3 An investigation into how watching television during meal or

- 4 snack consumption influences children's diet quality: a systematic
- 5 **review.**

6 Abstract

Background - Studies have identified an association between watching television (TV) and
childhood obesity. This review adds context to existing research by examining the associations
between TV viewing, whilst eating, and children's diet quality.

Methods – Web of Science and PubMed databases were searched from January 2000 to June
 2014. Cross-sectional trials of case control or cohort studies which included baseline data
 measuring the associations between eating while watching TV and children's food and drink
 intake. Quality of selected papers was assessed.

Results - Thirteen studies, representing 61,674 children aged 1-18yrs, met inclusion criteria. 14 Of six studies reporting overall food habits, all found a positive association between TV 15 viewing and consumption of pizza, fried foods, sweets and snacks. Of eight studies looking at 16 fruit and vegetable consumption, seven identified a negative association with eating while 17 watching TV (p <0.0001). Four out of five studies identified a positive association between 18 19 watching TV while eating and servings of SSBs (p <0.0001). Four studies identified an association between low SES and increased likelihood of eating whilst watching TV ($p \le 0.01$). 20 21 Family meals did not overcome the adverse impact on diet quality of having the TV on at mealtimes. 22

Conclusion - Eating whilst watching television is associated with poorer diet quality among
 children, including more frequent consumption of SSBs and high-fat, high-sugar foods and
 fewer fruits and vegetables. Whilst these differences in consumption are small, the cumulative
 effect may contribute to the positive association between eating whilst watching TV and
 childhood obesity.

28

30 Introduction

The increasing global prevalence of childhood obesity and the associated impact on physical and psychological health have been well documented (WHO, 2016). The Foresight Report (Government Office for Science, 2007) highlights the complex, multifactorial nature of obesity, with its many contributing factors.

The sedentary lifestyle of children has been implicated in the steady rise in the obesity 35 epidemic, (HSE, 2014) and television (TV) viewing has been positively associated with 36 increased BMI in children (Braithwaite et al, 2013; Montoye et al, 2013). It has been 37 38 commonly hypothesised that increased TV viewing replaces hours spent undertaking physical activity, thereby leading to reduced energy expenditure and subsequent weight gain (Dietz, 39 40 2001). Conversely research has shown that this might not necessarily be the case, and that the increased weight associated with higher rates of TV viewing are, in fact, unrelated to physical 41 42 activity or lack thereof, but due to other factors (Biddle et al, 2004).

Alternative ways in which TV viewing has been linked to increased weight in children is 43 44 through the influence that it has on children's diet in terms of advertising energy-dense food (Andreyeve et al, 2011), promoting mindless eating during viewing, (Ogden et al, 2013) and 45 46 increased snacking and 'junk food' consumption, (Boulos et al, 2012), including higher consumption of sugary drinks (Dubois et al, 2008; Carson & Janssen, 2012). The role of 47 48 parents should be taken into account when considering these factors, since their influence 49 shapes children's food habits from a young age (Francis et al, 2003; Olafsdottir et al, 2014) 50 and parents' ability to set rules regarding limits on time spent watching TV could prove an important in influencing their child's diet quality (Anderson & Whitaker, 2010). Associations 51 have previously been confirmed between socioeconomic status (SES) and childhood obesity 52 (Stamatakis et al, 2010), but it is less clear how watching TV and diet quality are influenced 53 by low SES. 54

55 Whilst many studies have examined associations between TV viewing and obesity in children, 56 there is limited data investigating the associations between TV viewing and the foods and 57 drinks which are consumed during this time. This review examines the influence associations 58 between of watching television during meal or snack consumption and children's diet quality. 59 Despite living in an age of multiple electronic screen devices, this review focuses on the hardware television, located in the home setting, but will include commercial and non-commercial TV, videos and DVDs without differentiating between them.

62 Methods

63 Search strategy and selection criteria: To ensure no similar reviews had already been 64 published, a preliminary computerised search of the Cochrane Library was conducted. One 65 review (Wahi et al, 2011) was not specific to the effects of interventions on diet quality. A 66 second search of Web of Science also returned one review, entitled ObesiTV: How television 67 is influencing the obesity epidemic (Boulos et al, 2012).

68 Results from cross sectional studies dating from January 2000 to July 2014 were reviewed by performing further computerised searches of Web of Science and PubMed (MED-LINE) using 69 the search terms 'family or meal*', 'tele* or TV' and 'obesity or BMI or food choices or obese 70 or overweight'. Filters were used to eliminate non-human studies and those that were not in the 71 English language, as well as studies based on adults. A title screen, followed by abstract screen 72 73 was performed in order to exclude non-relevant studies. The remaining studies were then read and assessed against inclusion/exclusion criteria by all three researchers. A hand-search of 74 included studies was performed and relevant articles assessed in order to produce the final list 75 76 of studies to be included. This final list was checked by two reviewers before data extraction. A PRISMA checklist was followed (Liberati et al, 2009). 77

78 Inclusion criteria:

- 79 1. Study participants: children \leq 18 years
- Studies examining the influence of associations between watching TV whilst
 eating/drinking in developed countries and the resulting diet quality
- 82 3. Articles in English language
- 83 Exclusion criteria:
- Data based on follow up data from longitudinal studies where other variables may have
 influenced food and drink intake
- 86 2. Reviews, rather than original data
- 87 3. Studies including an intervention

88 The primary outcome was the influence of association between eating during TV viewing on

89 <u>and children's food and drink consumption</u>. The secondary outcomes were the effect of eating

90 during TV viewing on BMI and risk of overweight, role of parents, socioeconomic influences91 and associated physical activity levels.

