1	What's a SNP between friends: the lineage of Clostridioides difficile R20291 can
2	effect research outcomes.
3	Jorge Monteford ¹ *, Terry W. Bilverstone ^{1,2} *, Patrick Ingle ^{1,2} , Sheryl Philip ^{1,3} , Sarah A.
4	Kuehne ^{1,4} , Nigel P. Minton ^{1,2} .
5	* These authors contributed equally.
6	
7	¹ Clostridia Research Group, BBSRC/EPSRC Synthetic Biology Research Centre (SBRC), School
8	of Life Sciences, Centre for Biomolecular Sciences, The University of Nottingham, Nottingham,
9	NG7 2RD, UK.
10	² NIHR Nottingham Biomedical Research Centre, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust
11	and the University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK.
12	Current Address:
13	³ Biocatalysts Limited, Unit 1, Cefn Coed, Parc Nantgarw, Cardiff, CF15 7QQ, UK,
14 15 16 17	⁴ Oral Microbiology Group, School of Dentistry and Institute of Microbiology and Infection, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, The University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B5 7EG, UK.
10	Corresponding aution. <u>Ingentinition@nottingnam.ac.uk</u>
19 20	Key Words
21	Clostridioides difficile R20291; motility; biofilm; toxin production; conjugation; genomic

- 22 variation
- 23

24 Abstract:

Clostridioides difficile R20291 is the most studied PCR-Ribotype 027 isolate. The two predominant lineages of this hypervirulent strain, however, exhibit substantive phenotypic differences and possess genomes that differ by a small number of nucleotide changes. It is important that the source of R20291 is taken into account in research outcomes.

29

30 Clostridioides difficile (formerly Clostridium difficile [1]) is the leading cause of hospital-31 associated diarrhoea in the developed world. Its prevalence in recent years has been 32 attributed to the emergence of hypervirulent strains, and in particular those belonging to 33 BI/NAP1/PCR ribotype 027 (RT 027) which elaborate high titres of Toxin A/B, produce 34 binary toxin and exhibit an increased propensity to form spores [2]. The first RT 027 strain 35 to have its genome sequenced was strain R20291 [3] responsible for a major outbreak in 36 2006 at Stoke Mandeville Hospital, UK. Consequently, R20291 has become one of the most 37 studied laboratory strains of C. difficile.

38 Full exploitation of clostridial genome sequence data has relied on the application of 39 forward and reverse genetics tools [4], most notably ClosTron technology based on intron 40 re-targeting [5]. Initial attempts to generate mutants in R20291, however, found that the effective transfer of the ClosTron plasmid was dependent on the R20291 stock used. 41 42 Transfer was reproducibly possible using CRG0825, a stock of R20291 obtained by 43 Nottingham's Clostridia Research Group (CRG) from the UK Anaerobe reference unit (ARU), Cardiff, UK. In comparison, transfer to a stock of R20291 (CRG2021) originating 44 45 from the Brendon Wren laboratory at The London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine 46 (LSHTM), was extremely ineffective. Consequently, the CRG0825 was taken forward in 47 reverse genetic studies using the ClosTron and as the basis for the development of allelic-48 exchange (AE) technology based on *pyrE* alleles [6]. As a result, CRG0825 and its $\Delta pyrE$ 49 derivative have been widely distributed to research laboratories wishing to study R20291.

The inefficient nature of CRG2021 as a conjugative recipient is not confined to the ClosTron plasmid but affects a range of different vectors which are transferred to CRG0825 at rates that are an order of magnitude higher (Fig.S1). To shed light on this phenomenon the genome sequences and the phenotypes of the two strains were compared. A third R20291 strain used by Novartis (CRG3661) was included for comparative purposes.

55 Genomic DNA from all three strains was subjected to Illumina paired-end sequencing and 56 the reads assembled and aligned with the reference genome sequence (Accession number: 57 FN545816). This analysis identified six single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) across all 58 three strains that deviate from the reference sequence, alongside thirteen insertions and 59 eleven deletions (Table 1). In addition to the mutations that were conserved across all 60 three strains, CRG0825 possessed three deletions and two SNPs that were not present in 61 the reference or CRG2021 sequence, whilst the CRG3661 possessed three unique SNPs 62 (Table 1). CRG2091 did not possess any unique mutations compared with the reference 63 genome sequence.

