

CK2 phosphorylation of the PRH/Hex homeodomain functions as a reversible switch for DNA binding

Abdenour Soufi¹, Peter Noy¹, Malcolm Buckle², Anyaporn Sawasdichai³, Kevin Gaston³ and Padma-Sheela Jayaraman^{1,*}

¹Institute for Biomedical Research, Birmingham University Medical School, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK, ²LBPA (UMR 8113 du CNRS), Ecole Normale Supérieure de Cachan, 61 Avenue du Président Wilson, 94235 Cachan, France and ³Department of Biochemistry, University of Bristol, University Walk, Bristol BS8 1TD, UK

Received April 11, 2008; Revised March 10, 2009; Accepted March 11, 2009

ABSTRACT

The proline-rich homeodomain protein (PRH/Hex) regulates transcription by binding to specific DNA sequences and regulates mRNA transport by binding to translation initiation factor eIF4E. Protein kinase CK2 plays multiple roles in the regulation of gene expression and cell proliferation. Here, we show that PRH interacts with the β subunit of CK2 *in vitro* and in cells and that CK2 phosphorylates PRH. Phosphorylation of PRH by CK2 inhibits the DNA binding activity of this protein and dephosphorylation restores DNA binding indicating that this modification acts as a reversible switch. We show that phosphorylation of the homeodomain is sufficient to block DNA binding and we identify two amino acids within this the domain that are phosphorylated by CK2: S163 and S177. Site-directed mutagenesis demonstrates that mutation of either of these residues to glutamic acid partially mimics phosphorylation but is insufficient to completely block DNA binding whereas an S163E/S177E double mutation severely inhibits DNA binding. Significantly, the S163E and S177E mutations and the S163E/S177E double mutation all inhibit the ability of PRH to regulate transcription in cells. Since these amino acids are conserved between many homeodomain proteins, our results suggest that CK2 may regulate the activity of several homeodomain proteins in this manner.

INTRODUCTION

Phosphorylation of transcription factors forms a link between signal transduction pathways and the expression of genes. Phosphorylation can control the activity of transcription factors by altering their DNA-binding affinity,

sub-cellular compartmentalization, stability or ability to form protein–protein interactions (1). Protein kinase CK2 (formerly casein kinase II) is a ubiquitously expressed kinase that regulates multiple proteins involved in transcription, signalling, cell proliferation and DNA repair (2). In general, CK2 has growth promoting and oncogenic properties. CK2 is a tetramer consisting of α and α' subunits (forming the catalytic domain) and a β subunit dimer (forming the regulatory domain). CK2 usually phosphorylates serine and threonine residues and the consensus phosphorylation site is (S/T)XXD/E where X represents any amino acid (3). The CK2 β subunit is important in the assembly of CK2, enzyme stability and enzyme activity. It can interact with modulators of CK2 activity as well as with CK2 substrates and is thought to be required for the selection of substrates. CK2 β also has roles that are independent of CK2 enzymatic activity and these include the negative regulation of cell proliferation (4).

A number of homeodomain proteins that control transcription are regulated by CK2 including the *Drosophila* homeodomain proteins Antennapedia (5), Eve (6) and En (7,8) and the mammalian homeodomain proteins Hoxb-6 (9), Cut/CDP (10), Csx/Nk2.5 (11) and SIX1 (12). Phosphorylation of homeodomain proteins by CK2 at sites outside the homeodomain has been shown to influence protein–protein interactions (5,6), DNA-binding affinity (5,8) and protein trafficking (7). In some cases CK2 phosphorylation of these proteins has an effect on cell-cycle progression. For example, Six1 plays a role in regulation of the G2/M cell-cycle checkpoint (12). Phosphorylation of Six1 by CK2 at sites located outside the homeodomain occurs during interphase and mitosis. Phosphorylation inhibits the DNA-binding activity of Six1 and this may contribute to regulation of the G2/M checkpoint (12). Few homeodomain proteins are phosphorylated by CK2 at sites within the homeodomain. However, the Csx/Nk2.5 protein is phosphorylated at a consensus CK2 site located within the homeodomain and this site is conserved in the Nk and Six class homeodomain

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +44 0121 414 6820; Email: p.jayaraman@bham.ac.uk

proteins. CK2 phosphorylation at this site increases the DNA-binding activity of Nk2.5; however, the outcome of phosphorylation on transcriptional activity is not clear (11).

The proline-rich homeodomain (PRH) protein (also known as Hex) regulates both cell differentiation and cell proliferation (13,14). PRH is critical for many processes in embryonic development including embryonic patterning, formation of head, forebrain, thyroid and liver and heart and development of the vasculature (15–18). PRH also has a number of functions in the adult including that of a regulator of haematopoiesis (19–24). Exogenous expression of PRH inhibits cell proliferation and cellular transformation of haematopoietic cells of myeloid lineage (21,23). However, PRH can also function as an oncoprotein in haematopoietic cells of T-cell lineage (22,25). Interestingly, PRH can both activate and repress transcription and regulate mRNA transport (23,26). Our recent work has shown that PRH is oligomeric *in vitro* and in cells (27). The protein appears to consist of three domains: an N-terminal domain that is 20% proline, a central homeodomain that mediates binding to DNA, and an acidic C-terminal domain. The N-terminal domain is required for oligomerization and for the inhibition of myeloid cell proliferation and cell transformation by PRH (21,24). The N-terminal domain contains a region that interacts with the promyelocytic leukaemia (PML) RING-finger protein and a region that interacts with eIF4e in PML nuclear bodies (28). The interaction between PRH and eIF4E inhibits the transport of some mRNAs involved in growth regulation (23). The N-terminal domain also contains two regions that confer the ability to repress transcription (29). An Eh1 motif located within the first 46 amino acids of this domain mediates the binding of PRH to members of the Groucho/TLE family of co-repressor proteins and thereby allows PRH to recruit TLE co-repressor proteins (30). Binding of PRH to TLE proteins results in the increased phosphorylation and nuclear retention of TLE proteins resulting in transcriptional repression by the PRH-TLE complex (30,31). A second region within the N-terminal domain (amino acids 46–131) is able to repress transcription in the absence of the first 46 amino acids although with less efficiency (29,32). The PRH homeodomain can repress transcription independently of the N-terminal domain by binding to TATA box sequences and competing with the TATA box binding protein for these sites (29). PRH can also bind to TBP and this may also inhibit transcription by blocking assembly of the pre-initiation complex (29). In addition, PRH can repress transcription by binding to proteins that would otherwise activate transcription. For example, PRH binds to c-jun and blocks the ability of c-jun to activate transcription (33). Similarly, PRH binds to GATA-2 and prevents this protein from activating transcription although in this case, PRH inhibits the binding of GATA-2 to DNA (34). The C-terminal domain of PRH has been less intensively characterized but is reported to be required for the activation of transcription by PRH in haematopoietic cells (35).

