Parameter Analysis of Thermoelectric Generator/dc-dc Converter System with Maximum Power Point Tracking

Fluids & Thermal Engineering Research Group, Faculty of Engineering, University of
 Nottingham, NG7 2RD, United Kingdom

4 Abstract:

1

5 The power generated from TEG is relatively unstable owing to temperature variations at its hot and cold side terminals. The dc-dc converters can provide more stable power output thereby 6 improving the overall efficiency of TEG system. However, to facilitate better performance 7 improvement, maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithm can be applied to extract 8 9 maximum power from TEG system. Therefore, parameter analysis of a TEG/dc-dc converter system in different modes is being carried out. A TEG-dc-dc boost converter model is analysed 10 11 in both MPPT and direct pulse width modulation (PWM) modes subjected to a variable load. To further study the capability of dc-dc converters to stabilise the TEG power output, 12 increasing ramp and random hot side temperature is applied to the MPPT and direct PWM 13 based modes so that the effect on output parameters i.e. voltage and power, can be analysed. It 14 is noted that even for the random temperature input to the TEG, the output voltage resulting 15 from the converter is almost constant. Therefore dc-dc converters are able to stabilise the power 16 generated from TEG. It is also observed that dc-dc converter with MPPT based model is able 17 to effectively extract the maximum power without having to adjust any component from the 18 MPPT algorithm as it is the case with direct PWM based model. From the study, it has been 19 established that proper selection of converter components is necessary to reduce converter 20 21 losses as well interferences on the load connected to TEG-dc-dc converter system.

- 22
- 23

24 *Keywords*: TEG devices; random temperature; dc-dc converter; MPPT; direct PWM.

25

*Corresponding author: Jie Zhu: Email: lazjz@nottingham.ac.uk. Tel. +44 115 8466141.

26 **1. Introduction**

Energy-harvesting systems which convert heat into electricity with the use of thermoelectric energy generation (TEG) devices are being constantly developed and manufactured [1][2]. A number of currently available and applicable low-grade waste heat recovery methods adopt thermoelectric (TE) modules including plant/district/water heating, direct power generation and others [3]. TE modules offer low cost electricity without moving parts or production of environmentally deleterious wastes [4]. However, the optimal performance of TE modules depends on several factors like material properties and operation strategy [5].

34 Various research efforts are underway to improve the performance of TE conversion system. The integrated thermoelectric devices are also developed by restructuring them to 35 allow more heat to enter the p-n junctions, thereby producing more power output [6]. Product 36 development for TEG devices requires solving a couple of challenges in material and system 37 construction aspects for numerous TEG system applications [7]. Accuracy of mathematical 38 models used in thermoelectric simulation is assessed with special reference to thermal 39 40 influence of insulated air zone and radiation heat [8]. Heat transfer analysis between TEG cold 41 and hot plates reveals that the developed model is of theoretical significance in guiding TEG 42 design for high-power or large-temperature-difference application. Different TEG structures 43 including rotated and coaxial leg configurations [9], rectangular prism and cylindrical legs [10], have been evaluated with regards to power output, temperature distribution, conversion 44 45 efficiency and thermal stresses in the legs. Not forgetting to mention the concentric cylindrical design which is also applied to TEG system with improved power output [11]. With all these 46 47 efforts, it is still necessary to do more research work on the performance improvement for TEG 48 systems.

49 Maximum power point tracking (MPPT) methods for a long time have been applied to 50 improve the performance of photovoltaic (PV) system in both normal and partial shading 51 conditions [12]. In order to fully utilize the energy generated from TEG systems, dc-dc 52 converters with MPPT are being adopted to stabilize the output voltage generated from TEG 53 as well as to ensure maximum power extraction from TEG system [13][14][15][16] [17][18]. In [13], an analysis is carried out on an MPPT control strategy for thermoelectric-solar hybrid 54 energy harvesting system. The hot side temperature is set between 40°C and 50°C while single 55 56 supercapacitor is used as the load to the system purposely to increase the tracking response. 57 The authors in [14] presented a simple MPPT method for TEG which is based on controlling a 58 power converter such that it operates on a pre-programmed locus of operating points close to 59 the MPPs of the power-voltage curves. In their work, a single battery is used as the load. In 60 [16], Yi-Hua et al. presented a novel MPPT for TEG system which combines the benefits of perturb and observe (P&O) method and the fast tracking ability of open circuit voltage (OCV) 61 method with batteries used as the load to the system. In reality, temperature profiles are random 62 in nature, especially in vehicles. As well, some loads are never constant, making it a necessity 63 64 to analysis the TEG-converter systems when they are subjected to different loads. In our previous study [19], an IC-based MPPT method is presented with a ramp step temperature on 65 66 the hot side and a constant temperature on the cold side whereas the converter is subjected to a 67 constant resistive load. Therefore, it is necessary to test the TEG-converter system with a 68 random temperature because temperature profiles are random in most of the real applications. Moreover, it is necessary to analyse the system with a variable load to identify the optimal load 69 for the TEG-converter system to perform near its maximum potential. The objective of this 70 work is to investigate the parameters of TEG-dc-dc converter system enabled by incremental 71 conductance (IC) based MPPT and direct PWM signals. The converter performance is analysed 72 73 with reference to the temperature variation at the hot side of TEG in addition to varying the 74 external converter load. The study is aimed to test the TEG output power conditioning model 75 for application in the waste heat recovery in low carbon vehicle.

