

1 **What's a SNP between friends: the influence of single nucleotide polymorphisms on**
2 **virulence and phenotypes of *Clostridium difficile* strain 630 and derivatives**

3

4 **Running Title:** What's a SNP between Friends?

5

6 Mark M Collery ^{a,1,†}, Sarah A Kuehne^{a,b,2,†}, Shonna M McBride^c, Michelle L Kelly^a, Marc
7 Monot^d, Alan Cockayne^a, Bruno Dupuy^d, and Nigel P Minton^{a,b,*}

8

9 ^a Clostridia Research Group, BBSRC/EPSRC Synthetic Biology Research Centre (SBRC), School
10 of Life Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK; ^bNIHR Biomedical Research
11 Unit in Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases at Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust,
12 University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK; ^c Department of Microbiology and
13 Immunology, Emory Antibiotic Resistance Center, Emory University, Atlanta, USA, and; ^d
14 Laboratoire Pathogénèse des Bactéries Anaérobies, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France.

15

16 ***Corresponding to:** Nigel P Minton; Email: nigel.minton@nottingham.ac.uk

17 Current address: ¹Micropathology Ltd., University of Warwick Science Park, Venture Centre,
18 Sir William Lyons Road, Coventry, UK and ² current address: Dr Sarah A. Kuehne, School of
19 Dentistry, Institute of Clinical Sciences, College of Medical & Dental Sciences, The University
20 of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK.

21

22 [†] These authors contributed equally to the work.

23 **Keywords:** single nucleotide polymorphism; sporulation; motility; toxin expression;
24 mutation; ClosTron; virulence

25

26 **Abstract**

27 *Clostridium difficile* is a major cause of antibiotic induced diarrhoea worldwide,
28 responsible for significant annual mortalities and represents a considerable economic
29 burden on healthcare systems. The two main *C. difficile* virulence factors are toxins A and B.
30 Isogenic toxin B mutants of two independently isolated erythromycin-sensitive derivatives
31 (630E and 630 Δ erm) of strain 630 were previously shown to exhibit substantively different
32 phenotypes. Compared to 630, strain 630E and its progeny grow slower, achieve lower final
33 cell densities, exhibit a reduced capacity for spore-formation, produce lower levels of toxin
34 and are less virulent in the hamster infection model. By the same measures, strain 630 Δ erm
35 and its derivatives more closely mirror the behaviour of 630. Genome sequencing revealed
36 that 630 Δ erm had acquired seven unique Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs)
37 compared to 630 and 630E, while 630E had nine SNPs and a DNA inversion not found in the
38 other two strains. The relatively large number of mutations meant that the identification of
39 those responsible for the altered properties of 630E was not possible, despite the
40 restoration of three mutations to wildtype by allelic exchange and comparative RNAseq
41 analysis of all three strains. The latter analysis revealed large differences in gene expression
42 between the three strains, explaining in part why no single SNP could restore the phenotypic
43 differences. Our findings suggest that strain 630 Δ erm should be favoured over 630E as a
44 surrogate for 630 in genetic-based studies. They also underline the importance of effective
45 strain curation and the need to genome re-sequence master seed banks wherever possible.

46 Introduction

47

48 *Clostridium difficile* is a Gram-positive, anaerobic spore-forming bacterium capable of
49 causing a range of diseases from mild diarrhoea to potentially fatal toxic
50 pseudomembranous colitis. The toxigenic effects of *C. difficile* are caused by the activities of
51 two large, glucosylating toxins. The two toxins are 308kDa (toxin A) and 270kDa (toxin B) in
52 size¹⁻³ and are encoded by the chromosomally located genes *tcdA* and *tcdB*, respectively.
53 Both are cytopathic to cultured cells due to disruption of the cytoskeleton, although TcdB is
54 thought to be up to 1000-times more potent.¹ Historically, toxin A was regarded as the main
55 causative agent of the symptoms of *C. difficile* infection (CDI). Pivotal data was provided by
56 Lyerly et al.⁴ who were only able to detect disease when hamsters were subject to
57 intragastric challenge with purified TcdA alone and not with TcdB. The latter could, however,
58 cause disease symptoms if prior damage to the mucosa had been inflicted by co-
59 administration of sub-lethal concentrations of toxin A. Furthermore, co-administration of
60 both toxins led to more severe disease symptoms. To accommodate these data, it was
61 generally accepted that both toxins acted in concert to bring about disease symptoms, with
62 toxin A leading to the initial damage to the colon allowing the subsequent access of the more
63 potent toxin B.

64 During the 1990's *C. difficile* strains were isolated from symptomatic patients that only
65 produced toxin B (A-B+)^{5, 6}. These findings suggested that toxin B, at least in certain strains,
66 is capable of causing disease without the help of toxin A. It has been reported since that
67 toxin B, in A-B+ strains, is modified and seems to be an evolutionary hybrid of *C. difficile*
68 toxin B and *Clostridium sordellii* lethal toxin.⁷

69 With the development of genetic systems, assumptions of the relative importance of
70 the two toxins could be tested through the creation, and *in vivo* assay, of isogenic mutants
71 in which production of either toxin had been ablated. Initial findings made by Lyras et al.⁸
72 appeared to turn the perceived view on its head, through the demonstration that a *tcdA*
73 mutant producing TcdB alone (A-B+) was capable of causing disease in the hamster model
74 while a *tcdB* mutant producing only TcdA (A+B-) did not. These data were, however, almost
75 immediately questioned by a second study conducted in the Minton laboratory⁹ showing
76 that both *tcdA* and *tcdB* *C. difficile* mutants, and therefore TcdA and TcdB alone, were
77 independently capable of causing disease. Interestingly, a strain has recently¹⁰ been
78 isolated from a clinical case of CDI, that only produces TcdA (A+B-)

79 The possible reasons for the observed difference in outcomes of the two studies have
80 been discussed previously.¹¹ Both studies agree on the virulence potential of toxin B, but
81 uncertainties remain about the different outcomes concerning the effects of toxin A. In the
82 work presented here, we have hence focused on comparisons of the parental strains and
83 the strains only producing toxin A (A+B-). In essence, both sets of mutants were generated
84 by insertional inactivation of the toxin genes of the *C. difficile* strain 630¹² and, once created,
85 were tested in the hamster infection model. However, in order to implement the available
86 gene tools in strain 630 (at the time the only strain for which a genome sequence was
87 available), it was necessary to first isolate a variant that had become sensitive to
88 erythromycin, thereby allowing the use of an *ermB* gene as a selective, genetic marker. Both
89 studies used such an erythromycin-sensitive derivative of strain 630, but they were
90 independently isolated. In our study (Minton group),⁹ we used the strain 630 Δ *erm*, isolated
91 in the Mullany laboratory (UCL, London, UK) after 30 repeated subcultures of strain 630 in

92 non-selective media. ¹³ In parallel, the Rood laboratory (Monash, Australia) independently
93 isolated the erythromycin sensitive strain JIR8094 (also referred to as 630E), ¹⁴ through an
94 undisclosed number of subcultures of strain 630 in non-selective media. Both strains are
95 reported to possess the same specific deletion of *ermB*. ^{13, 14}

96 We have previously hypothesised ¹¹ that the different outcomes of the two studies ^{8, 9}
97 are a direct consequence of the use of the two, independently isolated erythromycin-
98 sensitive strains, 630 Δ *erm* and 630E. We suggested that during repeated subculture,
99 ancillary mutations arose which impacted on the virulence potential of one or other of the
100 two strains in the presence of different toxin gene alleles. In the current piece of work, we
101 have set out to test this hypothesis. We have undertaken side-by-side comparisons of
102 630 Δ *erm* and 630E, and the A+B- mutant derivatives, in a variety of assays to establish
103 phenotypic differences. In parallel, we have determined the genome sequences of the
104 various strains used in the two studies. ^{8, 9} Then, we have used our newly developed allelic
105 exchange methodologies ¹⁵ to correct a number of SNPs in strain 630E back to wild-type and
106 assessed the consequences. Furthermore we have performed RNAseq experiments
107 comparing the transcriptome of 630, 630 Δ *erm* and 630E at three different time points. The
108 RNA data were related to the whole genome data to draw our final conclusions.

109

110 **Results**

111 **Generation of a ClosTron insertion in *tcdB* of 630E**

112 Although considered unlikely, the possibility existed that mutants made by the insertion
113 of a plasmid element carrying *ermB* ¹⁴ might behave differently to an equivalent mutant
114 made by the insertion of a group II intron incorporating *ermB*. ¹⁶ Our initial step was,

115 therefore, to create a *tcdB* mutant of strain 630E using Clostron technology. Accordingly,
116 the Clostron plasmid pMTL007C-E2::Cdi-tcdB-1511a that had previously been used to
117 generate strain 630 Δ *erm* A+B-⁹ was used to create an equivalent mutant in strain 630E as
118 described.⁹ The resulting mutant, 630E A+B-CT, was verified by PCR, Sanger sequencing and
119 shown by Southern blot to carry a single group II intron insertion (**Fig. S1A**). Parental strains,
120 original A+B- mutants and the newly obtained mutant were tested for production of toxin A
121 in a Western blot (**Fig. S1B**). As expected all strains produced toxin A.

122

123 **Phenotypic characterisation of strains**

124 In order to establish whether all strains were phenotypically identical a range of assays
125 were performed, comparing growth, motility and spore properties. An analysis of growth
126 rates using the procedure described in Materials and Methods showed that strain 630 Δ *erm*
127 and derivatives grew to the highest optical density, closely followed by strain 630 and 630E,
128 and derivatives thereof (**Fig. 1A and 1B**). The data clearly demonstrated that strain 630 Δ *erm*
129 and its 630 Δ *erm* A+B- derivative had relatively higher growth rates and achieved higher
130 optical densities ($p < 0.0001$, unpaired t-test at 24 h) than strain 630E and its derivatives,
131 with strain 630E A+B-CT growing the least (**Fig. 1B**). It was also apparent, shown by plate
132 motility assay (**Fig. 2**), that strains 630 and 630 Δ *erm* were motile, while 630E was not. Only
133 630 and 630 Δ *erm*, but not 630E, form pseudopod-like structures, which are characteristic
134 for swarming motility in bacteria.

