



Changes in commissioning home care: an English survey

| | |
|------------------|--|
| Journal: | <i>Quality in Ageing and Older Adults</i> |
| Manuscript ID | QAOA-10-2019-0055.R1 |
| Manuscript Type: | Research Paper |
| Keywords: | social care, commissioning, home care, older people, England, survey |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Changes in commissioning home care: an English survey

Abstract

Title: Changes in commissioning home care: an English survey

Purpose

This paper examines changes in the nature, form and range of commissioning arrangements for home care

Design/methodology/approach

Data from two discrete national surveys of English local authorities with social service responsibilities were used. In the first, undertaken in 2007, responses from 111 of the 151 local authorities (74%) were received and in the second, undertaken in 2017, responses from 109 local authorities (72%) were received. A combined dataset of 79 complete cases, 52% of local authorities, was created. Percentage point differences across the two time periods were calculated and tested to identify significant changes and a systematic analysis of the free-text responses regarding intended changes to the commissioning process in each dataset was undertaken.

Findings

Findings identified substantial changes in some aspects of the commissioning of home care in the decade 2007-2017. Collaboration between stakeholders had increased, particularly regarding the identification of future needs. Improved conditions of service and remuneration for home care workers were evident within the commissioning process. Standardised charges for home care (regardless of time and day) had also become more widespread. Initiatives to prompt providers to deliver more personalised care were also more evident.

Originality/value

This paper describes the evolution of commissioning arrangements for home care in localities in response to national policy initiatives. It provides guidance to commissioners in meeting the needs of current service users and emphasises the importance of collaboration with stakeholders, particularly providers, in securing future capacity.

Keywords: Commissioning; Older People; Home Care; Social Care

Introduction

For older people with complex needs, care at home involves the provision of personalised care and other support activities to enable them to continue to live at home, rather than move into residential or nursing care. Assistance is usually provided by home care agencies through multiple visits each week and may take the form of help with basic activities of daily living (e.g. bathing), activities that further support an independent lifestyle (e.g. shopping) and more recently, assistance to engage in local social activities outside the home. Following the community care reforms of the early 1990s in England, the role of local authorities changed from that providing home care to an 'enabling' role (Cm 849, 1989) focusing on the assessment, planning, procurement and monitoring of

1
2
3 services. Policy developments, specifically the introduction of personal budgets in adult social care,
4 have led to further evolution in the arrangements for commissioning and provision of home care.
5
6 The allocation of funding to users through personal budgets have allowed older people and carers to
7 assume responsibility for their own care plans and enter into direct negotiations with home care
8 providers, prompting changes in contracting arrangements for home care towards more flexible and
9 personalised approaches. Additionally, local authority commissioners of home care must respond to
10 policy initiatives promoting the joint commissioning and provision of services with other agencies,
11 particularly commissioners of community health services, along with guidance supporting the
12 greater involvement of providers within the commissioning and contracting processes
13 (Legislation.gov.uk, 2015; Department of Health and Social Care, 2018). However, although most
14 home care services are now commissioned by local authorities and provided by independent
15 agencies, little is known about this process and how arrangements have evolved in the 21st century
16 in England.
17
18
19

20
21 Nevertheless, complexities in the process of commissioning home care are now increasingly
22 acknowledged and approaches are constantly adjusted to reflect the interests of multiple-
23 stakeholders within a market model where services are competitively bought and sold (Donovan,
24 2017; Hudson, Commissioning for change: A new model for commissioning adult social care in
25 England, 2019). As a result of these challenges, it is helpful to think about the commissioning of
26 home care in localities as a wicked problem. In this context 'wicked' is used not to signify evil, but
27 describes a problem that is difficult or impossible to solve as requirements are difficult to identify,
28 contradicting or changing (Rittel & Webber, 1973). Due to the complexity of the process, solving one
29 aspect of the problem may lead to unexpected consequences and create problems elsewhere.
30 However, little is known about the impact of policy changes and the nature, form and range of home
31 care commissioning practices and what is required to promote its capacity to respond to increasing
32 expectations resulting from the changed policy environment. This paper examines this knowledge
33 gap. The research was undertaken as part of a larger study to explore current commissioning
34 arrangements for home care and provide guidance for commissioners and providers. The aim of this
35 paper is to investigate: (1) how commissioning arrangements have changed over time and (2)
36 identify emerging trends in commissioning arrangements. Data on current arrangements were
37 supplemented through qualitative interviews with commissioners and providers and a literature
38 review which explored the lessons from research for commissioners of home care for older people
39 which will be reported separately.
40
41
42

