

QUANTUM PERIODS FOR CERTAIN FOUR-DIMENSIONAL FANO MANIFOLDS

TOM COATES, SERGEY GALKIN, ALEXANDER KASPRZYK, AND ANDREW STRANGEWAY

ABSTRACT. We collect a list of known four-dimensional Fano manifolds and compute their quantum periods. This list includes all four-dimensional Fano manifolds of index greater than one, all four-dimensional toric Fano manifolds, all four-dimensional products of lower-dimensional Fano manifolds, and certain complete intersections in projective bundles.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we take the first step towards implementing a program, laid out in [11], to find and classify four-dimensional Fano manifolds using mirror symmetry. We compute quantum periods and quantum differential equations for many known four-dimensional Fano manifolds, using techniques described in [12]. Our basic reference for the theory of Fano manifolds is the book by Iskovskikh–Prokhorov [25]. Recall that the *index* of a Fano manifold X is the largest integer r such that $-K_X = rH$ for some ample divisor H . A four-dimensional Fano manifold has index at most 5 [43]. Four-dimensional Fano manifolds with index $r > 1$ have been classified. In what follows we compute the quantum periods and quantum differential equations for all four-dimensional Fano manifolds of index $r > 1$, for all four-dimensional Fano toric manifolds, and for certain other four-dimensional Fano manifolds of index 1.

Highlights. We draw the reader’s attention to:

- §6.2.4, where new tools for computing Gromov–Witten invariants (twisted I -functions for toric complete intersections [13] and an improved Quantum Lefschetz theorem [10]) make a big practical difference to the computation of quantum periods. This should be contrasted with [12, §19], where the new techniques were not available.
- §6.2.11, which relies on a new construction of Szurek–Wiśniewski’s null-correlation bundle [47] that may be of independent interest.
- The tables of regularized quantum period sequences in Appendix A.
- The numerical calculation of quantum differential operators in §9 and Appendix B. This suggests in particular that, for each four-dimensional Fano manifold X with Fano index $r > 1$, the regularized quantum differential equation of X is either extremal or of low ramification.
- §6.2.17 and §B.34, which together give an example of a product such that the regularized quantum differential equation for each factor is extremal, but the regularized quantum differential equation for the product itself is not.

This paper is accompanied by fully-commented source code, written in the computational algebra system Magma [5]. This will allow the reader to verify the calculations presented here, or to perform similar computations.

2. METHODOLOGY

The quantum period G_X of a Fano manifold X is a generating function

$$(1) \quad G_X(t) = 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} c_d t^d \quad t \in \mathbb{C}$$

for certain genus-zero Gromov–Witten invariants c_d of X . A precise definition can be found in [12, §B], but roughly speaking c_d is the ‘virtual number’ of degree- d rational curves C in X that pass through a given point and satisfy certain constraints on their complex structure. (The degree of a curve C here is the quantity $\langle -K_X, C \rangle$.) The quantum period is discussed in detail in [11, 12]; one property that will be important in what follows is that the regularized quantum period

$$(2) \quad \widehat{G}_X(t) = 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} d! c_d t^d \quad t \in \mathbb{C}, |t| \ll \infty$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J45, 14N35 (Primary); 14J33 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. Fano manifolds, 4-folds, quantum period, mirror symmetry.

satisfies a differential equation called the *regularized quantum differential equation* of X :

$$(3) \quad L_X \widehat{G}_X \equiv 0 \quad L_X = \sum_{m=0}^{m=N} p_m(t) D^m$$

where the p_m are polynomials and $D = t \frac{d}{dt}$. It is expected that the regularized quantum differential equation for a Fano manifold X is *extremal* or *of low ramification*, as described in §9 below. This is a strong constraint on the Gromov–Witten invariants c_d of X .

Quantum periods for a broad class of toric complete intersections can be computed using Givental’s mirror theorem [20]:

Theorem 2.1 ([12, Corollary C.2]). *Let X be a toric Fano manifold and let $D_1, \dots, D_N \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Q})$ be the cohomology classes Poincaré-dual to the torus-invariant divisors on X . The quantum period of X is:*

$$G_X(t) = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \\ \langle \beta, D_i \rangle \geq 0 \ \forall i}} \frac{t^{\langle \beta, -K_X \rangle}}{\prod_{i=1}^N \langle \beta, D_i \rangle!}$$

Theorem 2.2 ([12, Corollary D.5]). *Let Y be a toric Fano manifold, and let $D_1, \dots, D_N \in H^2(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ be the cohomology classes Poincaré-dual to the torus-invariant divisors on Y . Let X be the complete intersection in Y defined by a regular section of $E = L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_s$ where each L_i is a nef line bundle, and let $\rho_i = c_1(L_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq s$. Suppose that the class $c_1(Y) - \Lambda$ is ample on Y , where $\Lambda = c_1(L_1) + \dots + c_1(L_s)$. Then X is Fano, and the quantum period of X is:*

$$G_X(t) = e^{-ct} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \\ \langle \beta, D_i \rangle \geq 0 \ \forall i}} t^{\langle \beta, -K_Y - \Lambda \rangle} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^s \langle \beta, \rho_j \rangle!}{\prod_{i=1}^N \langle \beta, D_i \rangle!}$$

where c is the unique rational number such that the right-hand side has the form $1 + O(t^2)$.

An analogous mirror theorem holds for certain complete intersections in toric Deligne–Mumford stacks, but we will need only the case where the ambient stack is a weighted projective space:

Theorem 2.3 ([12, Proposition D.9]). *Let Y be the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(w_0, \dots, w_n)$, let X be a smooth Fano manifold given as a complete intersection in Y defined by a section of $E = \mathcal{O}(d_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}(d_m)$, and let $-k = w_0 + \dots + w_n - d_1 - \dots - d_m$. Suppose that each d_i is a positive integer, that $-k > 0$, and that w_i divides d_j for all i, j such that $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then the quantum period of X is:*

$$G_X(t) = e^{-ct} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} t^{-kd} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m (dd_j)!}{\prod_{i=1}^n (dw_i)!}$$

where c is the unique rational number such that the right-hand side has the form $1 + O(t^2)$.

The quantum period of a product is the product of the quantum periods:

Theorem 2.4 ([12, Corollary E.4]). *Let X and Y be smooth projective complex manifolds. Then:*

$$G_{X \times Y}(t) = G_X(t) G_Y(t)$$

As we will see below, another powerful tool for computing quantum periods is the Abelian/non-Abelian Correspondence of Ciocan-Fontanine–Kim–Sabbah [9]. We now proceed to the calculation of quantum periods.

3. FOUR-DIMENSIONAL FANO MANIFOLDS OF INDEX 5

The only example here is \mathbb{P}^4 [29, 30, 42]. This is a toric variety. Theorem 2.1 yields:

$$G_{\mathbb{P}^4}(t) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{5d}}{(d!)^5} \quad [\text{regularized quantum period p. 15, operator p. 25}]$$

4. FOUR-DIMENSIONAL FANO MANIFOLDS OF INDEX 4

The only example here is the quadric $Q^4 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ [30, 42]. This is a complete intersection in a toric variety. Theorem 2.2 yields:

$$G_{Q^4}(t) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2d)!}{(d!)^6} t^{4d} \quad [\text{regularized quantum period p. 15, operator p. 25}]$$

5. FOUR-DIMENSIONAL FANO MANIFOLDS OF INDEX 3

There are six examples [16–19, 22, 24, 25], which are known as del Pezzo fourfolds:

- a sextic hypersurface FI_1^4 in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}^5(1^4, 2, 3)$;
- a quartic hypersurface FI_2^4 in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}^5(1^5, 2)$;
- a cubic hypersurface $\text{FI}_3^4 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$;
- a complete intersection $\text{FI}_4^4 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ of type $(2H) \cap (2H)$, where $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(1)$;
- a complete intersection $\text{FI}_5^4 \subset \text{Gr}(2, 5)$ of type $H \cap H$, where H is the hyperplane bundle; and
- $\text{FI}_6^4 = \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$.

The first four examples here are complete intersections in weighted projective spaces. Theorem 2.3 yields:

$$G_{\text{FI}_1^4}(t) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{(6d)!}{(3d)!(2d)!(d!)^4} t^{3d}$$

$$G_{\text{FI}_2^4}(t) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{(4d)!}{(2d)!(d!)^5} t^{3d}$$

$$G_{\text{FI}_3^4}(t) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{(3d)!}{(d!)^6} t^{3d}$$

$$G_{\text{FI}_4^4}(t) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2d)!(2d)!}{(d!)^7} t^{3d}$$

For $\text{FI}_5^4 \subset \text{Gr}(2, 5)$ we use the Abelian/non-Abelian Correspondence, applying Theorem F.1 in [12] with $a = 2, b = c = d = e = 0$. This yields:

$$G_{\text{FI}_5^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{l+m} t^{3l+3m} \frac{(l+m)!(l+m)!}{(l!)^5(m!)^5} (1 - 5(m-l)H_m)$$

where H_m is the m th harmonic number. For $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, combining Theorem 2.4 with [12, Example G.2] yields:

$$G_{\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{3l+3m}}{(l!)^3(m!)^3}$$

X	\widehat{G}_X	L_X	X	\widehat{G}_X	L_X
FI_1^4	p. 15	p. 26	FI_4^4	p. 15	p. 27
FI_2^4	p. 15	p. 26	FI_5^4	p. 15	p. 27
FI_3^4	p. 15	p. 26	$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$	p. 15	p. 27

6. FOUR-DIMENSIONAL FANO MANIFOLDS OF INDEX 2

Consider now a four-dimensional Fano manifold with index $r = 2$ and Picard rank ρ .

6.1. The Case $\rho = 1$. Four-dimensional Fano manifolds with index $r = 2$ and Picard rank $\rho = 1$ have been classified [38, 48], [25, Chapter 5]. Up to deformation, there are 9 examples: the ‘linear unsections’ of smooth three-dimensional Fano manifolds with $\rho = 1, r = 1$, and degree at most 144. We compute the quantum periods of these examples using the constructions in [12, §§8–16], writing V_k^4 for a four-dimensional Fano manifold with $\rho = 1, r = 2$, and degree $16k$.

6.1.1. V_2^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 28]

This is a sextic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^5(1^5, 3)$. Proposition D.9 in [12] yields:

$$G_{V_2^4}(t) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{(6d)!}{(d!)^5(3d)!} t^{2d}$$

6.1.2. V_4^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 28]

This is a quartic hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^5 . Theorem 2.2 yields:

$$G_{V_4^4}(t) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{(4d)!}{(d!)^6} t^{2d}$$

6.1.3. V_6^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 28]

This is a complete intersection of type $(2H) \cap (3H)$ in \mathbb{P}^6 , where $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(1)$. Theorem 2.2 yields:

$$G_{V_6^4}(t) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2d)!(3d)!}{(d!)^7} t^{2d}$$

6.1.4. V_8^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 29]

This is a complete intersection of type $(2H) \cap (2H) \cap (2H)$ in \mathbb{P}^7 , where $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^7}(1)$. Theorem 2.2 yields:

$$G_{V_8^4}(t) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{((2d)!)^3}{(d!)^8} t^{2d}$$

6.1.5. V_{10}^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 29]

This is a complete intersection in $\text{Gr}(2, 5)$, cut out by a regular section of $\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ where $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is the pullback of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on projective space under the Plücker embedding. We apply Theorem F.1 in [12] with $a = b = 1$ and $c = d = e = 0$. This yields:

$$G_{V_{10}^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{l+m} t^{2l+2m} \frac{(l+m)!(2l+2m)!}{(l!)^5(m!)^5} (1 - 5(m-l)H_m)$$

where H_m is the m th harmonic number.

6.1.6. V_{12}^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 29]

This is the subvariety of $\text{Gr}(2, 5)$ cut out by a regular section of $S^* \otimes \det S^*$, where S is the universal bundle of subspaces on $\text{Gr}(2, 5)$. We apply Theorem F.1 in [12] with $c = 1$ and $a = b = d = e = 0$. This yields:

$$G_{V_{12}^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{l+m} t^{2l+2m} \frac{(2l+m)!(l+2m)!}{(l!)^5(m!)^5} (1 + (m-l)(H_{2l+m} + 2H_{l+2m} - 5H_m))$$

6.1.7. V_{14}^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 30]

This is a complete intersection in $\text{Gr}(2, 6)$, cut out by a regular section of $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 4}$ where $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is the pullback of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on projective space under the Plücker embedding. We apply Theorem F.1 in [12] with $a = 4$ and $b = c = d = e = 0$. This yields:

$$G_{V_{14}^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{l+m} t^{2l+2m} \frac{((l+m)!)^4}{(l!)^6(m!)^6} (1 - 6(m-l)H_m)$$

6.1.8. V_{16}^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 30]

This is the subvariety of $\text{Gr}(3, 6)$ cut out by a regular section of $\wedge^2 S^* \oplus (\det S^*)^{\oplus 2}$, where S is the universal bundle of subspaces on $\text{Gr}(3, 6)$. We apply Theorem F.1 in [12] with $a = 2$, $b = c = d = 0$, and $e = 1$. This shows that the quantum period $G_{V_{16}^4}(t)$ is the coefficient of $(p_2 - p_1)(p_3 - p_1)(p_3 - p_2)$ in the expression:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_3=0}^{\infty} t^{2l_1+2l_2+2l_3} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{l_1+l_2+l_3} (p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + k)^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{j=3} \prod_{k=1}^{k=l_j} (p_j + k)^6} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} \prod_{k=1}^{l_i+l_j} (p_i + p_j + k) \\ & \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} (p_j - p_i + (l_j - l_i)) \end{aligned}$$

(Since this expression is totally antisymmetric in p_1, p_2, p_3 , it is divisible by $(p_2 - p_1)(p_3 - p_1)(p_3 - p_2)$.)

6.1.9. V_{18}^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 30]

This is the subvariety of $\text{Gr}(5, 7)$ cut out by a regular section of $(S \otimes \det S^*) \oplus \det S^*$, where S is the universal bundle of subspaces on $\text{Gr}(5, 7)$. We apply Theorem F.1 in [12] with $a = d = 1$ and $b = c = e = 0$. This shows that the quantum period $G_{V_{18}^4}(t)$ is the coefficient of $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 5} (p_j - p_i)$ in the expression:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_3=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_4=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_5=0}^{\infty} t^{2|l|} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{k=|l|} (p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_5 + k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{j=5} \prod_{k=1}^{k=l_j} (p_j + k)^7} \prod_{j=1}^{j=5} \prod_{k=1}^{|l|-l_j} (p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_5 - p_j + k) \\ & \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 5} (p_j - p_i + (l_j - l_i)) \end{aligned}$$

where $|l| = l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_5$. (As above, antisymmetry implies that the long formula here is divisible by $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 5} (p_j - p_i)$.)

6.2. The Case $\rho > 1$. Four-dimensional Fano manifolds with $\rho > 1$ and $r = 2$ have been classified by Mukai [37, 38] and Wiśniewski [49]. There are 18 deformation families, as follows. We denote the k th such deformation family, as given in [25, Table 12.7], by MW_k^4 .

