

1                   **The application of X-ray micro Computed Tomography imaging for tracing**  
2   **particle movement in soil**

3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

*K.M. Grayling<sup>1</sup>, S.D. Young<sup>1</sup>, C.J. Roberts<sup>2</sup>, M.I. de Heer<sup>3</sup>, I.M. Shirley<sup>3</sup>, C.J. Sturrock<sup>1</sup> &  
S.J. Mooney<sup>1</sup>*

*<sup>1</sup>Division of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, University of Nottingham, Sutton  
Bonington Campus, Leicestershire LE12 5RD, UK*

*<sup>2</sup>Advanced Materials and Healthcare Technologies, School of Pharmacy, University of  
Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK*

*<sup>3</sup>Syngenta Limited, Jealott’s Hill International Research Centre, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG42  
6EY, UK*

25

26 **Abstract**

27 Despite significant advances in recent years in the application of sensing and imaging  
28 technologies to the study of the hydraulic behaviour of soils, our understanding of how  
29 solutes and particulate matter move through soil is still limited and often based on idealised  
30 soil structures. The aim of this work was to use an appropriate proxy material, in this case  
31 (Decabromodiphenyl ether (DBDE)), to represent 1-2  $\mu\text{m}$  sized chemical particles, frequently  
32 used in agricultural practices, in order to trace their spatial and temporal movement through a  
33 soil column. X-ray Computed Tomography (CT) was employed to map the 3D pore geometry  
34 and facilitate visualization of the concentration distribution of the highly X-ray attenuating  
35 proxy material as it was applied and subsequently leached through the soil over a 5 hour  
36 period. Simultaneously the soil eluate was collected from the bottom of each column and the  
37 concentration of proxy material measured using Gas Chromatography to compare with the  
38 imaged data. The method developed for visualising the tracer material in 3D at the pore-scale  
39 was successful. The results demonstrated that the tracer material initially moved rapidly  
40 through the upper portion of the soil but subsequently became immobile, despite repeated  
41 elution with water as confirmed by imagery. Similar concentrations to those applied to the  
42 soil surface were not recorded at any point in time towards the bottom of the soil column. By  
43 visualising the DBDE distribution in 3D it was possible to correlate the highest  
44 concentrations of the tracer material with the location of the smaller size pores (i.e.  
45 mesopores). Dynamic X-ray CT imaging of tracer materials has considerable potential as a  
46 proxy for the visualization of particulate agricultural chemicals that will assist with  
47 understanding how their transport behaviour varies both spatially and temporally.

48

49 **Keywords: X-ray Computed Tomography; chemical breakthrough curve; particle**  
50 **tracking; soil; tracer.**

51

## 52 **Introduction**

53 The UK National Action Plan for Sustainable Use of Pesticides (Defra 2013) encourages the  
54 sustainable use of pesticides and highlights the importance of the life cycle of chemicals. As  
55 intensification of agriculture accelerates to meet increasing food demand, it is becoming  
56 increasingly important to understand precisely how agricultural chemicals interact with, and  
57 move through, soil (Carvalho 2006). Such agrochemicals are known to cause contamination  
58 in surrounding ecosystems when applied excessively (Gamon et al. 2003), therefore  
59 development of sustainable management practices are essential to achieve both increased  
60 crop production and decreased pollution. Improved understanding of agrochemical behaviour  
61 in soil will contribute not only to the alleviation of environmental impact but also to the  
62 possibility for improvement in controlled placement leading to increased bioefficacy and  
63 reduced use rates.

64

65 The movement of agrochemicals through soil is strongly influenced by the physical, chemical  
66 and biological characteristics of the soil and plants, as well as those of the chemical itself.  
67 This intricate combination of influences makes understanding how an agrochemical moves  
68 through soil both spatially and temporally extremely difficult. Traditional methods for  
69 examining solute flow through soil have focused on conventional bulk *ex-situ* chemical  
70 analysis of soil and eluate (Andreu and Picó 2004). Continuous soil column tests have been  
71 routinely used to produce breakthrough curves to characterise the behaviour of the chemical  
72 of interest (Brusseu et al. 1991). Such techniques have also been combined with