135 Following the protocols of Burns et al,¹⁷ comparative differences in the numbers of
136 colony forming units (CFUs) obtained following heat shock were assessed between the
137 strains, as a crude estimate of spore formation.¹⁸ On this basis, strains 630 Δ *erm* and

138 630 Δ erm A+B- produced a greater numbers of spores than 630E and its derivatives, which
139 failed to produce any spores until 72 h. The total number of CFU/mL at this time point was
140 10³ times fewer than that obtained with 630 Δ erm or 630 Δ erm A+B- (**Fig. 3A**). Interestingly
141 parental strain 630 produced very few spores before 72 h, but spore counts increased from
142 72 h onwards and reached similar levels to strains 630 Δ erm and 630 Δ erm A+B- by the end
143 of the experiment. The reduction in spore formation may in part be due to the observed
144 reduction in OD as the 630E strains enter stationary phase, which might also explain their
145 predilection to flocculate. Indeed comparing percentage sporulation (relative to vegetative
146 cell count), confirmed the observation that 630 Δ erm and 630 Δ erm A+B- have a higher
147 sporulation frequency than both 630E (and derivatives) and strain 630.

148 The germination of the 630E strains was also comparatively reduced and did not reach
149 the same level as that of 630 Δ erm and its progeny. At the last time point (240 min) strains
150 630E and 630E A+B- reach the same level of CFU/ml as strain 630. The observed delay could
151 be due to the previously observed reduced cell growth of the 630E strains (**Fig. 3B**).

152

153 **Toxin Production**

154 Measurements of the amounts of toxin being produced by 630E and 630 Δ erm and their
155 derivatives were undertaken using both the *C. DIFFICILE TOX A/B II*[™] ELISA assay kit from
156 TechLab, measuring toxin A and B, and kits from TGCbiomics, specifically measuring either
157 only toxin A or only toxin B. The results of the 72 h time point are shown in **Fig. 4**.

158 As shown in **Fig. 4A**, toxin production of 630, 630 Δ erm or 630 Δ erm A+B- clustered
159 together, as did toxin production of 630E, 630E A+B- or 630E A+B- CT with the latter three
160 showing no statistical differences between them ($P > 0.05$, one-way ANOVA with Fisher's LSD

161 test). There was, however, a statistically significant difference between the first three strains
162 (630, 630 Δ *erm* or 630 Δ *erm* A+B-) and the second set of three strains (630E, 630E A+B- or
163 630E A+B- CT) ($P < 0.0001$). The *C. DIFFICILE TOX A/B II*TM ELISA does not differentiate
164 between toxin A and B. In order to be able to quantify each toxin, the kits from TGCbiomics
165 were used (**Fig. 4B and 4C**). The toxin A ELISA showed significantly higher production in strain
166 630 Δ *erm* compared to 630E ($p < 0.0016$) and also confirmed the previous observations that
167 strains with impaired *tcdB*, produce more toxin A^{8,9} (**Fig. 4B**). No toxin B production was
168 seen, as expected, in the *tcdB*-mutants. Strains 630 and 630 Δ *erm*, however, both produced
169 significantly more toxin B than 630E ($p < 0.0001$) (**Fig. 4C**).

170

171 **Whole genome sequencing**

172 To establish whether strains 630E and 630 Δ *erm*, and derivatives, contained any
173 additional changes to the *ermB* gene deletion, relative to the parent strain 630, the following
174 strains were sequenced using Next Generation Sequencing platforms: 630E A+B-, 630E A+B-
175 CT on Illumina HiSeq (GATC, Germany) and 630 Δ *erm* A-B on a Roche 454 (Deepseq,
176 University of Nottingham, UK) and the data compared to the published genome of the
177 parental strain 630¹² and previously sequenced 630 Δ *erm* Δ *pyrE*.¹⁹ We used a frequency of
178 70% as a cut-off for SNP calling and found multiple SNPs, InDels and other minor changes,
179 both common and unique to 630, 630E and 630 Δ *erm*. In total, two SNPs in coding regions
180 with non-synonymous changes were found that were common to all three strains (in
181 CD630_11900, encoding an acyl-CoA N-acyltransferase where SNP changes
182 phenylalanine133 to leucine and in CD630_13880, a pseudo gene where a frameshift is
183 introduced). In addition to these, we found in both 630 and 630 Δ *erm* strains three SNPs (two

184 in intergenic regions and one in a coding region of CD630_2667, encoding the BC domain of
185 a glucose PTS, changing valine228 to isoleucine). 630 Δ erm had seven unique changes
186 compared to 630 and 630E (including six non-synonymous SNPs in coding regions), while
187 630E had eleven SNPs (with nine non-synonymous SNPs in coding regions) not found in the
188 other two strains. SNPs were confirmed by Sanger sequencing and thereafter by RNAseq
189 data (see below). Indeed, the SNPs found in the DNA-seq data were validated by using
190 the RNA-seq sequence reads mapped on the genome sequence with Bowtie2²⁰ with each
191 position being checked using Tablet²¹. A complete list of SNPs and other small changes are
192 indicated in **Table 1** and in supplementary **Table S1**. During the preparation of this
193 manuscript a new sequence of 630 was published by Riedel *et al.*²². We incorporated their
194 data into **Table 1** (and **Table S1**). Overall this new sequence shows very few disparities to
195 the original one. However two SNPs found in our data were attributed to mistakes in the
196 original sequence (in CD630_17670 and CD630_31561). Another paper was recently
197 published by van Eijk *et al.*,²³ resequencing 630 Δ erm. Overall there are very few
198 discrepancies between their data and our findings, confirming the quality of both data sets.
199 We have incorporated their findings into **Table S1**.

200 It may be assumed, that during the repeated subculture of strain 630 undertaken in the
201 Mullany¹³ and Rood¹⁴ laboratories, sub-populations within the culture were isolated
202 carrying SNPs. However, it seems improbable that the two SNPs (**Table 1**), common to all
203 three strains, arose independently. Rather we hypothesise that these SNPs might be
204 sequencing mistakes. This theory gains weight through the new sequencing data by Riedel
205 *et al.*²². Two SNP changes which we identified originally between the published 630
206 sequence and our data were confirmed by Riedel *et al* to also be the sequence of their 630

207 seed stock. Unfortunately the genome announcement²² does not state the exact source of
208 their 630 strain. As mentioned above another three SNPs were only found in 630 and
209 630 Δ *erm*, two of these are in intergenic regions which showed no expression in our RNAseq
210 experiment, and the third is located in a PTS gene in 630 and 630 Δ *erm* (position 3080703,
211 Val₂₂₈Ile). Rather than having occurred independently it is more likely that these SNPs arose
212 in the Mullany laboratory, subsequent to provision of chromosomal DNA to the Sanger
213 Centre for determination of the 630 genome sequence¹², and before the strain 630 was
214 passaged to obtain 630 Δ *erm*. At the time, *C. difficile* strains in the Mullany laboratory were
215 routinely stored at 4°C as Robertson's Cooked Meat stocks, as opposed to being frozen at -
216 80°C in 10% glycerol (A.R. Roberts, personal communication). On this basis, the traditional
217 microbiological practice of using Robertson's Cooked Meat to curate strains might not be
218 ideal as strains are not entirely dormant and genome changes can occur over time. The SNPs
219 that were found to be unique to 630 Δ *erm* and 630E (n=8 and n=11, respectively) can be
220 assumed to have been accrued at some point after the two 630 populations diverged, that
221 is when the strain was sent to the Rood laboratory. It is most likely, although not certain,
222 that the majority, if not all of the strain-specific SNPs arose during the repeated subculture
223 experiments undertaken to isolate the *ermB* deletion strains 630E and 630 Δ *erm*.

224 Changes specific to 630 Δ *erm* include SNPs in three intergenic regions, which all have
225 been determined with a coverage of over 150 and 100 % frequency (see **Table 1**). The other
226 five changes comprise four non-synonymous SNPs and an insertion. The insertion has
227 previously been reported by Rosenbusch *et al.*²⁴ and was confirmed by van Eijk *et al.*²³ and
228 is an 18 bp duplication in *spo0A*, the master regulator of sporulation. This insertion might be
229 responsible for the reduced sporulation frequency seen in strain 630 and also in 630E and

230 derivatives, which do not carry this duplication (which does not have this duplication) (**Fig.**
231 **3A**). The SNPs have been found in the following genes: CD630_08260, encoding a ferric
232 uptake regulator (*perR* homologue) (Thr₄₁Ala); CD630_19070, encoding an alcohol
233 dehydrogenase homologue (*eutG*) (Gly₂₅₂Glu); and CD630_35630, encoding a transcriptional
234 regulator of the GntR family (Ala₉₁Val).

235 In contrast, strain 630E contains a larger number of non-synonymous SNPs including
236 changes that result in nonsense mutations and in one case the inversion of a small segment
237 of DNA preceding a flagella operon. We found two changes in intergenic regions, one with
238 100 % frequency and a coverage of 94 (position 3528736); the other at a much lower
239 frequency (41 %), but confirmed a 150 bp inversion by Sanger sequencing in the promoter
240 region of *flgB*, the first gene in a F3 flagella operon (early flagella genes). Non-synonymous
241 SNPs were found in CD630_07610, encoding a putative RNA helicase (Asp₁₃₆Tyr);
242 CD630_14040, encoding an oligopeptide transporter (Glu₅₃₆Gly); CD630_20270, encoding a
243 hydrolase (Gly₃₇₃Glu); CD630_29430, encoding a phage replication protein (Asn₂₁₀Asp); and
244 CD630_33790, encoding a conjugative transposon protein (Glu₆₃Asp). Finally, there is
245 another SNP at position 3034953, in gene CD630_26270 (Gly₆₈Cys), encoding a conserved
246 hypothetical protein. Interestingly the new genome sequence from Eijk et al. ²³ suggested
247 an “A” at position 3034953 in contrast to the earlier annotation suggesting “C”. The new
248 annotation is in line with our RNAseq data (**Table S1**) and taken into account our sequencing
249 data (**Table 1**) suggests that this is indeed a mutation in 630E and was miss-annotated in the
250 original sequence. In one instance the nucleotide substitution resulted in the creation of a
251 nonsense, stop codon, and as a consequence a severe, premature truncation of the encoding
252 protein. Thus, the stop codon introduced into CD630_12740 encoding a topoisomerase I

253 (*topA*) homologue (Gln₃₈₆*) truncated the protein from 695 amino acids to 385 amino acids.
254 Conversely, in the case of the glucose PTS operon *ptsG-BC*, the conversion of the stop codon
255 of *ptsG-B* gene (CD630_26670) to a Glu codon (*₅₂₄Glu) resulted in its fusion to the coding
256 region of the immediately downstream *ptsG-C* gene.

