

1 **Type of paper:** Commentary

2 **Proposed title:** Challenges and opportunities in conducting health services research through
 3 international collaborations: a review of personal experiences

4

5 **Authors:** Naoko Arakawa*¹, Claire Anderson¹

Naoko Arakawa*	Address: School of Pharmacy, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, United Kingdom Email: naoko.arakawa@nottingham.ac.uk
Claire Anderson	Address: School of Pharmacy, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, United Kingdom Email: Claire.anderson@nottingham.ac.uk

6 Note: * is a corresponding author

7

8

9

10 **Declarations of interest:**

11 The authors are both currently funded by GCRF for the Bhutan project

1 [Abstract](#)

2 There is increasing attention to international collaborations in health services research with
3 a number of benefits. For developing and nurturing international collaboration, an
4 increasing number of funding opportunities are available globally. Having observed and
5 experienced the growth of international collaborations in the global health research field,
6 the authors reflect upon their own experiences in international collaboration between the
7 United Kingdom and many different countries in the process of health services and
8 educational research and discuss challenges and opportunities to conduct impactful
9 research in international settings. The commentary also highlights key issues and strategies
10 for learning and achieving more impact from global health research: including,
11 communication, co-creation, strong leadership and sustainability.

12
13 [Keywords](#)

14 International collaborations, global health, health services research, pharmacy

1 Introduction

2 International collaborations in health services research (HSR) are receiving more attention,
3 especially when researchers from both developed and developing countries are each
4 involved.¹ HSR is defined as ‘the multidisciplinary field of scientific investigation that studies
5 how social factors, financing systems, organizational structures and processes, health
6 technologies, and personal behaviours affect access to health care, the quality and cost of
7 health care, and ultimately our health and well-being’ by the Academy for Health Services
8 Research and Health Policy.² This covers various aspects from health policy, health care
9 practice, workforce development, and much more.^{3, 4} This multidisciplinary research has a
10 huge impact on health outcome improvement nationally but also globally. The benefits of
11 international collaborations in global health have been addressed in many documents. They
12 can be classified into two categories: (a) collective global health improvement, and (b)
13 research capacity building. Collective global health improvement includes preventing the
14 spread of global infectious diseases,⁵ and supporting low and middle income countries
15 (LMICs) for improving national health, which can collectively improve global health.⁶
16 Research capacity building covers developing local research capacity⁵ including institutional
17 and skills/expertise development.^{1, 7}

18

19 The authors have developed many of their international collaborations due to their
20 membership of the international Pharmaceutical Federation (FIP), attending and hosting the
21 International Social Pharmacy Workshop, via international PhD students who have gone
22 home and become academics following obtaining a PhD from Nottingham, through
23 international university networks and because of the University of Nottingham in Malaysia
24 where our pharmacy school is also based.

25 There are also increasing opportunities to obtain funding to collaborate with colleagues
26 from LMICs across the world. In the United Kingdom (UK) Official Development Assistance
27 (ODA) funding has enabled increased collaboration with colleagues in LMICs. The Global
28 Challenges Research Fund (GCRF) is a £1.5 billion fund announced by the UK Government to
29 support cutting-edge research that addresses the challenges faced by developing countries.⁸

1 ODA is defined as government aid designed to promote the economic development and
2 welfare of developing countries It is provided by governments and official agencies in the 23
3 countries that are members of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and
4 Development (OECD), Development Assistance Committee (DAC) and the European
5 Commission.⁹

6
7 Having observed the growth and prosperity of international collaborations in HSR, the
8 authors reflect upon their own experiences in international collaborations in the process of
9 health service and educational research and discuss challenges and opportunities to conduct
10 impactful research in international settings. The commentary highlights key issues with
11 performing research in international settings and provides strategies for learning and
12 achieving more impact from global health research.

