sup> with participants reporting  
186 improvements in functionality when wearing their AFO. Pain and discomfort was viewed negatively<sup>16</sup>  
187 and associated with reduced wear<sup>18</sup>, particularly where the device impaired activities, seen in this  
188 review as sitting on the floor or playing sport<sup>18</sup>, and similar factors have been seen in papers which more  
189 widely examine orthotic adherence<sup>10, 15, 18, 21-24</sup>.

190 As an externally worn medical device which is visible to peers, AFOs represent a medical intervention  
191 intertwined with sociological and psychological factors<sup>25</sup>. With the additional difficulties of developing  
192 identity and changes in social environments due to school changes etc., childhood and adolescence  
193 represent a significant age range that may be influenced by external pressures into being reluctant to  
194 wear AFOs<sup>11</sup>, and this may complicate a patient's choice to engage with clinical recommendation.

195 Cosmesis of device and its associations with peer perception<sup>16, 18</sup>, and varying usage between week days

196 and weekends were seen in this review<sup>15</sup>, suggesting that social environments may be a factor that can  
197 AFO usage. Interestingly, despite most participants commenting about the look of their AFOs and  
198 making comments about how they were perceived by their peers, children with tuned AFOs all reported  
199 using them regularly<sup>16</sup>. Although comments seen in another paper within this review (“Anna: I do not  
200 want to use it. Sister: I am sure it is because no others use orthosis. Anna: nodding”<sup>18</sup>) and wider  
201 literature (“[...] now we have a 13-year-old who just wants to be like other 13-year-old girls, and she  
202 doesn’t want to wear AFOs [...]”<sup>26</sup>) suggest that cosmesis and peer perception may be more important  
203 to some children and have a greater impact on usage. This can apply to both the AFO and the footwear,  
204 and comments were seen in this review which specifically discussed the cosmesis of the footwear,  
205 independent of the AFO<sup>16</sup>. Further research would be needed to investigate the interactions in more  
206 detail, with recognition that this is likely to vary according to individual users.

207 There is an growing recognition of individuals’ agency over adherence with medical direction and  
208 wearing orthoses<sup>10</sup>. In this review there was anecdotal evidence to suggest that even children dictate  
209 and adhere to their own rules of AFO usage around the guidance of their parents and care team<sup>18</sup>, and  
210 this highlights the importance of working on an individual level to identify the factors which affect each  
211 child before engaging with them to improve adherence. There is already evidence to support the  
212 suggestion that if an AFO is adapted to meet the needs of the child, it can improve the adherence<sup>27</sup>, and  
213 this was similarly seen in this review through AFO tuning<sup>16</sup>. By ensuring that AFOs are tailored to their  
214 user and acknowledging the agency that people with CP have over their healthcare and including them  
215 in a patient-led treatment pathways, it could be possible to ensure that they receive the support that  
216 that is most appropriate for them, physically and socially, and meaningfully contribute to their quality of  
217 life. This is particularly important for children and adolescents who are at a period of development

218 where they establish life-long behaviours. Encouraging AFO wear during these periods may not only  
219 ensure that the AFO is best able to support them and promote their independence in the short term but  
220 establishes a foundation for use in later life.

221 This review has identified a clear lack of research in this area and recommends that further investigation  
222 investigate AFO adherence in greater detail, considering methodology carefully to investigate adherence  
223 on an individual level and determine not only the amount of time that the AFO is worn for but the  
224 reasons why and interactions between the two with a view to supporting clinicians and people with CP  
225 who use AFOs in the future.

## 226 **Conclusions**

227 This review investigated adherence through two components: usage and factors which influence usage,  
228 and while most of the papers in the review touched on both elements, none explicitly looked at both or  
229 discussed the interactions between the two. Adherence is a complex subject, and both components are  
230 needed to gain a true understanding of how an AFO is perceived and engaged with by children with CP  
231 and how these perceptions affect its use. A mixed methodology supports a detailed investigation of  
232 adherence, with measurement of usage favouring quantitative assessment, and factors which influence  
233 that usage being indicatively investigated using qualitative methods. By investigating using multiple  
234 approaches, it is possible to gain a more holistic view of AFO use and better understand the motivators  
235 and barriers that affect adherence. This knowledge can better support the prescription of AFOs in the  
236 future.

