

Assessment of milk fat content in fat blends by ¹³C-NMR spectroscopy analysis of butyrate

Raffaele Sacchi*¹, Antonello Paduano², Nicola Caporaso^{3,4}, Gianluca Picariello⁵, Raffaele Romano¹ and Francesco Addeo¹

¹Department of Agricultural Sciences, Unit of Food Science and Technology, University of Naples Federico II, Via Università 100, 80055 Portici (NA), Italy.

²Department of Agricultural and Environmental Science, University of Bari, 70126 Bari, Italy.

³Division of Food Sciences, School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Leicestershire, UK.

⁴Department of Primary Production and Processing, Campden BRI, Station Rd, Chipping Campden GL55 6LD, UK.

⁵Institute of Food Science and Nutrition, National Research Council (CNR), 83100 Avellino, Italy.

*Corresponding author: Prof. Raffaele Sacchi, Department of Agricultural Sciences, Unit of Food Science and Technology, University of Naples Federico II, Via Università 100, 80055 Portici (NA), Italy. E-mail address: sacchi@unina.it. Tel.: +39 081 2539320.

Abbreviations: **IDF**, International Dairy Federation; **GC**, High Resolution Gas-liquid Chromatography; **TAGs**, Triacylglycerols; **EI**, Electron Ionization; **MS**, Mass Spectrometry; **LC-APCI-MS/MS**, Liquid Chromatography-Atmospheric Pressure Chemical Ionization-Mass Spectrometry; **BA**, Butyric Acid; **NMR**, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance; **FAMES**, Fatty Acid Methyl Esters; **NOEs**, Nuclear Overhauser Effects; **BB**, Broad Band; **TMS**, tetramethylsilane.

ABSTRACT

1 Butyric acid (butyrate) is a candidate marker of milk fat in complex fat blends, since it is
2 exclusive of milk triacylglycerols (TAGs) from different ruminant species. In this work, we
3 determined the amount of milk fat used for the preparation of fat blends by ¹³C-Nuclear
4 Magnetic Resonance (¹³C-NMR) spectroscopy-based quantification of butyrate. When
5 tested on fat samples spiked with known amounts of reference bovine milk fat (BCR-519
6 certified material), the relative composition of the mixtures was reliably assessed through
7 the integration of the diagnostic ¹³C-NMR carbonyl (C1) or α-carbonyl methylene (C2)
8 resonances of butyrate. NMR data exhibited strict correlation with high resolution-gas
9 chromatography (GC) of fatty acid methyl esters ($R^2 = 0.99$), which was used as an
10 independent and well-established method for the determination of butyrate. Thus, ¹³C-
11 NMR can be used for the direct assessment of milk fat content in fat mixtures, at a limit of
12 detection lower than 5%, with clear advantages over the traditional GC methods in terms
13 of speed, robustness and minimal sample handling. The natural variability of butyrate in
14 milk has been taken into account to estimate the uncertainty associated with the milk fat
15 content in unknown fat blends.

Keywords: ¹³C-NMR; milk fat; butyric acid; authenticity; fat blends

1. Introduction

16 According to the current European legislation (EC Reg., 1994; 2007), the milk fat content
17 in commercial fat mixtures must be clearly indicated on the label. Since milk fat has the
18 highest price among fats, there is a realistic possibility that milk fat could be partly or totally
19 replaced with other fats, such as lard, beef tallow or vegetable oils, to obtain industrial
20 blends.

21 The International Dairy Federation (IDF) developed an accurate and reliable method to
22 determine the composition of mixed spreads based on the fatty acid profile of milk and non-
23 milk fat ingredients (Muuse & Martens, 1993). The Official EU method of analysis for the
24 authenticity assessment of butter (EC Reg., 2001) is based on the gas-liquid
25 chromatography (GC) evaluation of triacylglycerols (TAGs) (Precht, 1992). Several other
26 strategies or methods have been proposed to assess the content of milk fat in blended
27 fats. Details of these methods and related drawbacks have been recently reviewed by
28 Derewiaka et al. (2011).

29 Butterfat TAGs can be effectively separated, characterized and quantified by capillary GC
30 coupled to electron ionization (EI) mass spectrometry (MS) (Kempinen & Lalo, 2006).
31 Foreign fats, such as for instance tallow, have been quantified by fatty acid analysis
32 coupled to multivariate statistical techniques (Ulbert, 1995). Yoshinaga et al. (2013) applied
33 liquid chromatography coupled with atmospheric-pressure chemical ionization tandem
34 mass spectrometry (LC-APCI-MS/MS) to quantify milk fat in blends and butter biscuits,
35 using 1,2-dipalmitoyl-3-butyroyl-glycerol as a specific analytical target. Indeed, milk fat
36 contains major amounts of short-chain fatty acids, biosynthesized from acetic (as acetyl-
37 CoA) and β -hydroxy-butyric acids derived from rumen fermentation. In particular, butyric
38 (or butanoic) acid (BA) exclusively occurs in milk fat TAGs of ruminants (Alais, 2000). Thus,
39 BA can be monitored as a robust marker of milk fat content in fat mixtures (Glaeser, 2002;
40 Molкетин & Precht, 2000; Pocklington & Hautfenne, 1986) as well as in a variety of
41 foodstuff, such as chocolate, cakes, pastries, ice-creams (Molкетин & Precht, 1997;
42 Ulberth, 1998).

