

1 **Work engagement among nurses in Malta: associations with psychosocial working**
2 **conditions**

3

4 **Abstract**

5 Engagement has been associated with several benefits in nursing, including work
6 performance and retention. The Job Demands and Resources (JD-R) model proposes that
7 workplace psychosocial resource availability may be positively associated with work
8 engagement through a motivational process. Conversely, job demands may inhibit work
9 engagement through a health impairment process. This study aimed to determine the
10 strength and direction of relations between a set of job resources (manager support, peer
11 support and workplace relationships), job demands, and work engagement in a sample of
12 nurses in Malta. A cross-sectional survey was distributed to nurses in two medical facilities
13 ($N = 270$). Hierarchical multiple linear regression was used to identify associations between
14 psychosocial workplace factors and engagement. The study provided support for the JD-R,
15 with associations identified between greater engagement and lower levels of work demands
16 as well as greater management support. In view of the many benefits linked with
17 engagement in nurses, fostering better psychosocial work conditions within medical
18 facilities may be beneficial.

19 **Keywords:** engagement; nurse; demands; support; nursing management

20

21 **1. Introduction**

22 Several positive outcomes have been associated with engagement in nurses. These includes
23 better levels of job performance (Keyko et al., 2016; Peng & Tseng, 2019), better quality of
24 care, improved job satisfaction, decreased intent to leave nursing (Keyko et al., 2016),
25 reduced hospital mortality rates and increased financial profitability for healthcare
26 organisations (Bargagliotti, 2012). Despite these evident benefits, disagreement remains
27 regarding engagement's definition and antecedents.

28 Engagement first became known through the writings of Kahn (1990), as the harnessing of
29 workers to their responsibilities. Kahn distinguished between individuals investing
30 themselves physically, emotionally and cognitively in the performance of their tasks. Since
31 these early writings, two major approaches to engagement have emerged. The first
32 approach views engagement and burnout as opposite poles of the same continuum
33 (Maslach & Leiter, 2008). Engagement is viewed as a positive experience, characterised by
34 three dimensions: energy, involvement, and efficacy, which are the opposites of the three
35 dimensions of burnout; exhaustion, cynicism, and inefficacy, respectively. Consequently,
36 followers of this approach studied engagement by means of tools designed to assess
37 burnout, such as the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) (Maslach, Jackson, & Leiter, 1996),
38 where low levels of burnout indicated high levels of engagement (Maslach & Leiter, 2008).
39 The second approach views engagement as a concept that is independent from burnout,
40 although negatively related to it (Bakker et al., 2008). Rather, engagement is considered a
41 positive affective and motivational occupational state (Bakker et al., 2008) that involves high
42 levels of vigour, dedication and absorption (Schaufeli, Bakker, & Salanova, 2006). Vigour
43 refers to high levels of energy, effort and mental resilience when working. Dedication
44 involves viewing work enthusiastically, and as challenging and as meaningful. Absorption
45 refers to being engrossed in one's work (Bakker et al., 2008). Researchers who aim to

46 measure engagement in this manner most often make use of a version of the Utrecht Work
47 Engagement Scale (Schaufeli & Bakker, 2003). A systematic review of engagement studies
48 that focused on nurses found the vast majority of studies used this second definition of
49 engagement and employed a version of the Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (Keyko et al.,
50 2016).

51 In view of the evident benefits of engagement, several authors have studied the predictors
52 of this state. Of those studies that focus on nurses, many have studied factors consistent
53 with elements of the Job Demands and Resources Model (JD-R) (Demerouti et al., 2001).
54 The model assumes that the factors that are associated with the experience of stress can be
55 classified into two categories: job demands and resources (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). Job
56 demands are job facets that require sustained physical and/or psychological effort. Job
57 resources are those aspects that aid in achieving goals, reduce demands or stimulate
58 growth. The model also assumes that two different processes influence the development of
59 job strain and motivation. Excessive job demands reduce workers' mental and physical
60 resources leading to exhaustion and health issues. Conversely, job resources can motivate,
61 resulting in increased work engagement and performance. The model also assumes that
62 resources can buffer the effect of demands on job strain, whilst resources particularly
63 impact upon motivation and engagement when demands are high (Bakker & Demerouti,
64 2007).