43 Method

44 Data collection

45 These data were generated through the combination of data collected through two discrete national
46 surveys of all local authorities in England with social service responsibilities. To capture changes in
47 commissioning arrangements, data collected in a 2017 survey was compared with previous data
48 collected in 2007 to provide a historical control group (Bowling, 2014). Surveys were completed by
49 individuals tasked with commissioning adult social care services within the authority. In the earlier
50 postal survey responses from 111 of the 151 local authorities were received providing a response rate
51 of 74 per cent [REDACTED]. The 2017 survey (electronic and postal completion) was
52 undertaken as part of a larger study exploring current commissioning arrangements and emergent
53 trends relating to the range, content and practice of service commissioning for home care. Responses
54 from 109 local authorities were received providing a 72 per cent response rate. This high response
55 rate was achieved with the assistance of regional commissioning groups, operating through the
56 Association of Directors of Social Services (ADASS).
57
58
59
60

Creation of a matched dataset

Cases (local authorities) from the 2007 dataset were extracted and matched with the corresponding cases from the 2017 dataset. In matching cases, approaches to manage local authority boundary changes over the period were considered, however, as complete responses from modified authorities had not been received this was unnecessary. Measures contained within both the 2007 and the 2017 survey were then paired by case. These repeat measures of the same variables allowed comparison over the two time periods. The combined dataset contained 79 cases, representing 52 per cent of local authorities. The distribution of these matched responses varied by region. The highest paired response rates were obtained in the North West of England (78%) and Yorkshire and Humber (66%), in comparison the lowest rates were in the South East (33%) and the West Midlands (25%).

Data analysis

To assess change in individual measures, percentage point differences in positive responses across the two time periods were calculated. The McNemar's test was used to identify statistically significant changes between 2007 and 2017 in paired dichotomous variables. Data was analysed using SPSS for Windows.

To further appraise service evolution, a systematic analysis of the free-text responses regarding intended changes to the commissioning process was undertaken. For both 2007 and 2017 surveys conceptual categories were developed, these data were then coded and analysed in an excel spreadsheet (Bowling, 2014). This was undertaken by one researcher (ED) and reviewed by another (SD). Differences of interpretation were resolved through discussion.

Results

Firstly, data presented within this section concerns the organisational arrangements of adult social care commissioning operations within the organisation they are located. Following these organisational arrangements, the reporting framework presents data under broad themes. Its construction was informed by Goertz (Social Science Concepts: A User's Guide, 2006) who identified three levels of concept: basic; secondary; and indicator. "The basic and secondary levels are in reality the theory of the concept, while the indicator/data level is the connection to measures and data collection" (p. 10). Three basic levels of the framework were constructed: market management; shaping local provision; and managing delivery. As illustrated within the results tables, under these headings, secondary level attributes were identified and operationalised by indicators, measures from the survey were then arranged by indicator. Those which were statistically significant are highlighted within the results tables. However, it should be noted that indicators which did not reach significance criteria nevertheless, display a real change in commissioning practice. The final section, service evolution, reviews future service development plans.

Organisational arrangements

These data reflect change in the organisational arrangements of commissioning in adult social care services. The proportion of these located within a combined organisation (for example with health partners or children's services) had decreased over the period. In 2007 about a third (38%) of adult social care commissioning services were located within a single department, in contrast to around three quarters (72%) in 2017. Most local authorities located contracting units within adult social care services; however, the location of these in corporate/central service departments had increased (4% in 2007 to 16% in 2017).

Market Management

Findings regarding market management are presented in Table 1. The use of smaller scale providers, defined within the questionnaire as those operating only within a single local authority, had seen some change over the period. The proportion of authorities commissioning care from such providers had increased (74% in 2007 to 88% in 2017). Despite this increase, the overall prevalence of these locally based providers had changed little, representing around a third of all providers.

The extent of stakeholder involvement was assessed by the regularity of contact with home care commissioners, with consistent engagement defined as *always* engaging with stakeholders.

Engagement with all stakeholders had increased over the period, however, these arrangements varied across stakeholder groups. Consistent engagement with providers were reported in all areas, a 17 per cent point rise from 2007. However, in another measure of involvement, provider forums, most authorities reported having these meetings but the proportion of those with six or more annually was slightly less (43% in 2007 to 37% in 2017). In 2017 most home care commissioners reported consistent engagement with service users (99%), their carers (99%), health partners (98%), advocacy groups (94%), ethnic minorities (89%) potential service users (87%) and local housing partners (77%). Engagement with potential service users exhibited the largest increase (55% in 2007 to 87% in 2017).

