



**Anaesthetist stress is induced by patient ASA grade and impairs non-technical skills during tracheal intubation.**

Journal:	<i>Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica</i>
Manuscript ID	AAS-15-0584
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Clinical investigation
Date Submitted by the Author:	01-Oct-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Doleman, Brett; University of Nottingham, Graduate Entry Medicine Blackwell, James; University of Nottingham, Graduate Entry Medicine Karangizi, Alvin; University of Nottingham, Graduate Entry Medicine Butt, Waleed; University of Nottingham, Graduate Entry Medicine Bhalla, Ashish; University of Nottingham, Graduate Entry Medicine Lund, Jon; University Nottingham, Division Surgery Williams, John; University Nottingham, Division Surgery; Royal derby Hospital, Anaesthetic Department
Key Words:	stress, heart rate variability, non-technical skills
Subject area:	Anaesthesiology

View

1  
2  
3 Correspondence to: Dr Brett Doleman (Research Fellow)  
4  
5 Division of Medical Sciences and Graduate Entry Medicine  
6  
7 University of Nottingham  
8  
9 Royal Derby Hospital  
10  
11 Uttoxeter Road  
12  
13 Derby  
14  
15 DE22 3DT  
16  
17 Telephone: 01332 724641  
18  
19 Email: dr.doleman@gmail.com  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32 **Anaesthetist stress is induced by patient ASA grade and impairs non-**  
33 **technical skills during tracheal intubation.**  
34

35  
36 Brett Doleman, James Blackwell, Alvin Karangizi, Waleed Butt, Ashish Bhalla,  
37  
38 Jonathan N Lund, John P Williams  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51  
52 Word count: 2629

53  
54 Short title: **Anaesthetist stress and non-technical skills**

55  
56 Conflicts of interest: none declared  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Abstract

**Background:** The aims of this study were to determine if patient co-morbidity could increase stress in anaesthetists with a subsequent effect on non-technical skills.

**Methods:** Stress was measured using a validated objective (heart rate variability or heart rate) and subjective tool. We studied eight consultant anaesthetists during 16 episodes of intubation with a patient with no or mild systemic disease (ASA 1 or 2) versus a patient with severe systemic disease (ASA 3 or 4).

**Results:** Heart rate variability ( $r=0.60$ ;  $p=0.002$ ) better correlated with subjective stress when compared to heart rate ( $r=0.30$ ;  $p=0.15$ ). Patients with severe systemic disease provoked increases in both objective (median 4.92 versus 7.1;  $p=0.02$ ) and subjective stress (median 7.5 versus 9.5;  $p=0.04$ ). The agreement between anaesthetist non-technical score (ANTS) raters was acceptable ( $ICC=0.51$ ;  $p=0.003$ ). Objective stress negatively affected situation awareness ( $p=0.03$ ) and decision-making ( $p=0.03$ ), however this difference was not clinically significant.

**Conclusion:** This study suggests patient co-morbidity can increase stress in anaesthetists, which may impair non-technical skills.

## Introduction

Stress is a physiological and psychological response to external stimuli. A degree of stress can optimise performance although when this reaches a certain threshold, performance deteriorates.<sup>1</sup> During the conduct of anaesthesia, there are many potential sources of mental stress. The majority of anaesthetists recognise that stress can negatively affect performance<sup>2</sup> while calmness under pressure is seen as a key quality of a model anaesthetist.<sup>3</sup> However, there has been a paucity of research into stress in anaesthetists during routine clinical practice.

The American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) grade can be used to risk-stratify patients in the perioperative period, with higher ASA grades associated with increases in mortality.<sup>4</sup> With an ageing population and a rise in co-morbid conditions, there is an increase in the proportion of patients of higher ASA grade undergoing surgery.<sup>5</sup> It is unclear what effect this has on stress in the anaesthetist. More complex patients may induce more stress in the anaesthetist, which has possible implications for the specialty such as increases in cardiovascular disease,<sup>6,7</sup> depression, burnout, substance abuse and suicide.<sup>8,9</sup> Previous studies have demonstrated only minor manifestations of acute stress in anaesthetic practice, with a correlation between ASA grade and heart rate.<sup>10</sup> However, heart rate variability may offer a more sensitive marker of objective, indirect mental stress measurement when compared to heart rate alone.<sup>11</sup>

