

**Meeting the emotional needs of hospital patients with
dementia: a freelisting study with ward staff**

Journal:	<i>The Gerontologist</i>
Manuscript ID	TG-2018-0512.R2
Manuscript Type:	Research Article
Keywords:	Person-centered Care, Ethnography, Qualitative research methods
Other Keywords:	

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Review

Abstract

Background and Objectives: People with dementia are vulnerable when in hospital, with serious risks to their physical and emotional wellbeing. Hospital staff are expected to understand and respond to the emotions of the patient; however, it is not known how this can be achieved. We provide a concise description of achievable emotion-focused care for patients with dementia.

Design and Methods: Exploratory qualitative interviews were conducted with a whole UK hospital ward providing dementia care, constituting 47 staff members. Staff responded to four questions using ethnographic freelistings. They listed: (1) all the ways they notice the emotional distress of patients with dementia, (2) the causes of emotional distress, (3) all the ways they respond and (4) the responses that seem to work. Cultural consensus analysis was applied.

Results: A single-factor solution for each question indicated a consensus approach to emotional distress. Emotional distress was noticed from agitation (Smith's saliency score, 0.418), crying (0.350) and increased mobilising (0.238). The main causes of distress were the unfamiliar hospital environment (0.355) and not knowing what is happening (0.313). The most effective ways to respond to emotional distress required knowing the person (0.299), talking (0.283) and being with the person (0.269).

Discussion and Implications: The findings expand what is understood of behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia; these communicated emotional distress with well-understood causes. Prioritised ways of responding to emotional distress described person-centred care. The results offer a menu of options for providing emotionally-responsive care for patients with dementia in hospital. Future research should evaluate the care described.

Key words

Person centred care, qualitative research, ethnography

Introduction*Hospital dementia care*

Patients with dementia are particularly vulnerable when in hospital. Admission can denote a crisis situation (Heath, Sturdy, & Wilcock, 2010), which is often followed by multiple and overlapping realities for the patient of being physically unwell, confused and in receipt of unsuitable treatment (Boaden, 2016; Digby, Lee, & Williams, 2017; Lyketsos, Sheppard, & Rabins, 2000; National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, NICE, 2018; Sampson, Blanchard, Jones, Tookman, & King, 2009). Furthermore, the environment within which patients feel unwell has been widely recognised as stressful (Boaden, 2016; Brooke & Semlyen, 2017; Cowdell, 2010; Dewing & Dijk, 2016; Goldberg, Whittamore, Pollock, Harwood, & Gladman, 2014; Heath et al., 2010). These are conditions for emotional distress. It is in this context that the care provided for hospital patients with dementia has been addressed as an international priority (World Health Organization, 2017). The scale and the complexity of meeting the needs of patients with dementia (Boaden, 2016; NICE, 2018) means that research is welcomed into how care can be improved in achievable ways.

Emotional distress in hospital

The research literature contains descriptive accounts of patients with dementia showing emotional distress in hospital (Boaden, 2016; Clissett, Porock, Harwood, & Gladman, 2013; Cowdell, 2010; Dewing & Dijk, 2016; Innes, Kelly, Scerri, & Abela, 2016; Scerri, Innes, & Scerri, 2015). These report that emotional distress is experienced but is infrequently understood or addressed. Within the third national audit of dementia care in hospitals, The Royal College of Psychiatrists in the UK (Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2017) reported that

1
2
3 times of emotional distress, the causes of distress and the possible responses of hospital staff
4
5 to distress are not well documented. This was also highlighted in a proposed theory of
6
7 effective dementia care for the hospital context (Handley, Bunn, & Goodman, 2017). Hence,
8
9 this is not currently a routine or prioritised aspect of care. The Royal College of Psychiatrists
10
11 reported that overlooking this could have implications for the patient's presentation, further
12
13 challenge of hospital staff, the use of antipsychotic medication and increased healthcare
14
15 costs. Consistent with these views, the available guidance from the UK's National Institute
16
17 for Health and Care Excellence (NICE, 2018) recommends that hospital staff understand and
18
19 respond to emotional distress. This is an important component of integrated care, meaning
20
21 that patients receive the care that they need (Boaden, 2016; Mental Health Foundation, 2013;
22
23 NICE, 2018) and physical and mental health needs are not treated in isolation (Petty, Dening,
24
25 Coleston, & Griffiths, 2018a; Petty, Harvey, Griffiths, Coleston, & Dening, 2018b; Royal
26
27 College of Nursing, 2014). The available guidance does not yet detail how this can be
28
29 achieved (NICE, 2018; Scerri, Innes, & Scerri, 2017).
30
31

32 33 34 *Responses to emotional distress by hospital care staff*

35
36 Patients with dementia rely upon hospital staff to maintain their wellbeing (Brooke &
37
38 Semlyen, 2017; Dewing & Dijk, 2016; Digby & Bloomer, 2014; Edvardsson, Sandman, &
39
40 Rasmussen, 2011), though the skills required of hospital staff are not demonstrated routinely
41
42 or consistently (Cowdell, 2010; Dewing & Dijk, 2016; Digby et al., 2017; Goldberg et al.,
43
44 2014; Griffiths, Knight, Harwood, & Gladman, 2014; Handley et al., 2017; Moyle, Borbasi,
45
46 Wallis, Olorenshaw, & Gracia, 2010). It is not known how the widely-recommended
47
48 emotion-focused approaches (Brooker & Surr, 2006; Feil, 1993; Kitwood, 1997) translate
49
50 into hospital care (Dewar & Nolan, 2013; Edvardsson et al., 2011; Scerri et al., 2015); in part
51
52 this is due to **the culture of hospitals** **the complex systems of rules and order within hospitals**
53
54 **(Street & Coleman, 2012)** and the priorities of managing risk and delivering medical care
55
56
57
58
59
60

(Brooke & Ojo, 2017; Handley et al., 2017). In a review of all dementia care training interventions for hospital staff, Scerri et al. (2017) found an absence of emotion-orientated training and reported that the wellbeing and emotions of patients were not evaluated as an outcome in any study. Additionally, in an earlier review of hospital care for patients with dementia, Dewing & Dijk (2016) criticised the over-emphasis on theoretical recommendations and asked what care is achievable specifically in this context.

