

1 **TITLE:** A cross-sectional study on risk of flooding and landslides and their associated
2 perceptions among home health care patients living in Fukui prefecture, Japan

3
4 **AUTHOR LIST:**

5 Makoto Yoshida¹

6 Hirotomo Miyatake², MD

7 Hidehisa Masunaga², MSc

8 Makoto Kosaka^{2,3}

9 Yudai Kaneda⁴

10 Yasuhiro Kotera⁵, PhD

11 Yoshitaka Nishikawa^{2,6}, MD, PhD

12 Chie Tsunetoshi²

13 Izumi Yoshida²

14 Fubuki Ogawa²

15 Akihiko Ozaki^{2,7}, MD, PhD

16 Hiroyuki Beniya², MD

17
18 **AFFILIATION LIST:**

19 1. Teikyo University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

20 2. Orange Home-Care Clinic, Fukui, Japan

21 3. Faculty of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

22 4. Hokkaido University School of Medicine, Hokkaido, Japan

23 5. College of Health, Psychology and Social Care, University of Derby, Derby, United
24 Kingdom

25 6. Department of Internal Medicine, Soma Central Hospital, Fukushima, Japan

26 7. Department of Breast Surgery, Jyoban Hospital of Tokiwa Foundation, Fukushima,
27 Japan

28
29 **CORRESPONDENCE:**

30 Hirotomo Miyatake, MD, Orange Home-Care Clinic, Fukui 910-0018, Japan

31 e-mail: hmiyatake@orangeclinic.jp, telephone: +81-776-21-3333, fax: +81-775-21-
32 3353

1 **SHORT RUNNING TITLE:** Disaster risk of flooding and landslides perception of
2 home care patients

3

4 **WORD COUNT**

5 3835 words.

6

7 **SOURCES OF SUPPORT:**

8 None.

9

10 **KEY WORDS**

11 Primary Prevention, Disaster Medicine, Disasters, Floods, Patient Transfer

1 **ABSTRACT:**

2 This study aimed to evaluate a risk of flooding and landslides among home care
3 patients, to reveal an extent to which patients require support for evacuation, and to
4 determine whether risk was accurately perceived among the patients. This is a cross-
5 sectional study targeting the patients who were actively treated at the home care clinic
6 in Fukui Prefecture, Japan. We collected data on the patients' sociodemographic and
7 clinical characteristics. Additionally, we collected data on their risk of flooding and
8 landslides through hazard maps and distributed a questionnaire to these patients
9 regarding their risk awareness of flooding and landslides. Out of the 199 eligible home
10 care patients, 84.9% (169 out of 199) were at risk of flooding and/or landslides, and
11 58.6% (99 of 169) of them needs support during evacuation. Furthermore, of those who
12 were at risk of flooding and/or landslides, 46.0% (45 out of 99) had accurate risk
13 assessments. Factors that resulted in inadequate risk awareness of flooding and
14 landslides included: not placing importance on evacuation; not using medical
15 equipment; and living on the first floor. The information of the risk factors regarding
16 inadequate risk awareness of flooding and landslides should be used to sophisticate
17 flooding and landslides evacuation strategy.

18
19 **INTRODUCTION**

20 Home care is a service in which doctors and other healthcare providers visit patients'
21 houses, elderly housing, and nursing facilities to support their discharge from the
22 hospital, support their daily medical care, deal with unexpected medical changes, and
23 provide terminal care. The number of people choosing home care is increasing.
24 Moreover, the home care sector is expected to grow significantly with the aging of the
25 global population and the increasing preference of patients for high quality care and is
26 estimated to reach a market size of \$49.7 billion by the end of 2030, up from \$27.9
27 billion in 2022.¹

28
29 Persons with home care services are considered a vulnerable population during flooding
30 and landslides due to the need for continuous access to healthcare and declines in
31 physical functions caused by aging, chronic diseases. Natural disasters are increasing all
32 over the world,² and have become a major health and socioeconomic problem
33 worldwide, but home care patients may not be fully prepared for disasters.³⁻⁶ In

1 particular, flooding damage was concentrated among those with low activity of daily
2 living.^{5,6}

3
4 In Japan, the number of home care patients is increasing,⁷ and they face various
5 difficulties in evacuation during flooding and landslides. In the case of Typhoon No. 21
6 on 1 September, 2019, power outages caused a number of problems, including safety
7 concerns for home care patients using medical equipment such as ventilators, oxygen
8 concentrators, and infusion pumps, as well as for older people who had difficulty in
9 moving were exposed to the risk of heat stroke as a result of the lack of air
10 conditioning.⁸ A wide range of flooding and landslides preparedness is necessary when
11 considering flooding and landslides countermeasures for home care patients.⁹

12
13 Our study purposes were as follows: (i) to determine the prevalence of home care
14 patients at risk of flooding and/or landslides; (ii) to reveal the extent to which patients
15 require support for evacuation, and (ii) to clarify whether risk of flooding and landslides
16 was accurately perceived among the participants.