73 mathematical models to predict chemical movement e.g. Magga et al. (2012). In combination  
74 these approaches have been used to provide detailed information on the fate of chemicals  
75 applied to soil, however research in this area has been hindered by a lack of real time data,  
76 particularly from a three dimensional (3D) perspective. One technique that has shown  
77 promise in this regard is X-ray Computed Tomography (CT) which allows the visualization  
78 of the internal fabric of a porous media such as soil. With CT it is possible to non-  
79 destructively visualize the internal pore geometry of the structured media and, with repeating  
80 scanning, characterisation of the active processes *in situ* can be attempted assuming the  
81 solute/particulate material can be distinguished from the soil background. X-ray attenuation is  
82 linked to the material elemental composition; hence elements with a high atomic number  
83 have a higher X-ray attenuation and are potentially good candidates to act as a tracer of solute  
84 flow in a soil.

85  
86 The use of tracers in X-ray CT imaging is routine in medical research to improve the contrast  
87 of blood vessels and tumours and a wide range of tracers have been applied such as gold  
88 nanoparticles (Nune et al. 2009) and iodinated agents (Lusic and Grinstaff 2012). There has  
89 been limited research into the use of tracers to visualise solute movement in soil (Anderson et  
90 al. 1992, Clausnitzer and Hopmans 2000, Anderson et al. 2003, Luo and Lin 2008). A key  
91 limitation is that X-ray CT is best suited to the visualisation of motionless objects (Luo and  
92 Lin 2008) as the scan process generates spatial data of the entire sample over the whole  
93 course of the scan, with any effect of change in the material morphology typically corrected  
94 at the end of the scan. However with the repeated scanning of the same sample over the  
95 shorter timescales that is now achievable (as low as 5 minutes), it is possible to include time  
96 as a variable providing the solute transport is not too rapid. Mooney (2002) used X-ray CT to

97 visualize soil water movement, identifying preferential flow paths, in soil columns by spatial  
98 subtraction of images pre- and post-wetting. Anderson et al. (2003) combined X-ray CT  
99 imaging with an iodide breakthrough experiment, and was able to compare the results with  
100 laboratory derived measurements of saturated hydraulic conductivity. Luo and Lin (2008)  
101 passed an iodide tracer through a soil column (30 cm) over a 23 hr period and repeatedly  
102 scanned the soil column to demonstrate the spatial variation in hydraulic behaviour of the  
103 soil.

104

105 To date there has been limited research concerned with tracking the movement of particles or  
106 suspensions in soil using X-ray CT at the pore ( $\mu\text{m}$ - $\text{mm}$ ) and column ( $\text{mm}$ - $\text{cm}$ ) scales. In this  
107 work we tested a novel proxy for agrochemical suspension concentrates, Decabromodiphenyl  
108 ether (DBDE), chosen due to its X-ray attenuation properties and similar suspension  
109 behaviour compared to routinely used compounds such as Chlorothalonil, a broad spectrum  
110 fungicide which has an average particle size of  $2\ \mu\text{m}$  (Curry et al. 1998). The objectives of  
111 this investigation were to determine the efficacy of DBDE as a general proxy tracer for  
112 particulate agrochemical movement in soil via CT imagery and to investigate if X-ray  
113 attenuation can be used as a surrogate for tracer concentration over time and following  
114 elution by water.

115

## 116 **Materials and Methods**

### 117 *Soil column preparation*

118 Soil was obtained from the '18 acre' field site at Syngenta Jealott's Hill International  
119 Research Centre, Bracknell, UK. The soil was a Brown earth with a sandy clay loam with 49  
120 % sand, 27 % silt, and 24 % clay. Soil was sieved to  $< 2\ \text{mm}$  and wetted to 17 % moisture

121 content; centrifuge tube filters were used as soil columns (23 mm diameter, 40 mm length),  
122 with holes at the bottom to allow free drainage of soil solution. The outer tube holder was  
123 used to hold the columns during scanning and to collect soil eluate. Six tubes, each  
124 containing different concentration of the tracer suspensions used for calibration during the  
125 image analysis process, were secured to the inside edge of each column, before the soil was  
126 packed into the columns at a bulk density of  $1\text{ g cm}^{-3}$ . To examine tracer movement through  
127 40 mm of soil, triplicate columns were packed to heights of both 10 and 40 mm and the  
128 bottom 10 mm of each of these columns scanned to represent tracer movement at the top and  
129 bottom of a 40 mm soil section, hence six columns in total. Eluate was collected from the  
130 bottom of each section after each scan in a small container added to the bottom of the sample  
131 for this purpose; the columns were left to saturate overnight the day before scanning.

