

Thermodynamic Driving Forces for Substrate Atom Extraction by Adsorption of Strong Electron Acceptor Molecules

Paul Ryan, Philip James Blowey, Billal S. Sohail, Luke A. Rochford, David A. Duncan, Tien-Lin Lee, Peter Starrs, Giovanni Costantini, Reinhard J. Maurer,* and David Phillip Woodruff*



Cite This: *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2022, 126, 6082–6090



Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More




Article Recommendations



Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A quantitative structural investigation is reported, aimed at resolving the issue of whether substrate adatoms are incorporated into the monolayers formed by strong molecular electron acceptors deposited onto metallic electrodes. A combination of normal-incidence X-ray standing waves, low-energy electron diffraction, scanning tunnelling microscopy, and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy measurements demonstrate that the systems TCNQ and F_4 TCNQ on Ag(100) lie at the boundary between these two possibilities and thus represent ideal model systems with which to study this effect. A room-temperature commensurate phase of adsorbed TCNQ is found not to involve Ag adatoms, but to adopt an inverted bowl configuration, long predicted but not previously identified experimentally. By contrast, a similar phase of adsorbed F_4 TCNQ does lead to Ag adatom incorporation in the overlayer, the cyano end groups of the molecule being twisted relative to the planar quinoid ring. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations show that this behavior is consistent with the adsorption energetics. Annealing of the commensurate TCNQ overlayer phase leads to an incommensurate phase that does appear to incorporate Ag adatoms. Our results indicate that the inclusion (or exclusion) of metal atoms into the organic monolayers is the result of both thermodynamic and kinetic factors.



INTRODUCTION

The electronic properties of devices based on organic semiconductors are strongly influenced by the role of metal–organic interfaces at conductive electrodes, motivating a significant number of surface science studies of related model systems. One electron acceptor organic molecule of particular interest is 7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ) that, together with its more strongly electron-accepting, fully fluorinated variant, F_4 TCNQ, is frequently used as a molecular dopant in organic devices and for work function engineering.^{1–3} As such there have been several studies of TCNQ adsorption on coinage metal surfaces, particularly with a (111) orientation (e.g., see refs 4–13). Spectroscopic studies clearly demonstrate charge transfer from the metal surface, leading to rehybridization of the intramolecular bonding that relaxes the rigidity of the planar gas-phase molecule. The results of many density functional theory (DFT) calculations have predicted that the adsorbed molecule adopts an inverted bowl or umbrella conformation, with the cyano N atoms bonding to the surface, while the central quinoid ring is up to 1.4 Å higher above the surface. However, prior to the work reported here, there was no published quantitative experimental structural


evidence to demonstrate the existence of this adsorption geometry.

In contrast to the implicit assumption in these earlier studies that the molecular electron acceptors form a purely organic layer, there are two cases, namely, F_4 TCNQ on Au(111)¹⁴ (P. Mousley et al., unpublished results) and TCNQ on Ag(111),¹⁵ in which adsorption has been unequivocally shown to lead to incorporation of metal adatoms to form two-dimensional metal–organic frameworks (2D-MOFs) on the surface. This structural modification can cause significant changes in the surface dipoles and thus in the electronic structure of the interface, so understanding (and ideally being able to predict) the conditions that lead to this effect have a wide relevance. To gain a better understanding of this phenomenon, in this work we have investigated the adsorption of both TCNQ and the significantly stronger electron-acceptor molecule, F_4 TCNQ, on

Received: January 30, 2022

Revised: March 13, 2022

Published: March 28, 2022



Ag(100), a surface with a work function intermediate between that of the more noble Au and that of the more easily oxidized Cu. These specific “intermediate” model systems were chosen to explore the boundaries between the formation of pure organic and mixed metal–organic phases and achieve improved insight into the factors determining the nature of these thin molecular layers on metal electrodes.

Our general approach is the use of experimental quantitative structural studies complemented by dispersion-corrected DFT calculations. The experimental structural data are obtained from the use of the normal-incidence X-ray standing wave technique (NIXSW).¹⁶ The general XSW technique¹⁷ exploits the X-ray standing wave created by the interference of an incident X-ray wave and the resulting Bragg-reflected wave that shifts through the crystal as one scans through the Bragg condition. Monitoring the absorption of this standing wave in atoms of interest by measuring the element-specific X-ray fluorescence or core level photoemission allows one to determine the location of the absorbing atom relative to the Bragg planes from a wide range of materials.¹⁷ Using core-level photoemission to monitor the absorption provides not only elemental specificity, but also chemical-state specificity, distinguishing the heights of C atoms in CH, CF, CN, and CC bonds in adsorbed TCNQ and F₄TCNQ.

Using this approach, we recently showed that TCNQ adsorbed on Ag(111) does not adopt the inverted bowl configuration;¹⁶ instead, the molecule is twisted on the surface, the cyano N atoms adopting two significantly different heights above the surface relative to the central planar quinoid ring. This geometry was found to be attributable to the presence of Ag adatoms in the overlayer to generate an Ag-TCNQ 2-D MOF. Previous evidence for similar incorporation of metal adatoms into the molecular layer had been suggested for F₄TCNQ on Au(111), based on STM imaging,¹⁴ but only very recently has it been possible to unequivocally identify the presence and quantitatively determine the location of the Au adatoms in the resulting 2-D MOF by means of surface X-ray diffraction (P. Mousley et al., unpublished results). Our objective now is to gain a better understanding of the conditions determining whether or not adsorption of an electron acceptor molecule leads to this type of surface reconstruction.

Here we report the results of NIXSW experiments and dispersion-inclusive DFT calculations for the commensurate adsorption phase of TCNQ on Ag(100) formed at room temperature that provides the first proven and quantitatively determined example of adsorption of TCNQ in an inverted bowl configuration at an unreconstructed surface. We further find that annealing of this low coverage phase of TCNQ to higher temperatures leads to an incommensurate phase that STM images suggest may well involve Ag adatoms. By switching to a stronger electron acceptor, namely, F₄TCNQ, we identify a commensurate adsorption phase, formed without the need for annealing, for which NIXSW data and DFT calculations clearly indicate that the molecule has a twisted molecular conformation that can be attributed to the presence of Ag adatoms. Based on thermodynamic arguments, we construct a rationale for the formation of adatoms as a function of adsorbate strength, surface reactivity, and temperature.