Joint commissioning arrangements with health partners had changed little. A small decrease in the use of joint plans and planning processes (76% in 2007 to 67% in 2017) was reported, whilst those employing a single lead commissioner for health and social care had slightly risen (28% in 2007 to

33% in 2017). There was a small increase in the number of authorities reporting that more than 20 per cent of services were jointly commissioned (26% in 2007 to 36% in 2017). However, the proportion of local authorities reporting the joint commissioning of specialist services for people with dementia was broadly comparable (46% in 2007 to 49% in 2017).

Shaping local provision

Service specification and contracting and monitoring arrangements are presented in Table 2. The focus on staff conditions and training specified within service frameworks, developed to guide the commissioning process, varied across local authorities. In 2017, the payment of travel time for home care staff was the most frequently specified service condition (64%), followed by sick pay (46%) and the payment of mileage (42%). Between 2007 and 2017 the largest increase was in the specification of payment of travel time (23% point increase). The inclusion of stipulations of training for front line staff in service framework increased (35% in 2007 to 46% in 2017) as did training specific to caring for people with dementia (51% in 2007 to 61% in 2017). However, the proportion stipulating management training had fallen slightly over the period (63% in 2007 to 57% in 2017). Considering the mechanisms for service improvement specified within the service framework, little change was evident, the exception being the increased requirement of providers to have a medication policy (82% in 2007 to 92% in 2017).

Changes in contractual agreements, regarding both contract duration and purchasing approach, were evident. In 2017 contracts of four or more years were employed in almost two-thirds (61%) of authorities increasing from two-fifths (43%) in 2007 when shorter-term contracts of 2-3 years were favoured. Preferred contract type had shifted from the use of block contracts (payment for a given volume of service agreed in advance) (from 65% in 2007 to 38% in 2017). The use of more individual contract types was evident in 2017, when 90 per cent of authorities employed methods allowing the purchase of services as and when needed by an individual.

In 2017, most home care commissioners relied on information from home care providers in the monitoring of services, including CQC reports (99%), provider returns (94%) and levels of service use (90%). Between 2007 and 2017 the use of provider generated monitoring data had seen some increase, the largest being in the use of provider returns (13% point increase). Other monitoring data largely used included feedback from users and carers via complaints (98%), user satisfaction survey returns (90%) and information from care managers (70%), with the proportion of authorities using these data was relatively unchanged between 2007 and 2017.

Managing delivery

Table 3 presents data concerning the delivery of home care services. Despite a small increase, in both 2007 and 2017 few authorities had an integrated health and social provider (5% in 2007 to 8% in 2017). There was an increase in the proportion of authorities requiring providers to deliver evening services (81% in 2007 to 92% in 2017) but the requirement to provide weekend services was similar (84% and 85% respectively). The provision of specialist services for people with dementia had increased significantly over the period (from 18% of authorities in 2007 to 54% in 2017). A standard price for home care was charged in over half (56%) of authorities in 2017, increasing from just over a third in 2007 (38%). When charges varied, they fluctuated according to travel costs (26%), service user requirements (14%), time of day (14%) and day of week (9%). In meeting needs, pre-contract tendering specified the requirement of providers to deliver flexible/out-of-hours services

1
2
3 increased (61% in 2007 to 84% in 2017) as did the requirement of crisis response capabilities (47% in
4 2007 to 60% in 2017). However, the inclusion of conditions ensuring equity of access for those from
5 ethnic minorities had slightly fallen (87% in 2007 and 80% in 2017).
6
7

8 There was a decrease in the proportion of authorities requiring that front-line staff were qualified to
9 nationally recognised standards (85% in 2007 to 75% in 2017) but the requirement that new home
10 care staff receive any training was unchanged (87%). In terms of management of the performance of
11 home care agencies the regular use of CQC national reports had increased significantly (38% of
12 authorities in 2007 to 91% in 2017), the use of information from care managers had changed only
13 slightly (94% in 2007 to 91% in 2017).
14
15

16 **Service evolution**

17 Analysis of the free-text allowed for identification of a number of common themes regarding
18 anticipated service development. Within the 2007 dataset six themes were identified. Here they
19 are listed with the proportion of authorities expressing them: 1) flexible contracts/responding to the
20 policy goal of personalised care (49%); 2) increasing partnership working with colleagues in health
21 (22%); 3) commissioning for outcomes (19%); 4) service specific improvement or modernisation
22 (14%); 5) improving monitoring systems/quality (9%) and; 6) promoting provider engagement (4%).
23 Almost a quarter of authorities (23%) provided no details of plans for service development.
24
25