43 The analytical determination of BA generally relies on GC analysis of fatty acid methyl
44 esters (Molкетин & Precht 2000). For instance, BA has been specifically targeted to detect
45 milk fat in cocoa butter and chocolate fats (Buchgraber et al., 2007).

46 Based on the stereospecific esterification of BA on the *sn*-3 position of glycerol backbone
47 in milk fat TAGs, the study of BA *sn*-regioisomerism using Nuclear Magnetic Resonance

48 (NMR) spectroscopy also enables the detection of low percentages of synthetic or inter-
49 esterified TAGs in authentic butterfat (Picariello et al., 2013).

50 In the last decades, NMR has been recognized as a very powerful tool for food analysis
51 (Alberti et al., 2002). NMR boasts several advantages over other techniques, including its
52 non-destructive nature, the possibility to preserve food structure, the high informative level
53 obtainable from complex food systems, minimal sample handling required, speed of
54 analysis and good reproducibility (Alberti et al., 2002; Sacchi & Paolillo, 2007).

55 Milk fat lipids can be distinguished by other hard fats, such as lard, using ^1H or ^{13}C -NMR,
56 the latter maximizing the resolution, because of the wider range of chemical shifts
57 (Fadzillah, et al. 2017). The ^{13}C -NMR analysis of glycerolipids, which normally occur in
58 relatively high amounts in foodstuff, provides a reliable quantitative determination of butter
59 milk TAG fatty acids (Belloque & Ramos, 1999). In contrast, Gouk et al. (2012) reported
60 some discrepancy about the positional fatty acid composition obtained through NMR
61 analysis and conventional enzymatic or chemical methods, in particular leading to
62 overestimation of the saturated fatty acids content at *sn*-1 or *sn*-3 positions with respect to
63 *sn*-2 position. ^1H and ^{13}C -NMR spectroscopy has been widely applied to the direct analysis
64 of different oils and fats, including olive oil (Sacchi et al., 1992; Sacchi et al., 1997; Brescia
65 & Sacco, 2008). Recently, NMR spectroscopy has been successfully applied directly to
66 milk analysis without any pretreatment step (Hu et al., 2004; Sundekilde et al., 2013).
67 Several organic compounds in whole milk were also simultaneously quantified by one- (^1H ,
68 ^{13}C) and two-dimensional NMR spectroscopy (Hu et al., 2007).

69 The well-resolved ^{13}C -NMR resonances of C1 (carbonyl carbon) of BA with respect to C1
70 of long-chain fatty acids (Pfeffer et al., 1977; Andreotti et al., 2000, 2002), were also
71 suggested as a diagnostic probe to discriminate genuine butter from mixtures with
72 synthetic TAGs with many advantages related to the robustness and minimal sample
73 handling (Picariello et al., 2013). However, the quantification of milk fat in unknown fat
74 blends based on BA determination can be biased by the natural fluctuation of fatty acids in
75 milk TAGs, depending on a variety of biotic and abiotic factors.

76 In this work, we aimed at developing a direct and reliable NMR method to assess milk fat
77 in fat blends. Therefore, butyrate as a marker of milk fat was monitored by ^{13}C -NMR in
78 blends containing butter along with lard and vegetable margarine, which simulate
79 commercial "mixed fats" and other spreads used as cheaper butter surrogates. To this
80 purpose, we compared the direct NMR determination of butyrate in fat blends containing

81 known amount of milk fat to the capillary GC analysis of trans-methylated fatty acids,
82 chosen as a robust and well-established control analytical method.

2. Material and Methods

83 2.1 Standards and reagents

84 Chloroform-d (with 0.03 % v/v internal tetramethylsilane, TMS) was obtained from Aldrich
85 Chemical Co. (Milwaukee, WIS, USA). HPLC-grade solvents and all other chemicals of the
86 highest purity available were purchased from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland).

87 2.2 Samples

88 Lard and margarine (fractionated and inter-esterified vegetable fats) samples used for the
89 experiments were purchased on the local market. Anhydrous bovine milk fat (BCR-519
90 certified material) was from the Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements (Geel,
91 Belgium). Prior to blending, fat samples were melted at 40°C for 1 h under N₂ to prevent
92 possible auto-oxidation and homogenized. Melted lard and margarine were dehydrated
93 using sodium sulfate. Fat blends were prepared by spiking a 1:1 (w/w) mixture of melted
94 lard and margarine with BCR-519 milk fat at 5, 10, 25 and 50 % (w/w) relative amount.
95 Although butyrate can be even detected at 1% (w/w) and quantified at 2.5 % (w/w) of
96 butterfat in complex fats (Picariello et al., 2013, see also herein below), blends containing
97 less than 5% (w/w) of milk fat were not investigated, since they are scarcely relevant under
98 a commercial standpoint.