132

### 133 *Tracer material and calibration*

134 DBDE (from Sigma-Aldrich) was chosen as the tracer material due to its high X-ray  
135 attenuation capacity arising from the high electron density of bromine. It was milled using  
136 Yttria Stabilized Zirconia Beads (0.3 mm) to generate particles with a size range of 0.1-6  $\mu\text{m}$   
137 in diameter and a volume mean diameter (VMD) of 2-3  $\mu\text{m}$  suspended in DI water with 5 %  
138 Soprophor® 3D33 (tristyrylphenol polyethoxyester phosphate ester, Solvay) as a dispersing  
139 agent. An initial test was undertaken to confirm that DBDE was detectable in soil and then to  
140 identify the appropriate concentration for application (cross-sectional X-ray images of the  
141 tracer and tracer embedded in soil are shown in Supplementary Figure 1). From this a DBDE  
142 suspension was prepared with a concentration of  $200\text{ mg ml}^{-1}$  and sonicated for 1 hour before  
143 use. DBDE particle suspensions were then prepared with concentrations of 25, 50, 100 and  
144  $200\text{ mg ml}^{-1}$  and Kelzan S® (CP Kelco), a natural xanthan gum based thickener, was added

145 to reduce settling of particles over time. The suspensions were pumped into rubber tubing  
146 (0.89 mm diameter) using a peristaltic pump after which the tubing was cut to 40 mm length  
147 and sealed with PVA glue, before being secured to the side of each column with adhesive  
148 tape.

149

#### 150 *X-ray CT scanning procedures*

151 Each column was scanned using a Phoenix Nanotom<sup>®</sup> X-ray  $\mu$ CT scanner (GE Measurement  
152 & Control Solutions, Wunstorf, Germany). Discrete regions of interest (ROI) only were  
153 scanned at settings of 120 kV and 90  $\mu$ A with a 0.1 mm copper filter. Projections (n = 1200)  
154 were captured over a 30 minute time period for each column, with a pixel resolution of 12.5  
155  $\mu$ m, as such we do not resolve individual particulate material below this. Each column was  
156 positioned so that only the base of the column (10 mm) was scanned i.e. the region 0-10mm  
157 was scanned for half the treatments and the region 30-40mm for the other half. This  
158 approach allowed us to directly relate the associated physical and chemical measurements of  
159 these regions to the obtained X-ray images. Each of the six columns was scanned before, and  
160 after, the application of 1 ml of DBDE (200 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>) and then scanned a further 10 times  
161 continuously over a 5 hr period. At the beginning of each post-DBDE scan 1 ml of water was  
162 added to the top of the column and the soil eluate collected from the tube holder at the end of  
163 each scan. A total of 72 CT scans were undertaken.

164

#### 165 *Chemical analysis of DBDE*

166 Gas chromatography was used to determine the DBDE concentration in the eluate collected  
167 from the bottom of each soil column after each scan (i.e. 30 min intervals). The aqueous soil  
168 eluate samples containing DBDE particles were dried using a Genevac HT-4X centrifugal

169 vacuum evaporator. Toluene was added to the dried deposit to dissolve the DBDE after  
170 which the samples were centrifuged to remove soil particles. Samples were diluted further in  
171 toluene as required before analysis by gas chromatography using an Agilent 6890 Series GC  
172 System, equipped with cool on column injection system, a fused silica DB-5 column (15 m x  
173 0.25 mm id; 0.25  $\mu\text{m}$  film thickness) (Agilent J&W, Agilent Technologies) and an electron  
174 capture detector. The peak area for DBDE was used to calculate the DBDE concentration of  
175 the sample, with reference to a calibration standard. By determining the concentration of  
176 DBDE collected from the eluate of each section it was possible to construct a chemical  
177 breakthrough curve for each section of the soil column by plotting % recovery over time.

