1 2

## Personality Disorder: A Mental Health Priority Area

Grenyer, B.F.S., Ng, F.Y.Y., Townsend, M.L., Rao, S. (2017). Personality disorder: A mental
health priority area. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry. Early online view.
DOI: 10.1177/0004867417717798

6

7 Personality disorder is a complex and severe mental illness, associated with high usage of 8 services and treatment cost (Leichsenring et al., 2011), where the economic benefits 9 associated with the provision of evidenced-based interventions has recently been established (Meuldijk et al., 2017). Globally, personality disorders are estimated to affect approximately 10 11 6% of the population (Huang et al., 2009). Despite this, the disorder has received limited 12 recognition as a public health issue. Left untreated, individuals with the disorder may 13 experience disadvantage, including failing to be engaged in education or work (Ng et al., 14 2016), have a high risk of suicide and experiencing comorbid mental health disorders 15 (Leichsenring et al., 2011).

16

17 Internationally, best practice guidelines have been published in a number of countries 18 acknowledging challenges associated with service provision, aiming to improve services for 19 individuals with personality disorder. Guidelines were first developed in 1999 in New 20 Zealand (Krawitz and Watson, 1999) followed by the United States of America, United 21 Kingdom and Australia (National Health and Medical Research Council, 2012). These 22 clinical practice guidelines provide a roadmap for reform and consistently recommend 23 psychological interventions as the first line of treatment. It is recommended that clinical 24 practice guidelines for the management of personality disorder should be read in conjunction 25 with the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists practice guidelines for 26 mood disorders (Malhi et al., 2015) and deliberate self-harm (Carter et al., 2016), given the high comorbidity. 27

28

29 There is an evidence base for the effectiveness of various psychological treatments for

30 Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD) (for example cognitive behavioural and

31 psychodynamic therapies), involving weekly sessions for one year, all with similar outcomes

32 (Cristea et al., 2017). Most health workers indicate a need for greater training in these

treatments for personality disorder (McCarthy et al., 2013). The underlying general skills that

34 are effective in all these models have been described and tested (Bateman et al., 2015;

Beatson and Rao, 2014), meaning any psychologist or psychiatrist can implement effective
 care with support.

3

4 There is however workforce challenges to providing coverage of psychological therapies. For 5 example, in Australia access to psychiatrists is limited, with 17 private psychiatrists per 100 6 000 population practising in major cities, 6.2 per 100 000 in inner regional areas, 4.4 per 100 7 000 in outer regional areas and only 3 per 100 000 for outer regional and remote areas 8 (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2014). Mental health nurses are a significant part 9 of the workforce but often are not trained in psychological therapies thus improving access to 10 funding psychologists is the most viable option. There is greater onus is placed on 11 psychologists to provide treatment and support to individuals with personality disorder, yet 12 the burden often falls to public services which may struggle to provide the community 13 services required for effective evidence-based care. 14

Consumers and carers have both reported the difficulties in identifying and accessing services 15 16 (Lawn and McMahon, 2015). Current mental health schemes offered as part of universal 17 health care in Australia, such as the Better Access to Mental Health Scheme or the Access to 18 Allied Psychological Services (ATAPS) subsidises only 10 – 18 individual and 10 - 12 group 19 sessions per calendar year, which clinical guidelines and research considers insufficient for 20 meeting the treatment needs of some individuals with personality disorder (Beatson and Rao, 21 2014; National Health and Medical Research Council, 2012). More concerning, at present 22 personality disorders are not recognised on the general practitioner's mental health care Medicare items list, suggesting that current universal mental health schemes are not suitably 23 24 designed to support the treatment of personality disorder. Other treatment access pathways 25 such as Australia's National Disability Insurance Scheme may not be a good match for most 26 people with personality disorder. The majority of people with personality disorder respond 27 well if provided effective evidence-based psychological treatment and therefore, recovery 28 and living a contributing life is achievable. Long term disability would mostly represent a failure to access and receive evidence-based community psychological treatment. The 29 30 implementation of an alternative model for accessing community based treatment when 31 warranted by individuals is required.