92 Data collection and extraction

Data extraction included: authors, year, country; type of study; method of determining amount
of TV viewing during food/drink consumption; method of determining dietary intake/patterns;
outcomes reported; adjustment for confounding variables; key findings.

96 **Quality Assessment**

97 The quality of the studies was assessed by two reviewers, independently, using an amended 98 version of the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (Higgins & Green, 2011), in which stars were awarded 99 for high quality characteristics, as shown in Table1. This adapted version allowed a maximum 100 score of two for each category. Given that multiple factors can influence food intake, high 101 scores reflect that there has been adjustment for confounding factors – particularly SES.

102 **Results**

46 studies were originally identified that measured the associations between watching television on food intake and obesity in children. 26 studies were omitted because they included an intervention, were based on longitudinal study data or were not presented in English language. Seven studies were excluded because they did not report associations between watching TV during food/drink consumption (figure 1).

Table 2 presents the reported associations between watching TV during food consumptionand children's food and drink intake (13 studies).

110 Quality Assessment

- 111 Results from the quality assessment are summarised in Table 3. Nine out of the thirteen papers
- achieved a score of 5 out of a maximum possible of six.

113 Study characteristics

- 114 Total number of children included in the 13 studies was 61,647, all of whom were aged between
- 115 1-18 years. Of these, 24,141 children were aged ≤ 11.5 years. The remaining 37,506 were aged
- 116 11-18. Some overlap occurred due to children being surveyed according to their school year,
- 117 rather than age, and different studies targeted children according to different cut-off ages. Of

the 24,141 children aged ≤ 11.5 years, 3,011 children could be considered of pre-school age (≤ 6 years). 5,986 children were of primary school age (6-11.5 years). The study by Lissner *et al* (2012) (not included in this figure) used data from the European funded Identification and prevention of Dietary- and lifestyle- induced health Effects In Childhood and infantS (IDEFICS) study, which was based on children aged 2-9 years.

The total sample comprised 35,650 girls and 35,068 boys. A further 4,966 children were included in the study by Liang *et al* (2009) which gave no details of gender. The study by Coon *et al* (2001) only interviews 91 parent-child pairs, however its results are consistent with the results of the larger studies.

Eight of the 13 studies in table 2 have been submitted for publication since 2009. The data
were all collected after 1993 from developed countries including the USA, Canada, Australia,
Spain (and Balearic Islands), Denmark, Italy, Estonia, Cyprus, Sweden, Belgium, Greece,
Germany, Hungary and Portugal. Six studies are based on data collected since 2005.

One study reported outcomes specifically for children from families of low socioeconomic status (Fitzpatrick et al, 2007); only 2 studies (Matheson et al, 2004; Cox et al, 2012) did not take SES or some measure of it (e.g. parental education level or household income) into consideration when performing the statistical analysis.

135 Eating Whilst Watching TV and Food and Drink Consumption

Note only significant results (p≤0.05) are reported unless otherwise stated. All results are reported in chronological order, from pre-school to adolescence.

Diet quality: Eight of the studies looked at aspects of diet quality. Cox *et al* (2012) found a weak positive association between TV viewing and consumption of obesogenic (r=0.23) and fast foods (r=0.27) in pre-school children. Children, (2-9 years), who ate while watching TV were found by Lissner *et al* (2012) to have more high fat and high sugar items in the diet in proportion to total number of foods consumed, compared to children who did not eat while watching TV.

144 Two studies used a questionnaire to score the children's diets in order to determine an overall 145 index of diet quality. Hare-Bruun *et al* (2011) deduced scores based on tertiles of healthy 146 eating according to consumption of foods containing fat, added sugar and liquid sugar in order 147 to score children on total healthy food preferences (Σ HFP) and total healthy food habits

(Σ HFH). They found that boys aged 8-10 years who watched TV during meals every day or 148 most days had less healthy food preferences than those who rarely watched TV during meals 149 (EHFP: -0.84, 95% CI -1.52, -0.16). Girls aged 8-10 years who watched TV during meals 1-2 150 times per week, however, had higher healthy food preferences than those who rarely watched 151 TV during meals (ΣHFP: 0.68, 95%CI 0.06, 1.31). Regardless of their preferences, watching 152 TV during meals most days or every day was associated with less healthy food habits in 8-10 153 year old boys (ΣΗFH: -2.25, 95%CI -3.11, -1.40) and girls (ΣΗFH: -1.56, 95%CI -2.36, -0.76) 154 and 14-16 years old boys (ΣΗFH -2.04, 95%CI -3.12, -0.96) and girls (ΣΗFH: -1.24, 95%CI -155 156 2.16, -0.32). The findings of Liang et al (2009) in 10-11 year olds were based on a food frequency questionnaire which created a scale of diet quality based on consumption of soft 157 drinks, energy from sugar, fat and snack foods and daily servings of fruits and vegetables. A 158 diet quality index was created as a composite measure which encompassed dietary variety, 159 adequacy, moderation and balance. These results concur with those of Hare-Bruun et al (2011), 160 in that eating supper while watching TV is negatively associated with diet quality index, which 161 decreased from 63.08 in children who had supper in front of the TV less than once per week, 162 to 60.12 in children who had supper in front of the TV \geq 5 times per week. 163