178

#### 179 *Image processing and analysis*

180 The radiographs of each scan were reconstructed in 32 bit format to avoid compression of the  
181 greyscale histogram, which is especially important when attempting segmentation of  
182 materials with similar attenuation. After reconstruction each image volume of 10 mm x 23  
183 mm diameter was imported into Volume Graphics (VG) StudioMAX<sup>®</sup> 2.0 and the images  
184 cropped to a cylindrical shape with a height of 7.76 mm and diameter of 2.3 mm. A median  
185 filter of 3 pixels was applied to correct for any residual noise.

186

#### 187 *Suspension concentration calculations*

188 A region within each tracer calibration tube was manually selected and the average greyscale  
189 value within it was calculated using the volume analyser tool in VG StudioMAX<sup>®</sup> 2.0. The  
190 average greyscale values along with the known DBDE concentrations were used to calibrate  
191 each scan to calculate the concentration of the tracer. It was important to undertake this  
192 process for each individual scan even though time consuming as brightness (and hence



193 greyscale value) can vary between CT scans due to ageing of the X-ray tube filament thus  
194 influencing the image segmenting process.

195

#### 196 *Segmentation of pore space*

197 The calibration tool in VG StudioMAX<sup>®</sup> 2.0 facilitates a thresholding technique that can be  
198 used to create ROIs based on pre-selected greyscale values chosen to represent the  
199 background and the material. We observed three clear ROIs for soil, solute-filled pores and  
200 pores filled with only highly attenuating tracer particles (seen as the white particles in Figure  
201 1B-D). The distinction between solute filled pores and tracer filled pores was the former,  
202 which we attribute to the added solution that contains DBDE and tracer filled pores, had a  
203 significantly lower X-ray attenuation than the latter which we attribute to aggregated, settled-  
204 out DBDE particulate material. This was confirmed in the elution studies were the location of  
205 solute filled pores varied over time and the tracer filled pores did not. It was not necessary by  
206 this approach to segment the air-filled pores though this would have been straightforward if  
207 necessary. Figure 1 illustrates the method used for creating ROIs for the total tracer filled  
208 pores (C), essentially the combination of ROIs for solute filled pores (A) and deposited tracer  
209 filled pores (B). The volume analyser tool was used to provide the average greyscale value  
210 and the volume of each ROI, as well as an individual greyscale histogram. The average  
211 greyscale value of the final ROI was then used to derive the relative tracer concentration of  
212 the tracer in each scan. To ensure that no residual soil mineral material was included in the  
213 calculation of the deposited tracer filled pores, soil mineral content was segmented using the  
214 automatic threshold option in VG StudioMax and then the histogram frequency data of the  
215 solute filled pores and the mineral portions were aligned and the frequencies subtracted. The

216 average greyscale value was then recalculated using the new frequency list and the resulting  
217 concentration determined.

218

### 219 *Pore size analysis*

220 In order to examine the relationship between soil pore size and tracer concentration, the  
221 images of the tracer filled pores only i.e. settled out DBDE as described above were imported  
222 into the image processing software ImageJ 1.46r. Here it was possible to separate the pores  
223 that were filled with highly attenuating deposited tracer material into two classes based on  
224 size, in this case pore diameter. We used the size classes as described by Brewer (1988);  
225 meso-pores 30 – 75  $\mu\text{m}$  and macro-pores  $> 75 \mu\text{m}$  to separate the pores within each image.  
226 Image stacks of these pore sizes were imported back into VG StudioMAX<sup>®</sup> 2.0 to obtain the  
227 average greyscale value data and hence concentration of DBDE.

228

## 229 **Results**

230 Figure 2A shows a typical greyscale X-Y image of soil produced from an X-ray CT scan. The  
231 composition of the soil material is displayed in a range of grey shades that are visible due to  
232 differing levels of X-ray attenuation. The average greyscale values were determined via the  
233 calibration tubes that were placed on the outside of the soil column during the scanning  
234 process to represent concentrations of 25, 50, 100 and 200  $\text{mg ml}^{-1}$  respectively  
235 (Supplementary Figure 1). There was a strong relationship between DBDE concentration and  
236 X-ray attenuation ( $R^2 = 0.98$ ), which can be seen by eye, as concentration increases the  
237 material within each tube appears lighter in colour. Figure 2B shows a scan taken from the  
238 same location as Figure 2A, after the addition of 1 ml of the DBDE tracer (200  $\text{mg ml}^{-1}$ ) with  
239 the highly attenuating tracer visible in the soil pores. The final binarised image (Figure 2C)

240 highlights just the tracer filled pores (in white) after removal of the surrounding soil and  
241 mineral grains. Using the average greyscale value of the tracer-filled pores from each image,  
242 a value for DBDE concentration could be derived from the calibration graph.