6.2.1. MW_1^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 31]

This is the product $\mathbb{P}^1 \times B_1^3$. Combining Theorem 2.4 with [12, Example G.1] and [12, §3] yields:

$$G_{\text{MW}_1^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(6m)!}{(l!)^2 (m!)^3 (2m)! (3m)!} t^{2l+2m}$$

6.2.2. MW_2^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 32]

This is the product $\mathbb{P}^1 \times B_2^3$. Combining Theorem 2.4 with [12, Example G.1] and [12, §4] yields:

$$G_{\text{MW}_2^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(4m)!}{(l!)^2 (m!)^4 (2m)!} t^{2l+2m}$$

6.2.3. MW_3^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 33]

This is the product $\mathbb{P}^1 \times B_3^3$. Combining Theorem 2.4 with [12, Example G.1] and [12, §5] yields:

$$G_{\text{MW}_3^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(3m)!}{(l!)^2 (m!)^5} t^{2l+2m}$$

6.2.4. MW_4^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 34]

This is a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, branched over a divisor of bidegree $(2, 2)$. Consider the toric variety F with weight data:

x_0	x_1	x_2	y_0	y_1	y_2	w	
1	1	1	0	0	0	1	L
0	0	0	1	1	1	1	M

and $\overline{\text{Amp}} F = \langle L, L + M \rangle$. Let X be a member of the linear system $|2L + 2M|$ defined by the equation $w^2 = f_{2,2}$, where $f_{2,2}$ is a bihomogeneous polynomial of degrees 2 in x_0, x_1, x_2 and 2 in y_0, y_1, y_2 . Let $p: F \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ be the rational map which sends (contravariantly) the homogeneous co-ordinate functions $[x_0, x_1, x_2, y_0, y_1, y_2]$ on $\mathbb{P}_{x_0, x_1, x_2}^2 \times \mathbb{P}_{y_0, y_1, y_2}^2$ to $[x_0, x_1, x_2, y_0, y_1, y_2]$. The restriction of p to X is a morphism, which exhibits X as a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ branched over the locus $(f_{2,2} = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}_{x_0, x_1, x_2}^2 \times \mathbb{P}_{y_0, y_1, y_2}^2$. Thus $X = \text{MW}_4^4$.

Recall the definition of the J -function $J_X(t, z)$ from [14, equation 11]. Recall from [10] that there is a Lagrangian cone $\mathcal{L}_X \subset H^\bullet(X; \Lambda_X) \otimes \mathbb{C}((z^{-1}))$ that encodes all genus-zero Gromov–Witten invariants of X , and a Lagrangian cone $\mathcal{L}_e \subset H^\bullet(F; \Lambda_F) \otimes \mathbb{C}((z^{-1})) \otimes \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ that encodes all genus-zero $(e, 2L + 2M)$ -twisted Gromov–Witten invariants of F . Here Λ_X and Λ_F are certain Novikov rings and e is the total Chern class with parameter λ (or, equivalently, e is the S^1 -equivariant Euler class with respect to an action of S^1 described in [10]; in this case one should regard λ as the standard generator for the S^1 -equivariant cohomology algebra of a point). The J -function J_X is characterised by the fact that $J_X(t, -z)$ is the unique point on \mathcal{L}_X of the form $-z + t + O(z^{-1})$.

Let $p_1, p_2 \in H^2(F; \mathbb{Q})$ denote the first Chern class of $L, L + M$ respectively and let $P_1, P_2 \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Q})$ denote the pullbacks of p_1, p_2 along the inclusion map $i: X \rightarrow F$. Let Q_1, Q_2 denote the elements of the Novikov ring Λ_X that are dual respectively to P_1, P_2 , and note that Λ_X and Λ_F are canonically isomorphic (via i_*). Theorem 22 in [13] implies that:

$$I(t_1, t_2, \lambda, z) = z e^{t_1 p_1 / z} e^{t_2 p_2 / z} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{Q_1^l Q_2^m e^{l t_1} e^{m t_2} \prod_{k=1}^{k=2m} (\lambda + 2p_2 + kz)}{\prod_{k=1}^{k=l} (p_1 + kz)^3 \prod_{k=1}^{k=m} (p_2 + kz)} \frac{\prod_{k=-\infty}^{k=0} (p_2 - p_1 + kz)^3}{\prod_{k=-\infty}^{k=m-l} (p_2 - p_1 + kz)^3}$$

satisfies $I(t_1, t_2, \lambda, -z) \in \mathcal{L}_e$. Theorem 1.1 in [10] gives that $i^* \mathcal{L}_e|_{\lambda=0} \subset \mathcal{L}_X$, and therefore that:

$$i^* I(t_1, t_2, 0, -z) \in \mathcal{L}_X$$

Since the hypersurface X misses the locus $y_1 = y_2 = y_3 = 0$ in F , we have that $i^*(p_2 - p_1)^3 = 0$. Thus:

$$i^* I(t_1, t_2, 0, z) = z e^{t_1 P_1 / z} e^{t_2 P_2 / z} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=l}^{\infty} \frac{Q_1^l Q_2^m e^{l t_1} e^{m t_2} \prod_{k=1}^{k=2m} (2P_2 + kz)}{\prod_{k=1}^{k=l} (P_1 + kz)^3 \prod_{k=1}^{k=m} (P_2 + kz)} \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^{k=m-l} (P_2 - P_1 + kz)^3}$$

In particular, $i^*I(t_1, t_2, 0, -z)$ has the form $-z + t_1P_1 + t_2P_2 + O(z^{-1})$ and, from the characterisation of J_X discussed above, we conclude that $J_X(t_1P_1 + t_2P_2, -z) = i^*I(t_1, t_2, 0, -z)$.

To extract the quantum period G_X from the J -function $J_X(t_1P_1 + t_2P_2, z)$ we take the component along the unit class $1 \in H^\bullet(X; \mathbb{Q})$, set $z = 1$, set $t_1 = t_2 = 0$, and set $Q_1 = 1$, $Q_2 = t^2$, obtaining:

$$G_{\text{MW}_4^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=l}^{\infty} \frac{(2m)!}{(l!)^3 m! ((m-l)!)^3} t^{2m}$$

6.2.5. MW_5^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 34]

This is a divisor on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^3$ of bidegree $(1, 2)$. Theorem 2.2 yields:

$$G_{\text{MW}_5^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(l+2m)!}{(l!)^3 (m!)^4} t^{2l+2m}$$

6.2.6. MW_6^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 35]

This is the product $\mathbb{P}^1 \times B_4^3$. Combining Theorem 2.4 with [12, Example G.1] and [12, §6] yields:

$$G_{\text{MW}_6^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2m)!(2m)!}{(l!)^2 (m!)^6} t^{2l+2m}$$

6.2.7. MW_7^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 36]

This is a complete intersection of two divisors in $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3$, each of bidegree $(1, 1)$. Theorem 2.2 yields:

$$G_{\text{MW}_7^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(l+m)!(l+m)!}{(l!)^4 (m!)^4} t^{2l+2m}$$

6.2.8. MW_8^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 36]

This is a divisor on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times Q^3$ of bidegree $(1, 1)$. Theorem 2.2 yields:

$$G_{\text{MW}_8^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(l+m)!(2m)!}{(l!)^3 (m!)^5} t^{2l+2m}$$

6.2.9. MW_9^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 37]

This is the product $\mathbb{P}^1 \times B_5^3$. Combining Theorem 2.4 with [12, Example G.1] and [12, §7] yields:

$$G_{\text{MW}_9^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+n} t^{2l+2m+2n} \frac{((m+n)!)^3}{(l!)^2 (m!)^5 (n!)^5} (1 - 5(n-m)H_n)$$

6.2.10. MW_{10}^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 38]

This is the blow-up of the quadric Q^4 along a conic that is not contained in a plane lying in Q^4 . Consider the toric variety F with weight data:

s_0	s_1	s_2	x	x_3	x_4	x_5	
1	1	1	-1	0	0	0	L
0	0	0	1	1	1	1	M

and $\overline{\text{Amp}} F = \langle L, M \rangle$. The morphism $F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$ that sends (contravariantly) the homogeneous co-ordinate functions $[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_5]$ to $[xs_0, xs_1, xs_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]$ blows up the plane $\Pi = (x_0 = x_1 = x_2 = 0)$ in \mathbb{P}^5 . Thus a general member of $|2M|$ on F is the blow-up of Q^4 with centre a conic on Π . In other words, a general member of $|2M|$ on F is MW_{10}^4 . We have:

- $-K_F = 2L + 4M$ is ample, so that F is a Fano variety;
- $\text{MW}_{10}^4 \sim 2M$ is ample;
- $-(K_F + 2M) \sim 2L + 2M$ is ample.

Theorem 2.2 yields:

$$G_{\text{MW}_{10}^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=l}^{\infty} \frac{(2m)!}{(l!)^3 (m-l)! (m!)^3} t^{2l+2m}$$

6.2.11. MW₁₁⁴.

[regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 38]

This is the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$, where $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ is the null-correlation bundle of Szurek–Wiśniewski [47].

Remark 6.1. For us $\mathbb{P}(E)$ denotes the projective bundle of lines in E , whereas in Szurek–Wiśniewski and Iskovskikh–Prokhorov, $\mathbb{P}(E)$ denotes the projective bundle of one-dimensional quotients. With our conventions, if $\pi: \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ is a projective bundle then $E^* = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$, and so a regular section $s \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1))$ vanishes on $\mathbb{P}(F^*) \subset \mathbb{P}(E)$, where the vector bundle $F \rightarrow X$ is the cokernel of $s: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)} \rightarrow E^*$.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $V = \mathbb{C}^4$, so that $\mathbb{P}(V) = \mathbb{P}^3$. Consider the partial flag manifold $\text{Fl}_{1,2}(V)$ and the natural projections*

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Fl}_{1,2}(V) & \\ p_1 \swarrow & & \searrow p_2 \\ \mathbb{P}(V) & & \text{Gr}(2, V) \end{array}$$

Let $|L|$ denote the linear system defined by $\mathcal{O}(1)$ for the projective bundle p_1 . Then a general element of $|L|$ is $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$, where $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ is the null-correlation bundle.

Proof. The null-correlation bundle has rank 2, and so the perfect pairing $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \det \mathcal{E}$ gives canonical isomorphisms $\mathcal{E}^\vee \cong \mathcal{E} \otimes (\det \mathcal{E})^{-1}$ and $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$. There is an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(-1) \longrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \longrightarrow 0$$

and the map $s^*: T_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}$ therein defines a section $s \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-2)), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-2))}(1))$. The construction in Remark 6.1 now exhibits $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}(-1)) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ as the locus $(s = 0)$ in $\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-2))$. We will identify $\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-2))$ with the partial flag manifold $\text{Fl}_{1,2}(V)$.

For a vector bundle $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$ of rank 3, the perfect pairing $\mathcal{F} \otimes \wedge^2 \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \det \mathcal{F}$ gives a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{F}^* \cong (\wedge^2 \mathcal{F}) \otimes (\det \mathcal{F})^{-1}$. Applying this with $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$ equal to $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ gives:

$$T_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-2) \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)}^2(2)$$

where $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)}^2 := \wedge^2 \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)}$. We thus need to identify $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)}^2(2))$ with $\text{Fl}_{1,2}(V)$.

The Plücker embedding $\text{Gr}(2, V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V)$ maps a subspace $W \in \text{Gr}(2, V)$ to the antisymmetric linear map $L_W: V^* \rightarrow V$, well-defined up to scale, given by:

$$L_W(f) = f(w_1)w_2 - f(w_2)w_1$$

where $\{w_1, w_2\}$ is a basis for W . The kernel of L_W is the annihilator $W^\perp \subset V^*$. If $f \notin W^\perp$ then $\langle L_W(f) \rangle = \ker f \cap W$; this implies in particular that $\text{rk } L_W = 2$. Thus the image of the Plücker embedding consists of (the lines spanned by) antisymmetric linear maps $L_W: V^* \rightarrow V$ of rank 2, and one can recover $W \in \text{Gr}(2, V)$ from its image $\langle L_W \rangle$ by taking the annihilator of the kernel:

$$W = (\ker L_W)^\perp$$

There is a canonical isomorphism $\text{Ann}: \text{Gr}(2, V) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, V^*)$ which maps $W \in \text{Gr}(2, V)$ to W^\perp .

Recall that our goal is to identify $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)}^2(2))$ with $\text{Fl}_{1,2}(V)$. Let $q_1: \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)}^2(2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ denote the projection. The Euler sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \longrightarrow \pi^* V^*(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \longrightarrow 0$$

gives, via [21, II, Exercise 5.16]:

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)}^2 \longrightarrow \pi^*(\wedge^2 V^*)(-2) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \longrightarrow 0$$

and thus:

$$(5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)}^2(2) \longrightarrow \pi^*(\wedge^2 V^*) \longrightarrow \pi^* V^*(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(2) \longrightarrow 0$$

This defines a map $f: \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)}^2(2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V^*)$. Consider the fiber of the sequence (5) over $[v] \in \mathbb{P}(V)$. The map $\pi^*(\wedge^2 V^*) \rightarrow \pi^* V^*(1)$ here is given by contraction with v , and so non-zero elements of the kernel are antisymmetric linear maps $V \rightarrow V^*$ of rank 2. (They are antisymmetric, hence have rank 0, 2, or 4; they are non-zero, hence are not of rank 0; and they have the non-zero element v in their kernel, hence are not of rank 4.) In particular, we see that the image of f lies in $\text{Gr}(2, V^*) \subset \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V^*)$.

Given $[x] \in \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)}^2(2))$, write $W_{[x]} \subset V^*$ for the linear subspace defined by $f([x])$. Suppose that $[x] \in \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)}^2(2))$ lies over $[v] \in \mathbb{P}(V)$. Then, applying the discussion in the previous paragraph but with V there replaced by V^* , we see that $v \in W_{[x]}^\perp$. Thus, writing $q_2: \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)}^2(2)) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, V)$ for the composition

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)}^2(2)) \xrightarrow{f} \text{Gr}(2, V^*) \xrightarrow{\text{Ann}} \text{Gr}(2, V)$$

we have that $q_1([x]) \subset q_2([x])$, i.e., that the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)}^2(2)) & \\ q_1 \swarrow & & \searrow q_2 \\ \mathbb{P}(V) & & \text{Gr}(2, V) \end{array}$$

coincides with the diagram (4). This identifies $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)}^2(2))$ with the partial flag manifold $\text{Fl}_{1,2}(V)$, and exhibits $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ as an element of the linear system $|L|$ as claimed. \square

Abelianization: To compute the quantum period, we use the Abelian/non-Abelian Correspondence of Ciocan-Fontanine–Kim–Sabbah, as in [12, §39]. Consider the situation as in §3.1 of [9] with:

- $X = \mathbb{C}^{10}$, regarded as the space of pairs:

$$\{(v, w) : v \in \mathbb{C}^2 \text{ is a row vector, } w \text{ is a } 2 \times 4 \text{ complex matrix}\}$$

- $G = \mathbb{C}^\times \times \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, acting on X as:

$$(\lambda, g): (v, w) \mapsto (\lambda v g^{-1}, gw)$$

- $T = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^3$, the diagonal subtorus in G ;
- the group that is denoted by S in [9] set equal to the trivial group;
- \mathcal{V} equal to the representation of G given by the determinant of the standard representation of the second factor $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Then $X//G$ is the partial flag manifold $\text{Fl} = \text{Fl}_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^4)$, whereas $X//T$ is the toric variety with weight data:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & L_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & L_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & H \end{array}$$

and $\overline{\text{Amp}} = \langle L_1, L_2, H \rangle$; that is, $X//T$ is the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}(-1, 0) \oplus \mathcal{O}(0, -1))$ over $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3$. The non-trivial element of the Weyl group $W = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ exchanges the two factors of $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3$. The representation \mathcal{V} induces the line bundle $\mathcal{V}_G = L$ over $X//G = \text{Fl}$, where L was defined in the statement of Proposition 6.2, whereas the representation \mathcal{V} induces the line bundle $\mathcal{V}_T = L_1 + L_2$ over $X//T$.