17 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

18 **STUDY SITE AND PARTICIPANTS**

19 This study was conducted in Fukui Prefecture, located in the north-central part of Japan.
20 The prefecture has a population of 766,863 and its population density is 183.0
21 people/km². The elderly population over 65 years old accounts for 30.6%, and about
22 17.8% of this elderly population is in need of nursing care. Fukui Prefecture
23 experienced a flooding caused by the torrential rains in July 2004, which resulted in 4
24 deaths, 1 missing person, and 14,157 destroyed houses.¹⁰

25
26
27 The study site was Orange Home-Care Clinic in Fukui City, Fukui Prefecture, a detail
28 of which was provided elsewhere.¹¹⁻¹³ In short, it provides home care services to
29 patients living at home or in elderly facilities such as group homes. There are about 20
30 people running the clinic, including several doctors, nurses, and office staff. In this
31 clinic, one doctor and one staff member (nurse or office staff) visit the patients as a
32 team. Each team visits 8-10 patients/day on weekdays, and the visiting area covers a
33 radius of 16 km from the clinic. Some of the patients are living in nearby cities that are

1 more than 16km away and are treated in cooperation with visiting nurses. About 350
2 patients, from children to the elderly, are treated at this clinic every year. The clinic also
3 provides medical care for patients in the terminal stages of cancer, chronic diseases such
4 as neural intractable diseases and dementia, and severely handicapped children,
5 including not only terminal care but also life support.

6
7 This is a cross-sectional study targeting the home care patients using the services of the
8 Orange Home-Care Clinic on 1st December 2020. We excluded facility users and
9 hospitalized patients because we aimed to evaluate those who received home care

10 11 **SURVEY SHEET**

12 The questionnaires were developed based on the experience of Typhoon Hagibis and
13 other previous evidence as well as the local context of Fukui Prefecture. For example, in
14 the course of treating patients affected by Typhoon Hagibis and in compiling data, it
15 became clear that evacuation was difficult for those with low activity of daily living,^{5,6}
16 and we assumed that this was also the case for home care patients. There have been
17 studies on specific needs among the those with special needs care who stay at disaster
18 reception centers,¹⁴ but to the best of our knowledge, there are no studies in Japan on
19 risk of flooding and landslides of home care patients. Therefore, we created a
20 questionnaire on the topics we considered important.

21
22 The self-administered questionnaire consists of four sections.

23 Section 1 asks about perceptions of evacuation in the event of flooding. It asked about
24 the importance of evacuation, perception of disaster risk of flooding and landslides in
25 their houses, attitudes toward evacuation, the range of needs for care and support, and
26 the use of medical devices.

27 Section 2 focuses on the patient's home situation, such as the structure of the house and
28 the bedroom floor.

29 Section 3 asks patients and their families about their actual feelings about evacuation,
30 and their concerns and inadequacies in flooding measures through open-ended
31 questions.

32 Section 4 asks the date the questionnaire was completed and who completed it.

33 The survey questions are described in greater detail in the Supplementary Methods.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

DATA COLLECTION

We collected information on sex, age, the range of care required, name of the primary disease, and address of the study subjects from the electronic medical records belonging to the Orange Home-Care Clinic. Age was calculated as of the time the questionnaire was distributed (1st December 2020). The range of needs for care or support was classified as Not certified, Support level 1, Support level 2, Care level 1, Care level 2, Care level 3, Care level 4 and Care level5 as described in the electronic medical record. As for the primary disease names, the diseases were classified based on the International Classification of Diseases, 11th Edition (ICD11). For those with more than one disease name listed, the first disease name was given priority.