64 Flagella likely play an important role in the conjugation process. We had previously noted that CRG0825 carried a single, polar flagella [7]. A separate study suggested that 65 66 CRG2091 was peritrichously flagellated [8]. These differences were confirmed here using 67 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) and extended to establish that CRG3661 was also 68 peritrichously flagellated (Fig. 1c-e). Further analysis demonstrated that CRG0825 69 exhibited an approximate 50% reduction in swimming motility relative to the other two 70 strains (Fig. 1a). Moreover, consistent with its reduced motility, strain CRG0825 was also 71 found to show a greater propensity to form biofilm, as measured by a biomass formation 72 using crystal violet [9], than strains CRG2021 and CRG3661 (Fig. 1b).

73 Other studies have linked flagella-mediated motility with toxigenesis in *C. difficile* [10]. 74 Therein, inactivation of early-stage flagella genes led to increased toxin production 75 corresponding with enhanced *in vivo* virulence, whilst inactivation of late-stage flagella 76 genes had the opposite effect [11, 12]. Accordingly, we assessed the levels of toxin 77 production in the three strains using a commercial ELISA kit on 72h filter-sterilised

supernatants as described previously [13]. An approximately 3.5-fold increase in toxin
production was observed for the CRG0825 compared with the CRG2021 strain which
produced around 22% less combined Toxin A/B than CRG3661 (Fig. 2a).

Having established that genetic differences between the strains had affected the important virulence determinants of motility and toxin production, we tested to see whether the capacity to form endospores had been altered as spores represent a critical attribute of disease transmission. Under the conditions tested it was established that the final titre of spores obtained from 96h onwards was the same regardless of the strain (Fig. 2b). The first appearance of spores in cultures of CRG0825, however, was significantly delayed by some 24h compared to the other two strains (Fig. 2b).

Finally, the growth performance of each strain was compared. On complex medium, CRG0825 grew to a lower optical density (OD) during the exponential and stationary growth phases than the CRG3661 or CRG2021 strains, where the greatest disparity was observed between the CRG0825 and CRG3661 (Fig S2a). Intriguingly, the observed difference was reversed when the strains were cultured on minimal medium containing either glucose, fructose or mannitol (1% w/v) as the primary carbohydrate source (Fig. S2b-d).

95 The net result of our analysis was that the two R2091 strain CRG0825 and CRG2021 exhibit 96 significant phenotypic differences. Aside from its greater efficiency as a recipient in 97 conjugations with *E. coli* donor strains, CRG0825 was less motile and exhibited a greater 98 propensity to form biofilm, as measured by a standard crystal violet assay. These 99 differences may represent a consequence of its apparent possession of a single, polar 100 flagella as opposed to the peritrichous flagella of CRG2021, as visualised under TEM. 101 CRG0825 was also shown to produce higher levels of toxins, delayed sporulation and 102 different growth characteristics to CRG2021 on rich and minimal media. The cause of 103 these phenotypic differences are undoubtedly the SNPs and Indels present in CRG0825. 104 A number of pivotal questions emerge from these observations.

105 What are the specific causes of the observed changes in phenotype? Many of the 106 changes appear linked to flagella and motility, yet none of the five mutations in CRG0825 107 reside directly within, or flank any known flagella genes, and are most likely impinging on 108 the regulation of these processes. Moreover, regulation of flagella, toxin production and 109 virulence are known to be linked in *C. difficile* [10-12]. Three of the four non-synonymous 110 SNPs present in CRG0825 do indeed affect apparent regulatory genes, namely vncR, TCS 111 HK and the anti-sigma factor *rsbW*. Two of the three non-synonymous changes in 112 CRG3661 are also in regulatory genes, *codY* and a *gntR* family regulator. However, to pin down exactly which SNP(s) or Indels, are responsible for the observed phenotypic 113 114 differences between CRG0825 and CRG2021, for instance, would require a considerable 115 effort in which all combinations of mutation would need to be generated in allele 116 replacement experiments during which the generation of additional changes would need 117 to be excluded.