26
27 Ten themes were identified within the 2017 dataset; 1) service specific improvement or
28 modernisation (35%); 2) commissioning for outcomes (28%); 3) flexible contracts/ responding to the
29 policy goal of personalised care (20%); 4) recruitment and retention of care workers (19%); 5)
30 improving monitoring systems/quality (18%); 6) locality/community based commissioning (18%); 7)
31 contractual arrangements (15%); 8) provider supply (10%); 9) increasing partnership working with
32 colleagues in health (14%) and; 10) promoting provider engagement (9%). The proportion of
33 authorities providing no details of planned change was similar to that in 2007 (22%).
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Discussion

This paper has presented data from a national survey of local authorities undertaken in 2017 alongside comparable data collected in 2007 to describe local arrangements for commissioning services to support older people living at home and explore changes over time. These findings reveal the shifting trends in the commissioning process to deliver home care. Changes in the management of the market are evident with the increase in collaboration with all stakeholder groups, particularly to identify future need. In the shaping of local provision, change in preferred contract type is apparent with the decrease in block contract use. Further modifications to provision were shown through increased training and financial reimbursement for home care workers. Changes concerning the delivery of services were evidenced through a growth in standardised charges for services and raised expectations that services are accessible outside of conventional business hours. Furthermore, these findings indicate a shift in the earlier focus of collaboration with health partners to improving relationships with providers. Also highlighted is the longstanding issue of commissioning for outcomes. However, it is important to note there were three limitations. Firstly, these data were collected through two self-completion questionnaires, with the possibility of the over reporting of perceived 'good practice' with responses not verified by other means and also the limitations associated with pre-coded choices (Bowling, 2014). Furthermore, there were regional variations in the response rate. Secondly, the omission of incomplete cases across the two data collections resulted in a reduced response rate making identification of statistically significant change problematic. Caution must be taken when interpreting the results of the conservative McNemar test in the analysis of data with a reduced sample size. Thirdly, the findings solely reflect the perspective of local authorities on the way services are commissioned and contracted, these may differ from the perspectives of other stakeholders with whom they collaborate, particularly independent providers of care. Despite this, the study has successfully identified change-trends and the future challenges in commissioning services to support those living at home. In this study, changes in the commissioning of home care are demonstrated by an increased emphasis on market shaping. As defined within the Care Act (2017) market shaping involves collaboration with partners to promote a diverse range of quality services (type, volume and quality of services and types of provider organisation) to ensure the market of care remains vibrant and sustainable. Here, market shaping is evidenced in: the promotion of wellbeing and individual outcomes, the collaboration with stakeholders and activities to ensure sufficient local supply. These are explored in more detail below.

1. Wellbeing and individual outcomes

The concept of 'wellbeing' is described within the Care Act guidance (Department of Health and Social Care, 2018) as relating to a number of aspects, those of particular relevance to older people include the assurance of personal dignity, physical and mental health and emotional wellbeing, protection from mistreatment and the control over daily life, including the provision of care and support. The care and support functions of adult social care must reflect the needs and goals of an individual and assist in the achievement of outcomes that matter most to them. Within this study, progress in the pursuit of wellbeing has been noted through the development of commissioning practices aligned with the realisation of outcomes for individual service users, however, much remains to be achieved. Aspirations to commission services to achieve individual outcomes prevail, indicating the challenges associated with the departure from the traditional 'time and task' cultures of care planning (Koehler, 2016). One challenge is the measurement and monitoring of the

1
2
3 achievement of outcomes within social care. As previous research has shown, measures of
4 intermediate outcomes, relating to provider performance, are easier to capture than final outcome
5 measures, relating to service user experience (██████████). Within this study the significant
6 increase in the use of provider generated data as means of monitoring from 2007 to 2017, suggested
7 an increased awareness of the value of more bespoke measures of performance. A lack of trust
8 between commissioners, providers and care workers has been cited by (Koehler, 2016) as a barrier
9 to commissioning for outcomes and an increase in performance monitoring raises concerns
10 regarding providers' autonomy within this relationship.
11
12

