Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for

Alzheimer's & Dementia

Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: ADJ-D-16-00130R1

Title: A candidate regulatory variant at the TREM gene cluster associates with decreased Alzheimer's disease risk, and increased TREML1 and TREM2 brain gene expression

Article Type: Research Article

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease; eQTL; TREM2; TREML1; regulatory variant

Corresponding Author: Dr. Nilufer Ertekin-Taner, M.D., Ph.D.

Corresponding Author's Institution: Mayo Clinic

First Author: Minerva M Carrasquillo

Order of Authors: Minerva M Carrasquillo; Mariet Allen; Jeremy D Burgess; Xue Wang; Samantha L Strickland; Shivani Aryal; Joanna Siuda; Michaela L Kachadoorian; Christopher Medway; Curtis S Younkin; Asha Nair; Chen Wang; Pritha Chanana; Daniel Serie; Thuy Nguyen; Sarah Lincoln; Kimberly G Malphrus; Kevin Morgan; Todd E Golde; Nathan D Price; Charles C White; Philip L De Jager; David A Bennett; Yan W Asmann; Julia E Crook; Ronald C Petersen; Neill R Graff-Radford; Dennis W Dickson; Steven G Younkin; Nilufer Ertekin-Taner, M.D., Ph.D.

Abstract: INTRODUCTION: We hypothesized that common Alzheimer's disease (AD)-associated variants within the triggering receptor expressed on myeloid (TREM) gene cluster influence disease through gene expression. METHODS: Expression microarrays on temporal cortex and cerebellum from ~400 neuropathologically diagnosed AD and non-AD subjects, and two independent RNAseq replication cohorts were used for expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) analysis. RESULTS: TREML1 and TREM2 have reliably detectable expression. A variant within a DNase hypersensitive site 5' of TREM2, rs9357347-C, associates with reduced AD-risk and increased TREML1 and TREM2 levels. Meta-analysis on eQTL results from three independent datasets (n=1,006) confirmed these associations (p=3.4x10-2 and 3.5x10-3, respectively). DISCUSSION: Our findings point to rs9357347 as a functional regulatory variant that contributes to a protective effect observed at the TREM locus in the International Genomics of Alzheimer's Project (IGAP) GWAS meta-analysis, and suggest concomitant increase of TREML1 and TREM2 brain levels as a potential mechanism for protection from AD.



Mayo Clinic Florida Nilüfer Ertekin-Taner, M.D., Ph.D. Consultant Associate Professor of Neurology Associate Professor of Neuroscience Birdsall Medical Research Building 4500 San Pablo Road Jacksonville, Florida 32224 Pager: 904.953.8169 Clinical Secretary: 904.953.7103 Research Secretary: 904.953.6424 Email: Taner.Nilufer@mayo.edu

August 18, 2016

To: Zaven Khachaturian, PhD, Editor-in-Chief, Alzheimer's & Dementia: The Journal of the Alzheimer's Association

Dear Dr. Khachaturian:

This is a re-submission of our manuscript "A candidate regulatory variant at the TREM gene cluster associates with decreased Alzheimer's disease risk, and increased TREML1 and TREM2 brain gene expression" (ADJ-D-16-00130) to Alzheimer's & Dementia: The Journal of the Alzheimer's Association for consideration as an original research article. The re-submission is an extensively revised version of our manuscript, which was modified to address each of the comments by all four of our Reviewers.

We are extremely grateful for the thoughtful and thorough reviews of our manuscript. We were delighted to receive the many positive comments, which included the following: "This work is significant both for the TREM locus and potentially as a more general approach for other AD-risk loci. Strengths of the study include the strong replication/validation strategy and the focus on identification and characterization of functional regulatory genetic variants" (**Reviewers**); "The paper has an interesting finding... This study was based on well characterized IGAP and Mayo Clinic cohorts with adequate study sizes." (**Reviewer 1**); "The expression association analysis was well designed and thoroughly executed. The study includes appropriate replication cohorts. The study contributes to the understanding of the broader role of TREM locus in AD, beyond the rare coding mutations; the results are of interest to the community of investigators studying the genetic of LOAD." (**Reviewer 2**); "Overall, the paper is well written. Methodology and results are well structured. The identification of several putative eQTL variants and selection of the putative causal variant are described in detail." (**Reviewer 4**); "Overall nicely done analyses, just a couple comments" (**Reviewer 5**).

We believe that we have addressed each of the comments of all of the Reviewers and that the changes we made in response to each of the comments have further strengthened our manuscript. Our revision consisted of addressing 29 main and 11 minor comments. Our responses are detailed in our 29-page point-by-point responses to the comments document submitted with this revision. Our revised manuscript and supplementary material includes modifications made to 2 Main and 1 Supplementary Tables; addition of 4 new Supplementary Tables and 2 new Supplementary Figures and inclusion of text to satisfactorily address all comments. Our revised manuscript continues to show the association of a variant in a regulatory region of the *TREM* locus with elevated brain *TREML1* and *TREM2* gene expression in 1,006 brains and decreased Alzheimer's disease (AD) risk. This variant may explain the AD risk association observed at the *TREM* locus in the IGAP AD-risk GWAS meta-analysis. Our findings suggest that common, regulatory *TREM* locus variants previously shown to confer LOAD risk (1). Below, we provide additional details about our work:

In 2013, our group contributed to the discovery of a rare missense variant (p.R47H) in the *TREM2* gene that has since been established as a strong genetic risk factor for LOAD (1). *TREM2* is a member of the triggering receptor expressed on myeloid (TREM) family that is located within a *TREM* gene cluster on chromosome 6p21.1, which includes the coding genes *TREM1*, *TREML1*, *TREML2* and *TREML4*. These genes could be plausible AD risk genes in addition to *TREM2*. There have been several reports of associations between a common *TREM2* intergenic variant (2), *TREML2* coding variant (3) and a *TREM1* variant (4) with risk for AD or its quantitative endophenotypes. These common variants appear to have effects that are independent from that of the initially reported rare *TREM2* coding variant.

In this manuscript, we sought to evaluate the effect of common AD-risk variants at the *TREM* locus on *TREM* family genes by a combined disease risk and gene expression association approach. We hypothesize that some of the common AD-risk variants at the *TREM* locus confer disease risk via regulation of transcript levels of some of the coding genes at the *TREM* gene cluster. Based on this hypothesis, we expect to identify common AD-risk variants at the *TREM* locus and distinguish the *TREM* family gene that they influence by their effects on gene expression levels.

In this study, we first characterized the brain expression levels of the *TREM* family genes using microarray expression data in a large cohort of ~400 neuropathologically diagnosed AD and non-AD subjects, validated the results by next-generation RNA sequencing (RNAseq), and determined that of the *TREM* locus genes, only *TREM2* and *TREML1* are expressed above background in the brain regions that were evaluated. We subsequently tested the association of *TREM2* and *TREML1* expression levels with single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) that were also tested in the IGAP AD-risk GWAS meta-analysis, and annotated these variants for their effects on AD risk, *TREM* gene expression levels and regulatory potential. We identified a variant with strong regulatory potential, rs9357347 located upstream of *TREM2*, which is associated with both reduced AD-risk and with increased levels of *TREML1* and *TREM2* in the

temporal cortex. This variant is in strong linkage disequilibrium with the intergenic variant that drives the AD-risk association observed in the IGAP meta-analysis at the *TREM* locus.

To validate our findings we obtained data from two additional cohorts with brain RNA sequencing data (a second cohort from Mayo Clinic and the ROS/MAP cohort from Rush University), thereby increasing our sample size from 380 brains to 1,006 brains. The meta-analyses of these cohorts revealed increased significance for the *TREM2* level association with rs9357347 (p=3.54x10-3) and continued association with *TREML1* levels (p=3.36x10-2).

We have also performed a detailed annotation of the variants at the *TREM* locus and determined that rs9357347 resides within sequence subject to histone modifications and within a DNase hypersensitive site detected by the Roadmap Epigenomics Consortium in brain regions relevant to AD pathology such as the hippocampus. Furthermore, it is predicted to affect relevant transcription factor binding as catalogued in HaploReg.

Our study currently represents one of the largest investigations of *TREM* locus variants for their role on brain expression of genes at this site, identifies a variant with strong regulatory potential, association with brain *TREM2* and *TREML1* expression in our original cohort and meta-analysis of 1,006 brains, and also AD risk association. Our findings suggest that transcriptional regulation at this site may be an important and novel mechanism in modulating AD risk and provides a testable hypothesis regarding a specific variant and transcription factors.

We hope that you may find our manuscript meritorious of publication in your journal. We look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Nilüfer Ertekin-Taner, MD, PhD Associate Professor of Neurology Associate Professor of Neuroscience Departments of Neuroscience and Neurology Mayo Clinic Jacksonville, FL 32224

Literature cited:

1 Guerreiro, R., Wojtas, A., Bras, J., Carrasquillo, M., Rogaeva, E., Majounie, E., Cruchaga, C., Sassi, C., Kauwe, J.S., Younkin, S. *et al.* (2013) TREM2 variants in Alzheimer's disease. *The New England journal of medicine*, **368**, 117-127.

2 Lambert, J.C., Ibrahim-Verbaas, C.A., Harold, D., Naj, A.C., Sims, R., Bellenguez, C., DeStafano, A.L., Bis, J.C., Beecham, G.W., Grenier-Boley, B. *et al.* (2013) Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet*, **45**, 1452-1458.

3 Benitez, B.A., Jin, S.C., Guerreiro, R., Graham, R., Lord, J., Harold, D., Sims, R., Lambert, J.C., Gibbs, J.R., Bras, J. *et al.* (2014) Missense variant in TREML2 protects against Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiology of aging*, **35**, 1510 e1519-1526.

4 Replogle, J.M., Chan, G., White, C.C., Raj, T., Winn, P.A., Evans, D.A., Sperling, R.A., Chibnik, L.B., Bradshaw, E.M., Schneider, J.A. *et al.* (2015) A TREM1 variant alters the accumulation of Alzheimer-related amyloid pathology. *Ann Neurol*, **77**, 469-477.

1 2		1
3 4 5	1	A candidate regulatory variant at the <i>TREM</i> gene cluster associates with decreased
6 7	2	Alzheimer's disease risk, and increased TREML1 and TREM2 brain gene expression
8 9	3	
10 11 12	4	Minerva M. Carrasquillo ¹ , Mariet Allen ¹ , Jeremy D. Burgess ¹ , Xue Wang ² , Samantha L.
13 14	5	Strickland ¹ , Shivani Aryal ¹ , Joanna Siuda ¹ , Michaela L. Kachadoorian ¹ , Christopher Medway ^{1,3} ,
15 16 17	6	Curtis S. Younkin ¹ , Asha Nair ⁴ , Chen Wang ⁴ , Pritha Chanana ⁴ , Daniel Serie ² , Thuy Nguyen ¹ ,
18 19	7	Sarah Lincoln ¹ , Kimberly G. Malphrus ¹ , Kevin Morgan ³ , Todd E. Golde ⁵ , Nathan D. Price ⁶ ,
20 21 22	8	Charles C. White ^{7,8} , Philip L De Jager ^{7,8,9} , David A. Bennett ¹⁰ , Yan W. Asmann ² , Julia E.
23 24	9	Crook ² , Ronald C. Petersen ¹¹ , Neill R. Graff-Radford ¹² , Dennis W. Dickson ¹ , Steven G.
25 26 27	10	Younkin ¹ , Nilüfer Ertekin-Taner ^{1,12*}
28 29	11	
30 31 22	12	¹ Department of Neuroscience, Mayo Clinic Florida, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA.
33 34	13	² Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, Florida, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA.
35 36	14	³ Human Genetics Group, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK NG7 2UH.
37 38 39	15	⁴ Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic Rochester, MN 55905, USA
40 41	16	⁵ Department of Neuroscience, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, 32610 USA.
42 43 44	17	⁶ Institute for Systems Biology, Seattle, WA, 98109 USA.
45 46	18	⁷ Ann Romney Center for Neurologic Diseases and Departments of Neurology and Psychiatry,
47 48 49	19	Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, 02115 USA.
50 51	20	⁸ Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, 02142 USA.
52 53 54	21	⁹ Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, 02115 USA.
55 56	22	¹⁰ Rush Alzheimer's Disease Center, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, 60612 USA.
57 58 59 60 61 62 63	23	¹¹ Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic Minnesota, Rochester, MN 55905 USA.

¹² Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic Florida, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA.

- ^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed at: Departments of Neurology and
- 27 Neuroscience, Mayo Clinic Florida, Birdsall Building 327, 4500 San Pablo Rd, Jacksonville, FL
- 28 32224; E-mail: <u>taner.nilufer@mayo.edu</u>; Tel: 904-953-7103; Fax: 904-953-7370

30 Abstract

INTRODUCTION: We hypothesized that common Alzheimer's disease (AD)-associated variants within the triggering receptor expressed on myeloid (*TREM*) gene cluster influence disease through gene expression.

METHODS: Expression microarrays on temporal cortex and cerebellum from ~400

36 neuropathologically diagnosed AD and non-AD subjects, and two independent RNAseq

37 replication cohorts were used for expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) analysis.

RESULTS: *TREML1* and *TREM2* have reliably detectable expression. A variant within a DNase
hypersensitive site 5' of *TREM2*, rs9357347-C, associates with reduced AD-risk and increased *TREML1* and *TREM2* levels. Meta-analysis on eQTL results from three independent datasets

41 (n=1,006) confirmed these associations ($p=3.4x10^{-2}$ and $3.5x10^{-3}$, respectively).

42 DISCUSSION: Our findings point to rs9357347 as a functional regulatory variant that
43 contributes to a protective effect observed at the *TREM* locus in the International Genomics of
44 Alzheimer's Project (IGAP) GWAS meta-analysis, and suggest concomitant increase of

TREML1 and *TREM2* brain levels as a potential mechanism for protection from AD.

49 Keywords: Alzheimer's disease, eQTL, *TREM2*, *TREML1*, regulatory variant

1. Introduction

51	Whole genome and exome sequencing are used as complementary approaches to uncover
52	novel loci that can be missed by GWAS, and enabled the discovery of rare, missense alleles
53	within <i>TREM2</i> that have a relatively large effect size on AD-risk [1, 2]. <i>TREM2</i> is a member of
54	the triggering receptor expressed on myeloid (TREM) family, known to play a key role in
55	modulating inflammation in the innate immune response [3]. This finding provided strong
56	supportive evidence for the importance of inflammation in the etiology of AD, but the specific
57	role played by TREM2 in AD pathophysiology remains unclear [4].
58	Since the first two reports [1, 2], the risk effect of the most significant TREM2 rare
59	missense variant p.R47H (a.k.a. rs75932628) has been replicated in multiple Caucasian series [5-
60	9], including a large meta-analysis of 24,086 AD cases and 148,993 controls [10]. TREM2
61	resides within the <i>TREM</i> gene cluster on chromosome 6p21.1 (Fig. 1), which also includes the
62	protein coding genes TREM1, TREML1, TREML2, TREML4 that could be additional plausible
63	AD-risk genes.
64	A missense variant in TREML2, p.S144G (a.k.a. rs3747742), that is not in linkage
65	disequilibrium (LD) with TREM2 p.R47H, was reported to associate with reduced AD-risk [11].
66	TREML2 p.S144G is in tight LD with the intergenic variant, rs9381040, that demonstrated the
67	most significant association at the TREM locus in the IGAP AD-risk GWAS meta-analysis
68	(p=6x10 ⁻⁰⁴) [12]. The authors concluded that <i>TREML2</i> p.S144G is the functional variant that
69	accounted for the IGAP TREM locus signal, even though the significance of the AD-risk
70	association with the intergenic rs9381040 is greater than that observed with p.S144G. Further,
71	TREML2 p.S144G does not have a predicted functional consequence (PolyPhen2 score=benign)

74	Some variants at the TREM locus have been reported to show association with AD
75	endophenotypes [11, 13, 14]. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) levels of AD biomarkers, tau and ptau,
76	associate with three variants at the TREM locus that are not in LD with each other: TREM2
77	p.R47H (rs75932628), rs6916710 located in intron 2 of TREML2, and rs6922617 located
78	downstream from NCR2 and outside the TREM cluster. Of these variants, only TREM2 p.R47H
79	was associated with AD-risk [13]. More recently, a variant upstream of TREM2 (rs7759295) and
80	a variant in intron 3 of <i>TREM1</i> (rs6910730) were reported to be independently associated with
81	increased AD pathology burden and increased rate of cognitive decline [14]. However, neither of
82	these two variants shows association with AD-risk in the IGAP meta-analysis (p>0.05) [15].
83	Thus, other than TREM2 p.R47H, none of the TREM locus variants previously reported to
84	associate with AD endophenotypes show association with AD-risk. Functional AD-risk variants
0.	
85	that influence AD endophenotypes are expected to show association both with these
85 86	that influence AD endophenotypes are expected to show association both with these endophenotypes and risk of AD. Therefore, it is possible that these latter variants are not the
85 86 87	that influence AD endophenotypes are expected to show association both with these endophenotypes and risk of AD. Therefore, it is possible that these latter variants are not the functional variants <i>per se</i> , but merely markers of other un-tested functional variants.
85 86 87 88	that influence AD endophenotypes are expected to show association both with these endophenotypes and risk of AD. Therefore, it is possible that these latter variants are not the functional variants <i>per se</i> , but merely markers of other un-tested functional variants. Collectively, these prior findings suggest that besides the <i>TREM2</i> rare missense variants,
85 86 87 88 89	that influence AD endophenotypes are expected to show association both with these endophenotypes and risk of AD. Therefore, it is possible that these latter variants are not the functional variants <i>per se</i> , but merely markers of other un-tested functional variants. Collectively, these prior findings suggest that besides the <i>TREM2</i> rare missense variants, there may be common variants at the <i>TREM</i> locus that influence AD-risk and/or its
85 86 87 88 88 89 90	that influence AD endophenotypes are expected to show association both with these endophenotypes and risk of AD. Therefore, it is possible that these latter variants are not the functional variants <i>per se</i> , but merely markers of other un-tested functional variants. Collectively, these prior findings suggest that besides the <i>TREM2</i> rare missense variants, there may be common variants at the <i>TREM</i> locus that influence AD-risk and/or its endophenotypes. We hypothesized that some of the common AD-risk variants at the <i>TREM</i> locus
85 86 87 88 89 90 91	that influence AD endophenotypes are expected to show association both with these endophenotypes and risk of AD. Therefore, it is possible that these latter variants are not the functional variants <i>per se</i> , but merely markers of other un-tested functional variants. Collectively, these prior findings suggest that besides the <i>TREM2</i> rare missense variants, there may be common variants at the <i>TREM</i> locus that influence AD-risk and/or its endophenotypes. We hypothesized that some of the common AD-risk variants at the <i>TREM</i> locus confer disease risk via regulation of transcript levels of coding genes at the <i>TREM</i> gene cluster.
 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 	that influence AD endophenotypes are expected to show association both with these endophenotypes and risk of AD. Therefore, it is possible that these latter variants are not the functional variants <i>per se</i> , but merely markers of other un-tested functional variants. Collectively, these prior findings suggest that besides the <i>TREM2</i> rare missense variants, there may be common variants at the <i>TREM</i> locus that influence AD-risk and/or its endophenotypes. We hypothesized that some of the common AD-risk variants at the <i>TREM</i> locus confer disease risk via regulation of transcript levels of coding genes at the <i>TREM</i> gene cluster. In this study, we characterized the brain expression levels of the <i>TREM</i> family genes using
 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 91 92 93 	that influence AD endophenotypes are expected to show association both with these endophenotypes and risk of AD. Therefore, it is possible that these latter variants are not the functional variants <i>per se</i> , but merely markers of other un-tested functional variants. Collectively, these prior findings suggest that besides the <i>TREM2</i> rare missense variants, there may be common variants at the <i>TREM</i> locus that influence AD-risk and/or its endophenotypes. We hypothesized that some of the common AD-risk variants at the <i>TREM</i> locus confer disease risk via regulation of transcript levels of coding genes at the <i>TREM</i> gene cluster. In this study, we characterized the brain expression levels of the <i>TREM</i> family genes using microarray expression data; validated expression levels by RNA sequencing (RNAseq);

temporal cortex with single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) that were also tested in the IGAP AD-risk GWAS meta-analysis; and annotated these variants for their effects on TREM gene expression levels and regulatory potential. Further, we obtained results for the top putative regulatory SNP from two other, independent cohorts with brain RNAseq data and performed meta-analysis of all three cohorts.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Variant selection

We restricted our analysis to variants located within 100kb of any coding *TREM* family gene at the chromosome 6p21.1 TREM gene cluster (Fig. 1). Variants were further selected based on the statistical significance of their AD-risk association in the IGAP stage 1 meta-analysis [12] (**Supplementary Methods**), where only those variants with p-values ≤ 0.0015 were kept. This p-value cut-off was arbitrarily chosen to select those variants that existed in both the IGAP stage 1 AD GWAS and our discovery eQTL cohort, Mayo Clinic Whole Genome-DASL dataset, and that could be genotyped, if needed, in the replication eQTL cohorts, using cost-effective medium-throughput assays. Variants were further prioritized by their Regulome score. Regulome scores were obtained from the Regulome database, which annotates variants with regulatory information from 962 different datasets and a variety of sources, including ENCODE [16]. Regulome scores are on a scale from 1 to 6, and these numerical categories are sub-classified with letters based on the number of lines of evidence of functional consequence. A value of 1a is assigned to the variant with the most evidence of regulatory potential, while a score of 6 has the least [16].

2.2 Mayo Clinic Whole Genome-DASL dataset (Discovery eOTL cohort) We utilized Illumina (Whole Genome-DASL=WG-DASL, Illumina, San Diego, CA) microarray gene expression data from our published human brain expression genome-wide association study (Mayo Clinic eGWAS) [17] conducted on brain tissue from autopsied AD patients (197 cerebellum, 202 temporal cortex) and non-AD subjects (177 cerebellum, 197 temporal cortex) (**Table 1**). All AD subjects had neuropathologic diagnosis of definite AD [2]. The non-AD subjects did not fulfill neuropathologic criteria for definite AD, but many had other unrelated pathologies. Expression measures were generated as described previously [17]. A description of this cohort and generation of expression measures is provided in the Supplemetary Methods. **2.3 RNAseq datasets (Replication eQTL cohorts)** Temporal cortex RNAseq data from two RNAseq cohorts: "Mayo Clinic RNASeq" and "ROS/MAP RNAseq" were employed for replication of the associations that were detected with the WG-DASL gene expression measurements. The Mayo Clinic RNASeq dataset is comprised of 84 LOAD and 48 non-AD brains from the Mayo Clinic Brain Bank that were not part of the Mayo Clinic WG-DASL cohort but whose neuropathological diagnosis followed the same criteria. The ROS/MAP RNAseq dataset is comprised of RNAseq data from 288 AD and 206 non-AD samples that are part of the ROS/MAP cohort (Table 1) previously described [18, 19]. Methodological details for the RNAseq data generation are provided in the **Supplementary** Methods.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

	8
138	Normalized transcript expression levels, on a log2 scale, were tested for associations with
139	TREM locus genotypes in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo Clinic RNAseq
140	and ROS/MAP RNAseq) via multivariable linear regression analyses implemented in PLINK
141	[20]. An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex, diagnosis, RNA Integrity
142	Number (RIN) and adjusted RIN squared (RIN-RINmean) ² in all expression analyses, and APOE
143	ε4 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq
144	dataset only. The eQTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included APOE E4 dose as
145	a covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association
146	was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 eQTL analyses in the discovery set, APOE
147	ε4 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. For
148	comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without
149	adjustment for APOE E4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association
150	results between these two models.
151	Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For
152	these analyses, METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the
153	individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size.
154	
155	3. Results
156	In the WG-DASL gene expression data from the temporal cortex (n=399) and cerebellum

(n=374) of neuropathologically diagnosed AD and non-AD subjects (Table 1), we observed that of the 5 TREM locus coding genes, only TREML1 and TREM2 were reliably detected (Table S1 and Fig. 2). TREML1 was detected in both the temporal cortex and cerebellum, while TREM2 was reliably detected only in the temporal cortex. We validated TREML1 and TREM2 WG-

DASL temporal cortex gene expression measurements, using RNAseq data generated from a subset of 93 autopsied AD subjects who also had microarray data. There was highly significant correlation between WG-DASL and RNAseq measurements for both *TREML1* (r_s =0.65, p<10⁻⁴⁰) and *TREM2* (r_s =0.80, p<10⁻⁴⁰) (**Fig. S1**).

Variants located within 100kb of the 5' or 3'end of any TREM coding gene that demonstrated association with AD-risk in the IGAP stage I meta-analysis (17,800 AD vs. 37,154 controls, $p \le 0.0015$), were evaluated for their association with *TREML1* expression in the temporal cortex and cerebellum, and with *TREM2* expression in the temporal cortex. Of the 1,002 variants tested at this locus in the IGAP stage 1 meta-analysis, 28 had p-values ≤ 0.0015 , and 16 of these have been genotyped in the autopsied samples in the Mayo Clinic brain expression genome-wide association study (Mayo eGWAS). We also assessed 5 other variants at this locus previously reported to be associated with either reduced AD-risk (rs3747742) [11], increased AD pathology burden and cognitive decline (rs6910730, rs7759295) [14], or decreased CSF tau levels (rs6916710, rs6922617) [13]. Table 2 shows the association of TREML1 and TREM2 gene expression with these 21 variants. In 399 combined AD and non-AD temporal cortex samples tested for the 16 IGAP variants, 5 SNPs showed association (uncorrected p<0.05) with increased levels of both TREML1 and TREM2 (rs9381040, rs2093395, rs9357347, rs9394778, rs9296359), and a sixth variant (rs9394767) was significantly associated with increased TREML1 levels only. As shown in Fig. 3, four of the six variants that associate with increased levels of *TREML1* and *TREM2* are in a single LD block (block 2: rs9357347, rs9381040, rs2093395 and rs9394767) and in tight linkage disequilibrium with each other $(r^2 \ge 0.90)$. Of these variants, rs9381040 has the most significant AD-risk association in the IGAP stage 1 meta-analysis (**Table 2**). This IGAP "hit" is located 5.5kb downstream from *TREML2*

(p=0.0083, beta=0.086 and p=0.048, beta=0.091, respectively). Given that the expression measures were on a log2 scale, these changes in expression are equivalent to TREML1 and *TREM2* fold-changes of 1.06 and 1.07, for each copy of the minor allele, respectively. Notably, the minor allele of the IGAP "hit" rs9381040 is associated with both decreased AD-risk and increased TREML1 and TREM2 levels. However, based on data from the Roadmap Epigenomics Consortium [22], rs9381040 lacks evidence of regulatory potential in brain regions relevant to AD.

and 23.7kb upstream from TREM2 and is associated with TREML1 and TREM2 expression

The variant with the most significant association with brain *TREML1* expression, which also associates with TREM2 levels, is rs9357347 in block 2 (Fig. 3). This SNP is located 6.9kb downstream from TREML2 and 19.6kb upstream from TREM2 and is in tight LD with the IGAP "hit" rs9381040 (D'=0.99, r^2 =0.96). As expected, the minor allele of rs9357347 is associated with reduced AD-risk (OR=0.95, 95% CI=0.91-0.98, p=0.001) in the IGAP meta-analysis [12] and with increased *TREML1* and *TREM2* expression in the temporal cortex in the Mayo Clinic WG-DASL eQTL analysis (p=0.0063, beta=0.088 and p=0.046, beta=0.090, respectively) (Table 2 and Fig. S2). These beta coefficients can be interpreted as an estimated 1.06-fold change of both TREML1 and TREM2, per rs9357347 minor allele, in this temporal cortex dataset. Unlike the IGAP "hit" (rs9381040), rs9357347 lies within sequence subject to histone modifications and within a DNAse hypersensitive site detected by the Roadmap Epigenomics Consortium [22] in brain regions relevant to AD pathology such as the hippocampus. Furthermore, this variant is predicted to affect transcription factor binding (SP1 and PPAR) as catalogued in HaploReg (http://www.broadinstitute.org/mammals/haploreg/haploreg.php) [23]. Consequently, it has a compelling Regulate score of 2b (http://www.regulatedb.org/) due to

the evidence of its regulatory potential [16] (**Table 2**). Indeed, of all the variants with an AD-risk p-value<0.0015 in the IGAP meta-analysis, and p-values<0.05 in our WG-DASL eQTL analysis of temporal cortex *TREML1* and *TREM2* gene expression levels, rs9357347 had the greatest regulatory potential as determined by their Regulome scores (**Fig. S3 and Fig. S4**).

The other two variants with gene expression associations in the temporal cortex are in a different LD block (block 4: rs9394778 and rs9296359) and in tight LD with each other ($r^2 =$ 0.67). These SNPs are more significantly associated with *TREM2* than with *TREML1* expression; however, neither has compelling evidence of regulatory potential as both have Regulome scores of 6 (**Table 2**). In the 374 AD and non-AD subjects with cerebellum expression measures, none of the 16 IGAP AD-risk associated variants that were tested, associate with *TREML1* gene expression (p>0.05).

We determined the extent of linkage disequilibrium (LD) between the likely regulatory variant rs9357347, the IGAP "hit" rs9381040 and the significant *TREM2* rare missense AD-risk variants p.D87N (rs142232675) and p.R47H (rs75932628) [1]. As shown in **Fig. 3**, these two *TREM2* rare missense AD-risk variants are not in LD with either rs9357347 or rs9381040. This suggests that the protective effect of the regulatory rs9357347 and the IGAP "hit" are independent of the rare, missense *TREM2* variants.

We next evaluated LD amongst variants tested at this locus, including common *TREM* locus variants previously reported to have associations with AD-risk (rs3747742) [11], increased AD pathology burden and cognitive decline (rs7759295 and rs6910730) [14], or with lower CSF ptau (rs6922617 and rs6916710) [13]. The missense *TREML2* variant rs3747742 (p.S144G) is in LD with the regulatory variant implicated in our study, rs9357347. As reported, rs3747742 is

also in LD with rs9381040 (IGAP hit); and as expected associates with reduced AD-risk (p=0.009), however with slightly lesser significance than the AD-risk association of the regulatory rs9357347 (p=0.001) or the IGAP "hit" rs9381040 (0.0006). Further, the association of rs3747742 with TREML1 expression is not as significant as that of rs9357347. In addition. rs3747742 has no association with brain TREM2 levels, and has a weak Regulome score of 6 (Table 2). Of the four common TREM locus variants that associate with AD endophenotypes, only **235** rs6916710 is in tight LD with the regulatory rs9357347 (D'=0.91, r²=0.62). However, rs6916710, does not show significant association with AD-risk in the IGAP meta-analysis (p=0.103) nor with *TREML1* or *TREM2* gene expression levels (**Table 2**). None of the other three common *TREM* locus variants with reported AD-endophenotype associations are in tight LD with the regulatory rs9357347 or any of the other *TREM* locus **240** variants that are associated with AD-risk. Only rs7759295 showed association with *TREML1* gene expression (uncorrected p=0.04), but neither this nor any of the other AD-endophenotype-associated SNPs have evidence of AD-risk association or Regulome scores that are indicative of likely regulatory function (Fig. 3 and Table 2). Utilizing publicly available RNAseq data from two independent cohorts (**Table 1**) that do 46 245 not overlap with the samples included in the WG-DASL eQTL analysis, we sought replication of the rs9357347 association with *TREML1* and *TREM2*. Although in the ROS/MAP RNAseq dataset a significant association was only detected with the levels of TREM2 (Table 3), meta-analysis from the three independent study p-values (Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo RNAseq and ROS/MAP RNAseq) vielded significant results (*TREML1* $p=3.4x10^{-2}$; *TREM2* $p=3.5x10^{-3}$), ⁵⁸ 250

confirming the association of the rs9357347 minor allele with increased *TREML1* and *TREM2*gene expression. The evidence of association with *TREM2* expression was greater upon metaanalysis compared to the association observed in our discovery dataset; whereas the evidence of
association with *TREML1* expression was slightly greater in our discovery dataset compared to
the meta-analysis.

256 4. Discussion

In this study, we first sought to characterize the brain expression of TREM locus genes based on the premise that those *TREM* cluster genes that are expressed in the brain are likely to be candidate AD-risk genes. We determined that besides *TREM2*, only *TREML1* has reliable expression in the brain regions we studied. Whereas *TREML1* is expressed in both cerebellum and temporal cortex of all subjects, TREM2 is expressed in 98% of temporal cortex and 41% of cerebellum samples. This suggests that cerebellar levels of *TREM2* are lower than those for temporal cortex, consistent with previous reports showing higher gene levels in the temporal cortex than cerebellum [24] and higher protein levels correlating with AD neuropathology [25]. In contrast, *TREM1*, *TREML2* and *TREML4* are expressed in only 0%-17% of the subjects. While lack of reliable brain expression of these genes does not definitively rule them out as plausible AD-risk genes, our findings provide the strongest evidence for *TREML1*, besides TREM2, as most likely TREM locus genes for further studies in AD.