118 How did these changes arise? Following their discovery, correspondence with Val Hall 119 at the ARU revealed that at the time R20291 was sent to Nottingham, it was routine ARU 120 practice to "keep a small number of isolates that are used as internal lab controls on agar 121 plates, sub-culturing weekly plate-to-plate and retrieving fresh cultures from the original 122 vial periodically". The sequence presented here is from Nottingham's -80° C, Master seed 123 bank (red tube) prepared immediately on receipt of the strain in 2006. We can conclude, 124 that during the repeated subculture of the R20291 stock at the ARU in 2006, the 5 125 described mutations arose. This practice of maintaining a stock plate no longer takes place 126 at the ARU. The consequences of subculturing have previously been noted in the case of 127 the C. difficile strain 630, where deliberate, repeated subculture led to the emergence of 128 two very different cell lines (630*Aerm* and 630E) carrying distinct SNPs, inversions and 129 deletions and which exhibited differences in motility, spore formation and toxin production, 130 as well as overall virulence in the hamster model of infection [14].

What are the lessons to be learnt? The take home message of this investigation is that stock cultures need to be appropriately maintained. At Nottingham, a traffic light

system is used to store bacterial cultures. Upon receipt of cultures, aliquots of cells (never single colonies) are used to inoculate an overnight which following addition of 10% glycerol is allocated to three 2 ml screwed capped tubes with a red, amber and green coloured cap insert and stored at -80° C. Red tubes remain untouched and are stored in a separate freezer. Green tubes represent the working stock which may be restocked from the amber tube where necessary.

The R20291 strain maintained at LSHTM has a genome sequence consistent with the sequence held at GenBank (Accession number: FN545816). The differences listed in Table 1 are common to all strains, and therefore likely represent errors in the original sequence. The strain CRG3661 began its journey at LSHTM and found its way to Novartis via the Trevor Lawley laboratory at the Sanger Institute, and thence to Nottingham. It is not clear when its three mutations arose. The Nottingham CRG0825 apparently arose as a result of repeated subculture at the ARU.

What is the way forward? It is clearly advisable that the genome sequences of any stock culture of any bacterial strain stored in a laboratory should be confirmed, regardless of source, prior to use. This principal should equally apply to any mutant derivative of a strain made by whatever means, to ensure that additional SNPs/ Indels have not arisen.

150 On the specific subject of studies dealing with R20291, it is important that experimentalists 151 are aware of the differences between the strain lineages discussed here, and that the 152 strain used is made clear in any meeting presentation or published article. CRG0825 is a 153 widely distributed strain, owing to its superior conjugative efficiencies and its usage in the 154 development of AE mutagenesis technologies [6]. The absence of polymorphisms specific 155 to CRG2021, however, suggests that this strain is the closest ancestor of the original 156 R20291 clinical isolate. Although the lack of a characterised uracil auxotroph, in addition 157 to difficulties concerning conjugal transfer, formally reduced its attractiveness compared 158 to CRG0825, recent advances that improve gene transfer frequencies [15, 16] and the 159 advent of multiple CRISPR-Cas methodologies for use in C. difficile research [17-20], have 160 improved the tractability of CRG2021 to genetic studies. For those researchers who wish

- to use AE technologies based on *pyrE* [6], the requisite auxotrophic uracil mutant of
 CRG2021 is available from <u>www.plasmidvectors.com</u>.
- 163

164 **References**

- Lawson P.A., Citron D.M., Tyrrell K.L., Finegold S.M. Reclassification of *Clostridium difficile* as *Clostridioides difficile* (Hall and O'Toole 1935) Prevot 1938. *Anaerobe.* 2016;40: 95-99.
- Cartman S.T., Heap J.T., Kuehne S.A., Cockayne A., Minton N.P. The emergence of
 'hypervirulence' in *Clostridium difficile*. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol*. 2010;**300(6)**:387-95.
- Stabler R.A., He M., Dawson L. et al. Comparative genome and phenotypic analysis
 of *Clostridium difficile* 027 strains provides insight into the evolution of a hypervirulent
 bacterium. *Genome Biol.* 2009;**10(9):**R102
- Minton N.P., Ehsaan M., Humphreys C.M., Little G.T., Baker J., Henstra A.M., Liew F.,
 Kelly M.L., Sheng L., Schwarz K., Zhang Y. A roadmap for gene system development
 in *Clostridium. Anaerobe*. 2016;**41:**104-112.
- Heap J.T., Kuehne S.A., Ehsaan M., Cartman S.T., Cooksley C.M., Scott J.C., Minton
 N.P. *J. Microbiol. Methods*. The ClosTron: Mutagenesis in *Clostridium* refined and
 streamlined. 2010;80(1):49-55.
- Ng Y.K., Ehsaan M., Philip S., Collery M.M., Janoir C., Collignon A., Cartman S.T.,
 Minton N.P. Expanding the repertoire of gene tools for precise manipulation of the
 Clostridium difficile genome: Allelic Exchange using *pyrE* alleles. *PLoS ONE*.
 2013;8(2):e56051.
- Baban S.T., Kuehne S.A., Barketi-Klai A., Cartman S.T., Kelly M.L., Hardie K.R.,
 Kansau I., Collignon A., Minton N.P. The role of flagella in *Clostridium difficile* pathogenesis: comparison between a non-epidemic and an epidemic strain. *PLoS One*.
 2013;8(9):e73026.