99 2.3 Capillary gas-liquid chromatography (GC)

100 GC analysis of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMES) was carried out by cold trans-methylation
101 in KOH/methanol (Ichihara 1996; Christie, 2003). Fat aliquots (100 mg to the nearest 0.1
102 mg) were dissolved in 10 mL n-hexane, mixed with 0.5 mL of 2 N KOH in methanol and
103 shaken vigorously for 1 min using a vortex mixer. The resulting solution was centrifuged
104 for 1 min. The clear supernatant was used for GC analysis. FAME analysis was performed
105 by using a Shimadzu GLC17A gas chromatography (Shimadzu Italia, Milan, Italy) equipped
106 with split/splitless injection port, flame ionization detector and a 60 m fused-silica capillary
107 column (Quadrex Corporation, New Heaven, U.S.A.) coated with cyanopropyl methyl
108 silicone (0.25µm film thickness). Samples (1µL) were introduced using a Shimadzu AOC-
109 20i automatic injector (Shimadzu Italia). The temperature of both the injector and detector

110 was 250 °C. The initial oven temperature was set at 70 °C for 4 min. The temperature rate
111 was set on 10 °C min⁻¹ up to 170 °C for 10 min, and an increase at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹
112 was followed to finally reach a temperature of 220 °C that was maintained for 10 min.
113 Helium was the carrier gas at a 1.8 mL min⁻¹ flow rate. The split ratio was 1:60. The GC
114 method was calibrated using a mixture of 37 FAME standards fatty acids (Sigma), C4-C24,
115 and the calibration for butyrate had R²=0.992. Samples were analyzed in triplicate and
116 results were averaged.

117 *2.4 High Resolution ¹³C-NMR spectroscopy*

118 For the ¹³C-NMR analysis, 50 mg of fat samples were dissolved in 0.5 mL of chloroform-d
119 containing 0.03% (v/v) TMS and transferred in a 5 mm i.d. NMR-tube. The ¹³C-NMR
120 spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV 400 spectrometer (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany)
121 operating at a ¹³C-frequency of 100.62 MHz. Full spectra were recorded with 32 K data
122 points, spectral width 200 ppm, pulse width 45°, acquisition time 0.81 sec, relaxation delay
123 4 sec (with a digital resolution of 2 Hz/pt), 128-3000 scans up to an S/N ratio > 5 for the
124 lowest BA signal (C4) (Sacchi et al., 1995). The experimental time was 20-120 min in
125 relation to the milk fat amount in the samples. High resolution carbonyl spectra were
126 recorded at 30 ± 1°C with 32 K data points, spectral width 10 ppm, pulse width 90°,
127 acquisition time 23.2 sec and relaxation delay 2 sec. The resulting digital resolution was
128 0.04 Hz/pt. Nuclear Overhauser Effects (NOEs) were measured only for those signals
129 relevant in the quantitative analysis by comparing spectra recorded in Broad Band (BB)
130 decoupling mode (with NOE enhancement) with those recorded by using the inverse gated
131 decoupling sequence (with NOE suppression). Inverse gated spectra were recorded with
132 the same acquisition parameters and with the same number of scans used in the BB
133 experiments, with an additional delay of 20 sec. Carbon-13 spin-lattice relaxation times
134 (T1) were measured using the inversion-recovery (180-T-90) pulse sequence. Spectra
135 recorded at different delays between pulses were transformed in absolute intensity mode
136 without any phase correction. In order to obtain the T1 values, peak intensities were fitted
137 to an exponential curve via a three-parameter minimization (Jacobsen, 2007). Chemical
138 shift values were referenced to internal TMS and assigned by comparison with standard
139 compounds and literature data (Pfeffer et al., 1977; Andreotti et al., 2000; 2002; Van
140 Calsteren et al., 1996).

141 *2.5 Quantitative spectral analysis*

142 NMR signals were fitted to a sum of Lorentzian curves using a nonlinear least-squares
143 algorithm and intensity of peaks was quantified using the Linesim (Bruker) software. The
144 relative concentration of BA was calculated as detailed in the section 3.1. ¹³C-NMR spectra
145 were acquired in triplicate and signal integration values were averaged. The ¹³C-NMR-
146 based limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) of butterfat in complex fats
147 were 1% (w/w) and 2.5% (w/w), respectively. LOD and LOQ were determined as previously
148 detailed (Picariello et al., 2013).