Consequently, we focused our studies on *TREML1* and *TREM2*; and utilized their brain expression levels as endophenotypes to identify putative regulatory variants that modify risk for AD. Focusing on brain *TREML1* and *TREM2* expression associations with variants at the *TREM* locus that also show evidence of AD-risk association in the publicly available IGAP metaanalysis, we identified a putative regulatory variant, rs9357347, located between *TREM2* and *TREML2*. The minor allele of this variant is associated with both decreased AD-risk and with
increased *TREML1* and *TREM2* brain expression in the temporal cortex. The direction of effect
of this variant on AD-risk and brain expression levels of these genes appears to be biologically
congruent based on the known functions of these genes.

TREML1, which is also known as TREM-like transcript 1 (TLT-1), is a myeloid receptor
expressed exclusively in the α-granules of platelets and megakaryocytes [26]. Identification of
higher levels of soluble TREML1 (sTLT-1) in septic patients vs. controls and development of
hemorrhage in mice lacking *Treml1* when exposed to inflammatory injury led to the conclusion
that TREML1 functions to maintain vascular integrity during inflammation [27]. Further,
TREML1 was shown to dampen leukocyte activation during sepsis, and inhibited proinflammatory activation of TREM1 by competing with its ligand [28]. These studies strongly
support a role for TREML1 in promoting vascular homeostasis and limiting inflammation.

Functional, *in-vitro* studies of *TREM2* rare, missense mutations revealed reduced TREM2 function as a consequence of decreased maturation and ectodomain shedding, also supported by findings of decreased soluble TREM2 levels in the cerebrospinal (CSF) levels of patients with these mutations [13, 29]. TREM2 deficiency also led to increased amyloid pathology and neuronal loss in the 5XFAD mouse model of AD [30]. Interestingly, TREM2 deficiency in an ischemic mouse model resulted in reduced phagocytosis and resorption of infarcted brain tissue, and worse neurological recovery [31]. Collectively, these findings support a neuroprotective role for TREM2 in various neuronal injury models. There are, however, studies with contradictory results for TREM2. In a different mouse model of AD (APP/PS1), knock-out of Trem2, resulted in reduction of macrophages infiltrating from the periphery, along with less brain inflammation and reduced amyloid and tau pathology [32]. These opposite findings of *Trem2* knock-out could

be due to differences in the mouse models of Alzheimer's disease tested, different *Trem2*knockout mouse lines, and analyses performed at different time points (early stages versus later
stages of Alzheimer's disease).

Given these collective data, a regulatory variant that enhances levels of *TREML1* in platelets, and levels of TREM2 in brain resident microglia could conceivably promote vascular homeostasis and limit inflammatory damage to neurons in AD and potentially other nervous system diseases. Indeed, rs9357347 has compelling evidence of regulatory potential as it is located in a known DNase hypersensitive site and affects histone modification in the hippocampus and transcription factor binding, according to the evidence compiled in the Regulome database and HaploReg [16, 23]. Interestingly, rs9357347 is predicted to affect transcription factor binding (SP1 and PPAR) as catalogued in HaploReg. These two transcription factors are known be important in regulating key players in the inflammatory response and lipid metabolism [33, 34]. Further, rs9357347 shows the most significant association with *TREML1* gene expression amongst variants at the TREM locus with IGAP meta-analysis AD-risk p-values≤0.0015, in addition to its association with brain *TREM2* levels.

The regulatory rs9357347 SNP is in the same haplotype block as the variant with the most significant AD-risk association at the *TREM* locus in the IGAP meta-analysis, rs9381040, which is an intergenic variant downstream of *TREML2*. Though this IGAP *TREM* locus "hit" SNP has greater evidence of AD-risk association than rs9357347, there is no evidence of regulatory potential for rs9381040 in brain regions relevant to AD.

While the fold change estimates in gene expression associated with rs9357347-C are modest at 6-7%, the biological impact of the increase attributed to each copy of the minor allele,

can be significant and may provide sufficient protection from disease in some individuals, particularly when considered over a lifetime. Furthermore, these estimates are based on RNA isolated from tissue samples and not microglial cells where both TREM2 and TREML1 are predominantly expressed [35], and where expression levels of these genes may be impacted to a greater extent by regulatory variants. Additional studies will be needed to determine the impact of such expression changes on the biology of microglial cell function.

The TREML2 p.S144G variant [11], which associates with reduced AD-risk, is also in LD with both rs9357347 and rs9381040. Though proposed to be the functional variant that accounts for the IGAP signal at this locus, TREML2 p.S144G is not predicted to have a functional consequence based on PolyPhen2 nor does it have evidence of regulatory potential. Further, *TREML2* expression is too low to be reliably measured in brain tissue (TCX and CER). This raises the possibility that the association with TREML2 p.S144G is due to its LD with a functional variant(s) that influences the function or level of a nearby TREM gene(s), such as *TREML1* or *TREM2*. Alternatively, the protective effect of p.S144G could be mediated directly through the function of TREML2 in a cell with abundant expression, such as macrophages, in which TREML2 is known to be upregulated in response to inflammation, [36]. It is also possible that significant rs9357347 eQTL associations would be detected with TREML2 or other TREM locus transcripts in tissues were these genes are more abundantly expressed.

Our findings therefore challenge the conclusion that p.S144G is the only functional variant accounting for the protective effect detected in the IGAP meta-analysis at this locus, and propose rs9357347 as an alternative functional variant with regulatory effects. In reality, both variants could have functional consequences and contribute to the IGAP signal. It should be emphasized that, as demonstrated in our LD analysis, TREM2 p.R47H is not in LD with these

two variants, and thus affects AD-risk independently. Both rs9357347 and p.S144G should be tested for their functional potential and influence on outcomes of inflammation and neuroprotection. It remains possible that rs9357347 is in LD with an untested true functional variant with effects on transcription and AD-risk. It is likewise possible that while rs9357347 is associated with both AD-risk and gene expression levels, these joint effects are coincidental due to LD, rather than being related. These possibilities need to be explored through sequencing of the entire *TREM* locus, or via targeted sequencing of LD block 2 where rs9357347 resides. Thus, our findings provide a testable hypothesis for a strong candidate functional variant, specific transcription factors and their effects on TREML1 and TREM2 levels. Furthermore, our investigation of variants previously shown to associate with AD-related endophenotypes [13-15] suggests that these are unlikely to be functional AD-risk variants per se, though it remains possible that they are markers of functional variants at the TREM locus. In summary, we characterized expression of *TREM* genes in cerebellum and temporal cortex and determined *TREML1* and *TREM2* to be the only reliably expressed *TREM* genes in these brain regions. We identified rs9357347 as a putative regulatory variant that is associated with protection from AD and with increased TREML1 and TREM2 brain levels, and nominate rs9357347 as one of the functional variants that accounts for the IGAP AD-risk signal. Additional studies are needed to validate the function of this variant, and to explore the possibility of the presence of other variants at this locus that could contribute to associations observed with rs9357347. Importantly, these findings suggest a potential link between TREML1 and TREM2, as well as vascular homeostasis and neuroinflammation as related mediators of neuronal protection and injury in AD and possibly other central nervous system diseases.

Acknowledgements

We thank the patients and their families for their participation, without whom these studies would not have been possible, and the clinicians, technicians, and administrative staff who helped in the implementation of this study.

This work was supported by the Alzheimer's Association [MNIRGD 2013 award to
M.M.C]; Mayo Alzheimer's Disease Research Center [P50 AG0016574 to D.W.D, N.E.T,
N.R.G.-R., R.C.P. and S.G.Y.]; National Institute on Aging [R01 AG025711, AG017216,
AG003949 to D.W.D.; R01 AG032990 to N.E.T.; R01 AG018023 to N.R.G.-R. and S.G.Y.; and
U01 AG046139 to N.E.T., T.E.G, N.P. and S.G.Y.]; National Institute of Neurological Disorders
and Stroke [R01 NS080820 to N.E.T].

376 Conflict of Interest Statement

377 Dr. Petersen has been a consultant to Genentech, Inc. Merck, Inc. and Roche, Inc. and has
378 served on a data safety monitoring committee for Pfizer and Janssen Alzheimer Immunotherapy.
379 Dr. Graff-Radford has multicenter treatment study grants from Lilly, TauRx and consulted for

380 Cytox. Dr. Ertekin-Taner consulted for Cytox.

382 **References**

1

2 3 4

- ⁶ 383 [1] R. Guerreiro, A. Wojtas, J. Bras, M. Carrasquillo, E. Rogaeva, E. Majounie, et al., TREM2 variants in
 ⁸ 384 Alzheimer's disease. The New England journal of medicine 2013;368:117-127.
- 9 385 [2] T. Jonsson, H. Stefansson, S. Steinberg, I. Jonsdottir, P.V. Jonsson, J. Snaedal, et al., Variant of TREM2
- associated with the risk of Alzheimer's disease. The New England journal of medicine 2013;368:107-116.
- 11 387 [3] J.W. Ford, D.W. McVicar, TREM and TREM-like receptors in inflammation and disease. Current
 12 388 opinion in immunology 2009;21:38-46.
- 389 [4] R.E. Tanzi, TREM2 and Risk of Alzheimer's Disease--Friend or Foe? The New England journal of
 390 medicine 2015;372:2564-2565.
- 16 391 [5] C. Pottier, D. Wallon, S. Rousseau, A. Rovelet-Lecrux, A.C. Richard, A. Rollin-Sillaire, et al., TREM2
- 17 392 R47H variant as a risk factor for early-onset Alzheimer's disease. Journal of Alzheimer's disease : JAD
 18 393 2013;35:45-49.
- 19 393 [6] B.A. Benitez, B. Cooper, P. Pastor, S.C. Jin, E. Lorenzo, S. Cervantes, et al., TREM2 is associated with
 21 395 the risk of Alzheimer's disease in Spanish population. Neurobiology of aging 2013;34:1711 e1715-1717.
- 22 396 [7] J.D. Gonzalez Murcia, C. Schmutz, C. Munger, A. Perkes, A. Gustin, M. Peterson, et al., Assessment of
- ²³ 397 TREM2 rs75932628 association with Alzheimer's disease in a population-based sample: the Cache
- ²⁴ 398 County Study. Neurobiology of aging 2013;34:2889 e2811-2883.
- 399 [8] A. Ruiz, O. Dols-Icardo, M.J. Bullido, P. Pastor, E. Rodriguez-Rodriguez, A. Lopez de Munain, et al.,
- Assessing the role of the TREM2 p.R47H variant as a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease and
- 28 401 frontotemporal dementia. Neurobiology of aging 2014;35:444 e441-444.
- 402 [9] B.V. Hooli, A.R. Parrado, K. Mullin, W.K. Yip, T. Liu, J.T. Roehr, et al., The rare TREM2 R47H variant
 403 exerts only a modest effect on Alzheimer disease risk. Neurology 2014;83:1353-1358.
- 404 [10] C.M. Lill, A. Rengmark, L. Pihlstrom, I. Fogh, A. Shatunov, P.M. Sleiman, et al., The role of TREM2
- 405 R47H as a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease, frontotemporal lobar degeneration, amyotrophic lateral
 406 sclerosis, and Parkinson's disease. Alzheimer's & dementia : the journal of the Alzheimer's Association
 407 2015;
- 408 [11] B.A. Benitez, S.C. Jin, R. Guerreiro, R. Graham, J. Lord, D. Harold, et al., Missense variant in TREML2
 409 protects against Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiology of aging 2014;35:1510 e1519-1526.
- 410 [12] J.C. Lambert, C.A. Ibrahim-Verbaas, D. Harold, A.C. Naj, R. Sims, C. Bellenguez, et al., Meta-analysis
 411 of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. Nature genetics
- 41 **412 2013;45:1452-1458**.
- 413 [13] C. Cruchaga, J.S. Kauwe, O. Harari, S.C. Jin, Y. Cai, C.M. Karch, et al., GWAS of cerebrospinal fluid tau
 414 levels identifies risk variants for Alzheimer's disease. Neuron 2013;78:256-268.
- 41 If a local definition of a local of a l
- 47 417 [15] G. Liu, No association of TREM1 rs6910730 and TREM2 rs7759295 with Alzheimer's disease. Annals
 48 418 of Neurology 2015; epub ahead opf print:
- 49 419 [16] A.P. Boyle, E.L. Hong, M. Hariharan, Y. Cheng, M.A. Schaub, M. Kasowski, et al., Annotation of
- ⁵⁰ 420 functional variation in personal genomes using RegulomeDB. Genome research 2012;22:1790-1797.
- 421 [17] F. Zou, H.S. Chai, C.S. Younkin, M. Allen, J. Crook, V.S. Pankratz, et al., Brain expression genome-
- wide association study (eGWAS) identifies human disease-associated variants. PLoS genetics
 2012;8:e1002707.
- 424 [18] D.A. Bennett, J.A. Schneider, Z. Arvanitakis, R.S. Wilson, Overview and findings from the religious orders study. Current Alzheimer research 2012;9:628-645.
- ⁵⁷ 426 [19] D.A. Bennett, J.A. Schneider, A.S. Buchman, C. Mendes de Leon, J.L. Bienias, R.S. Wilson, The Rush
- 427 Memory and Aging Project: study design and baseline characteristics of the study cohort.
- 60 428 Neuroepidemiology 2005;25:163-175.
- 61
- 62 63
- 64 65

2 3 4 429 [20] S. Purcell, B. Neale, K. Todd-Brown, L. Thomas, M.A. Ferreira, D. Bender, et al., PLINK: a tool set for 5 430 whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. American journal of human genetics 6 431 2007;81:559-575. 7 432 [21] C.J. Willer, Y. Li,G.R. Abecasis, METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association 8 9 433 scans. Bioinformatics 2010;26:2190-2191. 10 434 [22] B.E. Bernstein, J.A. Stamatoyannopoulos, J.F. Costello, B. Ren, A. Milosavljevic, A. Meissner, et al., 11 435 The NIH Roadmap Epigenomics Mapping Consortium. Nature biotechnology 2010;28:1045-1048. 12 436 [23] L.D. Ward, M. Kellis, HaploReg v4: systematic mining of putative causal variants, cell types, 13 14 **437** regulators and target genes for human complex traits and disease. Nucleic acids research 2016;44:D877-15 438 881. 16 439 [24] P. Forabosco, A. Ramasamy, D. Trabzuni, R. Walker, C. Smith, J. Bras, et al., Insights into TREM2 17 440 biology by network analysis of human brain gene expression data. Neurobiology of aging 2013;34:2699-18 441 2714. 19 442 [25] L.F. Lue, C.T. Schmitz, G. Serrano, L.I. Sue, T.G. Beach, D.G. Walker, TREM2 Protein Expression 20 Changes Correlate with Alzheimer's Disease Neurodegenerative Pathologies in Post-Mortem Temporal 443 21 22 444 Cortices. Brain pathology 2015;25:469-480. 23 445 [26] A.V. Washington, R.L. Schubert, L. Quigley, T. Disipio, R. Feltz, E.H. Cho, et al., A TREM family 24 446 member, TLT-1, is found exclusively in the alpha-granules of megakaryocytes and platelets. Blood 25 447 2004;104:1042-1047. 26 27 448 [27] A.V. Washington, S. Gibot, I. Acevedo, J. Gattis, L. Quigley, R. Feltz, et al., TREM-like transcript-1 28 **449** protects against inflammation-associated hemorrhage by facilitating platelet aggregation in mice and 29 450 humans. The Journal of clinical investigation 2009;119:1489-1501. 30 451 [28] M. Derive, Y. Bouazza, N. Sennoun, S. Marchionni, L. Quigley, V. Washington, et al., Soluble TREM-31 452 like transcript-1 regulates leukocyte activation and controls microbial sepsis. Journal of immunology 32 ₃₃ 453 2012;188:5585-5592. 34 454 [29] G. Kleinberger, Y. Yamanishi, M. Suarez-Calvet, E. Czirr, E. Lohmann, E. Cuyvers, et al., TREM2 35 455 mutations implicated in neurodegeneration impair cell surface transport and phagocytosis. Science ³⁶ 456 translational medicine 2014;6:243ra286. 37 457 [30] Y. Wang, M. Cella, K. Mallinson, J.D. Ulrich, K.L. Young, M.L. Robinette, et al., TREM2 lipid sensing 38 458 sustains the microglial response in an Alzheimer's disease model. Cell 2015;160:1061-1071. 39 40 459 [31] M. Kawabori, R. Kacimi, T. Kauppinen, C. Calosing, J.Y. Kim, C.L. Hsieh, et al., Triggering receptor 41 460 expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2) deficiency attenuates phagocytic activities of microglia and 42 461 exacerbates ischemic damage in experimental stroke. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal 43 462 of the Society for Neuroscience 2015;35:3384-3396. 44 463 [32] T.R. Jay, C.M. Miller, P.J. Cheng, L.C. Graham, S. Bemiller, M.L. Broihier, et al., TREM2 deficiency 45 46 464 eliminates TREM2+ inflammatory macrophages and ameliorates pathology in Alzheimer's disease mouse 47 465 models. The Journal of experimental medicine 2015;212:287-295. 48 466 [33] A.Y. Wen, K.M. Sakamoto, L.S. Miller, The role of the transcription factor CREB in immune function. 49 467 Journal of immunology 2010;185:6413-6419. 50 468 [34] J.D. Brown, J. Plutzky, Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors as transcriptional nodal points 51 and therapeutic targets. Circulation 2007;115:518-533. 469 52 53 470 [35] Y. Zhang, K. Chen, S.A. Sloan, M.L. Bennett, A.R. Scholze, S. O'Keeffe, et al., An RNA-sequencing 54 471 transcriptome and splicing database of glia, neurons, and vascular cells of the cerebral cortex. The 55 472 Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 2014;34:11929-11947. 56 473 [36] R.G. King, B.R. Herrin, L.B. Justement, Trem-like transcript 2 is expressed on cells of the 57 474 myeloid/granuloid and B lymphoid lineage and is up-regulated in response to inflammation. Journal of 58 475 immunology 2006;176:6012-6021. 59 60 61 62 63

1

64 65

477 Figure Legends

Fig. 1. *TREM* gene cluster on Chr 6p21.1. The chromosomal positions are based on the human
genome assembly from February 2009 (GRCh37/hg19). There are seven RefSeq genes at the *TREM* locus (*TREM1*, *TREML1*, *TREM2*, *TREML2*, *TREML3P*, *TREML4* and *TREML5P*);
however, *TREML3P* and *TREML5P* are non-coding pseudogenes. The transcript figures are
taken from the UCSC Genome Browser.

483 Fig. 2. Location of *TREML1* and *TREM2* WG-DASL probes.

The location of the (A) *TREML1* and (B) *TREM2* WG-DASL probes (highlighted in light blue) are shown relative to their Refseq transcripts. The chromosomal positions are based on the human genome assembly from February 2009 (GRCh37/hg19). As shown, both of these probes are complementary to all RefSeq transcripts for the respective gene. The transcript figures are taken from the UCSC Genome Browser.

489 Fig. 3. LD Plot of *TREM* locus variants.

LD plot of *TREM* locus variants where haplotype blocks were determined with the solid spine 44 491 definition; square colors correspond to D' (tight LD=warmer colors, weak LD=cooler colors) and r^2 values are shown within the squares (**Supplementary Methods**). Red circles: The rare *TREM2* AD-risk missense variants rs142232675 (p.D87N) and rs75932628 (p.R47H) [1]. Blue circles: Variants that associate with increased AD pathology burden and cognitive decline (rs7759295 and rs6910730) [14], or with lower CSF ptau (rs6922617 and rs6916710) [13]. Green circles: The variant with the most significant AD-risk association in the IGAP meta-analysis (rs9381040); rs9357347, which has the most significant *TREML1* gene expression

3 4 5	498	association, also shows association with TREM2 gene expression, IGAP AD-risk association and
6 7	499	the best Regulome score within all tested SNPs; and rs9296359 which has the most significant
8 9 0	500	association with TREM2 expression. RefSeq gene transcripts are shown above the LD plot
1 2	501	relative to the variant position according to the February 2009 human genome assembly
3 4 5	502	(GRCh37hg19) across the targeted genomic region (TREM gene +/-100 kb: chr6:41016999-
6 7	503	41354457).
8 9 0	504	
1 2	505	
3 4 5		
6 7		
8 9 0		
0 1 2		
3 4 5		
6 7		
8 9 0		
0 1 2		
3 4 5		
5 6 7		
8 9		
0 1 2		
3 4		
5 6 7		
8 9		
0 1 2		
3 4		

		Mayo Clini	c WG-DASL		Mayo Clin	ic RNAseq	ROS/MAP RNAseq		
	CI	ER	т	CX	т	CX	PFCX		
	AD	Non-AD	AD	Non-AD	AD	non-AD	AD	non-AD	
Ν	197	177	202	197	84	48	288	206	
Mean age +/- SD	73.6 ± 5.6	71.7 ± 5.5	73.6 ± 5.5	71.6 ± 5.6	83.2 ± 8.7	85.7 ± 8.3	89.8 ± 5.8	86.5 ± 7.2	
Female, N (%)	101 (51%)	63 (36%)	108 (53%)	78 (40%)	48 (57%)	26 (54%)	186 (65%)	121 (59%)	
% APOE ε4+	64%	25%	61%	25%	51%	17%	34%	12%	

Table 1. Description of samples included in the discovery and replication cohorts utilized for eQTL analysis.

Samples included in the Mayo Clinic eGWAS (discovery cohort), with cerebellar (CER) and temporal cortex (TCX) gene expression measurements from Illumina WG-DASL arrays have been previously described [17]. Samples in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq cohort (replication cohort #1) had temporal cortex gene expression measurements, and did not overlap with the Mayo eGWAS (WG-DASL) cohort. The ROS/MAP RNAseq cohort (replication cohort #2) had dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (PFCX) gene expression measurements, and did not overlap with the Mayo eGWAS (WG-DASL), or with the Mayo Clinic RNAseq cohort. The RNAseq data for these two cohorts is available at the Sage Synapse, AMP AD Knowledge Portal (https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn2580853/wiki/66722), under synapse IDs syn3388564 (ROS/MAP RNAseq) and syn3163039 (Mayo RNAseq).

	SNP	Position hg19	AD-Risk (IGAP Stage1 Meta-analysis)			Brain eQTL (Mayo Clinic eGWAS)								
Chr			Effect Allele	Non Effect Allele	<mark>OR (95% CI)</mark>	P-value	TREML1 CER BETA	TREML1 CER P	TREML1 TCX BETA	TREML1 TCX P	TREM2 TCX BETA	TREM2 TCX P	Regulome Score	HapMap CEU MAF
6	rs9381040	41,154,650	т	С	<mark>0.94 (0.91 - 0.98)</mark>	5.97E-04	0.021	2.30E-01	0.086	<u>8.30E-03</u>	0.091	<u>4.80E-02</u>	NA	26.70%
6	rs2093395	41,155,026	С	G	<mark>0.94 (0.91 - 0.98)</mark>	6.40E-04	0.021	2.30E-01	0.086	<u>8.30E-03</u>	0.091	<u>4.80E-02</u>	6	27.90%
6	rs2038568	41,158,132	С	G	<mark>1.14 (1.05 - 1.23)</mark>	7.93E-04	0.018	7.80E-01	-0.08	3.90E-01	-0.186	1.60E-01	5	8.30%
6	rs12194214	41,028,574	С	А	<mark>1.16 (1.06 - 1.26)</mark>	8.36E-04	-0.081	9.40E-02	-0.104	2.70E-01	-0.129	3.20E-01	6	4.20%
6	rs9462675	41,153,238	А	G	<mark>1.15 (1.06 - 1.25)</mark>	9.54E-04	-0.015	7.50E-01	-0.114	1.60E-01	-0.207	6.50E-02	5	3.60%
6	rs6933067	41,133,522	С	Т	<mark>1.15 (1.06 - 1.25)</mark>	1.07E-03	-0.013	7.60E-01	-0.098	2.10E-01	-0.134	2.10E-01	7	3.50%
6	rs9357347	41,150,591	С	Α	<mark>0.95 (0.91 - 0.98)</mark>	1.10E-03	0.013	4.60E-01	0.088	<u>6.30E-03</u>	0.09	<u>4.60E-02</u>	2b	28.10%
6	rs9394767	41,159,905	G	А	<mark>0.95 (0.91 - 0.98)</mark>	1.14E-03	0.011	5.70E-01	0.096	<u>6.50E-03</u>	0.083	1.00E-01	5	28.80%
6	rs1542638	41,286,604	G	А	<mark>1.06 (1.02 - 1.09)</mark>	1.14E-03	-0.022	2.20E-01	-0.035	2.90E-01	-0.064	1.60E-01	4	28.30%
6	rs9471491	41,153,622	А	С	<mark>1.15 (1.05 - 1.26)</mark>	1.31E-03	-0.015	7.50E-01	-0.114	1.60E-01	-0.207	6.50E-02	7	3.50%
6	rs9471495	41,157,372	А	С	<mark>1.15 (1.05 - 1.25)</mark>	1.40E-03	0.014	8.30E-01	-0.099	2.90E-01	-0.235	7.20E-02	7	3.50%
6	rs9462677	41,158,856	А	Т	<mark>1.15 (1.05 - 1.25)</mark>	1.41E-03	0.016	8.10E-01	-0.099	2.90E-01	-0.235	7.30E-02	7	4.30%
6	rs9394778	41,215,058	А	G	<mark>0.95 (0.92 - 0.98)</mark>	1.44E-03	0.015	3.30E-01	0.065	<u>2.70E-02</u>	0.099	<u>1.50E-02</u>	6	39.80%
6	rs9471494	41,157,344	G	С	<mark>1.15 (1.05 - 1.25)</mark>	1.46E-03	0.01	8.70E-01	-0.102	2.60E-01	-0.221	8.20E-02	6	4.50%
6	rs6912013	41,061,593	С	Т	<mark>1.15 (1.05 - 1.25)</mark>	1.48E-03	-0.076	1.20E-01	-0.104	2.70E-01	-0.124	3.40E-01	5	2.70%
6	rs9296359	41,205,690	А	G	<mark>0.95 (0.92 - 0.98)</mark>	1.48E-03	0.017	2.80E-01	0.066	<u>2.40E-02</u>	0.116	<u>4.60E-03</u>	6	27.40%
6	rs3747742*	41,162,518	С	Т	<mark>0.96 (0.92 - 0.99)</mark>	8.56E-03	0.018	2.90E-01	0.072	<u>2.30E-02</u>	0.064	1.50E-01	6	28.30%
6	rs6916710*	41,164,788	Т	С	<mark>0.97 (0.94 - 1.01)</mark>	1.03E-01	0.013	4.30E-01	0.054	7.70E-02	0.072	9.20E-02	7	38.40%
6	rs7759295*	41,135,850	Т	С	<mark>0.98 (0.93 - 1.03)</mark>	3.66E-01	-0.023	3.50E-01	0.094	4.00E-02	-0.008	9.00E-01	6	13.30%
6	rs6910730*	41,246,633	G	А	<mark>0.99 (0.94 - 1.04)</mark>	6.86E-01	-0.046	8.50E-02	-0.079	1.20E-01	-0.032	6.50E-01	4	8.40%
6	rs6922617*	41,336,101	А	G	<mark>0.99 (0.93 - 1.05)</mark>	6.98E-01	-0.033	2.60E-01	-0.098	7.40E-02	0.011	8.90E-01	7	8.50%

Table 2. Association of variants at the TREM locus with AD-risk and TREM WG-DASL brain gene expression levels.

Shown are variants located within 100kb of a *TREM* gene that had an AD-risk $p \le 0.0015$ in the IGAP stage 1 meta-analysis (top 16 rows), as well as 5 common *TREM* locus variants with previous reports of AD-risk or endophenotype association (bottom 5 rows, SNP marked with an *). AD-risk association results are from the publicly available IGAP meta-analysis stage 1. Brain gene expression associations are from the Mayo Clinic eGWAS and based on cerebellar (CER) and temporal cortex (TCX) gene expression measurements with Illumina WG-DASL arrays with *TREML1* probe ILMN_1690783 and *TREM2* probe ILMN_1701248. Variants showing association with gene expression (uncorrected p<0.05) are underlined and in italic font. The variant with the most significant AD-risk association in the IGAP meta-analysis (**rs9381040**), and the variant with the most significant gene expression association and best Regulome score (**rs9357347**) are in bold font. OR (95% CI): odds ratio and 95% confidence interval. Given that the eGWAS expression measures were on a log2 scale, fold-change for the Mayo eGWAS beta coefficients = 2^{beta}.

Datasat	Sample	MAF		TREM	_1		TREM2			
Dalasel	size		beta	SE	р	beta	SE	р		
Mayo WG-DASL	380	0.307	0.088	0.032	6.28E-03	0.090	0.045	4.61E-02		
Mayo Clinic RNAseq	132	0.311	-0.030	0.108	7.82E-01	0.084	0.128	5.13E-01		
ROS/MAP RNAseq	494	0.281	0.089	0.114	4.36E-01	0.124	0.060	3.77E-02		
Meta-analysis	1006		+-+		3.36E-02	+++		3.54E-03		

 Table 3. Meta-analysis of rs9357347 eQTL results from three independent datasets.

Meta-analysis of rs9357347 eQTL results from temporal cortex (Mayo WG-DASL and Mayo Clinic RNAseq) and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex samples (ROS/MAP). MAF = minor allele frequency. SE = standard error. Since in all three datasets the expression measures analyzed were on a log2 scale, fold-change for the beta coefficients = 2^{beta} . The meta-analysis was performed using METAL, with weighted average of z-scores from the individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size.

Carrasquillo_etal_TREM_SupplMaterial_08-18-2016_changes-accepted Click here to download Supplementary files: Carrasquillo_etal_TREM_SupplMaterial_08-18-2016_changes-accepted.docx

Research in Context

Systematic review: We performed a comprehensive review of existing literature investigating the role of the *TREM* locus in AD. Although the involvement of *TREM* genes in AD pathophysiology and the underlying variants modifying AD-risk remain unclear, there have been several studies demonstrating association with AD risk and its endophenotypes.

Interpretation: We hypothesized that some variants at the *TREM* locus may modify AD-risk via regulation of *TREM* gene expression. We found a variant in a regulatory region (rs9357347-C) at the *TREM* locus that associates with reduced AD risk and higher *TREML1* and *TREM2* brain gene expression.

Future directions: Our findings nominate regulation of brain *TREML1* and *TREM2* as a potential mechanism for AD risk modification by *TREM* locus variants. In-depth sequencing of the *TREM* locus is needed to fully characterize regulatory variants at this locus that may modify AD-risk.

Highlights

- A regulatory SNP located 5' *TREM2*, rs9357347-C, associates with reduced AD-risk.
- *TREM2* and *TREML1* are the only *TREM* cluster genes with reliable brain expression.
- Higher brain levels of *TREM2* and *TREML1* associate with rs9357347-C.
- rs9357347 is predicted to affect transcription factor binding (SP1 and PPAR).
- Increased gene expression of *TREML1* and *TREM2* may reduce AD-risk.

Carrasquillo_etal_TREM_Fig1_TREM_cluster_UCSC_081716 Click here to download high resolution image

UCSC Genome Browser on Human Feb. 2009 (GRCh37/hg19) Assembly




Figure3 Click here to download high resolution image



Carrasquillo et al. ADJ-D-16-00130

A regulatory variant at the *TREM* gene cluster associates with decreased Alzheimer's disease risk, and increased *TREML1* and *TREM2* brain gene expression

Carrasquillo et al., MS#: ADJ-D-16-00130

Responses to Reviewers

We provide in this document point-by-point responses to each of the comments by our Reviewers. The order follows that in the original "Comments" letter we received on 07-09-2016. The original text from the Editor or Reviewers is shown "*within quotation marks with italicized Calibri font*". Changes made to the manuscript to address reviewer's comments are highlighted in yellow, both on the manuscript and on the responses below. The location of changes made to the manuscript is indicated relative to the "**changes-accepted**" version of the manuscript files, and these locations are highlighted in blue to facilitate the review. We also added line numbers to the Main and Supplementary manuscript files. We are extremely grateful for the thoughtful and thorough reviews of our manuscript. We were delighted to receive many positive comments and believe that the changes that we made in response to each of the comments have further strengthened our manuscript.

Reviewers' comments:

"This paper reports the results of a comprehensive and innovative study of common Alzheimer's disease (AD) risk variants at the TREM locus. Notably, the study tests the hypothesis that some of the common AD-risk variants at the TREM locus confer disease risk via regulation of transcript levels of some of the coding genes at the TREM gene cluster. This work is significant both for the TREM locus and potentially as a more general approach for other AD-risk loci. Strengths of the study include the strong replication/validation strategy and the focus on identification and characterization of functional regulatory genetic variants."

We thank the reviewers for their many favorable comments, including those which indicated that the paper "is well written", "has an interesting finding", is "based on well characterized IGAP and Mayo Clinic cohorts with adequate study sizes", and that "The expression association analysis was well designed and thoroughly executed" with "appropriate replication cohorts". Importantly, one of the reviewers stated that "The study contributes to the understanding of the broader role of TREM locus in AD".

"The reviewers have raised several major and numerous minor points that must be addressed for the paper to be considered for publication in the Journal. Many of the points are focused on clarification of the statistical analysis and interpretation of the results. These concerns must be addressed to improve

the statistical rigor of the analysis and reflect the findings and conclusions reported in the paper."

We have addressed the concerns point by point below.