## 237 **Limitations**

238 The paucity of literature in this area and the low participation numbers within the papers included in  
239 this review limit the extensibility of the findings. It is also possible that this review may have missed  
240 some papers which included discussion about usage of AFOs by children with CP or factors which  
241 influence that usage as part of a broader investigation of a tangential subject. While we included one  
242 such paper in this review<sup>18</sup> which we found through in the references of our literature reading, it is  
243 possible that more were overlooked by the search terms. However, this review has successfully drawn  
244 attention to the paucity of research in this subject area, commented on appropriate methodologies for  
245 the investigation, and provided some suggestions for future research.

246 **Word count: 3,194 words**

247 *Figure one: Paper selection process*

## 248 **5. References**

- 249 1. Wingstrand M, Hägglund G and Rodby-Bousquet E. Ankle-foot orthoses in  
250 children with cerebral palsy: a cross sectional population based study of 2200 children.  
251 *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2014; 15: 327. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2474-15-327.
- 252 2. Osam JA, Opoku MP, Dogbe JA, et al. The use of assistive technologies among  
253 children with disabilities: the perception of parents of children with disabilities in  
254 Ghana. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology* 2019: 1-8.
- 255 3. Nooijen C, Slaman J, van der Slot W, et al. Health-related physical fitness of  
256 ambulatory adolescents and young adults with spastic cerebral palsy. *Journal of*  
257 *rehabilitation medicine* 2014; 46: 642-647.
- 258 4. Swinnen E and Kerckhofs E. Compliance of patients wearing an orthotic device  
259 or orthopedic shoes: a systematic review. . *Journal of bodywork and movement*  
260 *therapies* 2015; 19: 759-770.
- 261 5. Muscari ME. Rebels with a cause: when adolescents won't follow medical  
262 advice. *AJN The American Journal of Nursing* 1998; 98: 26-30.
- 263 6. Nevins TE. Non-compliance and its management in teenagers. *Pediatric*  
264 *transplantation* 2002; 6: 475-479.
- 265 7. Petrini P and Seuser A. Haemophilia care in adolescents—compliance and

- 266 lifestyle issues. *Haemophilia* 2009; 15: 15-19.
- 267 8. Windebank KP and Spinetta JJ. Do as I say or die: Compliance in adolescents  
268 with cancer. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer* 2008; 50: 1099-1100. DOI: 10.1002/pbc.21460.
- 269 9. Al-Jewair TS, Suri S and Tompson BD. Predictors of adolescent compliance  
270 with oral hygiene instructions during two-arch multibracket fixed orthodontic treatment.  
271 *The Angle Orthodontist* 2011; 81: 525-531. DOI: 10.2319/092010-547.1.
- 272 10. Holtkamp FC, Wouters EJM, Van Hoof J, et al. Use of and satisfaction with  
273 ankle foot orthoses. . *Clinical Research on Foot & Ankle* 2015.
- 274 11. Hayles E, Harvey D, Plummer D, et al. Parents' experiences of health care for  
275 their children with cerebral palsy. *Qualitative health research* 2015; 25: 1139-1154.
- 276 12. Andersson C and Mattsson E. Adults with cerebral palsy: a survey describing  
277 problems, needs, and resources, with special emphasis on locomotion. *Developmental*  
278 *Medicine & Child Neurology* 2007; 43: 76-82. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-  
279 8749.2001.tb00719.x.
- 280 13. Krakovsky G, Huth MM, Lin L, et al. Functional changes in children,  
281 adolescents, and young adults with cerebral palsy. *Research in Developmental*  
282 *Disabilities* 2007; 28: 331-340.
- 283 14. Basford J and Johnson S. Form may be as important as function in orthotic  
284 acceptance: a case report. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation* 2002; 83:  
285 433-435.
- 286 15. Schwarze M, Horoba L, Block J, et al. Wearing Time of Ankle-Foot Orthoses  
287 with Modular Shank Supply in Cerebral Palsy: A Descriptive Analysis in a Clinically  
288 Prospective Approach. *Rehabilitation Research and Practice* 2019; 2019: 1-9. DOI:  
289 10.1155/2019/2978265.
- 290 16. Eddison N, Healy A and Chockalingam N. Does user perception affect  
291 adherence when wearing biomechanically optimised ankle foot orthosis-footwear  
292 combinations: A pilot study. *The Foot* 2020; 43: 101655.
- 293 17. Maas JC, Dallmeijer AJ, Oudshoorn BY, et al. Measuring wearing time of knee-  
294 ankle-foot orthoses in children with cerebral palsy: comparison of parent-report and  
295 objective measurement. *Disability and Rehabilitation* 2018; 40: 398-403. DOI:  
296 10.1080/09638288.2016.1258434.
- 297 18. Øien I, Fallang B and Østensjø S. Everyday use of assistive technology devices  
298 in school settings. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology* 2016; 11: 630-  
299 635.
- 300 19. Hunter LN, Sison-Williamson M, Mendoza MM, et al. The validity of  
301 compliance monitors to assess wearing time of thoracic-lumbar-sacral orthoses in  
302 children with spinal cord injury. *Spine* 2008; 33: 1554-1561.
- 303 20. Rahman T, Borkhuu B, Littleton AG, et al. Electronic monitoring of scoliosis  
304 brace wear compliance. *Journal of Children's Orthopaedics* 2010; 4: 343-347. DOI:  
305 10.1007/s11832-010-0266-6.