13
14 Further evidence from this study suggested changes in commissioning to promote a more flexible
15 and responsive service delivery deemed more compatible with achieving personalised goals for
16 service users within an outcomes-based framework. The reported increase in standard charges for
17 care, regardless of time and day, suggests that bespoke provision is becoming more commonplace.
18 The use of block contracting was also reduced indicating the use of more individual and personalised
19 contracting (Stevens, et al., 2019). Locality based planning for home care was also identified as a
20 means of linking home care with neighbourhood services and thereby enabling support plans to
21 address the needs of service users in the round. These efforts to personalise care are however, at
22 odds to the market model in which these services are provided as a commodity (Stevens, et al.,
23 2019). Furthermore, instability of the market may occur with the reduction of block contracts and
24 the security they provide (Rodrigues & Glendinning, 2015).
25
26
27
28

29 **2. Stakeholder collaboration**

30 Local authorities are required to lead collaboration with all partners allied to care at home to
31 develop and shape the market of care, support and related services. However, this *shared*
32 *endeavour* (Legislation.gov.uk, 2015) requires cooperation between commissioners and the
33 numerous stakeholders beyond simply those organisations delivering care and the individuals and
34 their families in receipt of it. It may include dialogue with social care managers and social workers,
35 independent advocates and support organisations (those helping people who need care to consider
36 their choices) to successfully reflect the range and diversity of the older population in order to meet
37 existing and anticipate future local need. These data revealed that whilst engagement with all
38 stakeholders has risen, the largest increase was evident in collaboration enabling an improved
39 understanding of the future needs of the population (via potential service users) and of the specific
40 needs of more marginal individuals (via users from ethnic minority groups). Interestingly, the role of
41 collaboration with either of these two stakeholder groups is not specially referred to within the Care
42 Act guidance (Department of Health and Social Care, 2018).
43
44
45
46
47

48 Relationships between commissioners and providers have long been identified as key to the
49 provision of quality services (Wistow & Hardy, 1999; Glendinning, et al., 2008; ██████████).
50 This study has revealed a significant increase in this aspect of stakeholder engagement in the decade
51 between 2007 and 2017. Collaboration between local authority commissioners and the providers of
52 care was evident, specifically in the development of commissioning practices. However, despite
53 these reported accomplishments, many respondents cited the need to improve working
54 relationships with providers as a priority of change in both 2007 and 2017, indicating that challenges
55 remain. However, difficulties in establishing relationships between commissioners and providers
56 have been noted in recent research (██████████ (under submission and review)) concluding
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 that partnerships must go beyond formal mechanisms and the encouragement of a more relational
4 approach to commissioning to develop a trusting and collaborative partnership is required.
5

6
7 The importance of partnership working between health and social care in commissioning home care
8 for older people is well documented (Glendinning, et al., 2001; Goodman, et al., 2011; ██████████
9 ██████████; Mellors & Bolton, 2016). Linked to successive legislation and accompanying statutory
10 guidance, efforts to increase partnership working were evident (Legislation.go.uk, 1999; Department
11 of Health, 2010; Department of Health and Social Care, 2018; Cm 4169, 1998). However, the extent
12 to which real change has occurred is debatable, with collaboration remaining static or even slightly
13 decreasing (in terms of joint commissioning) from 2007 to 2017. The implications of this apparent
14 disconnect for those with complex care needs spanning both health and social care, such as people
15 with dementia in the oldest age groups likely to also exhibit physical frailty, remains a concern
16 (Matthews, 2016).
17
18
19

20 21 **3. Securing supply**

22 Recent national statistics revealed the instability of the home care market which challenges
23 commissioners (Age UK, 2017). Hudson (2018) attributed this volatility to decades of unregulated
24 outsourcing of care with current markets failing to meet standards of choice and control. To secure
25 an adequate supply of home care, commissioners must both understand the local market and
26 facilitate change and development. The Care Act (2017) placed a statutory duty on local authorities
27 to ensure sufficient provision of home care to meet the needs of its population. Sufficiency in supply
28 relates to both **competence** in the delivery of care and the **capacity** of the market to meet demand,
29 whether that is state or self-funded. Overall this study provided evidence of both activities to shape
30 the market by commissioners.
31
32
33