Here we demonstrate that PRH interacts with CK2 β . We show that CK2 phosphorylates PRH *in vitro* and in

cells and that phosphorylation inhibits the DNA-binding activity of PRH *in vitro*. We map two sites of CK2 phosphorylation in the PRH homeodomain and we show that phosphorylation of these sites blocks the DNA-binding activity of PRH *in vitro* and inhibits transcriptional repression by PRH in cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast two hybrid screen

A human Erythroleukaemia Matchmaker cDNA library prepared from K562 cells in pACT2 was obtained from Clontech and amplified once before screening. pACT2-CK2 β was isolated from the library and encodes the CK2 β cDNA (amino acids 1–215) cloned in frame with GAL4 activation domain (AD). pAS2-1-HPRH (1–271), pAS2-1-PRH_{N1–132} and pAS2-1-PRH_{N1–98} encode the full-length human PRH protein and truncated PRH proteins fused to GAL4 DBD and have been described previously (36). The plasmid pGAD-424-TLE1 encodes human TLE1 (amino acids 1–770) fused to GAL4 AD and has been described previously (37). The yeast two-hybrid screen was carried out in yeast strain CG1945 as described in the Clontech manual.

Expression vectors used in this study

The plasmid pTrcA-His-Myc-PRH expresses Myc and His-tagged human PRH (27) in bacterial cells. The plasmid pTrcAHisPRH expresses His-tagged avian PRH (38), whereas pTrcAHisPRH-HDC expresses His-tagged avian PRH lacking the N-terminal domain (29) and pTrcAHisPRH-HD expresses the His-tagged PRH homeodomain alone (39). The nucleotides encoding amino acids S163 and S177 within the pTrcAHisPRH HD construct were mutated using a QuikChange Mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) according to the manufacturer's instructions. This created pTrcAHisPRH-HD S163E, pTrcAHisPRH-HD S177E and the double mutant pTrcAHisPRH-HD S163E/S177E. pBSKS-CK2 β _{20–215} was created by subcloning an EcoRI-XhoI fragment that encodes CK2 β (amino acids 20–215) from pACT2-CK2 β into pBSKS (Stratagene). The plasmid pMUG1-Myc-PRH expresses Myc-tagged human PRH protein (amino acid residues 7–270) under the control of the CMV promoter (30). The plasmid pCS2-HA-Hex expresses HA-tagged murine PRH and was a kind gift from Dr. Josh Brickman (University of Edinburgh). The pMUG1-Myc-PRH S163E plasmid was constructed by digesting pMUG1-Myc-PRH with StuI and PstI to release an StuI–PstI PRH fragment. A new StuI–PstI PRH fragment containing the mutation S163E was generated by PCR using the forward and reverse oligonucleotide primers shown below (the mutation is underlined in the reverse primer): 5' TTGCAG AGGCTCTGCATAAAAAG 3' and 5' CTGAGCTGC AGCATCTTGGCCAGACGCTTCCTCTCGGGCGG TTCGAGATA TTCTGCG 3'. After digestion with StuI and PstI the PCR fragment was inserted into the cut pMUG1-Myc-PRH plasmid. The pMUG1-Myc-PRH S177E and S163E/S177E double mutant plasmids were constructed using a QuikChange Mutagenesis kit to

introduce the S177E mutation into pMUG1-Myc-PRH and pMUG1-Myc-PRH S163E, respectively. All of the mutants were fully sequenced to confirm the sequence changes. Plasmids expressing human and avian GST-PRH fusion proteins have been described previously (30). The plasmids pRc/CMV-CK2 α -HA (40), pRc/CMV-HA-CK2 β (41) and pRc/CMV-CK2 α -K68M-HA (42) express HA-tagged CK α and β subunits and a kinase-dead CK2 α mutant respectively and were a kind gift from Professor David Litchfield (University of Ontario).

Cell culture and transient co-transfections

K562 cells were grown in glutamine-supplemented Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Sigma) with 10% fetal calf serum at 37°C and 5% CO₂. The cells were transiently co-transfected by electroporation using a Bio-Rad GenePulser exactly as described previously (29). For repression assays K562 cells were co-transfected with a reporter plasmid containing the luciferase gene downstream of the minimal TK promoter and five PRH binding sites (pTK-PRH) and either pMUG1 vector or the pMUG1-Myc-PRH plasmids described above. Twenty-four hours post-transfection, the cells were harvested by centrifugation and luciferase activity assayed using the Promega Luciferase Assay System according to the manufacturer's instructions. A plasmid expressing β -galactosidase was included in each transfection to control for transfection efficiency.

Quantitative RT-PCR

K562 cells were treated with DMAT (80 μ M) or DMSO for 24 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂. RNA from 5 \times 10⁶ cells was obtained using an RNAeasy1 kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription was performed using Superscript3 according to the standard protocol (Invitrogen). Quantitative PCR was performed by using the fluorescent dye SYBR Green Master Mix (SensiMix Quantase). The primers used for the PCR are as follows: neuropilin-1 forward 5' ATT GG CGTGACTTCCTGCATCG 3' and neuropilin-1 reverse 5' TGTGCTGAGGT TATCGCGGCTG 3'; GAPDH forward 5' TGATGACATCAAGAAGGTGGTGAAG 3' GAPDH reverse 5' TCCTTGGAGGC CATGTGGG CCAT 3'. All PCR reactions were performed in triplicate and the experiment was repeated three times. The data were analysed using Rotorgene 6 Software (Corbett Research, Rotorgene RG-3000). GAPDH was used as the internal control. Results for relative expression ratios were calculated according to the Efficiency Calibrated Mathematical Model (43).

Protein purification

Expression and purification of the GST-PRH fusion proteins and His-tagged PRH fusion proteins was carried out as described previously (30,39). The proteins are shown in Figure S1 and Figure 5B.

Circular dichroism

Circular dichroism (CD) spectra of the PRH HD proteins (0–2 mg/ml in 25 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.9) were obtained using a JY CD6 CD spectrometer with 2-mm path length cells. Spectra were collected between 190 and 260 nm at 1-nm increments, using a 2-s integration time.

Co-immunoprecipitation and western blotting

Rabbit anti-PRH antibodies were prepared using full-length avian PRH purified from bacteria as antigen and bleeds collected from two animals. ELISA was used to detect high-affinity polyclonal antibodies to the PRH protein. In western blotting experiments antisera obtained after the third bleed were able to detect human PRH present in whole-cell extracts from K562 cells and Myc- and HA-tagged PRH in transiently transfected cells (Figure S2A). For western blotting, rabbit polyclonal antibodies were used at 1/2500 dilution with ~50- μ g whole-cell extract loaded on the gel. We have described previously the production and use of mouse polyclonal antisera that recognizes PRH in cell extracts (21). As expected, these antisera recognize human Myc-tagged PRH as well as murine HA-tagged PRH in transiently transfected cells (Figure S2B). The expression levels of the Myc-PRH and Myc-PRH mutant proteins used in this study were determined by western blotting with the Myc9E10 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The western membranes were also probed with a Tubulin antibody (mouse monoclonal MS-581-P1 NeoMarkers) to confirm equal protein loading and transfer.