76

77 2. Thermoelectric module

A Single p-n pair of the TEG module is shown in Fig. 1. A TEG is a solid-state device that 78 79 can convert heat directly into electrical energy when a temperature difference is placed across it [20]. Electric power can be converted to cooling or heating by reversing the current direction 80 81 [21]. In a thermoelectric material there are free electrons or holes which carry both charge 82 and heat. The electric potential (Voltage) produced by a temperature difference is known as the 83 Seebeck effect and the proportionality constant is called the Seebeck coefficient. If the free 84 charges are positive (the material is p-type), positive charge will build up on the cold end which will have a positive potential. Similarly, negative free charges (n-type material) will produce a 85 negative potential at the cold end. 86





Fig. 1. A Single p-n pair of the TEG module [22].

89 While choosing TEGs for application in varying conditions, it is necessary to select an 90 appropriate semiconductor with acceptable performance in the temperature range of that 91 condition [23]. The figure of merit (Z) is a parameter generally used to gauge the performance 92 of a TE material:

93

$$Z = \frac{S_{p,n}^2 \sigma_{p,n}}{\lambda_{p,n}} \tag{1}$$

Where $S_{p,n}$ is the Seebeck coefficient of n-type or p-type material; $\sigma_{p,n}$ is the electrical conductivity of the material in p-type or n-type in Siemens per meter whereas $\lambda_{p,n}$ is the thermal conductivity [23]. All these parameters are known and sometimes given in the datasheet from the manufacturers of the TE devices.

In general, for obtaining maximum efficiency, the important characteristic for
thermoelectric material is the dimensionless measurement thermoelectric performance figure
of merit ZT [21].

101

$$ZT = \frac{\sigma S^2 T}{\lambda} \tag{2}$$

102 Where S, σ , T and λ are the Seebeck coefficient, electrical conductivity, absolute temperature 103 and thermal conductivity, respectively. In order to get high thermoelectric efficiency, the figure 104 of merit should be large i.e. ZT>1. Alloys, particularly with AgSbTe2, have led to several 105 reports of ZT>1 for both n-type and p-type materials. The p-type alloy (GeTe) 106 0.85(AgSbTe2)0.15, having maximum ZT>1.2, is successfully used in durable TEGs [24].

107 **3. TEG-dc-dc converter model**

The developed model is shown in Fig. 2 consisting of the TEG, dc-dc converter and theMPPT algorithm as discussed in the following subsections.



110 111

112 113 Fig. 2. The TEG-converter simulation model

114 **3.1 TEG Model**

TEG is modelled based on the concept of simplified model in which some thermoelectric effects are ignored [5]. This is done for simplicity although there is reduced accuracy. The following equations are used to design the model

118 Seebeck coefficient (S) =
$$\frac{2V_{match}}{\Delta T_{sp}}$$
 (3)

119
$$temperature difference (\Delta T) = T_h - T_c$$
 (4)

For TEG made of two semiconductor components, the output voltage of TEG is expressed as[25];

122
$$V_{oc} = (\alpha_p - \alpha_n)(\Delta T)(N_{TEG-s})$$
(5)

123 For TEG made of a single semiconductor type, V_{oc} is given as;

124
$$V_{oc} = (N_{TEG-s})(\Delta T)(S)$$
(6)

125
$$R_{int} = m \left[\frac{T_h + T_c}{2} \right] + n \tag{7}$$

126 Where α_p and \propto_n are the Seebeck coefficients of the p- and n-type materials of TEG 127 respectively; S is the Seebeck coefficients of a single material for TEG; V_{match} is the matched 128 load voltage, ΔT_{sp} is the temperature difference of the measurement stated in TEG datasheet, 129 T_h and T_c are the hot and cold side temperatures of TEG respectively; N_{TEG-s} is the number of 130 TEG modules, R_{int} is the TEG internal resistance, *m* is the TEG internal resistance vs TEG 131 temperature (R_{int}-T) curve slope and *n* is the R_{int}-T curve intercept;

132 The TEG model internal resistance R_{int} and the open circuit voltage V_{oc} vary in real time with temperature. The real-time values of Voc and Rint are mapped to the controlled voltage 133 134 source and variable resistance respectively in the converter to generate its input voltage and 135 current [26]. The model is designed with time-varying hot side temperature and a constant cold 136 side temperature. It is masked to input other parameters included in the datasheets of practical TEG module from different manufacturer including V_{match} , N_{TEG-s} , ΔTsp , *m* and *n*. So the results 137 138 of the model can be compared with the practical results of manufactured TEGs if experiments 139 are carried out. The TEG1-12611-6.0 module parameters which is used in [27] are applied in 140 this work with its specification shown in Table I.