164 Other studies looked at more specific aspects of the diet. Coon et al (2001) found that 'middle school' children who ate >2 meals/snacks per day with the TV on obtained 3% more of their 165 total daily energy from pizza, salty snacks & sodas than children who ate meals with the TV 166 on ≤ 2 meals/day. Feldman *et al* (2007), identified an increased consumption of fried foods by 167 adolescents who ate family meals with the TV on compared to those who did not (1.3 servings 168 per day compared to 1.1 in girls and 0.54 compared to 0.49 in boys). Carson and Janssen (2012) 169 observed an increase in junk food consumption, as defined by sweets (candy and chocolate), 170 coke or other soft drinks containing sugar, cakes, pastries or doughnuts, potato chips or French 171 fries, associated with more time spent eating whilst watching TV. 172

These findings were reinforced by Rey-López *et al* (2010), who ascertained that energy dense dietary intake during TV viewing, mainly in the form of snacks, including soft drinks, pastry, sandwiches and sweets, were more likely in adolescents who watched TV >2h/day. Boys consumed savoury snacks more frequently, whereas girls consumed fruit juice and coffee more frequently compared to adolescents of the same gender who watched ≤ 2 hours per day. *Consumption of fruits and vegetables:* Of the eight studies which reported on consumption of
 fruits and vegetables, seven identified a negative association between eating whilst watching
 television and consumption of fruits and vegetables.

Cox et al found a moderate negative association between TV viewing and daily servings of 181 vegetables (r = -.31) in pre-school children. This was confirmed by both Dubois *et al* (2008), 182 where eating dinner or snacks daily whilst watching TV and Fitzpatrick et al (2007) where the 183 number of days the TV was on during dinner was associated with fewer servings of fruits and 184 vegetables in this age-group. Matheson et al (2004), found that on weekdays 5th grade students, 185 ate 0.39 servings of vegetables when the TV was on compared to 2.07 servings eaten by their 186 peers with the TV off. This finding was re-inforced by Liang et al (2009), in their study of 5th 187 grade students. Coon *et al* (2001), found that children who ate ≥ 2 meals/snacks per day with 188 the television on consumed 16% less fruit and vegetables, which equated to 2% less of their 189 total daily energy from fruits, vegetables and juices. Daily consumption of dinner or snacks 190 while watching TV was found to be associated with 0.23 fewer servings of fruits and vegetables 191 192 per day (Dubois et al, 2008). Feldman et al (2007) identified the importance of family meals, but found that, even if adolescents eat with the family, having the TV on during mealtimes was 193 194 associated with a reduction in the number of daily servings of vegetables and particularly in the number of servings of dark green/yellow vegetables per day. Only Verzeletti et al (2010) 195 found no association between watching TV during daily meals and fruit and vegetable intake 196 in adolescence but this study was of low quality. 197

198 *Consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs):* Four out of five studies which reported
 199 on SSB consumption found a positive association between watching television while eating
 200 and servings of SSBs.

Dubois et al (2008), found that eating while watching TV was associated with significantly 201 increased odds of drinking soft drinks daily, which was more than double in those who ate 202 snacks while watching TV sometimes (OR: 2.294) and more than tripled in pre-school children 203 204 who ate snacks while watching TV every day (OR: 3.568). They also found significant associations between total daily eating while watching TV and consumption of soft drinks. 205 206 There was a 70% (95% CI 1.2, 2.4) greater chance of daily soft drink consumption in children who ate while watching TV once a day and an 83% (95% CI 1.2, 2.7) greater chance in children 207 208 who ate in front of the TV twice a day compared to pre-schoolers who ate in front of the TV less than once a day. 209

Coon *et al* (2001), identified a 15% increase in consumption of SSBs by 'middle school' children where the television is on during ≥ 2 meals per day. In contrast, children of a similar age, who ate whilst watching TV on weekdays, consumed 0.07 servings of soda with the TV on compared to 0.36 with the TV off but with no adjustment for confounding factors (Matheson et al, 2004).

Older children who ate family meals with the TV were found to drink a further 0.2 servings of soft drinks than those who ate family meals without the TV on (Hare-Bruun et al, 2011). Rey-López *et al* (2010), found that 21% of boys and 12% of girls who watched TV for \leq 2 hours drank soft drinks during television viewing, compared to 27% and 18% who watched TV for >2 hours per day.

220 *Consumption of caffeine:* Only one study (Coon et al, 2001), looked specifically at caffeine 221 consumption and found that children who ate ≥ 2 meals per day drank, on average, twice as 222 much caffeine as those who ate <2 meals per day with the TV on. This may or may not be 223 attributed to an increased intake of caffeine containing SSBs. Whilst Rey-López *et al* (2010), 224 did not look at caffeine specifically, they found that 4% of adolescents who watched TV for 225 >2 hours per day consumed coffee during TV viewing, compared to 3% of those who watched 226 ≤ 2 hours per day. This figure, however, was only significant amongst girls.