To examine PRH phosphorylation, whole-cell extracts were prepared from 5 \times 10⁶ K562 cells incubated with 80- μ M DMAT (Calbiochem) or 150 μ M TBB (Calbiochem) in DMSO for 24 h at 37°C or the equivalent volume of DMSO. Whole-cell extracts were then prepared by re-suspending the cells in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8, 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM NaF, 10 mM KH₂PO₄, 1 mM DTT, 1% Triton, 10% glycerol) for 30 min at 4°C. Protein concentration in the lysates was measured using a Bradford assay and equal amounts of protein were immuno-blotted onto Immobilon-P membrane and analysed by western blot using the mouse and rabbit PRH antisera described above.

For co-immunoprecipitation assays, K562 cells were co-transfected with pMUG1-Myc-PRH and the CK2 expression vectors described previously. Whole-cell extracts were then prepared and equal amounts of total protein added to an equivalent volume of binding buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8, 100 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, 0.2% NP-40, 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA), 2.5% glycerol) before incubation with anti-HA antibodies (Clone 12CA5 from Roche) for 1 h at 4°C with agitation. Protein G beads (Sigma) were incubated with the extracts for a further 2 h at 4°C. The beads were then collected by centrifugation and washed three times in wash buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, 0.5% NP-40) at 4°C. All buffers and washes contained protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (50 mM NaF, 1 mM Na₃VO₄, 10 mM Na

β -glycerophosphate, 1 mM EDTA and 5 mM Na pyrophosphate). After SDS-PAGE, the proteins were immuno-blotted onto Immobilon-P membrane, and Myc-tagged PRH was detected using the Myc9E10 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

Pull-down assays

In vitro pull-down assays were performed using GST-PRH fusion proteins and His-tagged PRH fusion proteins with *in vitro* transcribed and translated CK2 β . Approximately 20 μ g of each GST fusion protein or an equimolar amount of GST was bound to 50 μ l glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads (Sigma) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Approximately 20 μ g of each His-tagged PRH protein was bound to 50 μ l His-SelectTM Nickel-Affinity gel (Sigma) according to the manufacturer's instructions. CK2 β labelled with [³⁵S]methionine was produced using pRc/CMV-HA-CK2 β or pBSKS-CK2 β ₂₀₋₂₁₅ and a TNT Quick Coupled Transcription/Translation system (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Labelled CK2 β was incubated with the functionalized beads in binding buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.8, 200 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol, 0.5% NP-40, 50 ng/ μ l BSA) for 3 h at 4°C. After extensive washing in binding buffer, bound proteins were eluted in boiling protein sample buffer containing 1% SDS and analysed by SDS-PAGE and fluorography.

Protein phosphorylation and dephosphorylation

Purified PRH protein, truncated PRH proteins and PRH mutants (10 ng) were incubated in CK2 phosphorylation buffer (50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 200 μ M ATP) with 100 U CK2 enzyme (500 U/ μ l Calbiochem) for 30 min at 30°C. Dephosphorylation was brought about by incubation of the phosphorylated protein with 10 U of calf alkaline phosphatase (CAP) (6 U/ μ l Promega) in CAP buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 9.3, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM ZnCl₂, 10 mM spermidine) for 20 min at 25°C.

EMSA

The double-stranded PRH-binding site shown below (400 ng) was labelled with [α ³²P] dATP using Klenow enzyme.

```
5'AGCTTCTGGGAAGCAATTAAAAAATGGCTCGAGCT 3'
3' AGACCCCTTCGTTAATTTTACCGAGC 5'
```

After the removal of unincorporated [α ³²P] dATP using a Micro Bio-Spin 6 column (Bio-Rad), the labelled oligonucleotide (100 pM) was incubated with His-tagged proteins in 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.5 mg/ml BSA and 10% glycerol at 4°C for 30 min. Free and bound DNA were separated on 5% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels run in 1 \times TAE and visualized using a PhosphorImager and ImageQuant 3.3 software (Molecular Dynamics).

Mass spectroscopy

Proteins were excised from SDS-PAGE gels and destained using three 30-min washes in 150 μ l of 50:50 (v/v) acetonitrile (ACN):25 mM NH₄CO₃ at 22°C. The gel slices were then dried down before the addition of 5 μ l trypsin (0.1 μ g/ μ l Calbiochem in 1% acetic acid) and 25 μ l of NH₄CO₃ pH 8. After incubation overnight at 37°C, peptides were extracted using three 30-min washes in 30 μ l 50:50 (v/v) ACN:5% TFA at 22°C. After being dried down, the peptides were re-suspended in 5 μ l 0.1% TFA/5%ACN before analysis. Proteins were initially identified using MALDI mass spectroscopy. Phosphopeptides were identified using an IMAC30 ProteinChip (CIPHERGEN) charged with gallium metal ions in a CIPHERGEN ProteinChip reader, controlled by ProteinChip software (version 3.2.1).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

PRH interacts with CK2 β

We used a yeast two-hybrid screen to identify proteins that interact with PRH. This screen utilized a human erythroleukaemia matchmaker cDNA library derived from K562 cells and a plasmid that expresses PRH fused to the GAL4 DNA-binding domain (DBD) (36). Yeast cells that express both PRH and a protein that interacts with PRH were identified on the basis of β -galactosidase activity and histidine prototrophy (data not shown). The screen resulted in the isolation of five different cDNA clones. Sequence analysis identified one of the five positive clones as the regulatory β subunit of protein kinase CK2. In order to confirm that PRH interacts with CK2 β , we made use of constructs in which amino acids 1–132 or 1–98 from PRH are fused to the GAL4 DBD and a construct in which CK2 β is fused to the GAL4 activation domain (AD) (Figure 1A). None of these constructs alone is capable of inducing β -galactosidase activity in yeast (Figure 1B, columns 1–3). However, co-expression of the constructs expressing PRH fusion proteins and CK2 β fusion proteins in yeast cells produces significant levels of β -galactosidase activity (Figure 1B, columns 4 and 5). Similarly, the constructs expressing the GAL4 PRH fusion proteins produce significant β -galactosidase activity when co-expressed with a fusion protein consisting of the co-repressor protein TLE1 fused to GAL4 AD (Figure 1B, columns 7 and 8). We conclude that amino acids 1–98 within the N-terminal domain of PRH are sufficient to interact with CK2 β in yeast cells and that this interaction is comparable to the previously characterized interaction between the PRH N-terminal domain and the co-repressor protein TLE1 (30).