257 **Virulence testing of 630Δ*erm*, 630E and mutants using an *in vivo* model**

258 In order to confirm previous data and to rule out differences in experimental set up in
259 different laboratories, the virulence of 630E and derivatives was assessed using the hamster
260 infection model in our laboratory (University of Nottingham) as previously described.⁹

261 **Figure 5** shows the times from infection to endpoint (in days) for the hamsters infected
262 with 630E, 630E A+B- and 630E A+B- CT. For comparative purposes data for infection with
263 630Δ*erm* and 630Δ*erm* A+B- from a previous study⁹ is also included. The latter emphasises
264 the fact that all eight hamsters infected with strain 630Δ*erm* were colonised and succumbed
265 to *C. difficile* disease (with an average time of 3.25 days from infection to endpoint). This is
266 in direct contrast to what is observed with 630E where of the five animals successfully
267 infected, only three were colonised till the respective endpoints and of these, two
268 succumbed to disease (at day two and six). Two animals lost colonisation after days 15 and
269 18, respectively.

270 In our previous study⁹ seven, of the eight animals infected with 630Δ*erm* A+B- (as also
271 shown in **Fig. 5**), succumbed to disease with an average time to death of colonised hamsters
272 being just under two days. One animal showed no signs of disease until the experimental
273 endpoint, but was found not to have been colonised. Here of the 11 animals infected with
274 the equivalent mutant of strain 630E (630E A+B-), only two animals succumbed to CDI (on
275 day two and nine). Four animals in this group were never colonised, one lost colonisation

276 after day three and the others were colonised till endpoint. Six animals were infected with
277 630E A+B- CT, and of these two hamsters developed infection (day three and five). Two of
278 the surviving animals lost colonisation after day 15 and 18 respectively. (**Fig. 5** and **Table S2**).

279 The difference between the average time to death of all hamsters administered
280 630 Δ *erm* and 630 Δ *erm* A+B- was found not to be statistically significant (one-way ANOVA,
281 $p=0.5355$) (results from Kuehne *et al.* ⁹). Similarly, the differences between the average
282 times to death of all animals administered 630E, 630E A+B- and 630E A+B- CT was not
283 statistically significant (one-way ANOVA, $p=0.8919$). In contrast, the difference between the
284 630 Δ *erm* strain (and derivative) and the 630E strain (and derivatives) was statistically
285 significant (one-way ANOVA, $p>0.0001$).

286

287 **Correction of SNPs in strain 630E**

288 In view of the large number of SNPs present in strain 630E, it was impractical to change
289 them all back to the 630 parental sequence. We therefore selected just three specific
290 mutations present in 630E and converted them back to the sequence present in the parental
291 strain, 630.

292 Our principal target was to remove the stop codon from within the topoisomerase I gene,
293 CD630_12740, as this enzyme plays a central role in the regulation of DNA negative
294 supercoiling and its inactivation is likely to result in extensive pleiotropic effects. Indeed, in
295 some bacteria its inactivation is lethal. ²⁵⁻²⁷ Moreover, bacterial genes related to
296 pathogenesis and virulence have been shown to be sensitive to *topA* mutation in *E. coli*, ²⁸
297 *S. flexneri*, ²⁹ *Yersinia enterocolitica* ³⁰ and *Salmonella*. ³¹ We therefore converted the “T”
298 nucleotide at position 1480649 in 630E back to an “A” nucleotide, thereby removing the

299 nonsense stop codon and allowing the production of full length native topoisomerase
300 enzyme.

301 As a second target we elected to correct the inversion of DNA upstream of the F3 flagella
302 operon. As strain 630E is non-motile, and as the inverted region encompasses the non-
303 coding region immediately upstream of the *flgB* gene, it is likely to have disrupted the
304 promoter responsible for both *flgB* expression and the genes in the downstream operon.
305 The inversion is therefore likely to be the principal cause of the loss of motility in 630E.
306 Furthermore, factors affecting flagella expression can also influence toxin expression levels.
307 ^{32, 33}

308 Finally, we sought to correct the fusion of the two PTS components *ptsG-B* and *ptsG-C*, by
309 resurrection of the stop codon of *ptsG-B* through the conversion of the “C” nucleotide at
310 position 3079815 back to an “A” nucleotide. As glucose is known to affect toxin production,
311 through catabolite repression, ^{34, 35} it was reasoned that this particular SNP could be
312 affecting toxin expression, and therefore virulence.

313 The plasmids carrying the 630 wildtype alleles necessary for the correction of the three
314 targeted SNPs were assembled as described in Materials and Methods and then used to
315 effect the replacement of the 630E mutant alleles by allelic exchange. ¹⁵ To verify that the
316 mutant clones obtained were correct, each targeted region was amplified by PCR using
317 appropriate oligonucleotide primers and the DNA fragments obtained subjected to Sanger
318 sequencing on both DNA strands. In every case, clones carrying the desired ‘corrected’
319 sequence were obtained. The new strains were named after the genes or regions that were
320 corrected, namely 630E_*topA*, 630E_CD2667 and 630E_*flgB*, respectively.

321 To assess the effects of the changes on the characteristics of the mutant strains, growth
322 rate, sporulation and germination, motility, and *in vitro* cytotoxicity and toxin production
323 (ELISA) were measured. None of the three corrected mutants exhibited any difference in
324 growth rate compared to the parental strain 630E (data not shown). Similarly, sporulation
325 and germination remained unaffected (data not shown). Toxicity testing revealed no
326 difference to 630E using the *C. DIFFICILE TOX A/B II*[™] ELISA assay kit from TechLab (**Fig. 4A**).
327 To quantify toxin A and toxin B individually the ELISA kits from TGCbiomics were used to
328 assay 630E_*topA* and 630E_CD2667 (**Fig. 4B**). No differences were measured for toxin A, but
329 the strain 630E_*topA* showed significantly higher levels of toxin B than the parental strain
330 630E.

331

332 **Transcriptomic comparison of 630, 630 Δ *erm* and 630E**

333 RNA was extracted from strains 630, 630 Δ *erm* and 630E at 6, 14 and 24 h and used in
334 an RNAseq experiment as described in Materials and Methods. The Principal Component
335 Analysis (PCA) (**Fig. 6**) showed that strain 630 Δ *erm* and 630E are closely correlated on a
336 transcriptional level which is significantly separated from 630. While this result implies that
337 both strains are fundamentally different to the parental strain, it does not indicate that the
338 differences to 630 are the same for both strains. The analysis depicted by the Venn diagram
339 (**Fig. 7**) confirms the results of the PCA, showing that the majority of differentially expressed
340 genes are observed comparing 630 Δ *erm* and 630E to 630. From a total of 1337 differentially
341 expressed genes (**Table S3** contains all the genes differentially expressed along the growth
342 and also comparisons between the strains), only 139 were common between all three

343 strains. A total of 345 were common between 630E and 630 Δ *erm*, 60 were common
344 between 630 and 630 Δ *erm* and 58 were common between 630 and 630E.

345 Most of the 345 genes differentially expressed in both, 630 Δ *erm* and 630E, were either
346 up or down-regulated in the same way highlighting again how distinct the two strains are
347 from the parental strain 630 (**Table S3**). In TY medium used for the transcriptomic
348 experiments, known as a non-optimal for spore production, a total of 44 sporulation genes
349 were differentially expressed in both 630 Δ *erm* and 630E, and all of these were
350 downregulated at 14 and 24 h compared to 6 h. No further differentially expressed
351 sporulation genes appeared in 630 Δ *erm*, however, our analysis showed a further 22
352 sporulation genes, of which 21 were downregulated, in 630E. Amongst these was the master
353 regulator of sporulation *spo0A*. Nine genes classed as stress-related are differentially
354 expressed in all three strains (five upregulated), with a further three in 630 (all upregulated),
355 seven in 630 Δ *erm* (four upregulated) and 16 in 630E (11 upregulated). Nine genes related to
356 secretion are down regulated in 630E and one gene related to type IV pili is upregulated. In
357 comparison only one secretion gene (putative pilus assembly ATPase) is differentially
358 expressed only in 630 Δ *erm* (down regulated) and none in 630. Metabolism is also highly
359 differentially regulated in the three strains. 90 genes were uniquely, differentially expressed
360 in 630E, 50 in 630 Δ *erm* and 30 in 630. In particular the amino acid metabolism stands out
361 for 630E with the majority of genes being downregulated. (**Table S3**).

362 RNAseq data can be used to independently corroborate genome re-sequencing data.
363 Thus, it was apparent that those changes identified by CLC Bio as being present with a
364 frequency of 70% or less, except for CD630_20102, were not real accordingly to the RNAseq
365 analysis (**Table S1**). This increases the confidence in disregarding changes identified by NGS

366 with a low frequency. In most cases, the SNPs and Indels identified by NGS were confirmed
367 by the RNAseq analysis, with the following exceptions: for SNPs in 630E we found two
368 disagreements notably in CD630_33790 and CD630_29430, which both had 100 % frequency
369 and a high coverage (around 200 reads) in the DNA sequence analysis, but only low coverage
370 in the RNAseq experiment. Due to the low coverage of these regions during the RNAseq
371 experiment, which is indicative of low or no expression under the examined conditions, a
372 sequencing error cannot be excluded. For the SNP in CD630_12740 the RNA coverage
373 corroborated the genomic data for 630E, but was in disagreement with the genomic data
374 for 630 and 630 Δ *erm*. For three SNPs in 630 Δ *erm* similar scenarios were observed.
375 CD630_19070 had very low RNA coverage, CD630_35650 showed ambiguous RNA data with
376 low coverage for 630E. CD630_08260, the *perR* homologue, had convincing DNA data, with
377 frequencies of 98-100 % and coverage of at least a 100 which was corroborated for 630 Δ *erm*
378 by RNAseq coverage.