34 Within localities, sufficiency of supply in the home care market is partly contingent on the
35 recruitment and retention of competent personnel by local providers with opportunities for training
36 to enhance their skills and knowledge. Labour force issues are of particular concern within home
37 care. Skills for Care (2016) reported vacancy rates of 11 per cent within the sector, higher than that
38 of the care labour market as a whole of 7 per cent. Turnover rates for home care workers were also
39 almost 10 per cent higher than the care industry average at 38 per cent. This study revealed that the
40 supply and quality of home care workers remained a concern in 2017 despite initiatives to promote
41 the development of the workforce and remuneration (such as the payment of sick pay and travel
42 time) in the decade following the first survey in 2007. More positively, strategies to develop the role
43 of carers in achieving commissioning for outcomes were proposed, address some recruitment and
44 retention concerns. Furthermore, the most recent survey demonstrated that commissioners were
45 more likely in 2017 to award longer contracts to providers which may assist in the recruitment and
46 retention of staff (Knapp, et al., 2001). These may in the medium to long-term help to create
47 sufficiency in supply in the provision of home care, both in terms of recruiting staff and their
48 knowledge and competence.
49
50
51
52
53

54 There are currently increasing pressures for commissioners to secure sufficient capacity to meet
55 demand which will become more important in the future. For example, a recent survey reported
56 that 11 per cent of UK home care providers anticipated closure in the next year and almost three-
57 quarters planned to reduce the provision of care funded through local authorities (United Kingdom
58 Home Care Association, 2016). The key to securing capacity in both the short and long-term is
59
60

1
2
3 engagement with local providers to identify future demand for services and providing suitable
4 support to meet it. These issues were acknowledged by commissioners within this study, striving to
5 support the sustainability of the market and achieve an adequate supply of providers to meet
6 anticipated needs.
7

8
9 This paper has evidenced the complex nature of market shaping in commissioning home care within
10 a multi-stakeholder setting reflecting national guidance and local traditions of service delivery and
11 collaboration between commissioners and providers. Despite the reported modifications and
12 developments in commissioning practice, the achievement of outcome related objectives remain
13 largely aspirational and inadequacy in supply persists. In social policy terms these challenges may be
14 seen as 'wicked problems'; longstanding and multifaceted, without a shared understanding by
15 stakeholders or apparent solution. Moreover the complex interdependencies inherent in the
16 processes of commissioning and providing home care demonstrated in this study suggest that
17 attempts to solve one problem may reveal or create others, another feature of a 'wicked problem'
18 (Rittel & Webber, 1973). Data from this study has suggested that meaningful collaboration is
19 required between commissioners of home care, providers and other stakeholders to address these
20 issues in localities.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

Age UK, 2017. *Briefing: Health and Social Care of Older People in England 2017*. [Online]
Available at: [https://www.ageuk.org.uk/Documents/EN-GB/For-professionals/Research/The Health and Care of Older People in England 2016.pdf?dtrk=true](https://www.ageuk.org.uk/Documents/EN-GB/For-professionals/Research/The_Health_and_Care_of_Older_People_in_England_2016.pdf?dtrk=true)
[Accessed 16 May 2019].

Bolton, J., 2016. Predicting and managing demand in social care. *American Journal of Medical Research*, 3(2), pp. 152-187.

Bowling, A., 2014. *Research methods in health: investigating health and health services*. Basingstoke, England: McGraw-hill education.

Cm 4169, 1998. *Modernising Social Services*, London: The Stationery Office.

Department of Health, 2010. *A Vision for Adult Social Care: Capable Communities and Active Citizens*, London: Department of Health.

Department of Health, 2018. *Care and Support Statutory Guidance*. [Online]
Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/care-act-statutory-guidance/care-and-support-statutory-guidance>
[Accessed 16 May 2019].

Glendinning, C. et al., 2008. Progress and problems in developing outcomes-focused social care services for older people in England. *Health and Social Care in the Community*, 16(1), pp. 54-63.

Glendinning, C., Coleman, A., Shipman, C. & Malbon, G., 2001. Partnerships, performance and primary care: developing integrated services for older people in England. *British Medical Journal*, Volume 325, pp. 28-31.

Goertz, G., 2006. *Social Science Concepts: A User's Guide*. Princeton: Princeton University Press: Princeton University Press.

Goodman, C. et al., 2011. Models of inter professional working for older people living at home: a survey and review of the local strategies of English health and social care statutory organisations. *BMC Health Services Research*, Volume 11, p. 337.

Head, B. & Alford, J., 2015. Wicked Problems: Implications for Public Policy and Management. *Administration and Society*, 47(6), pp. 711-739.

Hudson, B., 2018. *Adult social care: is privatisation irreversible?*. [Online]
Available at: <http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/politicsandpolicy/adult-social-care-is-privatisation-irreversible/>
[Accessed 29 May 2019].