- 141
- 142

Table I. Specifications of the TEG module

Hot side temperature (°C)	300
Cold side temperature (°C)	30
Matched load output voltage (V)	4.2
Matched load output current (A)	3.4
Matched load resistance (Ohms)	1.2
Matched load output (W)	14.6
Open circuit voltage (V)	8.4
Heat flow across the module (W)	Approximately 365
Heat flow density (Wcm ⁻²)	Approximately 11.6
AC Resistance measured at 27°C at 1000 Hz (Ω)	0.5 - 0.7

143

144 **3.2.** The dc-dc boost converter model

Here the converter that operates in a continuous conduction mode (CCM) is discussed with regard to the design specifications and components selection. The first step in designing a dcdc boost converter is to find the appropriate value of switching current which is the maximum current the switch or integrated circuit (IC) the inductor and the diode can withstand. But before
that, the duty cycle D and the ripple current have to be determined. The duty cycle of a practical
dc-dc boost converter is expressed as;

$$D = \frac{V_{in\,(min)}*\eta_{conv}}{V_{out}}\tag{8}$$

152 Where $V_{in (min)}$ is the minimum input voltage; η_{conv} the converter efficiency whereas V_{out} is 153 the desired output voltage.

The efficiency is included in the duty cycle equation in order to compute a more realistic value of D in addition to catering for the dissipated energy since the converter has the energy losses. Either an estimated efficiency value can be used e.g. 82% or a typical efficiency value can be selected from the converter characteristics from the datasheet for use in equation (8).

Before calculating the ripple current, it is necessary to first compute or determine the inductor value. Various ways are used to determine the inductor value; the recommended inductor value or the middle value in the inductor range given in the datasheet can be used if there is no recommended value given. Alternatively, the inductor value can be computed as;

162
$$L = \frac{V_{in} * \{V_{out} - V_{in}\}}{\Delta I_L * f_{sw} * V_{out}}$$
(9)

163 Where V_{in} is the typical input voltage; f_{sw} the minimum converter switching frequency while 164 ΔI_L is the estimated inductor ripple current.

165 A suitable value of f_{sw} for the converter application without causing losses should be 166 selected. The inductor ripple current is not calculated but estimated in the range of 20% - 40% 167 of the output current as;

168

$$\Delta I_L = 0.2 * I_{out_max} * \frac{V_{in}}{V_{out}}$$
(10)

169 Where *I_{out_max}* is the maximum output current for designated converter load.

170 Therefore, the ripple current is expressed as;

171
$$\Delta I_L = \frac{V_{in_min*D}}{f_{sw*L}}$$
(11)

The ripple current should be reduced in the converter circuit because if it is left to penetrate the converter load such as the battery, it can reduce battery life and degrade the operation of the load [28]. Switching ripple filters can be used to prevent the switching ripple current from reaching the load or grid [29].

176 The maximum output current delivered by the converter is calculated as

177
$$I_{out_max} = \left[I_{IC_min} - \frac{\Delta I_L}{2}\right] * (1 - D)$$
(12)

178 Where $I_{IC min}$ is the minimum value of current for the IC given in datasheet.

Another IC of higher switching current has to be selected if I_{out_max} of the selected IC is below the targeted maximum current value of the application or the load. However, if I_{out_max} is slightly smaller than the required maximum load current, the inductor value can be increased as longer as the increased inductance remains within the recommended range in the datasheet. This is because increasing inductance reduces the ripple, thereby increasing the maximum output current to the desired value. If calculated I_{out_max} is above the required maximum output current, then the switching current I_{sw_max} is calculated as:

186
$$I_{sw_max} = \left[\frac{\Delta I_L}{2} + \frac{I_{out_max}}{1-D}\right]$$
(13)

187 To select the diode, the average forward current rating required is equal to I_{out_max} i.e.

188

$$I_F = I_{out_max} \tag{14}$$

189 Where I_F is the diode's average forward current.

For reduced losses, Schottky diode types should be utilized. They also have higher peak
current than their rating and the higher peak current is not a problem. The power dissipated by
the diode is:

193

$$P_F = I_F * V_F \tag{15}$$

194 Where V_F is diode's Forward voltage.

The practical diodes have different threshold forward voltages (barrier potential) V_0 beyond which the diode is able to conduct large amount of current to the output terminal of the converter. The value of V_0 is normally 0.2V, 0.3V and 0.7V for Shockley, germanium and silicon diodes respectively. A practical or real diode has a barrier potential V_0 and a drop-in forward resistance R_F . Therefore the required voltage V_F to operate the diode in forward biased mode becomes:

201

$$V_F = V_0 + R_F I_F \tag{16}$$

202 Where I_F is the forward current.

203 The next step is to select the capacitance. Due to peak current requirement of the converter 204 the input voltage has to be stabilized by a minimum value of input capacitor. The minimum value of input capacitor Cin is always specified in the datasheet. Ceramic capacitors are 205 206 recommended because they have low Equivalent Series resistance (ESR). The capacitance Cin 207 can be increased if the input voltage has higher noise so that higher harmonics are suppressed 208 to avoid noise interference. Class 2 ceramic capacitors with dielectric material X7R should be 209 used for higher temperature applications because they operate in the temperature range of -210 55 °C to +150 °C with a capacitance change $\Delta C/C0$ of utmost ±15%. The X5R capacitors show

a capacitance drift that may not exceed 15% of the nominal capacitance value at 25 °C in a
temperature range from -55 to 85 °C [30]. If lower temperature rated capacitors are used, the
capacitor would lose much of its capacitance due to temperature or DC bias.

During selection of output capacitor C_{out} , low ESR should be put into consideration to reduce the ripple on the output voltage. Capacitors with similar qualities as C_{in} can be used as C_{out} .

The recommended L and C values in the datasheet should be used if internal compensation is used in the converter. If external compensation is used, the capacitance has to be adjusted as:

$$C_{out_min} = \frac{I_{out_max*D}}{f_{sw*\Delta V_{out}}}$$
(17)

221 Where C_{out_min} is the minimum value of output capacitance; ΔV_{out} is the desired output 222 voltage ripple.

223 The additional ripple caused by ESR of C_{out} is expressed as:

224
$$\Delta V_{out_ESR} = \left[\frac{\Delta I_L}{2} + \frac{I_{out_max}}{1-D}\right] * ESR$$
(18)

225

220

226 **3.3. Incremental conductance algorithm**

The IC method operates by incrementally comparing the ratio of derivative of conductance with the instantaneous conductance. This is due to the fact that at maximum power point (MPP), the derivative of power with respect to voltage (dP/dV) is zero, i.e.

230

231
$$\frac{dP}{dV} = \frac{d(VI)}{dV} = I + V \frac{dI}{dV} = 0$$
 (19)

232 After re-arranging Eq. (15)

233

$$-\frac{I}{V} = \frac{dI}{dV} \cong \frac{\Delta I}{\Delta V} \tag{20}$$

234

Where I and V are the TEG output current and voltage; ΔI and ΔV are the increments of TEG output current and voltage, respectively. The basic rules for IC can be written as:

237
$$\begin{cases} \frac{dI}{dV} = -\frac{I}{V}, & At MPP \\ \frac{dI}{dV} > -\frac{I}{V}, & Left of MPP \\ \frac{dI}{dV} < -\frac{I}{V}, & Right of MPP \end{cases}$$
(21)

It can be noticed that the MPP condition (dI/dV + I/V = 0) rarely exists in practical applications; hence another alternative yet effective way to utilize the IC was proposed by a number of researchers [17]. The idea is to generate a marginal error \mathcal{E} using the instantaneous conductance and the incremental conductance. Mathematically, it can be written as:

243
$$\frac{dI}{dV} + \frac{I}{V} = \varepsilon \tag{22}$$

From Eq. (22), it can be seen that the value of ε is zero at MPP. Hence, based on the amount of ε and using the rules of Eq. (21), the basic flow chart for IC method is shown in Fig. 3.

246



249

Fig. 3. Basic flow chart of incremental conductance (IC) method [31]

250 4. Results and discussion

251

The TEG-dc-dc converter model is tested with input temperature in the range of 150°C to 250°C. As indicated in the introduction, the aim of this work is to test the TEG output power conditioning model used in the waste heat recovery in low carbon vehicles. Therefore, the

- chosen maximum temperature is based on the fact that in the gas oil or hybrid vehicles, the
- average temperature of the exhaust manifold is over 250°C [32]. The input temperature test
- scenarios for the model are shown in Fig. 4a and 4b for an increasing step and random signals







The results in this section are based on the temperature input of an increasing step signal at the hot side temperature terminal of the model whereas at the cold side terminal, the temperature is maintained at a constant value of 30°C. The model has been operated in both
MPPT and direct PWM switching modes to compare their performances.