379 In terms of actual expression data (**Table S1**), CD630_07610 (the RNA helicase),
380 CD630_14040 (oligopeptide transporter), CD630_20270 (hydrolase) and CD630_29430
381 (phage replication protein) all showed differential expression in 630E compared to the other
382 two strains, with the first two showing reduced expression and the latter two an increase.
383 CD630_29430, however, also showed an increase in expression in 630 at the later time point.
384 Changes in CD630_26670 in 630 Δ *erm* and 630E both seem to lead to severely reduced
385 expression. CD630_12740 (*topA*) only showed differential expression at 24 h in 630 Δ *erm* and
386 CD630_12140 (*spo0A*) expression was severely reduced in 630E.

387

388 **Discussion**

389 Previously, two studies ^{8,9} have attempted to use isogenic mutants defective in the
390 production of either toxin A or toxin B to determine the relative importance of these two
391 virulence factors in CDI using the hamster infection model. However, despite generating
392 essentially equivalent A+B-insertion mutants in ostensibly the same strain of *C. difficile*
393 (630), contradictory outcomes were obtained in terms of the importance of toxin A. Thus, a
394 *tcdB* mutant created in the one study ⁸ producing only TcdA did not cause disease in the
395 hamster, whereas the equivalent Clostron mutant made in our laboratory (Minton group) ⁹
396 remained virulent. The work undertaken here has provided compelling evidence that the
397 reason for the observed conundrum resides in the use of two different erythromycin-
398 sensitive derivatives of strain 630.

399 Here we have shown that both erythromycin-sensitive derivatives, 630E ¹⁴ and 630 Δ *erm*
400 ¹³ carry a significant number of SNPs compared to the published sequence. Moreover, it is
401 clear that whilst the phenotypic properties of 630 Δ *erm* and its mutant derivatives closely
402 resemble that of the parent strain 630, strain 630E and its progeny exhibit substantive
403 differences. Thus, whereas latter strains exhibit reduced growth rates, are less proficient in
404 spore formation and are non-motile, 630 Δ *erm* strains mirror the behaviour of the 630
405 parental strain with respect to these phenotypes. Furthermore, 630E strains produce
406 reduced amounts of toxin and both struggle to colonise hamsters, and once colonised,
407 animals are less likely to succumb to disease. In short, 630E and its derivatives (i.e., 630E A-
408 B+ and 630E A+B- CT) are less virulent than 630 Δ *erm* and its mutant counterparts (i.e.,
409 630 Δ *erm* A+B-).

410 The altered properties of 630E and its derivatives are undoubtedly a consequence of
411 the observed SNPs. However, the substantive number of changes involved makes it difficult

412 to assign any particular SNP to a specific alteration in the observed phenotype, particularly
413 as a combination of mutagenic changes could be responsible. Whilst it is now possible to
414 make precise changes to the genome using allelic exchange methodologies ¹⁵ it is not
415 practically feasible to make all of the sequential rational changes needed to definitively
416 identify the mutation(s) responsible for a particular phenotype. As such, we only corrected
417 three specific SNPs that we reasoned may be making a significant contribution. The
418 outcomes of these experiments only emphasised the difficulty of such an undertaking, and
419 served to highlight the dangers involved in making assumptions. Thus, whilst it seemed
420 reasonable to assume that the DNA inversion within the promoter region of the flagella
421 operon was likely to have caused the observed non-motile phenotype, this surprisingly
422 proved not to be the case. Re-inversion of the 150 bp region failed to restore motility. Clearly
423 other SNPs are at least partly responsible for the observed lack of motility. Singling out any
424 other SNP as the culprit would in the absence of experimental evidence be
425 counterproductive.

426 Equally negative was the observed outcome of correcting the mutation in CD630_12740
427 that results in a truncation of the encoded topoisomerase I enzyme. Given this enzyme
428 controls DNA supercoiling, and given that its mutation in certain bacteria is either a lethal
429 event ²⁵⁻²⁷ and/or is involved in the regulation of virulence factors, ²⁸⁻³⁰ it seemed likely that
430 its presence would result in pleiotropic effects that could have contributed to the observed
431 phenotypic changes. However, its correction, with the exception of a measurable increase
432 in toxin B levels, seemingly had no effects on the behaviour of the strain, at least for those
433 properties measured. The reasons are not clear. In other bacteria, mutations of *topA* are
434 only isolated if compensatory mutations arise elsewhere in the genome. ²⁶ Whether any of

435 the other SNPs present in 630E (eg., the RNA helicase mutation) are negating the effects of
436 the TopA truncation is currently unknown.

437 To understand the differences observed further, we analysed the transcriptome of 630,
438 630 Δ *erm* and 630E, comparing expression at 6 h to 14 h and to 24 h (**Table S3**). The data
439 corroborated the phenotypic analysis, showing vastly different transcriptomes for all three
440 strains. While 630E and 630 Δ *erm* cluster together in the PCA (**Fig. 6**), this only highlights how
441 different the two strains really are from the progenitor. The analysis clearly shows that the
442 three strains are very different from each other and also serves as an explanation as to why
443 the change of a single SNP could not restore any given phenotype. Overall 630E seems the
444 most divergent with many genes differentially expressed involved in metabolism and
445 regulation (**Table S3**). Additionally 32 genes grouped under the descriptor 'cell factor', many
446 of which play a role in energy metabolism, are differentially expressed in 630E, with only six
447 of these being upregulated. In contrast out of 19 genes in 630, 11 are upregulated and, out
448 of 10 in 630 Δ *erm*, six were upregulated. The number of genes downregulated in energy
449 metabolism in 630E might relate to the growth differences seen between the strains.

450 Interestingly 22 genes involved in sporulation are differentially expressed, 21 of these
451 downregulated, in 630E versus one in 630 and two in 630 Δ *erm*. This is consistent with the
452 observed delay in sporulation and reduced amount of spores produced by 630E. Secretion
453 also seems most affected in 630E, with 10 genes differentially expressed, compared to none
454 in 630 and one in 630 Δ *erm*. A general defect in secretion could affect the secretion of certain
455 virulence or adhesion factors. Furthermore, 33 cell wall genes are differentially expressed in
456 630E (compared to 14 each in 630 Δ *erm* and 630). This may also contribute to the observed
457 colonisation deficiencies. Mobile elements are, however, more differentially transcribed in

458 630 and 630 Δ *erm* (15 each) versus 630E (nine). As in many cases different pathways were
459 affected, we propose that this could at least in part explain the different adaptability and
460 virulence of the two strains. In both strains many regulators were differentially affected
461 providing a further basis for the observed phenotypic variation between strains.

462

463 **Conclusion**

464 Our study has established that the parental strains (630E and 630 Δ *erm*) used in the two
465 previous studies, that explored the relative roles of toxin A and toxin B in disease,^{8,9} are
466 phenotypically and genetically distinct. Here we also reveal that the three strains (630,
467 630 Δ *erm* and 630E) have vastly different transcriptomes, which no doubt lead to the
468 different phenotypes observed. This immense diversity also underlines our finding that by
469 restoring just one SNP, the entire transcriptome cannot be changed. The presence of SNPs
470 in strain 630E significantly affects its transcriptome which in turn has a significant impact on
471 growth, sporulation and finally virulence of this strain in the hamster model of infection
472 under the conditions tested. Data (such as motility, toxicity and virulence) obtained with
473 strain 630 Δ *erm* reflects more accurately the behaviour of the parent strain 630. As such, it
474 may be concluded that 630 producing toxin A alone will cause disease in the hamster. As a
475 consequence, toxin A should remain a target for the rational development of effective
476 countermeasures against *C. difficile*.

477 This study has also highlighted a number of issues that need to be borne in mind in the
478 future. At a specific level, if researchers wish to undertake genetic-based studies with strain
479 630, then the use of strain 630 Δ *erm* should be favoured over strain 630E. At a more
480 fundamental level, researchers need to effectively curate their strains to prevent the

481 inadvertent isolation of SNPs. Ideally, master seed banks need to be established as frozen
482 glycerol stocks. Moreover, the genome of the stored strain should be re-sequenced as part
483 of the storage process whenever a strain is received from external sources, regardless of
484 whether it has been re-sequenced in the sending laboratory.

485

486 **Materials and Methods**

487 **Bacterial strains and routine culture conditions**

488 Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in **Table 2**. *E. coli* was cultured
489 aerobically at 37°C with shaking at 200 rpm in LB medium with chloramphenicol
490 supplementation (25 µg/ml) where appropriate. *C. difficile* was cultured in TY (tryptose
491 yeast) medium supplemented with thiamphenicol (15 µg/ml) where appropriate. When
492 needed, *C. difficile* strains were plated on BHIS agar (Brain Heart Infusion agar [Oxoid]
493 supplemented with 5 mg/ml yeast extract [Oxoid] and 0.1% [wt/vol] cysteine [Calbiochem])
494 supplemented with d-cycloserine (250 µg/ml), cefoxitin (8 µg/ml) [Oxoid] (BHIScc).
495 Fluorocytosine selections were carried out on *C. difficile* minimal medium (CDMM) as
496 described previously.¹⁵ All *C. difficile* cultures were incubated at 37°C anaerobically in an
497 anaerobic MACS1000 workstation (Don Whitley, Yorkshire, UK).

498 **Mutant nomenclature**

499 For the sake of simplicity, *C. difficile* strains that carried a *tcdA* insertional mutant were
500 referred to as A-, those carrying a *tcdB* mutant as B-, and those strains carrying a mutation
501 in both genes as A-B-. To avoid any ambiguity, if the gene was not inactivated it was referred
502 to as A+ or B+, as appropriate. Thus, a *tcdA* mutant of 630Δ*erm* constructed using ClosTron
503 technology as described,^{9,16} was designated 630Δ*erm* A-B+. The equivalent mutant in 630E

504 constructed through the insertion of a replication-deficient plasmid, according to the
505 method of O'Connor et al.,¹⁴ was designated 630E A-B+. When Clostron technology was
506 used in 630E, this was clarified by adding a 'CT' suffix, 630E A-B+CT to the strain designation.