The purpose of this study is to outline how hospital staff can notice, understand and respond to the emotional distress of patients with dementia within routine practice.

Design and Methods

Exploratory qualitative interviews were conducted with multidisciplinary hospital staff using freelisting methodology (Weller & Romney, 1988), an ethnographic method used to capture the viewpoints of people inside a culture.

Cultural consensus is a means to examine whether the responses given by individuals in a group share an underlying definition, and the extent to which there is shared cultural knowledge (Romney, Weller & Batchelder, 1986). The concept was used here to determine whether a single description was reached that was agreed by all hospital staff for each question, hence whether the responses conveyed a cultural consensus about emotional distress.

Participants

Staff members were recruited from one ward within a teaching hospital in the UK. The ward was a member of Dementia Action Alliance, which connects over 150 national organisations in the UK, including hospitals, royal colleges and Government bodies, who show a commitment to improving dementia care in England. This setting was chosen in attempt to produce findings generalisable to other hospital settings, and in recognition that there is no

1
2
3 recommended design of hospital services for patients with dementia in Europe (Hermann,
4 Muck, & Nehen, 2015). A total of 47 hospital staff members constituted the whole ward staff
5 team over a three-month period, as determined by the staffing duty roster, and included bank
6 and temporary staff members and all shifts including night shifts. There were no exclusion
7 criteria. The whole ward was sampled in recognition of the skills of the whole hospital
8 workforce (Ashton & Manthorpe, 2017; Boaden, 2016; Innes et al., 2016). The number of
9 participants was determined by the analysis method (Weller, 2007) and was in line with
10 previous studies (Barg et al., 2006; Martinez Tyson, Castaneda, Porter, Quiroz, & Carrion,
11 2011; Schrauf & Sanchez, 2008).

12
13
14 All participants volunteered to take part and gave written consent. Ethical approval was
15 granted by the Health Research Authority (18/HRA/0221).

16 17 18 *Data collection*

19
20
21 The first author collected spoken lists within individual, face-to-face interviews. Hospital
22 staff were asked to keep in mind their working with patients with dementia and list as many
23 items as they could to describe: (1) how they notice when somebody is emotionally
24 distressed; (2) what they think causes them to be emotionally distressed; (3) all the ways they
25 respond when somebody is emotionally distressed; (4) of the ways to respond, all the things
26 that seem to work. The researcher then asked staff to provide demographic information. The
27 interview was audio-recorded.

28 29 30 *Data analysis*

31
32
33 Each interview was transcribed in full. List items were taken from each transcript and each
34 unique listed item was given a code, summarised as three-letters. A code book was used to
35 document each code, its description and all verbatim words and phrases that contributed to
36 that code (see Supplementary Table S1). *In creating the code book, the aim was to retain all*

1
2
3 unique list items. The researchers did not attempt to group items by theme. Therefore, as
4
5 many unique terms were retained as possible in case participants used different words to
6
7 express different things. This process was iterative and required reference back to the original
8
9 recordings and transcripts to ensure that the meaning of each participant was retained. To
10
11 improve the reliability of coding, the code book for each question was reviewed in full by all
12
13 authors and edited through group discussion; a sample (10%) of transcripts was coded
14
15 independently by two reviewers. The codes for list items became the dataset for analysis.
16
17 Each list was written in ASCII computer language and analysed with ANTHROPAC
18
19 software (Borgatti, 1996).

20
21
22 The ANTHROPAC freelist procedure was used to calculate: the total number of times that
23
24 each item was listed (frequency), the average position in a list when listed (average rank) and
25
26 the salience of each item, using Smith's saliency score. Salience is a measure of how
27
28 important an item is and is calculated using both the frequency and rank of an item; an item
29
30 with higher salience will have been mentioned more frequently and earlier in lists. Salience
31
32 scores were plotted as a scree curve; breaks in the curve showed the difference between items
33
34 that were culturally shared and those that represented individual responses (see
35
36 Supplementary File S2). Only items with higher salience and items mentioned by at least
37
38 20% of respondents will be displayed in the results table for each question, as these criteria
39
40 serve to mark the boundary of the cultural definition (Barg et al., 2006).

41
42
43 ANTHROPAC recode and consensus procedures were used to organise the data into a matrix
44
45 with rows representing staff members and columns representing list items (a participant-by-
46
47 item matrix); cells contained a 1 if an item was listed and a 0 if it was not. Factor analytic
48
49 methods then used a participant-by-participant correlation matrix, with staff members
50
51 represented as rows and columns, to indicate agreement between staff members. The analysis
52
53 used participants as the variables in factor analysis.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Cultural consensus, and the coherence of the cultural domain, is shown by a single-factor
4 solution and stronger agreement between staff is shown by a higher ratio between the first
5 and second factor. A large first eigenvalue relative to the second eigenvalue, with a ratio
6 greater than 3:1, shows that a single domain exists (Handwerker & Borgatti, 1998).
7
8 Knowledge scores represent each staff member's awareness of a domain by showing the level
9 of agreement of each staff member with the cultural consensus, or each staff member's
10 loadings on the first factor, where the maximum value is 1.0.
11
12 The analysis was repeated separately for each of the four interview questions.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 **Results**

23 *Participant characteristics*

24
25 Participant demographic data is shown in Table 1. The majority of hospital staff were female
26 (70%) and White British (75%). The professions with the highest representation were health
27 care assistants (43%) and nurses (26%). The mean length of time working on the ward was
28 six years (SD = 7.4, range 1 week to 28 years). The mean length of time working with people
29 with dementia was 11 years (SD = 9.4, range 3 months to 37 years).
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 *Responses to freelisting questions*