The Abelian/non-Abelian Correspondence: Let p_1, p_2 , and $p_3 \in H^2(X//T; \mathbb{Q})$ denote the first Chern classes of the line bundles L_1, L_2 , and H respectively. We fix a lift of $H^\bullet(X//G; \mathbb{Q})$ to $H^\bullet(X//T, \mathbb{Q})^W$ in the sense of [9, §3]; there are many possible choices for such a lift, and the precise choice made will be unimportant in what follows. The lift allows us to regard $H^\bullet(X//G; \mathbb{Q})$ as a subspace of $H^\bullet(X//T, \mathbb{Q})^W$, which maps isomorphically to the Weyl-anti-invariant part $H^\bullet(X//T, \mathbb{Q})^a$ of $H^\bullet(X//T, \mathbb{Q})$ via:

$$H^\bullet(X//T, \mathbb{Q})^W \xrightarrow{\cup(p_2 - p_1)} H^\bullet(X//T, \mathbb{Q})^a$$

We compute the quantum period of $\text{MW}_{11}^4 \subset X//G$ by computing the J -function of $\text{Fl} = X//G$ twisted, in the sense of [14], by the Euler class and the bundle \mathcal{V}_G , using the Abelian/non-Abelian Correspondence.

Our first step is to compute the J -function of $X//T$ twisted by the Euler class and the bundle \mathcal{V}_T . As in [12, §D.1] and as in [9], consider the bundles \mathcal{V}_T and \mathcal{V}_G equipped with the canonical \mathbb{C}^\times -action that rotates fibers and acts trivially on the base. Recall the definition of the twisted J -function J_{e, \mathcal{V}_T} of $X//T$ from [12, §D.1]. We will compute J_{e, \mathcal{V}_T} using the Quantum Lefschetz theorem; J_{e, \mathcal{V}_T} is the restriction to the locus $\tau \in H^0(X//T) \oplus H^2(X//T)$ of what was denoted by $J_{\mathcal{V}_T}^{S^\times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\tau)$ in [9]. The toric variety $X//T$ is Fano, so Theorem C.1 in [12] gives:

$$J_{X//T}(\tau) = e^{\tau/z} \sum_{l, m, n \geq 0} \frac{Q_1^l Q_2^m Q_3^n e^{l\tau_1} e^{m\tau_2} e^{n\tau_3}}{\prod_{k=1}^{k=l} (p_1 + kz)^4 \prod_{k=1}^{k=m} (p_2 + kz)^4 \prod_{k=-\infty}^{k=n-l} (p_3 - p_1 + kz) \prod_{k=-\infty}^{k=n-m} (p_3 - p_2 + kz)}$$

where $\tau = \tau_1 p_1 + \tau_2 p_2 + \tau_3 p_3$ and we have identified the group ring $\mathbb{Q}[H_2(X//T; \mathbb{Z})]$ with $\mathbb{Q}[Q_1, Q_2, Q_3]$ via the \mathbb{Q} -linear map that sends Q^β to $Q_1^{\langle \beta, p_1 \rangle} Q_2^{\langle \beta, p_2 \rangle} Q_3^{\langle \beta, p_3 \rangle}$. The line bundles L_1, L_2 , and H are nef, and $c_1(X//T) - c_1(\mathcal{V}_T)$ is ample, so Theorem D.3 in [12] gives:

$$J_{e, \mathcal{V}_T}(\tau) = e^{\tau/z} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_1^l Q_2^m Q_3^n e^{l\tau_1} e^{m\tau_2} e^{n\tau_3} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{k=l+m} (\lambda + p_1 + p_2 + kz)}{\prod_{k=1}^{k=l} (p_1 + kz)^4 \prod_{k=1}^{k=m} (p_2 + kz)^4} \times \frac{\prod_{k=-\infty}^{k=0} p_3 - p_1 + kz \prod_{k=-\infty}^{k=0} p_3 - p_2 + kz}{\prod_{k=-\infty}^{k=n-l} p_3 - p_1 + kz \prod_{k=-\infty}^{k=n-m} p_3 - p_2 + kz}$$

Consider now $\text{Fl} = X//G$ and a point $t \in H^\bullet(\text{Fl})$. Recall that $\text{Fl} = \mathbb{P}(S)$ is the projectivization of the universal bundle S of subspaces on $\text{Gr} := \text{Gr}(2, 4)$. Let $\epsilon_1 \in H^2(\text{Fl}; \mathbb{Q})$ be the pullback to Fl (under the projection map $p_2: \text{Fl} \rightarrow \text{Gr}$) of the ample generator of $H^2(\text{Gr})$, and let $\epsilon_2 \in H^2(\text{Fl}; \mathbb{Q})$ be the first Chern class of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(S)}(1)$. Identify the group ring $\mathbb{Q}[H_2(\text{Fl}; \mathbb{Z})]$ with $\mathbb{Q}[q_1, q_2]$ via the \mathbb{Q} -linear map which sends Q^β to $q_1^{\langle \beta, \epsilon_1 \rangle} q_2^{\langle \beta, \epsilon_2 \rangle}$. In [9, §6.1] the authors consider the lift $\tilde{J}_{\mathcal{V}_G}^{S \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(t)$ of their twisted J -function $J_{\mathcal{V}_G}^{S \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(t)$ determined by a choice of lift $H^\bullet(X//G; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(X//T, \mathbb{Q})^W$. We restrict to the locus $t \in H^0(X//G; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^2(X//G; \mathbb{Q})$, considering the lift:

$$\tilde{J}_{e, \mathcal{V}_G}(t) := \tilde{J}_{\mathcal{V}_G}^{S \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(t) \quad t \in H^0(X//G; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^2(X//G; \mathbb{Q})$$

of our twisted J -function J_{e, \mathcal{V}_G} determined by our choice of lift $H^\bullet(X//G; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(X//T, \mathbb{Q})^W$. Theorems 4.1.1 and 6.1.2 in [9] imply that:

$$\tilde{J}_{e, \mathcal{V}_G}(\varphi(t)) \cup (p_2 - p_1) = \left[\left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_2} - z \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_1} \right) J_{e, \mathcal{V}_T}(\tau) \right]_{\tau=t, Q_1=Q_2=-q_1, Q_3=q_2}$$

for some function $\varphi: H^2(X//G; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(X//G; \Lambda_G)$. Setting $t = 0$ gives:

$$\tilde{J}_{e, \mathcal{V}_G}(\varphi(0)) \cup (p_2 - p_1) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{l+m} q_1^{l+m} q_2^n \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{k=l+m} (\lambda + p_1 + p_2 + kz)}{\prod_{k=1}^{k=l} (p_1 + kz)^4 \prod_{k=1}^{k=m} (p_2 + kz)^4} \times \frac{\prod_{k=-\infty}^{k=0} p_3 - p_1 + kz \prod_{k=-\infty}^{k=0} p_3 - p_2 + kz}{\prod_{k=-\infty}^{k=n-l} p_3 - p_1 + kz \prod_{k=-\infty}^{k=n-m} p_3 - p_2 + kz} (p_2 - p_1 + (m-l)z)$$

For symmetry reasons the right-hand side here is divisible by $p_2 - p_1$; it takes the form:

$$(p_2 - p_1) \left(1 + O(z^{-2}) \right)$$

whereas:

$$\tilde{J}_{e, \mathcal{V}_G}(\varphi(0)) \cup (p_2 - p_1) = (p_2 - p_1) \left(1 + \varphi(0)z^{-1} + O(z^{-2}) \right)$$

We conclude that $\varphi(0) = 0$. Thus:

$$(6) \quad \tilde{J}_{e, \mathcal{V}_G}(0) \cup (p_2 - p_1) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{l+m} q_1^{l+m} q_2^n \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{k=l+m} (\lambda + p_1 + p_2 + kz)}{\prod_{k=1}^{k=l} (p_1 + kz)^4 \prod_{k=1}^{k=m} (p_2 + kz)^4} \times \frac{\prod_{k=-\infty}^{k=0} p_3 - p_1 + kz \prod_{k=-\infty}^{k=0} p_3 - p_2 + kz}{\prod_{k=-\infty}^{k=n-l} p_3 - p_1 + kz \prod_{k=-\infty}^{k=n-m} p_3 - p_2 + kz} (p_2 - p_1 + (m-l)z)$$

To extract the quantum period $G_{\text{MW}_{11}^4}$ from the twisted J -function $J_{e, \mathcal{V}_G}(0)$, we proceed as in [12, Example D.8]: we take the non-equivariant limit, extract the component along the unit class $1 \in H^\bullet(X//G; \mathbb{Q})$, set $z = 1$, and set $Q^\beta = t^{\langle \beta, -K \rangle}$ where $K = K_{\text{MW}_{11}^4}$. Thus we consider the right-hand side of (6), take the non-equivariant limit, extract the coefficient of $p_2 - p_1$, set $z = 1$, and set $q_1 = q_2 = 2t$, obtaining:

$$G_{\text{MW}_{11}^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\max(l,m)}^{\infty} (-1)^{l+m} t^{2l+2m+2n} \frac{(l+m)!}{(l!)^4 (m!)^4 (n-l)! (n-m)!} \left(1 + (m-l)(H_{n-m} - 4H_m) \right) + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=l+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=l}^{m-1} (-1)^{l+n} t^{2l+2m+2n} \frac{(l+m)! (m-n-1)!}{(l!)^4 (m!)^4 (n-l)!} (m-l)$$

Remark 6.3. The quantum period of $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)}^2(2))$ can also be computed using Strangeway's reconstruction theorem for the quantum cohomology of Fano bundles [46, Theorem 1]. Thus the quantum period of MW_{11}^4 can be derived from this result together with the Quantum Lefschetz theorem. The Gromov–Witten invariants required as input to the reconstruction theorem can be computed via [46, Lemma 1], using Schubert calculus on $\text{Gr}(2, 4)$ and intersection numbers in \mathbb{P}^3 .

6.2.12. MW_{12}^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 39]

This is the blow-up of the quadric Q^4 along a line. Consider the toric variety F with weight data:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} s_0 & s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & x & x_4 & x_5 & \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & L \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & M \end{array}$$

and $\overline{\text{Amp}} F = \langle L, M \rangle$. The morphism $F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$ that sends (contravariantly) the homogeneous co-ordinate functions $[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_5]$ to $[xs_0, xs_1, xs_2, xs_3, x_4, x_5]$ blows up the line $(x_0 = x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0)$ in \mathbb{P}^5 , and MW_{12}^4 is the proper transform of a quadric containing this line. Thus MW_{12}^4 is a member of $|L + M|$ in the toric variety F . We have:

- $-K_F = 3L + 3M$ is ample, so that F is a Fano variety;
- $\text{MW}_{12}^4 \sim L + M$ is ample;
- $-(K_F + L + M) \sim 2L + 2M$ is ample.

Theorem 2.2 yields:

$$G_{\text{MW}_{12}^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=l}^{\infty} \frac{(l+m)!}{(l!)^4(m-l)!(m!)^2} t^{2l+2m}$$

6.2.13. MW_{13}^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 40]

This is the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}_{Q^3}(\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O})$ or, equivalently, a member of $|2L|$ in the toric variety F with weight data:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & u & v & \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & L \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & M \end{array}$$

and $\overline{\text{Amp}} F = \langle L, M \rangle$. We have:

- $-K_F = 4L + 2M$ is ample, that is F is a Fano variety;
- $\text{MW}_{13}^4 \sim 2L$ is nef;
- $-(K_F + 2L) \sim 2L + 2M$ is ample.

The projection $[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4 : x_5 : u : v] \mapsto [x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4 : x_5]$ exhibits F as the scroll $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O})$ over \mathbb{P}^4 , and passing to a member of $|2L|$ restricts this scroll to $Q^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. Theorem 2.2 yields:

$$G_{\text{MW}_{13}^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=l}^{\infty} \frac{(2l)!}{(l!)^5 m!(m-l)!} t^{2l+2m}$$

6.2.14. MW_{14}^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 40]

This is the product $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$. Combining Theorem 2.4 with [12, Example G.1] and [12, §1] yields:

$$G_{\text{MW}_{14}^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2l+4m}}{(l!)^2(m!)^4}$$

6.2.15. MW_{15}^4 . [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 41]

This is the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1))$, or in other words, the toric variety with weight data:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & u & v & \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -2 & L \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & M \end{array}$$

and $\overline{\text{Amp}} F = \langle L, M \rangle$. Theorem 2.1 yields:

$$G_{\text{MW}_{15}^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2l}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2l+2m}}{(l!)^4 m!(m-2l)!}$$

6.2.16. MW_{16}^4 .

This is the product $\mathbb{P}^1 \times W^3$, where $W^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ is a divisor of bidegree $(1, 1)$. Theorem 2.2 yields: [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 42]

$$G_{MW_{16}^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+n)!}{(l!)^2(m!)^3(n!)^3} t^{2l+2m+2n}$$

6.2.17. MW_{17}^4 .

This is the product $\mathbb{P}^1 \times B_7^3$, where B_7^3 is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 at a point. Note that B_7^3 is the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1))$. It follows that MW_{17}^4 is the toric variety with weight data: [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 43]

x_0	x_1	y_0	y_1	y_2	u	v	
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	L
0	0	1	1	1	0	-1	M
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	N

and $\overline{\text{Amp}} F = \langle L, M, N \rangle$. Theorem 2.1 yields:

$$G_{MW_{17}^4}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2l+2m+2n}}{(l!)^2(m!)^3n!(n-m)!}$$

6.2.18. MW_{18}^4 .

This is the product $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Combining Theorem 2.4 with [12, Example G.1] yields: [regularized quantum period p. 16, operator p. 44]

$$G_{MW_{18}^4}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2k+2l+2m+2n}}{(k!)^2(l!)^2(m!)^2(n!)^2}$$

7. FOUR-DIMENSIONAL FANO TORIC MANIFOLDS

Four-dimensional Fano toric manifolds were classified by Batyrev [2] and Sato [41]. Øbro classified Fano toric manifolds in dimensions 2–8 [39] and, to standardise notation, we will write BOS_k^4 for the k th four-dimensional Fano toric manifold in Øbro's list. BOS_k^4 is the $(23+k)$ th Fano toric manifold in the Graded Ring Database [6], as the list there is the concatenation of Øbro's lists in dimensions 2–8. We can compute the quantum periods of the BOS_k^4 using Theorem 2.1; the first few Taylor coefficients of their regularized quantum periods can be found in the tables in the Appendix.

8. PRODUCT MANIFOLDS AND OTHER INDEX 1 EXAMPLES

Quantum periods for one-, two- and three-dimensional Fano manifolds were computed in [12]. Combining these results with Theorem 2.4 allows us to compute the quantum period of any four-dimensional Fano manifold that is a product of lower-dimensional manifolds. Many of these examples have Fano index $r = 1$.