METHODS

Experimental Methods. Experimental characterization of the adsorption phases of TCNQ and F₄TCNQ on Ag(100)

was performed using STM and low-current (microchannel plate), low-energy electron diffraction (MCP-LEED) in a UHV surface science chamber at the University of Warwick and by MCP-LEED and SXPS in the UHV end-station of beamline I09 of the Diamond Light Source. A well-ordered clean Ag(100) sample was cleaned in situ by cycles of 1 keV Ar⁺ ion scattering and annealing in both chambers. Single molecular monolayer structures were prepared by vacuum deposition from evaporation sources installed in the chambers. NIXSW experimental data were collected from TCNQ and F₄TCNQ on Ag(100) by measuring the C 1s, N 1s, and F 1s photoelectron spectra as the incident photon energy was stepped through the (200) Bragg reflection very close to normal incidence to the (100) surface around a photon energy of 3036 eV. Comparisons of the relative intensity of the component peaks as a function of photon energy with standard formulas taking account of the backward forward asymmetry of the angular dependence of the photoemission allowed the optimum values of the coherent fraction and coherent positions to be determined.¹⁷

Computational Methods. The theoretical analysis has been performed at the density functional level of theory using the all-electron numeric atomic orbital package, Fritz-Haber Institute ab initio molecular simulations package (FHI-aims).²⁶ To evaluate exchange and correlation, we use the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) variant by Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhofer (PBE),¹⁸ coupled with dispersion correction schemes to account for significant van der Waals contributions to the total energy. The two dispersion schemes we utilized are conceptually different in their approach, with the Tkatchenko–Scheffler van der Waals surface correction (PBE+vdW^{surf})²⁷ being a pairwise additive scheme, whereas the recently proposed nonlocal many-body dispersion (PBE+MBD-NL)²⁸ goes beyond this limit. As shown in the main text, despite the difference between these schemes, we find a close comparison between the experimentally observed height parameters and the theoretically derived values.

The adsorption structures were modeled as a periodically repeated cell comprising a single unit mesh described by experimentally determined matrices of the substrate lattice vectors containing a single TCNQ or F₄TCNQ molecule. The Ag(100) surface was modeled as a slab consisting of four atomic layers and separated from its periodic image by a vacuum gap exceeding 90 Å. The coordinates of the atoms in the bottom two layers of the Ag slab were constrained to the bulk truncated structure of Ag, and the positions of the adsorbate and top two layers of the substrate were allowed to relax. To minimize strain within the optimization step, precalculated lattice constants were used for each dispersion scheme: PBE+vdW^{surf} (4.14 Å) and PBE+MBD-NL (4.10 Å). In the case of PBE+vdW^{surf}, we exclude interactions between Ag atoms. The Brillouin zone was sampled with an 8 × 8 × 1 Monkhorst–Pack k-grid and the geometries were optimized to below a force threshold of 0.025 eV Å⁻¹. FHI-aims contain built-in basis sets for each atomic species regarding basis functions, integration grid, and numerical accuracy of the Hartree potential. All equilibrium structures were optimized with the default “light” and then “tight” basis set. All calculation input and output files have been deposited as a data set in the NOMAD repository and are freely available at [10.17172/NOMAD/2022.01.11-2](https://doi.org/10.17172/NOMAD/2022.01.11-2).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Experimental Surface Characterization. Using the combination of STM and low energy electron diffraction (LEED), room temperature adsorption of both TCNQ and F_4 TCNQ led to commensurate phases, $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ for TCNQ (previously reported in earlier STM¹⁹ and LEED²⁰ studies, where it is described by a unit mesh $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ with an acute included angle), and $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ for F_4 TCNQ. STM images of these two phases are shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. STM images of the commensurate phases of TCNQ and F_4 TCNQ on Ag(100). Superimposed on each image is the surface unit mesh (red) and a simplified schematic of the molecular structure assuming each of the elongated rectangular features, which are consistent in size and general appearance, can be attributed to molecules lying down on the surface. The arrows show $\langle 110 \rangle$ directions in the surface. STM tunnelling conditions (sample bias and tunnelling current): TCNQ/LDC, 0.25 V, 150 pA; F_4 TCNQ, -1.00 V, 75 pA, chosen to optimize imaging contrast.

Because only commensurate phases can be fully modeled by DFT calculations, we concentrate in this paper on the properties of these two phases. However, we note that different deposition conditions of coverage or annealing led to four further ordered adsorption phases of TCNQ, but no other structures were observed for F_4 TCNQ adsorption. STM images and LEED patterns, together with simulated LEED patterns obtained using the LEEDpat program,²¹ are shown in Figure S1 for all of these phases. Their main characteristics and preparation conditions are summarized in Table S1. Notice that the molecular packing density of the commensurate F_4 TCNQ phase is significantly lower than that of the commensurate phase of TCNQ on the same surface. The lowest coverage, commensurate $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ TCNQ phase we denote as TCNQ/LDC (low density commensurate). Two of the additional TCNQ phases we denote as higher-density incommensurate phases 1 and 2 are TCNQ:HDI1 and TCNQ:HDI2; these were formed by higher coverage exposure (TCNQ:HDI1), followed by subsequent annealing (TCNQ:HDI2). The TCNQ:HDI1 phase has also been reported previously,²⁰ albeit assigned a slightly different matrix. Two further ordered phases, formed by heating the TCNQ:LDC phase to temperatures in the range up to ~ 340 °C, were always found to coexist with one another and with the TCNQ:LDC phase and disordered regions. The associated STM images of these last two phases, included in Figure S1, show these structures to be based on the “windmill” motif of

four TCNQ molecules arranged like the four vanes of a windmill, a motif that has been reported in a number of studies of TCNQ and F_4 TCNQ coadsorbed on coinage metal surfaces with transition (e.g., see refs 7, 14, 15, and 22) and alkali (e.g., see refs 7 and 12) metal atoms. For this reason, we label these two “windmill” phases TCNQ:W1 and TCNQ:W2. The TCNQ:W2 phase comprises entirely an ordered array of these windmill motifs, whereas the TCNQ:W1 phase also appears to contain some additional TCNQ molecules between groups of four windmill motifs. A significant feature of the TCNQ:W1 and TCNQ:W2 STM images, particularly for the TCNQ:W2 phase, is that the “windmills” have bright centers that may indicate the presence of an Ag adatom at this location. The possible significance of this feature will be discussed later in this paper. Note that for all the phases other than TCNQ:W1 and TCNQ:W2, which always coexisted, leading to a mixed-phase LEED pattern that was difficult to disentangle, obtaining a good fit to the LEED patterns with LEEDpat providing an accurate basis for determining the overlayer matrix, independent of any possible calibration errors or image drift in STM imaging.