PRH and CK2 β interact *in vitro* and in cells

To determine whether CK2 β interacts with PRH *in vitro* we carried out pull-down experiments. In order to determine whether any interaction is conserved, we made use of human and avian PRH-GST fusion proteins. The N-terminal domain of human PRH (amino acids 1–132) and avian PRH (amino acids 1–141) were expressed in




Figure 1. PRH interacts with CK2β in yeast and *in vitro*. (A) A schematic representation of the PRH protein (top line) and the fusion proteins expressed in yeast cells. Proline Rich indicates the N-terminal repression domain, HD indicates the homeodomain and C indicates the acidic C-terminal domain. Sequences from the N-terminal region of PRH were fused to the GAL4 DNA-binding domain (G4 DBD). Amino acids 1–215 of CK2β subunit and 1–770 of human TLE1 were fused to the GAL4 activation domain (G4 AD). (B) A bar chart showing the β-galactosidase activity obtained after transformation of yeast strain MaV203 with the constructs indicated. D Represents G4 DBD; A represents G4 AD. The results shown are the mean and standard deviation from three experiments. (C) The results of a pull-down experiment with *in vitro* transcribed and translated CK2β (lane 1) incubated with glutathione beads coated with GST (lane 2), GST-human PRH N 1–132 (3), or GST-avian PRH N 1–141. Bound proteins were eluted using glutathione, separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized using fluorography and a PhosphorImager. (D) The results of a pull-down experiment with *in vitro* transcribed and translated CK2β (lane 1) incubated with nickel agarose beads (lane 2) or beads coated with His-tagged PRH (lane 3), His-tagged PRH-HDC (lane 4) and His-tagged PRH-HD (lane 5). Bound proteins were eluted by boiling and visualized as described above. (E) The results of a co-immunoprecipitation assay in which K562 cells were transiently transfected with plasmids expressing Myc-tagged PRH (lanes 2–5), HA-tagged CK2β (lanes 3–5), CK2α (lane 3) and CK2αK68M (lane 4). Proteins were precipitated with HA antibodies and then western blotted for PRH (top panel) and CK2α and CK2β (bottom panel) using Myc and HA antibodies, respectively.

bacteria as GST fusion proteins and partially purified on glutathione-Sepharose beads (Figure S1). The cDNA corresponding to CK2β was transcribed and translated *in vitro* to produce ³⁵S-labelled CK2β. Glutathione beads carrying GST or the GST-PRH N proteins were incubated with labelled CK2β and then washed extensively. Bound protein was eluted by boiling in SDS-PAGE loading buffer and analysed by SDS-PAGE. Figure 1C shows that labelled CK2β binds to the avian GST-PRH N 1-141 (lane 3) and human GST-PRH N 1-132 (lane 4) fusion proteins but binds only very weakly, if at all, to GST alone (lane 2). These data show that the N-terminal domains of human and avian PRH bind to CK2β *in vitro*.

In order to confirm that the GST tag is not responsible for the interaction with CK2β and to map any further CK2-interacting regions within PRH, we next performed pull-down assays with histidine-tagged full-length human

PRH and histidine-tagged truncated PRH proteins (Figure S1). Nickel-agarose beads carrying histidine-tagged full-length PRH or histidine-tagged truncated PRH proteins were incubated with labelled CK2β and then washed extensively as described above. Bound CK2β was then eluted and analysed by SDS-PAGE as before. Figure 1D shows that as expected, labelled CK2β binds to the full-length human PRH protein (lane 3). However, labelled CK2β also binds to a truncated PRH protein lacking the N-terminal domain (lane 4) and to a truncated protein corresponding to the PRH homeodomain alone (lane 5). These data suggest that CK2β can bind independently to the PRH N-terminal domain and the PRH homeodomain. Removal of PRH sequences from the beads by protease digestion confirmed that CK2β does not bind to the His-tag sequence (data not shown).




Figure 2. PRH is a phosphoprotein in cells. Myc-tagged PRH was immunoprecipitated from K562 cells and run on an SDS-PAGE gel. (A) The precipitated proteins were stained using SYPRO Ruby (left panel). HC and LC indicate heavy and light antibody chains, respectively. Phosphorylated PRH was identified by staining with Pro-Q Diamond. (right panel). (B) Untreated PRH (1) and PRH treated with either CK2 and ATP (2) or CK2 and ATP and then CAP (3) were separated by SDS-PAGE and western blotted using mouse anti-PRH antisera (top). The membrane was stripped and reprobed using rabbit anti-PRH antisera (middle) and then stripped and reprobed using a CK2 antibody as a control for protein loading (bottom). (C) K562 cells were treated with 80 μ M DMAT or 150 μ M TBB or equivalent volumes of DMSO and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. Whole-cell extracts were then prepared and examined for PRH by western blot using the mouse anti-PRH antisera (top). The membrane was first stripped and reprobed using rabbit anti-PRH antisera (middle) and then stripped and reprobed using a LaminA/C antibody as a control for protein loading (bottom). (D) K562 cells were treated with 80 μ M DMAT or DMSO for 24 h. The cells were then harvested and RNA isolated for quantitative RT-PCR analysis. The data were normalized to GAPDH gene expression. The results presented are the average and standard deviation of three independent experiments.

Repeated attempts to co-immunoprecipitate endogenous PRH and CK2 β from mammalian cells were unsuccessful possibly due to low protein levels (data not shown). However, we were able to co-immunoprecipitate epitope-tagged PRH and CK2 β . We transiently co-transfected K562 cells with vectors expressing Myc epitope tagged PRH, HA-tagged CK2 β and HA-tagged CK2 α . Forty-eight hours post-transfection we immunoprecipitated CK2 using HA antibodies and then assayed for co-immunoprecipitation of Myc-PRH by western blotting with a Myc antibody (Figure 1E). As can be seen from the data, Myc-PRH co-immunoprecipitates when an HA antibody is added to lysates prepared from cells expressing HA-tagged CK2 α and CK2 β (Figure 1E, lane 3) or CK2 β alone (lane 5), but is not immunoprecipitated from cells that are not expressing HA-tagged CK2 subunits (lanes 1 and 2). Interestingly, PRH is not immunoprecipitated from cells expressing CK2 β and an HA-tagged kinase dead CK2 α mutant CK2 α K68M (lane 4). We conclude that PRH and CK2 β interact in cells. In addition, these data suggest that the presence of kinase dead CK2 α inhibits the PRH-CK β interaction and/or that phosphorylation of PRH is important for the interaction.

PRH is a phosphoprotein in cells

To investigate the phosphorylation of PRH in cells, we first immunoprecipitated Myc-tagged PRH from

transiently transfected K562 cells using a monoclonal Myc 9E10 antibody. The proteins in the immunoprecipitate were separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized by staining with Pro-Q diamond phosphoprotein stain and subsequently with SYPRO Ruby protein stain (Figure 2A). The immunoprecipitated proteins were cut from a stained gel and identified using mass spectroscopy. Three bands contained peptides that originate from PRH. However, only the slowest migrating and most prominent PRH band is stained by Pro-Q Diamond, a reagent that binds specifically to phosphorylated proteins (Figure 2A). We conclude that Myc-PRH is a phosphoprotein in cells.