For the risk of flooding and landslides, we checked whether the home addresses of patients collected from their medical records were included in hazard areas on hazard maps issued by the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism of Japan. We investigated the risk information of flooding and landslides of patients' houses based on the addresses listed on the electronic medical records. Risk Information of landslides was collected considering that landslides can be caused by flooding as well as earthquakes. For this survey, we used "Overlapping Hazard Map," which is a free website provided by the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism of Japan that enables disaster risk information to be displayed on a map.¹⁵ The risk of flooding was categorized into nine types based on the depth of flooding, and the risk of landslides was categorized into seven types based on the area. We checked whether the patients' houses were in the warning areas or special warning areas. When we could not identify an address with "Overlapping Hazard Map", we searched for it on "Yahoo! Maps" and made the assessment as above.¹⁶ All checks were completed by at least two of us, and if there were differences in the classification of risk of flooding and landslides, we asked another person to check and make a decision.

Then, we conducted a questionnaire survey from 1st December 2020 to 30 April 2021 to determine whether their risk of flooding and landslides was accurately assessed. Self-administered questionnaires were sent to the participants from 1st December 2020, and the staff of the Orange Home-Care Clinic asked the patients and their families to

1 complete the questionnaire. Its details will be described in the following section. To
2 reduce response bias, participants were informed their participation was voluntary and
3 their participation and response results would not affect their future services. The
4 deadline for responses was set for 30 April 2021.

5
6 The completed self-administered questionnaires were collected by the Orange Home-
7 Care Clinic, and the written contents of the questionnaires were tabulated and integrated
8 with information on the presence or absence of responses, basic patient information
9 obtained from electronic medical records, and information about risk of flooding and
10 landslides

11 12 **DATA ANALYSIS**

13 We calculated the absolute number of home care patients whose houses were at risk of
14 flooding and/or landslides and the percentage of these patients in the total number of
15 home care patients using the Orange Home-Care Clinic as of 1st December 2020.

16
17 Basic information on home care patients such as sex, age, range of care needs, name of
18 main disease, address (by city), depth of flooding, landslides information, and special
19 warning areas were tabulated separately for participants and non-participants in the
20 survey. Data from non-respondents are also included to provide a denominator for risk
21 assessment and to calculate the number of persons requiring assistance who may need
22 help during evacuation. In the respondent's group, information about the structure of
23 their houses and the use of medical equipment were additionally tabulated.

24
25 Respondents were divided into two groups: those at risk of flooding and/or landslides
26 and those not at risk. We tabulated their responses to question 2) in section 1 and to
27 question 3) in section 1 of the questionnaire. Then, we investigated how many people
28 are aware of risk of flooding and landslides of their houses accurately by comparing
29 their risk assessment of flooding and landslides with that based on hazard maps. First,
30 for those who have both flooding and landslide risks, only those who answered
31 "located" for both in question 2) and 3) in section 1 were considered to have the
32 accurate perception. Second, for those with only flooding risk, only those who answered
33 "located" in question 2) in section 1 were considered to have the accurate perception.

1 Finally, for those with only landslide risk, only those who answered "located" in
2 question 3) in section 1 were considered to have the accurate perception.

3
4 Among the questionnaire respondents who were at risk of flooding and/or landslides,
5 we did univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis to investigate the factors
6 associated with those who are not fully aware of risk of flooding and landslides . In the
7 univariate logistic regression, sex, age, primary disease, presence of flood risk, flooding
8 depth, presence of landslide risk, presence of special alert, building count, home
9 structure, presence of medical device use, evacuation is important, presence of
10 difficulties in evacuating alone, bedroom floor, presence of evacuation concern,
11 respondents and number of disaster risk was used as the explanatory variable and
12 inadequate comprehension of disaster risks as the independent variable. In the
13 multivariate logistic regression, we used explanatory variables that were significantly
14 different in the univariate analysis. We considered *p* values of 0.05 or less to be
15 significant.

16
17 For all analyses, the results of the answered questionnaires were recorded in Microsoft
18 excel for Mac (ver. 16.53) for data extraction and tabulation. For logistic regression
19 analysis, we used Stata/IC 15. Missing data of the questionnaire was excluded from the
20 analysis.

21 22 **ETHICAL REVIEW**

23 The Institutional Review Board of Medical Governance Research Institute granted
24 ethics approval of this study (MG2020-06), adhering to the Ministry of Health Labor
25 and Welfare and The Ministry of Education guidelines, Culture, Sports, Science, and
26 Technology in Japan. In addition, the conduct of this study was announced in writing,
27 and participants were given an opt-out that guaranteed them the opportunity to refuse.