3. Results and discussion

149 3.1. NMR analysis

150 The ¹³C-NMR spectral regions relevant to carbonyls (spectra acquired in high resolution
151 mode) and methylene/methyl groups (spectra acquired in low resolution mode) of milk fat
152 are shown in **Figure 1**. The carbon signals of the butyryl backbone were completely
153 resolved and ¹³C-NMR chemical shifts were assigned as following: C1 173.13 ppm, C2
154 35.94 ppm, C3 18.37 ppm and C4 13.63 ppm. As expected, no butyrate was detected in
155 lard and margarine NMR spectra (data not shown). Although butyrate exclusively occurs
156 at the *sn*-3 positions of the glycerol backbone of milk TAGs (Pfeffer et al., 1977; Alais,
157 2000), the *sn*-1,3 positions are undistinguishable using the ordinary NMR methods; for this
158 reason, thereafter butyrate is indicated as esterified at the *sn*-1,3 glycerol positions (**Fig.**
159 **1**). The C1 and C2 resonances of butyrate could be alternatively selected as suitable
160 diagnostic indicators of milk TAGs because they: i) can be easily referred to the C1 and
161 C2 resonances corresponding to the acyl chains of fatty acids other than BA, ii) are
162 resolved enough from interfering signals, and iii) provide an additional information about
163 the *sn*-regioisomerism of BA in TAGs, allowing the discrimination between authentic
164 butterfat and possible trans-esterified fats (Picariello et al., 2013). Therefore, the signals of
165 C1 or C2 *sn*-1,3-butyl can be integrated for the relative determination of BA content,
166 which can be expressed as either molar fraction percentage of total fatty acid chains or
167 referred to the *sn*-1/3-acyl chains alone.

168 The quantitative analysis of butyrate can be performed by referring the area of the C1 or
169 C2 peaks at 173.13 ppm and 35.94 ppm to the corresponding signals of long, medium and
170 short chain fatty acids (C6-C22), using alternatively one of the following expressions:

$$171 \quad \text{Butyrate \% (mole fraction)} = b1*100/ (b1 + a1 + c1) \quad (1)$$

172 where b1= intensity of C1 butyrate signal (173.13 ppm); a1= intensity of C1 *sn*-1,3-long
173 chain fatty acids (C6-C20) (173.36 ppm); c1 = intensity of C1 *sn*-2-long chain fatty acids
174 (C6-C20) (172.95 ppm).

$$175 \quad \text{Butyrate \% (mole fraction)} = b2 \cdot 100 / (b2 + a2 + c2) \quad (2)$$

176 where b2 = intensity of C2 butyrate signal (35.94 ppm); a2 = intensity of C2 *sn*-1,3-long
177 chain fatty acids (C6-C20) (34.18 ppm); c2 = intensity of C2 *sn*-2-long chain fatty acids
178 (C6-C20) (34.35 ppm).

179 In order to obtain a reliable quantitative response, ¹³C-NMR spectra have to be acquired
180 under experimental conditions ensuring a satisfactory digital resolution and a linear
181 recovery of NMR resonances. The linearity between the NMR signal intensity and the
182 concentration of the components is, generally, distorted by different relaxation rates and
183 NOE effects of different carbons (Wollenberg, 1990; Ng, 2000).

184 In routine ¹³C-NMR qualitative analysis, most spectra are usually recorded using broad
185 band (BB) proton decoupling mode (complete saturation of the proton transitions in order
186 to produce decoupled spectra, eliminating the multiplicity of carbon signals) and using a
187 short delay time (D1) between two subsequent pulses. For quantitative ¹³C-NMR analysis,
188 when carbons have different relaxation behavior, the longitudinal relaxation times (T1)
189 have to be known for all carbons to ensure that all carbons are fully relaxed between two
190 following pulses. For this reason, spectra for quantitative purpose were acquired using
191 experimental conditions that permit a complete relaxation of carbon nuclei between two
192 subsequent pulses, taking into account the known T1 values for different acyl carbons
193 (Wollenberg, 1990; Ng, 2000). T1 values ranged between 9 and 11 s for carbonyls and
194 were shorter than 1 sec for all methylene carbons. Based on these T1 values, and using a
195 45° pulse, a short relaxation delay of 2 sec was used for recording quantitative full spectra.
196 For methylene carbon C2 signals both BB and inverse-gated full spectra were acquired.
197 The advantage of the BB mode is related to its increased sensitivity because of both the
198 NOE enhancement, yielding higher S/N ratio, and the faster recycle delay between pulses
199 (2.37 s) with respect to those used in inverse gated NOE suppressed spectra (22.37 s).
200 For this latter reason, a higher number of scans per minute (25.3 scans/min) is recorded
201 in the BB accumulation mode with respect to the inverse gated recording (2.7 scans/min),
202 giving rise to spectra with higher signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio. To obtain the same S/N for

203 methylene carbons, 20-30 times shorter accumulation time is required in BB mode than
204 the inverse-gated mode. The complete relaxation of C1 carbonyls was guaranteed by the
205 high acquisition time (12-20 sec) requested for high digital resolution (0.04 Hz/pt)
206 (Wollenberg, 1990). Considering the small NOE effect on carbonyl signal intensities
207 (Wollenberg, 1990; Ng, 2000), the BA content was also inferred from the carbonyl high-
208 resolution ^{13}C NMR spectra acquired using the BB mode.