To examine whether endogenous PRH is phosphorylated by CK2 we first generated polyclonal anti-PRH antibodies in rabbits. In western blotting experiments, the rabbit antisera are able to detect a 37-kDa protein present in K562 nuclear extracts (Figure S2A). We confirmed that this protein is PRH by over-expressing HA- and Myc-tagged PRH proteins and showing that the tagged proteins are also detected by the rabbit antisera (Figure S2A, lanes 2 and 3). Polyclonal mouse anti-PRH antisera also detect endogenous PRH and the tagged PRH proteins in whole-cell extracts (Figure S2B). We then tested the ability of the rabbit and mouse antisera to recognize PRH that has been incubated with CK2 and ATP or CK2 and ATP followed by CAP. As expected, both antisera recognize purified PRH; this is shown in

Figure 2B where a membrane was first probed with the mouse antisera (Figure 2B, top panel), and then stripped and re-probed with the rabbit antisera (middle panel). However, the mouse antisera fail to bind, or bind very poorly, to PRH that has been incubated with CK2 and ATP (Figure 2B, top panel, lane 2). Incubation with CAP restores binding of the mouse antisera and induces a slight increase in the mobility of the PRH band (Figure 2B, top panel, lane 3). Conversely, the rabbit anti-PRH antisera bind to PRH that has been incubated with CK2 and ATP but does not bind to PRH that has been incubated with CAP (Figure 2B, middle panel, lanes 2 and 3). These data indicate that the mouse and rabbit anti-PRH antisera preferentially bind to different forms of PRH. The mouse antisera appear to bind preferentially to unphosphorylated PRH, whereas the rabbit antisera appear to bind preferentially to CK2-phosphorylated PRH. It would seem unlikely that the rabbit antisera are phospho-specific since it also recognizes PRH produced in bacterial cells. More likely, these antisera differentially recognize conformational states of PRH that are altered or produced by phosphorylation.

We next used the mouse and rabbit anti-PRH antisera to examine effects of two CK2 inhibitors on PRH in cells. We incubated K562 cells with the CK2 inhibitors DMAT and TBB for 24 h. The cells were then harvested and used to prepare whole-cell extracts for western blotting. Treatment with either inhibitor had no effect on the levels of PRH detected in these extracts using the mouse antisera (Figure 2C, top panel). However, both DMAT and TBB significantly reduced the amount of PRH detected after stripping the blot and re-probing with the rabbit antisera (Figure 2C, middle panel). Further stripping and re-probing with a LaminA/C antibody confirms equal protein loading in each lane (Figure 2C, bottom panel). Since we have shown that the rabbit antisera bind preferentially to CK2-phosphorylated PRH, we conclude that endogenous PRH is phosphorylated by endogenous CK2 in K562 cells.

To determine the functional consequence of the phosphorylation of PRH by CK2 in cells, we looked at the effect of DMAT on a PRH target gene. K562 cells were incubated with and without DMAT for 24 h and mRNA was extracted for analysis by quantitative RT-PCR. Figure 2D shows the relative mRNA levels of the PRH regulated gene, neuropilin-1 (20,44), under these conditions. Incubation of cells with DMAT results in a significant decrease in neuropilin-1 gene expression (Figure 2D, column 4). Since this gene is a target for repression by PRH (20,44), we conclude that the loss of PRH phosphorylation by CK2, results in more transcriptionally active PRH being present in the cell and, as a consequence, decreased expression of this PRH target gene.

Phosphorylation by CK2 inhibits PRH DNA-binding activity

To determine whether phosphorylation by CK2 has an effect on DNA binding by PRH, we purified full-length human and avian histidine-tagged PRH and performed




Figure 3. CK2 phosphorylates PRH and inhibits DNA binding. A representative EMSA showing the effects of CK2 and ATP on the binding of PRH to a labelled oligonucleotide carrying a PRH-binding site. Untreated PRH (2) or PRH pre-incubated with CK2 alone (3), ATP alone (4) or CK2 and ATP (5), were incubated with the labelled DNA for 30 min at 22°C. Free and bound labelled DNA were then separated on a 6% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel and visualized using a PhosphorImager. To examine the effect of dephosphorylation, PRH that had been pre-incubated with CK2 and ATP was incubated with CAP (6).

EMSA after incubation of the proteins with CK2 and ATP. In the absence of CK2 and ATP, human PRH binds to DNA to form a complex with retarded mobility (Figure 3, lane 2). Incubation of PRH with CK2 alone or with ATP alone prior to EMSA, results in a slight decrease in the DNA-binding activity of PRH (Figure 3, lanes 3 and 4). In contrast, incubation of PRH with both CK2 and ATP prior to EMSA results in the almost complete loss of DNA-binding activity (Figure 3, lane 5). If, however, the PRH protein treated with CK2 and ATP is incubated with CAP prior to EMSA, full DNA-binding activity is restored (Figure 3, lane 6). Identical results were obtained for the avian PRH protein (data not shown). We conclude that phosphorylation by CK2 acts as a reversible switch for the DNA-binding activity of PRH. These data suggest that the interaction of CK2 with PRH in the absence of phosphorylation has little effect on DNA binding.

Phosphorylation of the PRH homeodomain is sufficient to inhibit DNA binding

Inspection of the amino acid sequence of PRH reveals several consensus CK2 sites that are conserved between the human and avian proteins. To investigate the importance of these or other phosphorylation sites in the inhibition of DNA binding, we made use of two truncated PRH proteins consisting of the PRH homeodomain and C-terminal domain (PRH-HDC) and the PRH homeodomain alone (PRH-HD), respectively (Figure 4A). The effects of phosphorylation on the DNA-binding activity




Figure 5. Mutation of S163 or S177 to glutamic acid inhibits DNA binding. (A) A schematic representation of the PRH derivatives used in these experiments. The PRH-HD protein was mutated at S163 and/or S177 as shown in the Figure. (B) The PRH-HD proteins indicated were incubated with CK2 and ATP (lanes 2–5) or CK2, ATP and CAP (lanes 7–10) before analysis by SDS–PAGE. The top panel shows the results of staining with Pro-Q Diamond Phosphoprotein Stain. The bottom panel shows the results of staining for total protein with Coomassie blue. Lanes 1 and 6 contain protein markers (20, 14 and 6.5 kDa). (C) A representative EMSA showing the binding of PRH-HD and the mutated HD proteins to a DNA fragment carrying a single PRH site. In each case, the protein concentrations used were 12.5, 25 and 50 nM and free and bound DNA were separated and visualized exactly as described in Figure 3. (D) An experiment showing the effects of CK2 and ATP on the DNA-binding activity of the PRH-HD, S163E and S177E proteins. In each case, the protein–DNA complexes were stabilized by increasing the protein concentration to 150 nM, carrying out the binding reaction at 4°C and running the EMSA gel at 4°C overnight. In each case, (1) is untreated PRH, (2) is PRH pre-incubated with CK2 and ATP and (3) is PRH pre-incubated with CK2 and ATP and then treated with CAP.

Virtual phosphorylation of other potential tryptic peptides from PRH did not produce any masses close to the observed value of 2829.5 Da. Taken together, these data suggest that CK2 phosphorylates PRH on both S163 and S177. Phosphorylation of either or both of these amino acids could be responsible for the abrogation of DNA-binding activity by CK2.

Phosphorylation of S163 and/or S177 inhibits DNA binding

To examine the effects of phosphorylation at S163 and S177 on PRH, we mutated these positions to alanine to prevent phosphorylation, or glutamic acid to mimic phosphorylation, either individually or in combination (Figure. 5A). The mutations were initially produced in the context of the PRH-HD protein construct using site-directed mutagenesis. These mutated proteins were then expressed in bacteria and purified as described previously (39). However, in each case, mutation to alanine resulted in very low yields of the homeodomain protein and consequently very low levels of DNA binding. We will

therefore present data obtained using the glutamic acid mutants.