In his thesis [45], Strangeway determined the quantum periods of two four-dimensional Fano manifolds of index $r = 1$ that have not yet been discussed. These manifolds arise as complete intersections in the 9-dimensional projective bundle $F = \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}^2(2))$. Let $\pi: F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ denote the canonical projection, let $p \in H^2(F)$ be the first Chern class of $\pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)$, and let $\xi \in H^2(F)$ be the first Chern class of the tautological bundle $\mathcal{O}_F(1)$. The manifold F is Fano of Picard rank 2, with nef cone generated by $\{\xi, p\}$ and $-K_F = 6\xi + 2p$. Let:

$\text{Str}_1 \subset F$ denote a complete intersection of five divisors of type ξ

$\text{Str}_2 \subset F$ denote a complete intersection of four divisors of type ξ and a divisor of type p

We consider also:

$\text{Str}_3 \subset F$, a complete intersection of four divisors of type ξ and a divisor of type $\xi + p$

which was unaccountably omitted from [45].

The manifolds Str_k , $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, each have Picard rank two. To see this, observe that the ambient manifold F is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^9 along $\text{Gr}(2, 5)$, where $\text{Gr}(2, 5) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^9$ is the Plücker embedding [46]; the blow-up $F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^9$ and the projection $\pi: F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ are the extremal contractions corresponding to the two extremal rays in $\overline{\text{NE}}(F)$. Thus Str_1 is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^4 along an elliptic curve $E_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ of degree 5. Consider the five-dimensional Fano manifold F_5 given by the complete intersection of four divisors of type ξ in F . Then F_5 is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^5 along a del Pezzo surface S_5 of degree 5; in particular, F_5 has Picard rank two. Str_3 is an ample divisor (of type $\xi + p$) in F_5 , so the Picard rank of Str_3 is also two. The manifold Str_2 is a divisor in F_5 of type p , and F_5 arises as the closure of the graph of the map

$\mathbb{P}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ given by the 5-dimensional linear system of quadrics passing through S_5 . This exhibits Str_2 as the blow-up of a smooth four-dimensional quadric Q^4 along S_5 , which implies that the Picard rank of Str_2 is two.

We can compute the quantum periods of Str_k , $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, by observing that a complete intersection in F of five divisors of type ξ and one divisor of type p is a three-dimensional Fano manifold MM_{2-17}^3 , ‘unsectioning’ to compute the quantum period for F , and then applying the quantum Lefschetz theorem to compute the quantum periods for Str_1 , Str_2 , and Str_3 . Recall the definition of the J -function $J_X(t, z)$ from [14, equation 11]. The identity component of the J -function of MM_{2-17}^3 is:

$$(7) \quad e^{-q_1 - q_2} \sum_{l_1, l_2, l_3 \geq 0} (-q_1)^{l_1 + l_2} q_2^{l_3} \frac{(l_1 + l_2)! (l_1 + l_3)! (l_2 + l_3)! (l_1 + l_2 + l_3)!}{(l_1!)^4 (l_2!)^4 (l_3!)^4 z^{l_1 + l_2 + l_3}} \left(1 + (l_2 - l_1)(H_{l_2 + l_3} - 4H_{l_2})\right)$$

where q_1, q_2 are generators of the Novikov ring for MM_{2-17}^3 dual respectively to ξ and p ; see [12, §34]. The identity component of the J -function of F takes the form:

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{l,m} z^{-6l-2m} q_1^l q_2^m$$

for some coefficients $c_{l,m} \in \mathbb{Q}$. The Quantum Lefschetz theorem implies (cf. [12, §D.1]) that the identity component of the J -function of MM_{2-17}^3 is equal to:

$$(8) \quad e^{-c_{1,0}q_1 - c_{0,1}q_2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (l!)^5 m! c_{l,m} z^{-l-m} q_1^l q_2^m$$

and it is known that $c_{1,0} = 1$ and $c_{0,1} = 0$ [46, §5.1]. Equating (7) and (8) determines the $c_{l,m}$:

$$c_{l,m} = \sum_{i=0}^l \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^{j+l} \frac{(m+l-i-j)! (i+m-j)! (m+l-j)!}{((l-i)!)^4 (i!)^4 ((m-j)!)^4 j! m! (l!)^4} \left(1 + (2i-l)(H_{i+m-j} - 4H_i)\right)$$

The Quantum Lefschetz theorem now gives that:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\text{Str}_1}(t) &= e^{-t} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (l!)^5 c_{l,m} t^{l+2m} \\ G_{\text{Str}_2}(t) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (l!)^4 m! c_{l,m} t^{2l+m} \\ G_{\text{Str}_3}(t) &= e^{-t} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (l!)^4 (l+m)! c_{l,m} t^{l+m} \end{aligned}$$

9. NUMERICAL CALCULATIONS OF QUANTUM DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

As discussed in §2, the regularized quantum period $\widehat{G}_X(t)$ of a Fano manifold X satisfies a differential equation:

$$(9) \quad L_X \widehat{G}_X \equiv 0 \quad L_X = \sum_{k=0}^{k=N} p_k(t) D^k$$

called the regularized quantum differential equation. Here the p_m are polynomials and $D = t \frac{d}{dt}$. The regularized quantum differential equation for X coincides with the (unregularized) quantum differential equation for an anticanonical Calabi–Yau manifold $Y \subset X$; the study of the regularized quantum period from this point of view was pioneered by Batyrev–Ciocan-Fontanine–Kim–van Straten [3, 4]. The differential equation (9) is expected to be Fuchsian, and the local system of solutions to $L_X f \equiv 0$ is expected to be of *low ramification* in the following sense.

Definition 9.1 ([11]). Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ a finite set, and $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S$ a local system. Fix a basepoint $x \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S$. For $s \in S$, choose a small loop that winds once anticlockwise around s and connect it to x via a path, thereby making a loop γ_s about s based at x . Let $T_s: \mathbb{V}_x \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_x$ denote the monodromy of \mathbb{V} along γ_s . The *ramification* of \mathbb{V} is:

$$\text{rf}(\mathbb{V}) := \sum_{s \in S} \dim \left(\mathbb{V}_x / \mathbb{V}_x^{T_s} \right)$$

The *ramification defect* of \mathbb{V} is the quantity $\text{rf}(\mathbb{V}) - 2 \text{rk}(\mathbb{V})$. Non-trivial irreducible local systems $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S$ have non-negative ramification defect; this gives a lower bound for the ramification of \mathbb{V} . A local system of ramification defect zero is called *extremal*.

Definition 9.2. The *ramification* (respectively *ramification defect*) of a differential operator L_X is the ramification (respectively ramification defect) of the local system of solutions $L_X f \equiv 0$.

Definition 9.3. The *quantum differential operator* for a Fano manifold X is the operator $L_X \in \mathbb{Q}[t]\langle D \rangle$ such that $L_X \widehat{G}_X \equiv 0$ which is of lowest order in D and, among all such operators of this order, is of lowest degree in t . (This defines L_X only up to an overall scalar factor, but this suffices for our purposes.)

Suppose that each of the polynomials p_0, \dots, p_N are of degree at most r , and write:

$$L_X = \sum_{k=0}^{k=N} p_k(t) D^k \qquad p_k(t) = \sum_{l=0}^r a_{kl} t^l$$

The differential equation $L_X \widehat{G}_X \equiv 0$ gives a system of linear equations for the coefficients a_{kl} which, given sufficiently many terms of the Taylor expansion of \widehat{G}_X , becomes over-determined. Given *a priori* bounds on N and r , therefore, we could compute the quantum differential operator L_X by calculating sufficiently many terms in the Taylor expansion. In general we do not have such bounds, but nonetheless by ensuring the linear system for (a_{kl}) is highly over-determined we can be reasonably confident that the operator L_X which we compute is correct. In addition, since L_X is expected to correspond under mirror symmetry to a Picard–Fuchs differential equation for the mirror family, L_X is expected to be of Fuchsian type. This is an extremely delicate condition on the coefficients (a_{kl}) , and it can be checked by exact computation.

We computed candidate quantum differential operators L_X for all four-dimensional Fano manifolds of Fano index $r > 1$, and checked the Fuchsian condition in each case. The operators L_X , together with their ramification defects and the log-monodromy data $\{\log T_s : s \in S\}$ in Jordan normal form, can be found in Appendix B. In 24 cases, the local system of solutions to the regularized quantum differential equation is extremal, and in the remaining 11 cases it is of ramification defect 1.

To compute the ramification of L_X , we follow Kedlaya [28, §7.3]. This involves only linear algebra over a splitting field for $p_N(t)$ —recall that every singular point of L_X occurs at a root of $p_N(t)$ —and thus can be implemented using exact (not numerical) computer algebra. For this we use Steel’s symbolic implementation of \mathbb{Q} in the computational algebra system Magma [5, 44].

The Situation in Lower Dimensions. The classification of 3-dimensional Fano manifolds is known [22–24, 32–36], and the quantum periods of all 3-dimensional Fano manifolds have been computed [12]. In each case the regularized quantum differential equation (9) is extremal, and coincides with the Picard–Fuchs differential equation associated to a Laurent polynomial $f: (\mathbb{C}^\times)^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ in three variables¹. This latter phenomenon is a manifestation of Mirror Symmetry, and when it occurs then we say that the Laurent polynomial f is a mirror to the corresponding Fano manifold X . The classification of two-dimensional Fano manifolds, which are called del Pezzo surfaces, is classical, and it was proved in [1] that del Pezzo surfaces correspond under Mirror Symmetry to a distinguished family of Laurent polynomials in two variables called *maximally mutable* Laurent polynomials. This mirror correspondence extends to two-dimensional Fano orbifolds too [1, 7, 40], and this inspired the classification of del Pezzo surfaces with $\frac{1}{3}(1, 1)$ singularities by Corti–Heuberger [15, 26]. Kasprzyk and Tveiten have defined what it means for a Laurent polynomial in any number of variables to be maximally mutable [27], and each of the 3-dimensional Fano manifolds corresponds under mirror symmetry to a maximally mutable Laurent polynomial in three variables. It would be very interesting to find out whether the correspondence between maximally mutable Laurent polynomials and Fano manifolds (or, more precisely, Fano varieties with an appropriate class of mild singularities) persists to higher dimensions. Furthermore Golyshev has observed a connection, which holds in low dimensions, between the ramification defect of the regularized quantum differential operator L_X of a Fano manifold X and the dimension of the primitive part of the middle-dimensional cohomology of X . The regularized quantum differential operators for 3-dimensional Fano manifolds are all extremal, which is consistent with the fact that this primitive part automatically vanishes in odd dimensions. It will be interesting to see how much of this picture persists beyond dimension 3.

Source Code. This paper is accompanied by full source code, written in Magma. See the included file `README.txt` for usage instructions. The source code, but not the text of this paper, is released under a Creative Commons CC0 license [8]: see the included file `COPYING.txt` for details. If you make use of the source code in an academic or commercial context, you should acknowledge this by including a reference or citation to this paper.

¹The proof of extremality involves applying the generalized Griffiths–Dwork algorithm of Lairez [31] to f .

Acknowledgements. We thank Alessio Corti for a number of very useful conversations. This research was supported by a Royal Society University Research Fellowship (TC); ERC Starting Investigator Grant number 240123; the Leverhulme Trust; grant MK-1297.2014.1; AG Laboratory NRU-HSE, RF government grant ag. 11.G34.31.0023; Grant of Leading Scientific Schools (N.Sh. 2998.2014.1); and EPSRC grant EP/I008128/1.

APPENDIX A. REGULARIZED QUANTUM PERIOD SEQUENCES

In this Appendix we record the description, degree, and Picard rank ρ_X for each of the four-dimensional Fano manifolds X considered in this paper, together with the first few Taylor coefficients α_d of the regularized quantum period:

$$\widehat{G}_X(t) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \alpha_d t^d$$

The tables are divided by Fano index r . We include only coefficients α_d with $d \equiv 0 \pmod r$, since coefficients α_d with $d \not\equiv 0 \pmod r$ are zero. Notation is as follows:

- \mathbb{P}^n denotes n -dimensional complex projective space;
- Q^n denotes a quadric hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} ;
- FI_k^4 is as in §5 above;
- V_k^4 is as in §6.1 above;
- MW_k^4 is as in §6.2 above;
- BOS_k^4 is as in §7 above;
- Str_k is as in §8 above;
- S_k^2 denotes the del Pezzo surface of degree k ;
- F_1 denotes the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$;
- V_k^3 denotes the three-dimensional Fano manifold of Picard rank 1, Fano index 1, and degree k ;
- B_k^3 denotes the three-dimensional Fano manifold of Picard rank 1, Fano index 2, and degree $8k$;
- $\text{MM}_{\rho-k}^3$ denotes the k th entry in the Mori–Mukai list of three-dimensional Fano manifolds of Picard rank ρ [32–36]. We use the the ordering as in [12], which agrees with the original papers of Mori–Mukai except when $\rho = 4$.

We prefer to express manifolds as products of lower-dimensional manifolds where possible, so for example BOS_{122}^4 is the product $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$, but we refer to this space as $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$ rather than BOS_{122}^4 . The tables for Fano index r with $r \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ are complete. The table for $r = 1$ is very far from complete.

Table 1: Four-dimensional Fano manifolds with Fano index $r = 5$

X	$(-K_X)^4$	ρ_X	α_0	α_5	α_{10}	α_{15}	α_{20}
\mathbb{P}^4	625	1	1	120	113400	168168000	305540235000

Table 2: Four-dimensional Fano manifolds with Fano index $r = 4$

X	$(-K_X)^4$	ρ_X	α_0	α_4	α_8	α_{12}	α_{16}
Q^4	512	1	1	48	15120	7392000	4414410000

Table 3: Four-dimensional Fano manifolds with Fano index $r = 3$

X	$(-K_X)^4$	ρ_X	α_0	α_3	α_6	α_9	α_{12}
$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$	486	2	1	12	900	94080	11988900
FI_5^4	405	1	1	18	1710	246960	43347150
FI_4^4	324	1	1	24	3240	672000	169785000
FI_3^4	243	1	1	36	8100	2822400	1200622500
FI_2^4	162	1	1	72	37800	31046400	31216185000
FI_1^4	81	1	1	360	1247400	6861254400	46381007673000

Table 4: Four-dimensional Fano manifolds with Fano index $r = 2$

X	$(-K_X)^4$	ρ_X	α_0	α_2	α_4	α_6	α_8
MW_{15}^4	640	2	1	2	6	380	6790
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$	512	2	1	2	30	740	12670
MW_{13}^4	480	2	1	2	54	740	21910
MW_{12}^4	416	2	1	2	54	1100	28630
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{2-35}^3$	448	3	1	4	60	1480	41020
MW_{11}^4	384	2	1	4	84	2200	70420
MW_{10}^4	352	2	1	4	84	2560	87220
MW_7^4	320	2	1	4	108	3280	126700
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{2-32}^3$	384	3	1	6	114	3300	114450
MW_8^4	320	2	1	6	138	4740	194250
V_{18}^4	288	1	1	6	162	6180	284130
MW_5^4	256	2	1	6	186	7980	410970
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	384	4	1	8	168	5120	190120
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times B_5^3$	320	2	1	8	192	6920	303520
V_{16}^4	256	1	1	8	240	10880	597520
V_{14}^4	224	1	1	8	288	15200	968800
MW_4^4	192	2	1	8	360	22400	1695400
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times B_4^3$	256	2	1	10	318	15220	886270
V_{12}^4	192	1	1	10	438	28900	2310070
V_{10}^4	160	1	1	12	684	58800	6129900
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times B_3^3$	192	2	1	14	690	50900	4540690
V_8^4	128	1	1	16	1296	160000	24010000
V_6^4	96	1	1	24	3240	672000	169785000
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times B_2^3$	128	2	1	26	2814	447380	84832510
V_4^4	64	1	1	48	15120	7392000	4414410000
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times B_1^3$	64	2	1	122	84606	84187220	98308169470
V_2^4	32	1	1	240	498960	1633632000	6558930378000

