



Short Communication

Patients' attitudes towards cost feedback to doctors to prevent unnecessary testing: a qualitative focus group study



B. Young^{a,*,d}, A.W. Fogarty^a, R. Skelly^b, D. Shaw^a, P. Thurley^b, N. Sturrock^b, M. Norwood^b, T. Langley^a, S. Lewis^a, J. Cranwell^c

^a University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

^b Royal Derby Hospital, Derby, UK

^c University of Bath, Bath, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 March 2020

Received in revised form

13 June 2020

Accepted 15 June 2020

Keywords:

Hospital care

Unnecessary tests

Healthcare costs

Patient attitudes

Focus groups

ABSTRACT

Objectives: There is a need to improve efficiency in healthcare delivery without compromising quality of care. One approach is the development and evaluation of behavioural strategies to reduce unnecessary use of common tests. However, there is an absence of evidence on patient attitudes to the use of such approaches in the delivery of care. Our objective was to explore patient acceptability of a nudge-type intervention that aimed to modify blood test requests by hospital doctors.

Study design: Single-centre qualitative study.

Methods: The financial costs of common blood tests were presented to hospital doctors on results reports for 1 year at a hospital. Focus group discussions were conducted with recent inpatients at the hospital using a semi-structured question schedule. Discussions were transcribed and analysed using qualitative content analysis to identify and prioritise common themes explaining attitudes to the intervention approach.

Results: Three focus groups involving 17 participants were conducted. Patients were generally apprehensive about the provision of blood test cost feedback to doctors. Attitudes were organised around themes representing beliefs about blood tests, the impact on doctors and their autonomy, and beliefs about unnecessary testing. Patients thought that blood tests were important, powerful and inexpensive, and cost information could place doctors under additional pressure.

Conclusion: The findings identify predominantly positive beliefs about testing and negative attitudes to the use of financial costs in the decision-making of hospital doctors. Public discussion and education about the possible overuse of common tests may allow more resources to be allocated to evidence-based healthcare, by reducing the perception that such strategies to improve healthcare efficiency negatively impact on quality of care.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of The Royal Society for Public Health. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

There is a need to improve efficiency and reduce waste in healthcare delivery.¹ A significant proportion of medical testing is estimated to be unnecessary, leading to patient harms and wasted resources.² We have previously demonstrated that presenting financial costs of tests to requesting hospital physicians can reduce demand for tests.³ This is an example of a cheap and easily

implemented behavioural strategy that can reduce unnecessary testing without restricting freedom of choice in individual doctors.

It is important to understand patients' views on the use of cost information to influence doctors' decisions about the care they provide. Patients could be apprehensive of attaching prices to individual tests, which may be a barrier to adoption and scaling up of this approach in the NHS. Awareness of attempts to limit diagnostic testing may evoke concerns about quality of care and reduce patient satisfaction, however there is an absence of evidence about patients' perspective. We conducted a single-centre qualitative study to explore this.

An intervention had been implemented at a busy teaching hospital in England in February 2017, displaying phlebotomy and

* Corresponding author. University of Nottingham, Division of Epidemiology and Public Health, Clinical Sciences Building, Nottingham City Hospital, Hucknall Road, Nottingham, NG5 1PB, UK. Tel.: +115 823 1684.

E-mail address: ben.young@glasgow.ac.uk (B. Young).

^d Present address: Ben Young, University of Glasgow, Institute of Health and Well-being, Lilybank Gardens, Glasgow G12 8RZ, UK.

Table 1
Results of qualitative content analysis.