243

244 By repeated washing through of the DBDE with water, and subsequent re-scanning, a tracer  
245 breakthrough curve (Figure 3) was obtained for each of the 10 mm soil sections. The mean  
246 concentration of tracer in the uppermost 10 mm section immediately following application of  
247 DBDE was 80 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> which declined rapidly over a 3 hour period to 42 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>. The  
248 concentration continued to decline over the next 2 hours, albeit at a slower rate, and reached  
249 28 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> at the end of the experiment. This incomplete breakthrough is supported by the  
250 low concentration of tracer in the lowermost 10 mm section (30-40 mm depth in Figure 3)  
251 where the concentration showed a slight increase over time but no defined peak  
252 breakthrough.

253

254 The same trends were observed in the Gas Chromatography recovery of DBDE (Figure 4).  
255 The eluate collected from the bottom of the 0-10 mm section had an initial peak recovery of  
256 15.7% of the total added DBDE, which rapidly declined after the first 1 hour 30 minutes to  
257 4.5%, before decreasing to <1% by the end of the 5 hour period. The recovery from the 30-  
258 40 mm section was different; after the addition of the DBDE the recovery was <1% which  
259 increased to 3.6 % by 90 minutes declining to 2.6% by the end of the experiment. After 3  
260 hours the recovery from the eluate collected from both sections was broadly similar.

261

262 To understand more about the effect of pore size on tracer transport, the tracer filled pores  
263 category were separated into two groups defined by diameter, meso-pores, 30 µm-75 µm and

264 macro-pores,  $>75\ \mu\text{m}$ . Figure 5A demonstrates how the distribution of the tracer changed  
265 over time in the uppermost 10 mm section of the soil column. Initially the soil was very wet,  
266 with only 16 % of the pores of the total pore volume air filled (as derived by imagery hence  
267 limited to the resolution used in this study). As the tracer infiltrated the soil, the proportion of  
268 air-filled pores increased due to drainage despite the repeated washing through of water, and  
269 the proportion of tracer-filled pores decreased in both the macro-pores and meso-pores  
270 classes. The volume in the macro-pores remained higher than that of the meso-pores  
271 throughout (mesopore volume was  $<15\%$  of the total pore volume by the imaging approach  
272 and classification used here). Figure 5B illustrates the link between pore size and  
273 concentration, only for the tracer-filled pore category, showing that while the concentration  
274 of the tracer in both the meso- and macro-pores decreased, it remained consistently higher in  
275 the meso-pores despite this only accounting for a small part of the total pore volume. The  
276 relationship between the concentration of solute and tracer filled pores (derived by the  
277 calibration from the X-ray images) and pore size is visualised in 3D over time in Figure 6.  
278 Tracer movement is revealed to be less rapid than the associated redistribution of water  
279 through the repeated washing through process; in addition, the higher concentration of DBDE  
280 in the smaller sized pores is clearly visible.

281

## 282 **Discussion**

283 DBDE in suspension has been shown to be a useful particulate tracer material from an  
284 attenuation perspective, which highlights its potential to act as a proxy for active ingredients  
285 used in agrochemicals. The use of calibration standards for each sample/scan enabled an  
286 accurate construction of the DBDE tracer breakthrough helping to remove the influence of  
287 any fluctuations caused by X-ray CT parameters (Clausnitzer and Hopmans 2000). However