507

508 **Whole genome sequencing and bioinformatics**

509 Genomic DNA from strains 630E A+B-, 630E A+B-CT and 630 Δ *erm* A+B- was prepared by
510 phenol:chloroform extraction. 630E A+B-, 630E A+B-CT, 630 Δ *erm*(Δ *pyrE*) were sequenced
511 on Illumina highseq (GATC, Germany) and 630 Δ *erm* A+B- on a Roche 454 (Deepseq,
512 Nottingham, UK) and the data compared to the published genome of 630^{11,19} using CLC
513 genomic workbench. All raw sequencing data have been deposited in the sequence read
514 archive (SRA) under the study name PRJNA304508. The accession number is SRP066836. The
515 sequencing data for 630 Δ *erm* Δ *pyrE* had been obtained previously¹⁹ and with no additional
516 changes, other than the *pyrE* deletion, present compared to 630 Δ *erm*, were used to analyse
517 the parental strain 630 Δ *erm*. We used a frequency of 70% as a cut-off for SNP calling. SNPs,
518 InDels and inversions were confirmed by amplifying a few hundred base pairs up- and
519 downstream of the area of interest (primers are listed in **Table S4**) and the amplicon was
520 Sanger sequenced (Source BioScience, UK). This confirmation was done on all strains
521 including the parental strains (630, 630 Δ *erm*, 630E) and the derivatives (630 Δ *erm* A+B-, 630E
522 A+B-, 630E A+B-CT).

523

524 **Correction of SNPs and reversal of 150-bp region within the flagellar operon**

525 Using the method described by Cartman et al.¹⁵ we "corrected" the two SNPs and an
526 inversion in 630E to the 630 Δ *erm* genotype. A stretch of DNA corresponding to

527 approximately 500 bp either side of the area to be altered was synthesised by Biomatik and
528 cloned into plasmid pMTL-SC7315λ2.3. This vector was transformed by electroporation into
529 *E. coli* CA434 cells³⁶ and subsequently conjugated into 630E. Single crossover colonies were
530 identified as those growing faster on plates containing thiamphenicol. Following overnight
531 incubation on CDMM containing 5-fluorocytosine, colonies were incubated on BHIScc plates
532 with and without thiamphenicol. Those strains that had lost the plasmid (both wildtype and
533 double crossover) were unable to grow on thiamphenicol. SNP corrections were confirmed
534 by PCR (Primers see **Table S4**) and Sanger sequencing (Source BioScience, UK).

535

536 **Clostron mutagenesis**

537 A *tcdB* mutant was generated in the 630E background according to the published
538 method,¹⁶ using the same plasmid that was used to generate the equivalent mutant in
539 630Δ*erm*.⁹ This newly created strain was referred to as 630E A+B- CT.

540

541 **Southern and Western blot**

542 The Southern and Western blot were performed as described in Kuehne et al., 2010.⁹

543

544 ***In vivo* testing of mutants**

545 *In vivo* testing was carried out in Syrian Golden hamsters (Charles River, Germany) as
546 previously described.⁹ Briefly, clindamycin was administered orally on day -five to render
547 the animals susceptible to infection. On day zero, 10,000 spores were administered orally.
548 Animals were assessed for signs of CDI (weight loss, wet tail, lethargy, lack of response to
549 stimulus) six times a day for the first five days, and once daily for the following 14 days. At

550 this point animals that failed to display signs of CDI were euthanised. Faecal pellets were
551 collected daily from day zero to endpoint, homogenised and plated on *C. difficile* fructose
552 agar (CDFA). *C. difficile* colonies were sub-cultured onto BHIS agar and the genotype was
553 established by PCR (primers in **Table S4**) followed by Sanger sequencing (results in **Table S2**).
554 At the experimental endpoint, part of the caecum of each animal was collected. This was
555 also used to plate on CDFA to verify colonisation.

556

557 ***In vitro* testing of mutants**

558 **Growth curves:** To assess the effects of SNPs and “corrected” SNPs/inversion on the growth
559 characteristics of all strains, we performed growth curve experiments over 24 h. A 180 µL
560 volume of TY medium was inoculated with 20 µL of an overnight culture in 96-well plates
561 and incubated for 24 h in a GloMax-Multi Microplate Multimode Reader (Promega, USA).
562 Samples were shaken every h and OD₆₀₀ measurements were taken immediately after.

563 **Motility assays:** 2xYTG (tryptone (1.6%), yeast (1%), NaCl (0.4%), Gelzan (0.24%)[Sigma-
564 Aldrich] and glucose (0.5%)) agar was utilised. 25 mL were poured into each petri dish and
565 let to solidify at room temperature for 15 min. The plates were then dried at 37°C for 30
566 min. The plates were placed into the anaerobic cabinet 24 h before use. 2 µL volumes of
567 overnight culture were ‘dropped’ onto each plate. Plates were incubated anaerobically for
568 48 h. Motility was assessed by eye and the plates photographed.

569 **Sporulation and germination assays:** Sporulation and germination assays were carried out
570 as previously described.^{17, 18} Briefly, for the sporulation assay cultures were grown for five
571 days, with 2 X 500 µl samples taken at 0, 24, 48, 72, 96 and 120 h. One sample from each
572 time point was heated to 65°C for 30 min while the other sample was kept at room

573 temperature. After this time, samples were serially diluted from 10^0 to 10^{-7} in PBS. 3 X 20 μ l
574 of each dilution was spotted onto BHIS plates containing 0.1% taurocholic acid and were
575 incubated for 24 h. The following day, colonies were counted and CFU/mL were calculated.
576 A 630 Δ *erm spo0A::CT* mutant strain (containing a Clostron insertion in the *spo0A* gene³⁷),
577 which is unable to form spores, was used as a negative control.

578 Germination was measured as a function of the ability of a germinated spore to outgrow
579 in the absence of taurocholate. Spore stocks were prepared as previously described by Heeg
580 *et al.*, 2012³⁸ and stored at -20°C. The optical density of spore suspensions (OD₆₀₀) was
581 adjusted to 1.0 and 450 μ l was used per measurement. This equated to approximately 2.5 X
582 10^7 spores. Spore suspensions were heat treated at 60°C for 25 min to kill any remaining
583 vegetative cells and then centrifuged and resuspended in BHIS with the germinant
584 taurocholic acid (0.1%) in a total volume of 20 ml. Samples were taken at 5, 10, 20, 30, 60,
585 90, 120, 180 and 240 min, briefly centrifuged, washed and resuspended in phosphate-
586 buffered saline (PBS), the samples were then diluted and plated on plain BHIS agar. Plates
587 were incubated for 24 h before the CFUs were enumerated. Colonies that grew on these
588 plates were considered to be germinated vegetative bacteria.

589

590 **Toxin A/B ELISA:** ELISA assays were performed using the *C. DIFFICILE TOX A/B II*[™] kit from
591 TechLab and the TGC-E002-1-separate detection of *C. difficile* toxins A and B kit from
592 TGCbiomics according to the manufacturers' instructions. Cultures of *C. difficile* were grown
593 in TY medium without glucose for 72 h, at which time 1 ml samples were taken, centrifuged
594 and the supernatant filter-sterilised and used for the ELISAs. A 1:2 dilution was used for the
595 toxin B ELISA kit from TGCbiomics. To quantify toxins a standard curve with pure toxin (the

596 native antigen company) was established for the TechLab ELISA and also Toxin A ELISA from
597 TGCbiomics.

598

599 **RNAseq:** Total RNA was extracted from 2 independent biological replicates of 630, 630 Δ erm
600 and 630E strains at 3 time points (18 samples). Bacteria were grown in TY broth medium
601 after 6, 14 and 24 h as previously described.³⁵ The mRNA was treated with MicroExpress
602 kit (Ambion). For oriented RNA-seq library construction, the Truseq stranded RNA seq
603 Illumina kit was used according to manufacturer's instructions before sequencing using the
604 Illumina HiSeq 2500 machine. Sequencing reads were mapped using Bowtie³⁹ to the
605 reannotated 630 reference genome⁴⁰ complemented with the known ncRNA.⁴¹ Statistical
606 analyses were performed on each strand coverage count with DESeq2⁴² using the 6 h value
607 as a reference for reporting the expression data of 14 and 24 h. A gene was considered
608 differentially expressed when the fold change was > 2 and the *P* value was < 0.05.

609 The RNA-seq data discussed in this publication have been deposited in NCBI's Gene
610 Expression Omnibus database under the accession no. GSE72006

611 RNA-seq coverage visualization is available through the COV2HTML software:⁴³

630	14H - 6H	http://mmonot.eu/COV2HTML/visualisation.php?str_id=-20
	24H - 6H	http://mmonot.eu/COV2HTML/visualisation.php?str_id=-22
630 Δ erm	14H - 6H	http://mmonot.eu/COV2HTML/visualisation.php?str_id=-24
	24H - 6H	http://mmonot.eu/COV2HTML/visualisation.php?str_id=-26
630E	14H - 6H	http://mmonot.eu/COV2HTML/visualisation.php?str_id=-28
	24H - 6H	http://mmonot.eu/COV2HTML/visualisation.php?str_id=-30

612

613 **Graphs and statistical analyses**

614 All graphs were generated and statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad
615 PRISM 6.02. Statistical analysis comprised either 2-way ANOVA for multiple comparisons or
616 unpaired t test for pairwise comparisons. All experiments were carried out in triplicate
617 unless stated otherwise.

618

619 **Ethics statement**

620 This work was reviewed and approved locally by the Animal Welfare and Ethical Review
621 Body (formerly the Ethical Review Committee) at the University of Nottingham and
622 performed under a project licence (PPL 40/3590) granted under the Animal (Scientific
623 Procedures) Act, 1986, by the UK Home Office. The work was performed in accordance with
624 the NC3R^s ARRIVE guidelines. ⁴⁴

625

626 **Acknowledgement**

627 We would like to thank Haitham Hussain for providing *C. difficile* 630 Δ erm, Dena Lyras for
628 providing *C. difficile* 630E and toxin mutants and Rory Cave for helping with PCRs and Sanger
629 sequencing. We would also like to acknowledge Sean Roberts who was involved in creating
630 the 630E A+B- CT strain. This work was supported by the UK Medical Research Council (grant
631 number G0601176) and a Merck Investigator Studies Program award (grant number
632 MISP#39245). The opinions expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not
633 necessarily represent those of Merck Sharp & Dohme Limited or the Medical Research
634 Council (UK).