1  
2  
3 Heart rate variability measures the R-R variability in heart rate and reflects  
4 sympathetic nervous system activity. Increased sympathetic tone measured  
5 using heart rate variability has been associated with increases in  
6 cardiovascular mortality, arrhythmias, depression and diabetic neuropathy.<sup>12</sup>  
7  
8 Heart rate variability has been utilised in numerous clinical studies as an  
9 indirect marker of mental strain in healthcare professionals, with increases in  
10 sympathetic activity associated with key tasks such as performing surgery.<sup>13</sup>  
11  
12 As a non-invasive, indirect measure of stress, heart rate variability is an  
13 attractive option to measure real-time stress in anaesthetists during clinical  
14 practice. However, no current objective measurement of stress is ideal, and  
15 therefore concurrent measurement of subjective stress is recommended in  
16 order to provide more rigorous data.<sup>14</sup>  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32 The effects of stress on clinical practice are diverse and may affect both  
33 technical and non-technical skills.<sup>14 15</sup> Non-technical skills are a range of  
34 cognitive and social skills that do not include technical performance, which  
35 contribute to safe practice and task performance.<sup>16</sup> The recognition of the  
36 importance of non-technical skills led to the development of the anaesthetist's  
37 non-technical skills taxonomy (ANTS).<sup>16</sup> Since its development, several  
38 studies have been published which utilise the ANTS score,<sup>17 18</sup> however these  
39 studies are often performed in simulation scenarios and therefore have limited  
40 fidelity when compared to routine clinical practice.<sup>19</sup> Stress is known to affect  
41 non-technical skills,<sup>14</sup> although no study has evaluated the direct effect of  
42 stress on non-technical skills in routine anaesthetic clinical practice.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 The aims of our study were therefore firstly to observe if the physical status of  
4 the patient could influence the stress experienced by the anaesthetist during  
5 tracheal intubation in routine clinical practice, and secondly, to assess the  
6 influence of stress on anaesthetist's non-technical skills using a valid and  
7 reliable tool. Finally, we aimed to compare heart rate and heart rate variability  
8 as measures of stress in anaesthetists to help guide future research studies in  
9 the area.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Peer Review

## Methods

We gained ethical approval from the University of Nottingham Ethics Committee prior to study commencement (L09102014). Written, informed consent was obtained from each participant. Inclusion criteria included anaesthetic consultants from one hospital within the UK. Exclusion criteria included consumption of caffeine, concurrent use of cardiovascular medication or any rigorous physical activity prior to recordings. We observed consultant anaesthetists undertaking one episode of tracheal intubation with a patient with no or mild systemic disease (ASA 1 or 2) and a further episode with a patient with severe systemic disease (ASA 3 or 4) as graded by the attending anaesthetist. We used real life clinical situations with real patients undergoing surgery at our institution.

To measure objective mental stress levels we used heart rate variability. Heart rate variability can be used to measure overall sympathetic tone and therefore act as an indirect measure of mental stress during procedures based on R-R variability from ECG recordings. We used the Polar RS800CX (Polar Electro 2011, Warwick UK) heart rate monitor, which has been validated for heart rate variability recordings.<sup>20</sup> The equipment included a heart rate monitor recorder worn by the anaesthetist during the procedure and a remote watch to which the monitor transmits data. Data can then be analysed offline using computer software (Polar ProTrainer Version 5).

We used frequency domain analysis using fast Fourier transformation to calculate power spectral density. This calculates three distinct values, very