227 Consumption of carbohydrate and grains: Dubois et al (2008) identified a slightly greater228 carbohydrate consumption by pre-school children who ate snacks while watching TV every229 day compared to those who did not (213g/day as opposed to 210g/day), whereas Feldman et al230 (2007) found that slightly fewer grains were consumed by adolescents who ate family meals231 whilst watching TV compared to those who ate family meals without TV (5.6 daily servings,232 as opposed to 5.9). This reduced number of grains in the diet of adolescents who ate family233 meals while watching TV may contribute to a diet with lower dietary fibre content.

Consumption of protein: Three studies considered protein consumption. Pre-school children 234 who ate snacks while watching TV every day consumed less energy from protein compared to 235 those who did not (14.4% v. 15.1%) (Dubois et al, 2008). This result is in contrast to the 236 observation that 2% more energy from protein and14% more meat was consumed by 'middle 237 school 'children who frequently ate meals with TV on (Coon et al, 2001). Whilst eating meals 238 in front of the TV leads to increased protein consumption with greater meat consumption in 239 'middle school' children (Coon et al, 2001), adolescents who ate snacks while watching TV 240 241 obtained less of their daily energy intake from protein (Feldman et al, 2007).

Vitamins and minerals: Two studies examined vitamin and mineral intake. Coon *et al* (2001)
found no association between TV watching at mealtimes and vitamin or mineral intake whilst
Feldman *et al* (2007) found that older children who ate family meals whilst watching ate fewer
calcium rich foods than children who ate family meals without TV. Although only the two
studies report on micronutrients, the results suggest that micronutrient levels may reflect the
lower diet quality of children who eat while watching TV.

248

Secondary outcomes: effects of eating whilst watching TV on BMI and obesity risk. Six 249 studies reported on BMI. Four studies identified a significant positive association between 250 eating while watching TV and children's BMI. Cox et al (2012) identified a moderate positive 251 association between TV viewing and energy intake while viewing (0.61 on weekdays, and 0.50 252 at weekends) as well as a weak positive correlation between pre-school children's BMI z-scores 253 and energy intake whilst viewing (0.21 on weekdays and 0.22 at weekends). Dubois et al 254 (2008) found that pre-schoolers who ate dinner or snacks while watching TV at least once per 255 day had a higher BMI (mean BMI 15.9) than children who ate dinner or snacks while watching 256 TV less than once a day (mean BMI 15.7). Both Lissner et al (2012) and Liang et al (2009) 257 identified positive associations between eating while watching TV and overweight. Lissner et 258 259 al calculated an odds ratio for being overweight of 1.28 in 2-9year olds who regularly ate food while watching TV (95% CI 1.16, 1.42). This ratio was greater in girls (OR 1.35, 95% CI 1.17, 260 1.55) than in boys (OR 1.20, 95% CI 1.04, 1.40). Liang et al found that 41.7% of 5th grade 261 children who ate supper in front of the TV \geq 5 times per week were overweight, compared to 262 263 30.6% of children who ate supper in front of the TV less than once per week. Only one study found that TV snacking was negatively associated with BMI. For every 1 unit increase in the 264 265 television snacking scale, BMI z-scores decreased by 0.03 in this group of adolescents but BMI did increase with increased time spent watching TV (Carson & Janssen, 2012). 266

Whilst Del Mar Bibiloni *et al* (2009) did report a positive association between adolescent BMI
and distraction at mealtimes, the findings were not significantly different.

Secondary outcomes: role of parents. Fitzpatrick *et al* (2007) found that the number of days that meals were eaten as a family was positively associated with servings of fruits and vegetables but that this does not overcome the adverse effects of having the TV on at mealtimes.

Adolescents who ate family meals whilst watching TV were noted to consume fewer vegetables
including dark green/yellow vegetables, grains and calcium-rich foods and more soft drinks

than children who ate family meals without the TV on. Girls who ate family meals with the

TV on also ate more fried foods than girls who did not.

Secondary outcomes: influence of socioeconomic status. Likelihood of eating while watching 277 TV was found by Dubois et al (2008) to fall with increasing SES, with a significantly greater 278 proportion of pre-school children from low SES eating meals and snacks in front of the TV 279 than children of parents with greater occupational prestige, education level and financial 280 situation. Of the children in quintile 1 (considered low SES), 19.8% ate their dinner (evening 281 meal) in front of the TV every day, whereas only 5.2% of children from quintile 5 (considered 282 high SES) ate dinner while watching TV on a daily basis. This difference was greater still 283 when considering snacking, with 32% of children in quintile 1 eating snack foods in front of 284 the TV every day, compared to 6.5% in quintile 5. Both breakfast and lunch followed the same 285 trend, with likelihood of eating in front of the TV every day decreasing throughout the quintiles. 286 Coon et al (2001) found that children were more likely to have the TV on if their parents had 287 lower incomes. Single parent families and less educated mothers were also more likely to have 288 the TV on at mealtimes. They also found that the more knowledgeable parents were about 289 nutrition, the less likely it was that the television would be on at mealtimes. 290

291 Parental education was found by del Mar Bibiloni et al (2009) to be a risk factor for obesity 292 with an odds ratio of 3.47 for adolescent boys of parents with low educational level, compared to those of parents with high educational level (95% CI 1.58, 7.62). For girls, the odds ratio 293 294 was 3.29 (95% CI 1.38, 7.89). Rey-Lopez et al (2010) also found that a low level of maternal education was associated with higher consumption of energy-dense drinks during TV viewing, 295 296 however this result was only apparent among adolescent girls, with an odds ratio of 3.22 (95% CI 1.81, 5.72) compared to girls whose parents achieved the highest level of education. The 297 298 effect of family affluence also affected girls' consumption of energy-dense drinks during TV viewing, with children from families of low affluence more likely to consume energy-dense 299 300 drinks than those from families of high affluence (OR 2.03, 95% CI 1.19, 3.47).