13

14 Successes and Challenges

15 1. Universitas 21 social media project

16 The University of Nottingham is a member of Universitas 21 (U21), a network that
17 empowers students and staff from 27 world-class universities to share excellence,
18 collaborate across borders and nurture international knowledge exchange. The author (CA)
19 was the pharmacy lead for the U21 Health Sciences Group for. A number of years during
20 which time an international, interprofessional research project on using Social Media in
21 Health professional education was carried out.

22

23 The U21 SoMe project was initiated after the U21 health sciences group meeting in Dublin
24 in 2013. The key objective of the initiative was to identify and recommend strategies to
25 integrate and harmonize social media into the full spectrum of health professional
26 education, and to carry out educational research and evaluation to follow social media
27 evolution in the future. The project was implemented to specifically examine three areas;
28 examples and good practices in the use of social media for health education, examples and
29 types of policies to guide social media use in health education, potential areas of
30 educational research in using social media for health education.

1 A steering committee was formed that comprised an academic and a student volunteer
2 from 8 universities and all U21 HSG disciplines. A one-day workshop took place in Vancouver
3 in 2014 to discuss progress and a one-day workshop about the findings took place in
4 September 2014 in Shanghai. Between November 2013 and September 2014, the steering
5 group completed a literature review and carried out a U21 member school survey to find
6 out how different schools were using social media for education, and what policies they had
7 in place to guide the appropriate use of social media for education. The findings of the
8 survey have been published and details of the project can be found in the paper cited.¹⁰

9 From 2015 the U21 SoMe steering committee worked on developing a repository of
10 educational materials and policies related to social media in health education and
11 establishing an educational tool kit to help schools interested in starting or enriching the use
12 of social media in health education. A one-day Social Media workshop took place in
13 Santiago, Chile in 2015. In September 2016, a third Social Media workshop was organized
14 and took place in Birmingham, UK. The one-day event included a workshop on Professional
15 identity, as well as presentations of several educational interventions using Social Media in
16 U21 institutions. In November 2016, the Social Media steering committee agreed to move
17 to the knowledge translation phase of the project by encouraging each of the 11 now
18 participating university to form a local Social Media team to implement and measure an
19 educational intervention.

20

21 So why did this project work so well? We took time to talk through issues, cultural
22 differences and so on and co-created the work. It had clear agreed, aims and objectives. We
23 had strong leadership, and a good administrator, well hosted initial face to face and follow
24 up meetings that included social time helped with initial and on-going bonding with
25 numerous telephone conferences in between. The teleconferences were challenging to
26 organise time wise with people in Australia, Europe, Asia and Canada and Mexico to
27 accommodate. The students drove the project forward and formed their own sub network.
28 It was also sufficiently funded to have face-to-face meetings and we used the opportunities
29 to run workshops at the U21 health science annual conferences. The work has been
30 sustained as many of the U21 university departments including University of Nottingham
31 School of Pharmacy have now included education on Social media in their curriculum.

1

2 2. Kenya Nottingham Project

3 We were previously funded by a UK AID SPHEIR project to transform pharmacy and
4 chemistry education in Kenya in partnership with four universities, The international
5 Pharmaceutical Federation (FIP) , the Pharmaceutical Society of Kenya (PSK) There were
6 also numerous other stakeholders for example, the Commission for University Education,
7 Pharmacy and Poisons Board. This project enabled us to build lasting relationships with
8 Kenyan partners and had facilitated an ongoing research project about the development of
9 competency based education in Kenya. The project was supported at the highest level by
10 University Vice Chancellors. This was an important driver for moving things forward. The
11 research aims; to explore the health needs in Kenya and identify those which are
12 serviceable by the current pharmacy workforce, and that of the workforce in the near
13 future, to assess the current extent to which the FIP Global Competency Framework¹¹
14 applies to foundation pharmacists in Kenya, to identify the fundamental professional
15 competencies which registered pharmacists should be able to demonstrate in order to meet
16 the health needs of the Kenyan population, to describe and map the dynamics and
17 relational ties between key stakeholders and decision makers central to the creation and
18 implementation of pharmacy workforce development initiatives. From the perspective of
19 delivery, the Kenyan Partners provide the substantive direction for the project. University of
20 Nottingham's contributions can be viewed as facilitation, guidance and support to deliver on
21 the aspirations of the programme. In this way, the co-creation of solutions to deliver
22 transformation of the Kenyan curricula is key. Such co-creation is directly evidenced through
23 University of Nottingham's involvement in curriculum reviews, new course construction and
24 delivery of handbooks and frameworks. From an administrative perspective University of
25 Nottingham devolves as much responsibility for decision making as possible to our partners,
26 whilst remaining in compliance with local rules.