1  
2  
3 low frequency (<0.04Hz), low frequency (LF) (0.04-0.15Hz) and high  
4  
5 frequency (HF) (0.15-0.4Hz) values.<sup>13</sup> As LF values are thought to reflect  
6  
7 sympathetic nervous activity and HF parasympathetic activity, the LF/HF ratio  
8  
9 can be used as a measure of overall sympathetic activity.<sup>21</sup> Normal values of  
10  
11 LF/HF ratios of 1.5-2 have been suggested.<sup>21</sup> Recordings on each participant  
12  
13 were taken at rest on the same working day and during tracheal intubation in  
14  
15 both a patient with no or mild systemic disease and one with severe systemic  
16  
17 disease. We also recorded the heart rate of the participant using the same  
18  
19 equipment.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25  
26 In addition to objective measures of stress, we also measured subjective  
27  
28 stress. We used the short version of the State Trait Anxiety Inventory  
29  
30 (STAI),<sup>22</sup> a valid and reliable tool, which has been used in previous clinical  
31  
32 studies measuring stress in healthcare professionals.<sup>14</sup> Scores range from 6  
33  
34 (lowest) to 24 (highest). A combined objective and subjective approach to  
35  
36 measuring stress has been recommended previously,<sup>14</sup> with increases in  
37  
38 subjective scores above baseline to post-procedure regarded as subjectively  
39  
40 stressful procedures.<sup>23</sup> STAI was administered both at rest on the same  
41  
42 working day and as soon as possible after tracheal intubation in both groups  
43  
44 of patients.  
45  
46  
47  
48

49  
50 In order to measure the effect of stress on non-technical skills, we selected  
51  
52 those procedures that were **objectively stressful** and analysed these as low  
53  
54 stress versus high stress procedures. We used the anaesthetist non-technical  
55  
56 skills score (ANTS) to rate non-technical skills, a previously validated and  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 reliable tool.<sup>24</sup> We present scores at the category level and included half  
4 marks to convert the score to a seven-point Likert scale as described  
5 previously.<sup>17</sup> Training to use the tool included attending a one-day training  
6 course at the Royal College of Anaesthetists and dissemination of course  
7 materials including the handbook. Two raters assessed non-technical skills  
8 and following the procedure, non-technical skills were discussed and  
9 agreement reached by consensus. This method was chosen due to the ethical  
10 difficulties of video recording patient episodes, which has been utilised in  
11 previous simulation studies. Therefore, any non-technical skills not observed  
12 by one rater could be discussed and scores adjusted accordingly. When  
13 recording non-technical skill scores, raters were blinded to the stress status of  
14 the participant. We regarded average ANTS scores <3 as clinically significant  
15 as this is the level at which non-technical performance is a cause for concern.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 Sample size calculations determined a sample size of 8 (with 16 recordings)  
35 was required to detect a mean difference in LF/HF ratio of 2 between the  
36 groups with a standard deviation of 1.5 using an  $\alpha=0.05$ ,  $1-\beta=0.85$  and a  
37 correlation coefficient of 0.5. Descriptive data are presented as mean  $\pm$   
38 standard deviation, median [inter-quartile range] or number (percentage) as  
39 appropriate. Objective stress, subjective stress and ANTS category scores  
40 were analysed with paired samples t test or Wilcoxon signed rank test. Patient  
41 baseline characteristics are analysed using the independent samples t test,  
42 Mann Whitney U test or Fisher's exact test. We tested the association  
43 between stress variables using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. Inter-  
44 rater reliability for the ANTS scores was analysed using the intraclass  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

correlation coefficient (ICC). All analyses were conducted on SPSS V21. We regarded results  $p \leq 0.05$  as statistically significant.

For Peer Review

## Results

We recruited eight consultant anaesthetists and observed 16 episodes of tracheal intubation. All participants used direct laryngoscopy. There were 6 male and 2 female participants with a mean age of  $42 \pm 4.7$ . The mean years of total anaesthetic experience was  $14 \pm 5.6$ . Excluding ASA grade, there were no statistically significant differences between the two patient groups (Table 1). There was a significant correlation between LF/HF ratio and STAI ( $r=0.60$ ;  $p=0.002$ ). However, there was no significant correlation between heart rate and STAI ( $r=0.30$ ;  $p=0.15$ ).

When compared to baseline, tracheal intubation was associated with an increase in objective (mean LF/HF ratio 4.56 versus 5.71;  $p=0.02$ ) but not subjective stress (median STAI 8.5 versus 8;  $p=0.44$ ). When compared to the ASA 1 or 2 patients, ASA 3 or 4 patients were associated with increases in both objective (median 4.92 versus 7.1;  $p=0.02$ ) (Figure 1) and subjective stress levels in the participants (median 7.5 versus 9.5;  $p=0.04$ ) (Figure 2).