Reviewer #1:

Comment 1:

"The paper has an interesting finding that a functional regulatory variant contributes to a protective effect observed in the TREM1 IGAP GWAS meta-analysis (even though not significant at 10-8 nominal level) and suggests concomitant increase in TREM1 and TREM2 brain levels. This study was based on well characterized IGAP and Mayo Clinic cohorts with adequate study sizes."

We thank this Reviewer for their positive comments.

"However, the paper needs several clarifications.

Major Comments:

1. Can the authors give a sense of variation explained by their variant in terms of AD pathology?"

We sincerely appreciate this reviewer's thorough evaluation of our manuscript, and the rigor implemented in their assessment of our results.

To address this reviewer's first comment, we evaluated the effect of this variant on Braak stage using both ANOVA and linear regression models described on the Supplementary Material, page 8, 2nd paragraph, and in Table S4, as shown below:

"Given the association of rs9357347 with AD-risk, we tested the hypothesis that this variant could also show an association with Braak stage, as the latter is an important criterion for the neurophathological diagnosis of AD [14]. Implementing an ANOVA model in R that included age-at-death, sex and *APOE* ε 4 dose (0, 1 or 2 alleles), in the two larger datasets (Mayo WG-DASL and ROS/MAP RNAseq), we determined that rs9357347 does not significantly contribute to the variance in Braak stage in either of these two cohorts (p=0.91 and p=0.27, respectively). In addition, we implemented linear regression analysis in R, again using the two larger datasets to estimate the effect of each copy of the rs9357347 minor allele on Braak stage, including age-at-death, sex and *APOE* ε 4 dose in the model. As shown in **Table S4**, we did not detect a significant association of rs9357347 with Braak stage in either cohort."

Dataset	N	beta	SE	p-value
Mayo WG-DASL: Temporal Cortex	399	-0.139	0.160	0.387
ROS/MAP RNAseq: DFPC	492	0.053	0.081	0.515
Table S4. Association of rs93573	47 wit	h Braak sta	ige. The t	wo largest

cohorts were evaluated: Mayo WG-DASL and ROS/MAP RNAseq. The variant was tested for association with Braak stage using linear regression under an additive model and including age-at-death, sex and APOE ε 4 dose as covariates. In this model, the beta coefficient is interpreted as the change in Braak score associated with each copy of the minor allele. N = sample size. SE= standard error. DFPC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex.

Comment 2:

"2. Authors use the word "nominally significant." This term is generally used for associations on the borderline. A p-value of 10-3 does not meet this threshold in a GWA study (Table 2). Can the authors use a different term, such an association of potential interest given your scientific hypothesis rather than this being somehow data driven."

We used the term "nominally significant" when referring to an uncorrected p-value < 0.05. We have now modified the language wherever the term "nominally significant" was used, as shown below:

Page 4, 3^{rd} paragraph, 2^{nd} sentence: "...the intergenic variant, rs9381040, that demonstrated the most significant association at the *TREM* locus in the IGAP AD-risk GWAS meta-analysis (uncorrected p=6x10⁻⁰⁴) [12]."

Page 9, 2^{nd} paragraph, 5^{th} sentence: "...5 SNPs showed association (uncorrected p<0.05) with increased levels of both *TREML1* and *TREM2*..."

Page 12, 3rd paragraph, 2nd sentence: "Only rs7759295 showed association with *TREML1* gene expression (uncorrected p=0.04),..."

Page 25, Table 2 legend, 4th sentence: "Variants showing association with gene expression (uncorrected p<0.05) are underlined and in italic font."

Comment 3:

"3. What do the authors mean by non-AD subjects (abstract)? Do they mean neurological conditions other than AD, or subjects who did not have any AD pathology, as in not cognitive impaired? If the study had no controls, or not cognitive impaired subjects, does conditioning on the disease status cause any bias due to conditioning on a collider? For example, Cole et al., Int J Epidemiol. 2010. 39:417-420. This may not apply but is of interest given the conditioning statement."

In the post-mortem cohorts that were utilized in this study, non-ADs are those subjects whose neuropathological diagnoses did not meet criteria for definite AD, but they could have other unrelated neuropathologies. In our original submission, the diagnostic criteria for the Mayo Clinic WG-DASL eQTL dataset are indicated on the 1st paragraph on page 3 of the Supplementary Material and the reference is supplied in the Main Manuscript, page 7, 1st paragraph; that for the Mayo Clinic RNAseq dataset is mentioned on 2nd paragraph on page 4 of the Supplementary Material, and on the Main Manuscript, on page 7, 2nd paragraph; and references are supplied for the ROS/MAP cohort in the Main Manuscript, page 7, paragraph 2.

Carrasquillo et al. ADJ-D-16-00130

To clarify the diagnostic criteria in the main text, we now include in the Main Manuscript, page 7, 1st paragraph, the following text:

All AD subjects had neuropathological diagnosis of definite AD [2]. The non-AD subjects did not fulfill neuropathological criteria for definite AD, but many had other unrelated pathologies.

We also added the same references for the ROS/MAP dataset to the Supplementary Text, page 5, 3rd paragraph, for consistency.

As the primary goal of this study was to estimate the effect of genetic variants on gene expression, rather than their effect on disease status, we combined ADs and non-ADs in the linear regression analysis and included diagnosis as a covariate. The diagnosis covariate was coded as the presence or absence of AD. Our original submission described this analytic methodology in the Supplementary Material, "Mayo Clinic WG-DASL eQTL dataset" subsection, formerly last paragraph, and in the now deleted "eQTL analysis of rs9357347 and *TREML1/TREM2* RNAseq gene expression" subsection, last sentence. The analytic methodology is instead included in the Main Manuscript in this revision for clarity. We now include a "2.4 Statistical Analysis" sub-section, in the Main Text, pages 7-8, shown below. In doing so, we also address Comment #2 of Reviewer #5.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Normalized transcript expression levels, on a log2 scale, were tested for associations with *TREM* locus genotypes in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo Clinic RNAseq and ROS/MAP RNAseq) via multivariable linear regression analyses implemented in PLINK [20]. An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex, diagnosis, RNA Integrity Number (RIN) and adjusted RIN squared (RIN-RINmean)² in all expression analyses, and *APOE* ϵ 4 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq dataset only. The eQTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included *APOE* ϵ 4 dose as a covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 eQTL analyses in the discovery set, *APOE* ϵ 4 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. For comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without adjustment for *APOE* ϵ 4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association results between these two models.

Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For these analyses, METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size.

To address Comment #3 of our Reviewer #1, we also investigated the possibility of "collider conditioning bias" in our analyses. In the article by Cole et al. cited by this reviewer, the term "collider conditioning bias" refers to the bias that occurs when conditioning, adjusting or stratifying on a common effect of the "exposure" and "outcome" being measured. In our study,

the potential for collider conditioning bias arises if both the genotype and the expression levels are associated with disease status. "Collider conditioning bias" could be a potential issue in our study design, because we adjusted for disease status (disease status potentially being the "collider", i.e. a common effect of genotype and expression level). Therefore, <u>in addition</u> to analyzing the AD and non-ADs together (AD+nonAD) <u>and</u> adjusting for AD status, we have also now analyzed the combined set of AD+nonAD, <u>without</u> adjustment for disease status, in order to determine if the effect of genotype on expression disappears or remains in the latter analysis.

We include this new analysis, which revealed lack of evidence of "collider conditioning bias", as shown below and on page 7 of the Supplementary Material, and in **Table S2** on page 15:

Assessment of potential collider conditioning bias

"Since the primary goal of this study was to estimate the effect of genetic variants on gene expression, rather than their effect on disease status, we combined ADs and non-ADs in the linear regression analysis and included diagnosis as a covariate. The diagnosis covariate was coded as the presence or absence of AD. However, adjusting for diagnosis status could potentially introduce a collider conditioning bias if both the genotype and the expression levels are associated with disease status [13]. Therefore, we have also analyzed the combined set of AD+nonAD, without adjustment for disease status, in order to determine if the effect of genotype on expression disappears or remains in the latter analysis. **Table S2**, shows results for the two types of analyses that were performed in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo RNAseq and ROS/MAP RNAseq): (1) AD and nonAD combined while adjusting for diagnosis, (2) AD and nonAD combined not adjusting for diagnosis. Overall, the results from analyses 1 and 2 are very similar, suggesting that there is no real impact of a collider effect."

			TREML1				TREM	12
Dataset	Group	N	beta	SE	p-value	beta	SE	p-value
Mayo WG-DASL:	All (W/Dx) ^a	380	0.088	0.032	6.28E-03	0.090	0.045	4.61E-02
Temporal Cortex	All (Wo/Dx) ^b	380	0.083	0.033	1.32E-02	0.088	0.045	5.33E-02
Mayo Clinic RNAseq:	All (W/Dx) ^a	132	-0.030	0.108	7.82E-01	0.084	0.128	5.13E-01
Temporal Cortex	All (Wo/Dx) ^b	132	-0.023	0.111	8.40E-01	0.102	0.145	4.86E-01
ROS/MAP RNAseq: DFPC	All (W/Dx) ^a	494	0.089	0.114	4.36E-01	0.124	0.060	3.77E-02
	All (Wo/Dx) ^b	<u>49</u> 4	0.089	0.114	4.35E-01	0.125	0.060	3.81E-02

Table S2. Analyses to assess the potential of introducing collider conditioning bias in the linear regression model due to adjustment for diagnosis. For each of the three datasets, linear regression analysis was run in a: AD and non-AD combined, with diagnosis included as a covariate; b: Analysis of AD and non-AD combined, without adjustment for diagnosis. N = sample size. SE= standard error. DFPC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. Given that all expression measures were on a log2 scale, fold-change for the beta coefficients = 2^{beta} .

Comment 4:

"4. Page 4, Paragraph 2, states that the meta-analysis was done in AD cases and controls. I am not sure if the authors are somehow use controls and non-AD in an exchangeable fashion."

The sentence referred to by our Reviewer states "Since the first two reports [1, 2], the risk effect of the most significant *TREM2* rare missense variant p.R47H (a.k.a. rs75932628) has been replicated in multiple Caucasian series [5-9], including a large meta-analysis of 24,086 AD cases and 148,993 controls [10]", where the meta-analysis refers to published case-control analysis.

In contrast, throughout the manuscript, we consistently used the term non-AD to refer to subjects included in our eQTL analyses whose neuropathological diagnosis was not consistent with AD. Hence, we did not use non-AD and control in an exchangeable fashion.

Comment 5:

"5. Since the cohorts for this study have other cognitive phenotypes, do these findings replicate with cognitive phenotypes?"

Cognitive phenotypes were available only for the ROS/MAP cohort. Measures from this cohort of global cognitive decline and global cognition at the last evaluation before death were tested for association with rs9357347. As shown below, and on the Supplementary Material, pages 8, last paragraph, and in **Table S5**, we did not detect a significant association of this variant with either of these two phenotypes:

"We also evaluated the association of rs9357347 with measures of global cognitive decline and global cognition at the last evaluation before death in the ROS/MAP cohort. In this dataset, global cognition is a variable for overall cognitive function measured by the raw scores from 19 different tests that are converted to z scores and averaged. Global cognitive decline is a longitudinal cognitive phenotype based on repeated measures of global cognition, as previously described [15, 16]. The analysis was performed using linear regression analysis implemented in R, under an additive model for rs9357347, and adjusting for age-at-death, sex and *APOE* ε 4 dose. Neither global cognitive decline nor global cognition at last evaluation shows an association with rs9357347 in this cohort (**Table S5**)."

Phenotype	Ν	beta	SE	p-value
Global cognitive decline	470	-0.007	0.007	0.320
Global cognition at last visit	493	-0.058	0.071	0.418

Table S5. Association of rs9357347 with cognition. Measures of cognition that were available in the ROS/MAP cohort were tested for association with rs9357347 using linear regression under an additive model, including age-at-death, sex and *APOE* ϵ 4 dose as covariates.

N = sample size. SE = standard error. Z scores of the cognitive scores were analyzed, thus the beta coefficients can be interpreted as changes in z-score associated with each copy of the minor allele.

Comment 6:

"6. Page 5, Paragraph 2, Line 27-35. I am not clear what the authors are stating here. Are they stating that their study did not replicate for the two variants, because of a variant that has not been defined functionally. Please clarify."

To contextualize this comment, we provide here the original version of this paragraph and show in bold font the line questioned by our Reviewer:

"Some variants at the *TREM* locus have been reported to associate with AD endophenotypes. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) levels of AD biomarkers, tau and ptau, associate with three variants at the *TREM* locus that are not in LD with each other: *TREM2* p.R47H (rs75932628), rs6916710 located in intron 2 of *TREML2*, and rs6922617 located downstream from *NCR2* and outside the *TREM* cluster. Of these variants, only *TREM2* p.R47H was associated with AD-risk [13]. More recently, a variant upstream of *TREM2* (rs7759295) and a variant in intron 3 of *TREM1* (rs6910730) were reported to independently associate with increased AD pathology burden and increased rate of cognitive decline [14]. However, neither of these two variants shows association with AD-risk in the IGAP meta-analysis (p>0.05) [15]. Thus, it is possible that the effect observed with these variants on AD endophenotypes is due to their LD with an as yet defined functional variant(s) that influences AD-risk at the *TREM* locus."

In this above former version of this paragraph, we summarized previously reported associations of variants at the *TREM* locus with AD endophenotypes. On the last 2 sentences of this paragraph we intended to explain that since these variants do not any show association with AD-risk in the IGAP meta-analysis (p>0.05), it is possible that these variants themselves are not affecting AD endophenotypes, but are instead reflecting the association of a nearby functional variant, that is in LD with them and which, if tested, would show association with both AD-risk and endophenotypes. In order to clarify this point, we have modified this paragraph on page 5 as follows:

"Some variants at the *TREM* locus have been reported to show association with AD endophenotypes [11, 13, 14]. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) levels of AD biomarkers, tau and ptau, associate with three variants at the *TREM* locus that are not in LD with each other: *TREM2* p.R47H (rs75932628), rs6916710 located in intron 2 of *TREML2*, and rs6922617 located downstream from *NCR2* and outside the *TREM* cluster. Of these variants, only *TREM2* p.R47H was associated with AD-risk [13]. More recently, a variant upstream of *TREM2* (rs7759295) and a variant in intron 3 of *TREM1* (rs6910730) were reported to be independently associated with increased AD pathology burden and increased rate of cognitive decline [14]. However, neither of these two variants shows association with AD-risk in the IGAP meta-analysis (p>0.05) [15]. Thus, other than *TREM2* p.R47H, none of the *TREM* locus variants previously reported to associate with AD endophenotypes are expected to show association both with these

endophenotypes and risk of AD. Therefore, it is possible that these latter variants are not the functional variants *per se*, but merely markers of other un-tested functional variants."

Comment 7:

"7. Variant Selection (Section 2.1). Please clarify what you mean by "strength". A p-value does not provide strength of the association, but merely an error rate beyond the nominal value."

We agree with this comment, and note that we used the word "strength" twice in the manuscript in relation to a p-value. In both instances it was used to denote the "strength of the evidence". Therefore, we have modified the sentences that originally used the word "strength" as follows:

Page 6, 2^{nd} paragraph, 2^{nd} sentence: "Variants were further selected based on the statistical significance of their AD-risk association in the IGAP stage 1 meta-analysis [12] (**Supplementary Methods**), where only those variants with p-values ≤ 0.0015 were kept."

Page 13, 1st paragraph, last sentence: "The evidence of association with *TREM2* expression was greater upon meta-analysis compared to the association observed in our discovery dataset; whereas the evidence of association with *TREML1* expression was slightly greater in our discovery dataset compared to the meta-analysis."

Comment 8:

"8. The Mayo clinic WG-DASL samples were from participants who were younger than Mayo clinic RNAseq and ROS/MAP RNAseq. Does age have any association with the expression levels? (Table 1)"

We now address this on the Supplementary Material, page 9, 2nd paragraph, as shown below:

"Association of age with TREML1 and TREM2 expression

As the WG-DASL cohort was overall younger than the two RNAseq cohorts, we assessed the association of the age covariate on *TREML1* and *TREM2* gene expression levels in the linear regression model described in the Material & Methods section 2.4. Age was not significantly associated with either *TREML1* or *TREM2* expression in the Mayo WG-DASL cohort (p>0.05). On the other hand, both in the Mayo RNAseq and ROS/MAP RNAseq cohorts, *TREML1* and *TREM2* expression levels appeared to be slightly increased with age, albeit the magnitude of the effect sizes were modest, with beta coefficients equivalent to approximately a 1.01 and 1.03-fold change in expression levels (Mayo RNAseq: *TREML1* p=0.085, beta=0.01; *TREM2* p=0.026, beta=0.02. ROS/MAP RNAseq: *TREML1* p=2.0x10⁻³, beta=0.04; *TREM2* p=4.4x10⁻⁵, beta=0.03). Since *TREML1* and *TREM2* gene expression levels appear be increased with age, it is possible that this might have led to a decrease in power to detect an association of rs9357347-C with increased levels of these genes in the two older cohorts."

Comment 9:

"9. Can you provide an interpretation for your "beta" coefficient on Page 7, last line of Paragraph 1 of the Results?"

We apologize for the confusion caused by the "beta" symbol that was mistakenly used in that sentence. The symbol should have been " r_s ", representing a Spearman's rank correlation coefficient, as we now indicate it on page 9, 1st paragraph, last sentence:

"There was highly significant correlation between WG-DASL and RNAseq measurements for both *TREML1* (r_s =0.65, p<10⁻⁴⁰) and *TREM2* (r_s =0.80, p<10⁻⁴⁰) (**Fig. S1**)."

Comment 10:

"10. Page 7 last line. Is the nominal p-value "0.0015"? How did you arrive that this significance level?"

We now explain the rationale for this cut-off in the Materials and Methods, 2.1 Variant selection section, page 6, 3rd sentence:

"This p-value cut-off was arbitrarily chosen to select those variants that existed in both the IGAP stage 1 AD GWAS and in our discovery eQTL cohort, Mayo Clinic Whole Genome-DASL dataset, and that could be genotyped, if needed, in the replication eQTL cohorts, using cost-effective, medium-throughput assays."

Comment 11:

"11. Page 8, Line 26. The variant rs9381040 is not associated with TREM1 CER, but only with TREM1 TCX, and at the borderline for TREM2 TCX. The word "strongest" appears 32 different times throughout the manuscript."

We agree with this reviewer's assessment of the modest evidence of association for rs9381040 with *TREML1* and *TREM2* brain expression levels, despite this variant having the most significant p-value of AD risk association at the *TREM* locus in the IGAP meta-analysis. This is in contrast to rs9357347, which is in LD with rs9381040, and which has both evidence of association with AD risk and brain expression. Indeed, we emphasize this point in the **Discussion** section, page 15, 3rd paragraph as follows:

"The regulatory rs9357347 SNP is in the same haplotype block as the variant with the most significant AD-risk association at the *TREM* locus in the IGAP meta-analysis, rs9381040, which is an intergenic variant downstream of *TREML2*. Though this IGAP *TREM* locus "hit" SNP has greater evidence of AD-risk association than rs9357347, there is no evidence of regulatory potential for rs9381040 in brain regions relevant to AD."

We note that in many of the instances where the terms "strong" and "strongest" were used in our manuscript, they were in relation to the p-value of association for rs9381040 with AD-risk in the IGAP stage 1 meta-analysis and not its association with brain gene expression levels. Nevertheless, we do acknowledge that the words strong and strongest could be substituted with other adjectives. Therefore, we have edited this word on 25 occasions, as in the following examples:

Page 4, 3^{rd} paragraph, 2^{nd} sentence: "*TREML2* p.S144G is in tight LD with the intergenic variant, rs9381040, that demonstrated the most significant association at the *TREM* locus in the IGAP AD-risk GWAS meta-analysis (p=6x10⁻⁰⁴) [12]."

Page 9, 2nd paragraph, penultimate sentence: "Of these variants, rs9381040 has the most significant AD-risk association in the IGAP stage 1 meta-analysis (Table 2)."

Comment 12:

"12. For the sake of clarity, please provide an interpretation for "beta" throughout the manuscript."

We now provide an interpretation for the beta coefficients throughout the manuscript, as in the following examples:

Page 10, 2nd sentence was added as follows: "This IGAP "hit" is located 5.5kb downstream from *TREML2* and 23.7kb upstream from *TREM2* and is associated with *TREML1* and *TREM2* expression (p=0.0083, beta=0.086 and p=0.048, beta=0.091, respectively). Given that the expression measures were on a log2 scale, these changes in expression are equivalent to *TREML1* and *TREM2* fold changes of 1.06 and 1.07, for each copy of the minor allele, respectively. Notably, the minor allele of the IGAP "hit" rs9381040 is associated with both decreased AD-risk and increased *TREML1* and *TREM2* levels."

Page 10, 2^{nd} paragraph, 4^{th} sentence was added as follows: "...the minor allele of rs9357347 associates with reduced AD-risk (OR=0.95, 95% CI=0.91-0.98, p=0.001) and increased *TREML1* and *TREM2* expression in the temporal cortex (p=0.0063, beta=0.088 and p=0.046, beta=0.090, respectively) (**Table 2 and Fig. S2**). These beta coefficients can be interpreted as an estimated 1.06-fold change of both *TREML1* and *TREM2*, per rs9357347 minor allele, in this temporal cortex dataset."

Table 2: The beta coefficients shown for the IGAP AD-risk meta-analysis reflected effect size of the allele for AD risk association. These have now been replaced with odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for easier interpretation of these results. The beta coefficients for the brain gene expression associations are retained for consistency with the text but described in the Table 2 and 3 legends as below.

Table 2 legend: "Given that the eGWAS expression measures were on a log2 scale, fold-change for the Mayo eGWAS beta coefficients = 2^{beta} ."

Table 3 legend: "Since in all three datasets the expression measures analyzed were on a log2 scale, fold-change for the beta coefficients = 2^{beta} ."

Supplementary Material, **Table S5**, legend: "Z scores of the cognitive scores were analyzed, thus these beta coefficients can be interpreted as changes in z-score associated with each copy of the minor allele."

We have also added the following text to the Discussion section on page 15, 4th paragraph:

"While the fold change estimates in gene expression associated with rs9357347-C are modest at 6-7%, the biological impact of the increase attributed to each copy of the minor allele, can be significant and may provide sufficient protection from disease in some individuals, particularly when considered over a lifetime. Furthermore, these estimates are based on RNA isolated from tissue samples and not microglial cells where both *TREM2* and *TREML1* are predominantly expressed [35], and where expression levels of these genes may be impacted to a greater extent by regulatory variants. Additional studies will be needed to determine the impact of such expression changes on the biology of microglial cell function."

Comment 13:

"13. The OR presented in first line of Page 9 does not correspond to a risk statement. Is the OR conditional or marginal?"

In this line the OR pertains to the test of association of rs9357347 with the diagnosis of AD in the IGAP stage 1 study, which was a meta-analysis of the four largest AD case-control GWAS. This study is mentioned in the Introduction in the former and current versions of our manuscript (page 4, 3rd paragraph, 2nd sentence). To clarify the sentence queried by our Reviewer, we modified it as follows (page 10, 2nd paragraph, 3rd sentence):

"As expected, the minor allele of rs9357347 is associated with reduced AD-risk (OR=0.95, 95% CI=0.91-0.98, p=0.001) in the IGAP meta-analysis [12] and with increased *TREML1* and *TREM2* expression in the temporal cortex in the Mayo Clinic WG-DASL eQTL analysis (p=0.0063, beta=0.088 and p=0.046, beta=0.090, respectively) (**Table 2 and Fig. S2**)."

Comment 14:

"14. For the sake of clarity, can the authors provide a better explanation for the high regulome scores of some variants in their study?"

We now include the following text on page 6, in the Materials and Methods, section 2.1:

"Variants were further prioritized by their Regulome score. Regulome scores were obtained from the Regulome database, which annotates variants with regulatory information from 962 different datasets and a variety of sources, including ENCODE [16]. Regulome scores are on a scale from 1 to 6, and these numerical categories are sub-classified with letters based on the number of lines of evidence of functional consequence. A value of 1a is assigned to the variant with the most evidence of regulatory potential, while a score of 6 has the least [16]."

Comment 15:

"15. Page 10 Paragraph 3. Did the authors perform a conditional analysis to examine the association of rs9357347 after conditioning on rs6916710? Was the signal partially explained by the correlated variant? If so, can it provide any additional mechanistic understanding?"

As stated on that paragraph, rs6916710 did not show evidence of association with either AD-risk or gene expression; therefore, conditional analyses were not performed with this variant. To address our Reviewer's comment, we have now performed this analysis. The table below shows

Carrasquillo et al. ADJ-D-16-00130

results for the test of association of rs9357347 with temporal cortex *TREML1* and *TREM2* levels, both with and without conditioning on rs6916710. In addition, we show the results obtained when we tested the association of rs6916710 with these genes' levels, both with and without conditioning on rs9357347.

As shown here, the p-values for the association of rs9357347 with both *TREML1* and *TREM2* levels become larger upon conditioning on rs6916710, although the association remains significant at p=0.02. Rs6916710 does not have significant association with either *TREML1* and *TREM2* levels, as already stated above and in the manuscript. The association of rs6916710 with levels of these genes remains non-significant, with p-values that become larger upon conditioning on rs9357347.

While conditioning the test of association of rs9357347 on rs6916710 leads to larger p-values for the former, given the lack of any gene expression or AD-risk association with rs6916710, and lack of evidence of its regulatory potential, rs6916710 is unlikely to be accounting for part of the association of rs9357347 with expression due to a mechanistic, biological effect. Rather, it is possible that there are additional functional, regulatory variants in the same LD block as rs9357347, which could in part be accounting for the associations with expression observed with rs9357347. Rs6916710 may be a **marker** for such additional functional, regulatory variants.

We added a sentence to the **Discussion section**, page 17, 3rd paragraph, 2nd sentence, to highlight the possibility of additional functional, regulatory variants in the same LD block as rs9357347:

"We identified rs9357347 as a putative regulatory variant that is associated with protection from AD and with increased *TREML1* and *TREM2* brain levels, and nominate rs9357347 as one of the functional variants that accounts for the IGAP AD-risk signal. Additional studies are needed to validate the function of this variant, and to explore the possibility of the presence of other variants at this locus that could contribute to associations observed with rs9357347."

Given the complexities discussed above and the lack of further mechanistic insight gained from the analyses we show below, we opted not to include the conditional analyses with rs6916710 in the manuscript, however, we can do so, if our Reviewer feels that we should.

	Rs9357347 temporal cortex gene expression association <u>without</u> conditioning on rs6916710				
Gene	beta	p-value			
TREML1	0.088	6.28E-03			
TREM2	0.090	4.61E-02			
	Rs9357347 tempora condi	cortex gene expression association tioning on rs6916710			
Gene	beta	p-value			
TREML1	0.120	2.09E-02			
TREM2	0.058	4.36E-01			

	Rs6916710 temporal cortex gene expression association <u>without</u> conditioning on rs9357347					
Gene	beta	p-value				
TREML1	0.054	7.70E-02				
TREM2	0.072	9.20E-02				
	Rs6916710 temporal co condition	rtex gene expression association ing on rs9357347				
Gene	beta	p-value				
TREML1	-0.040	4.53E-01				
TREM2	0.040	6.15E-01				

Comment 16:

"16. Can the authors provide an explanation as to why their findings did not replicate in either of the replication cohorts? Does age play a role in any of these expressions?"

In the course of the revision of our manuscript, we noticed that, unlike for the other two cohorts, the gene expression values from the ROS/MAP RNAseq dataset were not log2 transformed as they should have been. We repeated the analyses for ROS/MAP using the log2 transformed-FPKM gene expression values. We also repeated the meta-analyses. As shown in the updated **Table 3** and below, rs9357347 is associated with *TREM2* levels in both the Mayo Clinic WG-DASL and ROS/MAP cohorts, although a significant association with *TREML1* is observed only in the former. We discuss this point further in our response to Reviewer #2, Comment #2. Please also see our response to Reviewer #5, Comment #4.

To look into the influence of age on expression levels we performed additional analyses and included these results as outlined in our response to Comment #8 by our first Reviewer.

Detect	Sample	Sample	MAE		TREM	L1		TREM2		
Dalasel	size		beta	SE	р	beta	SE	р		
Mayo WG-DASL	380	0.307	0.088	0.032	6.28E-03	0.090	0.045	4.61E-02		
Mayo Clinic RNAseq	132	0.311	-0.030	0.108	7.82E-01	0.084	0.128	5.13E-01		
ROS/MAP RNAseq	494	0.281	0.089	0.114	4.36E-01	0.124	0.060	3.77E-02		
Meta-analysis	1006		+-+		3.36E-02	+++		3.54E-03		

Table 3. Meta-analysis of rs9357347 eQTL results from three independent datasets.

Meta-analysis of rs9357347 eQTL results from temporal cortex (Mayo WG-DASL and Mayo Clinic RNAseq) and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex samples (ROS/MAP). MAF = minor allele frequency. SE = standard error. Since in all three datasets the expression measures analyzed were on a log2 scale, fold-change for the beta coefficients = 2^{beta} . The meta-analysis was performed using METAL, with weighted average of z-scores from the individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size.

Minor Comment 1:

"1. In certain places "that" might be more appropriate than "which.""

The word "which" has been edited when appropriate, as follows:

Page 4, last paragraph, 2^{nd} sentence: This sentence was simplified, and the phrase starting with the word "which" was deleted: "*TREML2* p.S144G is in tight LD with the intergenic variant, rs9381040, that demonstrated the most significant association at the *TREM* locus in the IGAP AD-risk GWAS meta-analysis (p=6x10⁻⁰⁴) [12].

Page 14, 1st paragraph, 1st sentence: This sentence was simplified, and the word "which" was deleted: "The minor allele of this variant is associated with both decreased AD-risk and with increased *TREML1* and *TREM2* brain expression in the temporal cortex."

Minor Comment 2:

"2. Some sentences are too long and harder to follow. A little simplification might help."

In addition to the sentences shown in the response above, we have also simplified the following sentences:

Page 7, 2nd paragraph, 1st sentence: "Temporal cortex RNAseq data from two RNAseq cohorts: "Mayo Clinic RNASeq" and "ROS/MAP RNAseq" were employed for replication of the associations that were detected with the WG-DASL gene expression measurements. The Mayo Clinic RNASeq dataset is comprised of 84 LOAD and 48 non-AD brains from the Mayo Clinic Brain Bank that were not part of the Mayo Clinic WG-DASL cohort but whose neuropathological diagnosis followed the same criteria. The ROS/MAP RNAseq dataset is comprised of RNAseq data from 288 AD and 206 non-AD samples that are part of the ROS/MAP cohort (**Table 1**) previously described [18, 19]."

Page 10, 2nd paragraph, 6th sentence:

"Unlike the IGAP "hit" (rs9381040), rs9357347 lies within sequence subject to histone modifications and within a DNAse hypersensitive site detected by the Roadmap Epigenomics Consortium [22] in brain regions relevant to AD pathology such as the hippocampus. Furthermore, this variant is predicted to affect transcription factor binding (SP1 and PPAR) as catalogued in HaploReg (http://www.broadinstitute.org/mammals/haploreg/haploreg.php) [23]."

Page 13, 2nd paragraph, 3rd sentence: "Whereas *TREML1* is expressed in both cerebellum and temporal cortex of all subjects, *TREM2* is expressed in 98% of temporal cortex and 41% of cerebellum samples."

Page 15, 2nd paragraph, 4th sentence: "Interestingly, rs9357347 is predicted to affect transcription factor binding (SP1 and PPAR) as catalogued in HaploReg. These two transcription factors are

Carrasquillo et al. ADJ-D-16-00130

known be important in regulating key players in the inflammatory response and lipid metabolism [33, 34]."

Page 16, 2nd paragraph, 4th sentence: "Further, *TREML2* expression is too low to be reliably measured in brain tissue (TCX and CER). This raises the possibility that the association with *TREML2* p.S144G is due to its LD with a functional variant(s) that influences the function or level of a nearby *TREM* gene(s), such as *TREML1* or *TREM2*."

Page 17, 3rd paragraph, 1st sentence: "In summary, we characterized expression of *TREM* genes in cerebellum and temporal cortex and determined *TREML1* and *TREM2* to be the only reliably expressed *TREM* genes in these brain regions. We identified rs9357347 as a putative regulatory variant that associates with protection from AD and with increased *TREML1* and *TREM2* brain levels, and nominate rs9357347 as one of the functional variants that accounts for the IGAP ADrisk signal."

Minor Comment 3:

"3. Page 5, Paragraph 2, Line 10, missing a reference for "... reported ..."."

We have added the references to this sentence on page 5, 2nd paragraph, as shown below:

"Some variants at the *TREM* locus have been reported to associate with AD endophenotypes [11, 13, 14]."

Minor Comment 4:

"4. Page 5, Line 25, "associated" instead of "associate"."

This word has been replaced as suggested (now on page 5, line 80).

Minor Comment 5:

"5. Page 6, continuation of paragraph from Page 5. You are already making a conclusion in the introduction. I'd suggest that you remove the last line."

We have deleted this sentence.

Minor Comment 6:

"6. Page 8 last line replace "associates" with "is associated"."

This word, now on page 10, 2nd paragraph, line 195, has been replaced, as suggested.