301 *Secondary outcomes: screen time and physical activity levels.* Just the one study, Cox *et al* 302 (2012) identified weak but significant positive associations between both weekday and 303 weekend TV viewing and number of minutes spent in sedentary activities in this pre-school 304 population (r=0.20 and 0.22 respectively, p=0.05).

305

306 **Discussion**

This review has concentrated on the influences of watching TV, including commercial and non-commercial TV, videos and DVDs, without differentiating between them. Previous studies have found that energy intake is greater during TV watching than during use of computers or video games for homework or leisure (Lyons et al, 2013; Marsh et al, 2014).

The primary outcomes of this review are the associations observed between eating, either meals 311 or snacks, whilst watching TV and children's diet quality and the secondary outcomes consider 312 BMI, the role of parents, socioeconomic influences and physical activity levels. Whilst 313 previous reviews have considered the effectiveness of reducing screen time in children and the 314 315 influence of TV on obesity (Boulos et al, 2012), none have looked at how eating whilst viewing 316 TV influences affects children's diet quality. For the discussion, and to support the conclusions, only results from the studies with a high quality rating (≥ 5), and where there have been 317 adjustments made for some measure of SES, will be considered. Related observations are used 318 to add context to the findings. 319

320 Diet Quality.

- There are many aspects that contribute to diets of poorer quality, including eating patterns, increased consumption of foods and beverages perceived to be bad for health, such as those high in fat and sugar, often referred to as junk food, as well as decreased consumption of foods perceived to be good for health, such as vegetables and fruits.
- This review found evidence that eating whilst watching TV on most or every day does lead to a reduced quality of the diet consumed and that there is an association between watching TV during meals or snacks and a greater intake of energy dense high fat, high sugar foods including pizza, fried foods, savoury snacks, junk foods and sweet foods.
- Based on the quality and size of the studies, the data presented on unhealthy food habits appears to confirm that, even from as young as 2 years, children who eat whilst watching TV are more likely to consume high-fat, high-sugar foods.
- The benefits of fruits and vegetables in the diet are well documented (Slavin & Lloyd, 2012) 332 and exposure at an early age is important to prevent selective eating in later years (Coulthard 333 et al, 2014). However this review strongly suggests that there is a negative association between 334 eating whilst watching TV and the consumption of fruits and vegetables (Coon et al, 2001; 335 Feldman et al, 2007; Dubois et al, 2012). Children, of all ages, are clearly not choosing fruits 336 as regular snack items to consume whilst watching TV. These findings are consistent with other 337 reports which have found total TV viewing time to be negatively associated with fruit and 338 vegetable consumption (Ramos et al, 2013). Based on these findings the authors suggest that 339

the family food environment should include a fruit bowl or vegetable platter, full of attractiveand varied fruits and vegetables, sited near to the TV.

The findings comparing carbohydrate and protein intakes suggest that television 'snackers' could represent a distinct population compared to those children who tend to eat meals in front of the TV, since many snacks are carbohydrate based compared to meals, which normally comprise a protein portion such as meat but the age of the child may influence the results.

Previous research has focussed on the effects of TV on consumption of SSBs (Olafsdottir et al, 346 2014) and it was hypothesised that this review would support the existing evidence base that 347 eating and drinking during TV increases consumption of SSBs, including sodas, fruit juices 348 349 and caffeine containing SSBs. Indeed the results are consistent with existing studies and the 350 findings add strength to the previously established association between screen time and SSBs by confirming a link between drinking SSBs, including fruit juice, during TV use and increased 351 352 amount and/or frequency of consumption (Coon et al, 2001; Feldman et al, 2007; Dubois et al, 2008; Ray-Lopez et al, 2010). Given that the consumption of SSBs in the USA has increased 353 354 from 222 to 458kcal/day over the past 3 decades (Duffey & Popkin, 2007), interventions which aim to reduce the consumption of SSBs whilst watching TV are important. 355

356

Overall a positive correlation was seen between children's BMI z-scores and energy intake whilst viewing with the exception of some teenagers who may fill up on TV snacks with a lower energy content and then eat less at mealtimes. Whilst a secondary outcome, the general association between eating while watching TV and increased BMI adds context to the primary findings regarding children's diet quality. The size and quality of these studies adds to the previous evidence base linking TV with obesity.