Coding category	Representative quotes and frequency of participants expressing view	
Theme 1: Beliefs about blood tests		
Blood tests are an important and valuable tool	Blood tests are essential and they're becoming more essential, and I think they're becoming much more central in understanding the body's function and the body's health. I have no doubt at all that blood tests are absolutely important. Really important. (FG2R3, man, 81)* I think a blood test gives a lot of information on the performance of different parts of the body. [...] My concern would be if it put the doctor off from doing the blood test that he would have done otherwise, with the risk that they miss something. (FG3R5, man, 75)	16
Blood testing saves money	I think it's a cost saving in the end, because without those more people would be going longer before they receive treatment for something, and the longer you wait the more invasive and the more difficult the treatment and the more treatment that you need. (FG1R5, woman, 49) I would have thought it saves the NHS money. If you're given a blood test for whatever and it comes back negative, then you know you don't have to do anything more. (FG1R2, man, 76)	9
Blood tests inform how I understand and feel about my health	There's blood tests that I would like them to do more often because they would inform how I feel. It's really important to understand the treatment you're going through. (FG1R6, woman, 61) I know it's expensive, but if it's a negative result then it's nice to know. It gives a bit of reassurance to the patient, and that in itself is good for their positive mindset and therefore makes them feel better. (FG2R4, man, 35)	8
Theme 2: Impact on doctors and their autonomy		
Doctors know when to do a test	For me personally it wouldn't make a difference, I trust their judgement to say what's best really. (FG3R4, man, 32)	11
Doctors should not think about costs	When I am being treated I do not want my doctor thinking of budget, I want my doctor thinking wholly of what is in my best interest. (FG1R5, woman, 49) You can't start interfering with a doctor's clinical views in the interests of economy. But if you can persuade them, if you're having a blood test or some other test just for the sake of it, then that should be discouraged. (FG3R2, man, 75)	7
Costs place additional pressure on doctors	I think they're under enough pressure anyway so why should they be made to feel guilty if they think someone needs a blood test? I wouldn't like them to see the price, then they feel pressured. (FG1R1, woman, 37)	6
Theme 3: Beliefs about unnecessary testing		
Doctors do unnecessary tests	When I was in hospital I was in for two weeks and I'm sure I had blood test nearly every day. For what reason? I don't think I needed them every day. I was having them a lot and I just think is this really necessary? (FG2R2, woman, 27) Sometimes I feel doctors do it just to pass the time a little to keep the patient there, to keep the patient happy. Whereas sometimes the blood tests aren't necessarily done for a reason. (FG1R4, woman, 27)	10
Cost savings should be made in other areas	I do feel that the blood tests should be left alone, but there's an awful lot of other administration costs that could really be cut back on. (FG2R3, man, 81)	11

* Participant ID, gender, age (years).

laboratory costs, and the total annual spend on the test by the hospital, on the results reports of three common blood tests (full blood count, urea and electrolyte and liver function tests). A 12 month controlled evaluation found the intervention was associated with a 3% reduction in demand for full blood count tests, a 2% reduction in urea and electrolyte tests and no change in liver function tests.⁴

An invitation pack was sent to a random sample of adults who had been inpatients at the same hospital during the previous two years and had opted in to a patient research panel. Focus groups took place at the hospital, facilitated using a semi-structured question schedule, including a brief outline of the intervention that stated that it did not restrict a doctor from ordering a test (see [online supplement](#)). Groups were audio and video recorded. Participants were given a £40 multi-store gift card and reimbursed travel costs.

Recordings were transcribed and analysed with NVivo software using qualitative content analysis, a method for systematically describing the meaning of qualitative data.⁵ The final coding frame, including example quotes and frequencies of participants in each category, form the results of the analysis.

A sample of 397 patients were invited, 28 (7%) responded and 17 gave informed consent and participated. Three focus groups were held in October 2018 with a mean duration of 70 min and a

participant range of 5–6. Participants were aged 27–81 years (mean 57) and 11 (65%) were men.

Ten participants expressed negative attitudes towards cost feedback for doctors, four expressed positive attitudes and three expressed mixed attitudes. Two groups contained a combination of negative/positive/mixed attitudes whilst only negative attitudes were reported in the other group. Findings are described below and representative quotes from each coding frame category are shown in [Table 1](#).

Theme 1. Beliefs about blood tests. Participants viewed blood tests as an important and valuable tool in screening for and diagnosing disease. Some described personal experiences that caused them to feel that they owed their health to having had a blood test. This contributed to a fear that things could be missed if fewer tests were done. Participants believed that the cost of a blood test was small, that blood testing saves money through earlier diagnosis and that such tests 'prove their worth'.

Theme 2. Impact on doctors and their autonomy. Participants trusted the judgement of doctors about whether or not a test is needed. Most felt that doctors should not know about costs and should only consider what is in the patient's best interests. Cost information was seen to place additional pressure on doctors, to whom they were empathetic about the pressures experienced in

their work. In contrast, some participants felt that cost feedback could be useful in reducing unnecessary tests.

Theme 3. Beliefs about unnecessary testing. There was an awareness that doctors sometimes order unnecessary tests, including a view that the demand for tests was often driven by the patient. Older adults appeared more concerned about test costs than younger participants. However, there was resistance to the use of costs in decision-making and a feeling that other areas of the NHS should be targeted for cost savings, including management, administration, procurement and overuse of services by patients.

There was agreement in one of the groups that older adults are less concerned than others about cost feedback to doctors because they may be old enough to remember a time before the NHS existed, they 'like to know what things cost', are more likely to have received health treatment and may be more anxious about being a burden to doctors.