40
41 For each of the four questions, the most salient list items, their frequency and average list
42 position are given in Tables 2-5. Collectively, multidisciplinary hospital staff produced a
43 single, shared description of how to notice, understand and respond to the emotional distress
44 of patients with dementia. This reflects a comprehensive and shared understanding of how to
45 address the emotional needs of patients with dementia when in hospital.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 *Question (1) How to notice emotional distress*

4
5 A total of 67 unique descriptions of distress were listed. The mean list length was 8.3 items
6
7 (SD = 3.4). One coherent, bounded cultural domain was represented: the first and second
8
9 eigenvalues were 29.4 and 1.5 respectively, with a ratio far greater than 3:1 (Handwerker &
10
11 Borgatti, 1998). The average knowledge score was 0.8 (SD 0.1). The most salient items for
12
13 ‘how to notice emotional distress’ (with Smith’s saliency score) were: agitation (0.418),
14
15 crying (0.350), mobilising more (0.238), restlessness (0.235), facial expression (0.215), body
16
17 language (0.209), aggression (0.170), being withdrawn (0.169), disorientation or confusion
18
19 (0.169), anger (0.155), verbal aggression (0.154) and calling out or shouting out (0.150).
20
21 ‘Agitation’ was listed by 53% of participants and on average was given third in a list.
22
23 Agitation was the list item with the highest saliency score across all four questions, showing
24
25 the high prevalence of its use. ‘Crying’ was listed by 51% participants and tended to be
26
27 ranked lower. Whilst ‘being withdrawn’ was listed by the next highest number of
28
29 participants, 36%, it tended to be ranked below sixth, suggesting that being withdrawn was a
30
31 less immediate way of noticing distress. See Table 2 for the 12 most salient items listed.
32
33

34
35
36 *Question (2) Causes of emotional distress*

37
38 A total of 97 unique causes for emotional distress were listed. The mean list length was 8.3
39
40 items (SD = 4.2). One coherent, bounded cultural domain was represented: the first and
41
42 second eigenvalues were 33.1 and 0.7 respectively. The average knowledge score was 0.8
43
44 (SD 0.1). The most salient items for ‘causes of emotional distress’ were: the hospital
45
46 environment (0.355), not knowing what is happening (0.313), being away from loved ones
47
48 (0.288), not knowing where s/he is (0.268), pain (0.150), wanting to go home (0.143),
49
50 confusion (0.136) and strangers (0.124). Although ‘being away from loved ones’ was listed
51
52 by the highest number of participants, 52%, on average it was listed fifth, **meaning that staff**
53
54 **were slower to give this as a cause of distress**, whilst the hospital environment was listed
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 third on average and was the most salient item. See Table 3 for the eight most salient items
4
5 listed.

6
7
8 *Question (3) Responses to emotional distress*

9
10 A total of 104 unique responses to distress were listed. The mean list length was 10.7 items
11
12 (SD = 5.6). One coherent, bounded cultural domain was represented: the first and second
13
14 eigenvalues were 31.9 and 0.8 respectively. The average knowledge score was 0.8 (SD 0.1).
15
16 The most salient items for 'all the ways to respond to emotional distress' were: reassurance
17
18 (0.357), talking (0.306), sitting with or being with (0.260), distraction (0.255), comfort
19
20 (0.247), asking the person about their needs (0.191), a cup of tea (0.167), empathising
21
22 (0.167), identifying the cause (0.166), knowing the person (0.148), listening (0.140), touch
23
24 (0.137) and offering space or a different environment (0.133). The highest total of list items
25
26 were given in response to this question; this question also produced the highest number of
27
28 culturally shared items as shown by the scree curve plot and over 13 items being listed by
29
30 more than 20% of participants. See Table 4 for the 13 most salient items listed.
31
32

33
34
35 *Question (4) Responses to emotional distress that seem to work*

36
37 A total of 70 unique items were listed. The mean list length was 5.6 items (SD = 2.1). One
38
39 coherent, bounded cultural domain was represented: the first and second eigenvalues were
40
41 32.2 and 0.8 respectively. The average knowledge score was 0.9 (SD 0.1). The most salient
42
43 items for 'ways to respond that seem to work' were: knowing the person (0.299), talking
44
45 (0.283), sitting with or being with (0.269), trying all of the responses listed (0.258),
46
47 reassurance (0.176) and a cup of tea (0.126). 'Trying all of the responses listed' was ranked
48
49 highest when listed, first or second on average, but was listed by fewer participants than other
50
51 list items, 28%, suggesting that a subset of staff feel well-resourced in responding to
52
53 emotional distress. Most participants, 43%, said that talking to the person worked at times of
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 distress. **Having to know** **Knowing each person** as an individual was the most salient item for
4
5 this question. See Table 5 for the six most salient items listed.
6
7

8 *Questions (3) and (4) Comparison of responses and responses that seem to work*

9

10 Hospital staff listed significantly fewer items on average for responses that seem to work than
11 they did for all responses that they try ($t(46) = 7.54, p < .001$). Despite listing fewer items,
12 staff showed slightly more agreement with each other for responses that seem to work, as
13 shown by higher knowledge scores ($t(92) = 2.27, p = .026$) and showed the same high levels of
14 agreement over the most salient items as shown by the ratio between the eigenvalues for the
15 first and second factor and the spread of salience scores shown in Tables 4 and 5. This
16 suggests a confident consensus over responses to emotional distress that seem to work.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 **Discussion**