635

636 **References**

637 1. Govind R, Dupuy B. Secretion of *Clostridium difficile* toxins A and B requires the holin-
638 like protein TcdE. PLoS Pathogens 2012; 8:e1002727.

- 639 2. Jank T, Giesemann T, Aktories K. Rho-glucosylating *Clostridium difficile* toxins A and
640 B: new insights into structure and function. *Glycobiology* 2007; 17:15R-22R.
- 641 3. Sun X, Savidge T, Feng H. The enterotoxicity of *Clostridium difficile* toxins. *Toxins*
642 (Basel) 2010; 2:1848-80.
- 643 4. Lyerly DM, Krivan HC, Wilkins TD. *Clostridium difficile*: its disease and toxins. *Clinical*
644 *Microbiology Reviews* 1988; 1:1-18.
- 645 5. Lyerly DM, Barroso LA, Wilkins TD, Depitre C, Corthier G. Characterization of a toxin
646 A-negative, toxin B-positive strain of *Clostridium difficile*. *Infection Immunity* 1992; 60:4633-
647 9.
- 648 6. Kim J, Pai H, Seo MR, Kang JO. Clinical and microbiologic characteristics of tcdA-
649 negative variant *Clostridium difficile* infections. *BMC Infectious Diseases* 2012; 12:109.
- 650 7. Chaves-Olarte E, Low P, Freer E, Norlin T, Weidmann M, von Eichel-Streiber C,
651 Thelestam M. A novel cytotoxin from *Clostridium difficile* serogroup F is a functional hybrid
652 between two other large clostridial cytotoxins. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 1999;
653 274:11046-52.
- 654 8. Lyras D, O'Connor JR, Howarth PM, Sambol SP, Carter GP, Phumoonna T, Poon R,
655 Adams V, Vedantam G, Johnson S, et al. Toxin B is essential for virulence of *Clostridium*
656 *difficile*. *Nature* 2009; 458:1176-9.
- 657 9. Kuehne SA, Cartman ST, Heap JT, Kelly ML, Cockayne A, Minton NP. The role of toxin
658 A and toxin B in *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Nature* 2010; 467:711-3.
- 659 10. Monot M, Eckert C, Lemire A, Hamiot A, Dubois T, Tessier C, Dumoulaud B, Hamel B,
660 Petit A, Lalande V, et al. *Clostridium difficile*: New Insights into the Evolution of the
661 Pathogenicity Locus. *Science Reports* 2015; 5:15023.
- 662 11. Kuehne SA, Cartman ST, Minton NP. Both, toxin A and toxin B, are important in
663 *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Gut Microbes* 2011; 2:252-5.
- 664 12. Sebahia M, Wren BW, Mullany P, Fairweather NF, Minton N, Stabler R, Thomson NR,
665 Roberts AP, Cerdano-Tarraga AM, Wang H, et al. The multidrug-resistant human pathogen
666 *Clostridium difficile* has a highly mobile, mosaic genome. *Nature Genetics* 2006; 38:779-86.
- 667 13. Hussain HA, Roberts AP, Mullany P. Generation of an erythromycin-sensitive
668 derivative of *Clostridium difficile* strain 630 (630Deltaerm) and demonstration that the
669 conjugative transposon Tn916DeltaE enters the genome of this strain at multiple sites.
670 *Journal of Medical Microbiology* 2005; 54:137-41.
- 671 14. O'Connor JR, Lyras D, Farrow KA, Adams V, Powell DR, Hinds J, Cheung JK, Rood JJ.
672 Construction and analysis of chromosomal *Clostridium difficile* mutants. *Molecular*
673 *Microbiology* 2006; 61:1335-51.
- 674 15. Cartman ST, Kelly ML, Heeg D, Heap JT, Minton NP. Precise manipulation of the
675 *Clostridium difficile* chromosome reveals a lack of association between the *tcdC* genotype
676 and toxin production. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2012; 78:4683-90.
- 677 16. Heap JT, Kuehne SA, Ehsaan M, Cartman ST, Cooksley CM, Scott JC, Minton NP. The
678 CloStron: Mutagenesis in *Clostridium* refined and streamlined. *Journal of Microbiological*
679 *Methods* 2010; 80:49-55.
- 680 17. Burns DA, Heap JT, Minton NP. SleC is essential for germination of *Clostridium difficile*
681 spores in nutrient-rich medium supplemented with the bile salt taurocholate. *Journal of*
682 *Bacteriology* 2010; 192:657-64.
- 683 18. Burns DA, Heeg D, Cartman ST, Minton NP. Reconsidering the sporulation
684 characteristics of hypervirulent *Clostridium difficile* BI/NAP1/027. *PLoS One* 2011; 6:e24894.

- 685 19. Ng YK, Ehsaan M, Philip S, Collery MM, Janoir C, Collignon A, Cartman ST, Minton NP.
686 Expanding the repertoire of gene tools for precise manipulation of the *Clostridium difficile*
687 genome: allelic exchange using *pyrE* alleles. PLoS One 2013; 8:e56051.
- 688 20. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature
689 Methods 2012; 9:357-9.
- 690 21. Milne I, Stephen G, Bayer M, Cock PJ, Pritchard L, Cardle L, Shaw PD, Marshall D.
691 Using Tablet for visual exploration of second-generation sequencing data. Brief Bioinform
692 2013; 14:193-202.
- 693 22. Riedel T, Bunk B, Thurmer A, Sproer C, Brzuszkiewicz E, Abt B, Gronow S, Liesegang
694 H, Daniel R, Overmann J. Genome Resequencing of the Virulent and Multidrug-Resistant
695 Reference Strain *Clostridium difficile* 630. Genome Announcements 2015; 3.
- 696 23. van Eijk E, Anvar SY, Browne HP, Leung WY, Frank J, Schmitz AM, Roberts AP, Smits
697 WK. Complete genome sequence of the *Clostridium difficile* laboratory strain 630Deltaerm
698 reveals differences from strain 630, including translocation of the mobile element CTn5.
699 BMC Genomics 2015; 16:31.
- 700 24. Rosenbusch KE, Bakker D, Kuijper EJ, Smits WK. *C. difficile* 630Deltaerm Spo0A
701 regulates sporulation, but does not contribute to toxin production, by direct high-affinity
702 binding to target DNA. PLoS One 2012; 7:e48608.
- 703 25. Ahmed W, Menon S, Godbole AA, Karthik PV, Nagaraja V. Conditional silencing of
704 topoisomerase I gene of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* validates its essentiality for cell
705 survival. FEMS Microbiology Letters 2014; 353:116-23.
- 706 26. Stupina VA, Wang JC. Viability of *Escherichia coli topA* mutants lacking DNA
707 topoisomerase I. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 2005; 280:355-60.
- 708 27. Suerbaum S, Brauer-Steppkes T, Labigne A, Cameron B, Drlica K. Topoisomerase I of
709 *Helicobacter pylori*: juxtaposition with a flagellin gene (*flaB*) and functional requirement of
710 a fourth zinc finger motif. Gene 1998; 210:151-61.
- 711 28. Tessier MC, Graveline R, Crost C, Desabrais JA, Martin C, Drolet M, Harel J. Effects of
712 DNA supercoiling and topoisomerases on the expression of genes coding for F165(1), a P-
713 like fimbriae. FEMS Microbiology Letters 2007; 277:28-36.
- 714 29. Ni Bhriain N, Dorman CJ. Isolation and characterization of a *topA* mutant of *Shigella*
715 *flexneri*. Molecular Microbiology 1993; 7:351-8.
- 716 30. Darwin AJ, Miller VL. Identification of *Yersinia enterocolitica* genes affecting survival
717 in an animal host using signature-tagged transposon mutagenesis. Molecular Microbiology
718 1999; 32:51-62.
- 719 31. Galan JE, Curtiss R, 3rd. Expression of *Salmonella typhimurium* genes required for
720 invasion is regulated by changes in DNA supercoiling. Infection and Immunity 1990; 58:1879-
721 85.
- 722 32. Aubry A, Hussack G, Chen W, KuoLee R, Twine SM, Fulton KM, Foote S, Carrillo CD,
723 Tanha J, Logan SM. Modulation of toxin production by the flagellar regulon in *Clostridium*
724 *difficile*. Infection and Immunity 2012.
- 725 33. Baban ST, Kuehne SA, Barketi-Klai A, Cartman ST, Kelly ML, Hardie KR, Kansau I,
726 Collignon A, Minton NP. The Role of Flagella in *Clostridium difficile* Pathogenesis:
727 Comparison between a Non-Epidemic and an Epidemic Strain. PLoS One 2013; 8:e73026.
- 728 34. Antunes A, Martin-Verstraete I, Dupuy B. CcpA-mediated repression of *Clostridium*
729 *difficile* toxin gene expression. Molecular Microbiology 2011; 79:882-99.

730 35. Antunes A, Camiade E, Monot M, Courtois E, Barbut F, Sernova NV, Rodionov DA,
731 Martin-Verstraete I, Dupuy B. Global transcriptional control by glucose and carbon regulator
732 CcpA in *Clostridium difficile*. Nucleic Acids Research 2012; 40:10701-18.

733 36. Williams DR, Young DI, Young M. Conjugative plasmid transfer from *Escherichia coli*
734 to *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. Journal of General Microbiology 1990; 136:819-26.

735 37. Heap JT, Pennington OJ, Cartman ST, Carter GP, Minton NP. The ClosTron: a universal
736 gene knock-out system for the genus *Clostridium*. Journal of Microbiological Methods 2007;
737 70:452-64.

738 38. Heeg D, Burns DA, Cartman ST, Minton NP. Spores of *Clostridium difficile* clinical
739 isolates display a diverse germination response to bile salts. PLoS One 2012; 7:e32381.

740 39. Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. Ultrafast and memory-efficient
741 alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biology 2009; 10:R25.

742 40. Monot M, Boursaux-Eude C, Thibonnier M, Vallenet D, Moszer I, Medigue C, Martin-
743 Verstraete I, Dupuy B. Reannotation of the genome sequence of *Clostridium difficile* strain
744 630. Journal of Medical Microbiology 2011; 60:1193-9.