Equal amounts of the wild-type and mutated proteins were incubated with CK2 and ATP and then examined by SDS–PAGE (Figure 5B). The resulting gel was stained with Pro-Q Diamond Phosphoprotein Stain to assess protein phosphorylation followed by staining with Coomassie blue to assess the amount of protein loaded in each lane. Coomassie staining indicates that equal amounts of protein were loaded (Figure 5B, bottom panel). Staining for phosphoproteins reveals that the wild-type PRH HD is phosphorylated by CK2 (Figure 5B, top panel, lane 2). However, the S163E and S177E proteins are less strongly stained suggesting that they are partially phosphorylated (Figure 5B, top panel, lanes 3 and 4). This implies that each single mutant is phosphorylated by CK2 at the unmutated site. In contrast, the S163E/S177E double mutant is very poorly stained suggesting that this protein is not phosphorylated. These data suggest that there are no additional CK2 sites within the homeodomain or that if any additional sites are present they are dependent on

phosphorylation of S163 and/or S177. To confirm that phosphorylation is reversible we incubated the wild-type and mutated proteins with CK2 and ATP and then treated the phosphorylated proteins with CAP. Treatment with CAP brings about dephosphorylation of the wild-type PRH HD protein (Figure 5B, top panel, lane 7). Interestingly the phosphorylated S163E and S177E mutants appear to be only partially dephosphorylated after treatment with CAP (Figure 5B, top panel, lanes 8 and 9). It is possible that in each case the introduced glutamic acid residue might impair the ability of CAP to dephosphorylate the neighbouring serine residue.

To investigate the DNA-binding activity of the mutated proteins, increasing equivalent amounts of each were added to a labelled DNA carrying a PRH-binding site and the free and bound DNA separated as described above. As expected, the wild-type protein binds to the labelled DNA (Figure 5C, lanes 2–4). In contrast, there was poor binding of PRH HD S163E protein to this DNA (Figure 5C, lanes 5–7). The PRH HD S177E protein and the double mutant PRH HD S163E/S177E did not bind DNA under the conditions used in these experiments (Figure 5C, lanes 8–13). These data suggest that phosphorylation at S177 abolishes DNA binding while phosphorylation at S163 only partially blocks DNA binding. However, it is possible that the S163E mutation does not accurately mimic the effects of phosphorylation due to the flexibility of the glutamic acid side chain. Furthermore, it is also possible that the S177E mutant and the S163E/S177E double mutant are unstable or unfolded. In order to discount the latter possibility, we examined the folding of all four proteins using CD. The CD spectra obtained were identical indicating that each protein has similar secondary structure (data not shown).

In order to determine whether the DNA-binding activity of the mutated proteins could be stabilized we increased the protein concentration, formed the complexes at 4°C and ran the EMSA gels at low temperature. Under these conditions, the S163E and S177E protein are able to bind to the PRH site albeit less efficiently than the wild-type protein (Figure 5D, lanes 5 and 8). These data confirm that the S177E mutation is not sufficient to completely block DNA binding and suggest that phosphorylation at this position also results in the partial inhibition of DNA binding. These data also show that the S163E mutation does not totally abolish DNA binding suggesting that phosphorylation at S163 is also not sufficient to completely block DNA binding. In each case, treatment with CK2 and ATP significantly reduces this residual DNA-binding activity (Figure 5D, lanes 6 and 9). This experiment confirms that phosphorylation at both positions contributes to the inhibition of DNA binding and that phosphorylation at both positions is required to completely block DNA binding.

Mutation of S163 and/or S177 inhibits the repression of transcription by PRH

We next set out to examine the effects of the S163E and S177E mutations on the ability of PRH to repress transcription. In order to do this, the S163E and S177E




Figure 6. Mutation of S163 or S177 inhibits transcription repression by PRH. (A) The top panel shows a schematic representation of the full-length PRH proteins used in this experiment. Whole-cell extracts were prepared from K562 cells transiently transfected with vectors expressing Myc-tagged full-length PRH (1) and vectors expressing Myc-PRH S163E (2), Myc-PRH S177E (3) or Myc-PRH S163E/S177E (4). The proteins were then separated by SDS-PAGE and western blotted for PRH using the Myc9E10 antibody and tubulin using a mouse monoclonal anti-tubulin antibody as a control for protein loading. (B) The graph shows the relative promoter activity in K562 cell extracts 24 h after transient transfection with a reporter plasmid in which the luciferase gene is under the control of the minimal TK promoter and five upstream PRH-binding sites (pTK-PRH), the β -galactosidase expression plasmid (pSV-lacZ) and the PRH expression vectors shown above. Relative promoter activity is the luciferase activity normalized for transfection efficiency using the β -galactosidase activity. The experiment was performed six times and the values shown are the means and standard deviation.

mutations and the S163E/S177E double mutation were introduced into the full-length PRH protein in the context of Myc-PRH fusion proteins (Figure 6A). The wild-type and mutated full-length proteins were then expressed in

K562 cells along with a reporter plasmid carrying the luciferase gene under the control of the TK promoter and five PRH-binding sites. Western blotting shows that wild-type and mutated proteins are expressed at equivalent levels in the transfected cells (Figure 6A). However, although wild-type PRH represses reporter activity to around 30% of the unrepressed level (Figure 6B, column 2), the S163E and S177E mutants and the S163E/S177E double mutant have greatly reduced repression activity (Figure 6B, columns 3–5). We conclude that these mutations inhibit the DNA-binding activity of PRH in cells resulting in a reduction in the ability of these proteins to repress transcription.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

Here, we have shown that human and avian PRH bind to the β subunit of CK2 and that PRH is phosphorylated by CK2 *in vivo*. Moreover, we have demonstrated that there is a decrease in PRH target gene expression in the presence of an inhibitor of CK2. This suggests that a functional consequence of phosphorylation of PRH by CK2 is the inhibition of transcriptional repression by PRH. We have shown that CK2 phosphorylates PRH at two sites within the homeodomain. Phosphorylation at these sites inhibits the DNA-binding activity of PRH *in vitro* and inhibits the transcription repression function of this protein in cells. Although several other homeodomain proteins are substrates for CK2, in these cases phosphorylation generally occurs outside the homeodomain. The cooperative binding to DNA of homeodomain proteins Antennapedia and Extradenticle, for example, is regulated by CK2 phosphorylation of Antennapedia at multiple sites outside the homeodomain (5). Similarly, Engrailed is phosphorylated by CK2 at sites outside the homeodomain but in this case phosphorylation results in increased DNA-binding activity (8) and the inhibition of inter-cellular trafficking (7). In contrast, phosphorylation of Csx/Nk2.5 by CK2 occurs within the homeodomain at a consensus CK2 site ([S/T]XX[E/D]) conserved among all NK class homeodomain proteins and identified here as a phosphorylation site S163 in PRH (11). However, in the case of Csx/Nk2.5 phosphorylation results in increased DNA binding (11), S163 is located at the start of the second helix of the PRH homeodomain and this residue is not thought to be involved in binding to DNA. However, model building suggests that this amino acid in PRH could be in close approach to the phosphate backbone in the PRH–DNA complex (Figure 7A). Phosphorylation at this position in PRH would thus place a negative charge close to the DNA phosphate backbone and this would be expected to result in decreased DNA binding. Our mutagenesis data shows that the replacement of S163 with glutamic acid to mimic phosphorylation decreases the DNA-binding activity of PRH but is not sufficient to completely block DNA binding. Surface exposed glutamic acid residues can occupy several positions due to the flexibility of the side chain, and this might explain why DNA binding is reduced but not abolished by this substitution.