27
28 This study provides an illustration of how an entire ward staff team understands the
29 emotional needs of patients with dementia as part of routine practice in a UK hospital setting.
30
31 Consensus analysis of four questions showed that a shared cultural domain underlay the
32 descriptions given by individual hospital staff members, with a high degree of consensus. The
33 descriptions of emotional distress and care responses given by hospital staff were ordered by
34 priority, with items of the highest salience reported. This provides an operational definition of
35 compassionate hospital care. This study responds to the requirement for hospital staff to
36 provide integrated care and emotion-focused care (Boaden, 2016; Mental Health Foundation,
37 2013; NICE, 2018).
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 The main ways of noticing emotional distress listed closely match what has been labelled as
50 the behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) (Cerejeira, Lagarto, &
51 Mukaetova-Ladinska, 2012). These include: agitation or restlessness, increased mobilising,
52
53 low mood, aggression, irritability and aberrant vocalisations. Importantly, though, this study
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 challenges these symptom labels in the following ways: first, the symptom labels were listed
4
5 as ways that emotional distress is communicated, thus offering some understanding of their
6
7 meaning; second, the causes thought to underlie these behaviours were described in equal
8
9 detail and with equal confidence as the symptom labels, giving further remit for targeted
10
11 interventions; third, over fifty additional descriptions of the ways to notice emotional distress
12
13 were provided beyond the twelve most-used descriptions, meaning that there is a broader
14
15 lexicon available to describe a person's behaviour than this shorthand. For example,
16
17 increased mobilising was more fully described here as getting out of bed, wanting to leave
18
19 the ward and looking for or searching for somebody. The behaviour described was semiotic,
20
21 or meaning-driven, because a person was upset about being in an unfamiliar place, without
22
23 knowing what was happening to them. The listed descriptions of distress in this study are
24
25 understood as usual reactions to circumstance, rather than the symptoms of a disease. Table 3
26
27 gives examples of what could cause emotional distress for any person, regardless of
28
29 diagnosis. The findings restate that the range of behaviours shown by people with dementia
30
31 are heterogeneous because they reflect personal and widely variable experiences (Cerejeira et
32
33 al., 2012; Petty et al., 2018a; Petty et al., 2018b; Stokes, 2017).

34
35
36
37
38 The leading causes of emotional distress were considered to be the unfamiliar hospital
39
40 environment and the patient not understanding what is happening. Supportive of these
41
42 findings, the physical hospital environment is the focus of international intervention (NICE,
43
44 2018; Waller, Masterson, & Evans, 2017; World Health Organization, 2017). The need to
45
46 improve a patient's understanding of their placement in hospital also replicates findings from
47
48 other studies (Digby, Moss, & Bloomer, 2012; Edvardsson & Nordvall, 2008). However,
49
50 hospital staff did not describe responding to emotional distress by addressing the physical
51
52 environment or increasing orientation to time and place. Addressing these factors could prove
53
54 helpful in the prevention of emotional distress.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 From the numerous possible responses to emotional distress, the responses thought to work
4 described person-centred and validating care, which is widely recommended for people with
5 dementia than when in a hospital setting (Brooker & Surr, 2006; Feil, 1993; Kitwood, 1997);
6 this included staff having to know each person as an individual, talking, being with the
7 patient as a person, offering reassurance that problems can be resolved and offering a cup of
8 tea. What staff did to try to help the persons in distress was, in one way or another, involved
9 engaging the person as a human being in need. When distress was felt for the reasons
10 described in Table 3, the person was helped by having someone notice them, understand
11 them and be humane with them. Beyond the diagnosis of dementia, treating the patient with
12 dementia as a person was key. Consistent with what staff prioritised in this study, accounts of
13 hospital care from patients with dementia and their families have established that
14 relationships with care staff are of greater importance than the physical environment
15 (Cowdell, 2010; Digby & Bloomer, 2014; Edvardsson & Nordvall, 2008). Staff showed
16 strong agreement over these effective responses to emotional distress (Barg et al., 2006;
17 Schrauf & Sanchez, 2008).

36 *Strengths*

37
38 First, this study provided the detail of achievable care, recognising the existing knowledge of
39 hospital staff, and such research has been called for (Brooke & Ojo, 2017; Dewing & Dijk,
40 2016). Importantly, the findings offered are solutions from practice, which responds to prior
41 criticism of an overemphasis on theoretical models of person-centred care for patients with
42 dementia when in hospital (Dewing & Dijk, 2016). The understanding offered is helpful
43 ahead of the implementation of interventions. Second, the sample featured all professions and
44 demographics expected in a UK hospital setting (Dewar & Nolan, 2013; Griffiths et al.,
45 2014). A major strength of the sampling was the multi-profession perspective, with greater
46 representation of different professions than in previous studies (Griffiths et al., 2014; Innes et
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 al., 2016). Third, freelisting was a novel method to explore the realities of everyday practice
4
5 in a hospital setting and is praised for being simple, inexpensive and an efficient way to
6
7 gather meaningful information (Fleisher & Harrington, 1998).
8
9

10 *Limitations*

11
12 An important consideration when applying the findings to future care design is whether the
13
14 descriptions of care given are equivalent to the care that is routinely delivered. Additionally,
15
16 there is likely to be variability in the care delivered at any one time, given what is prioritised
17
18 on the ward, busyness, fatigue and demands on staff including aggression and the emotional
19
20 toll of being with distress and illness (Ashton & Manthorpe, 2017; Goldberg et al., 2014;
21
22 Griffiths et al., 2014; Handley et al., 2017; Moyle et al., 2010). In a previous study, hospital
23
24 staff reported providing person-centred interactions with patients that were not supported by
25
26 observation (Innes et al., 2016). In this study, the spontaneous listing task completed within a
27
28 working shift and the strong agreement between staff suggest reliable knowledge, though the
29
30 care described was not observed. Additionally, we explored ways to respond to distress once
31
32 it is manifest, therefore, additional questions would be needed prior to recommending
33
34 interventions to prevent distress. Finally, the findings would benefit from replication beyond
35
36 a single hospital site as different staff teams might contribute to a more comprehensive set of
37
38 responses and might appraise effectiveness differently; this study has limited generalisability
39
40 due to the homogeneous nature of the sample, and the findings reflect aspects of the English
41
42 culture that might not translate well to other countries, such as the value of a 'cup of tea'.
43
44
45
46
47