The commensurate adsorption phases for TCNQ and F_4 TCNQ on Ag(100) were also characterized by soft X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (SXPS). C 1s spectra recorded from the TCNQ:LDC phase are compared with those for the ordered phase of F_4 TCNQ in Figure 2, while the N 1s and F 1s




Figure 2. SXPS results showing the C 1s spectra from the TCNQ:LDC phase (a) and the ordered phase of F_4 TCNQ on Ag(100) (b), using a photon energy of 435 eV. Also shown are the different spectral components used to fit the experimental data (black). The main chemically shifted components are shown in red, the background is shown in blue, C 1s shakeup satellites are shown in green, and a weak C 1s component associated with radiation damage is shown in gray.

spectra are shown in Figure S3 of the Supporting Information. These show a single N 1s peak, which indicates that all N atoms occupy closely similar chemical environments. The C atoms contributing to the different chemically shifted C 1s components in Figure 2 are labeled according to the inset schematic diagrams of the molecules. The relative binding energies of these components are consistent with electron transfer from the metal to the TCNQ molecule, as reported in several previous studies (e.g., see refs 12, 16, 23, and 24).

NIXSW Structure Determination. NIXSW experimental data were collected from the LDC and HDI1 phases of TCNQ on Ag(100), and from the ordered phase of F_4 TCNQ on Ag(100), around the (200) Bragg condition. NIXSW relative photoemission intensity profiles were extracted for the N, F,

Table 1. Summary of the Values of the Structural Parameters Extracted from the NIXSW Measurements from the Commensurate Phases of TCNQ:LCD and F₄TCNQ, Together with the Results of Measurements from the TCNQ:HDI1 Phase^a

component	TCNQ:LDC		TCNQ:HDI1		F ₄ TCNQ	
	<i>f</i>	<i>D</i> (Å)	<i>f</i>	<i>D</i> (Å)	<i>f</i>	<i>D</i> (Å)
<u>CH</u> / <u>CF</u>	0.68(10)	2.70(5)	0.67(10)	2.69(5)	0.79(10)	3.01(5)
<u>CC</u>	0.79(10)	2.65(5)	0.76(10)	2.56(5)	0.72(10)	2.94(5)
<u>CN</u>	0.70(10)	2.51(5)	0.69(10)	2.45(5)	0.56(10)	2.71(5)
N	0.81(10)	2.36(5)	0.63(10)	2.28(5)	0.20(10)	2.90(20)
F					0.56(10)	3.04(5)

^aPrecision estimates in the final decimal place are shown in parentheses. The values for N obtained from the F₄TCNQ adsorption phase are discussed further in the text.

and chemically distinct C components from these spectra, although the separate CC₁ and CC₂ components seen in the SXP spectrum from TCNQ could not be resolved at the higher photon energy of the NIXSW experiment. NIXSW photoemission-derived absorption profiles can be fitted (taking account of nondipole effects in the angular dependence to the photoemission¹⁷) uniquely by two parameters, the coherent fraction, *f*, and the coherent position, *p*. In the idealized situation of an absorbing atom occupying a single well-defined site with no static or dynamic disorder (*f* = 1.0), the coherent position (expressed in units of the Bragg plane spacing, *d*) can be related to the height of this absorber site above the Bragg planes, *D* = (*p* + *n*)*d*, where *n* is an integer (usually 0 or 1) chosen to ensure the implied interatomic distances are physically reasonable.¹⁷ The coherent fraction is commonly regarded as an order parameter; including the effects of atomic vibrational amplitudes and some static disorder can lead to *f* values as low as ~0.70,²⁵ but much lower values can only be attributed to the contributions of at least two distinctly different absorber heights. Table 1 shows the *f* and *D* values obtained from the NIXSW data from the two commensurate phases, TCNQ:LDC and F₄TCNQ; data for the incommensurate TCNQ:HDI1 phase are also included.

For both of the TCNQ adsorption phases investigated by NIXSW, these data indicate adsorption of the molecule in the inverted bowl conformation, the N atoms being approximately 0.4 Å lower on the surface than the quinoid CH C atoms; the CC C atoms are slightly lower than these CH atoms and the CN C atoms are slightly lower still. All the coherent fraction values are sufficiently high that it seems likely that all the atoms of each species have the same equilibrium height with no evidence that the mirror symmetry of the molecular conformation is significantly reduced by the adsorption. Any differences in the height of the molecule between the TCNQ:LDC and TCNQ:HDI1 phase are of marginal significance, but seem to suggest the TCNQ molecule is more strongly bent in the incommensurate TCNQ:HDI1 phase.

The results for the adsorbed F₄TCNQ molecule, however, are significantly different. The high coherent fraction for the CF C atoms indicates that the quinoid ring is parallel to the surface, but some 0.3 Å higher than in the two TCNQ adsorption phases. However, the very low coherent fraction for the N atoms clearly indicates that the N atoms occupy at least two distinctly different heights; if there are just two such equally occupied heights, the reduction of the value of *f* to only ~30% of the values for the CF and CC atoms would imply a height difference of ~0.8 Å.²⁵ Notice that, with such a low value of *f*, the corresponding value of *D*, which may represent

some weighted average of the contributing heights, is likely to have a significantly lower true precision than that implied by the statistical fitting routine.²⁵ This height difference would be expected to lead to a smaller height difference for the CN C atoms and a consequentially smaller reduction in the associated *f* value, consistent with the experimental result. The origin of the slightly reduced value of *f* for the F atoms is less clear, but may be due to the presence of a second F species, possibly atomic F, resulting from the radiation damage evidenced by the higher resolution SXP F 1s spectrum of Figure S3.

The main conclusions are that the central ring of F₄TCNQ sits significantly higher above the Ag(100) surface than that of TCNQ, but while TCNQ adopts a symmetrical inverted bowl configuration, the cyano ends of the F₄TCNQ are twisted such that some CN bonds point down toward the surface, while others point up away from the surface. This latter conformation is similar to that adopted by TCNQ on Ag(111), the origin of the twisting in that case having been shown to be due to the presence of Ag adatoms in the molecular layer.¹⁶ This clearly leads to the possibility that a similar adatom structure is associated with the Ag(100)-F₄TCNQ surface. By contrast, the more symmetrical conformation of the TCNQ:LDC and TCNQ:HDI1 phases, together with their higher packing density, indicates that Ag adatom incorporation is probably not involved in these phases.