28 29 **RESULTS**

30 **INFORMATION FOR ALL PATIENTS RECEIVING HOME CARE**

31 There were 390 patients listed in the Orange Home-Care Clinic's electronic medical
32 records as of 1st December 2020, including 7 (1.8%) patients who were hospitalized, 36
33 (9.2%) patients who had ended their services, 44 (11.3%) patients who had died, and

1 104 (26.7%) patients who had been institutionalized. These patients were excluded from
2 the study because of the difficulty in having those patients respond. There were 199
3 home care patients using the services of the Orange Home-Care Clinic, of which 86
4 (43.2%) were male, the median age was 78 (range 2-105), and 112 (56.3%) were
5 certified as requiring nursing care. 165 (82.9%) of the patients lived in Fukui City. The
6 prevalent conditions were ‘diseases were of the nervous system’ in 60 patients (30.2%)
7 and ‘mental, behavioral, or neurodevelopmental disorders’ in 57 patients (28.6%). Of
8 the 199 home care patients, 169 (84.9%) were at risk of flooding and/or landslides, and
9 99 (58.6%) of them were certified as requiring nursing care. (Table 1)

11 **INFORMATION OF QUESTIONNAIRE RESPONDENTS AND NON-** 12 **RESPONDENTS**

13 Questionnaires were sent to 199 participants and 98 people filled out the questionnaire
14 (response rate: 49.3%). Table 1 presents the sociodemographic and clinical
15 characteristics of respondents and non-respondents. Of the 98 respondents, 25 (25.5%)
16 responded by themselves, 58 (59.2%) by family members living with them, 14 (14.3%)
17 by family members living separately, and 4 (4.1%) by others. Of the respondents, 86
18 (87.8%) lived in Fukui City, and 35 (35.7%) used medical equipment. (Table 1)

19
20 Comparing the characteristics of Respondents and Non-respondents, 38 (38.8%) and 48
21 (47.5%) were male, and the median age was 79.5 (range 2-105) and 78 (range 1-102)
22 years old, and 87 (88.8%) and 82 (81.2%) were at risk of flooding and/or landslides.

24 **PERCEPTION OF FLOODING AND LANDSLIDES RISK**

25 Among the respondents, there were 87 (88.8%) respondents whose houses were at risk
26 of flooding and/or landslides, and of those respondents, 47 (54.0%) were not fully
27 aware of the flooding and landslides risks based on hazard maps. (Table 2) The
28 percentage of those who thought evacuation was important was 86.2% (75 respondents)
29 in the group with risk of flooding and/or landslides and 63.6% (7 respondents) in the
30 group without flooding and landslides risks. (Figure 1) Of the 87 respondents at risk of
31 flooding and/or landslides, 32.2% (28 respondents) were unaware of the risk yet
32 considered evacuation necessary.

1 **FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH LACK OF FLOODING AND LANDSLIDES**
2 **RISK PERCEPTION**

3 Those who were at risk of flooding and/or landslides but failed to accurately assess their
4 risk had the following in common: not placing importance on evacuation (OR: 11.9,
5 95% CI: 1.4–9.6), not using medical equipment (OR: 3.1, 95% CI: 1.1–9.0), and living
6 on the first floor (OR: 4.71, 95% CI: 1.2–18.0). (Table 3) For not using medical
7 equipment, 11 did not answer, and their data were excluded from the analysis.

8
9 **DISCUSSION**

10 This survey revealed that 169 (84.9%) of home care patients were at risk of flooding
11 and/or landslides. Of the respondents who lived there, 47 (54.0%) did not have an
12 accurate risk awareness of flooding and landslides. Factors for this included not placing
13 importance on evacuation, not using medical equipment, and living on the first floor.