48 **Implications**

49 *Implications for practice*

50
51
52 First, commonly used **symptom** labels, **including such as** agitation and aggression, should be
53
54 understood as meaningful behaviour by patients with dementia that conveys an emotional
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 reaction to the circumstance of being in hospital, rather than the symptoms of a disease.
4
5 Second, it is of note that the skills to respond to emotional distress described in the literature
6
7 to date have been variable in all settings (Clissett et al., 2013; Edvardsson et al., 2011;
8
9 Griffiths et al., 2014; Nolan, 2006; Scerri et al., 2015). Training interventions designed for
10
11 hospital staff have demonstrated that translating person-centred care into practice is
12
13 challenging to achieve and resource-intensive (Innes et al., 2016; Surr, Smith, Crossland, &
14
15 Robins, 2016). Here, staff produced a menu of possible ways to notice, understand and
16
17 respond to emotional distress. The personal connection that was prioritised at times of
18
19 emotional distress could suggest a skillset that is quick to draw upon and that is transferable
20
21 to a range of care situations. The results are the prioritised interventions of hospital staff who
22
23 are responsible for providing care in moments of the highest challenge (Boaden, 2016; NICE,
24
25 2018) thus showing the value of these aspects of care provision. Staff demonstrated relevant
26
27 knowledge and experience; the emphasis of both clinical practice and research going forward
28
29 should be the implementation of this knowledge into care delivery. We recommend that the
30
31 findings are applied to clinical practice given the potential benefits to patient wellbeing and
32
33 staff wellbeing, the reduced use of antipsychotic medication and reduced healthcare costs
34
35 (Cerejeira et al., 2012; Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2017). Third, a comprehensive
36
37 understanding was shared by the whole staff team; this has implications for person-centred
38
39 care being delivered more consistently, beyond what volunteer or liaison services can achieve
40
41 (Dewing & Dijk, 2016; Griffiths et al., 2014; Handley et al., 2017). This also recognises the
42
43 valued input of cleaning staff (Ashton & Manthorpe, 2017). Finally, the findings support
44
45 further development in addressing the physical environment (Digby et al., 2012; Waller et al.,
46
47 2017) and campaigns to increase the presence of family members in hospital as preventions
48
49 for emotional distress (Boaden, 2016) as these were described as the leading causes of
50
51 emotional distress for patients with dementia when in hospital.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Implications for research

Future research would benefit from supplementing staff report with other outcomes of interest, including observations of care (Innes et al., 2016) and patient-report (Hung et al., 2017). This study poses questions as to whether emotion-focused care is consistently delivered and asks what the multiple impacts on the system might be were it to be delivered. For example, these might include changes in staff attitude and confidence, use of medication, length of stay, incidence of falls, patient aggression and family member wellbeing (Scerri et al., 2017). Research has shown that training programmes for multidisciplinary staff in a community care setting that prioritise knowing the person with dementia can achieve these benefits (Alonzo, Mitchell, & Knupp, 2015). This research would contribute to the limited evidence that is currently available to recommend emotion-focused approaches to care in hospital (NICE, 2018).

Funding

This work was supported by “blinded for review”. The funding source did not determine the study design, methods, subject recruitment, data collection, analysis or preparation of the paper.

References

- Alonzo, T. M., Mitchell, K. M., & Knupp, C. E. (2015). Comfort care for people with dementia: the Beatitudes campus model. In M. L. Malone, E. A. Capezuti, & R. M. Palmer (Eds.), *Geriatrics models of care* (pp. 299-302). Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.
- Ashton, C., & Manthorpe, J. (2017). The views of domestic staff and porters when supporting patients with dementia in the acute hospital: an exploratory qualitative study. *Dementia & Neuropsychologia*, 0(0), 1-18. doi:10.1177/1471301217707085