In terms non-technical skills, the inter-rater reliability for the two raters on the ANTS score at the category level was acceptable ( $ICC=0.51$ ;  $p=0.003$ ). When analysing the effect of stress on non-technical skills, 14 episodes of tracheal intubation were analysed, as one reading did not induce an increase in the LF/HF ratio. An increase in objectively measured stress resulted in a statistically significant reduction in situational awareness and decision-making scores (Table 2). However, this was not clinically significant. Furthermore, stress had no significant effect on task management or team working.

## Discussion

Our results suggest that patients with severe systemic disease induce more objective and subjective stress in anaesthetists during tracheal intubation than those patients with no or mild systemic disease. Moreover, increases in stress may negatively affect cognitive skills such as situational awareness and decision-making, although this difference was not clinically significant. Furthermore, analysis of objective and subjective stress measurement suggests that heart rate variability is a more sensitive tool to detect stress than heart rate, a commonly used tool in clinical studies of stress.

Stress in anaesthetists has gained a lot of attention recently, largely owing to concerns over the high suicide rate within the speciality.<sup>9</sup> However, it is unclear what effect an increase in the number of patients with severe systemic disease will have on the speciality.<sup>5</sup> Although increases in sympathetic tone, as measured using heart rate variability has been associated with increases in cardiovascular mortality and depression,<sup>12</sup> our study only measured stress for a short period of time during tracheal intubation. Therefore, it is difficult to conclude whether such short-term increases in stress will affect chronic stress in anaesthetists. This may be the subject of future research studies.

There has been little research conducted evaluating acute stress in the anaesthetist around the perioperative period. The most recent study evaluated acute stress in anaesthetists and found only a small, clinically insignificant increase in heart rate, with over 50% of participants achieving

1  
2  
3 their highest heart rate during intubation.<sup>10</sup> Similar to our results, subjective  
4 stress did not increase following tracheal intubation and the ASA grade of  
5 patient was positively correlated with increases in heart rate ( $r=0.43$ ;  $p=0.04$ ).  
6  
7 However, this study did not directly compare patients of different ASA grades.  
8  
9 Our results suggest that only those patients with severe systemic disease  
10 may be able to induce detectable increases in mental stress in anaesthetists,  
11  
12 which may explain the results obtained in the previous study.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20  
21 A similar study design to ours has been utilised in simulation scenarios  
22 enrolling medical and surgical residents to induce both low stress and high  
23 stress environments, in order to measure changes in technical and non-  
24 technical skills.<sup>15</sup> Although the high stress scenario induced increases in  
25 cortisol and STAI scores, the reduction in ANTS score during the high stress  
26 environment was not statistically significant ( $p=0.13$ ). However, this study did  
27 not analyse only those with a documented stress response, which limits any  
28 definitive conclusions from this study. Interestingly, heart rate was not found  
29 to change despite increases in cortisol and STAI, again suggesting a possible  
30 decreased sensitivity in detecting increases in objective measures of stress.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 Stress is intrinsically linked with, and often cited as an important component of  
46 non-technical skills. However, its exclusion from the ANTS taxonomy is  
47 largely due to the difficulties observing it directly.<sup>16</sup> Although there is limited  
48 data thus far directly applicable to anaesthetic practice, data from the  
49 psychological literature is available. Complex cognitive functions such as  
50 working memory, attention and decision-making require intact prefrontal  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 cortex activity. Heart rate variability has been directly linked to prefrontal  
4  
5 cortex activity, with increases in sympathetic activity negatively affecting  
6  
7 functions. Studies in cohorts of police and naval cadets have shown a positive  
8  
9 correlation between parasympathetic activity as measured by heart rate  
10  
11 variability and improved situational awareness.<sup>25</sup> In addition, studies have  
12  
13 found stress negatively affects decision-making tasks<sup>26 27</sup> and neuro-imaging  
14  
15 studies have demonstrated decision-making regions of the brain are  
16  
17 susceptible to stress-induced changes.<sup>28</sup> Within clinical practice, previous  
18  
19 research conducted during surgical procedures has found that stressful  
20  
21 clinical situations can negatively affect decision-making.<sup>14</sup>  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27  
28 Many previous clinical studies measuring stress have been conducted, most  
29  
30 within a surgical cohort. Objective measures of stress used include heart rate,  
31  
32 biochemical analysis and heart rate variability.<sup>14</sup> Each has their own  
33  
34 limitations, which led to recommendations for a combined objective and  
35  
36 subjective approach to stress measurement.<sup>13</sup> Newly developed tools such as  
37  
38 the Imperial Stress Assessment Tool (ISAT),<sup>23</sup> which utilise subjective and  
39  
40 objective measures have been published. This tool however utilises heart rate  
41  
42 as a continuous objective measure. Previous research has suggested heart  
43  
44 rate may lack sensitivity when compared to heart rate variability.<sup>11</sup> Our results  
45  
46 suggest a better correlation between LF/HF ratio and STAI when compared to  
47  
48 heart rate measurement, which may therefore indicate the LF/HF ratio is a  
49  
50 more sensitive tool to use in future research studies.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 There are several limitations with this study. Firstly, the relatively small  
4 sample size limits any conclusions. However, due to the repeated measures  
5 design of the study, the reduced systematic variability between participants  
6 allowed a smaller sample size. We also encountered significant logistical  
7 difficulties while attempting to study participants in clinical practice, which may  
8 limit future studies in the area. Secondly, there is dispute over the  
9 measurement of LF domains of heart rate variability analysis, as these may  
10 also include a parasympathetic component. However, our analysis revealed  
11 this measure was significantly correlated with subjective stress, suggesting its  
12 use is robust in this context. Thirdly, as we were only able to study routine  
13 clinical practice, it is unclear whether crisis situations will have a more  
14 clinically significant effect on non-technical skills. Logistically these are difficult  
15 to capture in reality due their rarity and are perhaps more appropriately  
16 studied in simulation scenarios.<sup>19</sup> Finally, the modest inter-rater reliability of  
17 the ANTS scores limit the conclusions derived from these measurements.  
18 However, our inter-rater reliability values were acceptable and similar to those  
19 studies published previously.<sup>15 18 24</sup>