27

28 In order to do the research it has been necessary to engage and work with a number of
29 stakeholders and gatekeepers. It has not always been easy to work with university partners
30 in a resource poor country where academics are very stretched, have high teaching loads,

1 poor infrastructure and have frequent strikes. Communication has been facilitated by
2 regular visits to Kenya as well as telephone conferences, messaging and emails. In Kenya, a
3 specific communication app (WhatsApp, WhatsApp Inc., California) is hugely used. Choosing
4 the best way to communicate, or adapting to the most familiar way to communicate, is a
5 key to successful communication. Physical visits were also very important in order to keep
6 continuous engagements with collaborators. We developed a very good relationship with
7 our partner PSK who has acted as an essential advisor to the Nottingham team by sharing
8 expectations around Kenyan business practices, acting as an intermediary between the
9 Nottingham team and university partners in Kenya, informing on new healthcare initiatives
10 and working with the Nottingham team to identify new areas of work within the project.
11 During the PSK-FIP conference in June 2019, a workshop was held, inviting representatives
12 from other African countries. In the conference, attendees reviewed the FIP Global
13 Competency Framework¹¹ to rank each competence for its importance to the role of the
14 African countries. The CEO of PSK supplied several references to the session facilitators
15 informing them of the Kenyan government's health strategy and intentions for Universal
16 Health Coverage to set context for the activity. This is another example of the contribution
17 of PSK to the project drawing on their expertise of the larger health picture in country.
18
19 Between February and June 2020, PSK have supported the Nottingham team by distributing
20 a survey which again looks at the significance of the Global Competency Framework to the
21 role of the pharmacist but specific to the Kenya pharmacist. This makes use of their
22 extensive network of practising pharmacists. Results will be analysed in Nottingham.
23 Following this, PSK has planned to have several workshops to come up with a competency
24 framework for practicing pharmacists. The Competency Framework Consensus
25 Development Panel will draw experts from the practice committee of PSK, the universities,
26 the Commission of University Education, Pharmacy and Poisons Board and others co-opted
27 as needed. A Health Needs Assessment workshop and a consensus development workshop
28 for completion of the framework will follow. The eventual outcome of these meetings will
29 be a national competency framework for pharmacy practice in Kenya.
30

1 3. Bangladesh - building research capacity

2 One of the authors (CA) worked with a colleague in the pharmacy school at Dhaka University
3 whom was previously unknown to the author but had held a post-doctoral position at
4 University of Nottingham in the past. They successfully apply for British Council Funded UK-
5 Bangladesh Higher Education Link Programme funding to develop research capacity in
6 Bangladesh. Unsafe injection practices have an inherent risk of spreading three preventable
7 primary blood borne viral pathogens; HIV, hepatitis B and C viruses. Preference of injections
8 to oral medications and widespread misuse of injections in many developing countries
9 including Bangladesh. Young Pharmacists and doctors were trained in research methods and
10 to use used qualitative (in depth interviews, focus groups and non-participant observation)
11 and quantitative methods (a retrospective audit of prescriptions) to explore the extent of
12 injection use and injection safety practices in primary care hospitals in Bangladesh. The
13 project progressed extremely well due to building good relationships and the author's good
14 understanding of south Asian culture and her previous work with a Bangladeshi PhD student
15 who had conducted field work in Dhaka. The findings¹² were very important for Bangladesh.
16 The study received much publicity on more than one TV news channel and also in the daily
17 newspapers. It was a pleasure to work with such a talented and hardworking
18 multidisciplinary group of researchers.