14
15 Out of 199 home care patients, 169 (84.9%) were at risk of flooding and/or
16 landslides, and among them, 141 (70.9%) were at risk of flooding. The Japanese
17 Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism of Japan reports that the
18 number of people at risk of flooding as of 2015 was 37.03 million across Japan,
19 which was 29.1% of the total population.¹⁷

20
21 However, this study revealed that the percentage of home care patients in Fukui under
22 risk of flooding and landslides was higher than the Japanese average. Therefore, this
23 suggests that the home care patients included in this study may be living in
24 geographically disadvantaged areas in terms of flooding and landslides risk. Fukui
25 Prefecture has a population at risk of flooding reaching 410,000 (52.7%) and a risk of
26 flooding area of 490,000 (62.5%),¹⁷ which is higher than the Japanese average,
27 because of the ground being lower than the major rivers and sloping gradually.¹⁶

28 Previous studies reported geographical vulnerability among home care patients both
29 in economically developing countries and developed countries.¹⁸⁻²¹ The average
30 income of Fukui residents was 4,450,000 yen, which puts them in the top 17% of the
31 world's population,^{22,23} indicating that even though they were economically well off,
32 they lived in an area vulnerable to flooding and landslides. Vulnerability to flooding

1 and landslides of home care patients may also need to be considered, in addition to
2 the vulnerability to access to health care.

3
4 Of the 169 patients (84.9% of the total) who were at risk of flooding and/or landslides,
5 99 (58.6%) had been certified as needing nursing care. A previous study on Typhoon
6 Hagibis indicates the vulnerability of those in need of supports for flooding, as 33.3%
7 of all deaths were those who could have evacuated but failed to do so because of their
8 own health problems.⁵ Furthermore, the mean age of those at risk of flooding and
9 landslides were 62.8 and 81.9 years old, respectively. Previous study has pointed out
10 vulnerabilities such as the fact that flooding victims are often concentrated in older
11 people, and that even when they survive, older people are more likely to develop
12 PTSD and general psychiatric symptoms than younger people.²⁴ In addition, patients
13 with suppressed immune systems such as cancer may be at increased risk of
14 infections caused by flooding.⁶ Considering the fact that the frequency of flooding is
15 expected to increase in the future, it is desirable to provide more support to home care
16 patients in times of flooding and landslides so that they can minimize the damage.²⁵

17
18 There were not many people who had an accurate assessment of the risks of flooding
19 and landslides at their houses. Among 98 Respondents, there were 87 (88.8%) whose
20 houses were at risk of flooding and/or landslides, and among whom 47 (54.0%) had
21 inadequate risk awareness of flooding and landslides. Previous study has highlighted the
22 importance of being aware of the risks of disaster, such as the fact that simple
23 preparations by family members and community members can increase the probability
24 of surviving the first 72 hours of a disaster before the arrival of government supports,²⁷
25 and that residents who were aware of hazard maps were able to start evacuating one
26 hour earlier than those who were not and had a 10% higher evacuation rate.^{28,29} It is true
27 that the risk awareness of flooding and landslides among home care patients in Fukui is
28 higher than the Japanese national average of 38.6% for awareness of hazard maps,²⁶
29 probably because flooding and landslides occur more frequently in Fukui than in the
30 rest of Japan, and most people are at risk of flooding.¹⁷ However, considering that their
31 survival rates may increase with a little more flood preparedness (e.g., 72 hours of
32 durable supplies such as an attic axe, freshwater, to allow survival until rescue arrives;
33 improvement of the first floor structure to resist water damage such as converting the

1 first floor from wood to cement or wooden floors to cement or tile; ability to run
2 equipment with a generator with safety devices to prevent carbon monoxide poisoning),
3 the awareness rate of risk of flooding and landslides may not be sufficient to minimize
4 the damage to home care patients during flooding and landslides.

5
6 Of the 87 respondents at risk of flooding and/or landslides, 32.2% (28 respondents)
7 were unaware of the risk yet considered evacuation necessary, suggesting a lack of
8 awareness regarding a hazard map. These finding warrants caution, given that an
9 evacuation in flooding could be dangerous. Indeed, from the perspective of our previous
10 study, in which we evaluated the fatalities associated with Typhoon Hagibis in 2019,
11 some of the residents involved might have died outdoors in the process of evacuating
12 from their homes 6. Of note, evacuation risk is higher among residents with impaired
13 daily living activities, such as home care patients. Therefore, home care patients and
14 their families need to be aware of the risks of floods and landslides in advance, and they
15 should determine whether to evacuate while weighing the risk of staying at home.