Structure Characterization by Density Functional Theory. To understand and determine the structure more completely, dispersion-inclusive DFT calculations were performed to determine the minimum energy configurations of the two commensurate phases investigated, namely, Ag(100)-TCNQ LDC $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ and Ag(100)-F₄TCNQ $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. DFT calculations were performed with the all-electron numeric atomic orbital code FHI-aims.²⁶ Dispersion interactions were modeled using both the Tkatchenko–Scheffler vdW^{surf} method (PBE+vdW^{surf})²⁷ and the nonlocal many-body dispersion method (PBE+MBD-NL)²⁸ implemented in the FHI-aims package (for more computational details, see Methods). For each of these adsorption phases, calculations were performed for two alternative models, one assuming there is no Ag adatom in the surface mesh and an alternative model that includes an Ag adatom. Table 2 shows a comparison of the NIXSW experimental parameter values with equivalent values extracted from the alternative model structure for the TCNQ:LDC surface based on PBE+MBD-NL DFT calculations. Note that calculations for possible starting structures for TCNQ coadsorbed with Ag adatoms

Table 2. Experimental NIXSW Parameter Values of the TCNQ:LDC Phase on Ag(100) Compared with Values Obtained from the PBE+MBD-NL DFT Calculations for a Structural Model without Ag Adatoms

component	TCNQ:LDC expt		TCNQ:LDC DFT (no adatoms)	
	<i>f</i>	<i>D</i> (Å)	<i>f</i>	<i>D</i> (Å)
CH	0.68(10)	2.70(5)	1.00	2.73
CC	0.79(10)	2.65(5)	0.98	2.65
CN	0.70(10)	2.51(5)	1.00	2.49
N	0.81(10)	2.36(5)	0.99	2.31

failed to converge, indicating that no such stable structure exists. This is consistent with the qualitative evaluation of the STM, LEED, and NIXSW data in the previous section regarding the likely structure of the TCNQ:LDC phase and is further evidence that no adatoms are present in this structure.

As shown in Table 2, the atomic layer spacings found for the minimum energy structure obtained from the DFT+MBD-NL calculations for the LDC TCNQ adsorption phase, assuming that no Ag adatoms are present, are in excellent agreement with the experimental values. A similar comparison with the results of DFT PBE+vdW^{surf} calculations is shown in Table S2. Both dispersion correction methods provide adsorption geometries that are in close agreement with experiment. Note that *D* values obtained in NIXSW are relative to the extended Bragg planes of the bulk structure. The theoretical values reported here are relative to the average height of the outermost Ag layer (which is slightly ruffled). This mode of comparison avoids problems associated with the slightly different lattice parameter of the bulk Ag crystal in the DFT calculations and small multilayer spacing relaxations in the thin slab. The theoretical *f* values in this table only take account of the reduction of this parameter (from unity) due to the small range of different heights of chemically equivalent but symmetrically inequivalent atoms in the optimized structure. These values of *f*, computed in this way, are inevitably up to ~30% higher than the experimental values because they take no account of static and dynamic disorder in the overlayer and the substrate.²⁵ Figure 3 shows the DFT-optimized structure of this Ag(100)-TCNQ:LDC $\left(\frac{3}{-2} \frac{1}{3}\right)$ phase.

Similar DFT calculations were also performed for two alternative models of the F₄TCNQ $\left(\frac{4}{-2} \frac{2}{2}\right)$ adsorption phase, in order to investigate the possible presence of Ag adatoms;




Figure 3. (a) Top view of the DFT-optimized structure of the TCNQ:LDC phase on Ag(100). (b) Side view of a single adsorbed molecule within this adsorption phase. Adsorbate atom coloring: C, dark gray; H, red; N, blue.

one of these model structures contains only a single F₄TCNQ molecule per surface unit mesh and the other contains one F₄TCNQ and one Ag adatom per surface unit mesh. Table 3

Table 3. Comparison of Experimental NIXSW Parameter Values of the F₄TCNQ $\left(\frac{4}{-2} \frac{2}{2}\right)$ Phase on Ag(100) Compared with Values Obtained from the DFT Calculations for Two Alternative Structural Models, with and without Ag Adatoms^a

component	F ₄ TCNQ; expt		F ₄ TCNQ; DFT no adatom		F ₄ TCNQ; DFT with adatom	
	<i>f</i>	<i>D</i> (Å)	<i>f</i>	<i>D</i> (Å)	<i>f</i>	<i>D</i> (Å)
CF	0.79(10)	3.01(5)	1.00	3.03	0.99	3.02
CC	0.72(10)	2.94(5)	0.94	2.88	0.98	2.93
CN	0.56(10)	2.71(5)	0.98	2.55	0.89	2.79
N	0.20(10)	2.90(20)	0.98	2.27	0.68	2.71
F	0.56(10)	3.04(5)	1.00	3.03	0.95	3.02

^aTheoretical values reported in the table are at the DFT+MBD-NL level.

shows a comparison of the experimental NIXSW parameter values with those predicted by DFT+MBD-NL calculations for the two optimized structural models. DFT+vdW^{surf} calculations were also performed, the results being presented in Table S4 of the Supporting Information; these are in close agreement with MBD-NL results.

The agreement between experimental and computed *D* values is clearly better for the with-adatom model than the no-adatom model. The most striking structural difference between the predictions for the two F₄TCNQ models is in the height range of the N atoms; without Ag adatoms, all the N atoms have almost identical heights (within a range of 0.04 Å), whereas with adatoms their height differs by up to 0.70 Å. This is attributable to the fact that while three N atoms in the molecule are adjacent to Ag adatoms (with N–Ag adatom distances in the range 2.33 to 2.40 Å and N atom heights above the underlying Ag(100) surface of 2.74–2.90 Å), the fourth N atom has no such adatom neighbor and bonds to an underlying Ag surface atom at a separation of 2.40 Å. This leads to the significant (31%) reduction in the theory value of *f* in qualitative agreement with the experimental result. Quantitative agreement with this value is poor but estimating the true precision in both *f* and *D* when the nominal value of *f* is as low as 0.20 is very difficult, as we have demonstrated elsewhere.²⁵ This behavior of the N atom heights strongly favors the adatom model shown in Figure 4; the different N atom heights lead to a twisting of the cyano end groups, but the central




Figure 4. Optimized structure of the adatom model of Ag(100)-F₄TCNQ in (a) top view and (b) side view. The Ag adatoms are shown in purple. Adsorbate atom coloring: C, dark gray; F, yellow; N, blue.