363

The data reported confirms the important role of parents and the relevance of setting limits 364 365 (Anderson & Whitaker, 2010), since increased energy intake and unhealthy eating/drinking habits are associated with increased screen time and eating whilst watching the TV. Parents 366 are a strong influence on children's food choices in their early years of life and it is known that 367 girls are more likely to snack, including whilst watching TV, and to have increased screen 368 viewing time if they come from overweight families (Falbe et al, 2013). Parents are responsible 369 for setting a precedent for their children and are therefore influential in influencing screen-370 viewing habits and dietary choices. It appears that eating together as a family on a regular basis 371 is associated with lower BMI and healthier food choices in children (Hammons & Fiese, 2011) 372

but that, whilst family meals are important, they do not counteract the effects of watchingtelevision whilst eating.

More children, of all age-groups, from lower socioeconomic backgrounds consume snacks, energy dense drinks and meals whilst watching TV compared to children from families with a higher level of income or educational attainment. This review implicates SES and measures of it as a major factor in children's TV eating and drinking habits. These secondary findings are supported by previous studies on the subject (Rollins et al, 2010; Currie et al, 2012), highlighting the need for educational programmes aimed at parents, especially those with low socioeconomic backgrounds.

Previous studies have found that, whilst TV is associated with increased BMI, typically in a dose response manner, this relationship is not dependent upon physical activity (Laurson et al, 2008; Brown et al, 2011; Stamatakis et al, 2013). This review adds limited supporting evidence that the effects are not due to an increase in sedentary time replacing that which would otherwise be spent being physically active, but to changes in diet quality.

387 Strengths and Limitations

All data is cross sectional. Intervention trials would be necessary to confirm causality rather than the associations reported. However the data is representative of the western world and collected from a wide range of developed, westernised countries. Some of the large sample sizes may have influenced the levels of significance reported although the high quality studies made adjustments for key confounders.

Whilst much research has been done to confirm that this association exists, this review is, to 393 394 our knowledge, the first to collate evidence on the impact of eating while watching television on children's diet quality, which clearly has an impact on weight status as well as health. We 395 396 acknowledge that studies showing no association may not have been published. In order to 397 further our understanding of this complex relationship between screen time and diet quality, 398 future research should include interventions which provide information about the possible underlying factors. For example is there an element of convenience and eating food from 399 packets rather than a plate or is it due to distraction and mindless eating which affects diet 400 quality if a child eats or drinks whilst watching TV. Such research would provide follow up 401 data to determine whether watching TV whilst eating as a child necessarily impacts on BMI 402 and health in the long term and into adulthood. 403

Given the ever increasing number of 'screens' being used by children, further research is required to determine the impact of different types of screen time, whilst eating, on diet quality. Whilst the size of some of the associations may seem to be small it is increasingly becoming recognised that the cumulative effect of small dietary changes may lead to significant nutritional improvements (Paineau et al, 2010) and a report prepared for a Joint Task Force including the American Society for Nutrition proposes that a small changes approach may help to address the obesity epidemic (Hill, 2009).

All dietary intake methodologies, for example the use of food frequency questionnaires or dietary recall, have their limitations which may lead to either incomplete or inaccurate reporting. Whilst the quality assessment did look for the use of validated tools, the limitations in the accuracy of dietary intake data may still be present even in high quality studies.

415

Overall this review suggests that for children, from pre-school age onwards, eating whilst 416 watching TV reduces diet quality with more high-fat, high-sugar foods and fewer fruits and 417 vegetables and increased consumption of sugar sweetened beverages. Whilst these differences 418 in consumption tend to be small, the accumulative effect may be enough to cause the positive 419 association between eating during TV use and prevalence of childhood obesity. It is 420 recommended that parents are targeted in any intervention, since their influence is vital in 421 setting and enforcing limits on screen time, particularly whilst eating, and encouraging family 422 423 meals without the TV on. Given that children from lower socioeconomic backgrounds are more likely to eat whilst watching TV, a focus on supporting these families to make changes 424 425 is required in order to reverse the greater trends seen in obesity levels in children from families of low SES. 426

427

428 **References**;

Anderson, S. E. and Whitaker, R. C. (2010). Household routines and obesity in US preschoolaged children. *Pediatrics* 125(3): 420-428.

431

Andreyeve, A., Kelly, I. R. and Harris, J. L. (2011). Exposure to food advertising on television:
Associations with children's fast food and soft drink consumption and obesity. *Economics and Human Biology* 9(3): 221-233.