- 1
2
3 Barg, F. K., Huss-Ashmore, R., Wittink, M. N., Murray, G. F., Bogner, H. R., & Gallo, J. J.
4
5 (2006). A mixed-methods approach to understanding loneliness and depression in
6
7 older adults. *The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and*
8
9 *Social Sciences*, 61(6), S329-S339. doi:10.1093/geronb/61.6.S329
10
11 Boaden, A. (2016). Fix dementia care: hospitals. Retrieved from
12
13 https://www.alzheimers.org.uk/site/scripts/download_info.php?fileID%42907
14
15 Borgatti, S. P. (1996). ANTHROPAC 4.0. Natick, MA: Analytic Technologies.
16
17 Brooke, J., & Ojo, O. (2017). Elements of a sustainable, competent, and empathetic
18
19 workforce to support patients with dementia during an acute hospital stay: a
20
21 comprehensive literature review. *The International Journal of Health Planning and*
22
23 *Management*, 33(1), e10-e25. doi:10.1002/hpm.2448
24
25 Brooke, J., & Semlyen, J. (2017). Exploring the impact of dementia-friendly ward
26
27 environments on the provision of care: a qualitative thematic analysis. *Dementia*,
28
29 0(0), 1-16. doi:10.1177/1471301216689402
30
31
32 Brooker, D. J., & Surr, C. (2006). Dementia Care Mapping (DCM): initial validation of DCM
33
34 8 in UK field trials. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 21(11), 1018-1025.
35
36 doi:10.1002/gps.1600
37
38
39 Cerejeira, J., Lagarto, L., & Mukaetova-Ladinska, E. (2012). Behavioral and psychological
40
41 symptoms of dementia. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 3, 73-93.
42
43 doi:10.3389/fneur.2012.00073
44
45
46 Clissett, P., Porock, D., Harwood, R. H., & Gladman, J. R. (2013). The challenges of
47
48 achieving person-centred care in acute hospitals: a qualitative study of people with
49
50 dementia and their families. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 50(11), 1495-
51
52 1503. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2013.03.001
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Cowdell, F. (2010). The care of older people with dementia in acute hospitals. *International*
4
5 *Journal of Older People Nursing*, 5(2), 83-92. doi:10.1111/j.1748-3743.2010.00208.x
6
- 7 Dewar, B., & Nolan, M. (2013). Caring about caring: developing a model to implement
8
9 compassionate relationship centred care in an older people care setting. *International*
10
11 *Journal of Nursing Studies*, 50(9), 1247-1258. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2013.01.008
12
- 13 Dewing, J., & Dijk, S. (2016). What is the current state of care for older people with
14
15 dementia in general hospitals? A literature review. *Dementia*, 15(1), 106-124.
16
17 doi:10.1177/1471301213520172
18
- 19 Digby, R., & Bloomer, M. J. (2014). People with dementia and the hospital environment: the
20
21 view of patients and family carers. *International Journal of Older People Nursing*,
22
23 9(1), 34-43. doi:10.1111/opn.12014
24
25
- 26 Digby, R., Lee, S., & Williams, A. (2017). The experience of people with dementia and
27
28 nurses in hospital: an integrative review. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 26(9-10), 1152-
29
30 1171. doi:10.1111/jocn.13429
31
32
- 33 Digby, R., Moss, C., & Bloomer, M. (2012). Transferring from an acute hospital and settling
34
35 into a subacute facility: the experience of patients with dementia. *International*
36
37 *Journal of Older People Nursing*, 7(1), 57-64.
38
- 39 Edvardsson, D., & Nordvall, K. (2008). Lost in the present but confident of the past:
40
41 experiences of being in a psycho-geriatric unit as narrated by persons with dementia.
42
43 *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 17(4), 491-498. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2702.2006.01826.x
44
45
- 46 Edvardsson, D., Sandman, P. O., & Rasmussen, B. (2011). Forecasting the ward climate: a
47
48 study from a dementia care unit. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 21(7), 1114-1136.
49
50 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2702.2011.03720.x
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Feil, N. (1993). *The validation breakthrough: simple techniques for communicating with*
4
5 *people with "Alzheimer's-type dementia"*. Baltimore, MD, US: Health Professions
6
7 Press.
- 8
9 Fleisher, M. S., & Harrington, J. A. (1998). *Freelisting: management at a women's federal*
10
11 *prison camp*. In V. C. De Munck & E. J. Sobo (Eds.), *Using methods in the field: a*
12
13 *practical introduction and casebook* (pp. 69-83). Walnut Creek, CA: Alta Mira Press.
- 14
15 Goldberg, S. E., Whittamore, K. H., Pollock, K., Harwood, R. H., & Gladman, J. R. (2014).
16
17 *Caring for cognitively impaired older patients in the general hospital: a qualitative*
18
19 *analysis of similarities and differences between a specialist Medical and Mental*
20
21 *Health Unit and standard care wards*. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 51(10),
22
23 1332-1343. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2014.02.002
- 24
25
26 Griffiths, A., Knight, A., Harwood, R., & Gladman, J. R. (2014). *Preparation to care for*
27
28 *confused older patients in general hospitals: a study of UK health professionals*. *Age*
29
30 *and Ageing*, 43(4), 521-527. doi:10.1093/ageing/aft171
- 31
32
33 Handley, M., Bunn, F., & Goodman, C. (2017). *Dementia-friendly interventions to improve*
34
35 *the care of people living with dementia admitted to hospitals: a realist review*. *BMJ*
36
37 *Open*, 7(7), e015257. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-015257
- 38
39
40 Handwerker, W. P., & Borgatti, S. P. (1998). *Reasoning with numbers*. In H. R. Bernard
41
42 (Ed.), *Handbook of Methods in Cultural Anthropology* (pp. 549-591). Walnut Creek,
43
44 CA: AltaMira Press.
- 45
46 Heath, H., Sturdy, D., & Wilcock, G. (2010). *Improving quality of care for people with*
47
48 *dementia in general hospitals*. Retrieved from Harrow, England:
- 49
50 Hermann, D. M., Muck, S., & Nehen, H. G. (2015). *Supporting dementia patients in hospital*
51
52 *environments: health-related risks, needs and dedicated structures for patient care*.
53
54 *European Journal of Neurology*, 22(2), 239-245. doi:10.1111/ene.12530
- 55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Hung, L., Phinney, A., Chaudhury, H., Rodney, P., Tabamo, J., & Bohl, D. (2017). "Little
4 things matter!" Exploring the perspectives of patients with dementia about the
5 hospital environment. *International Journal of Older People Nursing*, 12(3), e12153.
6
7
8
9 Innes, A., Kelly, F., Scerri, C., & Abela, S. (2016). Living with dementia in hospital wards: a
10 comparative study of staff perceptions of practice and observed patient experience.
11
12
13 *International Journal of Older People Nursing*, 11(2), 94-106. doi:10.1111/opn.12102
14
15
16 Kitwood, T. (1997). The experience of dementia. *Aging & Mental Health*, 1(1), 13-22.
17
18 Lyketsos, C. G., Sheppard, J.-M. E., & Rabins, P. V. (2000). Dementia in elderly persons in a
19 general hospital. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 157(5), 704-707.
20
21
22
23 Martinez Tyson, D. D., Castaneda, H., Porter, M., Quiroz, M., & Carrion, I. (2011). More
24 similar than different? Exploring cultural models of depression among Latino
25 immigrants in Florida. *Depression Research and Treatment*, 2011, 564396.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Mental Health Foundation. (2013). *Crossing boundaries. Improving integrated care for people with mental health problems*. London: Mental Health Foundation.
- Moyle, W., Borbasi, S., Wallis, M., Olorenshaw, R., & Gracia, N. (2010). Acute care management of older people with dementia: a qualitative perspective. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 20(3-4), 420-428.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. (2018). *Dementia. Assessment, management and support for people living with dementia and their carers. NICE Guideline [NG97]*. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence.
- Nolan, L. (2006). Caring connections with older persons with dementia in an acute hospital setting - a hermeneutic interpretation of the staff nurse's experience. *International Journal of Older People Nursing*, 1, 208-215.