65 Nurses must contend with various types of job demands. These may include excessive
66 workloads, time demands, such as dealing with many tasks within a limited amount of time,
67 physical demands such as aiding immobile patients, cognitive demands such as complex
68 tasks which require nurses to analyse information and draw conclusions, and emotional

69 demands including coping with death and disease as well as dealing with difficult patients
70 and relatives. In terms of demands in samples of nurses, Kunie et al. (2017) linked higher
71 levels of demands with poorer levels of job engagement. This overall association between
72 demands and engagement was confirmed by van Mol et al. (2018), who also highlighted
73 that emotional demands, but not cognitive or physical demands were negatively associated
74 with engagement in nurses. Cho, Laschinger and Wong (2006) and Fiabane et al. (2013)
75 found that lower levels of workload were also associated with job engagement (unlike the
76 former two studies, both conceptualised engagement as the opposite of burnout). However,
77 not all studies with nurses have confirmed this association. Lewis and Cunningham (2006),
78 for example, did not identify a link between engagement and workload.

79 In terms of resources, various have featured in the nursing literature. Amongst the most
80 frequently researched is social support, which refers to support provided by leaders and co-
81 workers, such as help during difficult episodes or supportive feedback on one's work. Social
82 support has been associated with improved engagement (Brunetto et al., 2013; García-
83 Sierra, Fernández-Castro, & Martínez-Zaragoza, 2016; Kunie et al., 2017; Simpson, 2009),
84 although others have provided contradicting findings (Fiabane et al., 2013; Lewis &
85 Cunningham, 2016). Othman and Nasurdin (2013) reported that whilst supervisor support
86 was positively related to work engagement, co-workers support was not. Warshawsky,
87 Havens, and Knafl (2012) determined that interpersonal relationships were predictive of
88 nurse managers' work engagement. Work control (or autonomy) has also been associated
89 with improved engagement (Cho, Laschinger, & Wong, 2006; Kunie et al., 2017; Lewis &
90 Cunningham, 2006), however others have failed to identify such an association (Simpson,
91 2009; van Mol et al., 2018).

92 Potential outcomes of the interplay between demands and resources have also been
93 associated with engagement. Good levels of mental health and job satisfaction have been
94 linked with engagement (Fiabane et al., 2013), whilst role stress has been linked with lower
95 levels of vigour and dedication (Garrosa et al., 2011).

96 Whilst it is evident that psychosocial working conditions may be associated with nurses'
97 engagement, there remains a lack of clarity regarding the aetiology of this state.

98 Furthermore, a study of the correlates of engagement in nurses in Malta has not been
99 previously conducted. The JD-R model has proved to be a valuable framework to identify
100 psychosocial factors likely to influence engagement and to explain associations. The JD-R
101 thus underpins the current study.

102

103 **2. Aims**

104 The study aimed to determine the associations between work engagement and psychosocial
105 factors in ward-based nurses working in the care of older adults. In line with the JD-R model,
106 the study had the following hypotheses:

107 H1: Greater job demands are associated with lower levels of work engagement.

108 H2: Greater work resources including higher levels of manager support, peer support and
109 workplace relationships are associated with greater levels of work engagement.

110

111 **3. Methods**

112 A paper-based cross-sectional survey was administered to nurses within two public medical
113 facilities specialised in the care of the elderly in Malta. A total of 321 nurses from a total of
114 410 were contacted and invited to participate in the study. As the questionnaire was
115 distributed by hand, nurses who were not present on the days attended by the principal
116 researcher could not be contacted. 283 (88% return rate) of the distributed questionnaires
117 were returned.