Reviewer #2:

"The manuscript entitled "A regulatory variant at the TREM gene cluster associates with decreased Alzheimer's disease risk, and increased TREML1 and TREM2 brain gene expression" by Carrasquillo et al. describes an association study between TREM2 SNPs and brain expression levels of genes positioned within the TREM cluster. The expression association analysis was well designed and thoroughly executed. The study includes appropriate replication cohorts. The study contributes to the understanding of the broader role of TREM locus in AD, beyond the rare coding mutations; the results are of interest to the community of investigators studying the genetic of LOAD."

We thank this Reviewer for their favorable comments.

"However, based on the results presented in this manuscript the authors cannot rule out the high possibility that other genetic variants, including structural variants that are in high LD with SNP rs9357347, are responsible for the observed statistical associations with TREM2 and TREM1L expression. There are several major concerns and revisions are needed accordingly:"

Comment 1:

"1) The conclusion should be phrased more carefully. The results reported in this work suggest associations, however, the actual variants underlying the observed associations remained to be determined. This will require experiments using appropriate biological systems, in which the candidate variant is the only different site.

The title should be revised as well accordingly for accuracy; at this stage SNP rs9357347 is a candidate regulatory variant."

We fully agree with this reviewer's comment. As suggested, the word "candidate" has been added to the title of the manuscript.

In addition, we added a paragraph (Discussion, page 15, last paragraph) to emphasize the need for experiments in appropriate systems as follows:

"While the fold change estimates in gene expression associated with rs9357347-C are modest at 6-7%, the biological impact of the increase attributed to each copy of the minor allele, can be significant and may provide sufficient protection from disease in some individuals, particularly when considered over a lifetime. Furthermore, these estimates are based on RNA isolated from tissue samples and not microglial cells where both *TREM2* and *TREML1* are predominantly expressed [35], and where expression levels of these genes may be impacted to a greater extent by regulatory variants. Additional studies will be needed to determine the impact of such expression changes on the biology of microglial cell function."

We have also provided additional language in the discussion section (page 17, 3rd paragraph, penultimate sentence):

Carrasquillo et al. ADJ-D-16-00130

"Additional studies are needed to validate the function of this variant, and to explore the possibility of the presence of other variants at this locus that could contribute to associations observed with rs9357347."

We note that our original manuscript had already raised these possibilities in the Discussion (please see the un-highlighted text in the paragraph shown under Comment #2 below). However, our additions in response to Comment #1 further enhance the cautionary language.

Comment 2:

"2) It is likely that SNP rs9357347 and the other 3 SNPs in LD block 2 tag the actual regulatory variant/s //haplotype. Deep sequencing analysis targeted for block 2 (specifically the region that overlaps with the Roadmap Epigenomics signals) is necessary to identify the actual risk/protective variant."

We are also in complete agreement with this assessment, and now propose this approach specifically in the discussion section (page 17, 1st paragraph, penultimate sentence), as shown below:

"Both rs9357347 and p.S144G should be tested for their functional potential and influence on outcomes of inflammation and neuroprotection. It remains possible that rs9357347 is in LD with an untested true functional variant with effects on transcription and AD-risk. It is likewise possible that while rs9357347 is associated with both AD-risk and gene expression levels, these joint effects are coincidental due to LD, rather than being related. These possibilities need to be explored through sequencing of the entire *TREM* locus, or via targeted sequencing of LD block 2 where rs9357347 resides. Thus, our findings provide a testable hypothesis for a strong candidate functional variant, specific transcription factors and their effects on *TREML1* and *TREM2* levels."

Comment 3:

"3) The authors should present the mRNA expression levels of TREM2 and TREM1L stratified by disease status using the study's cohorts. This will be also helpful to determine the direction of the change in expression in AD vs. control."

We are thankful for this suggestion. We now include box plots of *TREML1* and *TREM2* gene expression levels stratified by diagnosis for each of the 3 datasets in Fig. S5. The trends observed in these box plots are described in the Supplementary Material (page 9, 3rd paragraph) as shown below:

"Association of diagnosis with TREML1 and TREM2 expression

To assess if diagnosis is associated with *TREML1* and/or *TREM2* gene expression levels, linear regression analyses were performed in R in each of the three datasets, adjusting for all other covariates included in the eQTL analyses described in the Materials and Methods section 2.4, as well as rs9357347 minor allele dose. The box plots in **Fig. S5** show the direction of the change in expression between AD and nonAD subjects, and indicate the significance of the association for each test. We observe a consistent trend of higher *TREML1* and *TREM2* expression in AD versus

nonADs, although some of these associations do not reach statistical significance. The trend toward higher *TREML1* and *TREM2* expression in AD subjects could be a reflection of microglial activation and/or proliferation known to occur in AD brains."

Fig. S5. Box plots of gene expression residuals for TREML1 and TREM2 in AD and nonAD



subjects, for each of the three cohorts investigated.

A and B: Expression measure residuals for *TREML1* (A) and *TREM2* (B) in the Mayo WG-DASL dataset, adjusted for rs9357347 minor allele dose, age-at-death, sex, *APOE* ε 4 dose, RIN, (RIN-RINmean)² and PCR plate. *TREML1* (C) and *TREM2* (D) in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq dataset, adjusted for rs9357347 minor allele dose, age-at-death, sex, *APOE* ε 4 dose, RIN, (RIN-RINmean)² and flowcell. *TREML1* (E) and *TREM2* (F) in the ROS/MAP RNAseq dataset, adjusted for rs9357347 minor allele dose, age-at-death, sex, *APOE* ε 4 dose, RIN, (RIN-RINmean)² and flowcell. *TREML1* (E) and *TREM2* (F) in the ROS/MAP RNAseq dataset, adjusted for rs9357347 minor allele dose, age-at-death, sex, *APOE* ε 4 dose, RIN, (RIN-RINmean)².

Comment 4:

"4) A description of the statistical analyses has to be included in the method section of the main manuscript (not the supplementary material). The results section relies completely on the statistical methods."

A description of the statistical analyses is now included in the Material and Methods, section 2.4, of the main manuscript on page 7, 3rd paragraph.

"2.4. Statistical Analysis

Normalized transcript expression levels, on a log2 scale, were tested for associations with *TREM* locus genotypes in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo Clinic RNAseq and ROS/MAP RNAseq) via multivariable linear regression analyses implemented in PLINK [20]. An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex, diagnosis, RNA Integrity Number (RIN) and adjusted RIN squared (RIN-RINmean)² in all expression analyses, and *APOE* ε 4 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq dataset only. The eQTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included *APOE* ε 4 dose as a covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 eQTL analyses in the discovery set, *APOE* ε 4 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. For comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without adjustment for *APOE* ε 4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association results between these two models.

Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For these analyses, METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size."

Comment 5:

"5) Corrections for multiple tests should be applied for the nominal p values. (different tissues, several LD blocks, 2 genes)."

Correction for multiple tests was not applied to the eQTL results from the Mayo WG-DASL since this was our discovery cohort from which variants with eQTL p-values <0.05 and evidence of AD risk association were selected and further prioritized based on annotation of regulatory potential. Since only one variant, rs9357347, fulfilled these criteria, only this variant was evaluated in the replication eQTL cohorts. We have clarified the variant selection process by adding text to page 6, 2nd paragraph, as shown below. We also added, on page 7, the terms "Discovery eQTL cohort" and "Replication eQTL cohorts", following "Mayo Clinic Whole Genome-DASL dataset" and "RNAseq datasets" subtitles in the Methods section, respectively.

"We restricted our analysis to variants located within 100kb of any coding *TREM* family gene at the chromosome 6p21.1 *TREM* gene cluster (**Fig. 1**). Variants were further selected based on the statistical significance of their AD-risk association in the IGAP stage 1 metaanalysis [12] (**Supplementary Methods**), where only those variants with p-values ≤ 0.0015 were kept. This p-value cut-off was arbitrarily chosen to select those variants that existed in both the IGAP stage 1 AD GWAS and our discovery eQTL cohort, Mayo Clinic Whole Genome-DASL dataset, and that could be genotyped, if needed, in the replication eQTL cohorts, using costeffective medium-throughput assays. Variants were further prioritized by their Regulome score. Regulome scores were obtained from the Regulome database, which annotates variants with regulatory information from 962 different datasets and a variety of sources, including ENCODE [16]. Regulome scores are on a scale from 1 to 6, and these numerical categories are subclassified with letters based on the number of lines of evidence of functional consequence. A value of 1a is assigned to the variant with the most evidence of regulatory potential, while a score of 6 has the least [16]."

Comment 6:

"6) rs9357347 demonstrated only suggestive association in the replication cohort that has no overlap with the discovery cohort."

As also discussed in our response to Reviewer #1, Comment #16, in the course of the revision of our manuscript, we noticed that, unlike for the other two cohorts, the gene expression values from the ROS/MAP RNAseq dataset were not log2 transformed as they should have been. We repeated the analyses for ROS/MAP using the log2 transformed-FPKM gene expression values. We also repeated the meta-analyses. As shown in the updated **Table 3**, rs9357347 associates with *TREM2* levels in both the Mayo Clinic WG-DASL and ROS/MAP cohorts, although a significant association with *TREML1* is observed only in the former.

Although the association with *TREML1* does not reach significance in ROS/MAP, the beta coefficient overlaps with that in the WG-DASL cohort (**Table 3**). The lack of significant association in the Mayo RNAseq cohort is likely due to its relatively small size; yet in this cohort the effect size detected for *TREM2* is very similar to that in the WG-DASL cohort, as evidenced by the improved significance of the association with *TREM2* levels upon meta-analysis, as compared to this association in the discovery, WG-DASL cohort.

Please also see our response to Comment #8 by Reviewer #1, Comment#4 by Reviewer #5.

Reviewer #4:

"This paper describes the identification of an intergenic variant (rs9357347) as a causative factor in expression regulation of transcripts TREM2 and TREML1. The functional variant was previously associated with reduced risk of Alzheimer disease within the IGAP AD-risk GWAS meta-analysis published by Lambert et al (2013). The authors argue that the identified variant underlies the genome-wide association signal within the TREM locus. Overall, the paper is well written. Methodology and results are well structured. The identification of several putative eQTL variants and selection of the putative causal variant are described in detail."

We thank this Reviewer for their favorable comments.

"A drawback is the absence of significant expression regulation by this variant in the replication datasets, which should be addressed in more detail."

Please see the response to Reviewer #1 Comments #8 and #16; Reviewer #2 Comment #6 and Reviewer #5, Comment #4.

"Second, absence of expression data for five out of seven genes within the TREM locus limits the interpretation of the identified variants as the true functional factor explaining the GWAS signal at this locus."

Please see the response to Comment #2 below.

Comment 1:

"Main Comments:

* The evidence for the regulatory variant 'nominated' in this paper is more limited than the text and title lead to believe. Association of rs9357347 with increased TREML1 and TREM2 expression levels reaches significance in the Mayo WG-DASL discovery dataset only. Given that associations fail to reach statistical significance in both the Mayo Clinic RNAseq and ROS/MAP RNAseq datasets, the meta-analysis association is driven by the Mayo WG-DASL only. Furthermore, the direction of effect in TREML1 expression is not equal among the datasets. Since TREML1 and TREM2 expression levels from the microarray could be correlated to expression levels in a 93 AD patient dataset (results; page 7; line 37-47, and figure S1) why would the association of rs9357347 with TREML1 and TREM2 expression levels remain specific to the microarray data? Please tone down and discuss."

We address the replication comment in our responses to Reviewer #1, Comment #16; Reviewer #2, Comments #2, and #6.

We discuss differences in the ages of the cohorts as a potential source of lack of replication in our response to Reviewer #1, Comment #8.

We address the toning down of the Discussion in our responses to Reviewer #2, Comments #1 and #2.

Comment 2:

"* Strongest association signal from the IGAP meta-analysis is found near the TREML2 gene. Analysis of TREML2 gene expression was excluded due failure to detect expression levels. Do the authors expect that TREML2 expression regulation would be relevant to the protective effects of TREM locus eQTL variants? Do the authors expect any eQTL effect of rs9357347 on TREML2 or additional TREM locus transcripts?"

Table S1 now includes the percent detection of each of the five *TREM* coding genes, in each of the three expression datasets evaluated in this study. These data demonstrate the low percentage of subjects with detectable levels of *TREML2* across all 3 cohorts.

			Mayo WG-DASL: Cerebellum ^a		Mayo WG-DASL: Temporal Cortex ^b		Mayo Clinic RNAseq: Temporal Cortex ^c	ROS/MAP RNAseq: DFPC ^d		
Symbol	Ensembl Gene ID	WG-DASL Probe ID	AD + non- AD	AD	nonAD	AD + non- AD	AD	Non- AD	AD + non-AD	AD + non-AD
TREM1	ENSG00000124731	ILMN_1688231	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.51	18.18	66.99
TREML1	ENSG00000161911	ILMN_1690783	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	97.84
TREM2	ENSG0000095970	ILMN_1701248	40.91	43.59	37.29	98.25	99.50	96.95	100.00	100.00
TREML2	ENSG00000112195	ILMN_1740864	17.38	17.44	17.51	6.27	10.40	2.03	8.33	24.75
TREML4	ENSG00000188056	ILMN_2205322	6.15	4.62	7.91	2.26	2.97	1.52	2.27	15.13

Table S1. Percent detection of *TREM* locus transcripts.

The percentage of samples with detectable expression of *TREM* family transcripts in each of the expression datasets studied. For the WG-DASL dataset (a,b) the corresponding WG-DASL probe is indicated. Only *TREML1* and *TREM2* expression are detectable above background in at least 50% of the Mayo WG-DASL samples tested (a,b), in at least one tissue; c: A detection threshold >-1, for cqn normalized expression levels was used to determine percent detection; d: percent detection was calculated as the proportion of subjects who express > 0 FPKM, DFPC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex.

Carrasquillo et al. ADJ-D-16-00130

Genes that are lowly expressed, or not expressed in the brain are unlikely to directly impact the pathophysiology of AD. However, it is indeed possible that their expression in the periphery could affect the disease process, as had been stated in the discussion (page 16, 2nd paragraph, penultimate sentence) and shown below:

"Alternatively, the protective effect of p.S144G could be mediated directly through the function of TREML2 in a cell with abundant expression, such as macrophages, in which TREML2 is known to be upregulated in response to inflammation, [36]."

We now add (page 16, 2nd paragraph, last sentence) that: "It is also possible that significant rs9357347 eQTL associations would be detected with *TREML2* or other *TREM* locus transcripts in tissues where these genes are more abundantly expressed."

Also, it is important to acknowledge that moderate levels of expression in specific central nervous system cell types, may not be detectable in tissue samples composed of a heterogenous set of cell types, such as brain tissue used in our study. We now raise this point in the discussion section, page 16, 1st paragraph, last two sentences.

"Furthermore, these estimates are based on RNA isolated from tissue samples and not microglial cells where both *TREM2* and *TREML1* are predominantly expressed [35], and where expression levels of these genes may be impacted to a greater extent by regulatory variants. Additional studies will be needed to determine the impact of such expression changes on the biology of microglial cell function."

Comment 3:

"Lower bound cut-off for inclusion of RNA was set at RIN>5. Regardless of the correction for RIN value that was employed in the eQTL analysis of rs9357347, could the authors identify any group differences in TREM2 and TREML1 expression regulation or detection percentage when clustering samples based on RIN values?"

We have done additional analyses, which we depict in a new Figure (**Fig. S6**) and in a new Table (**Table S3**). In summary, RIN does not significantly impact the results of the eQTL analyses. We added the following new text to **Supplementary Material**, page 7, last paragraph.

"Effect of RIN on percent detection and rs9357347 eQTL association

Fig. S6 shows *TREML1* and *TREM2* detection percentage stratified by RIN, and demonstrates that neither *TREML1* nor *TREM2* detection percentage is affected by RIN. **Table S3** shows results of the rs9357347 eQTL associations in the Mayo WG-DASL dataset when stratifying by samples above and below the median RIN of 6.5 (**Table S3**). These results indicate that RIN does not significantly impact the magnitude of the rs9357347 eQTL associations, as the estimates of the beta coefficients overlap with those observed in the analysis not stratified by RIN (**Table S2**). Although the significance of the association is lessened in the stratified analysis, this is likely due to the smaller sample size of the stratified groups compared to the sample size of the combined analysis."

TREM	IL1 and TREM	2 gene ex	<mark>pression str</mark>	atified by	<mark>RIN.</mark>
Gene Symbol	RIN group	N	beta	SE	p-value
TREML1	RIN < 6.5	188	0.087	0.042	0.043
	RIN > 6.5	192	0.084	0.046	0.069
TREM2	RIN < 6.5	188	0.110	0.063	0.084
	RIN > 6.5	192	0.075	0.065	0.250

Table S3. Assoc	iation of the TREM locus candidate regulatory variant, rs9357347, with
	TREML1 and TREM2 gene expression stratified by RIN.

Data shown for Mayo WG-DASL temporal cortex (AD+Non-AD) dataset. Samples were stratified into two groups representing those with a RIN below the median RIN of 6.5 and those with a RIN above 6.5. N = sample size. SE= standard error. Given that all expression measures were on a log2 scale, fold-change for the beta coefficients = 2^{beta} .

Fig. S6. Bar charts of percentage of subjects with detectable gene expression for *TREML1* and *TREM2* across groups of subjects defined by **RIN** value.



Subjects were binned according to RIN value and the proportion of subjects in each bin that met the detection threshold was calculated. A and B: Expression detection percentage for each RIN bin for *TREML1* (A) and *TREM2* (B) in the Mayo WG-DASL dataset. C and D: Expression detection percentage for each RIN bin for *TREML1* (A) and *TREM2* (B) in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq dataset. E and F: Expression detection percentage for each RIN bin for *TREML1* (A) and *TREM2* (B) in the ROS/MAP RNASseq dataset.

Minor Comment 1:

"Please report RNA concentrations submitted for sequencing."

These concentrations are now indicated as shown below:

Supplementary Material, page 4, 2nd paragraph, 3rd sentence for the Mayo RNAseq: "Samples were randomized prior to the transfer of 40 (TCX) or 50 (CER) ng/ul of RNA to the Mayo Clinic Medical Genome Facility Gene Expression and Sequencing Cores for library preparation and sequencing."

Supplementary Material, page 5, 3rd paragraph, 3rd sentence for the ROS/MAP RNAseq: "Only samples with a RIN score >5 were used for library construction, which was assembled using 50ng/ul of RNA for the strand-specific dUTP method."

Minor Comment 2:

"* Detection percentage for TREM locus transcripts is provided for the Illumina WGS-DASL microarray dataset only. Please provide the detection percentages for all seven TREM locus transcripts in both the discovery and replication datasets."

Table S1 now includes the percent detection of each of the five *TREM* coding genes, in each of the three expression datasets evaluated in this study. The WG-DASL array lacked probes for the two *TREM* pseudogenes; therefore they were not measured in the Mayo WG-DASL cohort. Expression levels were not available for the *TREM* pseudogenes in the ROS/MAP dataset. Based on the Mayo RNAseq dataset, the percent detection of *TREML3P* and *TREML5P* in temporal cortex are 15% and 5% respectively. This information is now included in the legend of **Table S1**.

Please also see responses to Main Comment #2 by Reviewer #4.

Minor Comment 3:

"* Interpretation of pairwise LD between rare and common variants is difficult due to frequency inequalities. Conclusions on LD blocks (e.g. page 9, last paragraph) should be presented with more caution."

We note that the LD blocks and pairwise disequilibrium were assessed in our study using both D' and r^2 . D' provides a more accurate assessment of LD between variants that have different allele frequencies than r^2 , as D' is a relative measure of LD based of the maximum disequilibrium attainable given the allele frequencies. The use of both LD measures is stated throughout the manuscript and in Figure 3 (relevant section of legend pasted below).

"Fig. 3. LD Plot of *TREM* locus variants.

LD plot of *TREM* locus variants where haplotype blocks were determined with the solid spine definition; square colors correspond to D' (tight LD=warmer colors, weak LD=cooler colors) and r^2 values are shown within the squares (**Supplementary Methods**)."

Minor Comment 4:

"* Figures 1, 2 and 3 are a little rough and premature; the authors might reshape these figures to aid interpretation of gene and variant positions."

Please note that all figures were submitted as high resolution images, all of which are of high quality and can be downloaded by clicking on the link provided on the merged pdf of the manuscript documents. If there are specific suggestions regarding how these can be improved further, we will be happy to apply these.

Minor Comment 5:

* Exclusion of missense variant TREML2 p.S144G as a functional factor is motivated by the variant being labeled 'benign' in the PolyPhen2 prediction software. This conclusion might be too strong, especially since TREML2 expressions levels could not be evaluated.

We recognize that functional prediction algorithms like PolyPhen are fallible, and acknowledge that *TREML2* p.S144G may be functional and could influence AD-risk, as indicated on page 16, 2^{nd} paragraph, last two sentences:

"Alternatively, the protective effect of p.S144G could be mediated directly through the function of TREML2 in a cell with abundant expression, such as macrophages, in which TREML2 is known to be upregulated in response to inflammation, [36]. It is also possible that significant rs9357347 eQTL associations would be detected with *TREML2* or other *TREM* locus transcripts in tissues were these genes are more abundantly expressed."

Reviewer #5:

"Drs. Carrasquillo et.al. used both their own samples and data plus publically available RNA-Seq data to elucidate the association between the TREM gene cluster and AD and it's pathologies, primarily by exploring gene expression in the TREM region. They found that a protective variant in TREM2, shows a significant eQTL and this association might be driving or at least contributing to the protective effect seen in the TREM region."

Overall nicely done analyses, just a couple comments:"

We thank this reviewer for their positive comments.

Comment 1:

"(1) The authors should explain the Regulome Score, how it is calculated and how to interpret it. I did not see that in either the main manuscript (methods or results) or supplementary."

We now provide a description of Regulome scores, and explain how they are calculated and how to interpret them on page 6, in the Materials and Methods, section 2.1:

"Variants were further prioritized by their Regulome score. Regulome scores were obtained from the Regulome database, which annotates variants with regulatory information from 962 different datasets and a variety of sources, including ENCODE [16]. Regulome scores are on a scale from 1 to 6, and these numerical categories are sub-classified with letters based on the number of lines of evidence of functional consequence. A value of 1a is assigned to the variant with the most evidence of regulatory potential, while a score of 6 has the least [16]."

Comment 2:

"(2) Since models used for the eQTL analyses should be mentioned in the main paper, specifically what covariates were adjusted for. This is important especially considering the differences in the 3 cohorts used for the meta-analyses. And with respect to the adjustments, what was the rationale for adjusting for APOE status. This should be explained."

A description of the statistical analyses is now included in the Material and Methods, section 2.4, of the Main Manuscript on page 7. Also, we now indicate the following in section 2.4:

"The eQTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included APOE ε 4 dose as a covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 eQTL analyses, APOE ε 4 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. For comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without adjustment for APOE ε 4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the estimates of the association between these two models."

Please also see our response to Reviewer #2, Comment #4.

Comment 3:

"(3) p=0.14 or p=0.11 is not "suggestive association". That is quite a stretch. Just focus on the meta analysis results and direction"

We have modified this sentence in light of the new results discussed in the response to comment #4 of this reviewer, and have replaced the phrase "suggestive association" on page 12, 4th paragraph, 2nd sentence, as shown below:

"Although in the ROS/MAP RNAseq dataset a significant association was only detected with the levels of *TREM2* (**Table 3**), meta-analysis from the three independent study p-values (Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo RNAseq and ROS/MAP RNAseq) yielded significant results (*TREML1* $p=3.4x10^{-2}$; *TREM2* $p=3.5x10^{-3}$), confirming the association of the rs9357347 minor allele with increased *TREML1* and *TREM2* gene expression."

Please also see our response to Reviewer #1, Comment #16 and Reviewer #2, Comment #6.

Comment 4:

Carrasquillo et al. ADJ-D-16-00130

"Table 3: It would be helpful to include both MAF for each cohort/gene and also SE of the Betas. The B=0.43 for the ROSMAP TREM2 is a bit out of place. The authors should comment on what might be driving the very different beta (different from the other 2 cohorts)."

We have added to **Table 3** (also shown below) the MAF in each cohort and the SE of the beta coefficients. We are grateful for this reviewer's comment regarding the larger beta reported for the ROS/MAP cohort. To address this concern, we plotted the expression values used as input for the linear regression analyses and realized that the input values for the ROS/MAP cohort had not been log2 transformed, but were rather the FPKM values. The ROS/MAP eQTL results presented now in **Table 3** and elsewhere in the manuscript were re-generated using the log2 transformed FPKM values. Upon this correction, the beta coefficients estimated in the ROS/MAP dataset overlap with the beta estimates in the discovery, WG-DASL cohort. In the corrected analysis of the ROS/MAP cohort, association of rs9357347 with *TREM2* levels is significant. The pertinent text in the manuscript is modified accordingly.

Please also see the response to Reviewer #1 Comments #8 and #16; Reviewer #2 Comment #6 and Reviewer #4, Comment #1.

Detect	Sample	MAE		TREM	L1		TREM2		
Dalasei	size	WAF	beta	SE	р	beta	SE	р	
Mayo WG-DASL	380	0.307	0.088	0.032	6.28E-03	0.090	0.045	4.61E-02	
Mayo Clinic RNAseq	132	0.311	-0.030	0.108	7.82E-01	0.084	0.128	5.13E-01	
ROS/MAP RNAseq	494	0.281	0.089	0.114	4.36E-01	0.124	0.060	3.77E-02	
Meta-analysis	1006		+-+		3.36E-02	+++		3.54E-03	

Table 3. Meta-analysis of rs9357347 eQTL results from three independent datasets.

Meta-analysis of rs9357347 eQTL results from temporal cortex (Mayo WG-DASL and Mayo Clinic RNAseq) and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex samples (ROS/MAP). MAF = minor allele frequency. SE = standard error. Since in all three datasets the expression measures analyzed were on a log2 scale, fold-change for the beta coefficients = 2^{beta} . The meta-analysis was performed using METAL, with weighted average of z-scores from the individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size.

1	A <u>candidate</u> regulatory variant at the <i>TREM</i> gene cluster associates with decreased	Formatted: Highlight
2	Alzheimer's disease risk, and increased TREML1 and TREM2 brain gene expression	
3		
4	Minerva M. Carrasquillo ¹ , Mariet Allen ¹ , Jeremy D. Burgess ¹ , <u>Xue Wang², Samantha L.</u>	
5	Strickland ¹ , Shivani Aryal ¹ , Joanna Siuda ¹ , Michaela L. Kachadoorian ¹ , Christopher Medway ^{1,23} ,	
6	Curtis S. Younkin ¹ , Asha Nair ³ Nair ⁴ , Chen Wang ³ Wang ⁴ , Pritha Chanana ³ Chanana ⁴ , Xue	
7	Wang ⁴ , Daniel Serie ⁴ Serie ² , Thuy Nguyen ¹ , Sarah Lincoln ¹ , Kimberly G. Malphrus ¹ , Kevin	
8	Morgan ³² , Todd E. Golde ⁵ , Nathan D. Price ⁶ , Charles C. White ^{7,8} , Philip L De Jager ^{7,8,9} , David	
9	A. Bennett ¹⁰ , Yan W. Asmann ⁴ Asmann ² , Julia E. Crook ⁴ Crook ² , Ronald C. Petersen ¹¹ , Neill R.	
10	Graff-Radford ¹² , Dennis W. Dickson ¹ , Steven G. Younkin ¹ , Nilüfer Ertekin-Taner ^{1,12*}	
11		
12	¹ Department of Neuroscience, Mayo Clinic Florida, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA.	
13	⁴² Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, Florida, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA.	
14		
15	² . ³ _Human Genetics Group, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK NG7 2UH.	
16	³ - <u>4</u> Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic Rochester, MN 55905, USA	
17	⁴ Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, Florida, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA.	
18	⁵ Department of Neuroscience, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, 32610 USA.	
19	⁶ Institute for Systems Biology, Seattle, WA, 98109 USA.	
20	⁷ Ann Romney Center for Neurologic Diseases and Departments of Neurology and Psychiatry,	
21	Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, 02115 USA.	
22	⁸ Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, 02142 USA.	
23	⁹ Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, 02115 USA.	

1

24	¹⁰ Rush Alzheimer's Disease Center, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, 60612 USA.
25	¹¹ Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic Minnesota, Rochester, MN 55905 USA.
26	¹² Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic Florida, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA.
27	
28	* To whom correspondence should be addressed at: Departments of Neurology and
29	Neuroscience, Mayo Clinic Florida, Birdsall Building 327, 4500 San Pablo Rd, Jacksonville, FL
30	32224; E-mail: <u>taner.nilufer@mayo.edu;</u> Tel: 904-953-7103; Fax: 904-953-7370

32 Abstract

33

INTRODUCTION: We hypothesized that common Alzheimer's disease (AD)-associated
variants within the triggering receptor expressed on myeloid (*TREM*) gene cluster influence
disease through gene expression.

37 METHODS: Expression microarrays on temporal cortex and cerebellum from ~400

38 neuropathologically diagnosed AD and non-AD subjects, and two independent RNAseq

39 replication cohorts were used for expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) analysis.

40 **RESULTS:** *TREML1* and *TREM2* have reliably detectable expression. A variant within a DNase

41 hypersensitive site 5' of *TREM2*, rs9357347-C, associates with reduced AD-risk and increased

42 *TREML1* and *TREM2* levels. Meta-analysis on eQTL results from three independent datasets

43 (n=1,006) confirmed these associations (p= $\frac{9.33.4 \times 10^{3.2}}{10^{10}}$ and $\frac{9.3 \times 10^{3.5} \times 10^{43}}{10^{10}}$, respectively).

44 **DISCUSSION:** Our findings point to rs9357347 as a functional regulatory variant that

45 contributes to a protective effect observed at the *TREM* locus in the International Genomics of

46 Alzheimer's Project (IGAP) GWAS meta-analysis, and suggest concomitant increase of

47 *TREML1* and *TREM2* brain levels as a potential mechanism for protection from AD.