Although there were differing views expressed, the group interaction involved no direct conflict, with participants' views grounded strongly in their own experiences of the health service. As all participants were recent hospital inpatients and several described their conditions or treatment, this created a dynamic of tolerance and respect for each other's views. Consensus was evident on some points, such as the value of blood tests and the small perceived cost of a blood test.

The findings highlight attitudes and beliefs that should be addressed in order to improve the acceptability to patients of interventions that provide transparent financial cost information to doctors about common diagnostic tests. We found an awareness of the possibility of unnecessary testing but a preference for cost savings in other areas of healthcare. Blood tests were viewed as a powerful, inexpensive and cost-effective tool that reassured and informed patients. There was a fear that fewer tests could mean things were missed, often based on personal stories. This is consistent with reported attitudes in primary care, where patients regard blood tests as a useful screening instrument that fulfils a need for objective validation of what is happening inside their bodies, reinforced by the social environment and the media.^{6,7} Stories of unnecessary testing may be needed to aid understanding in patients.⁸ Older adults were more concerned about test costs than younger participants, highlighting possible generational differences in attitudes towards the role of the NHS.

The judgement of doctors was highly trusted and most participants felt that costs should not be a factor in testing decisions in the NHS. There is limited research into patient views on this topic in publicly funded health systems but a program to reduce overuse in a hospital in Canada reported it had been important to prioritise harm reduction over cost-containment because the latter does not resonate with clinicians or patients.⁹ A focus group study in the USA to examine the willingness of patients to consider and discuss costs with clinicians found a preference for the best care regardless of cost, with individualistic attitudes expressed in contrast to the concerns about the impact on doctors we observed.¹⁰ Our patients repeatedly described the pressures that doctors work under and the additional burden that cost feedback could place on them. This indicates that

the use of cost feedback in decision-making could undermine trust in the doctor-patient relationship. A greater emphasis on shared decision-making may help to prevent cost feedback damaging patient satisfaction and perceived quality of care.

In conclusion, patients were generally apprehensive about the provision of cost feedback to doctors. Discussion and patient education about overuse of tests may increase acceptability of the use of cost feedback to improve efficiency and reduce waste in healthcare delivery.

Author statements

Ethical approval

The study was approved by Wales Research Ethics Committee 7, ref. 17/WA/0393.

Funding

This work was supported by the Health Foundation Behavioural Insights Research Programme, ref. 7600.

Competing interests

All authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2020.06.023>.

References

1. NHS England. *The NHS long term plan*. 2019.
2. Brownlee S, Chalkidou K, Doust J, Elshaug AG, Glasziou P, Heath I, et al. Evidence for overuse of medical services around the world. *Lancet (London, England)* 2017;**390**:156–68.
3. Fogarty AW, Sturrock N, Premji K, Prinsloo P. Hospital clinicians' responsiveness to assay cost feedback: a prospective blinded controlled intervention study. *JAMA Int Med* 2013;**173**:1654–5.
4. Lewis S, Young B, Thurley P, Shaw D, Cranwell J, Skelly R, et al. Does cost feedback modify demand for common blood tests in secondary care? A prospective controlled intervention study. *Future Healthcare J* 2019;**6**:204–8.
5. Schreier M. Qualitative content analysis. In: Flick U, editor. *The SAGE handbook of qualitative data analysis*. London, UK: SAGE Publications Ltd; 2014.
6. van Bokhoven MA, Pleunis-van Empel MC, Koch H, Grol RP, Dinant GJ, van der Weijden T. Why do patients want to have their blood tested? A qualitative study of patient expectations in general practice. *BMC Fam Pract* 2006;**7**:75.
7. Shaked M, Levkovich I, Adar T, Peri A, Liviatan N. Perspective of healthy asymptomatic patients requesting general blood tests from their physicians: a qualitative study. *BMC Fam Pract* 2019;**20**:51.
8. Shaffer VA, Scherer LD. Too much medicine: behavioral science insights on overutilization, overdiagnosis, and overtreatment in health care. *Policy Insight Behav Brain Sci* 2018;**5**:155–62.
9. Hicks LK, O'Brien P, Sholzberg M, Veloce N, Trafford A, Sinclair D. Tackling overutilization of hospital tests and treatments: lessons learned from a grass-roots approach. *Healthc Manag Forum* 2018;**31**:186–90.
10. Sommers R, Goold SD, McGlynn EA, Pearson SD, Danis M. Focus groups highlight that many patients object to clinicians' focusing on costs. *Health Aff* 2013;**32**:338–46.