16
17 We found that the factors resulted in lack of risk awareness of flooding and landslides
18 include not placing importance on evacuation, not using medical equipment, and living
19 on the first floor. In a previous study, it was mentioned that men over 55 years old
20 (33%) and married people with children (27%) were the most well prepared for
21 evacuation, and that the awareness rate of hazard maps improved as their age
22 increased.³⁰ Furthermore, there was a difference of 25% in the awareness rate of hazard
23 maps depending on whether they knew their neighbors or not.²⁶ Judging from the results
24 of this study and previous studies, it is necessary to extend support to such people to
25 make them more aware of risk of flooding and landslides.

26
27 We found that there are many people who need support during evacuation. However, it
28 is necessary to survey what risks they face and what needs they have when they
29 evacuate to consider flooding and landslides countermeasures for home care patients.
30 Specifically, it is desirable to research what problems exist during preparation, during
31 evacuation, and after evacuation, respectively. It also needs to be examined whether risk
32 awareness of flooding and landslides increases survival rates. It is desirable to

1 investigate how risk awareness of flooding and landslides affects evacuation behavior
2 among patients receiving home care.

3
4 Although we found some factors associated with inadequate risk awareness of flooding
5 and landslides, there may be factors that were not detected due to the small sample size.
6 Therefore, it is still unclear what interventions are effective in improving their risk
7 assessment.

8 9 **LIMITATIONS**

10 There are several limitations in this study. First, the response rate for the questionnaire
11 was 49.3%. Because of the small sample size, generalizability was low. Moreover, we
12 do not know if these patients are representative of the population, and there may be
13 undetected factors. In fact, information on the reasons for not responding to the
14 questionnaire could not be obtained. These factors made it difficult to make accurate
15 risk assessment to those who were at risk but did not respond to the survey.

16
17 Second, when comparing Fukui with other regions, it is necessary to consider the
18 factors that are unique to Fukui Prefecture. Most of the respondents were residents of
19 Fukui, so many of them lived in flooding areas where the ground being lower than the
20 major rivers and sloping gradually.³¹ These environmental factors may have an
21 influence on the awareness of evacuation. Therefore, its applicability to other
22 communities, especially outside of Japan, is limited.

23
24 Third, we failed to consider the seasonal influence on the awareness of flooding and
25 landslides. In Japan, flooding generally occurs during the rainy season in June and July
26 and the typhoon season in August and September, so the awareness of flooding may be
27 higher during these periods. The response period for this questionnaire was from 1st
28 December 2020 to 30 April 2021, a period when flooding is relatively rare, while
29 potentially allowing for more persons not to be evacuated during seasonal flooding, so
30 seasonal factors could not be fully considered.

31
32 Fourth, this study was conducted at a single clinic only. Comparisons between clinics
33 were not possible, thus making it difficult to generalize the results.

1
2 Fifth, bias may not be sufficiently reduced in the collection of survey responses. When
3 handing out the survey, it was made clear that the responses would not affect future
4 services. In addition, an opt-out format was used to disclose information about the study
5 and to guarantee the opportunity to refuse. However, it might not be sufficient to reduce
6 bias.

7
8 Sixth, there exist situations where family members and Orange Home-Care Clinic staff
9 completed the survey. This made the assessment of the perception of flooding and
10 landslides risk among the home care patients challenging.

11 12 **CONCLUSION**

13 This study reported the importance of discussing the vulnerability to flooding and
14 landslides of home care patients. We identified the risks flooding and landslides and
15 awareness of it among home care patients living in Fukui. We found that in addition to
16 84.9% (169 out of 199) of participants at risk of flooding and/or landslides , 58.6% (99
17 out of 169) needed assistance during evacuation. Moreover, more than half of those
18 exposed to risk of flooding and/or landslides did not accurately identify their risk. This
19 result is important in discussing evacuation strategies for home care patients. Further
20 research in this area is needed in the future.

21 22 **ACKNOWLEDGE**

23 The authors express their sincere gratitude to all the clinic staff members, patients, and
24 caregivers involved in this study. We would also like to take this opportunity to thank
25 Ms. Tomoyo Nishimura, secretary of Medical Governance Research Institute, and Dr.
26 Morihito Takita for their help with the various administrative procedures.

27 28 **FUNDING**

29 None declared.

30 31 **AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION**

32 MY analyzed the data. MY, MK, YK and AO wrote the manuscript. All authors
33 conceptualized and designed the study and revised the manuscript.

1

2 **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

3 AO received a personal fee from MNES Inc, outside the submitted work. YN received a
4 personal fee from MRT Inc, outside the submitted work.