48

49

50

51 Keywords: Alzheimer's disease, eQTL, *TREM2*, *TREML1*, regulatory variant

-	Formatted: Highlight
4	Formatted: Highlight
Y	Formatted: Highlight
	Formatted: Highlight
ľ,	Formatted: Highlight
	Formatted: Highlight

52 1. Introduction

53	Whole genome and exome sequencing are used as complementary approaches to uncover		
54	novel loci that can be missed by GWAS, and enabled the discovery of strong, yet rare, missense		Formatted: Highlight
55	eoding AD risk-alleles within TREM2 that have a relatively large effect size on AD-risk [1, 2].		Formatted: Highlight
56	TREM2 is a member of the triggering receptor expressed on myeloid (TREM) family, known to	\square	Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Highli
57	play a key role in modulating inflammation in the innate immune response [3]. This finding		Formatted: Font: Not Italic
58	provided strong supportive evidence for the importance of inflammation in the etiology of AD,		
59	but the specific role played by TREM2 in AD pathophysiology remains unclear [4].		
60	Since the first two reports [1, 2], the risk effect of the most significant TREM2 rare		
61	missense variant p.R47H (a.k.a. rs75932628) has been replicated in multiple Caucasian series [5-		
62	9], including a large meta-analysis of 24,086 AD cases and 148,993 controls [10]. TREM2		
63	resides within the TREM gene cluster on chromosome 6p21.1 (Fig. 1), which also includes the		
64	protein coding genes TREM1, TREML1, TREML2, TREML4 that could be additional plausible		
65	AD-risk genes.		
66	A missense variant in TREML2, p.S144G (a.k.a. rs3747742), that is not in linkage		
67	disequilibrium (LD) with TREM2 p.R47H ₂ was reported to associate with reduced AD-risk [11].		Formatted: Highlight
68	TREML2 p.S144G is in strong-tight LD with the TREM locus intergenic variant, rs9381040, that		Formatted: Highlight
			Formatted: Highlight
69	showed nominally significant AD association that demonstrated the most significant association		Formatted: Font: Italic, Highlight
70	at the TREM loave in the ICAR AD risk CWAS mate analysis (n. 6x10 ⁻⁰⁴) [10] and which was		Formatted: Highlight
70	at the <u>TREM locus</u> in the IGAP AD-fisk GWAS meta-analysis $(p=0.10, -)$ [12], and which was		Formatted: Highlight
71	the strongest variant at this locus in the IGAP dataset [11]. The authors concluded that TREML2	\mathbb{N}	Formatted: Superscript, Highlight
	p.S144G is the functional variant that accounted for the IGAP <i>TREM</i> locus signal, although even	//	Formatted: Highlight
72		//	Formatted: Highlight
		///	
73	though the significance of the AD-risk association with the intergenic rs9381040 is stronger		Formatted: Highlight
		×	5 5

Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Highlight
Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Highlight

74	greater than that observed with for p.S144G. Further, TREML2 p.S144G does not have a	
75	predicted functional consequence (PolyPhen2 score=benign) or demonstrated functional	
76	outcome, suggesting that the IGAP signal at the TREM locus may be due to other functional	
77	variants.	
78	Some variants at the TREM locus have been reported to show associateion with AD	Formatted: Highlight
79	endophenotypes [11, 13, 14]. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) levels of AD biomarkers, tau and ptau,	Formatted: Highlight
80	associate with three variants at the TREM locus that are not in LD with each other: TREM2	
81	p.R47H (rs75932628), rs6916710 located in intron 2 of TREML2, and rs6922617 located	
82	downstream from NCR2 and outside the TREM cluster. Of these variants, only TREM2 p.R47H	
83	was associated with AD-risk [13]. More recently, a variant upstream of TREM2 (rs7759295) and	
84	a variant in intron 3 of <i>TREM1</i> (rs6910730) were reported to be independently associated with	Formatted: Highlight
85	increased AD pathology burden and increased rate of cognitive decline [14]. However, neither of	
86	these two variants shows association with AD-risk in the IGAP meta-analysis (p>0.05) [15].	
87	Thus, it is possible that the effect observed with these variants on AD endophenotypes is due to	Formatted: Highlight
88	their LD with an as yet defined functional variant(s) that influences AD risk at the TREM locus.	
89	Thus, other than TREM2 p.R47H, none of the TREM locus variants previously reported to	
90	associate with AD endophenotypes show association with AD-risk. Functional AD-risk variants	
91	that influence AD endophenotypes are expected to show associatione both with these	
92	endophenotypes and risk of AD. Therefore, it is possible that these latter variants are not the	
93	functional variants per se, but merely markers of other un-tested functional variants.	Formatted: Font: Italic
94	Collectively, these prior findings suggest that besides the TREM2 rare missense variants,	
95	there may be additional common variants at the TREM locus that influence AD-risk and/or its	
96	endophenotypes. We hypothesized that some of the common AD-risk variants at the TREM locus	

97	confer disease risk via regulation of transcript levels of coding genes at the TREM gene cluster.	
98	In this study, we characterized the brain expression levels of the TREM family genes using	
99	microarray expression data; validated expression levels- by RNA sequencing (RNAseq);	
100	performed genetic associations with TREM locus genes reliably detected in cerebellum and	
101	temporal cortex with single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) that were also tested in the IGAP	
102	AD-risk GWAS meta-analysis; and annotated these variants for their effects on TREM gene	
103	expression levels and regulatory potential. Further, we obtained results for the top putative	
104	regulatory SNP from two other, independent cohorts with brain RNAseq data and performed	
105	meta-analysis of all three cohorts. Our findings suggest that the protective association at the	Formatted: Highlight
106	TREM locus observed in the IGAP meta analysis may be due, at least in part, to a common	
107	regulatory variant that influences brain levels of TREM2 and TREML1.	
108		
109	2. Materials and Methods	
110	2.1 Variant selection	
111	We restricted our analysis to variants located within 100kb of any coding TREM family	
112	gene at the chromosome 6p21.1 TREM gene cluster (Fig. 1). Variants were further selected	
113	based on the strength-statistical significance of their AD-risk association in the IGAP stage 1	Formatted: Highlight
114	meta-analysis [12] (Supplementary Methods), where only those variants with p-values \leq	
115	0.0015 were kept. This p-value cut-off was arbitrarily chosen to select those variants that existed	Formatted: Highlight
116	in both the IGAP stage 1 AD GWAS and our discovery eQTL cohort, Mayo Clinic Whole	
117	Genome-DASL dataset, and that could be genotyped, if needed, in the replication eQTL cohorts,	Formatted: Highlight
118	using cost-effective medium-throughput assays. Variants were further prioritized by their	Formatted: Highlight
		Formatted: Highlight
119	Regulome score. Regulome scores were obtained from the The regulatory potential of the tested	
120	variants was assessed utilizing the Regulome database, which annotates variants with regulatory	
-----	--	-----------------------------------
121	information from 962 different datasets and a variety of sources, including ENCODE [16].	Formatted: Highlight
122	Regulome scores are on a scale from 1 to 6, and these numerical categories are sub-classified	Formatted: Highlight
123	with letters based on the number of lines of evidence of functional consequence. A value of 1a is	
124	assigned to the variant with the most evidence of regulatory potential, while a score of 6 has the	
125	least[16]	Formatted: Highlight
		Formatted: Not Highlight
126	2.2 Mayo Clinic Whole Genome-DASL dataset (Discovery eQTL cohort)	Formatted: Font: Font color: Auto
127	We utilized Illumina (Whole Genome-DASL=WG-DASL, Illumina, San Diego, CA)	
128	microarray gene expression data from our published human brain expression genome-wide	
129	association study (Mayo Clinic eGWAS) [17] conducted on brain tissue from autopsied AD	
130	patients (197 cerebellum, 202 temporal cortex) and non-AD subjects (177 cerebellum, 197	
131	temporal cortex) (Table 1). All AD subjects had neuropathologic diagnosis of definite AD [2].	
132	The non-AD subjects did not fulfill neuropathologic criteria for definite AD, but many had other	
133	unrelated pathologies. Expression measures were generated as described previously [17]. A	
134	description of this cohort, <u>and</u> generation of expression measures, and eQTL analysis is is	Formatted: Highlight
135	provided in the Supplemetary Methods.	Formatted: Highlight
136	2.3 RNAseq datasets (Replication eQTL cohorts)	
137	Temporal cortex RNAseq data from two RNAseq cohorts: "Mayo Clinic RNASeq" and	Formatted: Highlight
138	"ROS/MAP RNAseq" were employed for replication of the associations that were detected with	
139	the WG-DASL gene expression measurements. The Mayo Clinic RNASeq dataset is comprised	
140	of 84 LOAD and 48 non-AD brains from the Mayo Clinic Brain Bank that were not part of the	
141	Mayo Clinic WG-DASL cohort but whose neuropathological diagnosis followed the same	

142 criteria_The ROS MAP RNAseq dataset is comprised of _end-dervelational performationnes Formatted: Highlight 143 RNAseq data from 288 AD and 206 non-AD <u>samples</u> that are part of the ROS/MAP cohort Formatted: Highlight 144 (Table 1) previously described [18, 19], were employed for replication of the associations that Formatted: Highlight 145 were detected with the WG DASL gene expression measurements. Methodological details for Formatted: Highlight 146 the RNAseq data generation and eQTL analysis are provided in the Supplementary Methods. Formatted: Highlight 147 2.4. Statistical Analysis Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight 148 Normalized transcript expression levels, on a log2 scale, were tested for associations with Formatted: Highlight 149 <i>TREM</i> locus genotypes in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG-DASL Mayo Clinic RNAsed Formatted: Highlight 150 and ROS/MAP RNAseq) via multivariable linear regression analyses implemented in PLINK Formatted: Highlight 151 201, An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-leath, sex, diagnosis, RNA Integrity Formatted: Highlight 152 via was not detected with this covariate in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included APOE, Ed dose an Formatted: Highlight 153 i dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flo				
113 RNAscq data from 288 AD and 206 non-AD samples that are part of the ROS/MAP cohort Formatted: Highlight 114 (Table 1) previously described [18, 19], were employed for replication of the accontation: that Formatted: Highlight 115 were detected with the WG DASL gene expression measurements, Methodological details for Formatted: Highlight 116 the RNAseq data generation and eQTL analysis-are provided in the Supplementary Methods. Formatted: Highlight 117 2.4. Statistical Analysis Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight 118 Normalized transcript expression levels, on a log2 scale, were tested for associations with Formatted: Highlight 118 Normalized transcript expression levels, on a log2 scale, were tested for associations with Formatted: Highlight 119 7REM locus genotypes in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo Clinic RNAseq) Formatted: Highlight 119 201 An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex, diagnosis, RNA Interrity Formatted: Highlight 119 201 An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex, diagnosis, RNA Interrity Formatted: Highlight 119 201 An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex, diagnosis, RNA Interrity Formatted: Highlight 119 Ataset only, The gOTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DA	142	criteria. The ROS/MAP RNAseq dataset is comprised of , and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex		Formatted: Highlight
133 RNAscq data from 288 AD and 206 non-AD samples that are part of the ROS/MAP cohort 144 (Table 1) previously described [18, 19], were employed for replication of the associations that Formatted: Highlight 145 were detected with the WG-DASL gene expression measurements. Methodological details for 146 the RNAscq data generation and eQTL analysis-are provided in the Supplementary Methods. Formatted: Highlight 147 2.4. Statistical Analysis Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight 148 Normalized transcript expression levels; on a log2 scale, were tested for associations with Formatted: Highlight 149 <i>TREM</i> locus genotypes in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo Clinic RNAseq Formatted: Highlight 150 and ROS/MAP RNAseq) via multivariable linear regression analyses, implemented in PLINK Formatted: Highlight 151 JOL An additive model was applied adjusting for age-ar-gleath, sex, diagnosis, RNA Integrity Formatted: Highlight 152 Number (RIN) and adjusted RIN squared (RIN-RINmenn) in all expression analyses, and APOE Formatted: Highlight 153 r_c dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq Formatted: Highlight 154 dataset only, The cOTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included APOEr JA dose an Formatted: High				Formatted: Highlight
144 (Table 1) previously described [18, 19], were employed for replication of the associations that Formatted: Highlight 145 were detected with the WG DASL gene expression measurements, Methodological details for Intervent of the RNAseq data generation and eQTL-analysis- are provided in the Supplementary Methods. 146 the RNAseq data generation and eQTL-analysis- are provided in the Supplementary Methods. Formatted: Highlight 147 2.4. Statistical Analysis Formatted: Highlight 148 Normalized transcript expression levels, on a log2 scale, were tested for associations with Formatted: Highlight 149 <i>TREM</i> locus genotypes in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo Clinic RNAseq Formatted: Highlight 150 and ROS/MAP RNAseq) via multivariable linear regression analyses implemented in PLINK Formatted: Highlight 151 [20], An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-gleath, sex, diagnosis, RNA Integrity Formatted: Highlight 152 Number (RIN) and adjusted RIN squared (RIN-RINmean), in all expression analyses, and APOL Formatted: Highlight 153 ¿4 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL dataset, included APOF.jd dose at Formatted: Highlight 154 dataset only, The gOTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included APOF.jd dose at Formatted: Highlight 155 a covariate given the	143	RNAseq data from 288 AD and 206 non-AD <u>samples</u> that are part of the ROS/MAP cohort		
115 were-detected with the WG DASL gene expression measurements-Methodological details for 116 the RNAseq data generation and eQTL analysis are provided in the Supplementary Methods. 117 2.4. Statistical Analysis 118 Normalized transcript expression levels, on a log2 scale, were tested for associations with 119 <i>TREM</i> locus genotypes in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo Clinic RNAses) 110 and ROS/MAP RNAseq) via multivariable linear regression analyses implemented in PLINK 113 J20j, An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex, diagnosis, RNA Integrity 113 J20j, An additive model RIN squared (RIN-RINmean) ² , in all expression analyses, and ADDI 114 dataset only. The gOTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included ADDE J2 does an 115 a covariate eiven the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association 115 rad dose was not included in the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without 115 rad dose was not included in the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without 115 rad dose was not included in the eQTL analyses in all three datasets. with and without 116 Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For 117 red dose was not included in the eQTL analyses in all three datase	144	(Table 1) previously described [18, 19]. were employed for replication of the associations that		Formatted: Highlight
146 the RNAseq data generation and eQTL analysis are provided in the Supplementary Methods. 147 2.4. Statistical Analysis 148 Normalized transcript expression levels, on a log2 scale, were tested for associations with 149 <i>IREM</i> locus genotypes in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo Clinic RNAseq) 150 and ROS/MAP RNAseg) via multivariable linear regression analyses implemented in PLINK 151 [20], An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex, diagnosis, RNA Integrity 152 Number (RIN) and adjusted RIN squared (RIN-RINmean) [*] in all expression analyses, and APOE 153 [2 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq 154 dataset only, The gOTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included APOE ad dose as 155 i covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association 156 was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. For 157 [2 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without 158 mainston, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without 159 results between these two models. 150 mainston, we have performed the eQTL analyses in the discovery set_APOE. 151	145	were detected with the WG DASL gene expression measurements. Methodological details for		
147 2.4. Statistical Analysis Formatted: Highlight 148 Normalized transcript expression levels, on a log2 scale, were tested for associations with Formatted: Highlight 148 Normalized transcript expression levels, on a log2 scale, were tested for associations with Formatted: Highlight 149 <i>IREM</i> locus genotypes in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo Clinic RNAscq Formatted: Highlight 150 and ROS/MAP RNAscq) via multivariable linear regression analyses implemented in PLINK Formatted: Highlight 151 J20L An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex, diagnosis, RNA Integrity Formatted: Highlight 152 Number (RIN) and adjusted RIN squared (RIN-RINmean) [*] in all expression analyses, and <i>APOR</i> Formatted: Highlight 153 24 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAscq Formatted: Highlight 154 dataset only, The gOTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included <i>APOE gel</i> dose at Formatted: Highlight 155 acovariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association Formatted: Highlight 156 was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 gOTL analyses in the discovery set, <i>APOF</i> Formatted: Highlight 157 Leidose was not included in the eOTL analyses inglemented on the replica	146	the RNAseq data generation and eQTL analysis are provided in the Supplementary Methods.		
147 2.4. Statistical Analysis Formatted: Highlight 148 Normalized transcript expression levels, on a log2 scale, were tested for associations with Formatted: Highlight 148 TREM locus genotypes in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG:DASL, Mayo Clinic RNAses) Formatted: Highlight 149 TREM locus genotypes in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG:DASL, Mayo Clinic RNAses) Formatted: Highlight 150 and ROS/MAP RNAseq) via multivariable linear regression analyses implemented in PLINK Formatted: Highlight 151 J20L An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex, diagnosis, RNA Integrity Formatted: Highlight 152 Number (RIN) and adjusted RIN squared (RIN-RINmean) ² in all expression analyses, and APOR Formatted: Highlight 153 ¿4 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq Formatted: Fighlight 154 dataset only. The gOTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included APOE gel dose as Formatted: Fighlight 155 a covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association Formatted: Highlight 156 was not detected with this covariate in the rs9257347 gOTL analyses in the discovery set <u>APOE</u> Formatted: Highlight 157 jed dose was not included in the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and witho				Formatted: Highlight
148 Normalized transcript expression levels, on a log2 scale, were tested for associations with Formatted: Highlight 149 <i>TREM</i> locus genotypes in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo Clinic RNAseq) Formatted: Highlight 150 and ROS/MAP RNAseq) via multivariable linear regression analyses implemented in PLINK Formatted: Highlight 151 J20_ An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex, diagnosis, RNA Integrity Formatted: Highlight 152 Number (RIN) and adjusted RIN squared (RIN-RINmean) ² in all expression analyses, and <i>APOE</i> Formatted: Highlight 153 ig4 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq Formatted: Highlight 154 dataset only_The gOTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included <i>APOE</i> ; 4 dose as Formatted: Highlight 155 neovariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association Formatted: Highlight 156 was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 gOTL analyses in the discovery set, APOE Formatted: Highlight 157 i.4 dose was not included in the eOTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 158 comparison, we have performed the eOTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 159 ajustment for <i>APOE</i>	147	2.4. Statistical Analysis		Formatted: Highlight
148 Normatized transcript expression levels, on a log2 scale, were tested for associations with Formatted: Highlight 149 <i>IREM</i> locus genotypes in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo Clinic RNAseq Formatted: Highlight 150 and ROS/MAP RNAseq) via multivariable linear regression analyses implemented in PLINK Formatted: Highlight 151 J20L An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex, diagnosis, RNA Integrity Formatted: Highlight 152 Number (RIN) and adjusted RIN squared (RIN-RINmean ² in all expression analyses, and <i>APOE</i> Formatted: Highlight 153 g4 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq Formatted: Highlight 154 dataset only, The gOTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included <i>APOE</i> g4 dose as Formatted: Highlight 155 a covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association Formatted: Highlight 156 was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 gOTL analyses in the discovery set, <i>APOE</i> Formatted: Highlight 157 g4 dose was not included in the eOTL analyses inglemented on the replication cohorts, For Formatted: Highlight 158 covariate given these two models. Formatted: Highlight 159 adjustment for <i>APOE</i> , g4 dose and do not observe a substantial dif				Formatted: Highlight
100 IREM locus genotypes in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG-DASL_Mayo Clinic RNAseq Formatted: Highlight 110 and ROS/MAP RNAseq) via multivariable linear regression analyses implemented in PLINK Formatted: Highlight 111 J20L An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex, diagnosis, RNA Integrity Formatted: Highlight 112 Number (RIN) and adjusted RIN squared (RIN-RINmean) ² in all expression analyses, and APOE Formatted: Highlight 113 g4 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq Formatted: Highlight 1154 dataset only, The cOTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included APOE g4 dose as Formatted: Highlight 1155 i covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association Formatted: Highlight 1157 g4 dose was not included in the cQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. For Formatted: Highlight 1158 comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 1160 results between these two models Formatted: Highlight 1161 Meta-analyses were performed on eOTL results from the three independent datasets. For Formatted: Highlight 1162 ihese analyses_METALJ21 was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the	148	Normalized transcript expression levels, on a log2 scale, were tested for associations with		Formatted: Highlight
149 <i>TREM</i> locus genotypes in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo Clinic RNAseq and ROS/MAP RNAseq) via multivariable linear regression analyses implemented in PLINK Formatted: Highlight 150 and ROS/MAP RNAseq) via multivariable linear regression analyses implemented in PLINK Formatted: Highlight 151 J20L An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex, diagnosis, RNA Integrity Formatted: Highlight 152 Number (RIN) and adjusted RIN squared (RIN-RINmean) ² in all expression analyses, and APOE Formatted: Highlight 153 g4 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq Formatted: Highlight 154 dataset only, The gOTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included APOE g4 dose as Formatted: Highlight 155 a covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association Formatted: Highlight 156 was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 gOTL analyses in the discovery set, APOE Formatted: Highlight 157 g4 dose was not included in the eOTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 158 comparison, we have performed the eOTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 159 adjustment for APOE g4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association Formatted: Hi	1.0			Formatted: Highlight
150and ROS/MAP RNAseq) via multivariable linear regression analyses implemented in PLINKFormatted: Highlight151J20L An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex. diagnosis, RNA IntegrityFormatted: Highlight152Number (RIN) and adjusted RIN squared (RIN-RINmean), in all expression analyses, and APOEFormatted: Highlight153g4 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAseqFormatted: Highlight154dataset only. The gOTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included APOE g4 dosa g5Formatted: Highlight155a covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant associationFormatted: Highlight156was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 gOTL analyses in the discovery set, APOEFormatted: Highlight157g4 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. ForFormatted: Highlight158comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and withoutFormatted: Highlight159adjustment for APOE g4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the associationFormatted: Highlight159Meta-analyses were performed on eOTL results from the three independent datasets. ForFormatted: Highlight160these analyses, METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from theFormatted: Highlight161Meta-analyses, weighted according their sample size.Formatted: Highlight162these analyses, weighted according their sample size.Formatted: Highlight	149	TREM locus genotypes in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo Clinic RNAseq		Formatted: Highlight
150 and ROS/MAP RNAseq) via multivariable linear regression analyses implemented in PLINK Formatted: Highlight 151 J20L An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex, diagnosis, RNA Integrity Formatted: Highlight 152 Number (RIN) and adjusted RIN squared (RIN-RINmean) ² in all expression analyses, and APOE Formatted: Highlight 153 g4 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAsed Formatted: Highlight 154 dataset only, The gOTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included APOE g4 dose as Formatted: Highlight 155 a covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association Formatted: Highlight 156 was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 gOTL analyses in the discovery set, APOE Formatted: Highlight 157 g4 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 158 comparison, we have performed the cQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 160 results between these two models. Formatted: Highlight 161 Meta-analyses, METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the Formatted: Highlight 162 these analyses, METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from				Formatted: Highlight
151J20L An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex, diagnosis, RNA IntegrityFormatted: Highlight152Number (RIN) and adjusted RIN squared (RIN-RINmean) ² in all expression analyses, and APOEFormatted: Highlight153g4 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAseqFormatted: Highlight154dataset only. The gOTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included APOE g4 dose asFormatted: Highlight155a covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant associationFormatted: Highlight156was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 gOTL analyses in the discovery set APOEFormatted: Highlight157g4 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. ForFormatted: Highlight158comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and withoutFormatted: Highlight159adjustment for APOE g4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the associationFormatted: Highlight160Meta-analyses were performed on cOTL results from the three independent datasets. ForFormatted: Highlight161these analyses, METAL J211 was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from theFormatted: Highlight162these analyses, METAL J211 was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from theFormatted: Highlight163individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size.Formatted: Highlight	150	and ROS/MAP RNAseq) via multivariable linear regression analyses implemented in PLINK		Formatted: Highlight
151 J20L An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex. diagnosis, NNA Integrity Formatted: Highlight 152 Number (RIN) and adjusted RIN squared (RIN-RINmean) ² in all expression analyses, and APOE Formatted: Highlight 153 g4 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq Formatted: Highlight 154 dataset only. The cOTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included APOE g4 dose as Formatted: Highlight 155 a covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association Formatted: Highlight 156 was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 cOTL analyses in the discovery set, APOE Formatted: Highlight 157 g4 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. For Formatted: Highlight 158 comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 158 adjustment for APOE g4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association Formatted: Highlight 160 Meta-analyses, METAL J211 was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the Formatted: Highlight 161 Meta-analyses, weighted according their sample size. Formatted: Highlight 163 individual study p-values, weighted according their sa				Formatted: Highlight
152 Number (RIN) and adjusted RIN squared (RIN-RINmean) ² , in all expression analyses, and APOE Formatted: Highlight 153 g4 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq Formatted: Highlight 154 dataset only. The gQTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included APOE g4 dose as Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Rel 12 pt. Highlight 155 a covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association Formatted: Highlight 156 was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 gQTL analyses in the discovery set APOE Formatted: Highlight 157 g4 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. For Formatted: Highlight 158 comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 159 adjustment for APOE g4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association Formatted: Highlight 160 results between these two models. Formatted: Highlight 161 Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For Formatted: Highlight 162 these analyses, METAL J211 was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the Formatted: Highlight 163 individual study p-values, weighted accord	151	[20]. An additive model was applied adjusting for age-at-death, sex, diagnosis, RNA Integrity		Formatted: Highlight
132 Formatted: Highlight 133 g4 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq Formatted: Highlight 134 dataset only. The gOTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included APOE g4 dose as Formatted: Fon: (Default) Times New Rt 12 pt. Highlight 135 a covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association Formatted: Highlight 136 was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 gOTL analyses in the discovery set, APOE Formatted: Highlight 137 g4 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. For Formatted: Highlight 138 comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 139 adjustment for APOE g4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association Formatted: Highlight 139 adjustment for APOE g4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association Formatted: Highlight 131 Meta-analyses were performed on eOTL results from the three independent datasets. For Formatted: Highlight 131 individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size. Formatted: Highlight	150	Number (DIN) and adjusted DIN squared (DIN DINmagn) ² in all expression analyses and $A D O F$		Formatted: Highlight
153 ¿4 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Rd 154 dataset only, The cOTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included APOE g4 dose as Formatted: Highlight 155 a covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association Formatted: Highlight 156 was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 gOTL analyses in the discovery set APOE Formatted: Highlight 157 ¿4 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. For Formatted: Highlight 158 comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 159 adjustment for APOE g4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association Formatted: Highlight 160 results between these two models. Formatted: Highlight 161 Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For Formatted: Highlight 162 these analyses, METAL J21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the Formatted: Highlight 163 individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size. Formatted: Highlight	152	Number (KIN) and adjusted KIN squared (KIN-KINmean _{4,1} m an expression analyses, and AFOE		Formatted: Highlight
154 dataset only. The gQTL analysis in the discovery, WG-DASL dataset, included APOE, g4 dose as Formatted: Highlight 155 a covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association Formatted: Highlight 156 was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347_gOTL analyses in the discovery set, APOE Formatted: Highlight 157 g4 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. For Formatted: Highlight 158 comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 159 adjustment for APOE, g4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association Formatted: Highlight 160 results between these two models. Formatted: Highlight 161 Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For Formatted: Highlight 162 these analyses, METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the Formatted: Highlight 163 individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size. Formatted: Highlight	153	<u>Eq4 dosage and PCR plate in Mayo WG-DASL only, and flowcell in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq</u>		Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Highlight
154 dataset only. The eQTL analysis in the discovery, wG-DASL dataset, included APOE, 24 dose as Formatted: Font: Italic, Highlight 155 a covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant association Formatted: Fighlight 156 was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 eQTL analyses in the discovery set, APOE Formatted: Highlight 157 £4 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. For Formatted: Highlight 158 comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 159 adjustment for APOE, g4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association Formatted: Highlight 160 results between these two models. Formatted: Highlight 161 Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For Formatted: Highlight 162 these analyses, METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the Formatted: Highlight 163 individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size. Formatted: Highlight				Formatted: Highlight
155a covariate given the strong effect of this allele on AD. However, since a significant associationFormatted: Highlight156was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 cOTL analyses in the discovery set APOEFormatted: Highlight157£4 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. ForFormatted: Highlight158comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and withoutFormatted: Highlight159adjustment for APOE £4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the associationFormatted: Highlight160results between these two models.Formatted: Highlight161Meta-analyses were performed on cOTL results from the three independent datasets. ForFormatted: Highlight162these analyses, METAL J211 was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from theFormatted: Highlight163individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size.Formatted: Highlight	154	dataset only, The eQTL analysis in the discovery, wG-DASL dataset, included APOE, E4 dose as	\leq	Formatted: Font: Italic, Highlight
153 recommended green the strong enter of this ance of AD, now ever, since a significant association Formatted: Highlight 156 was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 eOTL analyses in the discovery set, APOE Formatted: Highlight 157 e4 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. For Formatted: Highlight 158 comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 159 adjustment for APOE, g4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association Formatted: Highlight 160 results between these two models. Formatted: Highlight 161 Meta-analyses were performed on eOTL results from the three independent datasets. For Formatted: Highlight 162 these analyses, METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the Formatted: Highlight 163 individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size. Formatted: Highlight	155	a covariate given the strong effect of this allele on ΔD . However, since a significant association	\mathbb{N}	Formatted: Highlight
156 was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 eQTL analyses in the discovery set, APOE Formatted: Highlight 157 .g4 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. For Formatted: Highlight 158 comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 159 adjustment for APOE, g4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association Formatted: Highlight 160 results between these two models. Formatted: Highlight 161 Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For Formatted: Highlight 162 these analyses, METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the Formatted: Highlight 163 individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size. Formatted: Highlight	133	a covariate given the strong effect of this affele of AD. However, since a significant association	$\langle \rangle$	Formatted: Highlight
157 <u>E4</u> dose was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. For Formatted: Highlight 158 comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 158 comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 159 adjustment for <u>APOE, E4</u> dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association Formatted: Highlight 160 results between these two models. Formatted: Highlight 161 Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For Formatted: Highlight 162 these analyses, METAL J211 was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the Formatted: Highlight 163 individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size. Formatted: Highlight	156	was not detected with this covariate in the rs9357347 eOTL analyses in the discovery set. APOE		Formatted: Highlight
157 E4 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. For Formatted: Highlight 158 comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 159 adjustment for APOE, g4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association Formatted: Highlight 160 results between these two models. Formatted: Highlight 161 Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For Formatted: Highlight 162 these analyses_METAL J21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the Formatted: Highlight 163 individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size. Formatted: Highlight		······································		Formatted: Highlight
158 Formatted: Font: Italic, Highlight 158 comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 159 adjustment for <u>APOE s4</u> dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association Formatted: Highlight 159 adjustment for <u>APOE s4</u> dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association Formatted: Highlight 160 results between these two models. Formatted: Highlight 161 Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For Formatted: Highlight 162 these analyses, METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the Formatted: Highlight 163 individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size. Formatted: Highlight	157	ε4 dose was not included in the eQTL analyses implemented on the replication cohorts. For	\mathbb{N}	Formatted: Highlight
158 comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without Formatted: Highlight 159 adjustment for <u>APOE s4</u> dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association Formatted: Highlight 160 results between these two models. Formatted: Highlight 161 Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For Formatted: Highlight 162 these analyses, METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the Formatted: Highlight 163 individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size. Formatted: Highlight			\vee /	Formatted: Font: Italic, Highlight
159 Adjustment for APOE, s4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association Formatted: Highlight 160 results_between these two models. Formatted: Highlight 161 Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For Formatted: Highlight 162 these analyses, METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the Formatted: Highlight 163 individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size. Formatted: Highlight	158	comparison, we have performed the eQTL analyses in all three datasets with and without		Formatted: Highlight
159 adjustment for APOE_E4 dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association Formatted: Highlight 160 results_between these two models. Formatted: Highlight 161 Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For Formatted: Highlight 162 these analyses_METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the Formatted: Highlight 163 individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size. Formatted: Highlight			$ \setminus $	Formatted: Highlight
160Formatted: Highlight161Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For Meta-analyses_METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size.Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight163individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size.Formatted: Highlight 	159	adjustment for <u>APOE_84</u> dose and do not observe a substantial difference in the association	\backslash	Formatted: Highlight
160 results between these two models. 161 Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For 162 these analyses, METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the 163 individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size.				Formatted: Highlight
161 Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For Formatted: Highlight 162 these analyses, METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the Formatted: Highlight 163 individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size. Formatted: Highlight	160	results, between these two models.	\mathbb{N}	Formatted: Font: Italic, Highlight
Initial individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size. Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight	161	Mote englying were performed on cOTI results from the three independent detects. For	$\langle \rangle$	Formatted: Highlight
162 these analyses_METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the Formatted: Highlight 163 individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size. Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight	101	incla-analyses were performed on eQTL results from the three independent datasets. For		Formatted: Highlight
163 individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size. Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight	162	these analyses. METAL [21] was implemented using weighted average of z-scores from the		Formatted: Highlight
163 individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size. Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight				Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight	163	individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size.	\mathbb{N}	Formatted: Highlight
·				Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

165	3. Results	
166	In the WG-DASL gene expression data from the temporal cortex (n=399) and cerebellum	
167	(n=374) of neuropathologically diagnosed AD and non-AD subjects (Table 1), we observed that	
168	of the 5 TREM locus coding genes, only TREML1 and TREM2 were reliably detected (Table S1	
169	and Fig. 2). TREML1 was detected in both the temporal cortex and cerebellum, while TREM2	
170	was reliably detected only in the temporal cortex. We validated TREML1 and TREM2 WG-	
171	DASL temporal cortex gene expression measurements, using RNAseq data generated from a	
172	subset of 93 autopsied AD subjects who also had microarray data. There was highly significant	
173	correlation between WG-DASL and RNAseq measurements for both <i>TREML1</i> ($\frac{\beta_{IS}}{\beta_{IS}}=0.65$, p<10 ⁻	Formatted: Highlight
		Formatted: Subscript, Highlight
1/4	() and $IREM2$ ($r_{s}B=0.80$, p<10 °) (Fig. S1).	Formatted: Highlight
175	Variants located within 100kb of the 5' or 3'end of any TREM coding gene that	Formatted: Highlight
176	demonstrated association with AD-risk in the IGAP stage I meta-analysis (17,800 AD vs. 37,154	
177	controls, $p \le 0.0015$), were evaluated for their association with <i>TREML1</i> expression in the	
178	temporal cortex and cerebellum, and with TREM2 expression in the temporal cortex. Of the	
179	1,002 variants tested at this locus in the IGAP stage $\frac{1}{2}$ meta-analysis, 28 had p-values ≤ 0.0015 ,	
180	and 16 of these have been genotyped in the autopsied samples in the Mayo Clinic brain	
181	expression genome-wide association study (Mayo eGWAS). We also assessed 5 other variants at	
182	this locus previously reported to be associated with either reduced AD-risk (rs3747742) [11],	
183	increased AD pathology burden and cognitive decline (rs6910730, rs7759295) [14], or decreased	
184	CSF tau levels (rs6916710, rs6922617) [13]. Table 2 shows the association of TREML1 and	
185	TREM2 gene expression with these 21 variants. In 399 combined AD and non-AD temporal	
186	cortex samples tested for the 16 IGAP variants, 5 SNPs achieved nominally significant	Formatted: Highlight

187	$\frac{1}{1}$ and \frac			
188	TREM2 (rs9381040, rs2093395, rs9357347, rs9394778, rs9296359), and a sixth variant			
189	(rs9394767) was significantly associated with increased TREML1 levels only. As shown in Fig.			
190	3, four of the six variants that associate with increased levels of <i>TREML1</i> and <i>TREM2</i> are in a			
191	single LD block (block 2: rs9357347, rs9381040, rs2093395 and rs9394767) and in tight linkage			
192	disequilibrium with each other ($r^2 \ge 0.90$). Of these variants, rs9381040 is the strongest has the	(Formatted: Highlight	
193	most significant IGAP-AD-risk associating association in SNP-the IGAP stage 1 meta-analysisat			
194	the TREM locus (Table 2). This IGAP "hit" is located 5.5kb downstream from TREML2 and			
195	23.7kb upstream from TREM2 and is associated with TREM1 I and TREM2 expression			
106	(p=0.0082, bota=0.086 and p=0.048, bota=0.001, respectively). Given that the expression			
190	(p=0.0083, beta=0.080 and p=0.048, beta=0.091, respectively). Otver mat the expression			
197	measures were on a log2 scale, these changes in expression are equivalent to <i>TREML1</i> and			
198	<i>TREM2</i> fold-changes of 1.06 and 1.07, for each copy of the minor allele, respectively. Notably,			
199	the minor allele of the IGAP "hit" rs9381040 is associated with both decreased AD-risk and			
200	increased TREML1 and TREM2 levels. However, based on data from the Roadmap Epigenomics			
201	Consortium [22], rs9381040 lacks evidence of regulatory potential in brain regions relevant to			
202	AD.			
203	The variant with the strongest effectmost significant association-on with brain TREMLI		Formatted: Highlight	
204	expression, which also associates with <i>TREM2</i> levels, is rs9357347 in block 2 (Fig. 3). This SNP	(Formatted: Highlight	
205	is located 6.9kb downstream from TREML2 and 19.6kb upstream from TREM2 and is in tight			
206	LD with the IGAP "hit" rs9381040 (D'=0.99, r ² =0.96). As expected, the minor allele of			
207	rs9357347 is associates associated with reduced AD-risk (OR=0.95, 95% CI=0.91-0.98,		Formatted: Highlight	
		1	Formatted: Highlight	
208	p=0.001) <u>in the IGAP GWAS meta-analysis [12] and with</u> increased TREML1 and TREM2	\leq	Formatted: Highlight	
209	expression in the temporal cortex in the Mayo Clinic WG-DASL COTL analysis ($n=0.0063$	\mathbf{n}	Formatted: Highlight	
205	= 1000000000000000000000000000000000000		Formatted: Highlight	
			i ormatteu. mynnynt	