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43 Despite the limitations of this study, we believe we can make some  
44 recommendations for future studies and clinical practice. Firstly, future studies  
45 measuring stress in anaesthetists should use both subjective and objective  
46 measures of stress, with heart rate variability possibly being a more sensitive  
47 measure when compared to heart rate. Secondly, as the STAI was well  
48 correlated with heart rate variability, use of the short form STAI in anaesthetic  
49 training may help supervisors assess the impact of stress on trainee's non-  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 technical skills due to its simplicity and ease of use. Thirdly, although not  
4  
5 assessed formally, non-technical skills appeared related to significant  
6  
7 perioperative events such as tachyarrhythmias. Therefore future studies  
8  
9 focussing on stress during this period may aim to record the relationship  
10  
11 between adverse perioperative events and non-technical skills.  
12  
13

14  
15  
16 In conclusion, patients with severe systemic disease were found to induce  
17  
18 significant increases in objective and subjective stress levels in consultant  
19  
20 anaesthetists. In addition, heart rate variability appears a more sensitive tool  
21  
22 to assess objective stress when compared to heart rate. Moreover, increases  
23  
24 in stress resulted in decreases in situational awareness and decision-making.  
25  
26 This is to our knowledge, the first study to assess the influence of stress on  
27  
28 non-technical skills in routine anaesthetic practice.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



**Acknowledgements**

No acknowledgements

**Competing interests**

No external funding or competing interests declared.