4.1.1 Converter parameters with the MPPT mode

During the MPPT mode the model is subjected to varying loads in the range of 0-14 Ω in order to find out the effect of different loads on the converter parameters including output voltage, current and power. Fig. 5 and 6 show the input and output voltages of the converter at different temperatures. It can be observed from both figures that the input as well as the output voltage increases with the temperature. So the highest voltage is observed at hot side temperature (T_h) of 25°C. This is because as T_h increases under a constant cold side temperature T_c, the temperature difference at TEG increases and in turn the Seebeck effect which is responsible for the generated voltage increases.







Fig. 6. Variation of output voltage of the converter with load resistance

It is also clear that as the converter load increases, the input and output voltages also rise. 286 However, a sharp increase in the voltage is observed from zero resistance up to 1.1Ω where the 287 rate of rise reduces. The rate of voltage rise again increases after R_{load} of 1.1Ω onwards until at 288 the about 10Ω . The interpretation for this trend is better explained based on power curve for 289 290 TEG shown in Fig. 7. The graph of R_{load} against power output of the converter indicates that at 291 a converter load of 1.1Ω , this is where the maximum power is obtained from the converter. 292 This load is referred to as the optimal load at which the total resistance of the converter 293 (including the ESR and other parasitic resistance of the components) is equal to the internal 294 resistance of the TEG, R_{int}. At this point, the load is said to be matched and it is advisable to 295 operate the converter at this load to harvest maximum power from the TEG-dc-dc converter 296 system. The increase in T_h results in the corresponding increase in internal resistance of TEG 297 device leading to the rise in the optimum points due to increase in the value of matching load 298 resistance as seen in Fig. 7. Given the nature of the variation of the internal resistance of TEG, it is very hard to archive the load matching point, hence the use of MPPT algorithm. 299





Fig. 7. Variation of output power with converter with load resistance

Fig. 8 shows the I-V characteristic of the converter plotted with output power. It is seen 303 304 that as the converter load is increased, the output current reduces but the output voltage instead 305 increases. The current and voltage curves meet almost at the maximum power point i.e. at the 306 load matching point though the point of intersection is not the same for different hot side 307 temperature. The output current is maximum at zero load. In ideal circuit, the current is always zero at zero load but in this case the current is maximum since there is some ESR resistance in 308 309 the output capacitor which is parallel to the output terminal. So, the current through the diode 310 takes the easiest path to the ground.

- 311
- 312
- 313



Fig. 8. Variations of output power, voltage and current at different hot side temperature with
load resistance

318 4. 1.2 Converter parameters with direct PWM signal

319 During the direct PWM mode the model is subjected to varying loads in the range of 0-40 320 Ω in order to find out the effect of different loads on the converter parameters at different duty cycle. Fig. 9 shows the output voltages of the converter at different temperature and duty cycle 321 D. As observed, higher output voltage is obtained at D = 10% and the least voltage is obtained 322 323 at D = 80%. During simulation, it is noticed that different ranges of D gives different output voltages as indicated in Fig. 9. The maximum voltage is achieved at duty cycle range of 1 - 1324 325 20%. Similarly the output power for the converter is shown in Fig. 10. The only observable difference between the output voltage and output power is that the rate of increase of output 326 327 power with T_h rises as D increases. Nevertheless in both cases the output voltage and power increase linearly with temperature. The slopes for lines indicated in Fig. 10 are different from 328 329 each other whereby the highest slope is obtained at a duty cycle range of 1-20%. Similar trends have been recorded at other converter loads. 330



Fig. 9. Variation of converter output voltage with hot side temperature and duty cycle at R_{load} = 1.1 Ω





 $\label{eq:sigma} 335 \qquad \mbox{Fig. 10. Variation of converter output power with hot side temperature and duty cycle at R_{load}}$

= 1.1 Ω

337 Fig. 11 shows the output power at different values of D. For clarify, only three T_h and duty cycle values have been plotted. It is noted that for the same range of duty cycle, the matching 338 339 load is the same even for different temperatures. For example in Fig. 11 the matching load is 1.1Ω for T_h of 150°C, 200°C and 250°C at a duty cycle of 10%. However, as soon as D is 340 changed, the matching load also changes. For example the matching load is 1.1Ω , 1.8Ω and 341 2.4 Ω for duty cycle values of 10%, 30% and 50% respectively at the same T_h= 250°C. 342 Therefore, it can be concluded that in cases where a fixed load is connected to the converter, it 343 is not suitable to change the duty cycle even at different values of T_h. 344



345



Fig. 12 shows MPPT and direct PWM model output powers. It has been observed that the maximum power from the converter is obtained at the duty cycle of 10%. Also it is clear that the output power from MPPT based converter model corresponds to the output power from direct PWM mode at D = 10% (as well as D in the range 1-20%). However, at higher values of D, the power output reduces. Therefore, the MPPT can automatically extract maximum power from the system without having to adjust any component from the MPPT algorithm as it is the case with direct PWM mode.