19

20 4. Indian – tried and failed

21 Sometimes attempts to develop research projects fail. CA attempted to co-develop a project
22 on people's experiences for taking part in clinical trials in India with a colleague in Oxford.
23 She visited India and met key people but due to lack of pump priming funding we were
24 unable to get the project off the ground. It is often difficult for hard pressed academics and
25 researchers in LMICs to put time into unfunded activities.

26

27 5. Joint PhD students

28 Technology has made it possible to successfully co-supervise PhD students doing HSR from
29 the other side of the world. Usually this arises due to existing relationships with the

1 students or the other supervisor(s). Communication is the key with regular monthly video
2 conferences via Teams, Skype, WhatsApp, Zoom, GoToMeeting etc. Where face to face
3 meetings have been possible this has further facilitated working relationships.

4

5 The Thai government previously sent students to the UK for a full time PhD. As they have
6 now got more PhDs teaching in their universities, they changed the funding model. The new
7 funding is via the Royal Thai Government as part of the Royal Golden Jubilee (RGJ) PhD
8 Programme, Thailand Science Research and Innovation (TSRI). The students are based in
9 Thailand but is able to make a six month visit to their supervisor in this case to the
10 University of Nottingham. This new model ensures that the HSR is locally appropriate with
11 international input. However, the authors usually do encourage their international PhD
12 students, many of whom will return to be academics, to do their data collection in their
13 home country.

14

15 6. UK-Japan collaborations

16 Collaborations often happen when researchers bring their own network into another
17 country. NA has several experiences of collaborative activities between the UK and Japan
18 and they include successes and challenges.

19

20 The first collaborative activities that thrived were the relationships between two pharmacy
21 professional bodies in Great Britain (Royal Pharmaceutical Society) and in Japan (Japan
22 Pharmaceutical Association). This collaboration was established in 2015, aiming to
23 collaborate for the benefit of members of both organisation towards the improvement of
24 patient services with medicines and pharmaceutical public health. A key success was to
25 establish a formal relationship between country-level professional bodies. This was
26 facilitated by the FIP, providing a platform to discuss the future of the profession in each
27 country. The top leadership of both organisations supported the collaborative initiatives,
28 and this played a huge role in driving the activities forward. Challenges faced throughout the
29 collaborative activities included, differences in organisational structures and communication
30 processes. The form of leadership and decision-making process was different between two
31 organisations. This caused confusion regarding the time frame of the project and in

1 spending the funds. These cultural/structural differences in organisations are challenges
2 that always require attentions. Another challenge was a preconceived idea that a mediator
3 who had experiences in both countries understands all these differences in culture,
4 organisational structures, and different decision-making processes. This preconception was
5 a barrier to necessary communication for collaborative activities. Due to changes in
6 organisational directions of both organisations, the relationship was altered into university-
7 base collaborations and led to a UK-Japan comparative study of health and wellbeing hub
8 functions in community pharmacy.¹³ This was a huge learning point for international
9 collaboration. The collaborative mind can thrive into many different ways by shifting
10 challenges into opportunities for another collaboration.

11

12 Other UK-Japan collaborations were developed as research projects between UK and Japan
13 universities. These collaborations were easier to set up than the one with non-research
14 institutes because of prior experiences on collaborations between the collaborators and a
15 better understanding of decision-making processes in collaborators' organisations.

16 Universities often have similar decision-making processes even in different countries, having
17 the same idea for research, funding, and using the same terminology in research. This
18 enables smooth collaborative processes. Language issues might hinder some collaborative
19 activities even in university settings. However, continuing communication, assisting with
20 documents and being bilingual all enabled a gradual but consistent progress of
21 communication.

22

23 Key issues for these UK-Japan collaborations highlighted a need for clear understanding of
24 both sides of collaborative parties, and open discussion and communication for their
25 decision-making processes. Any preconceived ideas should be dismissed before any
26 collaborations and simple step-by-step process taken to clear any barriers for collaboration.