210	beta=0.088 and p=0.046, beta=0.090, respectively) (Table 2 and Fig. S2). These beta	
211	coefficients can be interpreted as an estimated 1.06-fold change of both TREML1 and TREM2,	
212	per rs9357347 minor allele, in this temporal cortex dataset. Unlike the IGAP "hit" (rs9381040),	
213	rs9357347 lies within sequence subject to histone modifications and within a DNAse	
214	hypersensitive site detected by the Roadmap Epigenomics Consortium [22] in brain regions	
215	relevant to AD pathology such as the hippocampus <mark>. Furthermore, this variant, and it</mark> is predicted	Formatted: Highlight
216	to affect transcription factor binding (SP1 and PPAR) as catalogued in HaploReg	
217	(http://www.broadinstitute.org/mammals/haploreg/haploreg.php) [23]. Consequently, it has a	
218	strong compelling Regulome score of 2b (http://www.regulomedb.org/) due to the evidence of its	Formatted: Highlight
219	regulatory potential [16] (Table 2). Indeed, of all the variants with an AD-risk p-value<0.0015 in	
220	the IGAP meta-analysis, and p-values<0.05 in our WG-DASL eQTL analysis of temporal cortex	
221	TREML1 and TREM2 gene expression levels, rs9357347 had the greatest regulatory potential as	
222	determined by their Regulome scores (Fig. S3 and Fig. S4).	
223	The other two variants with gene expression associations in the temporal cortex are in a	
224	different I D block (block 4: rs9394778 and rs9296359) and in etrops tight I D with each other	Formatted: Highlight
224	$(^2 - 0.7)$ These SNDs are more three in (Secondary consistent with TDEM2 then with	
225	(r = 0.67). These SNPs are more strongly significantly associated with <i>TREM2</i> than with	Formatted: Highlight
226	<i>TREML1</i> expression; however, neither has <u>a strongcompelling evidence of</u> regulatory potential	Formatted: Highlight
227	as both have Regulome scores of 6 (Table 2). In the 374 AD and non-AD subjects with	
228	cerebellum expression measures, none of the 16 IGAP AD-risk associated variants that were	
229	tested, associate with TREML1 gene expression (p>0.05).	
230	We determined the extent of linkage disequilibrium (LD) between the likely regulatory	
231	variant rs9357347, the IGAP "hit" rs9381040 and the significant TREM2 rare missense AD-risk	

variants p.D87N (rs142232675) and p.R47H (rs75932628) [1]. As shown in Fig. 3, these two

233	TREM2 rare missense AD-risk variants are not in LD with either rs9357347 or rs9381040. This	
234	suggests that the protective effect of the regulatory rs9357347 and the IGAP "hit" are	
235	independent of the rare, missense TREM2 variants.	
236	We next evaluated LD amongst variants tested at this locus, including common TREM	
237	locus variants previously reported to have associations with AD-risk (rs3747742) [11], increased	
238	AD pathology burden and cognitive decline (rs7759295 and rs6910730) [14], or with lower CSF	
239	ptau (rs6922617 and rs6916710) [13]. The missense TREML2 variant rs3747742 (p.S144G) is in	
240	LD with the regulatory variant implicated in our study, rs9357347. As reported, rs3747742 is	
241	also in LD with rs9381040 (IGAP hit); and as expected associates with reduced AD-risk	
242	(p=0.009), however with slightly lesser strongly significance than the AD-risk association of the	Formatted: Highlight
2/13	regulatory rs9357347 (n=0.001) or the IGAP "hit" rs9381040 (0.0006). Further, the association	Formatted: Highlight
245	regulatory 137557547 (p=0.001) of the form $rate 137501040 (0.0000). Further, the association$	Formatted: Highlight
244	of rs3747742 has less strong association with brain-TREML1 expression is not as significant as	
245	that of rs9357347., In addition, rs3747742 has no association with brain TREM2 levels, and has a	Formatted: Highlight
246	weak Regulome score of 6 (Table 2).	
247	Of the four common TREM locus variants that associate with AD endophenotypes, only	
248	rs6916710 is in strong-tight LD with the regulatory rs9357347 (D'=0.91, r^2 =0.62). However,	Formatted: Highlight
249	rs6916710, does not show significant association with AD-risk in the IGAP meta-analysis	
250	(p=0.103) nor with <i>TREML1</i> or <i>TREM2</i> gene expression levels (Table 2).	
251	None of the other three common TREM locus variants with reported AD-endophenotype	
252	associations are in tight strong LD with the regulatory rs9357347 or any of the other TREM locus	Formatted: Highlight
253	variants that are associated with AD-risk. Only rs7759295 showed association with nominally	Formatted: Highlight
254	significant-TREML1 brain-gene_expression-association (uncorrected p=0.04), but neither this nor	

255	any of the other AD-endophenotype-associated SNPs have evidence of AD-risk association or		
256	strong-Regulome scores that are indicative of likely regulatory function (Fig. 3 and Table 2).		Formatted: Highlight
			Formatted: Highlight
257	Utilizing publicly available RNAseq data from two independent cohorts (Table 1) that do		
258	not overlap with the samples included in the WG-DASL eQTL analysis, we sought replication of		
259	the rs9357347 association with TREML1 and TREM2. Although in the ROS/MAP RNAseq		Formatted: Highlight
260	dataset a significant association was only detected with the levels of TREM2 the results were not		Formatted: Highlight
261	replicated in the smaller of the two cohorts (Mayo RNAseq 84 AD and 48 non AD: TREMLI		
262	beta= 0.03, p=0.78; TREM2 beta=0.08, p=0.51), rs9357347 demonstrated suggestive association		
263	with increased TREML1 and TREM2 gene expression in the larger cohort (ROS/MAP RNAseq		
264	288 AD and 206 non-AD: TREML1 beta= 0.03, p=0.14 ; TREM2 beta=0.43, p=0.11) (Table 3).		
265). Metameta-analysis from the three independent study p-values (Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo		
266	RNAseq and ROS/MAP RNAseq) <mark>yielded p-values that reached-significan<u>t results</u>ee (TREMLI</mark>		Formatted: Highlight
267	$p=9.33.4 \times 10^{32}$; TREM2 $p=3.59.3 \times 10^{43}$), confirming the association of the rs9357347 minor		Formatted: Superscript, Highlight
268	allele with increased TREM1 1 and TREM2 gene expression. The strength evidence of association	\mathbb{N}	Formatted: Highlight
200	ance with mercased TREMET and TREMZ gene expression. The strength evidence of association	///	Formatted: Highlight
269	with TREM2 expression was strongergreater for the TREM2 association in this upon meta-	//	Formatted: Superscript, Highlight
			Formatted: Highlight
270	analysis compared to the association observed in our the initial discovery results dataset,;	\	Formatted: Highlight
271	whereas that for the evidence of association with TREML1 expression was slightly weaker greater		
272	in our discovery dataset compared to the meta-analysis.		
273	4. Discussion		

- 274 In this study, we first sought to characterize the brain expression of *TREM* locus genes
- 275 based on the premise that those *TREM* cluster genes that are expressed in the brain are likely to
- be candidate AD-risk genes. We determined that besides *TREM2*, only *TREML1* has reliable
- 277 expression in the brain regions we studied. Whereas *TREML1* is expressed in both cerebellum

278	and temporal cortex of all subjects, TREM2 is expressed in the temporal cortex of 98% of
279	temporal cortex all subjects but only in and 41% of cerebellum subjects samples in the
280	cerebellum. This suggests that cerebellar levels of <i>TREM2</i> are lower than those for temporal
281	cortex, consistent with previous reports showing higher gene levels in the temporal cortex than
282	cerebellum [24] and higher protein levels correlating with AD neuropathology [25]. In contrast,
283	TREM1, TREML2 and TREML4 are expressed in only 0%-17% of the subjects. While lack of
284	reliable brain expression of these genes does not definitively rule them out as plausible AD-risk
285	genes, our findings provide the strongest evidence for TREML1, besides TREM2, as most likely
286	TREM locus genes for further studies in AD.
287	Consequently, we focused our studies on <i>TREML1</i> and <i>TREM2</i> ; and utilized their brain
288	expression levels as endophenotypes to identify putative regulatory variants that modify risk for
289	AD. Focusing on brain TREML1 and TREM2 expression associations with variants at the TREM
290	locus that also show evidence of AD-risk association in the publicly available IGAP meta-
291	analysis, we identified a putative regulatory variant, rs9357347, located between TREM2 and
292	TREML2, <u>T</u> the minor allele of this variant which associates is associated with both decreased
293	AD-risk and with increased TREML1 and TREM2 brain expression in the temporal cortex. The
294	direction of effect of this variant on AD-risk and brain expression levels of these genes appears
295	to be biologically congruent based on the known functions of these genes.
296	TREML1, which is also known as TREM-like transcript 1 (TLT-1), is a myeloid receptor
297	expressed exclusively in the α -granules of platelets and megakaryocytes [26]. Identification of
298	higher levels of soluble TREML1 (sTLT-1) in septic patients vs. controls and development of

hemorrhage in mice lacking *Treml1* when exposed to inflammatory injury led to the conclusion

that TREML1 functions to maintain vascular integrity during inflammation [27]. Further,

Formatted: Highlight

14

Formatted: Highlight

301 TREML1 was shown to dampen leukocyte activation during sepsis, and inhibited pro 302 inflammatory activation of TREM1 by competing with its ligand [28]. These studies strongly

support a role for TREML1 in promoting vascular homeostasis and limiting inflammation.

Functional, in-vitro studies of TREM2 rare, missense mutations revealed reduced TREM2 304 305 function as a consequence of decreased maturation and ectodomain shedding, also supported by findings of decreased soluble TREM2 levels in the cerebrospinal (CSF) levels of patients with 306 these mutations [13, 29]. TREM2 deficiency also led to increased amyloid pathology and 307 308 neuronal loss in the 5XFAD mouse model of AD [30]. Interestingly, TREM2 deficiency in an 309 ischemic mouse model resulted in reduced phagocytosis and resorption of infarcted brain tissue, 310 and worse neurological recovery [31]. Collectively, these findings support a neuroprotective role 311 for TREM2 in various neuronal injury models. There are, however, studies with contradictory 312 results for TREM2. In a different mouse model of AD (APP/PS1), knock-out of Trem2, resulted 313 in reduction of macrophages infiltrating from the periphery, along with less brain inflammation 314 and reduced amyloid and tau pathology [32]. These opposite findings of *Trem2* knock-out could 315 be due to differences in the mouse models of Alzheimer's disease tested, different Trem2 316 knockout mouse lines, and analyses performed at different time points (early stages versus later 317 stages of Alzheimer's disease).

Given these collective data, a regulatory variant that enhances levels of *TREML1* in platelets, and levels of *TREM2* in brain resident microglia could conceivably promote vascular homeostasis and limit inflammatory damage to neurons in AD and potentially other nervous system diseases. Indeed, rs9357347 has strong-compelling evidence of regulatory potential as it is located in a known DNase hypersensitive site and affects histone modification in the hippocampus and transcription factor binding, according to the evidence compiled in the

Formatted: Highlight

324	Regulome database and HaploReg [16, 23]. Interestingly, rs9357347 is predicted to affect	
325	transcription factor binding (SP1 and PPAR) as catalogued in HaploRegand-tThese two	Formatted: Highlight
326	transcription factors are known be important in regulating key players in the inflammatory	
327	response and lipid metabolism [33, 34]. Further, rs9357347 shows the strongest-most significant	Formatted: Highlight
328	association with TREML1 gene expression amongst variants at the TREM locus with IGAP meta-	
329	analysis AD-risk p-values≤0.0015, in addition to its association with brain <i>TREM2</i> levels.	
330	The regulatory rs9357347 SNP is in the same haplotype block as the strongest AD risk	Formatted: Highlight
331	associating-variant with the most significant AD-risk association at the TREM locus in the IGAP	
332	meta-analysis, rs9381040, which is an intergenic variant downstream of <i>TREML2</i> . Though this	
333	IGAP TREM locus "hit" SNP has stronger-greater evidence of AD-risk association than	Formatted: Highlight
334	rs9357347, there is no evidence of regulatory potential for rs9381040 in brain regions relevant to	
335	AD.	
336	While the fold change estimates in gene expression associated with rs9357347-C are	Formatted: Highlight
337	modest at 6-7% the biological impact of the increase attributed to each copy of the minor allele	Formatted: Highlight
338	can be significant and may provide sufficient protection from disease in some individuals	
339	narticularly when considered over a lifetime. Furthermore, these estimates are based on RNA	
340	isolated from tissue samples and not microglial cells where both <i>TREM</i> ² and <i>TREML1</i> are	
340	predominantly expressed [35] and where expression levels of these genes may be impacted to a	Formatted: Highlight
242	greater extent by regulatory variants. Additional studies will be needed to determine the impact	Tormatted. Highlight
342	of such expression shapees on the biology of missesticl cell function	
343	of such expression changes on the biology of microglial cell function.	
344	The TREML2 p.S144G variant [11], which associates with reduced AD-risk, is also in LD	

345 with both rs9357347 and rs9381040. Though proposed to be the functional variant that accounts

346	for the IGAP signal at this locus, TREML2 p.S144G is not predicted to have a functional	
347	consequence based on PolyPhen2 nor does it have evidence of regulatory potential. Further,	
348	TREML2 expression is too low to be reliably measured in brain tissue (TCX and CER). This,	Formatted: Highlight
349	raisinges the possibility that the association with TREML2 p.S144G is due to its LD with a	
350	functional variant(s) that influences the function or level of a nearby <i>TREM</i> gene(s), such as	
351	TREML1 or TREM2. Alternatively, the protective effect of p.S144G could be mediated directly	
352	through the function of TREML2 in a cell with abundant expression, such as macrophages, in	
353	which TREML2 is known to be upregulated in response to inflammation, [36]. It is also possible	
354	that significant rs9357347 eQTL associations would be detected with TREML2 or other TREM	
355	locus transcripts in tissues were these genes are more abundantly expressed.	
356	Our findings therefore challenge the conclusion that p.S144G is the only functional	
357	variant accounting for the protective effect detected in the IGAP meta-analysis at this locus, and	
358	propose rs9357347 as an alternative functional variant with regulatory effects. In reality, both	
359	variants could have functional consequences and contribute to the IGAP signal. It should be	
360	emphasized that, as demonstrated in our LD analysis, TREM2 p.R47H is not in LD with these	
361	two variants, and thus affects AD-risk independently. Both rs9357347 and p.S144G should be	
362	tested for their functional potential and influence on outcomes of inflammation and	
363	neuroprotection. It remains possible that rs9357347 is in LD with an untested true functional	
364	variant with effects on transcription and AD-risk. It is likewise possible that while rs9357347 is	
365	associated with both AD-risk and gene expression levels, these joint effects are coincidental due	
366	to LD, rather than being related. These possibilities need to be explored through sequencing of	
367	the entire TRFM locus or via targeted sequencing of LD block 2 where rs9357347 resides	Formatted: Highlight

368	Nevertheless-Thus, our findings provide <u>a</u> testable hypothesis for a strong candidate functional			
369	variant, specific transcription factors and their effects on TREML1 and TREM2 levels.			
370	Furthermore, our investigation of variants previously shown to associate with AD-related			
371	endophenotypes [13-15] suggests that these are unlikely to be functional AD-risk variants per se,		Formatted: Not Highlight	
372	though it remains possible that they are markers of functional variants at the TREM locus.			
373	In summary, we characterized expression of TREM genes in cerebellum and temporal			
374	cortex - <u>and</u> determined TREML1 and TREM2 to be the only reliably expressed TREM genes in	_	Formatted: Highlight	
275	these brain regions we identified rs9357347 as a putative regulatory variant that is associated		Formatted: Highlight	
575	these brain regions, the identified 139597547 as a patality regulatory variant that is associated		Formatted: Highlight	
376	associated with protection from AD and with increased TREML1 and TREM2 brain levels, and			
377	nominate rs9357347 as one of the functional variants that accounts for the IGAP AD-risk signal.			
270	Additional studies are needed to validate the function of this variant, and to explore the	_	Formatted: Highlight	
378	reductional studies are needed to vandate the function of this variant, and to explore the		ronnatted. highlight	
378	possibility of the presence of other variants at this locus that could contribute to associations		Tormatted. Ingringht	
378 379 380	possibility of the presence of other variants at this locus that could contribute to associations observed with rs9357347. Importantly, these findings suggest a potential link between <i>TREML1</i>			
378 379 380 381	possibility of the presence of other variants at this locus that could contribute to associations observed with rs9357347. Importantly, these findings suggest a potential link between <i>TREML1</i> and <i>TREM2</i> , as well as vascular homeostasis and neuroinflammation as related mediators of			
379 380 381 382	possibility of the presence of other variants at this locus that could contribute to associations observed with rs9357347. Importantly, these findings suggest a potential link between <i>TREML1</i> and <i>TREM2</i> , as well as vascular homeostasis and neuroinflammation as related mediators of neuronal protection and injury in AD and possibly other central nervous system diseases.			
379 380 381 382 383	possibility of the presence of other variants at this locus that could contribute to associations observed with rs9357347. Importantly, these findings suggest a potential link between <i>TREML1</i> and <i>TREM2</i> , as well as vascular homeostasis and neuroinflammation as related mediators of neuronal protection and injury in AD and possibly other central nervous system diseases.			
379 380 381 382 383 384	possibility of the presence of other variants at this locus that could contribute to associations observed with rs9357347. Importantly, these findings suggest a potential link between <i>TREML1</i> and <i>TREM2</i> , as well as vascular homeostasis and neuroinflammation as related mediators of neuronal protection and injury in AD and possibly other central nervous system diseases. Acknowledgements			
379 380 381 382 383 384 385	possibility of the presence of other variants at this locus that could contribute to associations observed with rs9357347. Importantly, these findings suggest a potential link between <i>TREML1</i> and <i>TREM2</i> , as well as vascular homeostasis and neuroinflammation as related mediators of neuronal protection and injury in AD and possibly other central nervous system diseases. Acknowledgements We thank the patients and their families for their participation, without whom these			
379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386	possibility of the presence of other variants at this locus that could contribute to associations observed with rs9357347. Importantly, these findings suggest a potential link between <i>TREML1</i> and <i>TREM2</i> , as well as vascular homeostasis and neuroinflammation as related mediators of neuronal protection and injury in AD and possibly other central nervous system diseases. Acknowledgements We thank the patients and their families for their participation, without whom these studies would not have been possible, and the clinicians, technicians, and administrative staff			
379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387	possibility of the presence of other variants at this locus that could contribute to associations observed with rs9357347. Importantly, these findings suggest a potential link between <i>TREML1</i> and <i>TREM2</i> , as well as vascular homeostasis and neuroinflammation as related mediators of neuronal protection and injury in AD and possibly other central nervous system diseases. Acknowledgements We thank the patients and their families for their participation, without whom these studies would not have been possible, and the clinicians, technicians, and administrative staff who helped in the implementation of this study.			
379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388	possibility of the presence of other variants at this locus that could contribute to associations observed with rs9357347Importantly, these findings suggest a potential link between <i>TREML1</i> and <i>TREM2</i> , as well as vascular homeostasis and neuroinflammation as related mediators of neuronal protection and injury in AD and possibly other central nervous system diseases. Acknowledgements We thank the patients and their families for their participation, without whom these studies would not have been possible, and the clinicians, technicians, and administrative staff who helped in the implementation of this study. This work was supported by the Alzheimer's Association [MNIRGD 2013 award to			

N.R.G.-R., R.C.P. and S.G.Y.]; National Institute on Aging [R01 AG025711, AG017216,

391 AG003949 to D.W.D.; R01 AG032990 to N.E.T.; R01 AG018023 to N.R.G.-R. and S.G.Y.; and

392 U01 AG046139 to N.E.T., T.E.G, N.P. and S.G.Y.]; National Institute of Neurological Disorders

and Stroke [R01 NS080820 to N.E.T].

394

395 Conflict of Interest Statement

- 396 Dr. Petersen has been a consultant to Genentech, Inc. Merck, Inc. and Roche, Inc. and has
- 397 served on a data safety monitoring committee for Pfizer and Janssen Alzheimer Immunotherapy.
- 398 Dr. Graff-Radford has multicenter treatment study grants from Lilly, TauRx and consulted for
- 399 Cytox. Dr. Ertekin-Taner consulted for Cytox.

Formatted: Highlight

400

401 **References**

- 402 [1] R. Guerreiro, A. Wojtas, J. Bras, M. Carrasquillo, E. Rogaeva, E. Majounie, et al., TREM2 variants in
- 403 Alzheimer's disease. The New England journal of medicine 2013;368:117-127.
- 404 [2] T. Jonsson, H. Stefansson, S. Steinberg, I. Jonsdottir, P.V. Jonsson, J. Snaedal, et al., Variant of TREM2
- 405 associated with the risk of Alzheimer's disease. The New England journal of medicine 2013;368:107-116.
- 406 [3] J.W. Ford, D.W. McVicar, TREM and TREM-like receptors in inflammation and disease. Current
- 407 opinion in immunology 2009;21:38-46.
- 408 [4] R.E. Tanzi, TREM2 and Risk of Alzheimer's Disease--Friend or Foe? The New England journal of 409 medicine 2015;372:2564-2565.
- 410 [5] C. Pottier, D. Wallon, S. Rousseau, A. Rovelet-Lecrux, A.C. Richard, A. Rollin-Sillaire, et al., TREM2
- R47H variant as a risk factor for early-onset Alzheimer's disease. Journal of Alzheimer's disease : JAD
 2013;35:45-49.
- 413 [6] B.A. Benitez, B. Cooper, P. Pastor, S.C. Jin, E. Lorenzo, S. Cervantes, et al., TREM2 is associated with
- the risk of Alzheimer's disease in Spanish population. Neurobiology of aging 2013;34:1711 e1715-1717.
- 415 [7] J.D. Gonzalez Murcia, C. Schmutz, C. Munger, A. Perkes, A. Gustin, M. Peterson, et al., Assessment of
- 416 TREM2 rs75932628 association with Alzheimer's disease in a population-based sample: the Cache
- 417 County Study. Neurobiology of aging 2013;34:2889 e2811-2883.
- 418 [8] A. Ruiz, O. Dols-Icardo, M.J. Bullido, P. Pastor, E. Rodriguez-Rodriguez, A. Lopez de Munain, et al.,
- 419 Assessing the role of the TREM2 p.R47H variant as a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease and
- 420 frontotemporal dementia. Neurobiology of aging 2014;35:444 e441-444.
- [9] B.V. Hooli, A.R. Parrado, K. Mullin, W.K. Yip, T. Liu, J.T. Roehr, et al., The rare TREM2 R47H variant
 exerts only a modest effect on Alzheimer disease risk. Neurology 2014;83:1353-1358.
- 423 [10] C.M. Lill, A. Rengmark, L. Pihlstrom, I. Fogh, A. Shatunov, P.M. Sleiman, et al., The role of TREM2
- 424 R47H as a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease, frontotemporal lobar degeneration, amyotrophic lateral
- sclerosis, and Parkinson's disease. Alzheimer's & dementia : the journal of the Alzheimer's Association
 2015;
- [11] B.A. Benitez, S.C. Jin, R. Guerreiro, R. Graham, J. Lord, D. Harold, et al., Missense variant in TREML2
 protects against Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiology of aging 2014;35:1510 e1519-1526.
- 429 [12] J.C. Lambert, C.A. Ibrahim-Verbaas, D. Harold, A.C. Naj, R. Sims, C. Bellenguez, et al., Meta-analysis
- 430 of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. Nature genetics
- 431 2013;45:1452-1458.
- [13] C. Cruchaga, J.S. Kauwe, O. Harari, S.C. Jin, Y. Cai, C.M. Karch, et al., GWAS of cerebrospinal fluid tau
 levels identifies risk variants for Alzheimer's disease. Neuron 2013;78:256-268.
- 434 [14] J.M. Replogle, G. Chan, C.C. White, T. Raj, P.A. Winn, D.A. Evans, et al., A TREM1 variant alters the
- 435 accumulation of Alzheimer-related amyloid pathology. Annals of neurology 2015;77:469-477.
- 436 [15] G. Liu, No association of TREM1 rs6910730 and TREM2 rs7759295 with Alzheimer's disease. Annals
- 437 of Neurology 2015;epub ahead opf print:
- 438 [16] A.P. Boyle, E.L. Hong, M. Hariharan, Y. Cheng, M.A. Schaub, M. Kasowski, et al., Annotation of
- 439 functional variation in personal genomes using RegulomeDB. Genome research 2012;22:1790-1797.
- 440 [17] F. Zou, H.S. Chai, C.S. Younkin, M. Allen, J. Crook, V.S. Pankratz, et al., Brain expression genome-
- 441 wide association study (eGWAS) identifies human disease-associated variants. PLoS genetics
- 442 2012;8:e1002707.
- [18] D.A. Bennett, J.A. Schneider, Z. Arvanitakis, R.S. Wilson, Overview and findings from the religious
 orders study. Current Alzheimer research 2012;9:628-645.
- 445 [19] D.A. Bennett, J.A. Schneider, A.S. Buchman, C. Mendes de Leon, J.L. Bienias, R.S. Wilson, The Rush
- 446 Memory and Aging Project: study design and baseline characteristics of the study cohort.
- 447 Neuroepidemiology 2005;25:163-175.

- [20] S. Purcell, B. Neale, K. Todd-Brown, L. Thomas, M.A. Ferreira, D. Bender, et al., PLINK: a tool set for
 whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. American journal of human genetics
- 450 2007;81:559-575.
- 451 [21] C.J. Willer, Y. Li,G.R. Abecasis, METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association 452 scans. Bioinformatics 2010;26:2190-2191.
- 453 [22] B.E. Bernstein, J.A. Stamatoyannopoulos, J.F. Costello, B. Ren, A. Milosavljevic, A. Meissner, et al.,
- 454 The NIH Roadmap Epigenomics Mapping Consortium. Nature biotechnology 2010;28:1045-1048.
- 455 [23] L.D. Ward, M. Kellis, HaploReg v4: systematic mining of putative causal variants, cell types,
- regulators and target genes for human complex traits and disease. Nucleic acids research 2016;44:D877881.
- 458 [24] P. Forabosco, A. Ramasamy, D. Trabzuni, R. Walker, C. Smith, J. Bras, et al., Insights into TREM2
- 459 biology by network analysis of human brain gene expression data. Neurobiology of aging 2013;34:2699-460 2714.
- 461 [25] L.F. Lue, C.T. Schmitz, G. Serrano, L.I. Sue, T.G. Beach, D.G. Walker, TREM2 Protein Expression
- 462 Changes Correlate with Alzheimer's Disease Neurodegenerative Pathologies in Post-Mortem Temporal
 463 Cortices. Brain pathology 2015;25:469-480.
- 464 [26] A.V. Washington, R.L. Schubert, L. Quigley, T. Disipio, R. Feltz, E.H. Cho, et al., A TREM family
- member, TLT-1, is found exclusively in the alpha-granules of megakaryocytes and platelets. Blood
 2004;104:1042-1047.
- [27] A.V. Washington, S. Gibot, I. Acevedo, J. Gattis, L. Quigley, R. Feltz, et al., TREM-like transcript-1
 protects against inflammation-associated hemorrhage by facilitating platelet aggregation in mice and
- 469 humans. The Journal of clinical investigation 2009;119:1489-1501.
- 470 [28] M. Derive, Y. Bouazza, N. Sennoun, S. Marchionni, L. Quigley, V. Washington, et al., Soluble TREM-
- 471 like transcript-1 regulates leukocyte activation and controls microbial sepsis. Journal of immunology
- 472 2012;188:5585-5592.
- 473 [29] G. Kleinberger, Y. Yamanishi, M. Suarez-Calvet, E. Czirr, E. Lohmann, E. Cuyvers, et al., TREM2
- 474 mutations implicated in neurodegeneration impair cell surface transport and phagocytosis. Science
 475 translational medicine 2014;6:243ra286.
- [30] Y. Wang, M. Cella, K. Mallinson, J.D. Ulrich, K.L. Young, M.L. Robinette, et al., TREM2 lipid sensing
 sustains the microglial response in an Alzheimer's disease model. Cell 2015;160:1061-1071.
- 478 [31] M. Kawabori, R. Kacimi, T. Kauppinen, C. Calosing, J.Y. Kim, C.L. Hsieh, et al., Triggering receptor
- 479 expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2) deficiency attenuates phagocytic activities of microglia and
- exacerbates ischemic damage in experimental stroke. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal
 of the Society for Neuroscience 2015;35:3384-3396.
- 482 [32] T.R. Jay, C.M. Miller, P.J. Cheng, L.C. Graham, S. Bemiller, M.L. Broihier, et al., TREM2 deficiency
- 483 eliminates TREM2+ inflammatory macrophages and ameliorates pathology in Alzheimer's disease mouse
 484 models. The Journal of experimental medicine 2015;212:287-295.
- [33] A.Y. Wen, K.M. Sakamoto, L.S. Miller, The role of the transcription factor CREB in immune function.
 Journal of immunology 2010;185:6413-6419.
- [34] J.D. Brown, J. Plutzky, Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors as transcriptional nodal points
 and therapeutic targets. Circulation 2007;115:518-533.
- 489 [35] Y. Zhang, K. Chen, S.A. Sloan, M.L. Bennett, A.R. Scholze, S. O'Keeffe, et al., An RNA-sequencing
- 490 transcriptome and splicing database of glia, neurons, and vascular cells of the cerebral cortex. The
- 491 Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 2014;34:11929-11947.
- 492 [36] R.G. King, B.R. Herrin, L.B. Justement, Trem-like transcript 2 is expressed on cells of the
- 493 myeloid/granuloid and B lymphoid lineage and is up-regulated in response to inflammation. Journal of
- 494 immunology 2006;176:6012-6021.

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

498 Figure Legends

- 499 **Fig. 1.** *TREM* gene cluster on Chr 6p21.1. The chromosomal positions are based on the human
- 500 genome assembly from February 2009 (GRCh37/hg19). There are seven RefSeq genes at the
- 501 TREM locus (TREM1, TREML1, TREM2, TREML2, TREML3P, TREML4 and TREML5P);
- bowever, *TREML3P* and *TREML5P* are non-coding pseudogenes. The transcript figures are
- 503 taken from the UCSC Genome Browser.

504 Fig. 2. Location of TREML1 and TREM2 WG-DASL probes.

- 505 The location of the (A) TREML1 and (B) TREM2 WG-DASL probes (highlighted in light blue)
- are shown relative to their Refseq transcripts. The chromosomal positions are based on the
- 507 human genome assembly from February 2009 (GRCh37/hg19). As shown, both of these probes
- are complementary to all RefSeq transcripts for the respective gene. The transcript figures are
- 509 taken from the UCSC Genome Browser.

510 Fig. 3. LD Plot of TREM locus variants.

511	LD plot of TREM locus variants where haplotype blocks were determined with the solid spine	
512	definition; square colors correspond to D' (strong tight LD=warmer colors, weak LD=cooler	Formatted: Highlight
513	colors) and r^2 values are shown within the squares (Supplementary Methods). Red circles: The	
514	rare TREM2 AD-risk missense variants rs142232675 (p.D87N) and rs75932628 (p.R47H) [1].	
515	Blue circles: Variants that associate with increased AD pathology burden and cognitive decline	
516	(rs7759295 and rs6910730) [14], or with lower CSF ptau (rs6922617 and rs6916710) [13].	
517	Green circles: The variant with the strongest-most significant AD-risk association in the IGAP	Formatted: Highlight
518	meta-analysis (rs9381040); rs9357347, which has the most significant strongest brain TREML1	Formatted: Highlight
519	gene expression association, also shows association withhas brain TREM2 gene expression	Formatted: Highlight

520	association, IGAP AD-risk association and the best Regulome score within all tested SNPs; and

- 521 rs9296359 which has the strongest brainmost significant association with TREM2 expression
- 522 association. RefSeq gene transcripts are shown above the LD plot relative to the variant position
- 523 according to the February 2009 human genome assembly (GRCh37hg19) across the targeted
- 524 genomic region (*TREM* gene +/-100 kb: chr6:41016999-41354457).
- 525

Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Highlight
Formatted: Highlight

Tał	oles
-----	------

		Mayo Clini	c WG-DASL		Mayo Clin	ic RNAseq	ROS/MAP RNAseq			
	CI	ER	т	CX	т	CX	PFCX			
	AD	Non-AD	AD Non-AD		AD	non-AD	AD	non-AD		
N	197	197 177 202		197	84	48	288	206		
Mean age +/- SD	73.6 ± 5.6	71.7 ± 5.5	73.6 ± 5.5	71.6 ± 5.6	83.2 ± 8.7	85.7 ± 8.3	89.8 ± 5.8	86.5 ± 7.2		
Female, N (%)	101 (51%)	63 (36%)	108 (53%)	78 (40%)	48 (57%)	26 (54%)	186 (65%)	121 (59%)		
% APOE ε4+	64%	25%	61%	25%	51%	17%	34%	12%		

Table 1. Description of samples included in the discovery and replication cohorts utilized for eQTL analysis.