355

356

Fig. 12. Comparison between MPPT and direct PWM model output power.

Voltage conversion ratios (VCR) at D =0.1 for different converter loads are shown in Fig. 13. It is clearly observed that as the temperature increases, VCR reduces. However, VCR reduces with the converter load. Therefore in this TEG-dc-dc converter system, if higher voltage is required it is necessary to operate the TEG system at slightly lower hot side temperature so that the lower TEG output voltage can be boosted to the desired voltage level suitable for the application.





Fig. 13. Voltage conversion ratio at D=0.1 for different converter loads

368 4.2. Results for the increasing random hot side temperature

To further study the capability of dc-dc converter to stabilise the power output from TEG, 369 370 an increasing random hot side temperature in Fig. 4b is applied to the MPPT and direct PWM 371 based modes so that the behaviour of output parameters can be analysed. Note that the cold 372 side temperature is still maintained at 30°C. Fig. 14 shows the voltages and output current for 373 MPPT based model with a converter load $R_{load} = 1.1\Omega$. It is clearly noted from this figure that 374 although the input temperature is random in nature, the output voltage resulting from the 375 converter is almost constant. Unlike the input random hot side temperature at the hot side 376 terminal, the output voltage and current have no several optimum points. Similar to the output 377 voltage, the input voltage to the converter is almost constant because it is filtered by the input 378 capacitor. Similarly, Fig. 15 shows the voltage and output current for MPPT based model at 379 $R_{load} = 4\Omega$. The noticeable difference is that the voltage is increased to 4.6V peak for $R_{load} = 4\Omega$ load as compared to 2.9V peak for $R_{load} = 1.1\Omega$. Additionally output current is reduced to 1.2A 380 peak down from 2.6A peak. 381



increasing hot side temperature at $R_{load} = 1.1\Omega$ and D = 10%. As already noted the output voltage

393 and current are more or less the same for direct PWM mode at D =0.1 as that of MPPT mode. The difference cannot be clearly observed on the graph but rather on calculations. Therefore, 394 395 similar results are indicated in Fig. 16 as those in Fig. 15 since the converter load is the same. However at a higher value D i.e. D = 0.5, the converter fails to weed out some of the peaks 396 397 from the input voltage. Hence the input voltage as well as output voltage and current are 398 observed with over shooting behaviour in Fig. 17, which may result into more converter losses. 399 It is therefore recommended to use a converter at a lower duty cycle to get a highly stabilised 400 output power. However, the best option is to make use of MPPT algorithm since it automatically choose the MPP without the need to adjust the duty cycle. 401



404

405

hot side temperature at D =0.1 and $R_{load} = 4\Omega$



407Fig. 17. Voltage and output current for direct PWM based model with Random increasing hot408side temperature at D =0.5 and R_{load} =4 Ω

409

410 **4.3. Effect of the converter components on the accuracy of the results**

In this section, the cause of inaccuracy in converter output parameters are discussed. As 411 discussed earlier, the converter losses are mainly caused by parasitic resistance of the converter 412 components such as the ESR of input and output capacitors, resistance of the inductor, 413 414 sometimes the resistance of the switch and others. Fig. 18a and 18b indicate the residual voltage 415 that remains when the converter is not loaded i.e. at $R_{load} = 0\Omega$. This represents the ripple voltage caused by ESR of the output capacitor since the output capacitor is in parallel with R_{load}. In 416 Fig. 18a the ESR is kept at $1 \times 10^{-9} \Omega$ while in Fig. 18b it is $1 \times 10^{-6} \Omega$. The voltage spikes on the 417 ripple can be observed to increase when the ESR is increase from $1e-9\Omega$ to $1 \times 10^{-6} \Omega$ in Fig. 18b. 418 419



428 of 1 Ω . Since the ripples are higher frequency harmonics and are within the audible range, if

the converter load is an audio equipment such as radio receiver, the ripple will be audible within the output of the receiver and therefore cause noise interference. Therefore, the ESR has to be reduced as low as possible, else the ripple should be filtered to avoid such unnecessary occurrences within the TEG system.





The switching frequency also needs proper tuning as it affects the output parameters. Fig. 20 illustrates the effect of increasing the switching frequency from 5 kHz to 20 kHz on the input and output voltages with overshooting. Although increasing F_{sw} reduces inductor ripple current and output ripple voltage, it has the disadvantage of increasing the switching losses, hence reducing efficiency.