288 we identified issues with its mobility beyond a shallow layer of soil. The breakthrough results  
289 clearly demonstrated low particle breakthrough in the soil column at 40 mm depth (Figure 3).  
290 This lack of movement occurred despite the addition of water every 30 minutes. This  
291 contrasts with the results in the upper 10 mm where significant movement occurred in the  
292 early part of the experiment before rapidly declining suggesting that particles of DBDE were  
293 immobilised within the soil column. These data were confirmed by the recovery analysis in  
294 the eluate. Similar studies have also observed this for non-soluble particulate agricultural  
295 chemicals i.e. following application there is initial, limited movement but when leaching  
296 stops (Noling 2012) further movement only occurs if particles are dispersed (Paradelo et al.  
297 2013). This is highly relevant to the development of new particulate morphological  
298 arrangements for agrochemicals that might have better mobility than the DBDE used here,  
299 though noting that there are advantages, such as increased residency, associated with the  
300 reduced mobility of compounds such as pesticides in soils. The extent to which mobility  
301 could be enhanced via a reduced particulate size or use of surfactants would be worth further  
302 research. As would the assessment of whether mobility of the tracer could be improved  
303 beyond the time period of elution in this study..

304

305 The pore morphometric data suggests the majority of the pores were filled following the  
306 tracer application and as water was applied, the volume of tracer filled pores decreased over  
307 the first 3 h of the experiment. After this stage the tracer particles were likely to have settled  
308 out of suspension illustrated by the lack of concentration change in each section after 2.5 h.  
309 Figure 5 shows that the concentration of the tracer in the meso-pores was continually higher  
310 than in the macro-pores, indicating that the particles collected in smaller pores and did not re-  
311 suspend easily, preventing complete breakthrough. Paradelo et al. (2013) made similar

312 observations when examining the transport of Cu bound to soil particles, using particle  
313 breakthrough curves combined with X-ray CT, indicating if particles did re-suspend, they  
314 might only move short distances and then reclog pores. Meso-pores occupy a size range  
315 within soil referred to as the 'storage pore' size range; 0.5-50  $\mu\text{m}$ . These are often pores  
316 located within soil aggregates where water is held strongly and drainage is unlikely (Cornejo  
317 et al. 2004). One possibility for future studies is to consider that the combination of particle  
318 size and soil pore size used here was sub-optimal for enhanced particulate transport and that  
319 soil larger pores and/or smaller particles might have been more mobile.

320

321 The linking of soil pore characteristics to tracer movement highlights new capabilities for the  
322 use of X-ray CT in soil hydrology studies. Clausnitzer and Hopmans (2000) stressed the  
323 need for higher resolution in order to improve the accuracy of breakthrough experiments.  
324 Here we obtained a resolution of 12.5  $\mu\text{m}$ , significantly higher than most comparable studies  
325 e.g. 86  $\mu\text{m}$  (Clausnitzer and Hopmans 2000), 105 $\mu\text{m}$  (Luo and Lin 2008) and 2mm  
326 (Anderson et al. 2003). The benefits of higher resolution and repetitive scanning is the  
327 temporal pore-scale view (Figure 6), illustrating the difference in concentration between the  
328 tracer filled pores, in addition to the movement of DBDE. Despite the improvement in  
329 resolution, visualization of individual tracer particles was not possible here however via  
330 synchrotron imagery this would be possible albeit on smaller samples than considered here.  
331 Using our approach the overall particle movement can be derived from the movement of the  
332 suspension. The majority of the previous research that account for water flow mechanisms in  
333 soil have considered solute tracers rather than particulate material. However there has been  
334 widening research into particle movement in soil, in particular relating to chemical fate where  
335 natural occurring particles can either enable or prohibit the transport of adsorbed chemical

336 species (Kretzschmar et al. 1999, de Jonge et al. 2004, Sen et al. 2004) which highlights the  
337 importance of this area for further study.

338

### 339 **Conclusions**

340 X-ray CT offers a previously unachievable method for non-destructive 3-D visualization of  
341 tracer material embedded within soil through time. The method developed here not only  
342 illustrates movement of tracer in the soil but also the concentration. The behaviour of the  
343 tracer can then also be directly linked to the soil pore architecture. In this research we have  
344 demonstrated that the tracer, DBDE, was mobile only for a short time period (up to 3 hrs)  
345 after which it became immobile despite repeated elution of the soil column. From an agro-  
346 chemical perspective this would have advantages and disadvantages. By imaging the pore  
347 structure it was possible to show that the pore size in particular has a significant influence on  
348 the retention and concentration of the tracer. Whilst definitive conclusions regarding specific  
349 agricultural chemical particulate behaviour cannot be drawn from this experiment alone,  
350 DBDE quantified by X-ray CT has shown potential as a proxy for the visualization of  
351 particulate agricultural chemicals to help assist management decisions concerned with their  
352 fate in the rootzone and wider environment though further work is required across a range of  
353 particulate sizes and soil pore size/type combinations. Such studies could also be used to  
354 direct future research into optimising the morphological design of the particulate material  
355 used in future agrochemicals.