209 3.2. Comparison between NMR and GC

210 The quantitative data obtained from the NMR measurements were compared with those
211 obtained by high resolution-gas chromatography (GC) determinations. In **Table 1**, the
212 amount of butyric acid found in fat blends by NMR (expressed in mole fraction %) and the
213 relative amount of butyrate obtained by GC (expressed as weight %) are reported. The
214 quantitative NMR- and GC-based quantitative data displayed a very high regression
215 coefficient ($R^2 = 0.9996$, **Fig. 2a**), supporting the correspondence between two
216 independent analytical techniques. NMR spectra also exhibited satisfactory repeatability
217 from triplicate analyses (standard deviation of signal intensities: 0.08).

218 Some advantages of the NMR method here proposed with respect to the GC procedure
219 can be pointed out: i) samples of mixed fats are directly analyzed by NMR without any
220 trans-methylation and/or chemical handling, ii) a rapid and non-destructive analysis is
221 performed by NMR, iii) the method can be simply applied by recording NMR spectra of fat
222 dissolved in chloroform-*d*, without the need of any chemical standard for qualitative-
223 quantitative calibration.

224 3.3. Assessment of milk-fat content

225 In our experiment, the NMR values of BA plotted against the known amounts of milk fat in
226 mixtures (w/w %) resulted in an excellent linearity and a very good regression coefficient
227 ($R^2 = 0.9994$) as shown in **Fig. 2b**. The ^{13}C -NMR analysis of butyrate enables a reliable
228 detection of milk fat in spreads at relative concentrations of 5% (w/w) or even lower
229 (Picariello et al., 2013).

230 The accurate ^{13}C -NMR-based quantification of milk fat with foreign fats, however, could be
231 biased by the natural variability of fatty acids in milk, as the milk fatty acid profile is affected
232 by genetic and environmental factors, especially the animal diet, as well as by seasonal
233 changes. High variability in the composition of milk fat even from cows fed the same diet
234 has been previously reported (Bobe et al., 2013). The natural fluctuation of fatty acids

235 determines a window of variability of the BA content in milk fat (**Table 2**), which has been
236 drawn in **Figure 2** taking into account the values reported in the literature (Glaeser, 2002;
237 Collomb et al., 2002; Talpur et al., 2008; Lourenço et al., 2008; Gastaldi et al., 2011;
238 Månsson, 2008; Collomb et al., 2008; Butler 2011; Kliem et al., 2013).

239 Such a variability implies a possible range of error in the evaluation of milk fat in mixed
240 fats, which is proportional to the milk fat content. For instance, in a hypothetical unknown
241 mixture of fats, for a value of 2% (molar fraction, mf) BA determined by NMR, one could
242 estimate a milk fat content ranging between about 22 and 26%. For a measurement of 7%
243 (mf) BA, the amount of milk fat would be comprised within the 77-85% range. It is obvious
244 that an accurate check of the correspondence between the declared and actual butter
245 content in a fat mixture is possible only if a sample of the butterfat batch used by the
246 industry to produce the fat blend is available for analysis.

247 Herein, to prepare blends spiked with milk fat we used anhydrous BCR-519 certified
248 material, which is representative of the most common cow breeds and averages the
249 fluctuation of barn (winter) and pasture (summer) feeding, containing butyrate at 3.49%
250 (w/w) (Molkentin & Precht, 1997; 1998).

4. Conclusions

251 A rapid and accurate ^{13}C -NMR spectroscopic method was developed to detect and quantify
252 milk-derived fat in butter-like spreads composed by different kinds of fats, namely
253 vegetable margarine and pork fat. The effectiveness of the method was assessed by
254 comparative GC determination of butyrate, as a molecular marker of milk fat, in milk fat-
255 containing blends. The correlation between the two independent methods was excellent.
256 However, the ^{13}C -NMR spectroscopy detection and quantification of butyrate was
257 advantageous for several reasons, primarily because no sample pre-treatment was
258 required. In addition, NMR analysis does not require the use of chemical standard for signal
259 identification and quantitative calibration. The intensity of selected diagnostic signals, in
260 fact, allows the direct quantitative determination of BA content and, hence, the assessment
261 of the milk fat content.

262 In general, the NMR instrumentation and maintenance costs, as well as the operative skills
263 required, could represent limiting factors to routine application for authenticity assessment
264 of edible fats. However, in a perspective of a rational and integrated control policy, NMR
265 spectroscopy can be considered as a powerful and versatile method, especially suitable
266 for confirmatory purposes. In the last years, the high magnetic fields available (600-800

267 MHz) and the development of ultra-high resolution NMR, with the spread of cryo-probes,
268 has strikingly enhanced the instrumental sensitivity, reducing the acquisition time to a few
269 minutes. Therefore, it is expected in the future that NMR will be applied widely at both
270 control laboratories and industrial levels for assessing fat authenticity and food quality in
271 general.