Table 4. Adsorption Energies (eV/nm²) of Ag(100)-TCNQ in the LDC Phase and Ag(100)-F₄TCNQ with and without Adatoms

adsorbate	no adatoms DFT+MBD-NL	no adatoms DFT+vdW ^{surf}	with adatoms DFT+MBD-NL	with adatoms DFT+vdW ^{surf}
TCNQ	4.04	4.96	N/A	N/A
F ₄ TCNQ	3.86	5.63	4.52	5.78

quinoid ring remains parallel to the surface. The Ag adatoms occupy 4-fold coordinated hollow sites on the surface. Predicted *D* values for most of the other atoms show generally comparable agreement with experiment for both models; for the CN C atoms, the agreement is clearly superior for the without adatom model, although neither model predicts any significant lowering of the associated *f* value.

In summary, a comparison of the structural data from the NIXSW experiments and the DFT calculations shows clearly that the TCNQ:LDC phase on Ag(100) does not involve Ag adatoms whereas the similarly commensurate ordered phase of F₄TCNQ on Ag(100) does involve Ag adatoms. Furthermore, TCNQ on Ag(100) in the LDC phase adopts an inverted bowl configuration, whereas F₄TCNQ on Ag(100) adopts a twisted conformation in which three of its CN groups bond to Ag adatoms while the remaining CN group bonds to the Ag surface. The comparison of the NIXSW results from the TCNQ:LDC and TCNQ:HDI1 phase also indicates that TCNQ adopts the inverted bowl configuration in both of these phases. Thus, TCNQ at room temperature does not induce the extraction of substrate atoms, but its fluorinated counterpart, F₄TCNQ, does. However, annealing of the TCNQ:LDC phase leads to the formation of the TCNQ:W2 phase, the STM images of which are tentatively interpreted, indicative of the presence of Ag adatoms. In order to rationalize these observations, we now explore the thermodynamic driving forces for adatom network formation and the implications of these differences for the surface electronic structure.

Adsorption Energies with and without Ag Adatoms.

Decomposition of the energetics of the molecular adsorption offers a possible way to understand why the adatom network might be more favorable in some cases, but not in others. To calculate the adsorption energy, E_{ads} , for TCNQ and F₄TCNQ on Ag(100) in the absence of Ag adatoms we used eq 1, where E_{TS} is the energy of the total system, E_{surf} is the energy of the bare, clean surface, and E_{mol} is the energy of the isolated molecule. However, when adatoms are present, the number of Ag atoms in the complete substrate + molecule + adatom slab is increased by one; so, in order to calculate the adsorption energy, one must also subtract from E_{TS} the energy of a single isolated atom, E_{Ag} , and the energy cost of removing this atom from the substrate (which is equal to the cohesive energy of crystalline Ag, E_{coh}). The final result is given by eq 2, where we note that, by convention, E_{coh} and E_{ads} are defined as positive, whereas all the other energy terms are negative.

$$E_{\text{ads}} = E_{\text{TS}} - (E_{\text{surf}} + E_{\text{mol}}) \quad (1)$$

$$E_{\text{ads}} = E_{\text{TS}} - (E_{\text{surf}} + E_{\text{mol}} + E_{\text{Ag}} - E_{\text{coh}}) \quad (2)$$

Adsorption energies per unit surface area are summarized in Table 4, calculated using both the DFT+MBD-NL and DFT+vdW^{surf} levels. Energies computed at the DFT+MBD-NL level are lower than those calculated at the DFT+vdW^{surf} level in all cases. This is known to be a general feature of these methods and is to be expected, as many-body dispersion corrects for some of the adsorption energy overbinding of the

pairwise additive DFT+vdW^{surf} scheme.²⁹ As we already noted, both methods provide very similar predictions for the adsorption heights, but DFT+MBD-NL is expected to provide a more accurate description of adsorption energies. The results presented in Table 4 show that for F₄TCNQ on Ag(100) adatom incorporation is favored, consistent with our structural conclusions. Taking the more reliable energy values of the DFT+MBD-NL calculations, we see that the energetic advantage of the adatom structure for F₄TCNQ adsorption is 0.67 meV/nm².

As shown in Table S1, the TCNQ LDC phase has a significantly higher molecular packing density than the F₄TCNQ $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ phase, so it is interesting to consider the energetics in the hypothetical situation in which TCNQ adopts the larger $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ unit mesh. The results of these calculations are shown in Table S4 of the Supporting Information. There are two significant conclusions: first, the adsorption energy at the MBD-NL level of TCNQ in this larger mesh (in the absence of Ag adatoms) is lower by 0.95 eV/nm² than in the LDC mesh, and second, the adsorption energy is even lower (but only by 0.18 eV/nm²) if Ag adatoms are incorporated into the structure. The conclusion is clearly that TCNQ prefers the small LDC mesh, and even if it adopted the larger mesh to allow more space for Ag adatom incorporation, this would still not be energetically favored.

Some insight into the reasons for the energetic differences shown in Table 4 may be gained by separating the individual contributions to these total adsorption energies, namely the energy cost of the deformation of the Ag(100) surface and of the gas-phase molecule, $E_{\text{deformation}}$, and the energy gain resulting from the bonding interactions, $E_{\text{interaction}}$. The results of these calculations are presented in Table 5, computed at the

Table 5. Adsorption Energy Decomposition (eV/nm²) of Ag(100)-TCNQ and Ag(100)-F₄TCNQ with and without Adatoms Computed at the PBE+MBD-NL Level

	Ag(100)-TCNQ		Ag(100)-F ₄ TCNQ	
	no adatom	with adatom	no adatom	with adatom
E_{ads}	4.04	4.52	3.86	4.52
$E_{\text{deformation}}$	-0.23	-1.60	-1.11	-1.60
$E_{\text{interaction}}$	4.27	6.12	4.97	6.12

PBE+MBD-NL level. PBE+vdW^{surf} calculations show the same qualitative trends. Perhaps unsurprisingly, the energy cost of the deformation is lowest for the TCNQ LDC phase in which there is no metal surface reconstruction, and the molecule simply shows the weak bending into the inverted bowl conformation. The deformation energy is higher for both models of the Ag(100)-F₄TCNQ system, but is by far the highest for the model of this structure that includes Ag adatoms, although this energy cost is more than offset by a large increase in the interaction energy.