435

- 436 Biddle, S.J.H., Gorely, T., Marshall, S.J., Murdey, I. and Cameron, N. (2004). Physical
- 437 activity and sedentary behaviours in youth: issues and controversies. *The Journal of the Royal*
- 438 *Society for the Promotion of Health* 124(1): 29-33.
- 439 Boulos, R., Vikra, E. K., Oppenheimer, S., Chang, H. and Kanarek, R. B. (2012). ObesiTV:
- how television is influencing the obesity epidemic. *Physiology & Behaviour* 107(1): 146-153.
- 442 Braithwaite, I., Stewart, A. W., Hancox, R. J., Beasley, R., Murphy, R. and Mitchell, E. A.
- 443 (2013). The worldwide association between television viewing and obesity in children and
- 444 adolescents: cross sectional study. PLOS ONE 8(9) [online] Available from:
- 445 <u>http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0074263</u>
- Brown, J. E., Nicholson, J. M., Broom, D. H. and Bittman, M. (2011). Television viewing by
 school-age children: associations with physical activity, snack food consumption and
 unhealthy weight. *Social Indicators Research* 101: 221-225.
- 449
- Carson, V. and Janssen, I. (2012). The mediating effects of dietary habits on the relationship
 between television viewing and body mass index among youth. Pediatric Obesity 7: 391-398.
- 452 Cox, R., Skouteris, H., Rutherford, L., Fuller-Tyszkiewics, M., Dell'Aquila, D. and Hardy, L.
- 453 L. (2012). Television viewing, television content, food intake, physical activity and body
- 454 mass index: a cross-sectional study of preschool children aged 2-6 years. *Health Promotion*
- 455 *Journal of Australia* 23(1): 58-62.
- Coon, K. A., Goldberg, J., Rogers, B. L. and Tucker, K. L. (2001). Relationships between use
 of television during meals and children's food consumption patterns. *Pediatrics* 107(1).
- 459 Coulthard, H., Harris, G. and Fogel, A. (2014). Exposure to vegetable variety in infants weaned
 460 at different ages. *Appetite* 78: 89-94.
- 461
- 462 Currie, C., Zanotti, C., Morgan, A., Currie, D., deLooze, M., Roberts, C., Samdal, O., Smith,
 463 O. R. F. and Barnekow, V. eds. (2012). Social determinants of health and well-being among
 464 young people. Health behaviour in school-aged children (HBSC) study: international report
 465 from the 2009/2010 survey. Copenhagen, WHO Regional Office for Europe (Health Policy
 466 for Children and Adolescents, No. 6).
- 467

- del Mar Bibiloni, M., Martinez, E., Llull, R., Juarez, M. D., Pons, A. and Tur, J. A. (2009).
 Prevalence and risk factors for obesity in Balearic Islands adolescents. *British Journal of Nutrition* 103: 99-106.
- 471
- 472 Dietz, W.H. (2001). The obesity epidemic in young children. Reduce television viewing and
 473 promote playing. *British Medical Journal* 322: 313-314.
- 474
- 475 Dubois, L., Farmer, A., Girard, M. and Peterson, K. (2008). Social factors and television use
- 476 during meals and snacks is associated with higher BMI among pre-school children. Public
- 477 Health Nutrition 11(12): 1267-1279.
- 478 Duffey, K.J. & Popkin, B.M. (2007). Shifts in patterns and consumption of beverages between
 479 1965 and 2002. *Obesity*. 15: 1535-43.
- 480 Falbe, J., Rosner, B., Willett, W. C., Sonneville, K. R., Hu, F. B. and Field, A. E. (2013).
- Adiposity and different types of screen time. *Pediatrics* 132(6): 1497-1505.
- 482 Feldman, S., Eisenberg, M. E., Neumark-Sztainer, D. and Story, M. (2007). Associations
- between watching TV during family meals and dietary intake among adolescents. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behaviour* 39(5): 257-263.
- 485
- 486 Fitzpatrick, E., Edmunds, L. S. and Dennison, B. (2007). Positive effects of family dinner are
- undone by television viewing. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 107(4): 666-671.
- Francis, L. A., Lee, Y. and Birch, L. L. (2003). Parental weight status and girls' television
 viewing, snacking, and body mass indexes. *Obesity Research* 11(1): 143-151.
- 490
- 491 Government Office for Science. Tackling obesities: Future choices Modelling future trends
 492 in obesity and their impact on health [Foresight Report] 2nd ed. (2007).'
- Hammons, A. J., and Fiese, B. H. (2011). Is Frequency of Shared Family Meals Related to the
 Nutritional Health of Children and Adolescents? *Pediatrics*, 127(6), e1565–e1574.
 http://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2010-1440

- Hare-Bruun, H., Nielsen, B. M., Kristensen, P. L., Møller, N., Togo, P. and Heitmann, B. L.
 (2011). Television viewing, food preeferences, and food habits among children: a prospective
 epidemiological study. *BMC Public Health* 11:311.
- 499
- 500 Health Survey for England 2013 (2014). Trend Tables: Children trend tables [online]
- 501Accessed 31.07.15. Available at: http://www.hscic.gov.uk
- 502
- 503 Higgins, J.P.T., Green, S., (Eds). Tools for assessing methodological quality or risk of bias in
- 504 non-randomised studies. In: Cochrane Handbook for Systematic reviews of interventions.
- 505 Version 5.1.0. Section 13.5.2.3 London: The Cochrane Collection 2011.
- 506 Hill, J.O. (2009). Can a small-changes approach help address the obesity epidemic? A report
- 507 of the Joint Task Force of the American Society for Nutrition, Institute of Food
- 508 Technologists, and International Food Information Council. *American Journal of Clinical*
- 509 *Nutrition*. 89: 477-84.
- Laurson, K., Eisenmann, J. C. and Moore, S. (2008). Lack of association between television
 viewing, soft drinks, physical activity and body mass index in children. *Acta Paediatrica* 97:
 795-800.
- Liang, T., Kuhle, S. and Veugelers, P. (2009). Nutrition and body weights off Canadian
 children watching television and eating while watching television. *Pulic Health Nutrition*12(12): 2457-2463.
- 516 Liberati, A., Altman, D.G., Tetzlaff, J., the PRISMA group. (2009). The PRISMA statement
- for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care
 interventions: explanation and elaboration. Annals Intern Medicine. 151: W65-94.
- 519
- 520 Lissner, L., Lanfer, A., Gwozdz, W., Olafsdottir, S., Eiben, G., Moreno, L. A., Santaliestra-
- 521 Pasías, A. M., Kovács, É., Barba, G., Loit, H-M., Kourides, Y., Pala, V., Pohlabeln, H., De
- Henauw, S., Buchecker, K., Ahhrens, W. and Reisch, L. (2012). Television habits in relation
- 523 to overweight, diet and taste preferences in European children: the IDEFICS study. *European*
- 524 Journal of Epidemiology 27: 705-715.
- 525
- Lyons, E. J., Tate, D. F. and Ward, D. S. (2013). The better the story, the bigger the serving:
 narrative transportation increases snacking during screen time in a randomized trial.