For Peer Review

## References

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
- <sup>1</sup> Wetzel CM, Black SA, Hanna GB, Athanasiou T, Kneebone RL, Nestel D, Woloshynowych M. The effects of stress and coping on surgical performance during simulations. *Ann Surg* 2010; 251: 171-176.
- <sup>2</sup> Flin R, Fletcher G, McGeorge P, Sutherland A, Patey R. Anaesthetists' attitudes to teamwork and safety. *Anaesthesia* 2003; 58: 233-242.
- <sup>3</sup> Larsson J, Holmström IK. How excellent anaesthetists perform in the operating theatre: a qualitative study on non-technical skills. *Br J Anaesth* 2012; aes359.
- <sup>4</sup> Prause G, Ratzenhofer-Comenda B, Pierer G, Glanzer H, Smolle J. Can ASA grade or Goldman's cardiac risk index predict peri-operative mortality? A study of 16 227 patients. *Anaesthesia* 1997; 52: 203-206.
- <sup>5</sup> Bainbridge D, Martin J, Arango M, Cheng D, Evidence-based Peri-operative Clinical Outcomes Research (EPiCOR) Group. Perioperative and anaesthetic-related mortality in developed and developing countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2012; 380: 1075-1081.
- <sup>6</sup> Brotman DJ, Golden SH, Wittstein IS. The cardiovascular toll of stress. *Lancet* 2007; 370: 1089-1100.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
- <sup>7</sup> Kivimäki M, Kawachi I. Work Stress as a Risk Factor for Cardiovascular Disease. *Curr Cardiol Rep* 2015; 17: 1-9.
- <sup>8</sup> Kluger MT, Townend K, Laidlaw T. Job satisfaction, stress and burnout in Australian specialist anaesthetists. *Anaesthesia* 2003; 58: 339-345.
- <sup>9</sup> Jackson SH. The role of stress in anaesthetists' health and well-being. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1999; 43: 583-602.
- <sup>10</sup> Kain ZN, Chan KM, Katz JD, Nigam A, Fleisher L, Dolev J, Rosenfeld LE. Anesthesiologists and acute perioperative stress: a cohort study. *Anesth Analg* 2002; 95: 177-183.
- <sup>11</sup> McCraty R, Atkinson M, Tiller WA, Rein G, Watkins AD. The effects of emotions on short-term power spectrum analysis of heart rate variability. *Am J Cardiol* 1995; 76: 1089-1093.
- <sup>12</sup> Acharya UR, Joseph KP, Kannathal N, Lim CM, Suri JS. Heart rate variability: a review. *Med Biol Eng Comput* 2006; 44: 1031-1051.
- <sup>13</sup> Jones KI, Amawi F, Bhalla A, Peacock O, Williams JP, Lund JN. Assessing surgeon stress when operating using heart rate variability and the State Trait Anxiety Inventory: will surgery be the death of us? *Colorectal Dis* 2015; 17: 335-341.

- 1  
2  
3 14 Arora S, Sevdalis N, Nestel D, Woloshynowych M, Darzi A, Kneebone R.  
4  
5 The impact of stress on surgical performance: a systematic review of the  
6  
7 literature. *Surgery* 2010; 147: 318-330.  
8  
9  
10  
11 15 Harvey A, Bandiera G, Nathens AB, LeBlanc VR. Impact of stress on  
12  
13 resident performance in simulated trauma scenarios. *J Trauma Acute Care*  
14  
15 *Surg* 2012; 72: 497-503.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20 16 Flin R, Patey R, Glavin R, Maran N. Anaesthetists' non-technical skills. *Br J*  
21  
22 *Anaesth* 2010; 105: 38-44.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 17 Riem N, Boet S, Bould MD, Tavares W, Naik VN. Do technical skills  
28  
29 correlate with non-technical skills in crisis resource management: a simulation  
30  
31 study. *Br J Anaesth* 2012; aes256.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36 18 Yee B, Naik VN, Joo HS, Savoldelli GL, Chung DY, Houston PL, Hamstra  
37  
38 SJ. Nontechnical skills in anesthesia crisis management with repeated  
39  
40 exposure to simulation-based education. *Anesthesiology* 2005; 103: 241-248.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45 19 Jenkins B. Training and assessment of non-technical skills in the operating  
46  
47 theatre: where next? *Anaesthesia* 2015; 70: 897-902.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52 20 Gamelin FX, Berthoin S, Bosquet L. Validity of the polar S810 heart rate  
53  
54 monitor to measure R-R intervals at rest. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2006; 38:  
55  
56 887-893.  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

<sup>21</sup> Camm AJ, Malik M, Bigger JT, Günter B, Cerutti S, Choen, R. Task force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. Heart rate variability: standards of measurement, physiological interpretation and clinical use. *Circulation* 1996; 93: 1043-1065.

<sup>22</sup> Marteau TM, Bekker H. The development of a six-item short-form of the state scale of the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI). *Br J Clin Psychol* 1992; 31: 301-306.