Further insight into the nature of the F₄TCNQ plus adatom phase is provided by an alternative breakdown of the total adsorption energy to determine what fraction of the total adsorption energy is associated with adsorbate–substrate interaction and what fraction is associated with lateral intralayer interaction. The results (computed at the PBE+MBD-NL level) are presented in Table 6, which shows clearly

Table 6. Energetic Breakdown of the Total Adsorption Energy into Interactions between the Adsorbate and the Substrate and Interactions within the Layer Computed at the PBE+MBD-NL Level

	Ag(100)-F ₄ TCNQ with adatoms
E_{ads} (eV/nm ²)	4.52
adsorbate–substrate interaction (eV/nm ²)	3.19
adsorbate–substrate interaction (%)	71%
intralayer interaction (eV/nm ²)	1.33
intralayer interaction (%)	29%

that the intralayer interaction contributes very significantly (almost 30%) to the total adsorption energy for F₄TCNQ adsorption. F₄TCNQ interacts strongly with the Ag adatoms to produce a two-dimensional metal–organic framework with a strong lateral cohesion. This appears to be the key driving force for the reconstruction.

We have recently observed a similar 2D MOF formation in the case of TCNQ coadsorbed with potassium ions on Ag(111).³⁰

While it is clear that, for TCNQ adsorption at room temperature, the resulting commensurate LDC phase does not include Ag adatoms, it is interesting to consider the evidence from the STM images that the TCNQ:W2 phase may include Ag adatoms. If this were to be the case, it may be that the TCNQ:LDC phase, without adatoms, is effectively a metastable phase and that annealing and the associated increase in atomic mobility may lead to a true equilibrium phase that does include Ag adatoms. Because the TCNQ:W2 phase is incommensurate, it is not possible to perform a DFT calculation of this exact structure, but calculations using a model commensurate structure of similar size may provide some insight into the likely behavior of the TCNQ:W2 phase. The results of DFT calculations of this type are reported in the Supporting Information (see Figure S5 and Table S5, and accompanying text) but prove to be inconclusive. In particular, they show that the structure that is energetically favored does incorporate Ag adatoms, but the energy difference is very marginal and, in particular, is less than kT at room temperature. The implication that structures with and without Ag adatoms should coexist could be consistent with the fact that STM images produced after annealing do show

coexistence of the TCNQ:W2 and TCNQ:W1 structures, with the TCNQ:W2 apparently containing Ag adatoms, whereas the TCNQ:W1 phase appears to have less, or no, Ag adatoms.

Surface Electrostatics. Prototypical electron acceptors, such as TCNQ and F₄TCNQ, lead to significant charge rearrangement and dipole formation upon surface adsorption, and adatom formation can have a very significant impact. An increase in the work function relative to the clean surface is found for all calculated systems, as expected for adsorption of electron acceptor molecules. Changes to the system work function can be analyzed in terms of contributions arising from electrostatic dipole effects and chemical bonding, as performed by Hofmann et al.³¹ As shown in eq 3, we can decompose the change in work function $\Delta\Phi$ (with respect to the clean surface) into two separate contributions. One contribution arises from the drop in electrostatic potential due to the molecular dipole in the adsorbed molecular geometry, ΔE_{mol} . The second term arises from charge rearrangements due to the electronic interaction of the molecule and substrate, ΔE_{bond} .

$$\Delta\Phi = \Delta E_{\text{mol}} + \Delta E_{\text{bond}} \quad (3)$$

Table 7 shows the values of the work function increase in all three adsorption phases, with the largest increase caused by Ag(100)-F₄TCNQ without adatoms. In all three cases, this increase is due to a strong electrostatic potential contribution due to the dipole perpendicular to the surface of the distorted molecule. This is only partially compensated by the reduction of the dipole due to the electronic interaction of adsorbate and substrate, which is a measure of the strength of local interactions between adsorbate and substrate. ΔE_{bond} is much larger for F₄TCNQ than for TCNQ. In the bent adsorbate geometry of F₄TCNQ in the absence of Ag adatoms, PBE+MBD-NL calculations predict a molecular dipole perpendicular to the surface of 1.98 Db, whereas including the Ag adatom leads to a much smaller dipole moment of 0.89 Db. The molecular geometry in the presence of adatoms is less distorted (see Figure 4), leading to smaller associated ΔE_{mol} of -0.24 eV. Simultaneously, the interface dipole of opposite sign due to bond formation is also reduced. The net work function change is 0.21 eV lower than in the no-adatom case. Therefore, the formation of an adatom-incorporated F₄TCNQ layer reduces the increase in work function, which would otherwise result from adsorption of the strong electron acceptor F₄TCNQ, to a value that is closer to that of TCNQ on Ag(100).

CONCLUSIONS

The interface between metal substrates and organic adsorbates plays a key role in determining the functional properties of organic electronic devices. Since strong electron acceptor molecules play a significant role in organic electron materials, it

Table 7. Work Function Changes Computed for Both Molecular Adsorbates Relative to the Computed Value for the Clean Surface of 4.19 eV^{at}

work function change and components	Ag(100)-TCNQ:LDC	Ag(100)-F ₄ TCNQ no adatom	Ag(100)-F ₄ TCNQ with adatom
$\Delta\Phi$ (eV)	0.62	0.80	0.59
ΔE_{mol} (eV)	-0.45	-0.59	-0.24
ΔE_{bond} (eV)	1.07	1.39	0.83

^aShown are the total change in work function, $\Delta\Phi$, the electrostatic contribution of the work function, ΔE_{mol} , and the contribution to the work function due to chemical interaction ΔE_{bond} . All values calculated at the PBE+MBD-NL level.

is important to gain an understanding of the various interactions, at the interface, which result from deposition of these molecules. Previous work has shown that both TCNQ and F₄TCNQ adsorption on noble metal surfaces can, in some systems, lead to a surface reconstruction, the resulting overlayer being a 2D MOF containing the adsorbed molecule and adatoms of the underlying metal species. However, in other cases it appears that no such reconstruction occurs. Through joint experimental and theoretical techniques, we have shown that the commensurate adsorption phases of these two molecules on Ag(100) lie on either side of the condition for this reconstruction. Specifically, F₄TCNQ does lead to the formation of an adatom-incorporated overlayer, whereas TCNQ does not. Moreover, we present the first clear quantitative experimental structural evidence that TCNQ adopts the inverted bowl conformation on the surface that had previously been predicted by DFT calculations to occur for both of these molecular electron acceptors on a range of surfaces.