118 In order to protect participant's ethical rights, individuals were provided with a study
119 information sheet and were required to sign a consent form. Questionnaires were returned
120 anonymously. Institutional authorisation was obtained from participating medical facilities.
121 The study received ethical approval from the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of
122 Medicine and Health Science, University of Nottingham (ref: OVS19062014 SoM PAPsych).

123

124 **3.1 Measures**

125

126 **3.1.1 Engagement**

127 The nine-item Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (UWES-9) was used to measure
128 engagement (Schaufeli & Bakker, 2003). The tool uses a seven-point scale ranging from
129 never (0) to always (6) to measure three facets of engagement: vigour ('At my work, I feel
130 bursting with energy'), dedication ('I am enthusiastic about my job') and absorption ('I am
131 immersed in my work'), with demonstrated reliability and validity (Schaufeli & Bakker,
132 2003). A mean scale score was calculated, with higher scores indicating higher levels of

133 engagement ($\alpha = 0.88$). A mean score was also calculated for each of the sub-scales: vigour
134 ($\alpha = 0.74$), dedication ($\alpha = 0.83$) and absorption ($\alpha = 0.73$).

135

136 **3.1.3 Psychosocial working conditions**

137 In line with the JD-R (Demerouti et al., 2001), domains of the Management Standards
138 Indicator Tool (MSIT; Health and Safety Executive [HSE], n.d., a), were used to measure job
139 demands (eight items; $\alpha = 0.60$, e.g., 'I have unachievable deadlines'), and several resources
140 including managerial support (five items; $\alpha = 0.80$, e.g., 'I can rely on my superior to help me
141 out with a work problem'), peer support (four items; $\alpha = 0.81$, e.g., 'If work gets difficult, my
142 colleagues will help me'), and workplace relationships (four items; $\alpha = 0.60$, e.g., 'I am
143 subject to personal harassment in the form of unkind words or behaviour'). The MSIT is a
144 reliable and valid tool (Cousins et al., 2004), with items being scored on a 5-point scale
145 which ranged from never (1) to always (5), or strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (5).
146 Higher scores indicated more positive conditions: more manageable demands, better levels
147 of support and relationships.

148

149 **3.1.4 Demographics**

150 Demographic information was collected on age, gender (male [1], female [2]) and grade.
151 Ward-based nurses at the studied organisations were either clinical nurses (1) or charge /
152 deputy-charge nurses with supervisory responsibilities (2).

153

154 **3.2 Analysis**

155 Thirteen questionnaires were not analysed due to large amounts of missing data (> 50%).
156 Analyses were conducted on the remaining 270 questionnaires (66% of the total
157 population). Small amounts of missing data were tackled via mean substitution. The
158 technique was chosen as less than 10% of data were missing, and were seemingly missing at
159 random (Donner, 1982).

160 Pearson's correlations were used to determine the strength and direction of associations
161 between work engagement and psychosocial working conditions. Effect sizes of correlation
162 coefficients were interpreted by means of Cohen's (1988) thresholds. Hierarchical multiple
163 linear regression was then used to examine the portion of variance in work engagement
164 explained by the psychosocial working conditions. The technique allows for multiple
165 predictor variables to be used simultaneously, whilst also demonstrating how the addition
166 of variables improves upon the variance explained by other variables (Leech, Barrett, &
167 Morgan, 2015). Variables were added to the regression in three stages. Demographic
168 control variables were added in Step 1, these were followed by job demands in Step 2 and
169 psychosocial workplace resources in Step 3.

170

171 **4. Results**

172 Bivariate correlations indicated that all the studied psychosocial variables were significantly
173 associated with overall engagement (Table 1). Weak correlations in the expected direction
174 were observed between engagement and job demands, peer support, and relationships.
175 Associations between engagement and managerial support were of moderate strength, with
176 higher engagement associated with higher managerial support. Significant associations
177 between the studied psychosocial variables and the subscales of engagement were also in

178 the expected direction. Weak correlations were identified between vigour and demands,
179 peer support and relationships. Moderate correlations were identified between vigour and
180 management support. In terms of dedication, weak correlations were identified with peer
181 support and relationships, whilst a moderate correlation was identified with management
182 support. In terms of absorption, weak correlations were identified with peer support and
183 management support.