- Martin M.J., Clare S., Goulding D., Faulds-Pain A., Barquist L., Browne H.P., Pettit L.,
 Dougan G., Lawley T.D., Wren B.W. The *agr* locus regulates virulence and colonization
 genes in *Clostridium difficile* 027. *J. Bacteriol.* 2013;**195(16)**:3672-81.
- Dapa T., Leuzzi R., Ng Y.K., Baban S.T., Adamo R., Kuehne S.A., Scarselli M., Minton
 N.P., Serruto D., Unnikrishnan M. Multiple factors modulate biofilm formation by the
 anaerobic pathogen *Clostridium difficile*. *J Bacteriol*. 2013;**195(3)**:545-55.
- 10. Aubry A., Hussack G., Chen W., KuoLee R., Twine S.M., Fulton K.M., Foote S., Carrillo
 C.D., Tanha J., Logan S.M. Modulation of toxin production by the flagellar regulon in *Clostridium difficile*. *Infect. Immun.* 2012;**80(10)**:3521-3532.
- 196 11. Dingle T.C., Mulvey G.L., Armstrong G.D. Mutagenic analysis of the *Clostridium difficile*197 flagellar proteins, FliC and FliD, and their contribution to virulence in hamsters. *Infect*198 *Immun*. 2011;**79(10)**:4061-4067.
- 12. Anjuwon-Foster B.R., Tamayo R. A genetic switch controls the production of flagella
 and toxins in *Clostridium difficile*. *PLoS Genet.* 2017;**13(3)**:e1006701-e1006701.
- 201 13. Bilverstone T.W., Kinsmore N.L., Minton N.P., Kuehne S.A. Development of
 202 *Clostridium difficile* R20291ΔPaLoc model strains and in vitro methodologies reveals
 203 CdtR is required for the production of CDT to cytotoxic levels. *Anaerobe.* 2017;**44:**51 204 54.
- 205 14. Collery M.M., Kuehne S.A., McBride S.M., Kelly M.L., Monot M., Cockayne A., Dupuy
 206 B., Minton, N.P. What's a SNP between friends: The influence of single nucleotide
 207 polymorphisms on virulence and phenotypes of *Clostridium difficile* strain 630 and
 208 derivatives. *Virulence* 2017;**8(6)**:767-781.
- 209 15. Kirk J.A., Fagan R.P. Heat shock increases conjugation efficiency in Clostridium
 210 difficile. *Anaerobe*, 2016;**42:**1-5.
- 16. Woods C., Humphreys C.M., Rodrigues R.M., Ingle P., Rowe P., Henstra A.M., Köpke
 M., Simpson S.D., Winzer K., Minton N.P. A novel conjugal donor strain for improved
 DNA transfer into *Clostridium* spp. *Anaerobe*. 2019;**59**:184-191.

- 17. McAllister K.N., Bouillaut L., Kahn J.N., Self W.T., Sorg J.A. Using CRISPR-Cas9mediated genome editing to generate *C. difficile* mutants defective in selenoproteins
 synthesis. *Sci. Rep.* 2017;**7(1)**:14672.
- 18. Hong W., Zhang J., Cui G., Wang L., Wang Y. Multiplexed CRISPR-Cpf1-Mediated
 Genome Editing in *Clostridium difficile* toward the Understanding of Pathogenesis of *C. difficile* Infection. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 2018;**7(6)**:1588-1600.
- Maikova A., Kreis V., Boutserin A., Severinov K., Soutourina O. Using an Endogenous
 CRISPR-Cas System for Genome Editing in the Human Pathogen *Clostridium difficile. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2019;**85(20):**e01416-19.
- 223 20. Cañadas IC, Groothuis D, Zygouropoulou M, Rodrigues R, Minton NP. RiboCas: A
 224 Universal CRISPR-Based Editing Tool for *Clostridium*. *ACS Synth. Biol.*225 2019;8(6):1379-1390.