32

At present, different state based initiatives in Australia – such as the Project Air Strategy in
 New South Wales and Spectrum Personality Disorders Service in Victoria are available.

South Australia, through their state Mental Health Commission, has commenced the process
 of reform. We outline a number of areas of priority which require careful consideration at this
 time of reform.

4 5

1. Improving Treatment for Individuals with Personality Disorder

6

7 Individuals with personality disorder often access a variety of services, both clinical and 8 psychosocial, to assist with their recovery. A national commitment is needed to re-orient 9 clinical services to implement the NHMRC clinical practice guidelines. Stepped care models 10 for personality disorder have been developed using brief interventions to intervene rapidly at 11 the acute stage of illness, followed by additional longer term treatment as clinical need 12 dictates (Grenyer, 2014). The stepped care approach also acknowledges individuals who have personality disorder who do not require or wish to engage in long term care, but can benefit 13 14 from immediate crisis care that provides specific focused personality disorder interventions (Grenyer, 2014). Longer term evidence-based interventions designed for the treatment of 15 BPD have demonstrated their effectiveness in terms of outcomes and cost. A recent 16 17 systematic review identified the benefits of providing evidence-based interventions, with an 18 average cost saving of USD \$2987.82 per patient per year (Meuldijk et al., 2017). 19

20 Training all mental health staff in Australia to effectively work with individuals with 21 personality disorder and the implementation of brief and longer-term intervention services 22 around Australia is an urgent priority as such these models can lead to significant reductions 23 in inpatient hospitalisation and emergency department presentations (Grenyer, 2014). The 24 need to improve skills and knowledge of mental health staff has been supported by the need 25 for a whole of system approach such that staff working in specialist and non-specialist 26 organisations need to be equipped with the skills and knowledge in order to work with 27 individuals with personality disorder (Grenyer, 2013).

28 29

2. Assessing and Intervening Early

30

31 Increasing evidence has suggested that early intervention and diagnosis prior to the age of 18

32 and intervening with individuals who have emerging personality disorder is conducive to

33 improving outcomes (Chanen et al., 2009). The NHMRC clinical practice guidelines

34 (National Health and Medical Research Council, 2012) makes two pertinent

1 recommendations; first young people with emerging symptoms should be assessed for 2 possible BPD; and second, adolescents should receive structured psychological therapies. Yet 3 despite this clear guidance, there is ongoing reluctance from health professionals in 4 diagnosing individuals with BPD prior to the age of 18 years. This has potential to not only 5 limit the types of services individuals can access but also delays access to effective treatment. 6 Primary care that is well connected to schools and families provide good opportunities to 7 identify, intervene, and source additional support for individuals with these emerging 8 problems (Grenyer, 2013). Mental health staff working with adolescents similarly have the 9 skills to assess and treat young people with emerging symptoms if they are trained in 10 contemporary personality disorder treatment. Sadly, most experienced staff identify training 11 and knowledge gaps in treating these disorders (McCarthy et al., 2013). 12 13 One innovative example of early intervention in Australia is the HYPE (Helping Young 14 People Early) clinic based at the ORYGEN Youth Health (Chanen et al., 2009). This model provides integrative care for adolescents between 15-25 years of age, offering psychotherapy, 15 16 case management, crisis care and support for families and carers. 17 18 3. Improving the experience of consumers, families, carers and partners 19 20 There is a need to support all those who embark on the treatment and recovery journey from 21 personality disorders, which includes the family, carers and partners of individuals with 22 personality disorder. Significant burden, higher rates of psychological distress, and reduced 23 levels of wellbeing have been associated with caring for loved ones with personality disorder 24 (Bailey and Grenyer, 2014). 25 26 The consumer voice in personality disorder has emerged in the past decade with the 27 development of organisations such as the Australian BPD Foundation. These organisations 28 play an instrumental role in advocating for consumers, carers and family members, and 29 increasing community awareness of personality disorder. Despite this work, considerable 30 stigma and discrimination continues to be reported by both individuals with lived experience 31 and their carers, within the community and the health system (Lawn and McMahon, 2015).