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 Knapp, M., Hardy, B. & Forder, J., 2001. Commissioning for Quality: Ten Years of Social Care Markets
8 in England. *Journal of Social Policy*, 30(2), pp. 283-306.

9
10 Koehler, I., 2016. *Achieving outcomes based commissioning in home care*. [Online]
11 Available at: [https://www.lgiu.org.uk/report/achieving-outcomes-based-commissioning-in-home-](https://www.lgiu.org.uk/report/achieving-outcomes-based-commissioning-in-home-care/)
12 [care/](https://www.lgiu.org.uk/report/achieving-outcomes-based-commissioning-in-home-care/)
13 [Accessed 29 May 2019].

14
15
16 Legislation.gov.uk, 1999. *Health Act 1999*. [Online]
17 Available at: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1999/8/introduction>
18 [Accessed 29 May 2019].

19
20
21 Legislation.gov.uk, 2017. *Care Act 2014*. [Online]
22 Available at: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2014/23/contents/enacted>
23 [Accessed 16 May 2019].

24
25 Mandell, M. P., 2001. *Getting results through collaboration: Networks and network structures for*
26 *public policy and management*. Mandell, M. P. ed. Westport, CT: Quorum Books.

27
28
29 Matthews, F., 2016. A two decade dementia incidence comparison from the Cognitive Function and
30 Ageing Studies I and II. *Nature communications*, Volume 7.

31
32 Mellors, M. & Bolton, J., 2016. *Procurement Options for Social Care in Wales*. In: *Two discussion*
33 *papers on domiciliary care commissioning and procurement*. [Online]
34 Available at:
35 [https://ipc.brookes.ac.uk/publications/Wales_domiciliary_care_commissioning_and_procurement.h](https://ipc.brookes.ac.uk/publications/Wales_domiciliary_care_commissioning_and_procurement.html)
36 [tml.](https://ipc.brookes.ac.uk/publications/Wales_domiciliary_care_commissioning_and_procurement.html)
37

38
39 Rittel, H. & Webber, M., 1973. Dilemmas in a general theory of planning. *Policy Sciences*, Volume 4,
40 pp. 155-169.

41
42 Rodrigues, R. & Glendinning, C., 2015. Choice, competition and care – developments in English social
43 care and the impacts on providers and older users of home care services. *Social Policy and*
44 *Administration*, 49(5), pp. 649-664.

45
46
47 Rühli, E., Sachs, S., Schmitt, R. & Schneider, T., 2017. Innovation in Multistakeholder Settings: The
48 Case of a Wicked Issue in Health Care. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 143(2), pp. 289-305.

49
50 Skills for Care, 2016. *The State of the Adult Social Care Sector and Workforce in England*. [Online]
51 Available at: <https://www.nmds-sc-online.org.uk/Get.aspx?id=980099>
52 [Accessed 16 May 2019].

53
54
55 Stevens, M., Moriarty, J., Harris, J., Manthorpe, J., Hussein, S., & Cornes, M. (2019). Social care
56 managers and care workers' understandings of personalisation in older people's services. *Working*
57 *with Older People*, 23(1), 37-45.
58
59
60

1
2
3 United Kingdom Home Care Association, 2016. *An Overview of the Home Care market in the United*
4 *Kingdom*. [Online]
5 Available at: <https://www.ukhca.co.uk/pdfs/DomiciliaryCareMarketOverview2015.pdf>
6 [Accessed 16 May 2019].
7
8

9 Wistow, G. & Hardy, B., 1999. The development of domiciliary care: mission accomplished?. *Policy*
10 *and Politics*, 27(2), pp. 173-186.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Quality in Ageing and Older Adults

Table 1: Market management

| Attribute | Indicator | Measure | 2007 | | 2017 | | Change (% points) |
|-----------------------------|--|---|------|----|------|-----|----------------------|
| | | | n | % | n | % | |
| Provider characteristics | Organisational type | Presence of local providers | 36 | 74 | 43 | 88 | 14 |
| Stakeholder involvement | Provider | Provider engagement* | 66 | 84 | 79 | 100 | 16 |
| | | Frequent provider forums | 34 | 43 | 29 | 37 | -6 |
| | Service users/carers and their representatives | Service user engagement* | 71 | 92 | 78 | 99 | 7 |
| | | Potential service users engagement* | 42 | 55 | 69 | 87 | 32 |
| | | Engagement with ethnic minorities* | 52 | 66 | 70 | 89 | 23 |
| | | Carer engagement* | 70 | 89 | 78 | 99 | 10 |
| | | Advocacy groups engagement* | 59 | 75 | 74 | 94 | 19 |
| | | Primary care engagement* | 70 | 89 | 78 | 98 | 9 |
| Housing partner engagement* | 46 | 58 | 61 | 77 | 19 | | |
| Joint commissioning | Arrangements | Single lead commissioner for health and social care | 22 | 28 | 26 | 33 | 5 |
| | | Joint plans and planning processes | 60 | 76 | 53 | 67 | -9 |
| | Focus | Specialist dementia services | 36 | 46 | 39 | 49 | 3 |
| | Extent | More than 20% of services | 19 | 26 | 26 | 36 | 10 |