- 528 International Journal of Behavioural Nutrition and Physical Activity 10(60) [online] Available
 529 from: <u>http://www.ijbnpa.org/content/10/1/60</u>
- 530
- 531 Marsh, S., Mhurchu, C. N., Jiang, Y. and Maddison, R. (2014). Comparative effects of TV
- watching, recreational computer use, and sedentary video game play on spontaneous energyintake in male children. A randomised crossover trial. *Appetite* 77: 13-18.
- Matheson, D. M., Killen, J. D., Wang, Y., Varady, A. and Robinson, T. N. (2004). Children's
 food consumption during television viewing. *Americal Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 79: 10881094.
- Montoye, A.H., Pfeiffer, K.A., Alaimo, K., Hayes Betz, H., Paek, H-J., Carlson, J.J. et al,
 (2013). Junk food consumption and screen time:association with Childhood adiposity. *American Journal of health behaviour*. 37(3):395-403
- Ogden, J., Coop, N., Cousins, C., Crump, R., Field, L., Hughes, S. and Woodger, N. (2013).
 Distraction, the desire to eat and food intake: towards an expanded model of mindless eating. *Appetite* 62: 119-126.
- 543
- Olafsdottir, S., Eiben, G., Prell, H., Hense, S., Lissner, L., Marild, S., Reisch, L. and Berg, C.
 (2014). Young children's screen habits are associated with consumption of sweetened
 beverages independently of parental norms. *International Journal of Public Health* 59: 67-75.
- Paineau, D., Beaufils, F., Boulier, A., Cassuto, D-A., Chwalow, J., Combris, P. et al (2010).
 The cumulative effect of small dietary changes may significantly improve nutritional intakes
- in free-living children and adults. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* (2010) 64, 782–791
- Ramos, E., Costa, A., Araujo, J., Severo, M., Lopes, C. (2013). Effect of television viewing on
 food and television viewing on food and nutrient intake among adolescents. *Nutrition* 29: 13621367.
- 555
- 556 Rey-López, J. P., Vincente-Rodríguez, G., Répásy, J., Mesana, M. I., Ruiz, J. R., Ortega, F. B.,
- 557 Kafatos, A., Huybrechts, I., Ceuncca-García, M., León, J. F., González-Gross, M., Sjöström,
- 558 M., de Bourdeaudhuij, I. and Moreno, L. A. (2010). Food and drink intake during television

- viewing in adolescents: the healthy lifestyle in Europe by nutrition in adolescence (HELENA)
 study. *Public Health Nutrition* 14(9): 1563-1569.
- 561
- Rollins, B. Y., Belue, R. Z. and Francis, L. A (2010). The beneficial effect of family meals on
- obesity differs by race, sex, and household education: the national survey of children's health,
- 564 2003-2004. Journal of the American Dietetic Association 110(9): 1335-1339.
- Slavin, J. L. and Lloyd, B. (2012). Health benefits of fruits and vegetables. *Advances in Nutrition* 3: 506-516.
- 567 Stamatakis, E., Wardle, J. and Cole, T. J. (2010). Childhood obesity and overweight prevalence
- trends in England: evidence for growing socioeconomic disparities. *International Journal of Obesity* 34: 41-47.
- 570 Stamatakis, E., Coombs, N., Jago, R., Gama, A., Mourão, I., Nogueira, H., Rosado, V. and
- 571 Padez, C. (2013). Type-specific screen time associations with cardiovascular risk markers in
- 572 children. *Americal Journal of Preventative Medicine* 44(5): 481-488.
- Verzeletti, C., Maes, L., Santinello, M., Baldassari, D. and Vereecken, C. A., (2010). Foodrelated family lifestyle associated with fruit and vegetable consumption among young
 adolescents in Belgium Flanders and the Veneto region of Italy. *Appetite* 54: 394-397.
- 576 Wahi, G., Parkin, P. C., Beyenne, J., Uleryk, E. M. and Birken, C. S. (2011). Effectiveness of
- 577 interventions aimed at reducing screen time in children. A systematic review and meta analysis
- of randomized controlled trials. *Archives of pediatric and adolescent medicine* 65(11): 979986.
- 580 WHO (2016). Report of the Commission on Ending Childhood Obesity. WHO: Geneva.581 Switzerland.
- 582
- 583
- 584 Figure Legends

.

- 585 Figure 1. Flow diagram showing data base search results.
- 586
- 587
- 588