Table 5: Certain four-dimensional Fano manifolds with Fano index $r = 1$

X	$(-K_X)^4$	ρ_X	α_0	α_1	α_2	α_3	α_4	α_5	α_6	α_7
$B\emptyset S_{115}^4$	512	2	1	0	0	0	24	120	0	0
$B\emptyset S_{21}^4$	594	2	1	0	0	6	0	0	90	1260
$B\emptyset S_{118}^4$	513	2	1	0	0	6	0	120	90	0
$B\emptyset S_{17}^4$	450	3	1	0	0	6	0	120	90	1260
$B\emptyset S_{47}^4$	513	2	1	0	0	6	24	0	90	2520
$B\emptyset S_{94}^4$	459	3	1	0	0	6	24	120	90	1260
$B\emptyset S_{37}^4$	417	3	1	0	0	6	24	120	90	2520
$B\emptyset S_{74}^4$	486	3	1	0	0	6	48	0	90	2520
$B\emptyset S_{86}^4$	405	3	1	0	0	6	48	120	90	2520
$B\emptyset S_{114}^4$	401	3	1	0	0	12	0	120	900	0
$B\emptyset S_{46}^4$	406	3	1	0	0	12	24	0	900	3780
$B\emptyset S_{87}^4$	364	4	1	0	0	12	24	120	900	3780
$B\emptyset S_{32}^4$	322	4	1	0	0	12	24	240	900	5040
$B\emptyset S_{30}^4$	327	4	1	0	0	12	48	120	900	7560
$B\emptyset S_{31}^4$	249	5	1	0	0	18	72	360	2430	18900
Str_1	225	2	1	0	0	30	120	240	5850	50400
$B\emptyset S_2^4$	800	2	1	0	2	0	6	0	20	840
$B\emptyset S_1^4$	605	3	1	0	2	0	6	0	380	840
$B\emptyset S_{12}^4$	560	3	1	0	2	0	6	60	380	840
$B\emptyset S_{121}^4$	544	2	1	0	2	0	6	120	20	2520
$B\emptyset S_{105}^4$	489	3	1	0	2	0	6	120	380	2520
$B\emptyset S_{18}^4$	529	3	1	0	2	0	30	60	380	840
$B\emptyset S_{10}^4$	496	4	1	0	2	0	30	60	740	840
$B\emptyset S_{109}^4$	464	3	1	0	2	0	30	120	380	2520
$B\emptyset S_{104}^4$	431	3	1	0	2	0	30	120	740	2520
$B\emptyset S_{15}^4$	433	4	1	0	2	0	30	180	380	3360
$B\emptyset S_{11}^4$	415	4	1	0	2	0	30	180	740	3360
$B\emptyset S_8^4$	576	3	1	0	2	6	6	60	110	1680
$B\emptyset S_{26}^4$	560	3	1	0	2	6	6	60	470	420
$B\emptyset S_7^4$	592	3	1	0	2	6	6	120	110	1260
$B\emptyset S_{20}^4$	400	3	1	0	2	6	6	120	830	2520

Continued on next page.

Continued from previous page.

X	$(-K_X)^4$	ρ_X	α_0	α_1	α_2	α_3	α_4	α_5	α_6	α_7
$B\mathcal{O}S_{111}^4$	480	3	1	0	2	6	6	180	110	2940
$B\mathcal{O}S_{24}^4$	442	4	1	0	2	6	6	180	470	2940
$B\mathcal{O}S_{106}^4$	496	3	1	0	2	6	30	60	470	2940
$B\mathcal{O}S_{45}^4$	432	3	1	0	2	6	30	60	830	2940
$B\mathcal{O}S_{41}^4$	433	3	1	0	2	6	30	120	470	3780
$B\mathcal{O}S_6^4$	463	4	1	0	2	6	30	120	470	3780
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{2-33}^3$	432	3	1	0	2	6	30	120	830	2520
$B\mathcal{O}S_{82}^4$	432	4	1	0	2	6	30	180	470	4200
$B\mathcal{O}S_{113}^4$	400	3	1	0	2	6	30	180	470	5460
$B\mathcal{O}S_{92}^4$	384	4	1	0	2	6	30	180	830	5460
$B\mathcal{O}S_{70}^4$	411	4	1	0	2	6	30	240	470	5040
$B\mathcal{O}S_{16}^4$	337	4	1	0	2	6	30	240	1190	7560
$B\mathcal{O}S_{52}^4$	464	4	1	0	2	6	54	60	830	2940
$B\mathcal{O}S_{71}^4$	390	4	1	0	2	6	54	120	1190	3780
$B\mathcal{O}S_{91}^4$	384	4	1	0	2	6	54	180	830	5460
$B\mathcal{O}S_{13}^4$	368	4	1	0	2	6	54	180	830	5880
$B\mathcal{O}S_{81}^4$	357	4	1	0	2	6	54	240	1190	6300
$\mathbb{P}^2 \times F_1$	432	3	1	0	2	12	6	180	920	1680
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times Q^3$	432	2	1	0	2	12	6	240	560	2520
$B\mathcal{O}S_{27}^4$	417	4	1	0	2	12	6	240	560	3360
$B\mathcal{O}S_{60}^4$	448	4	1	0	2	12	30	120	920	4620
$B\mathcal{O}S_{88}^4$	389	4	1	0	2	12	30	180	1280	5460
$B\mathcal{O}S_{35}^4$	369	4	1	0	2	12	30	180	1280	5460
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{2-30}^3$	368	3	1	0	2	12	30	240	1280	5040
$B\mathcal{O}S_{93}^4$	347	4	1	0	2	12	30	300	1280	7980
$B\mathcal{O}S_{85}^4$	352	4	1	0	2	12	54	240	1280	9660
$B\mathcal{O}S_{42}^4$	326	4	1	0	2	12	54	240	1640	10080
$B\mathcal{O}S_{51}^4$	480	4	1	0	2	18	6	180	1370	1260
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{2-28}^3$	320	3	1	0	2	18	30	360	2090	7560
$B\mathcal{O}S_{73}^4$	352	4	1	0	2	18	54	180	2090	11340
Str_2	240	2	1	0	2	30	54	600	6590	26040
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{2-36}^3$	496	3	1	0	4	0	36	60	400	3360

Continued on next page.

Continued from previous page.

X	$(-K_X)^4$	ρ_X	α_0	α_1	α_2	α_3	α_4	α_5	α_6	α_7
$B\emptyset S_{43}^4$	464	3	1	0	4	0	36	120	400	5040
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{3-29}^3$	400	4	1	0	4	0	60	60	1480	3360
$B\emptyset S_{36}^4$	384	4	1	0	4	0	60	120	1480	5040
$B\emptyset S_3^4$	558	4	1	0	4	6	36	120	490	3360
$B\emptyset S_{22}^4$	505	4	1	0	4	6	36	120	850	2100
$B\emptyset S_5^4$	478	4	1	0	4	6	36	180	490	5460
$B\emptyset S_9^4$	382	4	1	0	4	6	36	180	1210	6720
$B\emptyset S_{95}^4$	447	4	1	0	4	6	36	240	490	7140
$\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	432	3	1	0	4	6	36	240	490	7560
$B\emptyset S_{25}^4$	409	4	1	0	4	6	36	240	850	7140
$B\emptyset S_{100}^4$	415	4	1	0	4	6	60	120	1570	4620
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{3-30}^3$	400	4	1	0	4	6	60	180	1570	5460
$B\emptyset S_{34}^4$	369	4	1	0	4	6	60	180	1570	6720
$B\emptyset S_{56}^4$	405	5	1	0	4	6	60	240	1210	8400
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{3-26}^3$	368	4	1	0	4	6	60	240	1570	8820
$B\emptyset S_{102}^4$	367	4	1	0	4	6	60	240	1570	9660
$B\emptyset S_{44}^4$	351	4	1	0	4	6	60	240	1930	9660
$B\emptyset S_{48}^4$	442	5	1	0	4	6	84	120	1930	4620
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{3-22}^3$	320	4	1	0	4	6	84	300	2650	13440
$B\emptyset S_{29}^4$	310	5	1	0	4	6	84	360	2650	15120
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{3-31}^3$	416	4	1	0	4	12	36	360	940	8400
$F_1 \times F_1$	384	4	1	0	4	12	36	360	1300	8400
$S_7^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$	378	4	1	0	4	12	36	360	1300	9660
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{2-31}^3$	368	3	1	0	4	12	36	420	940	11760
$B\emptyset S_{54}^4$	405	5	1	0	4	12	60	300	1660	10080
$B\emptyset S_{58}^4$	373	5	1	0	4	12	60	300	2020	10080
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{3-25}^3$	352	4	1	0	4	12	60	360	2020	10920
$B\emptyset S_{66}^4$	332	5	1	0	4	12	60	360	2020	13440
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{3-23}^3$	336	4	1	0	4	12	60	420	2020	14280
$B\emptyset S_{28}^4$	321	5	1	0	4	12	60	420	2020	16380
$B\emptyset S_{65}^4$	331	5	1	0	4	12	84	420	2380	17640
$B\emptyset S_{80}^4$	325	5	1	0	4	12	84	420	2740	17640

Continued on next page.

Continued from previous page.

X	$(-K_X)^4$	ρ_X	α_0	α_1	α_2	α_3	α_4	α_5	α_6	α_7
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{3-19}^3$	304	4	1	0	4	12	84	480	3100	20160
$\text{B}\text{O}\text{S}_{50}^4$	394	5	1	0	4	18	36	480	1750	10500
$\text{B}\text{O}\text{S}_{68}^4$	363	5	1	0	4	18	36	480	2110	10500
$\text{B}\text{O}\text{S}_{59}^4$	341	5	1	0	4	18	60	480	2830	15540
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-27}^3$	304	3	1	0	4	18	60	600	2830	19740
$\text{B}\text{O}\text{S}_{53}^4$	330	5	1	0	4	18	84	480	3190	20580
$\text{B}\text{O}\text{S}_{69}^4$	310	5	1	0	4	18	84	480	3550	20580
$\text{B}\text{O}\text{S}_{84}^4$	299	5	1	0	4	18	84	600	3550	25620
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{3-14}^3$	256	4	1	0	4	18	132	780	6070	42420
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{3-9}^3$	208	4	1	0	4	36	228	1560	15340	122640
$\text{B}\text{O}\text{S}_{38}^4$	385	4	1	0	6	0	90	120	1860	7560
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times F_1$	384	4	1	0	6	6	90	300	1950	13020
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{4-13}^3$	368	5	1	0	6	6	114	240	3390	9660
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{3-24}^3$	336	4	1	0	6	6	114	300	3390	14280
$\text{B}\text{O}\text{S}_{33}^4$	305	5	1	0	6	6	114	360	3750	18480
$\text{B}\text{O}\text{S}_4^4$	364	5	1	0	6	12	90	420	2760	17220
$\text{B}\text{O}\text{S}_{23}^4$	354	5	1	0	6	12	90	480	2760	20160
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{4-12}^3$	352	5	1	0	6	12	90	540	2400	21420
$S_7^2 \times F_1$	336	5	1	0	6	12	90	540	2760	21420
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-29}^3$	320	3	1	0	6	12	90	600	2400	26040
$\text{B}\text{O}\text{S}_{96}^4$	334	5	1	0	6	12	114	480	3840	22680
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{4-10}^3$	320	5	1	0	6	12	114	540	3840	23940
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{3-20}^3$	304	4	1	0	6	12	114	600	3840	28560
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{3-17}^3$	288	4	1	0	6	12	138	600	5280	31080
$S_6^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$	324	5	1	0	6	18	90	720	3570	28980
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{3-18}^3$	288	4	1	0	6	18	114	840	4650	38220
$\text{B}\text{O}\text{S}_{57}^4$	298	6	1	0	6	18	138	780	5730	39480
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{3-16}^3$	272	4	1	0	6	18	138	900	6090	46620
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-25}^3$	256	3	1	0	6	24	114	1200	5820	57120
$\text{B}\text{O}\text{S}_{49}^4$	308	6	1	0	6	24	138	960	6180	46200
$\text{B}\text{O}\text{S}_{55}^4$	298	6	1	0	6	24	138	960	6540	46200
$\text{B}\text{O}\text{S}_{63}^4$	278	6	1	0	6	24	138	1080	6540	53760

Continued on next page.

Continued from previous page.

X	$(-K_X)^4$	ρ_X	α_0	α_1	α_2	α_3	α_4	α_5	α_6	α_7
$B\mathcal{O}S_{64}^4$	268	6	1	0	6	24	162	960	7980	53760
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{2-24}^3$	240	3	1	0	6	24	186	1260	10140	78120
$B\mathcal{O}S_{39}^4$	307	5	1	0	8	0	168	120	5120	10080
$S_7^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	336	5	1	0	8	6	168	360	5210	19740
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{3-21}^3$	304	4	1	0	8	6	192	360	7010	21000
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{4-9}^3$	304	5	1	0	8	12	168	720	5660	39480
$S_7^2 \times S_7^2$	294	6	1	0	8	12	168	720	6020	39480
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{4-8}^3$	288	5	1	0	8	12	192	720	7460	42000
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{2-26}^3$	272	3	1	0	8	12	192	780	7460	47880
$S_6^2 \times F_1$	288	6	1	0	8	18	168	1020	6830	54600
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{5-2}^3$	288	6	1	0	8	18	192	1020	7910	57120
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{4-7}^3$	272	5	1	0	8	18	192	1080	8270	63000
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{3-15}^3$	256	4	1	0	8	18	216	1140	10070	72660
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{4-5}^3$	256	5	1	0	8	24	216	1440	10880	89040
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{2-22}^3$	240	3	1	0	8	24	216	1560	11240	100800
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{3-13}^3$	240	4	1	0	8	24	240	1560	13040	105840
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{3-11}^3$	224	4	1	0	8	30	264	1980	16370	142800
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{2-18}^3$	192	3	1	0	8	48	360	3360	31040	295680
$B\mathcal{O}S_{40}^4$	230	6	1	0	10	0	270	240	10900	25200
$S_6^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	288	6	1	0	10	12	270	840	11080	55440
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{2-23}^3$	240	3	1	0	10	12	318	960	15760	74760
$S_6^2 \times S_7^2$	252	7	1	0	10	18	270	1320	12610	91560
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{4-6}^3$	256	5	1	0	10	18	294	1320	14050	94080
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{4-4}^3$	240	5	1	0	10	24	318	1800	17380	135240
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{2-21}^3$	224	3	1	0	10	24	342	1920	19900	154560
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{3-12}^3$	224	4	1	0	10	30	342	2340	21070	186060
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{2-19}^3$	208	3	1	0	10	30	342	2520	21430	208740
$\mathbb{P}^2 \times S_5^2$	270	6	1	0	10	36	270	2160	15040	134400
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{2-20}^3$	208	3	1	0	10	36	390	2940	27640	255360
$S_6^2 \times S_6^2$	216	8	1	0	12	24	396	2160	23160	186480
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{4-3}^3$	224	5	1	0	12	24	444	2160	26760	191520
$F_1 \times S_5^2$	240	7	1	0	12	36	396	2820	24060	219240
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times MM_{3-10}^3$	208	4	1	0	12	36	492	3360	35220	319200

Continued on next page.