* Significant change identified at 0.05 level

Table 2: Shaping local provision

| Attribute | Indicator | Measure | 2007 | | 2017 | | Change (% points) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|------|----|------|----|----------------------|
| | | | n | % | n | % | |
| Service specification | Staff focus | Training | 28 | 35 | 36 | 46 | 11 |
| | | Dementia training | 40 | 51 | 48 | 61 | 10 |
| | | Management training | 50 | 63 | 45 | 57 | -6 |
| | | Condition of service: sick pay* | 23 | 29 | 36 | 46 | 17 |
| | | Condition of service: travel time* | 32 | 41 | 50 | 64 | 23 |
| | | Condition of service: mileage | 26 | 33 | 33 | 42 | 9 |
| | Service user focus | Medication policy | 65 | 82 | 73 | 92 | 10 |
| | Service improvement | Service redesign: commitment to monitoring | 67 | 85 | 67 | 85 | 0 |
| | | Service redesign: innovative ideas/cost savings | 39 | 50 | 43 | 54 | 4 |
| | | Staff development | 67 | 85 | 72 | 91 | 6 |
| | | Supervision of staff | 67 | 85 | 73 | 92 | 7 |
| Contracting arrangements | Length of contract | Four or more years* | 34 | 43 | 48 | 61 | 18 |
| | Block contracts | Presence of* | 51 | 65 | 30 | 38 | -27 |
| | | Prevalence: used in >20% of hours (n=51/n=33) | 46 | 90 | 15 | 46 | -44 |
| Monitoring | Information from home care providers | Routine data: service use | 62 | 79 | 71 | 90 | 11 |
| | | Routine data: provider returns* | 64 | 81 | 74 | 94 | 13 |
| | | CQC reports | 75 | 95 | 78 | 99 | 4 |
| | User and carer feedback | Complaints | 75 | 95 | 77 | 98 | 3 |
| | | Satisfaction surveys | 71 | 90 | 71 | 90 | 0 |
| | Information from practitioners | Interviews with care managers | 55 | 70 | 55 | 70 | 0 |

* Significant change identified at 0.05 level

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

Table 3: Managing delivery

| Attribute | Indicator | Measure | 2007 | | 2017 | | Change (% points) |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|------|----|------|-----|----------------------|
| | | | n | % | n | % | |
| Assessing need | Type of organisation | Integrated health and social care provider | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 3 |
| Meeting needs | Availability of home care | Day time* | 72 | 91 | 79 | 100 | 9 |
| | | Evenings | 64 | 81 | 73 | 92 | 11 |
| | | Weekends | 66 | 84 | 67 | 85 | 1 |
| | Determinants of price of home care | A standard price for home care* | 29 | 37 | 44 | 56 | 19 |
| | | Varies by travel cost (n=48) | 10 | 21 | 9 | 26 | 5 |
| | | Varies by individual requirements | 5 | 10 | 5 | 14 | 4 |
| | | Varies by time of day | 15 | 31 | 5 | 14 | -17 |
| | Pre-contract tendering specifies | Varies by day of week | 15 | 31 | 3 | 9 | -22 |
| | | Equity of access to services | 69 | 87 | 63 | 80 | -7 |
| | | Crisis response | 37 | 47 | 47 | 60 | 13 |
| | Specialist provision | Service availability* | 48 | 61 | 66 | 84 | 23 |
| | | Older people with dementia* | 14 | 18 | 43 | 54 | 37 |
| | Service framework specifies | Training of new staff | 70 | 89 | 69 | 87 | -2 |
| Staff have national qualifications | | 67 | 85 | 59 | 75 | -10 | |
| Performance management | CQC national reports* | 30 | 38 | 78 | 91 | 53 | |
| | Care manager views | 74 | 94 | 78 | 91 | 3 | |

* Significant change identified at 0.05 level