184 Management support explained a significant portion of the variance in overall work
185 engagement and its subscales after controlling for demographic characteristics and the
186 other studied psychosocial working conditions (Table 2). None of the other studied
187 psychosocial working conditions contributed significantly to the final models of overall
188 engagement and its subscales. Work demands contributed significantly to Model 2 of overall
189 engagement and vigour, however the statistical significance of these associations was lost
190 with the addition of job resources in Model 3.

191 Demographic control variables also contributed to the final presented models. Older age
192 was associated with greater engagement, vigour and absorption. Higher grade was also
193 associated with vigour.

194 The final overall engagement model explained 15% of the variance ($F(7, 262) = 7.65, p <$
195 $.001$). Regressions for vigour ($F(7, 262) = 10.59, p < .001$), dedication ($F(7, 262) = 5.09, p <$
196 $.001$), and absorption ($F(7, 262) = 3.44, p = .002$), explained 20%, 10% and 6% of the total
197 variance respectively.

198

199 **5. Discussion**

200 Greater levels of management support were associated with greater engagement and
201 higher scores in each of its subscales.

202 Compared to norm scores provided by Schaufeli & Bakker (2003), mean engagement levels
203 of the studied population, as well as its subscales were all within the average range, and
204 thus engagement was neither high nor low. On the other hand, compared with the UK's
205 Health and Safety Executive's (HSE) Management Standards for Stress norms (HSE, n.d., b),
206 that rate standards by placing scores in one of four categories, mean relationship scores fell
207 within the lowest category (less than the 20th percentile). According to the HSE, this
208 indicates that relationship levels are a notable psychosocial risk and require urgent
209 attention. Mean work demands, peer and management support all fell within the second
210 category (20th till 50th percentile), suggesting that mean scores were also low, and therefore
211 all warrant attention. As the study focused on nurses working with older adults, high levels
212 of demands may be related to Malta's ageing population which places increasing pressure
213 on healthcare resources. Maltese nurses have previously been shown to be emotionally
214 exhausted and stressed (Galea, 2014), experience high levels of illness, such a
215 musculoskeletal disorders and common mental health disorders, which affects their
216 workability (Fiorini, Houdmont, & Griffiths, 2020), and have described nursing as difficult
217 and hazardous occupation (Fiorini, Griffiths, & Houdmont, 2018). Taxing demands and poor
218 health conditions may in turn make it difficult for nurses to support each other.

219 In terms of the study's first hypothesis, demands were not found to play a major role in
220 engagement or its subscales in the current study. In line with the JD-R (Demerouti et al.,
221 2001), associations with overall engagement and vigour were identified in the expected
222 direction during bivariate analysis and during Step 2 of the multivariate model. A significant

223 association however was not identified in the final model. The link with vigour may be
224 because it refers to the effort and resilience needed when tackling work demands. Whilst
225 nursing studies have previously reported associations between demands and engagement
226 (Kunie et al., 2017), others have failed to confirm their relevance (Lewis & Cunningham,
227 2016).

228 In line with the study's second hypothesis, job resources, specifically managerial support,
229 was positively associated with engagement and its subscales. The relevance of social
230 support has previously been reported in the nursing literature (Brunetto et al., 2013; García-
231 Sierra, Fernández-Castro, & Martínez-Zaragoza, 2016; Kunie et al., 2017; Simpson, 2009).

232 The finding that management support may be more impactful on engagement than peer
233 support is not unique and has previously been reported by Othman and Nasurdin (2013). It
234 is possible that unlike peers, supervisors and managers are able to make more tangible
235 changes to nurses' tasks and work environments, which could have had a more meaningful
236 impact upon the factors that make a job and workplace a more positive experience.