356

### 357 **Acknowledgements**

358 The authors thank Orla Sheehan for performing the GC analysis. We thank Marion Colin and  
359 Celina Love for preparing the DBDE suspension concentrates and eluate samples for

360 analysis. This work was funded by Syngenta Limited and the University of Nottingham via a  
361 studentship awarded to KG.

362

### 363 **References**

364 Akin, S. and A. R. Kovsec 2003. Computed tomography in petroleum engineering research  
365 Special Publications Applications of X-ray computed tomography in the geosciences. In. F.  
366 Mees, Swennen, R., Van Geet, M., & Jacobs, P. London, Geological Society of London. 1:  
367 23-38.

368 Anderson, S., Peyton, R., Wigger, J., & Gantzer, C. 1992. Influence of aggregate size on  
369 solute transport as measured using computed tomography. *Geoderma* 53(387): 387-398.

370

371 Anderson, S. H., H. Wang, R. L. Peyton and C. J. Gantzer 2003. Estimation of porosity and  
372 hydraulic conductivity from X-ray CT-measured solute breakthrough. Geological Society,  
373 London, Special Publications 215(1): 135-149.

374

375 Andreu, V. and Y. Picó 2004. Determination of pesticides and their degradation products in  
376 soil: critical review and comparison of methods. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry* 23: 3-14.

377

378 Bergstrom, L. 2002. Leaching. *Encyclopedia of Pest Management*. D. Pimentel, Taylor &  
379 Francis.

380

381 Brusseau, M. L., T. Larsen and T. H. Christensen 1991. Rate-limited sorption and  
382 nonequilibrium transport of organic chemicals in low organic carbon aquifer materials. *Water*  
383 *Resources Research* 27(6): 1137-1145.

384

385 Carvalho, F. P. 2006. Agriculture, pesticides, food security and food safety. *Environmental*  
386 *Science & Policy* 9(7-8): 685-692.

387

388 Clausnitzer, V. and J. W. Hopmans 2000. Pore-scale measurements of solute breakthrough  
389 using microfocus X-ray computed tomography. *Water Resources Research* 36(8): 2067-2079.

390

391 Cornejo, J., C. Hermosin, R. Celis and L. Cox 2004 Methods to Determine Sorption of  
392 Pesticides and Other Organic Compounds. In J. Alvarez-Benedi and R. Munoz-Carpena,  
393 *Soil-Water-Solute Process Characterization: An Integrated Approach*. Taylor & Francis.

394

395 Crestana, S., R. Cesareo and S. Mascarenhas 1986. Using a Computed Tomography  
396 miniscanner in soil science. *Soil Science* 142(1): 56.



397  
398 Curry, J. F., R. Geohner, Jr., and k. Narayanan, S. 1998. Methyl Vinyl ether Maleic Acid Half  
399 Ester Copolymers as Dispersing Agents in Aqueous Flowable Formulations. In. J. D.  
400 Nalewaja, G. R. Goss and R. S. Tann, Pesticide Formulations and Application Systems  
401 ASTM.

402  
403 de Jonge, L. W., C. Kjaergaard and P. Moldrup 2004. Colloids and colloid-facilitated  
404 transport of contaminants in soils: An introduction. *Vadose Zone Journal* 3(2): 321-325.

405  
406 Defra 2013. UK National Action Plan for the Sustainable Use of Pesticides (Plant Protection  
407 Products). D. f. E. F. R. Affairs.

408  
409 Gamon, M., E. Saez, J. Gil and R. Boluda 2003. Direct and indirect exogenous contamination  
410 by pesticides of rice-farming soils in a Mediterranean wetland."Arch Environ Contam  
411 Toxicol 44(2): 141-151.