Continued from previous page.

X	$(-K_X)^4$	ρ_X	α_0	α_1	α_2	α_3	α_4	α_5	α_6	α_7
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{5-1}^3$	224	6	1	0	12	42	468	3480	32430	300300
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-17}^3$	192	3	1	0	12	42	540	4140	43230	423360
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{3-7}^3$	192	4	1	0	12	48	564	4680	48000	486360
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-16}^3$	176	3	1	0	12	60	636	6120	63300	693000
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times S_5^2$	240	7	1	0	14	30	546	2760	33350	246540
$S_7^2 \times S_5^2$	210	8	1	0	14	36	546	3480	37040	330540
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-15}^3$	176	3	1	0	14	36	714	4320	59720	519120
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{4-2}^3$	208	5	1	0	14	42	618	4200	46490	425880
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{4-1}^3$	192	5	1	0	14	48	690	5280	59540	594720
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{3-8}^3$	192	4	1	0	14	54	690	5700	61070	631260
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times V_{22}^3$	176	2	1	0	14	60	786	6960	78800	859320
$S_6^2 \times S_5^2$	180	9	1	0	16	42	720	4920	58390	567840
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{3-6}^3$	176	4	1	0	16	66	936	8280	97630	1086540
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{3-12}^3$	160	3	1	0	16	72	1056	9840	122920	1428000
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-13}^3$	160	3	1	0	16	84	1104	11400	137860	1685040
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-11}^3$	144	3	1	0	16	108	1248	15600	188260	2538480
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-14}^3$	160	3	1	0	18	90	1302	13260	168570	2089080
$S_5^2 \times S_5^2$	150	10	1	0	20	60	1140	9120	121700	1377600
$S_4^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$	216	7	1	0	20	102	1188	11760	123050	1391880
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times V_{18}^3$	144	2	1	0	20	120	1788	20760	285680	3926160
Str_3	86	2	1	0	20	156	2700	41040	697700	12503400
$S_4^2 \times F_1$	192	8	1	0	22	102	1434	13740	160510	1881180
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{3-3}^3$	144	4	1	0	22	132	2058	24360	345280	4867800
$S_4^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	192	8	1	0	24	96	1704	14400	193920	2150400
$S_4^2 \times S_7^2$	168	9	1	0	24	102	1704	15720	205530	2452380
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{3-5}^3$	160	4	1	0	24	126	1992	21300	290130	3813600
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-9}^3$	128	3	1	0	24	174	2784	37680	578490	9059820
$S_4^2 \times S_6^2$	144	10	1	0	26	108	1998	19080	270440	3435600
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{3-4}^3$	144	4	1	0	26	156	2574	31080	457640	6657840
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times V_{16}^3$	128	2	1	0	26	192	3198	44160	700820	11249280
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-8}^3$	112	3	1	0	28	216	3900	58800	984520	17334240
$S_4^2 \times S_5^2$	120	11	1	0	30	126	2658	27720	439590	6247500
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-10}^3$	128	3	1	0	30	216	3858	54000	891660	14726880

Continued on next page.

Continued from previous page.

X	$(-K_X)^4$	ρ_X	α_0	α_1	α_2	α_3	α_4	α_5	α_6	α_7
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times V_{14}^3$	112	2	1	0	34	312	5910	97920	1820140	34520640
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-7}^3$	112	3	1	0	38	348	6954	117840	2268560	44336040
$S_4^2 \times S_4^2$	96	12	1	0	40	192	4776	59520	1120000	19138560
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-6}^3$	96	3	1	0	46	528	11826	238560	5341780	122340960
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times V_{12}^3$	96	2	1	0	50	600	13758	288480	6659420	157802400
$S_3^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$	162	8	1	0	54	498	9882	162000	2938770	54057780
$S_3^2 \times F_1$	144	9	1	0	56	498	10536	171900	3240110	60897480
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{3-1}^3$	96	4	1	0	56	672	16296	350400	8393600	205470720
$S_3^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	144	9	1	0	58	492	11214	178440	3502120	65938320
$S_3^2 \times S_7^2$	126	10	1	0	58	498	11214	181800	3561250	68151720
$S_3^2 \times S_6^2$	108	11	1	0	60	504	11916	195120	3962040	78104880
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{3-2}^3$	112	4	1	0	60	600	13884	259440	5613000	122354400
$S_3^2 \times S_5^2$	90	12	1	0	64	522	13392	225720	4887190	102194400
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-5}^3$	96	3	1	0	68	816	21012	465960	11662880	297392760
$S_3^2 \times S_4^2$	72	13	1	0	74	588	17550	319560	7862600	185440080
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times V_{10}^3$	80	2	1	0	80	1320	38688	1078320	32604200	1016215200
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-4}^3$	80	3	1	0	92	1518	47172	1357680	42774050	1385508600
$S_3^2 \times S_3^2$	54	14	1	0	108	984	37260	848880	26609400	804368880
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times V_8^3$	64	2	1	0	154	3840	159486	6504960	284808340	12889551360
$S_2^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$	108	9	1	0	276	6822	314532	12870000	570227370	25599296520
$S_2^2 \times F_1$	96	10	1	0	278	6822	317850	13006380	579688190	26140920540
$S_2^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	96	10	1	0	280	6816	321192	13126080	588430720	26621521920
$S_2^2 \times S_7^2$	84	11	1	0	280	6822	321192	13142760	589248730	26688271260
$S_2^2 \times S_6^2$	72	12	1	0	282	6828	324558	13295880	599727720	27308448720
$S_2^2 \times S_5^2$	60	13	1	0	286	6846	331362	13619400	621807910	28636256460
$S_2^2 \times S_4^2$	48	14	1	0	296	6912	348840	14492160	681885440	32334274560
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-3}^3$	64	3	1	0	302	8472	442194	21352560	1128405740	61403700960
$S_2^2 \times S_3^2$	36	15	1	0	330	7308	413838	18050760	935040840	48854892240
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times V_6^3$	48	2	1	0	398	17616	1221810	85572960	6386359700	493612489440
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-2}^3$	48	3	1	0	472	21216	1568424	115141440	9050108800	736102993920
$S_2^2 \times S_2^2$	24	16	1	0	552	13632	1086120	63331200	4672300800	350133073920
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times V_4^3$	32	2	1	0	1946	215808	35318526	5981882880	1074550170260	200205416839680
$S_1^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$	54	10	1	0	10260	2021286	618874020	184451042160	57876574021290	18570362883899400

Continued on next page.

Continued from previous page.

X	$(-K_X)^4$	ρ_X	α_0	α_1	α_2	α_3	α_4	α_5	α_6	α_7
$S_1^2 \times F_1$	48	11	1	0	10262	2021286	618997146	184491467820	57895141165310	18578110239211740
$S_1^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	48	11	1	0	10264	2021280	619120296	184531277760	57913469449600	18585729302999040
$S_1^2 \times S_7^2$	42	12	1	0	10264	2021286	619120296	184531893480	57913712003290	18585859292400540
$S_1^2 \times S_6^2$	36	13	1	0	10266	2021292	619243470	184572934920	57932529089640	18593740045797840
$S_1^2 \times S_5^2$	30	14	1	0	10270	2021310	619489890	184655634120	57970416902950	18609636764945100
$S_1^2 \times S_4^2$	24	15	1	0	10280	2021376	620106408	184864542720	58066057475840	18649867837440000
$S_1^2 \times S_3^2$	18	16	1	0	10314	2021772	622208142	185592555720	58399032538440	18790790073224400
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{MM}_{2-1}^3$	32	3	1	0	10382	2082840	650724306	199392674160	64624270834220	21530238491351520
$S_1^2 \times S_2^2$	12	17	1	0	10536	2028096	636179112	190741334400	60762986684160	19811992617768960
$S_1^2 \times S_1^2$	6	18	1	0	20520	4042560	1869353640	783667509120	387953543059200	204188081194137600
$\mathbb{P}^1 \times V_2^3$	16	2	1	0	68762	55200000	61055606526	71592493125120	88810659628444820	114429017109750013440

It appears from Table 5 as if the regularized quantum period might coincide for the pairs $\{\text{BOS}_6^4, \text{BOS}_{41}^4\}$ and $\{\text{BOS}_{35}^4, \text{BOS}_{88}^4\}$. This is not the case. The coefficients α_8, α_9 in these cases are:

X	α_8	α_9
BOS_6^4	14350	87360
BOS_{35}^4	32830	227640
BOS_{41}^4	10990	102480
BOS_{88}^4	32830	212520

Thus 10 terms of the Taylor expansion of the regularized quantum period suffice to distinguish all of the four-dimensional Fano manifolds considered in this paper.

APPENDIX B. QUANTUM DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS FOR FOUR-DIMENSIONAL FANO MANIFOLDS OF INDEX $r > 1$: NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this Appendix we record the quantum differential operators for all four-dimensional Fano manifolds of Fano index $r > 1$. These were computed numerically, as described in §9, from 500 terms of the Taylor expansion of the quantum period. They pass a number of strong consistency checks, and so we are reasonably confident that they are correct, but this has not been rigorously proven. We record also the local log-monodromies and ramification defect for the quantum local system, that is, for the local system of solutions to the regularized quantum differential equation. These are derived using exact computer algebra from the (numerically computed) operators L_X , as described in §9.

B.1. \mathbb{P}^4 .

[description p. 2, regularized quantum period p. 15]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(5t - 1)(625t^4 + 125t^3 + 25t^2 + 5t + 1)D^4 + 31250t^5D^3 + 109375t^5D^2 + 156250t^5D + 75000t^5$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \frac{1}{5} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 625t^4 + 125t^3 + 25t^2 + 5t + 1 = 0 \end{array}$$

The operator L_X is extremal.

B.2. Q^4 .

[description p. 2, regularized quantum period p. 15]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(32t^2 - 1)(32t^2 + 1)D^4 + 8192t^4D^3 + 23552t^4D^2 + 28672t^4D + 12288t^4$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 32t^2 - 1 = 0 \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 32t^2 + 1 = 0 \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \infty \end{array}$$

The operator L_X is extremal.

B.3. FI_1^4 .

[description p. 3, regularized quantum period p. 15]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(11664t^3 - 1)D^4 + 69984t^3D^3 + 142884t^3D^2 + 113724t^3D + 29160t^3$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at the roots of } 11664t^3 - 1 = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = \infty$$

The operator L_X is extremal.B.4. FI_2^4 .

[description p. 3, regularized quantum period p. 15]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(12t - 1)(144t^2 + 12t + 1)D^4 + 10368t^3D^3 + 21924t^3D^2 + 19116t^3D + 5832t^3$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = \frac{1}{12}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at the roots of } 144t^2 + 12t + 1 = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = \infty$$

The operator L_X is extremal.B.5. FI_3^4 .

[description p. 3, regularized quantum period p. 15]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(9t - 1)(81t^2 + 9t + 1)D^4 + 4374t^3D^3 + 9477t^3D^2 + 8748t^3D + 2916t^3$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = \frac{1}{9}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at the roots of } 81t^2 + 9t + 1 = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = \infty$$

The operator L_X is extremal.

B.6. FI_4^4 .

[description p. 3, regularized quantum period p. 15]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(432t^3 - 1)D^4 + 2592t^3D^3 + 5724t^3D^2 + 5508t^3D + 1944t^3$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at the roots of } 432t^3 - 1 = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = \infty$$

The operator L_X is extremal.

B.7. FI_5^4 .

[description p. 3, regularized quantum period p. 15]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(729t^6 + 297t^3 - 1)D^4 + 162t^3(54t^3 + 11)D^3 + 27t^3(1323t^3 + 148)D^2 + 81t^3(702t^3 + 49)D + 1458t^3(20t^3 + 1)$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at the roots of } 729t^6 + 297t^3 - 1 = 0$$

The ramification defect of L_X is 1.

B.8. $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$.

[description p. 3, regularized quantum period p. 15]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(3t + 1)(6t - 1)(9t^2 - 3t + 1)(36t^2 + 6t + 1)D^4 + 162t^3(432t^3 + 7)D^3 + 27t^3(10584t^3 + 95)D^2 + 1296t^3(351t^3 + 2)D + 972t^3(240t^3 + 1)$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = \frac{1}{6}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = -\frac{1}{3}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at the roots of } 9t^2 - 3t + 1 = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at the roots of } 36t^2 + 6t + 1 = 0$$

The ramification defect of L_X is 1.

B.9. V_2^4 .

[description p. 3, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(6912t^2 - 1)D^4 + 27648t^2D^3 + 38400t^2D^2 + 21504t^2D + 3840t^2$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at the roots of } 6912t^2 - 1 = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = \infty$$

The operator L_X is extremal.B.10. V_4^4 .

[description p. 3, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(32t - 1)(32t + 1)D^4 + 4096t^2D^3 + 5888t^2D^2 + 3584t^2D + 768t^2$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = \frac{1}{32}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = -\frac{1}{32}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = \infty$$

The operator L_X is extremal.B.11. V_6^4 .

[description p. 4, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(432t^2 - 1)D^4 + 1728t^2D^3 + 2544t^2D^2 + 1632t^2D + 384t^2$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at the roots of } 432t^2 - 1 = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at } t = \infty$$

The operator L_X is extremal.

B.12. V_8^4 .

[description p. 4, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(16t - 1)(16t + 1)D^4 + 1024t^2D^3 + 1536t^2D^2 + 1024t^2D + 256t^2$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \frac{1}{16} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = -\frac{1}{16} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \infty \end{aligned}$$

 The operator L_X is extremal.

 B.13. V_{10}^4 .

[description p. 4, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(256t^4 + 176t^2 - 1)D^4 + 64t^2(32t^2 + 11)D^3 + 16t^2(352t^2 + 67)D^2 + 32t^2(192t^2 + 23)D + 192t^2(12t^2 + 1)$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 256t^4 + 176t^2 - 1 = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \infty \end{aligned}$$

 The ramification defect of L_X is 1.

 B.14. V_{12}^4 .

[description p. 4, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(4t^2 - 12t + 1)(4t^2 + 12t + 1)D^4 + 32t^2(4t^2 - 17)D^3 + 8t^2(46t^2 - 105)D^2 + 16t^2(28t^2 - 37)D + 32t^2(6t^2 - 5)$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 4t^2 - 12t + 1 = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 4t^2 + 12t + 1 = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \infty \end{aligned}$$

 The operator L_X is extremal.

B.15. V_{14}^4 . [description p. 4, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(4t^2 + 1)(108t^2 - 1)D^4 + 32t^2(108t^2 + 13)D^3 + 24t^2(406t^2 + 27)D^2 + 16t^2(708t^2 + 29)D + 128t^2(36t^2 + 1)$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 108t^2 - 1 = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 4t^2 + 1 = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \infty \end{aligned}$$

The ramification defect of L_X is 1.

B.16. V_{16}^4 . [description p. 4, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(16t^2 - 8t - 1)(16t^2 + 8t - 1)D^4 + 128t^2(16t^2 - 3)D^3 + 32t^2(184t^2 - 19)D^2 + 448t^2(4t - 1)(4t + 1)D + 128t^2(24t^2 - 1)$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 16t^2 - 8t - 1 = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 16t^2 + 8t - 1 = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \infty \end{aligned}$$

The operator L_X is extremal.