237 Associations between engagement and both peer support and relationships were only
238 significant during bivariate analysis. Difficult working conditions may have hindered nurses'
239 ability to provide meaningful support to co-workers and may also have hampered
240 relationships. Discussions held with nurses highlighted that they frequently worked with
241 replacement staff, which also may have influenced the ability to form relationships and
242 obtain support.

243 The current study therefore only highlighted partial support for the JD-R model in respect to
244 engagement in nurses. Whilst significant bivariate associations were obtained between
245 engagement and the independent variables in the hypothesised directions, multivariate

246 analysis provided limited support to link between engagement and work demands. Further
247 studies may thus be warranted to explore the role of demands on engagement in nurses
248 working in Malta and to identify other novel factors relevant to fostering engagement.

249 Whilst not the main focus of the study, older nurses were found to be more engaged. Older
250 employees were more likely to hold ward-supervisor responsibilities, however grade was
251 not associated with engagement, and was only significantly associated with the vigour
252 subscale. A limited number of studies have previously reported mixed findings with regards
253 to age. Simpson (2009) highlighted a positive but weak bivariate link between age and
254 engagement in nurses, Aboshaiqah et al. (2016) reported a negative association between
255 age and engagement, whilst Wan et al. (2017) reported a non-linear but significant
256 association, with the youngest and oldest nurses more engaged than those between the
257 ages of 25 and 44. In the current sample, older workers were also found to have better
258 relationships, which may have contributed to the finding. The current study was conducted
259 amongst nurses working with older adults; discussions with nurses revealed that young
260 nurses were often placed in such settings due to human resourcing needs but would
261 regularly leave to work in other settings when the opportunity would arise. This contrasted
262 with older staff who had chosen to stay in such settings, or chose to move to them, and thus
263 might also have contributed to the reported association.

264

265 **5.1 Limitations**

266 The study was cross-sectional in nature, whilst the method of recruitment may have
267 omitted individuals who were away from work due to vacation and sick leave. Conversely,

268 the study design facilitated participation, evidenced by the high percentage of returned
269 questionnaires.

270 Some of the scales used, such as the MSIT demands scale, obtained rather low reliabilities.
271 This may have affected the findings. However, all alpha coefficients were ≥ 0.60 which is
272 considered acceptable (Taber, 2017).

273 Whilst the study aimed to determine the associations between engagement and several
274 psychosocial working conditions, it is acknowledged that other potentially relevant factors
275 were not studied. These included psychosocial factors such as autonomy (Kunie et al., 2017)
276 as well as other personal factors.

277

278 **5.2 Practical Implications**

279 Despite its notable benefits, engagement levels were not found to be high. Furthermore,
280 mean scores for all the studied psychosocial working factors were low and require attention.
281 In particular, the study indicated that boosting management support could be beneficial
282 although intervention studies are required to confirm this. Apart from its impact on work
283 engagement, bivariate associations also highlighted links between better levels of
284 management support with greater peer support, fewer work demands and better workplace
285 relationships. Items measured in this regard included the availability of supportive feedback,
286 managers helping with problems, managers' availability to discuss upsetting work events,
287 support during emotionally demanding work, and the provision of encouragement (HSE, nd,
288 a). In view of the low scores obtained in manager support, these factors should be explored
289 and fostered. The current study's findings could be used to improve awareness amongst

290 those with management duties. Training for nurses with supervisory responsibilities may
291 also aid in improving the levels of support that they provide.

292 In view of the difficult psychosocial working conditions, nurses may benefit from
293 organizational-level interventions such as services that help them cope with both their
294 working and personal situations; for example, fostering awareness of the Employee
295 Assistance Programme for government workers which offers counselling services may be
296 helpful. Equally, setting up occupational health services for nurses that includes counselling
297 services may be advisable.