Fig. 20. Effect of increasing the switching frequency from 5 kHz to 20 kHz on the input and
output voltages

449 **5.** Conclusion

446

450 A dc-dc converter as a power conditioning device can provide a more stable power output 451 and facilitate the extraction of more power from the TEG system. But, for performance 452 improvement, maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithm can be applied to extract the 453 maximum power from TEG system. Therefore, this work has analysed the performance of a 454 TEG/dc-dc converter system and the parameters that influence the system's performance in 455 different modes. A TEG/dc-dc boost converter model has been investigated in both MPPT and 456 direct pulse width modulation (PWM) modes subjected to a variable load. To further study the 457 ability of dc-dc converters to stabilise the power output from TEG system, increasing ramp and random hot side temperature profiles have been applied to the MPPT and direct PWM based 458 459 modes so that the effect on output parameters i.e. voltage and power, are analysed. It has been 460 noted that even for the random temperature input to the TEG, the output voltage resulting from 461 the converter is almost constant. Therefore dc-dc converters are able to stabilise the power 462 generated from TEG. It has also been observed that dc-dc converter with MPPT based model

- 463 is able to effectively extract maximum power from TEG compared to the direct PWM based
- 464 model. It has been established that for maximum power to be achieved easily, an optimum load
- 465 has to be connected to the system. Besides, proper selection of converter components is
- 466 necessary to avoid converter losses as well noise interferences on the load connected to
- 467 TEG/dc-dc converter system.
- 468
- 469

470 **References**

- 471 [1] X. Liu, C. Li, Y. D. Deng, and C. Q. Su, "An energy-harvesting system using
 472 thermoelectric power generation for automotive application," *Int. J. Electr. Power*473 *Energy Syst.*, vol. 67, pp. 510–516, 2015.
- 474 [2] M. Noori, S. Gardner, and O. Tatari, "Electric vehicle cost, emissions, and water
 475 footprint in the United States: Development of a regional optimization model,"
 476 *Energy*, vol. 89, pp. 610–625, 2015.
- K. Ebrahimi, G. F. Jones, and A. S. Fleischer, "A review of data center cooling
 technology, operating conditions and the corresponding low-grade waste heat recovery
 opportunities," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 31, pp. 622–638, 2014.
- 480 [4] T. Seetawan, K. Singsoog, and S. Srichai, "Thermoelectric Energy Conversion of p481 Ca3Co4O9/n-CaMnO3 Module," in *The 6th International Conference on Applied*482 *Energy ICAE2014*, 2014, vol. 0, pp. 2–5.
- 483 [5] S. Twaha, J. Zhu, Y. Yan, and B. Li, "A comprehensive review of thermoelectric technology: Materials, applications, modelling and performance improvement,"
 485 *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 65, pp. 698–726, 2016.
- 486 [6] M. M. Barry, K. A. Agbim, and M. K. Chyu, "Performance of a Thermoelectric
 487 Device with Integrated Heat Exchangers," *J. Electron. Mater.*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp.
 488 1394–1401, 2015.
- 489 [7] S. Leblanc, "Sustainable Materials and Technologies Thermoelectric generators : 490 Linking material properties and systems engineering for waste heat recovery 491 applications," *Susmat*, vol. 1–2, pp. 26–35, 2014.
- 492 [8] J. Gao, Q. Du, M. Chen, B. Li, and D. Zhang, "Assessing the accuracy of
 493 mathematical models used in thermoelectric simulation: Thermal influence of
 494 insulated air zone and radiation heat," *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, vol. 82, pp. 162–169, 2015.
- U. Erturun and K. Mossi, "Thermoelectric devices with rotated and coaxial leg
 configurations: Numerical analysis of performance," *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, vol. 85, pp.
 304–312, 2015.
- U. Erturun, K. Erermis, and K. Mossi, "Effect of various leg geometries on thermomechanical and power generation performance of thermoelectric devices," *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, vol. 73, no. 1, pp. 126–139, 2014.
- [11] Y. Y. HUANG KUO, BO LI, SSENNOGA TWAHA, "Comprehensive Study on Novel Concentric Cylindrical Thermoelectric Power Generation System," *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, 2016.
- M. A. M. Ramli, S. Twaha, K. Ishaque, and Y. A. Al-Turki, "A review on maximum
 power point tracking for photovoltaic systems with and without shading conditions," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 67, pp. 144–159, 2016.