B.17. V_{18}^4 . [description p. 4, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(432t^4 + 72t^2 - 1)D^4 + 288t^2(12t^2 + 1)D^3 + 24t^2(414t^2 + 19)D^2 + 336t^2(36t^2 + 1)D + 96t^2(54t^2 + 1)$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 432t^4 + 72t^2 - 1 = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \infty \end{aligned}$$

The operator L_X is extremal.

B.18. MW_1^4 .

[description p. 5, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (2t-1)^2(2t+1)^2(1724t^2-4t-1)(1724t^2+4t-1) \\
 & \quad (1384128950480t^6 - 34997928616t^4 - 263676995t^2 + 9409)D^6 \\
 & + 2(2t-1)(2t+1)(181010493290961157120t^{12} - 17468834144875533568t^{10} + 374429340495784832t^8 + \\
 & \quad 3959280486757728t^6 - 1227737299988t^4 - 725619617t^2 + 18818)D^5 \\
 & + 4(3126544884116601804800t^{14} - 725557954486979610624t^{12} + 31166631689741025792t^{10} - \\
 & \quad 440963660134839040t^8 - 7399870298607304t^6 - 348759582360t^4 - 504354223t^2 + 9409)D^4 \\
 & + 8t^2(6746754749935824947200t^{12} - 1095289161198143939072t^{10} + 33852557194447324800t^8 - \\
 & \quad 138056179574882528t^6 - 6267098983057824t^4 - 620133376448t^2 - 10735669)D^3 \\
 & + 32t^2(3803277296533945221760t^{12} - 460852243532660846400t^{10} + 13408867107650109352t^8 + \\
 & \quad 72880113460188392t^6 - 1247120973283936t^4 - 144892007990t^2 - 573949)D^2 \\
 & + 256t^4(524004808703094940640t^{10} - 51043969670376668752t^8 + 1573801437077923102t^6 + \\
 & \quad 16338545311012128t^4 - 54334824441981t^2 - 5942952755)D \\
 & + 1536t^4(35996404915816139200t^{10} - 3025511420019920960t^8 + \\
 & \quad 99559010182515260t^6 + 1234528802429310t^4 - 1125770982819t^2 - 89024854)
 \end{aligned}$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{5}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6} \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \frac{1}{2} \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{5}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6} \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = -\frac{1}{2} \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 1724t^2 - 4t - 1 = 0 \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 1724t^2 + 4t - 1 = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

The operator L_X is extremal.

B.19. MW_2^4 .

[description p. 5, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2t-1)^2(2t+1)^2(14t-1)(14t+1)(18t-1)(18t+1)(7544656t^6 - 3112t^4 - 6667t^2 + 1)D^6 \\
& + 2(2t-1)(2t+1) \\
& \quad (21081096723456t^{12} - 1556683668736t^{10} - 25006224512t^8 + 2282941792t^6 - 4014452t^4 - 18937t^2 + 2)D^5 \\
& + 4(364128034314240t^{14} - 74616093755392t^{12} + 1020882362880t^{10} + \\
& \quad 104092887296t^8 - 4150928136t^6 - 1064664t^4 - 12743t^2 + 1)D^4 \\
& + 8t^2(785749968783360t^{12} - 103037075309056t^{10} - 578957540736t^8 + \\
& \quad 167061307168t^6 - 3800819360t^4 - 2728128t^2 - 205)D^3 \\
& + 32t^2(442942589109888t^{12} - 38313217780544t^{10} - 591376611224t^8 + \\
& \quad 79457857384t^6 - 864235264t^4 - 697078t^2 - 13)D^2 \\
& + 256t^4(61027379435232t^{10} - 3662113129808t^8 - 80739092050t^6 + 9991256448t^4 - 46797421t^2 - 33179)D \\
& \quad + 7680t^4(838452710592t^{10} - 37503518528t^8 - 1048500436t^6 + 130762470t^4 - 249799t^2 - 110)
\end{aligned}$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\
& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \frac{1}{2} \\
& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \frac{1}{14} \\
& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \frac{1}{18} \\
& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = -\frac{1}{18} \\
& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = -\frac{1}{14} \\
& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = -\frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

The operator L_X is extremal.

B.20. MW_3^4 .

[description p. 5, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (2t-1)^2(2t+1)^2(104t^2-4t-1)(104t^2+4t-1)(124883200t^6+3445552t^4-190621t^2+50)D^6 \\
 & + 2(2t-1)(2t+1)(59432414412800t^{12}-3046657163264t^{10}-307452667136t^8 \\
 & \quad + 12555781056t^6-36422116t^4-548263t^2+100)D^5 \\
 & + 4(1026559885312000t^{14}-181195540611072t^{12}-5355282845184t^{10}+794966941312t^8 \\
 & \quad -20516326820t^6-1544700t^4-364427t^2+50)D^4 \\
 & + 8t^2(2215208173568000t^{12}-216948202172416t^{10}-15687219459072t^8 \\
 & \quad + 1005364344896t^6-20392735992t^4-36932632t^2-5075)D^3 \\
 & + 64t^2(624378035507200t^{12}-30899527376640t^{10}-4029754891664t^8 \\
 & \quad + 205143060452t^6-2542262335t^4-5022410t^2-175)D^2 \\
 & + 256t^4(172050086041600t^{10}-3462364820096t^8 \\
 & \quad - 968115899896t^6+47585432988t^4-312087909t^2-517438)D \\
 & + 1536t^4(11818946048000t^{10}-33971342080t^8-59641497680t^6+2994403590t^4-9592347t^2-9140)
 \end{aligned}$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{5}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6} \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \frac{1}{2} \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{5}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6} \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = -\frac{1}{2} \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 104t^2 - 4t - 1 = 0 \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 104t^2 + 4t - 1 = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

 The operator L_X is extremal.

B.21. MW_4^4 .

[description p. 5, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(16t^2 + 1)(128t^2 - 1)D^4 + 64t^2(256t^2 + 7)D^3 + 16t^2(2816t^2 + 43)D^2 + 96t^2(512t^2 + 5)D + 128t^2(144t^2 + 1)$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{at } t = 0 \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{at the roots of } 128t^2 - 1 = 0 \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{at the roots of } 16t^2 + 1 = 0 \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{at } t = \infty \end{aligned}$$

The ramification defect of L_X is 1.B.22. MW_5^4 .

[description p. 6, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$\begin{aligned} & (32t^4 - 144t^3 + 40t^2 - 12t + 1)(32t^4 + 144t^3 + 40t^2 + 12t + 1) \\ & \quad (1379024896t^8 - 181690112t^6 + 32203856t^4 + 160775t^2 + 136)D^6 \\ & + 2(14121214935040t^{16} - 152437496479744t^{14} + 18570092085248t^{12} - 3527276827648t^{10} - \\ & \quad 277037824256t^8 - 556812800t^6 - 140567296t^4 - 499733t^2 - 272)D^5 \\ & + 4(54366677499904t^{16} - 340827602288640t^{14} + 64054093561856t^{12} - 13315483720192t^{10} \\ & \quad - 406200526464t^8 - 986296160t^6 + 122964452t^4 + 370629t^2 + 136)D^4 \\ & + 8t^2(103084869025792t^{14} - 369261049675776t^{12} + 91720177627136t^{10} - 21127821675520t^8 \\ & \quad - 305989253824t^6 - 1259677440t^4 - 1614768t^2 - 5831)D^3 \\ & + 128t^2(12720125640704t^{14} - 26591028115456t^{12} + 8287153333632t^{10} \\ & \quad - 2016286904136t^8 - 15422020074t^6 - 337096385t^4 - 533452t^2 - 102)D^2 \\ & + 256t^4(6200095932416t^{12} - 7989995554816t^{10} + 3020985441920t^8 \\ & \quad - 739274260600t^6 - 4109085596t^4 - 179641501t^2 - 331640)D \\ & + 1536t^4(386126970880t^{12} - 333456404480t^{10} + 147420386560t^8 \\ & \quad - 34919816144t^6 - 204213358t^4 - 10473275t^2 - 20944) \end{aligned}$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{at } t = 0 \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{at the roots of } 32t^4 - 144t^3 + 40t^2 - 12t + 1 = 0 \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{at the roots of } 32t^4 + 144t^3 + 40t^2 + 12t + 1 = 0 \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{at } t = \infty \end{aligned}$$

The ramification defect of L_X is 1.

B.23. MW_6^4 .

[description p. 6, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (2t-1)^2(2t+1)^2(6t-1)(6t+1)(10t-1)(10t+1)(1433040t^6 + 80728t^4 - 2579t^2 + 1)D^6 \\
 & + 2(2t-1)(2t+1)(226993536000t^{12} - 6984049920t^{10} \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad - 2174297216t^8 + 67707232t^6 - 106452t^4 - 7441t^2 + 2)D^5 \\
 & + 4(3920797440000t^{14} - 584516290560t^{12} - 52264472064t^{10} \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad + 4812821760t^8 - 86750536t^6 + 175464t^4 - 4911t^2 + 1)D^4 \\
 & + 8t^2(8460668160000t^{12} - 550314631680t^{10} - 112753881472t^8 \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad + 5245181728t^6 - 97715360t^4 - 329920t^2 - 69)D^3 \\
 & + 32t^2(4769443728000t^{12} - 59034734400t^{10} - 53369502424t^8 \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad + 1881440232t^6 - 26802560t^4 - 94678t^2 - 5)D^2 \\
 & + 768t^4(219040164000t^{10} + 4402781840t^8 - 2035415446t^6 + 67181120t^4 - 620103t^2 - 1737)D \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad + 4608t^4(15046920000t^{10} + 593723200t^8 - 120630700t^6 + 4065994t^4 - 22137t^2 - 34)
 \end{aligned}$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \frac{1}{2} \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \frac{1}{6} \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \frac{1}{10} \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = -\frac{1}{10} \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = -\frac{1}{6} \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = -\frac{1}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

The operator L_X is extremal.

B.24. MW_7^4 .

[description p. 6, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$(8t - 1)(8t + 1)(16t^2 + 1)D^4 + 64t^2(128t^2 + 3)D^3 + 16t^2(1456t^2 + 19)D^2 + 32t^2(864t^2 + 7)D + 64t^2(180t^2 + 1)$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \frac{1}{8} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = -\frac{1}{8} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 16t^2 + 1 = 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \infty \end{array}$$

The ramification defect of L_X is 1.B.25. MW_8^4 .

[description p. 6, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$\begin{aligned} & (2t - 1)(2t + 1)(8000t^6 + 528t^4 + 60t^2 - 1)(64404500t^8 - 1791160t^6 + 729408t^4 + 2144t^2 + 5)D^6 \\ & + 4(1030472000000t^{16} - 1452885248000t^{14} + 158410065280t^{12} \\ & \quad - 11837396096t^{10} - 726357052t^8 + 2787020t^6 - 1531744t^4 - 3536t^2 - 5)D^5 \\ & + 4(79346344000000t^{16} - 7715142848000t^{14} + 1509213811040t^{12} \\ & \quad - 96608493568t^{10} - 1083561820t^8 + 48291628t^6 + 2808508t^4 + 5602t^2 + 5)D^4 \\ & + 32t^2(37612228000000t^{14} - 2748389976000t^{12} + 827208001440t^{10} \\ & \quad - 40569448408t^8 - 339211968t^6 + 5857560t^4 - 32366t^2 - 51)D^3 \\ & + 32t^2(74258388500000t^{14} - 4572988268000t^{12} + 1872888803480t^{10} \\ & \quad - 62657669272t^8 - 239219588t^6 - 13755238t^4 - 70290t^2 - 15)D^2 \\ & + 512t^4(4524416125000t^{12} - 258077892500t^{10} + 128903007200t^8 \\ & \quad - 2846763420t^6 - 5734916t^4 - 1401952t^2 - 4225)D \\ & + 3072t^4(281769687500t^{12} - 15710668750t^{10} + 8827474000t^8 - 131446365t^6 - 200152t^4 - 95918t^2 - 245) \end{aligned}$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\
 \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \frac{1}{2} \\
 \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = -\frac{1}{2} \\
 \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 8000t^6 + 528t^4 + 60t^2 - 1 = 0 \\
 \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \infty
 \end{array}$$

The ramification defect of L_X is 1.

B.26. MW_9^4 .

[description p. 6, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (176t^4 - 144t^3 + 20t^2 + 8t - 1)(176t^4 + 144t^3 + 20t^2 - 8t - 1)(2109888t^6 + 174528t^4 - 2941t^2 + 2)D^6 \\
 & + 2(718914797568t^{14} - 137405030400t^{12} - 5419619584t^{10} \\
 & \quad + 2224774528t^8 - 45409520t^6 - 364024t^4 + 8407t^2 - 4)D^5 \\
 & + 4(3104404807680t^{14} - 245927227392t^{12} - 51116043392t^{10} \\
 & \quad + 3834427616t^8 - 24121292t^6 + 553996t^4 - 5471t^2 + 2)D^4 \\
 & + 8t^2(669897879520t^{12} - 43313504256t^{10} - 101803764992t^8 \\
 & \quad + 3311154624t^6 - 46433864t^4 - 300504t^2 - 107)D^3 \\
 & + 64t^2(1888172529408t^{12} + 75804905088t^{10} - 23177683476t^8 + 452012856t^6 - 8043103t^4 - 46340t^2 - 4)D^2 \\
 & \quad + 4608t^4(28905231168t^{10} + 2010341344t^8 - 284249759t^6 + 4423780t^4 - 79284t^2 - 316)D \\
 & \quad + 55296t^4(992819520t^{10} + 86769760t^8 - 8133271t^6 + 123438t^4 - 1829t^2 - 4)
 \end{aligned}$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\
 \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 176t^4 - 144t^3 + 20t^2 + 8t - 1 = 0 \\
 \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 176t^4 + 144t^3 + 20t^2 - 8t - 1 = 0
 \end{array}$$

The operator L_X is extremal.

B.27. MW_{10}^4 .

[description p. 6, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (4t^2 + 1)(16384t^6 + 512t^4 + 44t^2 - 1)(1473757184t^8 + 13746176t^6 + 7592448t^4 + 46808t^2 + 55)D^6 \\
& + 4(482920754053120t^{16} + 86447658893312t^{14} + 4886210281472t^{12} + 534951231488t^{10} \\
& \quad + 18501230592t^8 + 119944000t^6 + 16234576t^4 + 72412t^2 + 55)D^5 \\
& + 4(3718489806209024t^{16} + 396693178679296t^{14} + 31496439267328t^{12} + 3577124749312t^{10} \\
& \quad + 37524877312t^8 - 202496928t^6 - 28001956t^4 - 105906t^2 - 55)D^4 \\
& + 16t^2(3525321504587776t^{14} + 219939516448768t^{12} + 31919813689344t^{10} \\
& \quad + 2870602727424t^8 + 30098031616t^6 + 29514768t^4 + 38264t^2 + 755)D^3 \\
& + 64t^2(1740023841947648t^{14} + 63218454626304t^{12} + 17856939016192t^{10} \\
& \quad + 1163732019200t^8 + 10148827776t^6 + 77992960t^4 + 145739t^2 + 55)D^2 \\
& + 256t^4(424064787152896t^{12} + 8915881033728t^{10} + 4878831042560t^8 \\
& \quad + 233990370304t^6 + 2025100864t^4 + 24310608t^2 + 53911)D \\
& + 30720t^4(1320486436864t^{12} + 15835594752t^{10} + 16557547520t^8 + 617585152t^6 + 5801632t^4 + 78192t^2 + 187)
\end{aligned}$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\
& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 4t^2 + 1 = 0 \\
& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 16384t^6 + 512t^4 + 44t^2 - 1 = 0 \\
& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \infty
\end{aligned}$$

The ramification defect of L_X is 1.B.28. MW_{11}^4 .