298 In addition to organizational-level interventions, the provision of training to help nurses to
299 cope better with stressors, such as mindfulness training, and the setting up of health
300 promotion programmes may also be beneficial. Both have been associated with improved
301 workplace engagement (Knight, Patterson, & Dawson, 2019). Fostering self-management
302 strategies such as self-observation (e.g., monitoring one's own behaviour) and self-goal
303 setting have also shown promise in nurses (Breevaart, Bakker, & Demerouti, 2014). Studies
304 also suggest that interventions that help individuals to build positive emotions, resilience
305 and improve self-efficacy are also effective in improving engagement (Knight et al., 2019).
306 Examples include interventions which aid individuals to identify and focus on their
307 strengths, thus building self-efficacy, and reminiscing on positive work memories.

308 Associations between age and engagement highlight the value of older workers. Further
309 studies, however, are warranted to explore why younger nurses were less engaged. The
310 findings may indicate the importance of allowing employees to work in settings that reflect
311 their interests.

312

313 **6. Conclusion**

314

315 The study determined that engagement levels in the studied sample were not high.
316 Multivariate models provided support for the JD-R model and indicated that management
317 support in particular was associated with greater levels of work engagement. Descriptive
318 findings highlighted that support levels were low and thus should be fostered. Greater levels
319 of management support were also associated with higher levels of peer support, lower work
320 demands and better work relationships, further highlighting the possible benefits of
321 fostering this psychosocial work factor.

322

323 **Conflict of interest**

324 The authors report no conflicts of interest.

325

326 **Acknowledgements**

327 The study was funded by the University of Malta as part of a PhD scholarship.

328

329 **References**

330 Aboshaiqah, A. E., Hamadi, H. Y., Salem, O. A. & Zakari, N. M. (2016) The work engagement
331 of nurses in multiple hospital sectors in Saudi Arabia: a comparative study. *Journal of*
332 *Nursing Management*, 24(4), pp. 540-548.

333 Bakker, A.B. & Demerouti, E. (2007) The Job Demands-Resources model: state of the art.
334 *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3), pp. 309-328.

335 Bakker, A. B., Schaufeli, W. B., Leiter, M. P. & Taris, T. W. (2008) Work engagement: An
336 emerging concept in occupational health psychology. *Work & Stress*, 22(3), pp. 187-200.

337 Bargagliotti, L.A. (2012) Work engagement in nursing: a concept analysis. *Journal of*
338 *Advanced Nursing*, 68(6), pp. 1414-1428.

339 Breevaart, K., Bakker, A. B. & Demerouti, E. (2014) Daily self-management and employee
340 work engagement. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 84(1), pp. 31-38.

341 Brunetto, Y., Xerri, M., Shriberg, A., Farr-Wharton, R., Shacklock, K., Newman, S. & Dienger,
342 J. (2013) The impact of workplace relationships on engagement, well-being, commitment
343 and turnover for nurses in Australia and the USA. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 69(12), pp.
344 2786-2799.

345 Cho, J., Laschinger, H. S. & Wong, C. (2006) Workplace empowerment, work engagement
346 and organizational commitment of new graduate nurses. *Nursing Leadership-Academy Of*
347 *Canadian Executive Nurses*, 19(3), pp. 43-60.

348 Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power and analysis for the behavioural sciences* (2nd ed.).
349 Hillsdale: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

350 Cousins, R., MacKay, C., Clarke, S. D., Kelly, C., Kelly, P. J. & McCaig, R. H. (2004)
351 Management standards and work-related stress in the UK: Practical development. *Work and*
352 *Stress*, 18(2), pp. 113–136.

353 Demerouti, E., Bakker, A.B., Nachreiner, F. & Schaufeli, W.B., (2001) The job demands-
354 resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(3), pp. 499-512.

355 Donner, A. (1982) The relative effectiveness of procedures commonly used in multiple
356 regression analysis for dealing with missing values. *American Statistician*, 36(4), pp. 378–
357 381.