Phosphorylation site S177 in PRH has not been identified previously in any other homeodomain protein.




Figure 7. Molecular models of S163 and S177. **(A)** A molecular model of the PRH homeodomain–DNA complex based on a 3D alignment of the PRH homeodomain structure (PDB 1WQ1) and the homeodomain protein–DNA complexes (PDB 1ENH, 1HDP and 1IG7) was made using 3D-superimpose in Strap (45) and visualized using PyMOL (46). **(A)** The figure highlights the proximity of S163 to the DNA backbone (thick red line). **(B)** The hydrogen bond network that links S177 to the DNA backbone via Q180. In both images, the pink shading indicates the volume that would be occupied by phosphoserine.

This site occurs within the motif SERQ and this sequence is present at the same position in a number of other homeodomain proteins, including HoxD4, HoxD3, FTZ, HoxA6 and others. Model building suggests that S177 is located at the start of the recognition helix in close proximity in space to Q180 and allowing the formation of two hydrogen bonds (Figure 7B). The OH group of the S177 side chain can donate a hydrogen to the O group of Q180 side chain, the NH group of the S177 main chain can also donate a hydrogen to the Q180 O group. The NH₂ group of the Q180 side chain contacts the phosphate backbone of the opposing DNA. Together, these interactions keep the side chain of Q180 in place to contact the phosphate backbone of DNA and form a hydrogen bond network that links S177 to DNA via residue Q180. Phosphorylation of S177 would disrupt this hydrogen-bond network and place a negative charge close to the DNA backbone phosphate. Phosphorylation of S177 would thus be expected to reduce DNA binding. We have shown that the replacement of S177 with glutamic acid inhibits the DNA-binding activity of PRH although the mutant is still able to bind DNA, albeit with severely

reduced affinity. This could be due to the fact that this substitution does not accurately mimic the effect of phosphorylation or it might mean that phosphorylation at both S163 and S177 is required to completely block DNA binding. Certainly, phosphorylation of the S177E mutant blocks DNA binding and the S163E/S177E double mutant fails to bind DNA to any significant extent. Since other homeodomain proteins contain potential phosphorylation sites at positions equivalent to S163 and S177 in PRH, it would seem likely that CK2 could regulate the DNA-binding activity of other proteins in a similar manner.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Professor David Litchfield (University of Ontario) for the CK2 expression vectors and Dr. Josh Brickman (University of Edinburgh) for the HA-PRH expression vector used in this work. We are also grateful to Dr. Grant Stewart (University of Birmingham) for CK2 antibodies and reagents. We thank Dimitra Valdramidou and Dr. Kirstin Bess for expert technical assistance. We would also like to thank undergraduate students Kirsty Stone, Marie Prett and Angus Johnstone for their contributions to various parts of this work.

FUNDING

The Royal Society, The Wellcome Trust and the Biological Sciences Research Council. Funding for open access charge: Wellcome Trust.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

- Whitmarsh,A.J. and Davis,R.J. (2000) Regulation of transcription factor function by phosphorylation. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.*, **57**, 1172–1183.
- Ahmed,K., Gerber,D.A. and Cochet,C. (2002) Joining the cell survival squad: an emerging role for protein kinase CK2. *Trends Cell Biol.*, **12**, 226–230.
- Meggio,F. and Pinna,L.A. (2003) One-thousand-and-one substrates of protein kinase CK2? *FASEB J.*, **17**, 349–368.
- Litchfield,D.W. (2003) Protein kinase CK2: structure, regulation and role in cellular decisions of life and death. *Biochem. J.*, **369**, 1–15.
- Jaffe,L., Ryoo,H.D. and Mann,R.S. (1997) A role for phosphorylation by casein kinase II in modulating Antennapedia activity in *Drosophila*. *Genes Dev.*, **11**, 1327–1340.
- Li,C. and Manley,J.L. (1999) Allosteric regulation of even-skipped repression activity by phosphorylation. *Mol. Cell*, **3**, 77–86.
- Maizel,A., Tassetto,M., Filhol,O., Cochet,C., Prochiantz,A. and Joliot,A. (2002) Engrailed homeoprotein secretion is a regulated process. *Development*, **129**, 3545–3553.
- Bourbon,H.M., Martin-Blanco,E., Rosen,D. and Kornberg,T.B. (1995) Phosphorylation of the *Drosophila* engrailed protein at a site outside its homeodomain enhances DNA binding. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **270**, 11130–11139.
- Fienberg,A.A., Nordstedt,C., Belting,H.G., Czernik,A.J., Nairn,A.C., Gandy,S., Greengard,P. and Ruddle,F.H. (1999) Phylogenetically conserved CK-II phosphorylation site of the murine homeodomain protein Hoxb-6. *J. Exp. Zool.*, **285**, 76–84.
- Coqueret,O., Martin,N., Berube,G., Rabbat,M., Litchfield,D.W. and Nepveu,A. (1998) DNA binding by cut homeodomain proteins is down-modulated by casein kinase II. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **273**, 2561–2566.
- Kasahara,H. and Izumo,S. (1999) Identification of the in vivo casein kinase II phosphorylation site within the homeodomain of the cardiac tissue-specifying homeobox gene product Csx/Nkx2.5. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, **19**, 526–536.
- Ford,H.L., Landesman-Bollag,E., Dacwag,C.S., Stukenberg,P.T., Pardee,A.B. and Seldin,D.C. (2000) Cell cycle-regulated phosphorylation of the human SIX1 homeodomain protein. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **275**, 22245–22254.
- Crompton,M.R., Bartlett,T.J., MacGregor,A.D., Manfioletti,G., Buratti,E., Giancotti,V. and Goodwin,G.H. (1992) Identification of a novel vertebrate homeobox gene expressed in haematopoietic cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **20**, 5661–5667.
- Soufi,A. and Jayaraman,P.S. (2008) PRH/Hex: an oligomeric transcription factor and multifunctional regulator of cell fate. *Biochem. J.*, **412**, 399–413.
- Brickman,J.M., Jones,C.M., Clements,M., Smith,J.C. and Beddington,R.S. (2000) Hex is a transcriptional repressor that contributes to anterior identity and suppresses Spemann organiser function. *Development*, **127**, 2303–2315.
- Foley,A.C. and Mercola,M. (2005) Heart induction by Wnt antagonists depends on the homeodomain transcription factor Hex. *Genes Dev.*, **19**, 387–396.
- Ho,C.Y., Houart,C., Wilson,S.W. and Stainier,D.Y. (1999) A role for the extraembryonic yolk syncytial layer in patterning the zebrafish embryo suggested by properties of the hex gene. *Curr. Biol.*, **9**, 1131–1134.
- Thomas,P.Q., Brown,A. and Beddington,R.S. (1998) Hex: a homeobox gene revealing peri-implantation asymmetry in the mouse embryo and an early transient marker of endothelial cell precursors. *Development*, **125**, 85–94.
- Bogue,C.W., Zhang,P.X., McGrath,J., Jacobs,H.C. and Fuleihan,R.L. (2003) Impaired B cell development and function in mice with a targeted disruption of the homeobox gene Hex. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **100**, 556–561.
- Guo,Y., Chan,R., Ramsey,H., Li,W., Xie,X., Shelley,W.C., Martinez-Barbera,J.P., Bort,B., Zaret,K., Yoder,M. *et al.* (2003) The homeoprotein Hex is required for hemangioblast differentiation. *Blood*, **102**, 2428–2435.
- Jayaraman,P.S., Frampton,J. and Goodwin,G. (2000) The homeodomain protein PRH influences the differentiation of haematopoietic cells. *Leuk. Res.*, **24**, 1023–1031.
- Mack,D.L., Leibowitz,D.S., Cooper,S., Ramsey,H., Broxmeyer,H.E. and Hromas,R. (2002) Down-regulation of the myeloid homeobox protein Hex is essential for normal T-cell development. *Immunology*, **107**, 444–451.
- Topisirovic,I., Culjkovic,B., Cohen,N., Perez,J.M., Skrabanek,L. and Borden,K.L. (2003) The proline-rich homeodomain protein, PRH, is a tissue-specific inhibitor of eIF4E-dependent cyclin D1 mRNA transport and growth. *EMBO J.*, **22**, 689–703.
- Topisirovic,I., Guzman,M.L., McConnell,M.J., Licht,J.D., Culjkovic,B., Neering,S.J., Jordan,C.T. and Borden,K.L. (2003) Aberrant eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E-dependent mRNA transport impedes hematopoietic differentiation and contributes to leukemogenesis. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, **23**, 8992–9002.
- George,A., Morse,H.C. III and Justice,M.J. (2003) The homeobox gene Hex induces T-cell-derived lymphomas when overexpressed in hematopoietic precursor cells. *Oncogene*, **22**, 6764–6773.
- Denson,L.A., Karpen,S.J., Bogue,C.W. and Jacobs,H.C. (2000) Divergent homeobox gene hex regulates promoter of the Na(+)-dependent bile acid cotransporter. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.*, **279**, G347–G355.
- Soufi,A., Smith,C., Clarke,A.R., Gaston,K. and Jayaraman,P.S. (2006) Oligomerisation of the developmental regulator proline rich homeodomain (PRH/Hex) is mediated by a novel proline-rich dimerisation domain. *J. Mol. Biol.*, **358**, 943–962.
- Topcu,Z., Mack,D.L., Hromas,R.A. and Borden,K.L. (1999) The promyelocytic leukemia protein PML interacts with the proline-rich