- 306 21. Garralda ME, Muntoni F, Cunniff A, et al. Knee–ankle–foot orthosis in children  
307 with duchenne muscular dystrophy: user views and adjustment. *European Journal of*  
308 *Paediatric Neurology* 2006; 10: 186-191.
- 309 22. Sugawara AT, Ramos VD, Alfieri FM, et al. Abandonment of assistive products:  
310 assessing abandonment levels and factors that impact on it. . *Disability and*  
311 *Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology* 2018; 13: 716-723.
- 312 23. Swinnen E, Deliens T, Dewulf E, et al. What is the opinion of patients with  
313 multiple sclerosis and their healthcare professionals about lower limb orthoses? A  
314 qualitative study using focus group discussions. *NeuroRehabilitation* 2018; 42: 81-92.
- 315 24. Ireño JM, Chen N, Zafani MD, et al. The use of orthoses in children with  
316 cerebral palsy: perception of caregivers. *Cadernos Brasileiros de Terapia Ocupacional*  
317 2019; 27: 35-44.
- 318 25. Luger R, Geiger M and Lyner-Cleophas M. Students’ voices: reflections of three  
319 young adults with cerebral palsy on factors facilitating their completion of mainstream  
320 schooling in South Africa. *International Journal of Inclusive Education* 2019: 1-17.
- 321 26. Hayles E, Harvey D, Plummer D, et al. Parents’ experiences of health care for  
322 their children with cerebral palsy. *Qualitative health research* 2015; 25: 1139-1154.
- 323 27. Van Der Wilk D, Hijmans JM, Postema K, et al. A user-centered qualitative  
324 study on experiences with ankle-foot orthoses and suggestions for improved design. .  
325 *Prosthetics and orthotics international* 2018; 42: 121-128.  
326

## 327 **Appendix A – Articles included in this scoping review**

### 328 **Papers identified through literature search**

- 329 Eddison, N., Healy, A., & Chockalingam, N. (2020). Does user perception affect adherence when wearing  
330 biomechanically optimised ankle foot orthosis–footwear combinations: A pilot study. *The Foot*, 43,  
331 101655.
- 332 Maas, J. C., et al. (2018). "Measuring wearing time of knee-ankle-foot orthoses in children with cerebral  
333 palsy: comparison of parent-report and objective measurement." *Disability and rehabilitation* 40(4):  
334 398-403.
- 335 Øien, I., Fallang, B., & Østensjø, S. (2016). Everyday use of assistive technology devices in school settings.  
336 *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology*, 11(8), 630-635.

337 Schwarze, M., Horoba, L., Block, J., Putz, C., Alimusaj, M., Wolf, S. I., & Dreher, T. (2019). Wearing Time  
338 of Ankle-Foot Orthoses with Modular Shank Supply in Cerebral Palsy: A Descriptive Analysis in a  
339 Clinically Prospective Approach. *Rehabilitation research and practice*, 2019.