272 The method here discussed can help to control fraudulent practices and to certify the
273 quality of fat blends. However, all methods based on the quantification of butyric acid for
274 the assessment of the amount of milk fat added to other foodstuffs suffer from an intrinsic
275 limitation due to the variability of the BA content in butter, which depends on the animal
276 species, diets, stages of lactation, seasons of the year and processing conditions that
277 influence fatty acid composition of butter. In this work we determined a window of variability
278 of the milk fat in fat blends, compatible with the analytical determination of BA amounts.
279 The accurate check of the amount of milk fat included in a 'mixed fat' would be possible
280 only if manufacturers were required to store butter samples for post-preparation assays.

281 In principle, the ^{13}C -NMR analysis of butyrate in edible fat blends could enable the
282 assessment of milk fat absence, also applying this technique as a tool for consumers'
283 protection when the consumption of milk-derived material is not allowed for ethical or
284 nutritional (e.g. food allergy and intolerances) reasons. On the other hand, the possibility
285 to correlate butyrate with the possible presence of milk allergens should be carefully
286 validated in terms of sensitivity, by comparing ^{13}C NMR to specific techniques (e. g.
287 immunochemical methods, mass spectrometry), since allergens could be injuring also at
288 very low amounts. Furthermore, the indirect detection of markers other than protein
289 allergens or molecules responsible of intolerances (e. g. lactose) increases the risk of
290 obtaining false positive determinations (Picariello et al., 2011).

Acknowledgements

The present research was carried out without any specific funding.

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

291

292 Alais, C. (2000). *Milk Science (Scienza del latte)*, 3rd ed. Ed. Tecniche Nuove, Milano,
293 (Italy).

294 Alberti, E., Belton, P. S., & Gil, A. M. (2002). Application of NMR to Food Science. *Annual*
295 *Reports on NMR Spectroscopy* 47, 109-148.

296 Andreotti, G., Trivellone, E., Lamanna, R., Di Luccia, & A., Motta, A. (2000). Milk
297 Identification of Different Species: ¹³C-NMR Spectroscopy of Triacylglycerols from
298 Cows and Buffaloes' Milks. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 83, 2432–2437.

299 Andreotti, G., Lamanna, R., Trivellone, E., & Motta, A. (2002). ¹³C NMR Spectra of TAG:
300 An Easy Way to Distinguish Milks from Different Animal Species. *Journal of the*
301 *American Oil Chemists' Society*, 79, 123-127.

302 Belloque, J., & Ramos, M. (1999). Application of NMR spectroscopy to milk and dairy
303 products. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 10, 313-320.

304 Bobe, G., Hammond, E. G., Freeman, A. E., Lindberg, G. L., & Beitz, D. C. (2003), Texture
305 of butter from cows with different milk fatty acid compositions. *Journal of Dairy*
306 *Science*, 86, 3122-3127.

307 Brescia, M. A., & Sacco, A. (2008). High-Resolution ¹³C Nuclear Magnetic
308
309 Resonance in the Study of Oils. In: *Modern Magnetic Resonance*, Webb, G. A. (Eds).
310 Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp. 307-315. Buchgraber, M., Androni, S., &
311 Anklam, E. (2007). Quantification of milk fat in chocolate fats by triacylglycerol analysis
312 using gas-liquid chromatography. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 55, 3275-
313 3283.

314 Butler, G., Stergiadis, S., Seal, C., Eyre, M., & Leifert, C. (2011). Fat composition of organic
315 and conventional retail milk in northeast England. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 94, 24-
316 36.

317 Christie, W. W. (2003). *Lipid analysis - Third Edition*. The Oily Press, Bridgwater (England).

318 Collomb, M., Bütikofer, U., Sieber, R., Jeangros, B., & Bosset, J. O. (2002). Composition
319 of fatty acids in cow's milk fat produced in the lowlands, mountains and highlands of
320 Switzerland using high-resolution gas chromatography. *International Dairy Journal*,
321 12, 649-659.

322 Collomb, M., Bisig, W., Bütikofer, U., Sieber, R., Bregy, M., & Etter, L. (2008). Fatty acid
323 composition of mountain milk from Switzerland: Comparison of organic and
324 integrated farming systems. *International Dairy Journal*, 18, 976-982.

325 Derewiaka, D., Sosińska, E., Obiedziński, M., Krogulec, A., & Czaplicki, S. (2011).
326 Determination of the adulteration of butter. *European Journal of Lipid Science and*
327 *Technology*, 113, 1005–1011.

328 EC Regulation (1994). Council Regulation (EC) No 2991/94 of 5 December 1994 laying
329 down standards for spreadable fats.

330 EC Regulation (2007). Commission Regulation (EC) No 445/2007 of 23 April 2007 laying
331 down certain detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EC) No 2991/94
332 laying down standards for spreadable fats and of Council Regulation (EEC) No
333 1898/87 on the protection of designations used in the marketing of milk and milk
334 products.