226

227 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the award of a PhD scholarship to JM by CONACYT (Consejo nacional de ciencia y tecnologia, Mexico), through the UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council [grant number BB/J014508/1] and Medical Research Council [grant number G090085] and by the Swiss National Science Foundation [Sinergia grant CRSII5_180317]. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the funders.

234

235 Author contributions

236 Conceived the experiments: NPM. Performed the experiments: JM, TWB and PI.

237 Undertook genome sequence determination and analysis: SP, TWB and NPM. Analysed

238 the data: JM, TWB, PI, SAK and NPM. Wrote the paper: TWB and NPM. All authors read

and commented on the final manuscript.

240 **Conflicts of interest**

241 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

242

Table 1: Genomic mutations compared with the reference genome sequence forR02921.

The region encompassing the mutation was aligned with multiple *C. difficile* genome sequences using NCBI Blastn. *Insertion here results in a frameshift mutation to a fulllength pseudogene encoding an 87 AA protein. This gene without mutation encodes only 8 AAs. TCS-HC: Two-component system histidine kinase.

249

250 Figure Legends

251 Fig. 1: Motility, biofilm formation and Transmission electron microscopy of 252 R20291 derivatives. a) The three derivatives of C. difficile R20291 were assessed for 253 their motility characteristics using a swarming motility assay. Motility is represented by 254 the distance travelled from the initial inoculum to the outermost edge of the ensuing halo 255 following 48h incubation. b) The three R20291 derivatives were assessed for their 256 propensity to form biofilms by means of a biofilm assay. Biofilm production is represented 257 by the enumeration of crystal violet dye extracted from 120h broth cultures. Data 258 represent the mean ±SD of three independent experiments. Statistical significance 259 according to One-way ANOVA followed by the Dunnet's multiple comparison test. 260 P=**<0.01; P-***<0.001. Transmission electron microscopy analysis of c) CRG0825; d) 261 CRG2091; e) CRG3661.

262

Fig. 2: Toxin and sporulation profiles of R20291 derivatives. The three derivatives of R20291 were assessed for **a**) Their ability to produce and secrete toxin through a combined ELISA for TcdA and TcdB on sterile-filtered 72h supernatants **b**) Their ability to

form heat-resistant endospores (heat-resistant colony forming units HR-CFU/mL) across six time-points between 0 and 120h. Data represent the mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. Statistical significance according to One-way ANOVA followed by the Dunnet's multiple comparison test (P=*<0.05; ****<0.0001).



c)









Position	Gene	Locus Tag	Туре	Reference ID	Mutated ID	AA substitution
[1] Common	to all three strains					
132924	met-tRNA		Insertion	-	А	
132939	met-tRNA		SNP	G	Т	
132955	met-tRNA		SNP	С	A	
132958-59	met-tRNA		SNP	TT	CG	
143463	Intergenic		Insertion	-	А	
206399	Intergenic		Insertion	-	А	
581481	Intergenic		Insertion	-	А	
581488	Intergenic		Insertion	-	А	
581495	Intergenic		Insertion	-	А	
1564432	Intergenic		Deletion	А	-	
1568676	Ruberythrin	CDR20291_1323	SNP	С	А	Gln138Lys
1578167	Intergenic		Deletion	Т	-	-
1578203	Intergenic		Insertion	-	А	
1592813	Intergenic		SNP	А	Т	
1864417	Pseudogene	CDR20291_1576	Insertion	-	Т	Ser7Frameshift*
1899596	Intergenic		Deletion	А	-	
2235738	Membrane protein	CDR20291 1913	Deletion	Т	-	Val83Frameshift
2262060	Intergenic	_	Insertion	-	А	
2264190	Intergenic		Deletion	Т	-	
2298111	Intergenic		Insertion	-	Т	
2361948	Intergenic		SNP	С	А	
2361957	Intergenic		Insertion	-	А	
2367942	Intergenic		Insertion	-	Т	
2578157	Intergenic		Deletion	Т	-	
2674744	Intergenic		Deletion	Т	-	
2680787	Intergenic		Insertion	-	Т	
2772179	Pseudogene**	CDR20291 2368	Deletion	Т	-	
3077986	Intergenic	_	Deletion	А	-	
3162098	Intergenic		Deletion	Т	-	
3361915	Intergenic		Deletion	А	-	
[2] Specific t	o CRG0825					
9694	rsbW	CDR20291 3551	SNP	G	Т	Gly82Val
358260	rbsK	CDR20291 0302	Deletion	А	-	Met57Stop
2077305	Intergenic	(CDR20291 1777 to CDR20291 1778)	Deletion	С	-	·
2120669	vncR	CDR20291 1806	SNP	А	G	Asp202Gly
2881467	TCS-HK***	CDR20291_2456	Deletion	Т	-	Leu434Stop
[3] Specific t	o CRG3661	—				·
1340128	codY	CDR20291_1115	SNP	Т	А	Try146Asn
3292465	gntR regulator	CDR20291_2781	SNP	Т	С	Ile58Met
3472928	Intergenic	(CDR20291_2929 to CDR20291_2928)	SNP	G	А	