- 1
2
3 Petty, S., Denning, T., Coleston, D. M., & Griffiths, A. (2018a). Dementia: beyond disorders
4 of mood. *Aging & Mental Health*, 1-4. doi:10.1080/13607863.2018.1430742
5
6
7 Petty, S., Harvey, K., Griffiths, A., Coleston, D. M., & Denning, T. (2018b). Emotional
8 distress with dementia: a systematic review using corpus-based analysis and meta-
9 ethnography. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 33(5), 679-687.
10
11
12
13 doi:10.1002/gps.4870
14
15
16 Romney, A. K., Weller, S. C., & Batchelder, W. H. (1986). Culture as consensus: a theory of
17 culture and informant accuracy. *American Anthropologist*, 88(2), 313-338.
18
19
20
21 doi:10.1525/aa.1986.88.2.02a00020
22
23 Royal College of Nursing. (2014). Defining nursing. Retrieved from
24
25 <https://www.rcn.org.uk/professional-development/publications/pub-004768>
26
27 Royal College of Psychiatrists. (2017). National audit of dementia care in general hospitals
28 2016-17: third round audit report. London: Royal College of Psychiatrists.
29
30
31 Sampson, E. L., Blanchard, M. R., Jones, L., Tookman, A., & King, M. (2009). Dementia in
32 the acute hospital: prospective cohort study of prevalence and mortality. *The British*
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Schrauf, R. W., & Sanchez, J. (2008). Using freelisting to identify, assess, and characterize age differences in shared cultural domains. *The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences*, 63(1), 1-11. doi:10.1093/geronb/63.1.1

- 1
2
3 Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences, 63(6), S385-S393.
4
5 doi:10.1093/geronb/63.6.S385
6
7 Stokes, G. (2017). Challenging behaviour in dementia: a person-centred approach. London:
8
9 Taylor & Francis Ltd.
10
11 Street, A., & Coleman, S. (2012). Introduction: real and imagined spaces. *Space and Culture*,
12
13 15(1), 4-17. doi:10.1177/1206331211421852
14
15 Surr, C. A., Smith, S. J., Crossland, J., & Robins, J. (2016). Impact of a person-centred
16
17 dementia care training programme on hospital staff attitudes, role efficacy and
18
19 perceptions of caring for people with dementia: a repeated measures study.
20
21 *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 53, 144-151.
22
23 doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2015.09.009
24
25
26 Waller, S., Masterson, A., & Evans, S. C. (2017). The development of environmental
27
28 assessment tools to support the creation of dementia friendly care environments:
29
30 Innovative practice. *Dementia*, 16(2), 226-232.
31
32
33 Weller, S. C. (2007). Cultural consensus theory: applications and frequently asked questions.
34
35 *Field Methods*, 19(4), 339-368. doi:10.1177/1525822x07303502
36
37 Weller, S. C., & Romney, A. K. (1988). *Systematic data collection* (Vol. 10). Newbury Park,
38
39 California: Sage Publications.
40
41 World Health Organization. (2017). *Global action plan on the public health response to*
42
43 *dementia 2017–2025*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 Tables

51
52 Table 1. Participant demographics
53

54 N = 47
55
56
57
58
59
60

Emotion and hospital patients with dementia Main text

<i>Female</i>	33
<i>Age</i>	
less than 25 years	7
25-34 years	8
35-44 years	9
45-54 years	13
55 and more	10
<i>Ethnic origin</i>	
White British	35
Indian	5
Pakistani	2
White background other than British, Irish or Irish	2
Other	3
<i>Professional role</i>	
Health care assistant	20
Nurse or student nurse	12
Occupational therapist, physiotherapist or therapy assistant	4
Doctor	3
Manager or deputy manager	3
Domestic assistant/cleaner	2
Volunteer	2
Ward clerk	1
<i>With personal experience of dementia</i>	18
<i>Years working with people with dementia</i>	
0-5 years	18
6-10 years	10
11-15 years	5
16-20 years	6
20+ years	8
<i>Training in dementia care</i>	
No formal training	6
Mandatory training and online courses	12
Classroom teaching	26
Qualification in dementia care	3

Table 2. Salience information for the top list items for interview question (1) how to notice emotional distress

Emotion and hospital patients with dementia Main text

List item	Description of list item	Frequency	Listed by (%)	Average rank	Saliency
Agitation	The words 'agitation' or 'agitated' were used; verbal or physical agitation was described.	25	53	2.9	0.418
Crying	Descriptions included crying, tears and weeping.	24	51	3.6	0.350
Mobilising more	Descriptions were of more movement, including wandering, pacing, running, standing to move and getting out of bed.	16	34	4.3	0.238
Restless	Being unsettled was described; descriptions included fiddling, fidgeting, fussing with items, tearing items, pulling at clothing or equipment, being flustered or unable to settle.	14	30	3.3	0.235
Facial expression	A person's face or facial expression shows distress. This includes descriptions of anxiety, strain, upset, anger or pain showing on their face, or a change in expression.	13	28	2.8	0.215
Body language	Body language or posture was listed; descriptions included waving, pointing or moving hands or putting hands to their head.	12	26	2.7	0.209
Aggression	The terms 'aggression' or 'aggressive' were used without further description.	14	30	4.7	0.170
Withdrawn	Being withdrawn, quiet, disengaged or subdued were described. Individuals were described as being distressed within themselves.	17	36	6.4	0.169
Disorientated or confused	Confusion or disorientation were listed; included a person not understanding where s/he is, not understanding what s/he is doing or having poor attention.	15	32	6.0	0.169
Anger	'Anger' or 'angry' were listed, sometimes with explanations for the anger.	10	21	3.3	0.155
Verbal aggression	Verbal aggression was listed or descriptions of swearing, bad language or arguing were given.	11	23	4.3	0.154
Raised volume	Staff described an increase in the volume of speech. Descriptions included shouting, calling out or	14	30	5.4	0.150

crying out.