335 EC. Regulation (2001). Commission Regulation (EC) No 213/2001 of 9 January 2001
336 laying down detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EC) No
337 1255/1999 as regards methods for the analysis and quality evaluation of milk and
338 milk products and amending Regulations (EC) No 2771/1999 and (EC) No
339 2799/1999.

340 Fadzillah, N. A., Rohman, A., Arief Salleh, R., Amin, I., Shuhaimi, M., Farahwahida, M.
341 Y., Rashidi, O., Aizat J. M., Khatib, A. (2017). Authentication of butter from lard
342 adulteration using high-resolution of nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy and
343 high-performance liquid chromatography. *International Journal of Food Properties*,
344 20, 2147-2156.

345 Gastaldi, D., Medana, C., Giancotti, V., Aigotti, R., Dal Bello, F., & Baiocchi, C. (2011).
346 HPLC-APCI analysis of triacylglycerols in milk fat from different sources. *European*
347 *Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, 113, 197-207.

348 Glaeser, H. (2002). Determination of the milk fat content of fat mixtures. *Grasas y Aceites*.
349 53, 357-358.

350 Gouk, S. W., Cheng, S. N., Hock Ong, A. S., & Hock Chuan, C. (2012). Rapid and direct
351 quantitative analysis of positional fatty acids in triacylglycerols using ¹³C NMR.
352 *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, 114, 510-519.

353 Hu, F., Furihata, K., Ito-Ishida, M., Kaminogawa, S., & Tanokura, M. (2004) Nondestructive
354 Observation of Bovine Milk by NMR Spectroscopy: Analysis of Existing States of
355 Compounds and Detection of New Compounds. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
356 *Chemistry*, 52, 4969-4974.

357 Hu, F., Furihata, K., Kato, Y., & Tanokura, M. (2007). Nondestructive Quantification of
358 Organic Compounds in Whole Milk without Pretreatment by Two-Dimensional NMR
359 Spectroscopy. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 55, 4307-4311.

360 Ichihara, K., Shibahara, A., Yamamoto, K., & Nakayama, T. (1996). An Improved Method
361 for Rapid Analysis of the Fatty Acids of Glycerolipids. *Lipids.*, 31, 535-539.

362 Jacobsen N. E. (2007). *NMR Spectroscopy Explained. Simplified Theory, Applications and*
363 *Examples for Organic Chemistry and Structural Biology.* John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
364 (Eds), Hoboken, New Jersey (USA).

365 Kliem, K. E., Shingfield, K. J., Livingstone, K. M., & Givens, D. I. (2013). Seasonal variation
366 in the fatty acid composition of milk available at retail in the United Kingdom and
367 implications for dietary intake. *Food Chemistry*, 141, 274-281.

368 Kemppinen, A., & Kalo, P. (2006). Quantification of triacylglycerols in butterfat by gas
369 chromatography–electron impact mass spectrometry using molar correction factors
370 for [M–RCOO]⁺ ions. *Journal of Chromatography A.*, 1134, 260–283.

371 Lourenço, M., Van Ranst, G., Vlaeminck, B., De Smet, S., & Fievez, V. (2008). Influence
372 of different dietary forages on the fatty acid composition of rumen digesta as well as
373 ruminant meat and milk. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 145, 418-437.

374 Månsson, H. L. (2008). Fatty acids in bovine milk fat. *Food & Nutrition Research*, 52, I-III.

375 Molкетин, J., & Precht, D. (1997). Representative determination of the butyric acid content
376 in European milk fats. *Milchwissenschaft.* 52, 82-85.

377 Molкетин, & J., Precht, D. (1998). Precision of milk fat quantitation in mixed fats by analysis
378 of butyric acid. *Chromatographia*, 48, 758-762.

379 Molкетин J., & Precht D. (2000), Validation of a gas-chromatographic method for the
380 determination of milk fat contents in mixed fats by butyric acid analysis. *European*
381 *Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, 102, 194–201.

382 Muuse, B. & Martens, R. (1993). Mixtures of milk fat with non-milk fat - Determination of
383 the milk fat content. *Bulletin of the Internation Dairy Federation*, 285, 65-69.

384 Ng, S. (2000). Quantitative Analysis of Partial Acylglycerols and Free Fatty Acids in Palm
385 Oil by ¹³C Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. *Journal of the American Oil*
386 *Chemists' Society*, 77, 749-755.

387 Pfeffer, P. E., Sampugna, J., Schwartz, D. P., & Shoolery, J. N. (1977). Analytical ¹³C NMR:
388 detection, quantitation, and positional analysis of butyrate in butter oil. *Lipids*, 12, 869-
389 871.