Table 1: Genomic mutations of the three R20291 stocks compared with the reference genome sequence.

The region encompassing the mutation was aligned with multiple *C. difficile* genome sequences using NCBI Blastn. *Insertion here results in a frameshift mutation to a full-length pseudogene encoding an 87 AA protein. This gene without mutation encodes only 6 AAs. **Putative competence membrane protein ***TCS-HC: Two-component system histidine kinase. The gene resides immediately downstream of an adjacent gene (CDR20291_2457) encoding a putative response regulator.

1	What's a SNP between friends: the lineage of <i>Clostridioides difficile</i> R20291 can
2	effect research outcomes.
3	
4	Jorge Monteford ¹ *, Terry W. Bilverstone ^{1,2} *, Patrick Ingle ^{1,2} , Sheryl Philip ^{1,3} , Sarah A.
5	Kuehne ^{1,4} , Nigel P. Minton ^{1,2} .
6	* These authors contributed equally.
7	
8	¹ Clostridia Research Group, BBSRC/EPSRC Synthetic Biology Research Centre (SBRC), School
9	of Life Sciences, Centre for Biomolecular Sciences, The University of Nottingham, Nottingham,
10	NG7 2RD, UK.
11	² NIHR Nottingham Biomedical Research Centre, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust
12	and the University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK.
13	
14	Corresponding author: nigel.minton@nottingham.ac.uk
15	
16	Current Address:
17	³ Biocatalysts Limited, Unit 1, Cefn Coed, Parc Nantgarw, Cardiff, CF15 700, UK.
18	⁴ Oral Microbiology Group, School of Dentistry and Institute of Microbiology and Infection,
19	College of Medical and Dental Sciences, The University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B5 7EG,
20	UK.

21 Supplementary material

22 Table S1: C. difficile strains used in this study

StrainDescriptionCRG0825R20291 sent to Nottingham by Val Hall in 2006 from the
Anaerobe Reference Unit (ARU), Cardiff, UK.CRG2021R20291 sent to Nottingham by Lisa Dawson in 2010 from the
laboratory of Brendan Wren at the London School of Hygiene
and Tropical Medicine (LSHTM), UK. Originally obtained from
the ARU, Cardiff, UK.CRG3661R20291 sent to Nottingham by Meera Unnikrishnan in 2013
from Novartis, Sienna, Italy. Sent to Novartis by Trevor
Lawley at the Sanger Institute, Cambridge, UK. Originally
obtained from the Brendan Wren laboratory at LSHTM, London

UK.

CRG1375 R20291 (CRG0825) *spo0A* ClosTron mutant [1].

23

24 Experimental

25 Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions

Strains were routinely cultured anaerobically at 37 °C in an anaerobic MACS1000 workstation (Don Whitely, Yorkshire, UK) in BHIS (Brain Heart Infusion supplemented with yeast extract [5 mg.ml-1] and L-cysteine [0.1% w/v]) medium supplemented with dcycloserine (250 µg.ml-1), cefoxitin (8 µg.ml-1) and thiamphenicol (15 µg.ml-1) or Em
(10 µg.ml-1) where appropriate.