745 41. Soutourina OA, Monot M, Boudry P, Saujet L, Pichon C, Sismeiro O, Semenova E,
746 Severinov K, Le Bouguenec C, Coppee JY, et al. Genome-wide identification of regulatory
747 RNAs in the human pathogen *Clostridium difficile*. PLoS Genetics 2013; 9:e1003493.

748 42. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion
749 for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biology 2014; 15:550.

750 43. Monot M, Orgeur M, Camiade E, Brehier C, Dupuy B. COV2HTML: a visualization and
751 analysis tool of bacterial next generation sequencing (NGS) data for postgenomics life
752 scientists. Omics 2014; 18:184-95.

753 44. Kilkeny C, Browne WJ, Cuthill IC, Emerson M, Altman DG. Improving bioscience
754 research reporting: The ARRIVE guidelines for reporting animal research. Journal of
755 Pharmacology and Pharmacotherapy 2010; 1:94-9.

756
757
758

759 **Figure legends**

760

761 **Figure 1.** Growth curves of strain 630 and its derivatives. A. 630 (630), 630 Δ *erm* and 630E were
762 grown in TY-broth for 24 h in a 96 well plate reader. The optical density at 600 nm was measured
763 every 30 min. B. This graph shows the same growth as A. and in addition the growth of derivatives
764 630 Δ *erm* A+B-, 630E A+B- and 630E A+B- CT.

765

766 **Figure 2.** Motility assays. The assay was carried out by inoculating overnight cultures onto motility
767 agar plates and incubating anaerobically for 48 h. Strains 630, 630 Δ *erm* and 630E were compared for
768 their ability to swarm.

769

770 **Figure 3.** Sporulation and Germination. A. Sporulation over 120 h comparing heat treated CFUs of
771 strains 630, 630 Δ *erm*, 630 Δ *erm* A+B-, 630E, 630E A+B- and 630E A+B- CT with a non-sporulating
772 control (*spo0A*). B. The extent of germination of the indicated strains was measured over 250 min as
773 the ability of germinated spores to form colonies on plates lacking taurocholate.

774

775 **Figure 4.** Toxin ELISAs. A. The *C. DIFFICILE TOX A/B II*TM ELISA assay kit from TechLab was used to
776 measure combined toxin A and B in strains 630, 630 Δ *erm*, 630 Δ *erm* A+B-, 630E, 630E A+B-, 630E
777 A+B- CT, 630E_*topA*, 630E_*flgB* and 630E_CD2667 grown in TY for 72 h. B. and C. Toxin ELISAs
778 TGCbiomics, measuring the toxins separately were used to quantify toxin A (B) and toxin B (C)
779 produced by strains 630, 630 Δ *erm*, 630 Δ *erm* A+B-, 630E, 630E A+B- and 630E A+B- CT grown in TY
780 for 72 h. Statistics were performed using one-way ANOVA with Fisher's LSD test.

781

782 **Figure 5.** Infection to endpoint in the Hamster infection model. Groups of Golden Syrian Hamsters
783 were challenged with *C. difficile* 630E (5 hamsters), 630E A+B- (11 hamsters) and 630E A+B- CT (6
784 hamsters). The graph represents the time from inoculation to endpoint. The maximal duration of the
785 experiment was set to 20 days. Animals represented in open symbols, have not been colonized
786 despite challenge or lost colonization before day 20. Details can be seen in **Table 1**. The dotted line
787 separates this experiment from data obtained by Kuehne et al. ⁹, which are represented here as a
788 comparator. In that study 8 hamsters were infected with *C. difficile* 630 Δ *erm* and another 8 hamsters
789 with 630 Δ *erm* A+B-.

790

791 **Figure 6.** Principal Component Analysis (PCA). The Principal Component Analysis (PCA) visualizes the
792 variance of the data in a single graph. The axis represent the two largest variances of the data; PC1
793 accounts for 42% and PC2 accounts for 21%, that means that 63% of the total variance of the dataset
794 is explained in this graph. The third component accounts for less than 10% and further components
795 have a value that falls rapidly. The PCA represents the RNAseq data (at three different time points,
796 six, 14 and 24 h) in duplicate for 630 (blue), 630 Δ erm (green) and 630E (orange). The different time
797 points are represented as dots in the different shades of the respective colour as indicated in the
798 colour legend.

799

800 **Figure 7.** Venn Diagram representing differentially expressed genes in the three different strains. The
801 diagram summarises the output from the RNAseq data, comparing strains 630, 630 Δ erm and 630E.
802 It depicts all differentially expressed genes and shows how many genes are differentially expressed
803 in all strains, in two of the strains or are unique to just one strain.

804

Table 1. Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) and other changes found after re-sequencing.

Gene	Description	Position	630 ¹²	630 ²²	630	C	F	630 Δ erm	C	F	630E	C	F	AA
630E														
CD630_07610	Putative ATP-dependent RNA helicase	933139	G	-	-			-			T	199	100	Asp136Tyr
CD630_14040	Putative oligopeptide transporter	1626977	A	-	-			-			G	187	100	Glu536Gly
CD630_20270	N-carbamoyl-L-amino acid hydrolase	2339506	G	-	-			-			A	73	100	Gly373Glu
CD630_26670	PTSG-BC	3079815	A	-	-			-			C	165	100	*524Glu
CD630_26270	Hypothetical protein	3034953	C	A	A			A			-	156	100	Gly68Cys
CD630_33790	conjugative transposon protein	3951559	C	-	-			-			A	307	100	Glu63Asp
CD630_12740	<i>topA</i>	1480649	C	-	-			-			T	146	100	Gln386*
CD630_29430	Putative phage replication protein	3422569	T	-	-			-			C	195	100	Asn210Asp
IG	Intergenic region	309208	-	-	-			-			INV	129	41	
IG	Intergenic region	3528736	G	-	-			-			T	94	100	
630Δerm														
CD630_19070	<i>eutG</i>	2209236	G	-	-			A	127	97				Gly252Glu
CD630_35650	GntR family transcriptional regulator	4166495	G	-	-			A	182	100	-			Ala91Val
IG	Intergenic region	2937176	C	-	-			A	173	100	-			
IG	Intergenic region	3005866	T	-	-			G	156	100	-			
IG	Intergenic region	3591103	G	-	-			A	211	100	-			
CD630_12140	<i>spo0A</i>	1413057	-	-	-			AGAATGTA GGAAATAT AG	112	40	-			Insertion
CD630_08260	Ferric uptake regulator	1000995	A	-	-	100	100	G	153	100	-	170	98	Thr41Ala
630														
CD630_32450	<i>prdR</i>	3797112	C	-	T	117	100	-			-			Glu261Lys

CD630_02050	Transcription antiterminator, PTS operon regulator	268934	G	-	T	123	97	-			-				Gly165Cys
630 and 630Δerm															
CD630_2667	PTSG-BC	3080703	C	-	T	75	100	T	141	100	-				Val228Ile
IG	Intergenic region	2203033	A	-	T	105	100	T	174	99					
IG	Intergenic region	4007463			C	10	100	C	62	100					
630 and 630Δerm and 630E															
CD630_11900	acyl-CoA N-acyltransferase	1391850	T	-	C	118	100	C	133	100	C	143	100		Phe133Leu
CD630_13880	pseudo	1607453	INS ¹	-	T	119	94	T	175	94	T	124	88		Thr16fs
Mistake in original sequence															
CD630_17670	<i>gapB</i>	2044514	C	G	G			G			G				
CD630_31561	pseudo	3686535	INS ¹	A	A			A			A				

The table shows the Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) changes in 630 Δ erm and 630E compared to the reference 630¹² and also the new annotation by Riedel *et al* (The column 'Gene' represents the gene (or intergenic region (IG)) in which the change occurs, the column 'position' indicates the exact nucleotide position of the change.).²² It also contains SNP frequency (F) and genomic coverage (C) as well as the resultant amino acid change (AA). No change from the original 630 annotation¹² is represented by a dash (-).

Table 2. Strains and plasmids used in this study.

Name	Description	Source
Bacterial strains		
<i>E. coli</i> TOP 10	F– <i>mcrA</i> Δ (<i>mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC</i>) Φ 80 <i>lacZ</i> Δ M15 Δ <i>lacX74 recA1 araD139 Δ (<i>ara leu</i>) 7697 <i>galU galK rpsL</i> (Str^R) <i>endA1 nupG</i></i>	Invitrogen
<i>E. coli</i> CA434	Conjugation donor	Williams et al. ³⁶
<i>C. difficile</i> 630	Wild-type	Brendan Wren
<i>C. difficile</i> 630 Δ <i>erm</i>	Erythromycin sensitive strain of <i>C. difficile</i> 630	Hussain et al. ¹³
<i>C. difficile</i> 630 Δ <i>erm</i> (Δ <i>pyrE</i>)	<i>C. difficile</i> 630 Δ <i>erm</i> containing a deletion in the <i>pyrE</i> gene	Ng et al. ¹⁹
<i>C. difficile</i> 630E	Erythromycin sensitive strain of <i>C. difficile</i> 630	Lyras et al. ⁸
<i>C. difficile</i> 630 Δ <i>erm</i> A+B-	<i>C. difficile</i> 630 Δ <i>erm tcdB</i> -1511a::intron <i>ermB</i>	Kuehne et al. ⁹
<i>C. difficile</i> 630E A+B-	<i>C. difficile</i> 630E	Lyras et al. ⁸
<i>C. difficile</i> 630E A+B- CT	<i>C. difficile</i> 630E <i>tcdB</i> -1511a:: intron <i>ermB</i>	This study
<i>C. difficile</i> 630E_ <i>topA</i>	<i>C. difficile</i> 630E	This study
<i>C. difficile</i> 630E_ <i>flgB</i>	<i>C. difficile</i> 630E	This study
<i>C. difficile</i> 630E_CD2667	<i>C. difficile</i> 630E	This study
Plasmids		
pMTL007C-E2: <i>tcdB</i> -1511a	ClosTron plasmid containing retargeted region to <i>tcdB</i> at IS 1511 (antisense oriented) for <i>C. difficile</i> 630 Δ <i>erm</i> or 630E	Kuehne et al. ⁹

pMTL- SC7315λ2.3:: <i>topA</i>	pMTL-SC7315λ2.3 containing 1,000 bp homology arms to change nucleotide 1480649 from T to C in 630E	This study, based on Cartman et al. ¹⁵
pMTL- SC7315λ2.3:: <i>flgB</i>	pMTL-SC7315λ2.3 containing 1,156 bp homology arms to reverse the inversion upstream of <i>flgB</i> in 630E	This study, based on Cartman et al. ¹⁵
pMTL- SC7315λ2.3::CD2667	pMTL-SC7315λ2.3 containing 1,000 bp homology arms to change nucleotide 3079815 from C to A in 630E	This study, based on Cartman et al. ¹⁵

Supporting Information

Figure S1. Southern and Western blot of 630E A+B- CT. A. Southern blot showing the single ClosTron insertion to create 630E A+B- CT (mutant 1, 2 and 3). The ClosTron plasmid pMTL007C-E2:*tcdB*-1511a was used as a positive control and the parental strain 630E as a negative control. The probe used binds to the intron insertion. B. Western blot using an anti-TcdA antibody to detect production of toxin A in the supernatant of 630, 630 Δ *erm*, 630 Δ *erm* A+B-, 630E, 630E A+B- and 630E A+B- CT after 96 h.