358 Fiabane, E., Giorgi, I., Sguazzin, C. & Argentero, P. (2013) Work engagement and
359 occupational stress in nurses and other healthcare workers: the role of organisational and
360 personal factors. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 22(17-18), pp. 2614-2624.

361 Fiorini, L. A., Griffiths, A. & Houdmont, J. (2018) Reasons for presenteeism in nurses working
362 in geriatric settings: A qualitative study. *Journal of Hospital Administration*, 7(4), pp. 9-16.

363 Fiorini, L. A., Houdmont, J. & Griffiths, A. (2020) Nurses' illness perceptions during
364 presenteeism and absenteeism. *Occupational Medicine*, 70(2), pp. 101-106.

365 Galea, M. (2014) The progressive impact of burnout on Maltese nurses. *SOP Transactions on*
366 *Psychology*, 1(1), pp. 1-12.

367 García-Sierra, R., Fernández-Castro, J. & Martínez-Zaragoza, F. (2016) Work engagement in
368 nursing: an integrative review of the literature. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 24(2), pp.
369 E101-E111.

370 Garrosa, E., Moreno-Jiménez, B., Rodríguez-Muñoz, A. & Rodríguez-Carvajal, R. (2011) Role
371 stress and personal resources in nursing: A cross-sectional study of burnout and
372 engagement. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 48(4), pp. 479-489.

373 Health and Safety Executive (n.d. a) HSE Management Standards Indicator Tool. Retrieved
374 from www.hse.gov.uk

375 Health and Safety Executive (n.d. b) HSE Management Standards Analysis Tool. Retrieved
376 from www.hse.gov.uk

377 Kahn, W. A. (1990) Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at
378 work. *Academy of Management Journal*, 33(4), pp. 692-724.

379 Keyko, K., Cummings, G. G., Yonge, O. & Wong, C. A. (2016) Work engagement in
380 professional nursing practice: A systematic review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*,
381 61, pp. 142-164.

382 Knight, C., Patterson, M. & Dawson, J. (2019) Work engagement interventions can be
383 effective: A systematic review. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*,
384 28(3), pp. 348-372.

385 Kunie, K., Kawakami, N., Shimazu, A., Yonekura, Y. & Miyamoto, Y. (2017) The relationship
386 between work engagement and psychological distress of hospital nurses and the perceived
387 communication behaviors of their nurse managers: A cross-sectional survey. *International*
388 *Journal of Nursing Studies*, 71, pp. 115-124.

389 Leech, N.L., Barrett, K.C. & Morgan, G.A. (2014) *IBM SPSS for intermediate statistics: Use*
390 *and interpretation*. New York: Routledge.

391 Lewis, H. S. & Cunningham, C. J. (2016) Linking nurse leadership and work characteristics to
392 nurse burnout and engagement. *Nursing Research*, 65(1), pp. 13-23.

393 Maslach, C., Jackson, S. E. & Leiter, M. P. (1996) *Maslach Burnout Inventory manual* (3rd
394 ed.). Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.

395 Maslach, C. & Leiter, M. P. (2008) Early predictors of job burnout and engagement. *Journal*
396 *of Applied Psychology*, 93(3), pp. 498.

397 Othman, N. & Nasurdin, A. M. (2013) Social support and work engagement: a study of
398 Malaysian nurses. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 21(8), pp. 1083-1090.

399 Peng, J. C. & Tseng, M. M. (2019) Antecedent and consequence of nurse engagement. The
400 Journal of Psychology, 153(3), pp. 342-359.

401 Schaufeli, W.B. & Bakker, A.B. (2003) UWES Utrecht Work Engagement Scale. Preliminary
402 Manual. Utrecht, Netherlands; Utrecht University. Retrieved from www.beanmanaged.com

403 Schaufeli, W.B., Bakker, A.B. & Salanova, M. (2006) The measurement of work engagement
404 with a short questionnaire: a cross-national study. Educational and Psychological
405 Measurement, 66(4), pp. 701-716.