Table 3. Saliency information for the top list items for interview question (2) causes of emotional distress

List item	Description of list item	Frequency	Listed by (%)	Average rank	Saliency
The hospital environment	Descriptions of the environment included descriptions of an unfamiliar, new or foreign setting or the hospital setting explicitly; this included descriptions of an intrusive environment and descriptions of hospital bays and beds.	20	43	3.1	0.355
Not knowing what is happening when in hospital	Meant a person not understanding why s/he is in hospital; descriptions included asking why s/he is in hospital, denying the need for hospital and not knowing the duration of hospital stay.	20	43	3.4	0.313
Being away from loved ones	Included descriptions of wanting to see family or friends, looking for them and thinking about them, not being with them, awaiting or not receiving visitors and not knowing where family are. Specific family members, such as 'mum' or 'husband', were mentioned. Descriptions also included feeling left or abandoned by family.	24	52	5.1	0.288
Not knowing where s/he is	Disorientation to place included descriptions of a person not knowing where s/he is, asking where s/he is and not knowing that s/he is in hospital.	17	37	3.3	0.268
Pain	Pain was listed.	11	24	4.7	0.150
Wanting to go home	Staff described a person missing home, wanting to go home and asking to go home.	11	24	4.3	0.143
Confusion	'Confused' or 'confusion' were listed as single words without further description.	9	20	3.6	0.136
Strangers	Strangers, unfamiliar or unknown people, unfamiliar faces and voices and individuals not knowing who is around them were described.	9	20	4.7	0.124

Table 4. Salience information for the top list items for interview question (3) responses to emotional distress

List item	Description of list item	Frequency	Listed by (%)	Average rank	Salience
Reassurance	Giving reassurance was listed, such as 'reassure them', without further description. It was used to mean telling a person verbally that things would be OK.	20	43	2.5	0.357
Talking	Talking; sharing conversation and stories; talking whilst walking.	21	45	4.8	0.306
Sitting with, being with	Included descriptions of being with the person in their distress and offering company.	20	43	5.9	0.260
Distraction	Distraction was sometimes described as providing distraction from the person's thoughts or mood; changing topic; distracting for a short time.	20	43	6.2	0.255
Comfort	The word 'comfort' was used. Descriptions of giving comfort also included descriptions of being calming or nurturing in manner.	16	34	3.9	0.247
Ask them	Responding by asking the person; this included asking what the matter is or why s/he is distressed; asking what s/he would like.	15	32	6.2	0.191
Cup of tea	A cup of tea or cup of tea with biscuits was listed. Described as a comfort and described as allowing time together.	18	38	8.8	0.167
Empathising	Trying to understand; empathising. Descriptions included tuning in to what is troubling them, getting into their mind-set or universe; telling them they understand.	12	26	5.0	0.167
Identify the cause	Responding by identifying the cause or reason for distress, identifying the problem or the need; included descriptions of fathoming, working out or getting to the grounds of the distress; not assuming.	11	23	5.6	0.166
Knowing the person	Staff described having to know or get to know the person; included each	10	21	6.0	0.148

Emotion and hospital patients with dementia Main text

	person being different/unique and different responses working for different people.				
Listen	Listening was listed. Also included telling the person they are listening.	12	26	5.8	0.140
Touch	Descriptions of touch included holding a person's hand or touching their shoulder, arm or knee; offering a hug; allowing them to rest their head.	11	23	6.7	0.137
Space	Staff listed offering a different or preferred environment, room or space. This included using the café away from the ward.	14	30	8.3	0.133

Table 5. Salience information for the top list items for interview question (4) responses to emotional distress that seem to work


List item	Description of list item	Frequency	Listed by (%)	Average rank	Salience
Knowing the person	Staff described having to know or get to know the person; included each person being different/unique; being person centred; being patient-led; different responses working for different people.	19	40	3.1	0.299
Talking	Talking; included sharing conversation, chatting, communicating.	20	43	3.2	0.283
Sitting with, being with	Sitting with, being with, engaging with. Included descriptions of giving attention and being a person without uniform or equipment.	19	40	3.9	0.269
All of them	'Anything', 'everything' or 'all of them' were listed; sometimes any in combination.	13	28	1.9	0.258
Reassurance	Giving reassurance generally was listed, including reassurance not to worry or that problems would be resolved.	12	26	2.9	0.176
Cup of tea	A cup of tea or cup of tea with biscuits was listed.	11	23	4.6	0.126

Supplementary Table S1. A brief excerpt from the code book of all unique list items generated from the freelisting interviews

Code	Code explanation	Code content	Code summary
REA	Reassurance	'reassure', 'reassurance', 'reassure everything is fine'	Giving reassurance generally was listed without further description.
SIT	Sitting with, being with	'sit down', 'keep company', 'little bit of time', 'be with them in their distress'	Sitting with or being with included descriptions of being with the person in their distress and offering company.
TAL	Talking	'talk it through', 'talk to them about it', 'conversation, stories, chat', 'talk whilst walking'	Talking; included sharing conversation and stories; included talking whilst walking.


Supplementary File S2. Scree curve plots showing the number of listed items with the highest salience for each of the four freelisting tasks.

Interview question (1) how to notice emotional distress




Interview question (2) causes of emotional distress

Emotion and hospital patients with dementia Main text




Interview question (3) responses to emotional distress



Interview question (4) responses to emotional distress that seem to work

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



Or Peer Review