- 390 Picariello, G., Mamone, G., Addeo, F., & Ferranti, P. (2011). The frontiers of mass
391 spectrometry-based techniques in food allergenomics. *Journal of Chromatography A*,
392 1218, 7386-7398.
- 393 Picariello, G., Sacchi, R., Fierro, O., Melck, D., Romano, R., Paduano, A., Motta, A., &
394 Addeo, F. (2013). High Resolution ¹³C-NMR detection of short- and medium-chain
395 synthetic triacylglycerols used in butterfat adulteration. *European Journal of Lipid*
396 *Science and Technology*, 115, 858-864.
- 397 Pocklington, W. D., & Hautfenne, A. (1986). Determination of butyric acid in fats containing
398 butterfat. Results of a collaborative study and the standardised method. *Pure and*
399 *Applied Chemistry*, 58, 1419-1428.
- 400 Precht, D. (1992). Detection of foreign fat in milk fat. II. Quantitative evaluation of foreign
401 fat mixtures. *Zeitschrift für Lebensmittel-Untersuchung und Forschung*, 194, 107-114.
- 402 Sacchi, R., Addeo, F., Giudicianni, I., & Paolillo, L. (1992). Analysis of the positional
403 distribution of fatty acids in olive oil triacylglycerols by high resolution ¹³C-NMR of the
404 carbonyl region. *Italian Journal of Food Science*, 4, 117-123.
- 405 Sacchi, R., Addeo, F., & Spagna Musso, S. (1995). A high resolution ¹³C-NMR study of
406 vegetable margarines. *Italian Journal of Food Science*, 1, 27-36.
- 407 Sacchi, R., Addeo, F., & Paolillo, L. (1997). ¹H and ¹³C-NMR of virgin olive oil. An Overview.
408 *Magnetic Resonance in Chemistry*, 35, 133-145.
- 409 Sacchi, R., & Paolillo L. (2007). *NMR for food quality and Traceability*. In: Advances in
410 Food Diagnostics. Eds Leo M.L. Nollet, F. Toldrá, Blackwell Publishing (England). 1st
411 edition, pp 101-118.
- 412 Sundekilde, U. K., Larsen, L. B. & Bertram, H. C. (2013). NMR-Based Milk Metabolomics.
413 *Metabolites*, 3, 204-222
- 414 Talpur, F. N., Bhangar, M. I., Khooharo, A. A., & Memon, G. Z. (2008). Seasonal variation
415 in fatty acid composition of milk from ruminants reared under the traditional feeding
416 system of Sindh, Pakistan. *Livestock Science*, 118, 166-172.
- 417 Ulberth, F. (1995). Quantitation of foreign fat in foreign fat/milk fat mixtures by multivariate
418 regression analysis of fatty acids data. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,
419 43, 1556-1560.
- 420 Ulberth, F. (1998). A rapid headspace gas chromatographic method for the determination
421 of the butyric acid content in edible fats. *Zeitschrift für Lebensmittel-Untersuchung*
422 *und Forschung A*, 206, 305-307.

- 423 Van Calsteren, M. R., Barr, C., Angers, P., & Arul, J. (1996). ^{13}C NMR of triglycerides.
424 *Bulletin of Magnetic Resonance*, 1996, 18, 175-177.
- 425 Wollenberg, K. F. (1990). Quantitative high resolution ^{13}C nuclear magnetic resonance of
426 the olefinic and carbonyl carbons of edible vegetable oils. *Journal of the American Oil*
427 *Chemists' Society*, 67, 487- 494.
- 428 Yoshinaga, K., Nagai, T., Mizobe, H., Kojima, K., & Gotoh, N. (2013). Simple method for
429 the quantification of milk fat content in foods by LC-APCI-MS/MS using 1,2-
430 dipalmitoyl-3-butyroyl-glycerol as an indicator. *Journal of Oleo Science*, 62, 115-121.

Figure captions

431 **Fig. 1.** ^{13}C -NMR spectra of milk fat (a), lard (b) and margarine (c) triacylglycerols in
432 chloroform-*d* at 25°C. *Left panel:* expansions of spectral regions relevant to carbonyls
433 (spectra acquired in high resolution mode); *right panel:* expansion of the spectral region
434 relevant to methylene/methyl groups (spectra acquired in low resolution mode). Saturated
435 (S) and unsaturated (U) fatty acids signals are assigned to the *sn*-1,3 and *sn*-2 positions
436 on the glycerol backbone. Carbon signals of the butyric acyl chain are labeled as C1, C2,
437 C3 and C4. The carbonyl signals of acyl groups were indicated as a_1 (long acyl chain in *sn*-
438 1,3-position), b_1 (butyrate in *sn*-1,3 position) and c_1 (long acyl chain in *sn*-2 position). The
439 α -carbonyl methylene carbon signals were indicated as a_2 (long acyl chain in *sn*-1,3-
440 position), b_2 (butyrate in *sn*-1,3 position) and c_2 (long acyl chain in *sn*-2 position).

441 **Fig. 2.** ^{13}C NMR data of butyrate (mole fraction %) obtained for fat blends spiked with
442 known amount of milk fat, plotted against butyric acid (%) quantified by GC on the same
443 mixtures (a) and against milk fat percentage in the fat blends (b).

Figure 1

150x200mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Figure 2

140x236mm (300 x 300 DPI)