27

28 7. GCRF Bhutan project

29 Both authors are also engaged with a collaborative project with Bhutan, funded by the GCRF
30 from the UK Research and Innovation (UKRI) as stated above. The GCRF Bhutan project is to

1 investigate the professional and economic impact of current pharmacy workforce
2 development programmes in Bhutan. This pump priming project aims to strengthen human
3 resources for health policy in Bhutan and enhance the quality of initial professional
4 education and training for pharmacy workforce.

5

6 This one-year pump priming project is a necessary step to make our collaboration impactful.
7 This is to assess the current situation, find out any available stakeholder relationships
8 related to the project, and establish a platform for further collaborative projects. The
9 project collaborators include academics from a university, but also the Ministry of Health in
10 order to make a bigger impact in the country. Having the Ministry of Health on board from
11 the beginning made the collaboration smooth, in terms of tackling political and
12 organisational obstacles.

13

14 Discussion

15 The benefits of international collaborations in HSR is obvious. However, the degree of
16 impact of international collaboration varies depending on how the international
17 collaboration is processed. Personal reflections from international collaborative activities
18 and research helped the authors to suggest the following considerations for future
19 collaboration of health service research in international settings.

20

21 i. Communication

22 Communication is the best key to impactful international collaboration. Transparent and
23 consistent communication led a number of projects above to successful outcomes. Good

1 communication helps to facilitate a deeper understanding of cultural differences between
2 countries, organisations, and local needs and to build trust between partners. Swingler et
3 al.⁵ and Costello and Zumla¹⁴ address the need for decolonisation of the power balance in
4 collaboration between developing and developed countries in order to be truly impactful in
5 the subsequent country. Clear and purposeful communication establishes trust and reliance,
6 and develops deeper understanding of cultural/institutional differences, and dismisses any
7 preconceived ideas that can hinder cooperative partnership.

8

9 ii. Co-creation

10 Co-creation is another critical aspect of international collaboration. This affects the sense of
11 ownership and responsibility for collaborative activities. Clear agreed aims and objectives
12 requires a co-creative process, which happened in U21 project and SPHEIR project shared
13 above. In addition, co-creation avoids any power imbalance between partners through
14 shared decision making.^{7, 14} Without a co-creation mindset within partnerships, there can be
15 a loss of momentum due to different time schedules in various countries and institutions.

16

17 The authors recognise that this is a time-consuming process and it is necessary to have
18 funding for the co-creation. For fruitful collaboration, there are many opportunities for
19 pump priming funding available for international collaboration. Taking an example from
20 GCRF Bhutan project, we will use the GCRF pump priming fund for developing a better and
21 more impactful international collaborative project.

22

1 iii. Strong leadership

2 International collaboration often pursues the development of research capacity in the
3 subsequent country, especially in cases of collaboration between developed and developing
4 countries. Making a difference in the institution and organisations requires strong
5 leadership. Furthermore, strong leadership is needed for getting research findings into
6 policy and practice to affect their national and global health improvement.

7

8 Transparent and accountable relationships with relevant stakeholders are key to support
9 leadership in international collaboration. Developing a stakeholder map will support
10 understanding of cultural and organisational differences in the partner countries, as well as
11 directing the leadership in the field. The GCRF Bhutan project will encompass this
12 stakeholder mapping process in the pump priming process in order to clarify relevant
13 stakeholders for further collaborative project.

14

15 iv. Sustainability

16 It is important in all projects to attempt to try to achieve sustainable transformation within
17 and beyond project partnerships. Firstly, within the partnerships, the key partners
18 collectively can help to embed changes. Secondly beyond the immediate focus the profile of
19 the project can be spread by partners within institutions and countries leading to greater
20 change. Thirdly, projects can influence wider national and international changes in policy
21 and practice. Strong accountable relationships as developed in many of our projects also
22 facilitate sustainability. It is important to build time and resources for dissemination events,
23 journal fees, publications and social media activity into funding applications, they should not

1 be an afterthought. Dissemination and communication strategies that share project
2 learning are important. Examples include development of project websites, blogs, articles
3 and a social media presence. Final reports and interim and summative briefing papers
4 targeted at appropriate policymakers, practitioners, professional bodies are also key.
5 Dissemination events with key change agents are really important, for example the
6 Bangladesh project concluded with a conference, with invited press leading to appearances
7 on national evening news. National and international conference presentations, workshops
8 and Journal articles are also vital for spreading and embedding project results.