Table S1. Genomic and transcriptomic differences between 630, 630 Δ *erm* and 630E.

SNPs, insertions (INS) and inversion (INV) are shown in this table as well as the corresponding RNAseq coverage. Changes between 630, 630 Δ *erm* and 630E are represented compared to the published genome of 630, the new 630 genome by Riedel *et al.*²² and also the newly published genome of 630 Δ *erm*²³.

See attached excel file: S1_Table

Table S2. Colonisation of hamsters with *C. difficile* strains.

Colonisation of hamsters with 630E, 630E A+B- and 630E A+B- CT was confirmed by plating faecal and caecal samples on CDFA and by extraction genomic DNA and PCR of the toxin A and B genes.

Strain given	Colonisation	630 PCR	<i>tcdA</i>	<i>tcdB</i>	Succumbed to CDI
630E		Caecum	+	+	
630E	Colonised to Day 18	Faecal	+	+	
630E	Colonised to Day 15	Faecal	+	+	
630E		Caecum	+	+	CDI
630E		Caecum	+	+	CDI
630EA+B-		Caecum	+	-	CDI
630EA+B-	Not colonised	Faecal	-	-	
630EA+B-	Not colonised	Faecal	-	-	
630EA+B-	Not colonised	Faecal	-	-	
630EA+B-	Not colonised	Faecal	-	-	

630EA+B-		Caecum	+	-	
630EA+B-		Caecum	+	-	CDI
630EA+B-		Caecum	+	-	
630EA+B-	Colonised to Day 3	Faecal	+	-	
630EA+B-		Caecum	+	-	
630EA+B-		Caecum	+	-	
630E A+B- CT		Caecum	+	CT	CDI
630E A+B- CT		Caecum	+	CT	CDI
630E A+B- CT	Colonised to Day 15	Faecal	+	CT	
630E A+B- CT	Colonised to Day 18	Faecal	+	CT	
630E A+B- CT		Caecum	+	CT	
630E A+B- CT		Caecum	+	CT	

Table S3. Transcriptomic differences between 630, 630Δerm and 630E.

Differentially expressed (DE) genes, comparing RNAseq data from 6 h to 14 h and 24 h in 630, 630Δerm and 630E. The genes are classed into cell factor, cell growth, cell wall, fermentation, membrane transport, amino acid metabolism, carbon metabolism, cofactor metabolism, lipid metabolism, nucleic acid metabolism, mobile elements, motility, operons, regulation, anaerobic respiration, secretion, sporulation, stress, translation, unknown and virulence factors. Downregulated genes are coloured in green and upregulated genes in red.

See attached excel file: S3_Table

Table S4. Primers used in this study.

Primer name	Sequence (5'-3')	Explanation or SNP target (where applicable)
topAMC1	GATGCACAACAGGCAAGAAGAGTGC	To screen for chromosomal change
topAMC2b	CCCGATTGTAAAACAACACTAGACCAATTATG	To screen for chromosomal change
flgBMC1b	CTATCAAATACAGATGGAAGTTGTGGTG	To screen for chromosomal change
flgBMC2c	CGAGCATATGATTCTAACGTAGATACATTGAATG	To screen for chromosomal change

CD2667MC1	GTCACCTTATGAGTGAAGTTTGTAAATAAATGTGG	To screen for chromosomal change
CD2667MC2b	GCAAGAGCTGCTGCTGGAAGAC	To screen for chromosomal change
JRP3441 ⁸	GTTACCAGGAATACAACCAGAC	To test for interrupted <i>tcdB</i>
JRP2839 ⁸	CGGCCAGCCTCGCAGAGCAG	To test for interrupted <i>tcdB</i>
JRP3442 ⁸	GCACTTGCTTGATCAAAGCTCC	<i>toxA</i> specific PCR, positive for all strains (630E, 630E A+B-, 630E A+B-CT)
JRP2342 ⁸	CCGGAATTCGCTCTATTGGACTAGACCGTTG	<i>toxA</i> specific PCR, positive for all strains (630E, 630E A+B-, 630E A+B-CT)
Cdi-tcdB-F1 ⁹	TGATAGTATAATGGCTGAAGCTAATGCAGATAATGG	To test for <i>tcdB</i> ClosTron insertion
Cdi-tcdB-R1 ⁹	CTTGCATCGTCAAATGACC ATAAGCTAGCC	To test for <i>tcdB</i> ClosTron insertion
268934_F	TGTCAAGTGAATTAGAAAAGAAACCA	268934
268934_R	AAGTGAGCCGTGTTTTGAAAA	268934
309208_F	GCCAGTTGCCAAAAGAGTC	309208
309208_R	GGCATAGCATCATTTAGTGTTC	309208
MCSNP11	CGGGAAAAACAGCTGCTTTTAGTATCCC	933139
MCSNP12	CCTCTTGCTTGAAATCTCCTACCAATTC	933139
10000995_F	GATGAAGAAGTTGTTTGGCAAT	1000995
10000995_R	CCTACTTGCTACACCTTTTACA	1000995
1391850_F	TCTGTCATTTGGAAAGGATGAA	1391850
1391850_R	TCTGTAAGTCTTTTGTATATACTTGG	1391850
Spo0A_F1	GGCATAGCTAAGGATGGAATTG	1413057
Spo0A_R1	GGAGTAGAGGAAAAGTTGACACAA	1413057
1480649_F	GCTTCAACAAGAAGGAGCAA	1480649
1480649_R	TGCTGGTGGTTGTGAAAATG	1480649
1607453_F	TTGAAGGTGTAACTCAGTTGTAGG	1607453
1607453_R	TCCAAATAAAAGTCTATGAAAATGAA	1607453
1626977_F	TGGTGGTAGCAAAAACGAAA	1626977
1626977_R	TGCCATTGAATTTGTTGCAG	1626977
2044514_F	CATACTAAATGAGGGGTAAAATAAAGA	2044514
2044514_R	TTTTTCTGCCTTTTCTCTTTGTG	2044514
MCSNP1	GTTCTGTAATACCTTTTCTTTAGCTATTTTAATTGC	2203033
MCSNP2	GTTACCGATATTATAGGCAAACTGCCC	2203033
2209236_F	CCATTAGTGAGTGATGATTTACTTCC	2209236
2209236_R	GCAAGTTTTGCTATTTCTTTCTT	2209236
2320410_F	GCTACTGGTAGGAATTAATCTAACG	2320410
2320410_R	TGCTTTCACAAATGCTTTCCG	2320410
MCSNP13	GAAAGATGAATTTCTATCCATCTTCATCAAATGTGG	2339506
MCSNP14	GGGGCTGTTGACCTTGACCC	2339506

MCSNP3	CCTTTGATGTCTAGTTAATTTCTTCACTTATTTAAGC	2937176
MCSNP4	GGAAAACCAGCAAAGCTTGATTATGATTCCC	2937176
3005866_F	AATATAATTCCCAACCTTCCAAA	3005866
3005866_R	TTTGTTTGAAGATTAGTGGTGATTG	3005866
MCSNP5	GTATATTTTTCTCTAGCTTATCTCCATCAGGG	3034953
MCSNP6	GGAAAGGATAAACCAGGTATAGTGGC	3034953
3079815_F	CCCGCTTTACTTCATCTCC	3079815
3079815_R	GCATCAGAGATTTTGATTGCTTT	3079815
MCSNP7	CCTGCTACAAATTTTTCTTTCTGGC	3080703
MCSNP8	CTGCTTATCTTTATAAAAAGTTTTATAAAATTGAATTACCTC	3080703
MCSNP15	CTTCATATTGAGTGAAAGTCTGATTGAAGTTAGC	3422569
MCSNP16	CTCAACCGTGTGCCGTTTTCCCG	3422569
3526888_F	CTCTTTCCTGCATTCCCAAG	3526888
3526888_R	TTGTTGAGCAGATATAAAATCCCA	3526888
MCSNP17	CAATCTATTCAAAGATAAACTATAGTACTTCTTCTAC	3528736
MCSNP18	CCTACTCCTTTAGGTGTGAGATGG	3528736
3591103_F	GGCACTAGCTGCTCCTAATAAA	3591103
3591103_R	CCATATACCCCTATCCCTCCTT	3591103
3686535_F	TCTTCCAAGCTTTACCTGTTTG	3686535
3686535_R	GCTCTGTCCAGTTAATTG	3686535
3797112_F	TGCTCCTGTAAATGCACCTG	3797112
3797112_R	CTGTAAAATACAAGTCACTCATTCCAA	3797112
MCSNP19	CCGTTCCAGACTGTTCAATGCTCC	3951559
MCSNP20	CCTAAGTAGTAGTTACTGGCAACAGCAC	3951559
MCSNP9	GCACCCTTAATAACTTGACCAGTTAAAAAGG	4007463
MCSNP10	CGCCCGAAGCCGATTATCTAACC	4007463
4166495_F	GCATCAAGTAAGTATTTATGCTCTTCA	4166495
4166495_R	TGAACTTGGATAATTACAAGCCATT	4166495