Our analysis of the underlying energetics provides valuable insight into the factors that determine whether adsorption of a molecular electron acceptor does, or does not, cause incorporation of metal adatoms into the overlayer. Specifically, our calculated adsorption energies clearly favor Ag adatom incorporation when F₄TCNQ is adsorbed. Furthermore, a comparison of DFT and experimental NIXSW structural parameter values is fully consistent with the conclusions that TCNQ adsorption at room temperature does not lead to Ag adatom incorporation into the overlayer, whereas adsorption of F₄TCNQ under similar conditions does lead to Ag adatom incorporation. A breakdown of the contributions to these adsorption energies shows that it is the strong interaction of F₄TCNQ with Ag adatoms, resulting in the formation of a true 2D MOF that determines the reconstruction behavior.

Despite the clear evidence, both experimentally and computationally, that TCNQ does not create a commensurate adatom-incorporated overlayer when adsorbed at room temperature, annealing to elevated temperatures does produce an incommensurate phase that appears, on the basis of qualitative STM image evaluation, to involve incorporation of Ag adatoms. We infer that in this case kinetic barriers preclude the formation of this phase at room temperature. However, the fact that this phase cannot be formed without the coexistence of areas of other phases that appear not to incorporate Ag adatoms suggests that the energetics determining whether adatom incorporation occurs may be marginal.

Finally, we show that the incorporation of Ag adatoms into the F₄TCNQ overlayer significantly modifies the interface electronic properties. Stronger acceptors are expected to lead to larger work function increases. However, the stronger acceptor F₄TCNQ also shows a stronger driving force for 2D MOF formation with Ag adatoms, which counteracts the work function increase. Therefore, our results suggest that the maximal work function increase that can be achieved by acceptor adsorption on a Ag(100) surface may be naturally limited by the formation of Ag adatom networks.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

SI Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcc.2c00711>.

Additional experimental results: LEED, STM, SXPS, and NIXSW; Additional DFT structural and energetics results: TCNQ and F₄TCNQ on Ag(100) (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

David Phillip Woodruff – Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom; orcid.org/0000-0001-8541-9721; Email: d.p.woodruff@warwick.ac.uk

Reinhard J. Maurer – Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom; orcid.org/0000-0002-3004-785X; Email: r.maurer@warwick.ac.uk

Authors

Paul Ryan – Diamond Light Source, Didcot OX11 0DE, United Kingdom; Department of Materials, Imperial College, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom

Philip James Blowey – Diamond Light Source, Didcot OX11 0DE, United Kingdom; Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom

Billal S. Sohail – Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom

Luke A. Rochford – Diamond Light Source, Didcot OX11 0DE, United Kingdom

David A. Duncan – Diamond Light Source, Didcot OX11 0DE, United Kingdom; orcid.org/0000-0002-0827-2022

Tien-Lin Lee – Diamond Light Source, Didcot OX11 0DE, United Kingdom

Peter Starrs – Diamond Light Source, Didcot OX11 0DE, United Kingdom; School of Chemistry, University of St. Andrews, St. Andrews KY16 9AJ, United Kingdom

Giovanni Costantini – Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom; orcid.org/0000-0001-7916-3440

Complete contact information is available at: <https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.2c00711>

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Diamond Light Source for allocations SI17261 and SI20785 of beam time at beamline I09 that contributed to the results presented here. P.T.P.R. and P.J.B. acknowledge financial support from Diamond Light Source and EPSRC. G.C. acknowledges financial support from the EU through the ERC Grant “VISUAL-MS” (Project ID: 308115). B.S. and R.J.M. acknowledge doctoral studentship funding from the EPSRC and the National Productivity Investment Fund (NPIF). R.J.M. acknowledges financial support via a UKRI Future Leaders Fellowship (MR/S016023/1). We acknowledge computing resources provided by the EPSRC-funded HPC Midlands+ Computing Centre (EP/P020232/1) and the EPSRC-funded Materials Chemistry Consortium (EP/R029431/1) for the ARCHER2 U.K. National Supercomputing Service (<http://www.archer2.ac.uk>).

■ REFERENCES

(1) Salzmann, I.; Heimel, G.; Oehzelt, M.; Winkler, S.; Koch, N. Molecular Electrical Doping of Organic Semiconductors: Fundamen-