- 32 This has been suggested to be perpetuated by the attitudes and limited knowledge on
- 33 personality disorders held by health practitioners. Alongside an imperative to educate
- 34 clinicians already within the workforce, emphasis should also be placed on tertiary and

vocation education settings to incorporate evidence based knowledge regarding personality
disorder for all pre-workforce clinicians. In the community level, mental health literacy in
regards to personality disorder is limited. The development of population based awareness
campaigns, not dissimilar to those designed to improve awareness of depression and
schizophrenia, which involve individuals with personality disorder and their carers may
address stigma and increase awareness.

8 Research is also needed that includes multiple perspectives to provide a greater insight into
9 the experiences of consumers (Ng et al., 2016). This could be achieved through the
10 incorporation of differing methodologies in collective data, such as narrative methods,
11 ethnography, case studies, and participatory action research. The development of a peer
12 workforce for personality disorder may provide a unique opportunity for the co-production of
13 knowledge.

- 14
- 15

4. Accurate and representative collection and reporting of data

16

Improving the quality of health services and understanding outcomes for Australian's living
with personality disorder is driven by the accurate collection and reporting of data. Currently,
personality disorders are often not specifically reported upon within national reports
including those from the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, but rather classed within
the 'other' category. Internationally, personality disorders have been excluded when reporting
on mental health morbidity (Tyrer et al., 2010).

23

In the recent report on Healthy Communities: Hospitalisations for mental health conditions 24 25 and intentional self-harm in 2013–14, the other category includes: BPD; Unspecified delirium 26 Eating disorders and Sleep disorders (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2016). 27 There is a clear need to understand more about this 'other group' particularly given they represent close to a fifth of all hospitalisations and 34% of all hospitalisations in individuals 28 29 under 25 years (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2016). Given population data 30 estimates the prevalence of personality disorders at 6.5% of the Australian population 31 (Jackson and Burgess, 2000), it is likely a significant proportion of other is represented by 32 individuals with personality disorder. However, this data is more than 15 years old and 33 requires updating to reflect current trends.

34

Rates of suicide for people with personality disorder have been established through 1 examining longitudinal studies of individuals who have sought treatment and have been 2 3 estimated to be at approximately 10% (American Psychiatric Association, 2001). The 4 national calls for suicide prevention in Australia are silent on personality disorder, despite 5 this diagnosis being associated with a higher risk of self-harm and suicidal behaviours 6 (National Health and Medical Research Council, 2012). Where they exist, studies have 7 predominately been based within North America and no data is available for Australia. Also, 8 the data reflects individuals who have received treatment and it is unknown how this 9 translates to individuals who are not engaging in treatment. The establishment of a national suicide registry may assist to understand mortality rates in Australia - if mental health 10 11 diagnoses that include personality disorder are linked. 12

Reforming the manner in which personality disorder is serviced, and viewed in Australia will require a consistent national approach involving ongoing commitment from government. We outline some of the pertinent issues surrounding personality disorder, however it is important to recognise that ongoing changes as part of national reform is required in order to improve services and outcomes for individuals with personality disorder and their carers and their families.