5

6 **DATA DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

7 This information has not been published elsewhere.

8

9 **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENTS**

10 Data available on request.

11

1 **REFERENCE**

- 2 1. Kenneth Research. Home Healthcare Market - Global Revenue, Trends,
3 Growth, Share, Size and Forecast. Accessed October 9,2021.
4 <https://prtimes.jp/main/html/rd/p/000001427.000059861.html>.
- 5 2. Alderman K, Turner LR, Tong S. Floods and human health: a systematic
6 review. *Environment international*. Oct 15 2012;47:37-47.
7 doi:10.1016/j.envint.2012.06.003
- 8 3. Fernandez LS, Byard D, Lin CC, Benson S, Barbera JA. Frail elderly as
9 disaster victims: emergency management strategies. *Prehospital and disaster medicine*.
10 Apr-Jun 2002;17(2):67-74. doi:10.1017/s1049023x00000200
- 11 4. Claver ML, Wyte-Lake T, Dobalian A. Disaster Preparedness in Home-based
12 Primary Care: Policy and Training. *Prehospital and disaster medicine*. Aug
13 2015;30(4):337-43. doi:10.1017/s1049023x15004847
- 14 5. Yoshida I, Ozaki A, Morita T, Tsubokura M, Kami M. Characteristics of Flood
15 Fatalities in Japan's Typhoon Hagibis in 2019: Secondary Analysis of Public Data and
16 Media Reports. *Disaster medicine and public health preparedness*. Jul 21 2021:1-5.
17 doi:10.1017/dmp.2021.163
- 18 6. Ozaki A, Kanemoto Y, Wada M, et al. A call for individualized evacuation
19 strategies for floods: A case report of secondary surgical site infection in a postsurgery
20 breast cancer patient in Fukushima, Japan, following Typhoon Hagibis in 2019. *Clinical*
21 *case reports*. Mar 2021;9(3):1212-1214. doi:10.1002/ccr3.3727
- 22 7. Ministry of Health LaW. Overview of Patient Surveys 2017. Accessed
23 October 9,2021. <https://www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/saikin/hw/kanja/17/index.html>.
- 24 8. Hidenori Hara IH, Shinichi Okamura, Takashi Kondo, et al: Challenges and
25 Recommendations for Home Medical Care in Times of Disaster: Experiences from
26 Typhoon No. 21 in 2008. *Amagasaki Medical Association*2018. Accessed October
27 9,2021. <https://www.amagasaki.hyogo.med.or.jp/news/1585/>.
- 28 9. Hata K, Hata M. [Balance in Disaster Preparedness between Public, Self-, and
29 Mutual Help for Home-Cared Individuals]. *Gan to kagaku ryoho Cancer &*
30 *chemotherapy*. Mar 2018;45(Suppl 1):65-68.
- 31 10. Wakui T, Agree EM, Saito T, Kai I. Disaster Preparedness Among Older
32 Japanese Adults With Long-Term Care Needs and Their Family Caregivers. *Disaster*