31

32 Comparative conjugations

33 Conjugations of shuttle vectors into C. difficile R20291 were performed as described in 34 [2]. Briefly, E. coli CA434 donor strains harbouring either pMTL82151, pMTL83151 or 35 pMTL84151 were grown overnight in LB supplemented with chloramphenicol and 36 kanamycin. From which, aliquots (1ml) were pelleted, washed in PBS and resuspended in 37 200 µl of C. difficile R20291 cultures grown anaerobically overnight in BHIS broth. The 38 resulting conjugal mixtures were spotted onto BHIS plates lacking antibiotics and 39 incubated anaerobically for 24h. Subsequent growth was resuspended in PBS (500µl) and spread onto BHIS plates supplemented with d-cycloserine and cefoxitin, both with and 40 without thiamphenicol. After 72h, Thiamphenicol (Tm) resistant (^R) CFU.ml⁻¹ and total *C*. 41 42 *difficile* CFU.ml⁻¹ values were determined from the subsequent growth of *C. difficile* R20291 43 strains in the presence (Tm^R) or absence (total) of thiamphenicol. Conjugation efficiency 44 was calculated as the Tm^R CFU.ml⁻¹ divided by total *C. difficile* CFU.ml⁻¹.

45 24h growth curve

46 The growth characteristics of *C. difficile* R02921 was assessed by manual growth curve. 47 Therein, colonies of C. difficile R20291 were subcultured into fresh BHIS broth in an 48 Anaerobic Work Station (Don Whitley, UK), at 37°C with an anaerobic atmosphere comprising 80% N_2 , 10% H_2 and 10% CO_2 . The resultant cultures were then diluted 1/100 49 50 in fresh BHIS and grown to an optical density value (OD_{600nm}) of 0.2-0.5. This generated 51 replicates of each strain with similar starting OD values for downstream growth 52 assessment. Once target OD values had been reached, the cultures were diluted 1/100 in fresh medium incubated for 24h. 1ml of sample was taken for each replicate at hourly 53 intervals which was then assessed for its optical density using a Novaspec II 54 55 spectrophotometer (Geminibv, Netherlands).

57 Motility assay

The motility of *C. difficile* R20291 derivatives was assessed by swimming motility assays as previously described [3]. Therein, single colonies of R20291 were isolated using a toothpick and stabbed onto the centre of semi-solid BHIS plate containing 0.3% (w/v) agar. Following 48h incubation as described above, the diameter of the ensuing halo was measured. Motility is represented as the distance between the centremost and outermost points of detected colonisation (cm).

64

65 Crystal Violet, Biofilm Assay

The assay was undertaken essentially as described by Dapa and co-workers [4]. A starter 66 67 culture of *C. difficile* was prepared by inoculating fresh BHIs broth containing 0.1M glucose 68 with an overnight culture of the desired strain in a 1:100 dilution. A 1ml aliquot of this 69 culture added to each well of a 24-well Tissue culture plate (Costar, USA) and incubated 70 anaerobically for 120h. Plates were pre-reduced in the anaerobic cabinet for 48h prior to 71 use. To avoid liquid evaporation, each plate was wrapped in parafilm. Following 72 incubation, wells were washed with PBS and the plate allowed to dry for 10m. The biofilm 73 was stained with 1ml of filter-sterilised 0.2% (w/v) crystal violet solution per well and 74 incubated for 30m at 37°C under anaerobic conditions. The staining solution was removed 75 and the wells were washed twice with PBS. The plate was removed from the anaerobic 76 cabinet and 1ml methanol was added to the wells for the removal of the dye from the 77 biofilm and it was incubated for 30m at room temperature. The methanol extracted dye 78 was diluted 1:1, 1:10 and 1:100 and the absorbance A570 was measured with Ultrospec 79 500 pro spectrophotometer.

80

81 Sporulation assay

Cultures were generated for each strain with similar staring OD values as described for the 24h growth curve. For the sporulation assay, cultures were incubated for a 120h period. Samples were taken at 24h intervals which were heated at 65°C for 30m in order to eradicate vegetative cells and diluted 1×10^{-1} - 1×10^{-8} before plating onto BHIS supplemented with 0.1% taurocholate germinant. Spores were then enumerated for each R20291 derivative alongside a ClosTron insertional mutant for the master regulator of sporulation *spo0A* [1].