19

## 1 References

2 3 American Psychiatric Association. (2001) Practice guidelines for the treatment of patients 4 with borderline personality disorder. The American Journal of Psychiatry 158: 2-52. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. (2014) Mental Health Workforce. Available at: 5 https://mhsa.aihw.gov.au/resources/workforce/. 6 7 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. (2016) Hospitalisations for mental health 8 conditions and intentional self-harm in 2013–14. Canberra. Bailey RC, and Grenyer, BFS. (2014) Supporting a person with personality disorder: A study 9 10 of carer burden and well-being. Journal of Personality Disorders 28: 796-810. Bateman AW, Gunderson J, and Mulder R. (2015) Treatment of personality disorder. The 11 12 Lancet 385: 735-743. 13 Beatson, J, and Rao, S. (2014) Psychotherapy for borderline personality disorder. 14 Australasian Psychiatry 22: 529-532. 15 Carter G, Page A, Large M, Hetrick S, Milner AJ, Bendit N, Walton C, Draper B, Hazell P, Fortune S, Burns J, Patton G, Lawrence M, Dadd L, Robinson J, and Christensen H. 16 17 (2016) Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists clinical practice guideline for the management of deliberate self-harm. Australian and New Zealand 18 19 Journal of Psychiatry 50: 939-1000. Chanen AM, McCutcheon LK, Germano D, Nistico H, Jackson H, and McGorry PD. (2009) 20 21 The HYPE clinic: An early intervention service for borderline personality disorder. 22 Journal of Psychiatric Practice. 15: 163-172. 23 Cristea IA, Gentili C, Cotet CD, et al. (2017) Efficacy of psychotherapies for borderline 24 personality disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry. Epub ahead of print 1 March 2017. DOI: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.4287 25 26 Grenyer BFS. (2013) Improved prognosis for borderline personality disorder. The Medical 27 Journal of Australia 198: 464-465. 28 Grenyer BFS. (2014) An integrative relational step-down model of care: The Project Air 29 Strategy for personality disorders. The ACPARIAN 9: 8-13. Huang Y, Kotov R, de Girolamo G, et al. (2009) DSM-IV personality disorders in the WHO 30 31 World Mental Health Surveys. British Journal of Psychiatry 195: 46-53. 32 Jackson HJ and Burgess PM. (2000) Personality disorders in the community: A report from the Australian National Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing. Social Psychaitry 33 and Psychiatric Epidemiology 35: 531-538. 34 35 Krawitz R, and Watson C. (1999) Borderline Personality Disorder: Pathways to Effective Service Delivery and Clinical Treatment Options. Wellington, New Zealand. 36 Lawn S, and McMahon, J. (2015) Experiences of care by Australians with a diagnosis of 37 borderline personality disorder. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing 22: 38 39 510-521. 40 Leichsenring F, Leibing E, Kruse J, et al. (2011) Borderline personality disorder. The Lancet 41 377: 74-84. Malhi GS, Bassett D, Boyce P, Bryant R, Fitzgerald PB, Fritz K, Hopwood M, Lyndon B, 42 Mulder R, Murray G, Porter R, and Singh AB. (2015) Royal Australian and New 43 44 Zealand College of Psychiatrists clinical practice guidelines for mood disorders. 45 Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry 49: 1-185. McCarthy KL, Carter PE and Grenyer BFS. (2013) Challenges to getting evidence into 46 47 practice: Expert clinician perspectives on psychotherapy for personality disorders. 48 Journal of Mental Health 22: 482-491.

- Meuldijk D, McCarthy A, Bourke ME, et al. (2017) The value of psychological treatment for 1 2 Borderline Personality Disorder: Systematic review and cost-offset analysis of 3 economic evaluations. PLoS ONE 12: e0171592. National Health and Medical Research Council. (2012) Clinical practice guideline for the 4 5 management of borderline personality disorder. Melbourne: NHMRC. 6 Ng FYY, Bourke ME and Grenyer BFS. (2016) Recovery from borderline personality 7 disorder: A systematic reivew of the perspectives of consumers, clinicians, family and 8 carers. PLoS ONE 11: e0160515. Tyrer P, Mulder R, Crawford M, et al. (2010) Personality disorder: A new global perspective. 9 World Psychiatry 9: 56-60. 10 11
- 12