- homeodomain protein PRH: a RING may link hematopoiesis and growth control. *Oncogene*, **18**, 7091–7100.
29. Guiral, M., Bess, K., Goodwin, G. and Jayaraman, P.S. (2001) PRH represses transcription in hematopoietic cells by at least two independent mechanisms. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **276**, 2961–2970.
 30. Swingler, T.E., Bess, K.L., Yao, J., Stifani, S. and Jayaraman, P.S. (2004) The proline-rich homeodomain protein recruits members of the Groucho/Transducin-like enhancer of split protein family to co-repress transcription in hematopoietic cells. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **279**, 34938–34947.
 31. Desjobert, C., Noy, P., Swingler, T.E., Williams, H., Gaston, K. and Jayaraman, P.-S. (2009) The PRH/Hex repressor protein causes nuclear retention of Groucho/TLE corepressors. *Biochem. J.*, **417**, 121–132.
 32. Tanaka, T., Inazu, T., Yamada, K., Myint, Z., Keng, V.W., Inoue, Y., Taniguchi, N. and Noguchi, T. (1999) cDNA cloning and expression of rat homeobox gene, Hex, and functional characterization of the protein. *Biochem. J.*, **339**, 111–117.
 33. Schaefer, L.K., Shuguang, W. and Schaefer, T.S. (2001) Functional interaction of Jun and homeodomain proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **276**, 43074–43082.
 34. Minami, T., Murakami, T., Horiuchi, K., Miura, M., Noguchi, T., Miyazaki, J., Hamakubo, T., Aird, W.C. and Kodama, T. (2004) Interaction between hex and GATA transcription factors in vascular endothelial cells inhibits flk-1/KDR-mediated vascular endothelial growth factor signaling. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **279**, 20626–20635.
 35. Kasamatsu, S., Sato, A., Yamamoto, T., Keng, V.W., Yoshida, H., Yamazaki, Y., Shimoda, M., Miyazaki, J. and Noguchi, T. (2004) Identification of the transactivating region of the homeodomain protein, hex. *J. Biochem. (Tokyo)*, **135**, 217–223.
 36. Bess, K.L., Swingler, T.E., Rivett, J., Gaston, K. and Jayaraman, P.S. (2003) The transcriptional repressor protein PRH interacts with the proteasome. *Biochem. J.*, **374**, 667–675.
 37. Grbavec, D., Lo, R., Liu, Y., Greenfield, A. and Stifani, S. (1999) Groucho/transducin-like enhancer of split (TLE) family members interact with the yeast transcriptional co-repressor SSN6 and mammalian SSN6-related proteins: implications for evolutionary conservation of transcription repression mechanisms. *Biochem. J.*, **337**, 13–17.
 38. Butcher, A.J., Gaston, K. and Jayaraman, P.S. (2003) Purification of the proline-rich homeodomain protein. *J. Chromatogr. B Analyt. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci.*, **786**, 3–6.
 39. Soufi, A., Gaston, K. and Jayaraman, P.S. (2006) Purification and characterisation of the PRH homeodomain: Removal of the N-terminal domain of PRH increases the PRH homeodomain-DNA interaction. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, **39**, 45–50.
 40. Vilk, G., Saulnier, R.B., St, P.R. and Litchfield, D.W. (1999) Inducible expression of protein kinase CK2 in mammalian cells. Evidence for functional specialization of CK2 isoforms. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **274**, 14406–14414.
 41. Graham, K.C. and Litchfield, D.W. (2000) The regulatory beta subunit of protein kinase CK2 mediates formation of tetrameric CK2 complexes. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **275**, 5003–5010.
 42. Vilk, G., Saulnier, R.B., St, P.R. and Litchfield, D.W. (1999) Inducible expression of protein kinase CK2 in mammalian cells. Evidence for functional specialization of CK2 isoforms. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **274**, 14406–14414.
 43. Pfaffl, M.W. (2001) A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **29**, e45.
 44. Nakagawa, T., Abe, M., Yamazaki, T., Miyashita, H., Niwa, H., Kokubun, S. and Sato, Y. (2003) HEX acts as a negative regulator of angiogenesis by modulating the expression of angiogenesis-related gene in endothelial cells in vitro. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.*, **23**, 231–237.
 45. Gille, C. (2006) Structural interpretation of mutations and SNPs using STRAP-NT. *Protein Sci.*, **15**, 208–210.
 46. DeLano, W.L. (2002) *The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System*. DeLano Scientific Palo Alto, CA, USA.