89

90 Detection of combined TcdA and TcdB

91 Combined TcdA and TcdB was detected as previously described [5]. Cultures of each strain 92 were collected after 72h, the OD measured, and normalised to the lowest OD value. 93 Normalised samples were centrifuged and the supernatant passed through a 0.22µm filter and frozen at -20°C until required (<1 week). Thawed samples were diluted 1X10¹ – 1X10⁸ 94 95 in sterile PBS and processed for ELISA quantification of total TcdA and TcdB using a C. 96 DIFFICILE Tox A/B II detections kit (TechLab, USA) according to the manufacturer's 97 instructions. Absorbance values were converted into toxin concentration by determining 98 the R2 value of the assay's standard curve by running a range of defined combined TcdA 99 and TcdB toxin standards from 0-125ng/ml (The Native Antigen Company).

100

101 Genome Sequencing

102 Chromosomal DNA of each strain was prepared and subjected to Illumina paired-end 103 sequencing by DeepSeq (University of Nottingham) using the MiSeq v3 600 platform. 104 Paired reads were trimmed, before mapping the trimmed reads to the reference genome 105 sequence for R20291 (Accession number: FN545816) using the quality-based variant 106 detection workflow from CLC Genomics Workbench (Qiagen, Germantown, USA). The 107 software was then used to identify single nucleotide variations (SNVs), insertions and 108 deletions compared with the reference genome sequence. Sequencing reads were deposited to the NCBI Sequencing Reads Archive with the Bioproject accession
PRJNA689976 and the following individual accession numbers: CRG2021 (SRR13366486);
CRG0825 (SRR13366485); CRG03661 (SRR13366484).

112

113 Supplementary Figures

114



115

Fig S1: Comparative conjugation efficiencies of plasmid transfer from E. coli 116 117 CA434 into C. difficile R20291 stocks CRG0825 and CRG2021. Conjugations from 118 E. coli CA434 strains harbouring the indicated shuttle vectors, differing only in the Gram-119 positive replicon present, into C. difficile R20291 CRG2021 (grey bars) and CRG0825 120 (black bars) were performed as indicated in Materials and Methods. Conjugation efficiency 121 was calculated as thiamphenicol resistant CFU.ml⁻¹ divided by the total recipient *C. difficile* R20291 CFU.ml⁻¹. Data represent the mean ±SD of three independent experiments. 122 Statistical significance was determined using multiple unpaired t-tests. P=****<0.0001; 123 124 ns= not significant.





131 of R20291. R02921 strains were grown for 24h in a) BHIS broth; b) CDMM 1% (w/v)

132 glucose; c) CDMM 1% fructose; d) CDMM 1% mannitol. Data points indicate the mean

- 133 ±SD of three independent experiments.

```
136 References
```

Heap J.T., Kuehne S.A., Ehsaan M., Cartman S.T., Cooksley C.M., Scott J.C., Minton
 N.P. *J Microbiol Methods*. The ClosTron: Mutagenesis in *Clostridium* refined and
 streamlined. 2010;**80(1)**:49-55.

- Woods C., Humphreys C.M., Rodrigues R.M., Ingle P., Rowe P., Henstra A.M., Köpke
 M., Simpson S.D., Winzer K., Minton N.P. A novel conjugal donor strain for improved
 DNA transfer into *Clostridium* spp. *Anaerobe*. 2019;**59**:184-191.
- Baban S.T., Kuehne S.A., Barketi-Klai A., Cartman S.T., Kelly M.L., Hardie K.R.,
 Kansau I., Collignon A., Minton N.P. The role of flagella in *Clostridium difficile* pathogenesis: comparison between a non-epidemic and an epidemic strain. *PLoS One*.
 2013;8(9):e73026.
- Dapa T., Leuzzi R., Ng Y.K., Baban S.T., Adamo R., Kuehne S.A., Scarselli M., Minton
 N.P., Serruto D., Unnikrishnan M. Multiple factors modulate biofilm formation by the
 anaerobic pathogen *Clostridium difficile*. *J Bacteriol*. 2013;**195(3)**:545-55.
- Bilverstone T.W., Kinsmore N.L., Minton N.P., Kuehne S.A. Development of *Clostridium difficile* R20291ΔPaLoc model strains and in vitro methodologies reveals
 CdtR is required for the production of CDT to cytotoxic levels. *Anaerobe.* 2017;**44:**51 54.