406 Simpson, M. R. (2009) Predictors of work engagement among medical-surgical registered
407 nurses. Western Journal of Nursing Research, 31(1), pp. 44-65.

408 Taber, K. S. (2017) The use of Cronbach's alpha when developing and reporting research
409 instruments in science education. Research in Science Education, 48(6), pp. 1273–1296.

410 van Mol, M. M., Nijkamp, M. D., Bakker, J., Schaufeli, W. B. & Kompanje, E. J. (2018)
411 Counterbalancing work-related stress? Work engagement among intensive care
412 professionals. Australian Critical Care, 31(4), pp. 234-241.

413 Wan, Q., Zhou, W., Li, Z., Shang, S. & Yu, F. (2018) Work engagement and its predictors in
414 registered nurses: A cross-sectional design. Nursing & Health Sciences, 20(4), pp. 415-421.

415 Warshawsky, N. E., Havens, D. S. & Knafel, G. (2012) The influence of interpersonal
416 relationships on nurse managers' work engagement and proactive work behavior. The
417 Journal of Nursing Administration, 42(9), pp. 418-425.

418

419 Table 1: Descriptive statistics and correlations between variables ($N=270$)

	Mean	SD	Range	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Individual factors													
1. Gender	-	-	1-2										
2. Age	38.44	12.94	20-67	-.11*									
3. Grade	-	-	1-2	-.21***	.51***								
Psychosocial working conditions													
4. Demands	3.07	0.51	1-5	-.14**	.07	-.05							
5. Manager support	3.53	0.77	1-5	.06	-.02	-.02	.31***						
6. Peer support	3.82	0.65	1-5	.13*	-.11*	-.07	.14*	.66***					
7. Relationships	3.58	0.67	1-5	-.04	.15**	.18**	.41***	.37***	.36***				
Engagement													
8. Vigour	3.53	1.07	0-6	.02	.22***	.21***	.22***	.37***	.24***	.27***			
9. Dedication	4.54	1.10	0-6	.09	.11*	.08	.08	.30***	.25***	.14**	.60***		
10. Absorption	3.93	1.10	0-6	.09	.13*	.04	.00	.21***	.20***	.09	.50***	.67***	
11. Overall engagement	4.00	0.93	0-6	.07	.18**	.13*	.12*	.35***	.27***	.20**	.82***	.89***	.85***

420 * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$. *SD*, Standard deviation.

421 Gender, 1 = male, 2 = female; Grade, 1 = nurse, 2 = deputy or charge nurse

422

423 Table 2: Hierarchical multiple regression analysis summary predicting overall engagement and its subscales

Variable		Engagement			Vigour			Dedication			Absorption			
		Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	
		<i>N</i>	β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β	
Gender	<i>Male</i>	76												
	<i>Female</i>	194	.11	.13*	.09	.07	.11	.07	.11	.13*	.09	.10	.10	.07
Grade	<i>Nurse</i>	209												
	<i>Charge nurse</i>	61	.07	.09	.07	.15*	.18*	.15*	.06	.07	.06	-.02	-.02	-.03
Age			.16*	.14*	.17*	.16*	.13	.15*	.09	.08	.11	.15*	.15*	.17*
Demands				.13*	.02		.23***	.10		.10	.01		.01	-.06

Management support													
Peer support													
Relationships													
R^2	.05	.06	.17	.07	.12	.22	.02	.03	.12	.03	.03	.03	.08
ΔR^2	.05	.01	.11	.07	.05	.10	.02	.01	.09	.03	.00	.00	.06
Adj. R^2	.03	.05	.15	.06	.11	.20	.01	.02	.10	.02	.01	.01	.06

424 * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$; $N = 270$

425 β , standardized beta coefficient; N , number; R^2 , explained variance; ΔR^2 , change in explained variance; Adj. R^2 , adjusted explained variance.

426