Samples included in the Mayo Clinic eGWAS (discovery cohort), with cerebellar (CER) and temporal cortex (TCX) gene expression measurements from Illumina WG-DASL arrays have been previously described [17]. Samples in the Mayo Clinic RNAseq cohort (replication cohort #1) had temporal cortex gene expression measurements, and did not overlap with the Mayo eGWAS (WG-DASL) cohort. The ROS/MAP RNAseq cohort (replication cohort #2) had dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (PFCX) gene expression measurements, and did not overlap with the Mayo eGWAS (WG-DASL), or with the Mayo Clinic RNAseq cohort. The RNAseq data for these two cohorts is available at the Sage Synapse, AMP AD Knowledge Portal

(https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn2580853/wiki/66722), under synapæse IDs syn3388564 (ROS/MAP RNAseq) and syn3163039 (Mayo RNAseq).

																		_
		Position	AD Risk	(IGAP Sta	gel Meta a	analysis)		Brain eQT	L (Mayo (linic e G	WAS)				Reg	ulome	HapMap	
	SNP	ha10	Effect	Non	Beta	SE	Pvalue	TREML1	TREML	1 TR	EML1	TREML1	TREM2	TREM2		r0	CEU	
Chr		11823	Allele	Effect				CER	CER	TG	×	TCX	TCX	TCX				
6	rs9381040	4 1,154,650	Ŧ	e	-0.0588	0.0171	5.97E-04	0.021	2.3E-01	0.0	986	8.3E-03	0.091	4.8E-0	NA		26.70%	-
6	rs2093395	41,155,026	e	6	-0.0586	0.0172	6.40E-04	0.021	2.3E-01		986	8.3E-03	0.091	<u>4.8E-0</u>	6		27.90%	1
6	rs2038568	41,158,132	e	6	0.1272	0.0379	7.93E-04	0.018	7.8E-01	. .	.080	3.9E-01	-0.186	1.6E-0	F 2		8.30%	
6	rs12194214	41,028,574	e	A	0.1443	0.0432	8.36E-04	-0.081	9.4E-02	.	.104	2.7E-01	-0.129	3.2E-0	F 6		4.20%	-
6	rs9462675	4 1,153,238	A	G	0.1374	0.0416	9.54E-04	-0.015	7.5E-01	.	.114	1.6E-01	-0.207	6.5E-02	<u>5</u>		3.60%	-
6	rs6933067	4 1,133,522	e	Ŧ	0.1409	0.0431	1.07E-03	-0.013	7.6E-0 1	. . 0.	.098	2.1E-01	-0.134	2.1E-0	ł 7		3.50%	
6	rs9357347	4 1,150,591	e	A	-0.0554	0.017	1.10E-03	0.013	4.6E-01	. 0. 6	988	6.3E-03	0.090	<u>4.6E 0.</u>	2 24		28.10%	
6	rs9394767	4 1,159,905	e	A	-0.0559	0.0172	1.14E-03	0.011	5.7E-01	. 0.0	996	6.5E-03	0.083	1.0E-0	F 2		28.80%	
6	rs1542638	41,286,604	4	A	0.0544	0.0167	1.14E-03	-0.022	2.2E-01	- 0.	.035	2.9E-01	-0.064	1.6E-0	4		28.30%	
¢	rs9471491	41,153,622	A	e	0.1401	0.0436	1.31E-03	-0.015	7.5E-01	. .	.114	1.6E-01	-0.207	6.5E-02	₽ 7		3.50%	
¢	rs9471495	4 1,157,372	A	e	0.1364	0.0427	1.40E-03	0.014	8.3E-01	. .	.099	2.9E-01	-0.235	7.2E-0 2	₽ 7		3.50%	
¢	rs9462677	4 1,158,856	4	Ŧ	0.1388	0.0435	1.41E-03	0.016	8.1E-01	. . 0.	.099	2.9E-01	-0.235	7.3E-0	₽ 7		4.30%	
6	rs9394778	4 1,215,058	A	6	-0.0494	0.0155	1.44E-03	0.015	3.3E-01	0.0	065	<u> 2.7E-02</u>	0.099	<u>1.5E-0</u>	<u>2</u> 6		39.80%	
6	rs9471494	41,157,344	¢	e	0.1359	0.0427	1.46E-03	0.010	8.7E-01	. . 0.	.102	2.6E-01	-0.221	8.2E-0 2	<u>₽</u> 6		4.50%	
6	rs6912013	41,061,593	u	Ŧ	0.1377	0.0433	1.48E-03	-0.076	1.2E-01	. .	.104	2.7E-01	-0.124	3.4E-0 3	F 2		2.70%	
6	rs9296359	41,205,690	A	6	-0.0518	0.0163	1.48E-03	0.017	2.8E-01	. 0.0	966	2.4E-02	0.116	<u>4.6E-0</u>	<u>}</u> 6		27.40%	
6	rs3747742*	41,162,518	e	Ŧ	-0.0455	0.0173	8.56E-03	0.018	2.9E-01	. 0.0	972	2.3E-02	0.064	1.5E-0	F 6		28.30%	
6	rs6916710*	41,164,788	Ŧ	e	-0.0265	0.0163	1.03E-01	0.013	4.3E-01	. 0.0	954	7.7E-02	0.072	9.2E 0 2	₽ 7		38.40%	
6	rs7759295*	41,135,850	Ŧ	e	-0.0228	0.0252	3.66E-01	-0.023	3.5E-01	. 0. 6	094	<u>4.0E-02</u>	-0.008	9.0E-0 :	F 6		13.30%	
6	rs6910730*	41,246,633	6	A	-0.0103	0.0254	6.86E-01	-0.046	8.5E-02	- 0 .	.079	1.2E-01	-0.032	6.5E-0 3	4		8.40%	
6	rs6922617*	4 1,336,101	A	6	- 0.0121	0.0312	6.98E-01	-0.033	2.6E-01	- 0 .	.098	7.4E-02	0.011	8.9E-0	F 4		8.50%	
				AD-Risk	(IGAP Stag	e1 Meta-a	nalysis)			Brain	n eQTL (N	Aayo Clinic e	GWAS)					
<u>Chr</u>	<u>SNP</u>	Position hg19	Effect	Non Effort	OP (Bushus	TREN	IL1 TR	EML1	TREM	L1 TREM	L1 TREN	<u>/12</u> <u>TI</u>	REM2	Regulo Score	me HapN	<u>/lap CEU</u> VIAF
			Allele	Allele		<u>55% CI</u>	<u>r-value</u>	BET	A	<u>P</u>	BETA	<u>P</u>	BET	A	<u>P</u>			
<u>6</u>	rs9381040	<u>41,154,650</u>	Ī	<u>C</u>	<mark>0.94 (0</mark>	<u>.91 - 0.98)</u>	<u>5.97E-0</u>	<u>4 0.02</u>	<u>1</u> <u>2.3</u>	<u>0E-01</u>	0.086	<u>5 8.30E-</u>	<u>03</u> 0.09	<u>1 4.8</u>	<u>80E-02</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>26</u>	. <u>70%</u>

Table 2. Association of variants at the *TREM* locus with AD-risk and *TREM* WG-DASL brain gene expression levels.

		Formatted: Left, Indent: First line: 0"	
-	\square	Formatted: Left	
		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
•		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
-		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
+		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
-		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
+		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
-		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
-		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
-		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
+		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
-		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
+		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
•		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
•		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
•		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
_		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
•		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
•		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
•		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
•		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
1		Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double	
		Formatted: Tab stops: 0.8", Left	
┝		Formatted Table	
1		Formatted: Highlight	
		Formatted: Highlight	

					•					1		1					
ļ	<u>6</u>	<u>rs2093395</u>	<u>41,155,026</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>G</u>	<mark>0.94 (0.91 - 0.98)</mark>	<u>6.40E-04</u>	<u>0.021</u>	<u>2.30E-01</u>	<u>0.086</u>	<u>8.30E-03</u>	<u>0.091</u>	<u>4.80E-02</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>27.90%</u>		Formatted: Highlight
! _	<u>6</u>	<u>rs2038568</u>	<u>41,158,132</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>G</u>	<mark>1.14 (1.05 - 1.23)</mark>	<u>7.93E-04</u>	<u>0.018</u>	<u>7.80E-01</u>	<u>-0.08</u>	<u>3.90E-01</u>	<u>-0.186</u>	<u>1.60E-01</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>8.30%</u>		Formatted: Highlight
! _	<u>6</u>	<u>rs12194214</u>	<u>41,028,574</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>1.16 (1.06 - 1.26)</u>	<u>8.36E-04</u>	<u>-0.081</u>	<u>9.40E-02</u>	<u>-0.104</u>	<u>2.70E-01</u>	<u>-0.129</u>	<u>3.20E-01</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4.20%</u>		Formatted: Highlight
! _	<u>6</u>	<u>rs9462675</u>	<u>41,153,238</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>1.15 (1.06 - 1.25)</u>	<u>9.54E-04</u>	<u>-0.015</u>	7.50E-01	<u>-0.114</u>	<u>1.60E-01</u>	<u>-0.207</u>	<u>6.50E-02</u>	5	<u>3.60%</u>		Formatted: Highlight
! -	<u>6</u>	<u>rs6933067</u>	<u>41,133,522</u>	<u>C</u>	Ī	<u>1.15 (1.06 - 1.25)</u>	<u>1.07E-03</u>	<u>-0.013</u>	<u>7.60E-01</u>	<u>-0.098</u>	<u>2.10E-01</u>	<u>-0.134</u>	<u>2.10E-01</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>3.50%</u>		Formatted: Highlight
! -	<u>6</u>	<u>rs9357347</u>	<u>41,150,591</u>	<u><u>c</u></u>	<u>A</u>	<u>0.95 (0.91 - 0.98)</u>	<u>1.10E-03</u>	<u>0.013</u>	<u>4.60E-01</u>	<u>0.088</u>	<u>6.30E-03</u>	<u>0.09</u>	<u>4.60E-02</u>	<u>2b</u>	<u>28.10%</u>		Formatted: Highlight
ιL	<u>6</u>	<u>rs9394767</u>	<u>41,159,905</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>0.95 (0.91 - 0.98)</u>	<u>1.14E-03</u>	<u>0.011</u>	<u>5.70E-01</u>	<u>0.096</u>	<u>6.50E-03</u>	<u>0.083</u>	<u>1.00E-01</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>28.80%</u>		Formatted. Highlight
	<u>6</u>	<u>rs1542638</u>	<u>41,286,604</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>A</u>	<mark>1.06 (1.02 - 1.09)</mark>	<u>1.14E-03</u>	<u>-0.022</u>	2.20E-01	<u>-0.035</u>	2.90E-01	<u>-0.064</u>	<u>1.60E-01</u>	4	<u>28.30%</u>		Formatted: Highlight
	<u>6</u>	<u>rs9471491</u>	<u>41,153,622</u>	A	<u>C</u>	<u>1.15 (1.05 - 1.26)</u>	<u>1.31E-03</u>	<u>-0.015</u>	<u>7.50E-01</u>	<u>-0.114</u>	<u>1.60E-01</u>	<u>-0.207</u>	<u>6.50E-02</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>3.50%</u>		Formatted: Highlight
	<u>6</u>	<u>rs9471495</u>	<u>41,157,372</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>C</u>	<mark>1.15 (1.05 - 1.25)</mark>	<u>1.40E-03</u>	0.014	8.30E-01	<u>-0.099</u>	2.90E-01	<u>-0.235</u>	7.20E-02	7	<u>3.50%</u>		Formatted: Highlight
	<u>6</u>	<u>rs9462677</u>	<u>41,158,856</u>	A	I	<u>1.15 (1.05 - 1.25)</u>	<u>1.41E-03</u>	<u>0.016</u>	<u>8.10E-01</u>	<u>-0.099</u>	<u>2.90E-01</u>	<u>-0.235</u>	<u>7.30E-02</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>4.30%</u>		Formatted: Highlight
	<u>6</u>	<u>rs9394778</u>	<u>41,215,058</u>	<u>A</u>	G	<mark>0.95 (0.92 - 0.98)</mark>	<u>1.44E-03</u>	<u>0.015</u>	<u>3.30E-01</u>	0.065	<u>2.70E-02</u>	<u>0.099</u>	<u>1.50E-02</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>39.80%</u>		Formatted: Highlight
	6	rs9471494	41.157.344	G	с	1.15 (1.05 - 1.25)	1.46E-03	0.01	8.70E-01	-0.102	2.60E-01	-0.221	8.20E-02	6	4.50%	\sim	Tornatted: Highinght
i F	6	rs6912013	41,061,593	c	т	1.15 (1.05 - 1.25)	1.48E-03	-0.076	1.20E-01	-0.104	2.70E-01	-0.124	3.40E-01	5	2.70%		Formatted: Highlight
i F	6	rs9296359	41,205,690	A	G	0.95 (0.92 - 0.98)	1.48E-03	0.017	2.80E-01	0.066	2.40E-02	0.116	4.60E-03	6	27.40%	\frown	Formatted: Highlight
i F	6	rs3747742*	41,162,518	c	т	0.96 (0.92 - 0.99)	8.56E-03	0.018	2.90E-01	0.072	2.30E-02	0.064	1.50E-01	6	28.30%		Formatted: Highlight
iΓ	6	rs6916710*	41,164,788	Ţ	c	0.97 (0.94 - 1.01)	1.03E-01	0.013	4.30E-01	0.054	7.70E-02	0.072	9.20E-02	7	38.40%		Formatted: Highlight
	<u>6</u>	<u>rs7759295*</u>	<u>41,135,850</u>	Ī	<u>C</u>	<mark>0.98 (0.93 - 1.03)</mark>	<u>3.66E-01</u>	<u>-0.023</u>	<u>3.50E-01</u>	<u>0.094</u>	<u>4.00E-02</u>	<u>-0.008</u>	<u>9.00E-01</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>13.30%</u>	$\left[\right)$	Formatted: Highlight
ΙĽ	<u>6</u>	<u>rs6910730*</u>	<u>41,246,633</u>	G	<u>A</u>	<mark>0.99 (0.94 - 1.04)</mark>	<u>6.86E-01</u>	<u>-0.046</u>	8.50E-02	<u>-0.079</u>	<u>1.20E-01</u>	<u>-0.032</u>	<u>6.50E-01</u>	4	<u>8.40%</u>		Formatted: Highlight
ιΓ	<u>6</u>	<u>rs6922617*</u>	<u>41,336,101</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>0.99 (0.93 - 1.05)</u>	<u>6.98E-01</u>	<u>-0.033</u>	<u>2.60E-01</u>	<u>-0.098</u>	<u>7.40E-02</u>	<u>0.011</u>	<u>8.90E-01</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8.50%</u>		Formatted: Highlight
																$\cdot \land \land$	rormatiou. Engringin

Shown are Ψ_v ariants located within 100kb of a *TREM* gene and that had an AD-risk p \leq 0.0015 in the IGAP stage 1 meta-analysis (top 16 rows);-), as well as and 5 common *TREM* locus variants with previous reports of AD-risk or endophenotype association (bottom 5 rows, SNP marked with an *). AD-risk association results are from the publicly available IGAP meta-analysis stage 1. Brain gene expression associations are from the Mayo Clinic eGWAS and based on cerebellar (CER) and temporal cortex (TCX) gene expression measurements with Illumina WG-DASL arrays with *TREML1* probe ILMN_1690783 and *TREM2* probe ILMN_1701248. Variants showing association with nominally significant braingene expression associations-(uncorrected p<0.05) are underlined and in

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight



	Sample	TREML1	TRE	M2					
Dataset	Dataset size beta					-	beta	p	•
Mayo WG-DASL	380	0.088		6.	3x10⁻³		0.09	4	.6x10⁻²
Mayo Clinic RNAseq	132	-0.03		0.	78		0.08	θ	.51
ROS/MAP RNAseq	494	0.03		0.	14	-	0.43	θ	.11
Meta-analysis	1006	+-+		9.3x10⁻³ -				9	.3x10 ⁻⁴
Dataset	Sample	MAE		<u>TREM</u>	<u>L1</u>	_		TREM2	
Dataset	<u>size</u>		<u>beta</u>	<u>SE</u>	<u>p</u>		<u>beta</u>	<u>SE</u>	p
Mayo WG-DASL	<u>380</u>	<u>0.307</u>	<u>0.088</u>	<u>0.032</u>	<u>6.28E-03</u>		<u>0.090</u>	<u>0.045</u>	<u>4.61E-02</u>
Mayo Clinic RNAseq	<u>132</u>	<u>0.311</u>	<u>-0.030</u>	<u>0.108</u>	<u>7.82E-01</u>		<u>0.084</u>	<u>0.128</u>	<u>5.13E-01</u>
ROS/MAP RNAseq	494	0.281	<u>0.089</u>	<u>0.114</u>	<u>4.36E-01</u>		<u>0.124</u>	0.060	<u>3.77E-02</u>

Table 3. Meta-analysis of rs9357347 eQTL results from three independent datasets.

Formatted: Left, Space After: 10 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li
Formatted: Left, Space After: 10 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li
Formatted: Space After: 10 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li
Formatted: Left, Space After: 10 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li
Formatted: Space After: 10 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li
Formatted: Left, Space After: 10 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li
Formatted: Space After: 10 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li
Formatted: Left, Space After: 10 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li
Formatted: Space After: 10 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li
Formatted: Left, Space After: 10 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li
Formatted: Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li
Formatted Table

Formatted: Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

Meta-analysis of rs9357347 eQTL results from temporal cortex (Mayo WG-DASL and Mayo Clinic RNAseq) and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex samples (ROS/MAP). <u>MAF = minor allele</u> frequency. SE = standard error. Since in all three datasets the expression measures analyzed were on a log2 scale, fold-change for the beta coefficients = 2^{beta} . The meta-analysis was performed using METAL, with weighted average of z-scores from the individual study p-values, weighted

according their sample size.

Formatted: Highlight

29

	1		
1	Supplementary Material		Formatted: Width: 8.5", Height: 11", Numbering: Continuous
2			
3	A <u>candidate</u> regulatory variant at the <i>TREM</i> gene cluster associates with decreased		
4	Alzheimer's disease risk, and increased TREML1 and TREM2 brain gene expression		
5			
6	Minerva M. Carrasquillo ¹ , Mariet Allen ¹ , Jeremy D. Burgess ¹ , <u>Xue Wang²</u> , Samantha L.		
7	Strickland ¹ , Shivani Aryal ¹ , Joanna Siuda ¹ , Michaela L. Kachadoorian ¹ , Christopher Medway ^{1,2} ,		
8	Curtis S. Younkin ¹ , Asha Nair ⁴ , Chen Wang ⁴ , Pritha Chanana ⁴ , Daniel Serie ² , Thuy Nguyen ¹ ,		Deleted: ³
			Deleted: ³
9	Sarah Lincoln ¹ , Kimberly G. Malphrus ¹ , Kevin Morgan ² , Todd E. Golde ³ , Nathan D. Price ⁹ ,		Deleted: ³
10	Charles C. White ^{7,8} . Philip L De Jager ^{7,8,9} . David A. Bennett ¹⁰ . Yan W. Asmann ² . Julia E.	\sim	Deleted: , Xue Wang ⁴
	•,•,•,•,•,•,•,•		Deleted: *
11	Crook ² , Ronald C. Petersen ¹¹ , Neill R. Graff-Radford ¹² , Dennis W. Dickson ¹ , Steven G.		Deleted:
12	Younkin ¹ , Nilüfer Ertekin-Taner ^{1,12*}		Deleted: 4
13			
14	¹ Department of Neuroscience, Mayo Clinic Florida, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA.		
15	² Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, Florida, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA.		Moved (insertion) [1]
4.6	³ Human Constitut Course Hairman (National and National and HK NC7 2000)		Deleted: 4
16	- Human Genetics Group, University of Nottingnam, Nottingnam, UK NG/20H.		Deleted: ¶
17	⁴ Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic Rochester, MN 55905, USA		Deleted: ³
18	⁵ Department of Neuroscience, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, 32610 USA.		Moved up [1]: ⁴ Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, Florida, Jacksonville, FL
19	⁶ Institute for Systems Biology, Seattle, WA, 98109 USA.		32224, USA.¶
20	⁷ Ann Romney Center for Neurologic Diseases and Departments of Neurology and Psychiatry,		
21	Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, 02115 USA.		
22	⁸ Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, 02142 USA.		
23	⁹ Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, 02115 USA.		

39	¹⁰ Rush Alzheimer's Disease Center, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, 60612 USA.
40	¹¹ Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic Minnesota, Rochester, MN 55905 USA.
41	¹² Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic Florida, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA.
42	
43	* To whom correspondence should be addressed at: Departments of Neurology and
44	Neuroscience, Mayo Clinic Florida, Birdsall Building 327, 4500 San Pablo Rd, Jacksonville, FL
45	32224; E-mail: taner.nilufer@mayo.edu; Tel: 904-953-7103; Fax: 904-953-7370
46	
47	
48	Supplementary Methods
40	
49	IGAP AD-risk meta-analysis
50	AD-risk association results shown in this study were obtained from the International
51	Genomics of Alzheimer's Project (IGAP) stage 1 AD-risk GWAS meta-analysis [1]. The IGAP
52	AD-risk meta-analysis is a large two-stage study based upon genome-wide association studies
53	(GWAS) on individuals of European ancestry. In stage 1, IGAP used genotyped and imputed
54	data on 7,055,881 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) to meta-analyze four previously-
55	published GWAS datasets consisting of 17,008 Alzheimer's disease cases and 37,154 controls
56	(The European Alzheimer's disease Initiative – EADI, the Alzheimer Disease Genetics
57	Consortium - ADGC, The Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology
58	consortium – CHARGE, The Genetic and Environmental Risk in AD consortium – GERAD). In
59	stage 2, 11,632 SNPs were genotyped and tested for association in an independent set of 8,572
60	Alzheimer's disease cases and 11,312 controls. Finally, a meta-analysis was performed
61	combining results from stages 1 & 2.

Mayo Clinic WG-DASL eQTL dataset

63	Total RNA, utilized in the array-based Illumina WG-DASL discovery cohort (Table 1)
64	was isolated from frozen brain tissue using the Ambion RNAqueous kit and assessed for RNA
65	quality and quantity using the Agilent RNA 6000 Nano Chip and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer.
66	Only samples with an RNA integrity number (RIN) score \geq 5 were used. All subjects were from
67	the Mayo Clinic Brain Bank and underwent neuropathological evaluation by DWD. All ADs had
68	a Braak score of \geq 4.0 and non-ADs a Braak score of \leq 2.5. Many of the non-ADs had unrelated
69	pathologies. All ADs had a definite diagnosis according to the National Institute of Neurological
70	and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and the Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders
71	Association (NINCDS-ADRDA) criteria [2].
72	Expression measures were generated as described previously [3]. Briefly, samples were
73	randomized across plates and chips prior to array processing. Internal replicates were included
74	for quality control purposes. PCR and array processing was conducted at the Mayo Clinic
75	Medical Genome Facility Gene Expression Core in accordance with the manufactures' protocols.
76	Raw probe data was exported from GenomeStudio (Illumina Inc) and the lumi package of
77	Bioconductor [4, 5] was used for background subtraction, variance stabilizing transformation and
78	quantile normalization.
79	Although there are seven RefSeq genes at the TREM locus (TREM1, TREML1, TREM2,

80 TREML2, TREML3P, TREML4 and TREML5P) (Fig. 1), TREML3P and TREML5P are noncoding pseudogenes for which there are no probes on the WG-DASL array. Only transcripts 81 whose expression was detected above background in \geq 50% of the samples tested (**Table S1**) 82 were evaluated for their associations with TREM locus variants (Table 2). The location of the 83 WG-DASL probes relative to the transcripts is shown in Fig. 2. The probes were determined to 84

be complementary to sequences lacking known polymorphisms based on the human genomeassembly from March 2006 (NCBI36/hg18).

87	Mayo Clinic RNAseq dataset	1
88	Temporal cortex RNAseq data from 84 LOAD and 48 non-AD brains from the Mayo	
89	Clinic Brain Bank that were not part of the Mayo Clinic WG-DASL eGWAS cohort but whose	
90	neuropathological diagnosis followed the same criteria (Table 1), were employed for replication	
91	of the associations that were detected with the WG-DASL gene expression measurements. Total	
92	RNA, utilized for the RNAseq replication cohort was extracted using Trizol® reagent and	
93	cleaned using Qiagen RNeasy columns with DNase treatment. Samples were randomized prior to	
94	the transfer of 40 (TCX) or 50 (CER) ng/ul of RNA to the Mayo Clinic Medical Genome Facility	
95	Gene Expression and Sequencing Cores for library preparation and sequencing. The TruSeq	
96	RNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) was used for library preparation. The library	
97	concentration, size distribution and RIN were measured on an Agilent Technologies 2100	
98	Bioanalyzer. Only samples with a RIN score >5 were used. Sequencing was performed on the	
99	Illumina HiSeq2000 using 101 base-pair (bp), paired end sequencing, with triplicate	
100	multiplexing of barcoded samples (3 samples per flowcell lane). Base-calling was performed	
101	using Illumina's RTA 1.18.61 or RTA 1.17.21.3. FASTQ sequence reads were aligned to the	
102	human reference genome using TopHat 2.0.12 [6] and Bowtie 1.1.0 [7], and Subread 1.4.4 was	
103	used for gene counting [8]. FastQC was used for quality control (QC) of raw sequence reads,	
104	and RSeQC was used for QC of mapped reads. Raw read counts were normalized using	
105	Conditional Quantile Normalization (CQN) via the Bioconductor package; accounting for	
106	sequencing depth, gene length, and GC content. RNAseq data for this cohort is available at the	
107	Sage Synapse, AMP AD Knowledge Portal	

Deleted: Normalized transcript brain expression levels were tested for associations with *TREM* locus genotypes (Mayo Clinic eGWAS) [3] via multivariable linear regression analyses implemented in PLINK [6], using an additive model and adjusting for *APOE* e4 dosage, age at death, diagnosis, sex, PCR plate, RNA Integrity Number (RIN), adjusted RIN squared (RIN-RINmean)² as covariates. ¶

Formatted: Highlight

116	(https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn2580853/wiki/66722), under synapase ID syn3163039	
117	(Mayo RNAseq).	
118	Genotypes for rs9357347 were obtained using a TaqMan® SNP genotyping assay,	
119	C2814743_10. Genotyping was performed at the Mayo Clinic in Jacksonville using an ABI	
120	PRISM 7900HT Sequence Detection System with 384-Well Block Module from (Applied	
121	Biosystems, Foster City, California). The genotype data was analyzed using the SDS software	
122	version 2.2.3 (Applied Biosystems).	
123		
124	Religious Orders Study and the Rush Memory and Aging Project (ROS/MAP)	
125	RNAseq dataset	
126	RNA was isolated from frozen dorsolateral prefrontal cortex tissue of ROS/MAP subjects	
127	[18, 19] using the miRNeasy Mini Kit and RNase-Free DNase Set (Qiagen, Germantown, MD).	Formatted: Highlight
128	RNA concentration and quality were determined using a Nanodrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific,	
129	Wilmington, DE) and Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA), respectively. Only	
130	samples with a RIN score >5 were used for library construction, which was assembled using	Formatted: Highlight
131	50ng/ul of RNA for the strand-specific dUTP method. The library was read using Illumina HiSeq	
132	with 101 base pair paired-end reads and a goal coverage of >85 million paired-end reads. FPKM	
133	(Fragments per Kilobase of Exon Per Million Fragments Mapped) were quantile normalized with	
134	Combat correcting for batch. RNAseq data for this cohort is available at the Sage Synapse, AMP	
135	AD Knowledge Portal (https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn2580853/wiki/66722), under	
136	synapase IDs syn3388564 (ROS/MAP RNAseq).	