- 1 medicine and public health preparedness. Feb 2017;11(1):31-38.
2 doi:10.1017/dmp.2016.53
- 3 11. Miyatake H, Kosaka M, Arita S, et al. Videoconferencing for Home Care
4 Delivery in Japan: Observational Study. Journal of medical Internet research. Sep 1
5 2021;23(9):e23539. doi:10.2196/23539
- 6 12. Kosaka M, Miyatake H, Kotera Y, et al. The survival time of end-of-life home
7 care patients in Fukui prefecture, Japan: A retrospective observational study. Medicine
8 (Baltimore). Sep 24 2021;100(38):e27225. doi:10.1097/md.00000000000027225
- 9 13. Kosaka M, Miyatake H, Arita S, et al. Emergency transfers of home care
10 patients in Fukui Prefecture, Japan: A retrospective observational study. Medicine
11 (Baltimore). Jul 17 2020;99(29):e21245. doi:10.1097/md.00000000000021245
- 12 14. Brannen DE, Branum M, Pawani S, Miller S, Bowman J, Clare T. Medical
13 Allocations to Persons with Special Needs during a Bioterrorism Event. Online J Public
14 Health Inform. 2016;8(3):e200. doi:10.5210/ojphi.v8i3.6977
- 15 15. Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism of Japan. Overlapping
16 hazard maps. 2020. Accessed December 1.,2020.
17 <https://disaportal.gsi.go.jp/maps/?ll=36.003024,139.413972&z=15&base=pale&vs=c1j0>
18 [10u0](#).
- 19 16. Yahoo JAPAN. Yahoo!map. 2020. Accessed December 1,2020.
20 <https://map.yahoo.co.jp/>.
- 21 17. Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism of Japan. Population
22 living in disaster risk areas by prefecture; Accessed October 9, 2021.
23 <https://www.mlit.go.jp/kokudoseisaku/content/001373119.pdf>.
- 24 18. McAuley WJ, Spector WD, Van Nostrand J, Shaffer T. The influence of rural
25 location on utilization of formal home care: the role of Medicaid. The Gerontologist.
26 Oct 2004;44(5):655-64. doi:10.1093/geront/44.5.655
- 27 19. Lind JD, Fickel J, Cotner BA, et al. Implementing Geographic Information
28 Systems (GIS) into VHA Home Based Primary Care. Geriatric nursing (New York,
29 NY). May-Jun 2020;41(3):282-289. doi:10.1016/j.gerinurse.2019.10.010
- 30 20. Rees-Roberts M, Williams P, Hashem F, et al. Hospice at Home services in
31 England: a national survey. BMJ supportive & palliative care. Dec 2021;11(4):454-460.
32 doi:10.1136/bmjspcare-2019-001818

- 1 21. Forbes DA, Edge DS. Canadian home care policy and practice in rural and
2 remote settings: challenges and solutions. *Journal of agromedicine*. 2009;14(2):119-24.
3 doi: 10.1080/10599240902724135
- 4 22. STYLE Aai. Average Annual Income and Salary in Fukui Prefecture. 03/24,
5 2022. Accessed 03/24, 2022. <https://nensyu-style.com/130/>
- 6 23. Database TWI. World Inequality Database. 03/24, 2022. Accessed 03/24, 2022.
7 <https://wid.world/income-comparator>10.1080/10599240902724135
- 8 24. Jia Z, Tian W, Liu W, Cao Y, Yan J, Shun Z. Are the elderly more vulnerable
9 to psychological impact of natural disaster? A population-based survey of adult
10 survivors of the 2008 Sichuan earthquake. *BMC public health*. Mar 30 2010;10:172.
11 doi:10.1186/1471-2458-10-172
- 12 25. Hirabayashi Y, Mahendran R, Koirala S, Konoshima L, Yamazaki D,
13 Watanabe S, et al. Global flood risk under climate change. *Nature Climate Change*. Jun
14 9 2013;3(9):816-821. doi:10.1038/nclimate1911
- 15 26. Mobile Society Research Institute. Less than 40% are aware of hazard maps.
16 Awareness of hazard maps tends to be low among those who do not have a relationship
17 with their neighbors. Accessed October 05, 2021. <https://www.mobaken.jp/project/disaster/disaster20210602.html>.
- 19 27. Mahdaviazad H, Abdolahifar GR. Assessing hospital disaster preparedness in
20 Shiraz, Iran 2011: teaching versus private hospitals. *American journal of disaster*
21 *medicine*. Winter 2013;8(1):65-73. doi:10.5055/ajdm.2013.0112
- 22 28. Stewart M, Grahmann B, Fillmore A, Benson LS. Rural Community Disaster
23 Preparedness and Risk Perception in Trujillo, Peru. *Prehospital and disaster medicine*.
24 Aug 2017;32(4):387-392. doi:10.1017/s1049023x17006380
- 25 29. Toshitaka KATADA MKaHS. Study on residents' recognition of a flood
26 hazard map and promotion measures of its recognition. *Annual journal of hydraulic*
27 *engineering, JSCE*. Jan 2004;48:433-438. doi: 10.2208/prohe.48.433
- 28 30. Kapucu N. Culture of preparedness: household disaster preparedness:
29 household disaster preparedness. *Disaster Prevention and Management: An*
30 *International Journal*. Aug 2008;17(4):526-35. doi:10.1108/09653560810901773.

- 1 31. Yamamoto H. Characteristics of Flood Disaster by the Fukui Heavy Rainfall
- 2 on July 18,2004 at the Fukui city area on the left bank of the Asuwa River, Fukui
- 3 Prefecture. JJSNDS. May 30,2007;26(1):41-53.