412  
413 Grolimund, D., K. Barmettler and B. M. 2007. Colloid facilitated transport in natural porous  
414 media: Fundamental phenomena and modelling. In F. Frimmel. *Colloidal transport in porous  
415 media*. Berlin, Springer-Verlag: 3–27.

416  
417 Hainsworth, J. and L. Aylmore 1983. The use of computer assisted tomography to determine  
418 spatial distribution of soil water content. *Soil Research* 21(4): 435-443.

419  
420 Helliwell, J. R., C. J. Sturrock, K. M. Grayling, S. R. Tracy, R. J. Flavel, I. M. Young, W. R.  
421 Whalley and S. J. Mooney 2013. Applications of X-ray computed tomography for examining  
422 biophysical interactions and structural development in soil systems: a review. *European  
423 Journal of Soil Science* 64(3): 279-297.

424  
425 Jenssen, P. D. and P. H. Heyerdahl 1988. Soil column descriptions from x-ray computed  
426 tomography density images. *Soil Science* 146(2): 102-107.

427  
428 Ketcham, R. A. and W. D. Carlson 2001. Acquisition, optimization and interpretation of X-  
429 ray computed tomographic imagery: applications to the geosciences. *Computers &  
430 Geosciences* 27(4): 381-400.

431  
432 Kretzschmar, R., M. Borkovec, D. Grolimund and M. Elimelech 1999. Mobile Subsurface  
433 Colloids and Their Role in Contaminant Transport. *Advances in Agronomy*. L. S. Donald,  
434 Academic Press. Volume 66: 121-193.

435  
436 Luo, L. and H. Lin 2008. Quantifying Soil Structure and Preferential Flow in Intact Soil  
437 Using X-ray Computed Tomography. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 72(4).

438  
439 Lusic, H. and M. W. Grinstaff 2012. X-ray-Computed Tomography Contrast Agents.  
440 Chemical Reviews 113(3): 1641-1666.

441  
442 Magga, Z., D. N. Tzovolou, M. A. Theodoropoulou and C. D. Tsakiroglou 2012. Combining  
443 experimental techniques with non-linear numerical models to assess the sorption of pesticides  
444 on soils. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology 129–130(0): 62-69.

445  
446 McCarthy, J. F. and L. D. McKay 2004. Colloid Transport in the Subsurface: Past, Present,  
447 and Future Challenges. Vadose Zone Journal 3(2): 326-337.

448  
449 Mooney, S. J. 2002. Three-dimensional visualization and quantification of soil macroporosity  
450 and water flow patterns using computed tomography. Soil Use and Management 18(2): 142-  
451 151.

452  
453 Noling JW 2002 Movement and toxicity of nematicides in the plant root-zone. Fact sheet  
454 ENY-041(formerly RF-NG002) U.S. Department of Agricultural, Cooperative Extension  
455 Service, University of Florida.

456  
457 Nune, S. K., P. Gunda, P. K. Thallapally, Y. Y. Lin, M. L. Forrest and C. J. Berkland 2009.  
458 Nanoparticles for biomedical imaging. Expert Opin Drug Deliv 6(11): 1175-1194.

459  
460 Padua, G. W. and Q. Wang 2012. Nanotechnology Research Methods for Food and  
461 Bioproducts, Wiley.

462  
463 Paradelo, M., P. Moldrup, E. Arthur, M. Naveed, M. Holmstrup, J. E. López-Periago and L.  
464 W. de Jonge 2013. Effects of Past Copper Contamination and Soil Structure on Copper  
465 Leaching from Soil. J. Environ. Qual. 42(6): 1852-1862.

466  
467 Rogasik, H., I. Onasch, J. Brunotte and D. Jegou 2003. Assessment of soil structure using X-  
468 ray computed tomography. Geological Society of London 215: 151-  
469 165. <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2003.215.01.14>

470  
471 Sen, T., K., S. Shanbhag and K. Khilar, C. 2004. Subsurface colloids in groundwater  
472 contamination: a mathematical model. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and  
473 Engineering Aspects 232(1): 29-38.

474  
475 Tracy, S. R., J. A. Roberts, C. R. Black, A. McNeill, R. Davidson and S. J. Mooney 2010.  
476 The X-factor: visualizing undisturbed root architecture in soils using X-ray computed  
477 tomography. Journal of Experimental Botany 61(2): 311-313.

478

479

480