9

10 Conclusion

11 This paper has allowed the authors to reflect upon their own experiences in international
12 collaboration for HSR and has revealed a list of important aspects for successful
13 collaboration in international settings. All international collaborations have different
14 challenges but committed researchers who want better global health will always be able to
15 link up with other committed researchers in HSR in different countries. Sharing our
16 experiences and expertise in international collaborations in health service research will
17 enable fellow researchers to convert their challenges into successful collaborative actions
18 leading to better global health.

19

20 References

- 21 1. Lucas, A.O., International collaboration in health research. *Bulletin of the World Health*
22 *Organization*, 2005;83:482.
23 2. Lohr, K.N. and Steinwachs, D.M., Health Services Research: An Evolving Definition of the
24 *Field. Health Services Research*, 2002;37:15-17.

- 1 3. Institute of Medicine, *Health Professions Education: A Bridge to Quality*. Washington, DC:
2 The National Academies Press; 2003.
- 3 4. Phillips, C.D., What do you do for a living? Toward a more succinct definition of health
4 services research. *BMC Health Services Research*, 2006;6:117.
- 5 5. Swingler, G.H., Pillay, V., Pienaar, E.D., and Ioannidis, J.P.A., International collaboration,
6 funding and association with burden of disease in randomized controlled trials in Africa.
7 *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 2005;83:511-517.
- 8 6. Commission on Social Determinants of Health. *Closing the gap in a generation: Health equity
9 through action on the social determinants of health. Final Report of the Commission on
10 Social Determinants of Health*. Geneva; 2008. Available from:
11 https://www.who.int/social_determinants/final_report/csdh_finalreport_2008.pdf.
- 12 7. Kerasidou, A., The role of trust in global health research collaborations. *Bioethics*,
13 2019;33:495-501.
- 14 8. UK Research and Innovation. *Global Challenges Research Fund*. 2020. Accessed 2020
15 February 10; Available from: <https://www.ukri.org/research/global-challenges-research-fund/>.
- 16 9. UK Government. *Official Development Assistance*. 2015. Accessed 2020 February 10;
17 Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/official-development-assistance>.
- 18 10. O'Sullivan, E., Cutts, E., Kavikondala, S., Salcedo, A., D'Souza, K., Hernandez-Torre, M.,
19 Anderson, C., Tiwari, A., Ho, K., and Last, J., Social Media in Health Science Education: An
20 International Survey. *JMIR Med Educ*, 2017;3:e1.
- 21 11. International Pharmaceutical Federation. *A Global Competency Framework version 1*. The
22 Hague; 2012. Available from: http://www.fip.org/files/fip/PharmacyEducation/GbCF_v1.pdf.
- 23 12. Chowdhury, A.K.A., Roy, T., Faroque, A.B.M., Bachar, S.C., Asaduzzaman, M., Nasrin, N.,
24 Akter, N., Gazi, H.R., Lutful Kabir, A.K., Parvin, M., and Anderson, C., A comprehensive
25 situation assessment of injection practices in primary health care hospitals in Bangladesh.
26 *BMC Public Health*, 2011;11:779.
- 27 13. Arakawa, N., Yamamura, S., and Bates, I., A UK-Japan comparative qualitative study on
28 pharmacists' experiences about health and wellbeing hub functions in community pharmacy
29 - preliminary analysis. *International Journal of Pharmacy Practice*, 2020;S1:22-23.
- 30 14. Costello, A. and Zumla, A., Moving to research partnerships in developing countries. *BMJ*,
31 2000;321:827-829.
- 32
33
34