- tal Mechanisms and Emerging Dopant Design Rules. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2016**, *49*, 370–378.
- (2) Lüssem, B.; Keum, C. – M.; Kasemann, D.; Naab, B.; Bao, Z.; Leo, K. Doped Organic Transistors. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 13714–13751.
- (3) Widdascheck, F.; Bischof, D.; Witte, G. Engineering of Printable and Air-Stable Silver Electrodes with High Work Function using Contact Primer Layer: From Organometallic Interphases to Sharp Interfaces. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2021**, *31*, 2106687.
- (4) Stradi, D.; Borca, B.; Barja, S.; Garnica, M.; Diaz, C.; Rodriguez-Garcia, J. M.; Alcamí, M.; Vazquez de Parga, A. L.; Miranda, R.; Martin, F. Understanding the Self-Assembly of TCNQ on Cu(111): a Combined Study Based on Scanning Tunnelling Microscopy Experiments and Density Functional Theory Simulations. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 15071–15079.
- (5) Romaner, L.; Heimel, G.; Brédas, J.-L.; Gerlach, A.; Schreiber, F.; Johnson, R. L.; Zegenhagen, J.; Duhm, S.; Koch, N.; Zojer, E. Impact of Bidirectional Charge Transfer and Molecular Distortions on the Electronic Structure of a Metal–Organic Interface. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2007**, *99*, 256801.
- (6) Tseng, T.-C.; Urban, C.; Wang, Y.; Otero, R.; Tait, S. L.; Alcamí, M.; Ēcija, D.; Trelka, M.; Gallego, J. M.; Lin, N.; et al. Charge-Transfer-Induced Structural Rearrangements at Both Sides of Organic/Metal Interfaces. *Nat. Chem.* **2010**, *2*, 374–379.
- (7) Barja, S.; Stradi, D.; Borca, B.; Garnica, M.; Díaz, C.; Rodriguez-García, J. M.; Alcamí, M.; de Parga, A. L. V.; Martín, F.; Miranda, R. Ordered Arrays of Metal–Organic Magnets at Surfaces. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2013**, *25*, 484007.
- (8) Martínez, J. I.; Abad, E.; Flores, F.; Ortega, J. Simulating the Organic-Molecule/Metal Interface TCNQ/Au(111). *Phys. Status Solidi B* **2011**, *248*, 2044–2049.
- (9) Della Pia, A.; Riello, M.; Stassen, D.; Jones, T. S.; Bonifazi, D.; De Vita, A.; Costantini, G. Two-Dimensional Core–Shell Donor–Acceptor Assemblies at Metal–Organic Interfaces Promoted by Surface-Mediated Charge Transfer. *Nanoscale* **2016**, *8*, 19004–19013.
- (10) Torrente, I. G.; Franke, K. J.; Pascual, J. I. Structure and Electronic Configuration of Tetracyanoquinodimethane Layers on a Au(1 1 1) Surface. *Int. J. Mass. Spectrom.* **2008**, *277*, 269–273.
- (11) Kamna, M. M.; Graham, T. M.; Love, J. C.; Weiss, P. S. Strong Electronic Perturbation of the Cu{111} Surface by 7,7',8,8'-Tetracyanoquinodimethane. *Surf. Sci.* **1998**, *419*, 12–23.
- (12) Wackerlin, C.; Iacovita, C.; Chylarecka, D.; Fesser, P.; Jung, T. A.; Ballav, N. Assembly of 2D Ionic Layers by Reaction of Alkali Halides with the Organic Electrophile 7,7,8,8-Tetracyano-*p*-Quinodimethane (TCNQ). *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 9146–9148.
- (13) Yamane, H.; Kosugi, N. High Hole-Mobility Molecular Layer Made from Strong Electron Acceptor Molecules with Metal Adatoms. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, *8*, 5366–5371.
- (14) Faraggi, M. N.; Jiang, N.; Gonzalez-Lakunza, N.; Langner, A.; Stepanow, S.; Kern, K.; Arnau, A. Bonding and Charge Transfer in Metal–Organic Coordination Networks on Au(111) with Strong Acceptor Molecules. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2012**, *116*, 24558–24565.
- (15) Blowey, P. J.; Velari, S.; Rochford, L. A.; Duncan, D. A.; Warr, D. A.; Lee, T.-L.; De Vita, A.; Costantini, G.; Woodruff, D. P. Re-evaluating How Charge Transfer Modifies the Conformation of Adsorbed Molecules. *Nanoscale* **2018**, *10*, 14984–14992.
- (16) Woodruff, D. P. Surface Structure Determination Using X-ray Standing Waves. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **2005**, *68*, 743–798.
- (17) Zegenhagen, J. Surface Structure Determination with X-ray Standing Waves. *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **1993**, *18*, 202–271.
- (18) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalised Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865–3868.
- (19) Tseng, T.-C.; Abdurakhmanova, N.; Stepanow, S.; Kern, K. Hierarchical Assembly and Reticulation of Two-Dimensional Mn and Ni TCNQ_x (x = 1, 2, 4) Coordination Structures on a Metal Surface. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115*, 10211–10217.
- (20) Feyer, V.; Graus, M.; Nigge, P.; Zamborlini, G.; Acres, R. G.; Schöll, A.; Reinert, F.; Schneider, C. M. The Geometric and Electronic Structure of TCNQ and TCNQ+Mn on Ag(001) and Cu(001) Surfaces. *J. Electron Spectrosc. Rel. Pheom.* **2015**, *204*, 125–131.
- (21) Hermann, K. E.; Van Hove, M. A. *LEEDpat* (version 4.2), 2014, <http://www.fhi-berlin.mpg.de/KHsoftware/LEEDpat/index.html>.
- (22) Abdurakhmanova, N.; Floris, A.; Tseng, T.-C.; Comisso, A.; Stepanow, S.; De Vita, A.; Kern, K. Stereoselectivity and Electrostatics in Charge-Transfer Mn- and Cs-TCNQ₄ Networks on Ag(100). *Nat. Commun.* **2012**, *3*, 940.
- (23) Precht, R.; Hausbrand, R.; Jaegermann, W. Electronic Structure and Electrode Properties of Tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ): a Surface Science Investigation of Lithium Intercalation into TCNQ. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *17*, 6588–6596.
- (24) Precht, R.; Stolz, S.; Mankel, E.; Mayer, T.; Jaegermann, E.; Hausbrand, R. Investigation of Sodium Insertion into Tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ): Results for a TCNQ Thin Film Obtained by a Surface Science Approach. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *18*, 3056–3064.
- (25) Woodruff, D. P.; Duncan, D. A. X-ray Standing Wave Studies of Molecular Adsorption: Why Coherent Fractions Matter. *New J. Phys.* **2020**, *22*, 113012.
- (26) Blum, V.; Gehrke, R.; Hanke, F.; Havu, P.; Havu, V.; Ren, X.; Reuter, K.; Scheffler, M. *An Initio* Molecular Simulations with Numeric Atom-Centered Orbitals. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **2009**, *180*, 2175–2196.
- (27) Ruiz, V. G.; Liu, W.; Zojer, E.; Scheffler, M.; Tkatchenko, A. Density-Functional Theory with Screened van der Waals Interactions for the Modelling of Hybrid Inorganic–Organic Systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *108*, 146103.
- (28) Hermann, J.; Tkatchenko, A. Density Functional Model for van der Waals Interactions: Unifying Many-Body Atomic Approaches with Nonlocal Functionals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2020**, *124*, 146401.
- (29) Maurer, R. J.; Ruiz, V. G.; Tkatchenko, A. Many-Body Dispersion Effects in the Binding of Adsorbates on Metal Surfaces. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *143*, 102808.
- (30) Blowey, P. J.; Sohail, B.; Rochford, L. A.; Lafosse, T.; Duncan, D. A.; Ryan, P. T. P.; Warr, D. A.; Lee, T.-L.; Costantini, G.; Maurer, R. J.; Woodruff, D. P. Alkali Doping Leads to Salt Formation in a Two-Dimensional Metal–Organic Framework. *ACS Nano* **2020**, *14*, 7475–7483.
- (31) Hofmann, O.; Egger, D.; Zojer, E. Work-Function Modification Beyond Pinning: When Do Molecular Dipoles Count. *Nano Lett.* **2010**, *10*, 4369–4374.