137	Genotypes for rs9357437 were obtained from three subsets of subjects. Genotypes for the
138	first two subsets were generated in 2009 on the Affymetrix Genechip 6.0 platform (Affymetrix,
139	Inc, Santa Clara, CA, USA) at the Broad Institute's Center for Genotyping or the Translational
140	Genomics Research Institute. The third subset was genotyped in 2012 on the Illumina
141	HumanOmniExpress platform (Illumina, Inc, San Diego, CA, USA) at the Children's Hospital of
142	Philadelphia. All three data sets underwent the same quality control (QC) analysis (genotype call
143	rate > 95%, Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium > 0.001). Using Beagle software (version: 3.3.2),
144	dosage data was imputed for all genotyped samples who passed QC using the 1000 Genomes
145	Project (2011, Phase 1b) as a reference
146	
147	Determination of linkage disequilibrium
148	Linkage disequilibrium of variants at the TREM locus (Fig. 3) was evaluated in the Mayo
148 149	Linkage disequilibrium of variants at the <i>TREM</i> locus (Fig. 3) was evaluated in the Mayo Clinic AD-risk GWAS HapMap2 imputed dataset (815 AD, 1218 controls) using Haploview 4.0
148 149 150	Linkage disequilibrium of variants at the <i>TREM</i> locus (Fig. 3) was evaluated in the Mayo Clinic AD-risk GWAS HapMap2 imputed dataset (815 AD, 1218 controls) using Haploview 4.0 [9]. <i>TREM2</i> AD-risk missense variants rs142232675 (p.D87N) and rs75932628 (p.R47H) were
148 149 150 151	Linkage disequilibrium of variants at the <i>TREM</i> locus (Fig. 3) was evaluated in the Mayo Clinic AD-risk GWAS HapMap2 imputed dataset (815 AD, 1218 controls) using Haploview 4.0 [9]. <i>TREM2</i> AD-risk missense variants rs142232675 (p.D87N) and rs75932628 (p.R47H) were not present in the Mayo GWAS HapMap2 imputed dataset, but were directly genotyped in the
148 149 150 151 152	Linkage disequilibrium of variants at the <i>TREM</i> locus (Fig. 3) was evaluated in the Mayo Clinic AD-risk GWAS HapMap2 imputed dataset (815 AD, 1218 controls) using Haploview 4.0 [9]. <i>TREM2</i> AD-risk missense variants rs142232675 (p.D87N) and rs75932628 (p.R47H) were not present in the Mayo GWAS HapMap2 imputed dataset, but were directly genotyped in the Mayo Clinic samples with TaqMan® assays, and were included to show their LD with variants
148 149 150 151 152 153	Linkage disequilibrium of variants at the <i>TREM</i> locus (Fig. 3) was evaluated in the Mayo Clinic AD-risk GWAS HapMap2 imputed dataset (815 AD, 1218 controls) using Haploview 4.0 [9]. <i>TREM2</i> AD-risk missense variants rs142232675 (p.D87N) and rs75932628 (p.R47H) were not present in the Mayo GWAS HapMap2 imputed dataset, but were directly genotyped in the Mayo Clinic samples with TaqMan® assays, and were included to show their LD with variants that associate with AD-risk in the IGAP meta-analysis. Sixteen variants located within 100kb of
148 149 150 151 152 153 154	Linkage disequilibrium of variants at the <i>TREM</i> locus (Fig. 3) was evaluated in the Mayo Clinic AD-risk GWAS HapMap2 imputed dataset (815 AD, 1218 controls) using Haploview 4.0 [9]. <i>TREM2</i> AD-risk missense variants rs142232675 (p.D87N) and rs75932628 (p.R47H) were not present in the Mayo GWAS HapMap2 imputed dataset, but were directly genotyped in the Mayo Clinic samples with TaqMan® assays, and were included to show their LD with variants that associate with AD-risk in the IGAP meta-analysis. Sixteen variants located within 100kb of a <i>TREM</i> gene and that had an AD-risk p≤0.0015 in the IGAP stage 1 meta-analysis are included,
148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155	Linkage disequilibrium of variants at the <i>TREM</i> locus (Fig. 3) was evaluated in the Mayo Clinic AD-risk GWAS HapMap2 imputed dataset (815 AD, 1218 controls) using Haploview 4.0 [9]. <i>TREM2</i> AD-risk missense variants rs142232675 (p.D87N) and rs75932628 (p.R47H) were not present in the Mayo GWAS HapMap2 imputed dataset, but were directly genotyped in the Mayo Clinic samples with TaqMan® assays, and were included to show their LD with variants that associate with AD-risk in the IGAP meta-analysis. Sixteen variants located within 100kb of a <i>TREM</i> gene and that had an AD-risk p≤0.0015 in the IGAP stage 1 meta-analysis are included, in addition to two rare missense <i>TREM2</i> coding variants [rs142232675 (p.D87N), and
148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156	Linkage disequilibrium of variants at the <i>TREM</i> locus (Fig. 3) was evaluated in the Mayo Clinic AD-risk GWAS HapMap2 imputed dataset (815 AD, 1218 controls) using Haploview 4.0 [9]. <i>TREM2</i> AD-risk missense variants rs142232675 (p.D87N) and rs75932628 (p.R47H) were not present in the Mayo GWAS HapMap2 imputed dataset, but were directly genotyped in the Mayo Clinic samples with TaqMan® assays, and were included to show their LD with variants that associate with AD-risk in the IGAP meta-analysis. Sixteen variants located within 100kb of a <i>TREM</i> gene and that had an AD-risk p≤0.0015 in the IGAP stage 1 meta-analysis are included, in addition to two rare missense <i>TREM2</i> coding variants [rs142232675 (p.D87N), and rs75932628 (p.R47H)] and 5 common <i>TREM</i> locus SNPs with prior reports of AD-risk
148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157	Linkage disequilibrium of variants at the <i>TREM</i> locus (Fig. 3) was evaluated in the Mayo Clinic AD-risk GWAS HapMap2 imputed dataset (815 AD, 1218 controls) using Haploview 4.0 [9]. <i>TREM2</i> AD-risk missense variants rs142232675 (p.D87N) and rs75932628 (p.R47H) were not present in the Mayo GWAS HapMap2 imputed dataset, but were directly genotyped in the Mayo Clinic samples with TaqMan® assays, and were included to show their LD with variants that associate with AD-risk in the IGAP meta-analysis. Sixteen variants located within 100kb of a <i>TREM</i> gene and that had an AD-risk p≤0.0015 in the IGAP stage 1 meta-analysis are included, in addition to two rare missense <i>TREM2</i> coding variants [rs142232675 (p.D87N), and rs75932628 (p.R47H)] and 5 common <i>TREM</i> locus SNPs with prior reports of AD-risk (rs3747742) [10] or endophenotype (rs7759295, rs6910730, rs6922617, rs6916710) [11, 12]

Deleted: . ¶

eQTL analysis of rs9357347 and *TREML1/TREM2* RNAseq gene expression ¶

For the Mayo RNAseq dataset, rs9357347 genotypes used in the gene expression association analysis were obtained using a TaqMan® SNP genotyping assay, C___2814743_10. Genotyping was performed at the Mayo Clinic in Jacksonville using an ABI PRISM 7900HT Sequence Detection System with 384-Well Block Module from (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California). The genotype data was analyzed using the SDS software version 2.2.3 (Applied Biosystems). For the ROS/MAP RNAseq dataset, genotyping was done in three subsets. Genotypes for the first two subsets were generated in 2009 on the Affymetrix Genechip 6.0 platform (Affymetrix, Inc, Santa Clara, CA, USA) at the Broad Institute's Center for Genotyping or the Translational Genomics Research Institute. The third subset was genotyped in 2012 on the Illumina HumanOmniExpress platform (Illumina, Inc, San Diego, CA, USA) at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia. All three data sets underwent the same quality control (QC) analysis (genotype call rate > 95%, Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium > 0.001). Using Beagle software (version: 3.3.2), dosage data was imputed for all genotyped samples who passed QC using the 1000 Genomes Project (2011, Phase 1b) as a reference. For both the Mayo RNAseq and the ROS/MAP RNAseq dataset, normalized gene counts were used as the gene expression phenotype in linear regression analysis using an additive model and adjusting for age at death, sex, diagnosis, RIN, (RIN-RINmean)² and flowcell as covariates in PLINK.¶

¶ Meta-analysis of rs9357347 eQTL results¶ Meta-analyses were performed on eQTL results from three independent cohorts: Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo RNAseq and ROS/MAP RNAseq, for which there was no sample overlap. For these analyses METAL [10] was implemented using weighted average of zscores from the individual study p-values, weighted according their sample size.¶ ¶

	7		
205	Supplementary Results		Formatted: Highlight
206	Assessment of potential collider conditioning bias		Formatted: Highlight
207	Since the primary goal of this study was to estimate the effect of genetic variants on gene		
208	expression, rather than their effect on disease status, we combined ADs and non-ADs in the		
209	linear regression analysis and included diagnosis as a covariate. The diagnosis covariate was		
210	coded as the presence or absence of AD. However, adjusting for diagnosis status could		
211	potentially introduce a collider conditioning bias if both the genotype and the expression levels		
212	are associated with disease status [13]. Therefore, we have also analyzed the combined set of		Formatted: Highlight
213	AD+nonAD, without adjustment for disease status, in order to determine if the effect of genotype		
214	on expression disappears or remains in the latter analysis. Table S2, shows results for the two		Formatted: Highlight
215	types of analyses that were performed in each of the three datasets (Mayo WG-DASL, Mayo		
216	RNAseq and ROS/MAP RNAseq): (1) AD and nonAD combined while adjusting for diagnosis,		
217	(2) AD and nonAD combined not adjusting for diagnosis. Overall, the results from analyses 1		
218	and 2 are very similar, suggesting that there is no real impact of a collider effect.		
219			
220	Effect of RIN on percent detection and rs9357347 eQTL association	1-	Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight
221	Fig. S6 shows TREML1 and TREM2 detection percentage stratified by RIN, and demonstrates		Formatted: Highlight
222	that neither TREML1 nor TREM2 detection percentage is affected by RIN. Table S3 shows		Formatted: Highlight
223	results of the rs9357347 eQTL associations in the Mayo WG-DASL dataset when stratifying by		
224	samples above and below the median RIN of 6.5 (Table S3). These results indicate that RIN		(Formatted: Highlight
225	does not significantly impact the magnitude of the rs9357347 eQTL associations, as the		

		0	
226	estimates of the beta coefficients overlap with those observed in the analysis not stratified by		
227	RIN (Table S2), Although the significance of the association is lessened in the stratified		- Formatted: Highlight
			Formatted: Highlight
228	analysis, this is likely due to the smaller sample size of the stratified groups compared to the		Formatted: Highlight
229	sample size of the combined analysis.		Formatted: Highlight
230			
231	Association of rs9357347 with Braak stage		Deleted: ¶
	······	<	Formatted: Highlight
232	Given the association of rs9357347 with AD-risk, we tested the hypothesis that this		
233	variant could also show an association with Braak stage, as the latter is an important criterion	or	
234	the neurophathological diagnosis of AD [14]. Implementing an ANOVA model in R that		Formatted: Highlight
235	included age-at-death, sex and APOE E4 dose (0, 1 or 2 alleles), in the two larger datasets (Ma	<mark>yo</mark>	
236	WG-DASL and ROS/MAP RNAseq), we determined that rs9357347 does not significantly		
237	contribute to the variance in Braak stage in either of these two cohorts (p=0.91 and p=0.27,		
238	respectively). In addition, we implemented linear regression analysis in R, again using the two		
239	larger datasets to estimate the effect of each copy of the rs9357347 minor allele on Braak stage	<u>></u>	
240	including age-at-death, sex and APOE E4 dose in the model. As shown in Table S4 , we did no	L	Formatted: Highlight
241	detect a significant association of rs9357347 with Braak stage in either cohort.		
242			
243	Association of rs9357347 with cognition		Formatted: Highlight
244	We also evaluated the association of rs9357347 with measures of global cognitive decl	ine	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt
245	and global cognition at the last evaluation before death in the ROS/MAP cohort. In this datase		
246	global cognition is a variable for overall cognitive function measured by the raw scores from 1	<u>9</u>	
247	different tests that are converted to z scores and averaged. Global cognitive decline is a	1	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt
,			Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

249	longitudinal cognitive phenotype based on repeated measures of global cognition, as previously	
250	described [15, 16]. The analysis was performed using linear regression analysis implemented in	Formatted: Highlight
251	R, under an additive model for rs9357347, and adjusting for age-at-death, sex and APOE £4	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt
252	dose. Neither global cognitive decline nor global cognition at last evaluation shows an	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt
232	dose. Nether global cognitive decline for global cognition at last evaluation shows an	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman,
253	association with rs9357347 in this cohort (Table S5).	
254		
255	Association of age with <u>TREML1</u> , and <u>TREM2</u> , expression	Formatted: Font: Italic, Highlight
		Formatted: Highlight
256	As the WG-DASL cohort was overall younger than the two RNAseq cohorts, we assessed	Formatted: Fighlight
		Tormatted. Highinght
257	the association of the age covariate on <i>TREML1</i> and <i>TREM2</i> gene expression levels in the linear	
258	regression model described in the Material & Methods section 2.4. Age was not significantly	
259	associated with either TREML1 or TREM2 expression in the Mayo WG-DASL cohort (p>0.05).	
260	On the other hand, both in the Mayo RNAseq and ROS/MAP RNAseq cohorts, TREML1 and	
261	TREM2 expression levels appeared to be slightly increased with age, albeit the magnitude of the	
262	effect sizes were modest, with beta coefficients equivalent to approximately a 1.01 and 1.03-fold	
263	change in expression levels (Mayo RNAseq: TREML1 p=0.085, beta=0.01; TREM2 p=0.026,	
264	beta=0.02. ROS/MAP RNAseq: TREML1 p=2.0x10 ⁻³ , beta=0.04; TREM2 p=4.4x10 ⁻⁵ ,	
265	beta=0.03). Since TREMLI, and TREM2, gene expression levels appear be increased with age, it	Formatted: Highlight
		Formatted: Font: Italic, Highlight
266	is possible that this might have led to a decrease in power to detect an association of rs9357347-	Formatted: Highlight
267	C with increased levels of these genes in the two older cohorts	Formatted: Font: Italic, Highlight
207		Formatted: Highlight
		Formatted: Highlight
268		Formatted: Highlight
		Formatted: Highlight
269	Association of diagnosis with <i>TREML1</i> and <i>TREM2</i> expression	Palatadi f
		Deleted: 1

271	To assess if diagnosis is associated with <i>TREML1</i> and/or <i>TREM2</i> gene expression levels, linear	- Formatted: Highlight
-/-		Formatted: Highlight
272	regression analyses were performed in R in each of the three datasets, adjusting for all other	
273	covariates included in the eQTL analyses described in the Materials and Methods section 2.4, as	
274	well as rs9357347 minor allele dose. The box plots in Fig. S5 show the direction of the change in	- Formatted: Highlight
275	No. No.	Formatted: Font: Bold, Highlight
275	expression between AD and honAD subjects, and indicate the significance of the association for	Formatted: Highlight
276	each test. We observe a consistent trend of higher <i>TREML1</i> and <i>TREM2</i> expression in AD versus	Formatted: Font: Bold, Highlight
-		Formatted: Highlight
277	nonADs, although some of these associations do not reach statistical significance. The trend	Formatted: Highlight
278	toward higher TREMLI and TREM2 expression in AD subjects could be a reflection of	- Formatted: Highlight
279	microglial activation and/or proliferation known to occur in AD brains.	- Formatted: Highlight
200		Formatted: Highlight
280		
281	Acknowledgements for IGAP:	
282	We thank IGAP for providing summary results data for these analyses. The investigators	
283	within IGAP contributed to the design and implementation of IGAP and/or provided data but did	
284	not participate in analysis or writing of this report. IGAP was made possible by the generous	
285	participation of the control subjects, the patients, and their families. The i-Select chips were	Deleted: was
200		
286	funded by the French National Foundation on Alzheimer's disease and related disorders. EADI	
286	funded by the French National Foundation on Alzheimer's disease and related disorders. EADI was supported by the LABEX (laboratory of excellence program investment for the future)	
286 287 288	funded by the French National Foundation on Alzheimer's disease and related disorders. EADIwas supported by the LABEX (laboratory of excellence program investment for the future)DISTALZ grant, Inserm, Institut Pasteur de Lille, Université de Lille 2 and the Lille University	
286 287 288 289	funded by the French National Foundation on Alzheimer's disease and related disorders. EADIwas supported by the LABEX (laboratory of excellence program investment for the future)DISTALZ grant, Inserm, Institut Pasteur de Lille, Université de Lille 2 and the Lille UniversityHospital. GERAD was supported by the Medical Research Council (Grant n° 503480),	
286 287 288 289 290	funded by the French National Foundation on Alzheimer's disease and related disorders. EADIwas supported by the LABEX (laboratory of excellence program investment for the future)DISTALZ grant, Inserm, Institut Pasteur de Lille, Université de Lille 2 and the Lille UniversityHospital. GERAD was supported by the Medical Research Council (Grant n° 503480),Alzheimer's Research UK (Grant n° 503176), the Wellcome Trust (Grant n° 082604/2/07/Z) and	
286 287 288 289 290 291	funded by the French National Foundation on Alzheimer's disease and related disorders. EADIwas supported by the LABEX (laboratory of excellence program investment for the future)DISTALZ grant, Inserm, Institut Pasteur de Lille, Université de Lille 2 and the Lille UniversityHospital. GERAD was supported by the Medical Research Council (Grant n° 503480),Alzheimer's Research UK (Grant n° 503176), the Wellcome Trust (Grant n° 082604/2/07/Z) andGerman Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF): Competence Network Dementia	
286 287 288 289 290 291 202	funded by the French National Foundation on Alzheimer's disease and related disorders. EADI was supported by the LABEX (laboratory of excellence program investment for the future) DISTALZ grant, Inserm, Institut Pasteur de Lille, Université de Lille 2 and the Lille University Hospital. GERAD was supported by the Medical Research Council (Grant n° 503480), Alzheimer's Research UK (Grant n° 503176), the Wellcome Trust (Grant n° 082604/2/07/Z) and German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF): Competence Network Dementia	
286 287 288 289 290 291 292	funded by the French National Foundation on Alzheimer's disease and related disorders. EADIwas supported by the LABEX (laboratory of excellence program investment for the future)DISTALZ grant, Inserm, Institut Pasteur de Lille, Université de Lille 2 and the Lille UniversityHospital. GERAD was supported by the Medical Research Council (Grant n° 503480),Alzheimer's Research UK (Grant n° 503176), the Wellcome Trust (Grant n° 082604/2/07/Z) andGerman Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF): Competence Network Dementia(CND) grant n° 01GI0102, 01GI0711, 01GI0420. CHARGE was partly supported by the	

295	the NHLBI grant R01 HL105756, the Icelandic Heart Association, and the Erasmus Medical	
296	Center and Erasmus University. ADGC was supported by the NIH/NIA grants: U01 AG032984,	
297	U24 AG021886, U01 AG016976, and the Alzheimer's Association grant ADGC-10-196728.	
298	•	Deleted: ¶ Deleted: ¶ ¶ ¶

305 **References**

- 306 [1] J.C. Lambert, C.A. Ibrahim-Verbaas, D. Harold, A.C. Naj, R. Sims, C. Bellenguez, et al., Meta-analysis of
- 307 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. Nature genetics
- 308 2013;45:1452-1458.
- 309 [2] G. McKhann, D. Drachman, M. Folstein, R. Katzman, D. Price, E.M. Stadlan, Clinical diagnosis of
- 310 Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of
- 311 Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. Neurology 1984;34:939-944.
- 312 [3] F. Zou, H.S. Chai, C.S. Younkin, M. Allen, J. Crook, V.S. Pankratz, et al., Brain expression genome-wide
- 313 association study (eGWAS) identifies human disease-associated variants. PLoS genetics
- 314 2012;8:e1002707.
- [4] P. Du, W.A. Kibbe,S.M. Lin, lumi: a pipeline for processing Illumina microarray. Bioinformatics
 2008;24:1547-1548.
- [5] S.M. Lin, P. Du, W. Huber, W.A. Kibbe, Model-based variance-stabilizing transformation for Illumina
 microarray data. Nucleic acids research 2008;36:e11.
- 319 [6] C. Trapnell, L. Pachter, S.L. Salzberg, TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq.
- 320 Bioinformatics 2009;25:1105-1111.
- 321 [7] B. Langmead, C. Trapnell, M. Pop,S.L. Salzberg, Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short
- 322 DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome biology 2009;10:R25.
- [8] S. Anders, P.T. Pyl,W. Huber, HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing
 data. Bioinformatics 2015;31:166-169.
- [9] J.C. Barrett, B. Fry, J. Maller, M.J. Daly, Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype
 maps. Bioinformatics 2005;21:263-265.
- 327 [10] B.A. Benitez, B. Cooper, P. Pastor, S.C. Jin, E. Lorenzo, S. Cervantes, et al., TREM2 is associated with
- 328 the risk of Alzheimer's disease in Spanish population. Neurobiology of aging 2013;34:1711 e1715-1717.
- 329 [11] J.M. Replogle, G. Chan, C.C. White, T. Raj, P.A. Winn, D.A. Evans, et al., A TREM1 variant alters the
- accumulation of Alzheimer-related amyloid pathology. Annals of neurology 2015;77:469-477.
- [12] C. Cruchaga, J.S. Kauwe, O. Harari, S.C. Jin, Y. Cai, C.M. Karch, et al., GWAS of cerebrospinal fluid tau
 levels identifies risk variants for Alzheimer's disease. Neuron 2013;78:256-268.
- 333 [13] S.R. Cole, R.W. Platt, E.F. Schisterman, H. Chu, D. Westreich, D. Richardson, et al., Illustrating bias
- due to conditioning on a collider. International journal of epidemiology 2010;39:417-420.
- [14] H. Braak, E. Braak, Neuropathological stageing of Alzheimer-related changes. Acta neuropathologica
 1991;82:239-259.
- 337 [15] P.A. Boyle, A.S. Buchman, R.S. Wilson, S.E. Leurgans, D.A. Bennett, Association of muscle strength
- 338 with the risk of Alzheimer disease and the rate of cognitive decline in community-dwelling older
- persons. Archives of neurology 2009;66:1339-1344.
- 340 [16] R.S. Wilson, T.L. Beck, J.L. Bienias, D.A. Bennett, Terminal cognitive decline: accelerated loss of
- 341 cognition in the last years of life. Psychosomatic medicine 2007;69:131-137.

Supplementary Table

Table S1. Percent detection of TREM locus transcripts,									Formatted: Highlight		
										Formatted: Left	
×											Deleted: with Illumina WG-DASL probes
	Mayo WG-DASL: Mayo WG-DASL: ROS/MAP RNAsed										Deleted: ¶
-	-	-	<u>c</u>	erebellun	<u>1ª</u>	Tem	nporal Cor	tex ^b	Cortex ^c	DFPC ^d	¶ ¶ ([1])
<u>Symbol</u>	Ensembl Gene ID	<u>WG-DASL</u> Probe ID	<u>AD +</u> <u>non-</u> <u>AD</u>	<u>AD</u>	<u>nonAD</u>	<u>AD +</u> <u>non-</u> <u>AD</u>	<u>AD</u>	<u>Non-</u> <u>AD</u>	<u>AD + non-AD</u>	<u>AD + non-AD</u>	
<u>TREM1</u>	<u>ENSG0000012473</u> <u>1</u>	<u>ILMN 168823</u> <u>1</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.25</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.51</u>	<u>18.18</u>	<u>66.99</u>	
<u>TREML</u> <u>1</u>	<u>ENSG0000016191</u> <u>1</u>	<u>ILMN 169078</u> <u>3</u>	<u>100.00</u>	<u>100.00</u>	<u>100.00</u>	<u>100.00</u>	<u>100.00</u>	<u>100.00</u>	<u>100.00</u>	<u>97.84</u>	
<u>TREM2</u>	<u>ENSG0000009597</u> <u>0</u>	<u>ILMN 170124</u> <u>8</u>	<u>40.91</u>	<u>43.59</u>	<u>37.29</u>	<u>98.25</u>	<u>99.50</u>	<u>96.95</u>	<u>100.00</u>	<u>100.00</u>	
<u>TREML</u> 2	<u>ENSG0000011219</u> <u>5</u>	<u>ILMN 174086</u> <u>4</u>	<u>17.38</u>	<u>17.44</u>	<u>17.51</u>	<u>6.27</u>	<u>10.40</u>	<u>2.03</u>	<u>8.33</u>	<u>24.75</u>	
<u>TREML</u>	ENSG0000018805	<u>ILMN_220532</u>	6.15	4.62	7,91	2.26	2.97	1.52	2.27	15.13	Formatted: Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li
<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	0110		<u></u>		<u></u>				Formatted: Highlight
										* 11	Formatted: Font: Italic, Highlight
The nerge	ntage of complex w	vith detectable a	voraccior	of TPEN	1 family t	ransorints	in each c	f the even	ression datasets studie	d For the	Formatted: Highlight
	sinage of samples w		<u>xpression</u>		<u>r ianniy i</u>			<u>n the exp</u>	lession datasets studie	<u>u. Por uic</u>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Highlight
WG-DAS	SL dataset (a b) the	corresponding V	VG-DAS	L probe i	s indicate	d Only T	REML1 a	nd TREM	2 expression are detec	table above	Formatted: Highlight
		<u>concoponding</u>			<u>s marcute</u>					<u> </u>	Formatted: Font: Italic, Highlight
backgrou	nd in at least 50% o	of the Mayo WG	-DASL s	amples te	ested (a,b)), in at lea	st one tiss	sue; c: A o	detection threshold >-1	, for cqn	Formatted: Highlight
normalize who expr	ed expression levels ess > 0 FPKM, DFI	was used to de	termine p al prefron	ercent de	tection; d	: percent of G-DASL a	detection	was calcu ed probes	ilated as the proportion for the two <i>TREM</i> pse	n of subjects	Deleted: ¶ The percentage of samples with detectable expression of <i>TREM</i> family transcripts and their corresponding WG-DASL probes. Only <i>TREML1</i> and <i>TREM2</i> expression is detectable above background in at least 50% of the samples tested.¶
therefore they were not measured in the Mayo WG-DASL cohort. Expression levels were not available for the TREM pseudogenes in

the ROS/MAP dataset. Based on the Mayo RNAseq dataset, the percent detection of TREML3P and TREML5P in temporal cortex are

15% and 5% respectively.

Table S2. Analyses to assess the potential of introducing collider conditioning bias in the

- Formatted: Highlight

iı	near	regress	<u>ion mo</u>	del o	due t	to ad	just	ment	for o	diagno	sis.

_	_	_		TREML1			<u>TREM2</u>		
Dataset	Group	N	<u>beta</u>	<u>SE</u>	<u>p-value</u>	<u>beta</u>	<u>SE</u>	<u>p-value</u>	Formatted: Highlight
Mayo WG-DASL:	All (W/Dx) ^a	<u>380</u>	<u>0.088</u>	0.032	<u>6.28E-03</u>	0.090	0.045	<u>4.61E-0</u>	Formatted: Highlight
Temporal Cortex	All (Wo/Dx) ^b	380	0.083	0.033	<u>1.32E-02</u>	0.088	0.045	5.33E-0	Formatted: Highlight
Mayo Clinic		132	-0.030	0 108	7 82F-01	0.084	0 128	5 13F-0	Formatted: Highlight
RNAseq: Temporal	- <u></u>		<u></u>		=	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	= = = = = =		Formatted: Highlight
<u>Cortex</u>	<u>All (Wo/Dx)^b</u>	<u>132</u>	<u>-0.023</u>	<u>0.111</u> _	<u>8.40E-01</u>	<u>0.102</u>	<u>0.145</u>	<u>4.86E-0</u>	Formatted: Highlight
ROS/MAP RNAseq:	All (W/Dx) ^a	494	<u>0.089</u>	<u>0.114</u>	<u>4.36E-01</u>	<u>0.124</u>	<u>0.060</u>	3.77E-Q	Formatted: Highlight
DFPC		494	0 089	0 1 1 4	4 35F-01	0 1 2 5	0.060	3.8%1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1	Formatted: Highlight
		<u>+5+</u>	0.005	0.114				<u> </u>	Formatted: Highlight
.								$=$ $ \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{10}$	Formatted: Highlight
For each of the three	e datasets linea	r regres	sion analy	sis was ru	n in a [.] AD	and non-A	D	1 11 11 1	Formatted: Highlight
		10010	<u>Sion unur</u>	<u>515 (145 14</u>				$= \frac{10}{10} \frac{10}{11}$	Formatted: Highlight
combined, with diag	nosis included	as a co	variate; b:	Analysis of	of AD and r	non-AD co	mbined,	1111	Formatted: Highlight
									Formatted: Highlight
without adjustment	for diagnosis. 1	N = san	<u>nple size. S</u>	SE= standa	ard error. D	FPC = dor	<u>solateral</u>		Formatted: Highlight
									Formatted: Highlight
prefrontal cortex. Gi	iven that all exp	oression	n measures	were on a	<u>ı log2 scale.</u>	fold-chan	ige for the	1 - 1 	Formatted: Highlight
hata a secondaria da est	beta		Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Highlight						
beta coefficients = 2									Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript, Highlight

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Highlight

<u> Fable S3. As</u>	<u>sociation of the</u>	TREM l	ocus candid	ate regulat	<u>tory varian</u>
<u>TREML1, and</u>	d <u>TREM2 gene</u>	expression	on stratified	by RIN.	
<u>Gene</u> Symbol	RIN group	N	<u>beta</u>	<u>SE</u>	<u>p-value</u>
<u></u>	RIN < 6.5	188	0.087	0.042	0.043
TREML1		1-97	<u>0-084</u>	10-046	0 069
		100	0.110	0.062	0.003
TREM2		<u>168</u>	0.110	0.003	<u>0.084</u>
	<u>KIIV > 0.5</u>	<u>-192</u>	<u>-0.075</u>	<u>0.005</u>	- <u>0.250</u>
Data shown f	or Mayo WG-D	ASL tem	oral cortex	(AD+Non-	AD) datase
			Join Contex		<u>110 j dutuse</u>
stratified into	two groups rep	resenting	those with a	RIN below	v the media
with a RIN ab	<u>oove 6.5. N = sa</u>	<u>mple size</u>	<u>. SE= standa</u>	rd error. G	iven that al
were on a log	2 scale, fold-cha	ange for th	ne beta coeff	ficients = 2^{1}	beta

Table S4. Association of rs93573	47 with	<u>Braak stag</u>	<mark>e.</mark>	
Dataset	<u>N</u>	<u>beta</u>	<u>SE</u>	<u>p-value</u>
Mayo WG-DASL: Temporal Cortex	<u>399</u>	<u>-0.139</u>	<u>0.160</u>	<u>0.387</u>
ROS/MAP RNAseq: DFPC	<u>492</u>	<u>0.053</u>	<u>0.081</u>	<u>0.515</u>
The two largest cohorts were evalu	ated: Ma	ayo WG-D	ASL and	ROS/MAP
was tested for association with Bra	ak stage	using linea	ar regressi	ion under a
including age-at-death, sex and <u>AF</u>	<u>ОЕ £4 d</u>	<u>ose as cova</u>	ariates. In	this model
interpreted as the change in Preek	sooro as	posisted wi	th analy a	onv of the r
interpreted as the change III blaak	<u>score as</u>	Socialeu WI	ui cacii ci	<u>py of the l</u>
sample size. SE= standard error. D	FPC = d	orsolateral	prefronta	l cortex.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Highlight Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Bold, Highlight Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Bold

						18		
<u>Table S5. Association of rs9</u>	<u>)357347 v</u>	<u>vith cognit</u>	ion.			<	- Formatted: Font: 12	2 pt, Highlight
							Formatted: Highligh	nt
<u>Phenotype</u>	N	<u>beta</u>	<u>SE</u>	<u>p-value</u>				
Global cognitive decline	<u>470</u>	-0.007	0.007	<u>0.320</u>			Formatted: Highligh	nt
<u>Global cognition at last visit</u>	<u>493</u>	<u>-0.058</u>	<u>0.071</u>	0.418			Formatted: Highligh	nt
							- Formatted: Highligh	nt
Measures of cognition that w	ere availa	ble in the I	ROS/MAF	cohort we	ere tested for associati	ion	- Formatted: Font: No	ot Bold, Highlight
with rs9357347 using linear 1	regressior	n under an a	additive m	odel, inclu	ding age-at-death, sex	x and		
APOE E4 dose as covariates.	N = sam	<u>ole size. SE</u>	<u> = standar</u>	<u>d error. Z s</u>	scores of the cognitive	<u>e</u>	- Formatted: Font: No	ot Bold, Highlight
<u>scores were analyzed, thus th</u>	<u>lese beta c</u>	<u>coefficients</u>	can be in	terpreted as	s changes in z-score		- Formatted: Font: No	ot Bold, Highlight
associated with each come of	the mine	r allala					Estimate de Frank de	Det Net Deld
associated with each copy of	the mino						Formatted: Font: 12	2 pt, not bold

Supplementary Figures

Fig. S1. Spearman correlation plots for *TREML1* and *TREM2* brain expression levels measured by WG-DASL vs. RNAseq approaches.









Gene expression residuals obtained in R adjusted for covariates [*APOE* ε 4 dosage, age at death, diagnosis, sex, PCR plate, RIN, (RIN-RINmean)²] were plotted for each rs9357347 genotype. Each circle represents an individual gene expression residual; the horizontal line within the box is the median; the box represents the interquartile range (IQR); the whiskers represent the range of the data points within 1.5 × IQR below the 1st quartile and 1.5 × IQR above the 3rd quartile (anything outside of this range is called an outlier). The x-axis indicates the number of minor alleles. The minor (C) allele of rs9357347 is associated with increased brain expression of both *TREML1* and *TREM2*.







On this plot each variant is depicted as both, a circle denoting its IGAP stage 1 meta-analysis pvalue, and a diamond denoting its *TREML1* eQTL p-value. All variants at the *TREM* locus that either achieved a p-value ≤ 0.0015 in the IGAP stage1 meta-analysis or that had a *TREML1* pvalue < 0.05 in our eQTL analysis of temporal cortex gene expression measured by WG-DASL mircroarrays are shown, with p-values indicated by the scale on the left y-axis as $-\log_{10}(p-value)$. The putative regulatory variant, rs9357347, is represented by the purple circle/diamond. The colors of all other circles and diamonds correspond to the colors on the r² scale shown at the top right corner of the plot, and denote the LD of each variant with rs9357347. The values on the yaxis on the right side of the plot correspond to the recombination rates across this region as shown by the blue line. Variants that have Regulome scores <3 are shown directly below the plot. Gene locations across the targeted genomic region (*TREM* gene +/-100 kb: chr6:41016999-41354457) are shown below the plot relative to the variant positions according to the February 2009 human genome assembly (GRCh37hg19). The regional association plot was generated using LocusZoom (http://locuszoom.sph.umich.edu/locuszoom/).







On this plot each variant is depicted as both, a circle that denotes its IGAP stage 1 meta-analysis p-value, and an "X" denoting its *TREM2* eQTL p-value. All variants at the *TREM* locus that either achieved a p-value ≤ 0.0015 in the IGAP stage1 meta-analysis or that had a *TREM2* p-value < 0.05 in our eQTL analysis of temporal cortex gene expression measured by WG-DASL mircroarrays are shown, with p-values indicated by the scale on the left y-axis as $-\log_{10}(p-value)$. The putative regulatory variant, rs9357347, is represented by the purple circle/X. The color of all other circles and Xs correspond to the colors on the r² scale shown at the top right corner of the plot, and denote the LD of each variant with rs9357347. All other symbols are described in **Fig.**

S3.

Fig. S5, Box plots of gene expression residuals for *TREMLI*, and *TREM2*, in AD and nonAD subjects, for each of the three cohorts investigated.



Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Highlight
Formatted: Font: Bold, Highlight
Formatted: Font: Bold, Italic, Highlight
Formatted: Font: Bold, Highlight
Formatted: Font: Bold, Italic, Highlight
Formatted: Font: Bold, Highlight
Formatted: Highlight



--- **Formatted:** Space Before: 12 pt, Line spacing: Double



Subjects were binned according to RIN value and the proportion of subjects in each bin that met	* ><	<
the detection threshold was calculated. A and B: Expression detection percentage for each RIN		
bin for <u>TREMLI (A) and TREM2 (B) in the Mayo WG-DASL dataset. C and D: Expression</u>		
detection percentage for each RIN bin for TREMLI, (A) and TREM2 (B) in the Mayo Clinic		
RNAseq dataset. E and F: Expression detection percentage for each RIN bin for <i>TREMLI</i> (A)	- 1	
and TREM2 (B) in the ROS/MAP RNASseq dataset.	- 11	
	N	
	1	$\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$
		11

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Highlight
Formatted: Line spacing: Double

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Italic, Highlight
Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Highlight
Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Italic, Highlight
Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Highlight
Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Italic, Highlight
Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Highlight
Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Italic, Highlight
Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Highlight
Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Highlight
Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Italic, Highlight
Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Highlight
Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Italic, Highlight
Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Highlight
Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Highlight

		Percent Det	tection in Ce	erebellum	Percent Detection in Temporal Cortex			
Symbol	Probe ID	AD + non- AD	AD	nonAD	AD + non- AD	AD	Non-AD	
TREM1	ILMN_1688231	0	0	0	0.25	0	0.51	
TREML1	ILMN_1690783	100	100	100	100	100	100	
TREM2	ILMN_1701248	40.91	43.59	37.29	98.25	99.5	96.95	
TREML2	ILMN_1740864	17.38	17.44	17.51	6.27	10.4	2.03	
TREML4	ILMN_2205322	6.15	4.62	7.91	2.26	2.97	1.52	