- 507 [13] A. M. Yusop, R. Mohamed, and A. Mohamed, "Inverse dynamic analysis type of
 508 MPPT control strategy in a thermoelectric-solar hybrid energy harvesting system,"
 509 *Renew. Energy*, vol. 86, pp. 682–692, 2016.
- 510 [14] A. Paraskevas and E. Koutroulis, "A simple maximum power point tracker for
 511 thermoelectric generators," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 108, pp. 355–365, 2016.
- 512 [15] M. G. Molina, L. E. Juanicó, G. F. Rinalde, E. Taglialavore, and S. Gortari, "Design of
 513 improved controller for thermoelectric generator used in distributed generation," *Int. J.*514 *Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 35, no. 11, pp. 5968–5973, 2010.
- 515 [16] Y. H. Liu, Y. H. Chiu, J. W. Huang, and S. C. Wang, "A novel maximum power point tracker for thermoelectric generation system," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 97, pp. 306–318, 2016.
- [17] Y. H. Liu, Y. H. Chiu, J. W. Huang, S. C. Wang, S. Manikandan, S. C. Kaushik, M. G.
 Molina, L. E. Juanicó, G. F. Rinalde, A. Paraskevas, E. Koutroulis, C. Yu, K. T. Chau,
 A. M. Yusop, R. Mohamed, A. Mohamed, X. Zhang, and K. T. Chau,
 "Thermodynamic studies and maximum power point tracking in thermoelectric
 generator-thermoelectric cooler combined system," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 97,
 no. 13, pp. 682–692, 2016.
- [18] M. G. Molina, L. E. Juanicó, and G. F. Rinalde, "Design of innovative power
 conditioning system for the grid integration of thermoelectric generators," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 37, no. 13, pp. 10057–10063, 2012.
- 527 [19] S. Twaha, J. Zhu, Y. Yan, B. Li, and K. Huang, "Performance analysis of
 528 thermoelectric generator using dc-dc converter with incremental conductance based
 529 maximum power point tracking," *Energy Sustain. Dev.*, 2017.
- 530 [20] S. Yu, Q. Du, H. Diao, G. Shu, and K. Jiao, "Start-up modes of thermoelectric
 531 generator based on vehicle exhaust waste heat recovery," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 138, pp.
 532 276–290, 2015.
- [21] X. F. Zheng, C. X. Liu, Y. Y. Yan, and Q. Wang, "A review of thermoelectrics
 research Recent developments and potentials for sustainable and renewable energy
 applications," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 32, pp. 486–503, 2014.
- 536 [22] Y. S. H. Najjar and M. M. Kseibi, "Heat Transfer and Performance Analysis of
 537 Thermoelectric Stoves," *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, vol. 102, pp. 1045–1058, 2016.
- [23] Z. Niu, S. Yu, H. Diao, Q. Li, K. Jiao, Q. Du, H. Tian, and G. Shu, "Elucidating
 modeling aspects of thermoelectric generator," *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.*, vol. 85, pp.
 12–32, 2015.
- 541 [24] S. Twaha, J. Zhu, Y. Yan, and B. Li, "A comprehensive review of thermoelectric
 542 technology: Materials, applications, modelling and performance improvement,"
 543 *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 65, 2016.
- 544 [25] S. Lv, W. He, L. Wang, G. Li, J. Ji, H. Chen, and G. Zhang, "Design, fabrication and
 545 feasibility analysis of a thermo-electric wearable helmet," *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, vol. 109,
 546 pp. 138–146, 2016.
- 547 [26] M. Li, "Thermoelectric-Generator-Based DC-DC Conversion Network for Automotive
 548 Applications," KTH Information and Communication Technology, 2011.
- 549 [27] S. Twaha, J. Zhu, and Y. Yan, "Power conditioning of thermoelectric generated power
 550 using dc-dc converters: a case study of a boost converter," in *International Heat*551 *Transfer Symposium 2016*, 2016.
- [28] K. Uddin, A. D. Moore, A. Barai, and J. Marco, "The effects of high frequency current ripple on electric vehicle battery performance," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 178, pp. 142–154, 2016.
- M. Büyük, A. Tan, M. Tümay, and K. Ç. Bayindir, "Topologies, generalized designs,
 passive and active damping methods of switching ripple filters for voltage source

- inverter: A comprehensive review," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 62, pp. 46–69, 2016.
- [30] R. Mikkenie, O. Steigelmann, W. A. Groen, and J. E. Ten Elshof, "A quick method to determine the capacitance characteristics of thin layer X5R multilayer capacitors," *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 167–173, 2012.
- 562 [31] K. Ishaque, Z. Salam, and G. Lauss, "The performance of perturb and observe and
 563 incremental conductance maximum power point tracking method under dynamic
 564 weather conditions," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 119, pp. 228–236, 2014.
- 565 [32] C. Yu and K. T. Chau, "Thermoelectric automotive waste heat energy recovery using
 566 maximum power point tracking," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 50, no. 6, pp. 1506–
 567 1512, 2009.
- 568