<sup>23</sup> Arora S, Tierney T, Sevdalis N, Aggarwal R, Nestel D, Woloshynowych M, Kneebone R. The Imperial Stress Assessment Tool (ISAT): a feasible, reliable and valid approach to measuring stress in the operating room. *World J Surg* 2010; 34: 1756-1763.

<sup>24</sup> Fletcher G, Flin R, McGeorge P, Glavin R, Maran N, Patey R. Anaesthetists' Non-Technical Skills (ANTS): evaluation of a behavioural marker system. *Br J Anaesth* 2003; 90: 580-588.

<sup>25</sup> Thayer JF, Hansen AL, Saus-Rose E, Johnsen BH. Heart rate variability, prefrontal neural function, and cognitive performance: the neurovisceral integration perspective on self-regulation, adaptation, and health. *Ann Behav Med* 2009; 37: 141-153.

1  
2  
3 <sup>26</sup> Van den Bos R, Hartevelt M, Stoop H. Stress and decision-making in  
4 humans: performance is related to cortisol reactivity, albeit differently in men  
5 and women. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2009; 34: 1449-1458.  
6  
7  
8

9  
10  
11 <sup>27</sup> Starcke K, Wolf OT, Markowitsch HJ, Brand M. Anticipatory stress  
12 influences decision making under explicit risk conditions. Behav Neurosci  
13 2008; 122: 1352.  
14  
15  
16  
17

18  
19  
20 <sup>28</sup> Starcke K, Brand M. Decision making under stress: a selective review.  
21 Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2012; 36: 1228-1248.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Table 1:** Baseline characteristics of both groups of patients. Mallampati and Cormack-Lehane grade as assessed by the attending anaesthetist. Frequency working with the ODP was assessed on a Likert scale with 1=not at all; 2=on a few occasions; 3=monthly and 4=daily to weekly. Values are median [IQR] or number (percentage).

	<b>ASA 1 or 2 (n=8)</b>	<b>ASA 3 or 4 (n=8)</b>	<b>p value</b>
<b>Patient age</b>	56 [51-61]	57 [46-68]	<b>p=0.38</b>
<b>Patient sex (F)</b>	4 (50%)	5 (63%)	<b>p=1</b>
<b>ASA grade</b>	2 [1.2-2.5]	3	<b>p&lt;0.001*</b>
<b>Duration of intubation (secs)</b>	21.5 [15.5-27.5]	21 [3.1-38.9]	<b>p=0.72</b>
<b>Mallampati grade</b>	1.5 [0.5-2.5]	2 [1.5-2.5]	<b>p=0.38</b>
<b>Cormack-Lehane grade</b>	1.5 [1-2]	1.5 [0.8-2.2]	<b>p=0.72</b>
<b>Bougie used</b>	1 (13%)	1 (13%)	<b>p=1</b>
<b>Frequency working with ODP</b>	3 [2-4]	2.5 [1.5-3.5]	<b>p=0.65</b>

**Table 2:** Effect of anaesthetist objective stress on non-technical skills (ANTS) score.

	Low stress (n=7)	High stress (n=7)	p value
<b>Situational awareness</b>	3.5 [0]	3 [2.5-3.5]	<b>p=0.03*</b>
<b>Decision making</b>	3.5 [0]	3 [2.75-3.25]	<b>p=0.03*</b>
<b>Task management</b>	3.5 [3.25-3.75]	3 [2.75-3.25]	p=0.56
<b>Team working</b>	3.5 [3.25-3.75]	3.5 [0]	p=1

For Peer Review




1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **Caption for Figures**  
6  
7  
8

9 **Figure 1: Objective anaesthetist stress measured using LF/HF ratio of heart**  
10 **rate variability in low and higher risk patients.**  
11  
12  
13


14  
15  
16 **Figure 2: Subjective anaesthetist stress measured using the short STAI in**  
17 **low and higher risk patients.**  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



Objective anaesthetist stress measured using LF/HF ratio of heart rate variability in low and higher risk patients.  
297x420mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



Subjective anaesthetist stress measured using the short STAI in low and higher risk patients.  
297x420mm (300 x 300 DPI)