[description p. 7, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (176t^4 - 96t^3 + 8t^2 - 4t - 1)(176t^4 + 96t^3 + 8t^2 + 4t - 1)(46963840t^6 - 6320080t^4 + 10817t^2 - 60)D^6 \\
& + 2(16002270986240t^{14} - 4453229608960t^{12} + 179162526976t^{10} + 27549781760t^8 \\
& \quad - 288092960t^6 + 25314336t^4 - 28611t^2 + 120)D^5 \\
& + 4(69100715622400t^{14} - 16714357862400t^{12} + 879319798400t^{10} \\
& \quad + 38172435520t^8 - 54159304t^6 - 23633284t^4 + 6819t^2 - 60)D^4 \\
& + 8t^2(149112070553600t^{12} - 33265162726400t^{10} + 1526941953280t^8 \\
& \quad + 17140032640t^6 - 188273072t^4 + 3160464t^2 + 1615)D^3 \\
& + 128t^2(21014345918720t^{12} - 4511525020640t^{10} + 157602014826t^8 \\
& \quad - 362882280t^6 + 22427697t^4 + 282960t^2 + 30)D^2 \\
& + 512t^4(5790594508160t^{10} - 1225795140240t^8 + 31283552519t^6 - 265518840t^4 + 10109387t^2 + 49308)D \\
& + 15360t^4(79556744960t^{10} - 16784238240t^8 + 319629734t^6 - 3585072t^4 + 146945t^2 + 456)
\end{aligned}$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{at } t = 0 \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{at the roots of } 176t^4 - 96t^3 + 8t^2 - 4t - 1 = 0 \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{at the roots of } 176t^4 + 96t^3 + 8t^2 + 4t - 1 = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

The operator L_X is extremal.

B.29. MW_{12}^4 .

[description p. 10, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (16t^4 + 44t^2 - 1)(432t^4 + 36t^2 + 1)(314924112t^8 - 117964512t^6 + 14238144t^4 + 164850t^2 + 221)D^6 \\
 & + 4(10883777310720t^{16} + 14017882540032t^{14} - 7127443839744t^{12} \\
 & \quad + 913572411264t^{10} + 49215528432t^8 + 208091040t^6 + 29910072t^4 + 249043t^2 + 221)D^5 \\
 & + 4(83805085292544t^{16} + 42638961696768t^{14} - 38573795723328t^{12} + 6607519967520t^{10} \\
 & \quad + 197257285008t^8 + 2651761872t^6 - 52981980t^4 - 386208t^2 - 221)D^4 \\
 & + 16t^2(79451574368256t^{14} + 656487804672t^{12} - 24209536131072t^{10} \\
 & \quad + 5352527374416t^8 + 119397810240t^6 + 1063433832t^4 + 1841528t^2 + 1513)D^3 \\
 & + 32t^2(78431220245376t^{14} - 24146078481216t^{12} - 14886119891520t^{10} \\
 & \quad + 4353594087744t^8 + 89900843112t^6 + 822085824t^4 + 1734954t^2 + 221)D^2 \\
 & + 2304t^4(1061924105664t^{12} - 538846899984t^{10} - 114223084416t^8 \\
 & \quad + 48172072716t^6 + 1084223724t^4 + 9219514t^2 + 22737)D \\
 & + 27648t^4(33067031760t^{12} - 20911507560t^{10} - 1683208512t^8 + 1243973394t^6 + 32605206t^4 + 248744t^2 + 663)
 \end{aligned}$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{at } t = 0 \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{at the roots of } 432t^4 + 36t^2 + 1 = 0 \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{at the roots of } 16t^4 + 44t^2 - 1 = 0 \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{at } t = \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

The ramification defect of L_X is 1.

B.30. MW_{13}^4 . [description p. 10, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2t-1)(2t+1)(136t^3-20t^2+6t-1)(136t^3+20t^2+6t+1)(66683996t^6-1058780t^4-19394t^2+15)D^6 \\
& + 4(27134518180352t^{14}-3636686279168t^{12}-76696829376t^{10} \\
& \quad + 3834603264t^8-107218310t^6+2061400t^4+29091t^2-15)D^5 \\
& + 4(234343566103040t^{14}-21804386465792t^{12}+102596245216t^{10} \\
& \quad + 15956069184t^8+542705432t^6-1694520t^4-36762t^2+15)D^4 \\
& + 32t^2(126422186976640t^{12}-8667906294144t^{10}+129634375864t^8 \\
& \quad + 2690207848t^6+17651600t^4-204240t^2-49)D^3 \\
& + 32t^2(285066614292448t^{12}-15534154687040t^{10}+251203935368t^8 \\
& \quad - 291393828t^6-1136928t^4-258660t^2-15)D^2 \\
& + 128t^4(78551346664144t^{10}-3663416463632t^8+48257529676t^6-820898270t^4-4423658t^2-37545)D \\
& + 3072t^4(1349017239080t^{10}-57143052340t^8+533807468t^6-19445050t^4-103234t^2-345)
\end{aligned}$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\
& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \frac{1}{2} \\
& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = -\frac{1}{2} \\
& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 136t^3-20t^2+6t-1=0 \\
& \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 136t^3+20t^2+6t+1=0
\end{aligned}$$

The operator L_X is extremal.

B.31. MW_{14}^4 . [description p. 10, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2t-1)(2t+1)(6t-1)(6t+1)(20t^2-4t+1)(20t^2+4t+1)(127920t^6-45016t^4-293t^2-1)D^6 \\
& + 2(81050112000t^{14}-42347458560t^{12}+4138403072t^{10} \\
& \quad + 109225472t^8+95280t^6+187248t^4+911t^2+2)D^5 \\
& + 4(34998912000t^{14}-177028331520t^{12}+12857605632t^{10} \\
& \quad + 227619584t^8+1578760t^6-171496t^4-769t^2-1)D^4 \\
& + 8t^2(755239680000t^{12}-383806594560t^{10}+19129972096t^8 \\
& \quad + 278237408t^6+1853984t^4+10304t^2+13)D^3 \\
& + 32t^2(425743344000t^{12}-221217604800t^{10}+7159648792t^8+109530520t^6+1224240t^4+4582t^2+1)D^2 \\
& + 768t^4(19552572000t^{10}-10422296720t^8+211812198t^6+4163952t^4+55827t^2+173)D \\
& + 23040t^4(268632000t^{10}-146189120t^8+1864348t^6+52366t^4+729t^2+2)
\end{aligned}$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\
 \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \frac{1}{2} \\
 \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \frac{1}{6} \\
 \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = -\frac{1}{6} \\
 \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = -\frac{1}{2} \\
 \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 20t^2 - 4t + 1 = 0 \\
 \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 20t^2 + 4t + 1 = 0
 \end{array}$$

The operator L_X is extremal.

B.32. MW_{15}^4 .

[description p. 10, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (416t^4 - 144t^3 + 8t^2 + 4t - 1)(416t^4 + 144t^3 + 8t^2 - 4t - 1)(119179008t^6 + 10942640t^4 + 192779t^2 + 980)D^6 \\
 & + 2(226871066492928t^{14} + 10977991065600t^{12} - 661584438272t^{10} \\
 & \quad - 1433003264t^8 - 363743360t^6 - 47681568t^4 - 641057t^2 - 1960)D^5 \\
 & + 4(979670514401280t^{14} + 74598187089920t^{12} - 1635236114944t^{10} \\
 & \quad - 46841533568t^8 + 1268915136t^6 + 55009348t^4 + 503977t^2 + 980)D^4 \\
 & + 8t^2(2114025846865920t^{12} + 203938713538560t^{10} - 1101057117184t^8 \\
 & \quad - 117410965440t^6 - 475968000t^4 + 3889200t^2 - 12299)D^3 \\
 & + 128t^2(297929404790784t^{12} + 33127871570560t^{10} + 111493204952t^8 \\
 & \quad - 15417741170t^6 - 129552291t^4 + 148450t^2 - 245)D^2 \\
 & + 256t^4(164191489173504t^{10} + 19958501546880t^8 + 167108388648t^6 - 7614297740t^4 - 82910507t^2 - 24740)D \\
 & + 1536t^4(11279101317120t^{10} + 1447829510400t^8 + 16271407536t^6 - 474232070t^4 - 5907869t^2 - 4760)
 \end{aligned}$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{array}{l}
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 416t^4 - 144t^3 + 8t^2 + 4t - 1 = 0 \\
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 416t^4 + 144t^3 + 8t^2 - 4t - 1 = 0
\end{array}$$

The operator L_X is extremal.

B.33. MW_{16}^4 .

[description p. 11, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (8t^2 - 4t + 1)(8t^2 + 4t + 1)(28t^2 - 4t - 1)(28t^2 + 4t - 1)(1245440t^6 + 159472t^4 - 353t^2 + 2)D^6 \\
& + 2(687403171840t^{14} + 55847092224t^{12} - 2940634112t^{10} \\
& \quad + 646645248t^8 - 7816080t^6 - 602296t^4 + 771t^2 - 4)D^5 \\
& + 4(2968331878400t^{14} + 342433251328t^{12} - 16569335296t^{10} \\
& \quad + 962246144t^8 + 20691276t^6 + 609636t^4 - 327t^2 + 2)D^4 \\
& + 8t^2(6405347737600t^{12} + 905030160384t^{10} - 30840349696t^8 + 529133120t^6 + 4111656t^4 - 69016t^2 - 79)D^3 \\
& + 64t^2(1805409751040t^{12} + 290148947200t^{10} - 6893123664t^8 + 13678020t^6 - 1333787t^4 - 13834t^2 - 3)D^2 \\
& + 256t^4(497488517120t^{10} + 86925519744t^8 - 1508603672t^6 - 6132868t^4 - 554137t^2 - 2494)D \\
& + 1536t^4(34174873600t^{10} + 6291237120t^8 - 85724560t^6 - 530810t^4 - 38831t^2 - 116)
\end{aligned}$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{array}{l}
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 8t^2 - 4t + 1 = 0 \\
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 28t^2 - 4t - 1 = 0 \\
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 28t^2 + 4t - 1 = 0 \\
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 8t^2 + 4t + 1 = 0
\end{array}$$

The operator L_X is extremal.

B.34. MW_{17}^4 .

[description p. 11, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (2752t^6 - 1152t^5 + 224t^4 + 96t^3 - 52t^2 + 12t - 1)(2752t^6 + 1152t^5 + 224t^4 - 96t^3 - 52t^2 - 12t - 1) \\
 & (4516691026120601600t^{18} + 10894175535784019520t^{16} + 161057014788668272t^{14} + 223186423846901825t^{12} \\
 & \quad + 1489656860655194t^{10} - 37076036387883t^8 - 944190030122t^6 + 1145046509t^4 + 34077463t^2 + 3136)D^8 \\
 & + 2(718350728614858094700134400t^{30} + 1808773177510341584824565760t^{28} \\
 & \quad + 11029946474586078383243264t^{26} + 38551285621538701581713408t^{24} - 573876948723528931270656t^{22} \\
 & \quad - 55410907815277159905280t^{20} - 10868619181236655325696t^{18} - 205717988100675369984t^{16} \\
 & \quad + 54690133059712073568t^{14} - 2288987306843185052t^{12} - 22309755134355486t^{10} \\
 & \quad + 253350406694334t^8 + 8580745232122t^6 - 7798176720t^4 - 238542241t^2 - 18816)D^7 \\
 & + 4(6234258109050375607576166400t^{30} + 16563148489700147386089144320t^{28} \\
 & \quad + 153884025675978814793531392t^{26} + 396376397592542781690715136t^{24} - 244086311073605059692544t^{22} \\
 & \quad - 293272503356977770941696t^{20} - 34041797107502106577312t^{18} + 652775043341067168068t^{16} \\
 & \quad - 44917328848849757800t^{14} + 11574061561240458564t^{12} + 61805608644992588t^{10} \\
 & \quad - 1336778298658238t^8 - 25795200795638t^6 + 35399715688t^4 + 581693399t^2 + 40768)D^6 \\
 & + 8(29067549125736936474830438400t^{30} + 81851870301201769764088381440t^{28} \\
 & \quad + 939457832323447863369383936t^{26} + 2148139133199504182578666496t^{24} + 5371023638579317537625088t^{22} \\
 & \quad - 957869720387013465562880t^{20} - 5020278555805201268384t^{18} - 1791882536502223335404t^{16} \\
 & \quad - 85650713028232429760t^{14} - 20843283163258659946t^{12} - 101280721448407430t^{10} \\
 & \quad + 1741410204251200t^8 + 30185303650830t^6 - 49184868070t^4 - 583650151t^2 - 37632)D^5 \\
 & + 16(79230237058744125391346073600t^{30} + 236991057245518887863871979520t^{28} \\
 & \quad + 3064756009927980485218619392t^{26} + 6725558154012574042351982336t^{24} + 25714515380315969257756928t^{22} \\
 & \quad - 2214587183336657609276512t^{20} + 14905942833336792786992t^{18} + 2425296396558205778092t^{16} \\
 & \quad + 70030906648265241400t^{14} + 13039662696372133523t^{12} + 68594134702584874t^{10} \\
 & \quad - 642583292426727t^8 - 12336212241784t^6 + 23535298811t^4 + 206616410t^2 + 12544)D^4 \\
 & + 64t^2(64244286367595768799677644800t^{28} + 203835202251539030078676664320t^{26} \\
 & \quad + 2826396757245234563525490688t^{24} + 6176058394659175094246477056t^{22} + 30060979005675148672022400t^{20} \\
 & \quad - 1750848106552043666387424t^{18} - 31680726103451488064224t^{16} + 328919199593772520366t^{14} \\
 & \quad + 33052797280494574660t^{12} - 311682535251628245t^{10} - 3943292702734802t^8 \\
 & \quad - 5555362326911t^6 + 105428531260t^4 - 716272453t^2 - 28448)D^3 \\
 & + 512t^2(15009134071247813042455347200t^{28} + 50222389883894861028705538560t^{26} \\
 & \quad + 725335071957348016538310016t^{24} + 1603230224901344022068592328t^{22} + 9154893897382658634810352t^{20} \\
 & \quad - 428192374996045407448412t^{18} - 15519523249001954206792t^{16} + 52781806444610795042t^{14} \\
 & \quad - 1276462080564820298t^{12} - 107386844952242335t^{10} - 792211501649686t^8 \\
 & \quad - 5580848553730t^6 + 16358540366t^4 - 35218463t^2 - 1568)D^2 \\
 & + 6144t^6(1216860211646830162557747200t^{24} + 4254138344852923650551665920t^{22} \\
 & \quad + 62879395621367262268113088t^{20} + 141203555473546515828319348t^{18} + 892698338486015205162136t^{16} \\
 & \quad - 36871341336439978899176t^{14} - 1637218553379789670928t^{12} + 2207989224928555682t^{10} \\
 & \quad - 562698415042789318t^8 - 9517978064339709t^6 - 42261757561740t^4 - 328350172920t^2 + 368309382)D \\
 & + 15482880t^6(183729957560533831884800t^{24} + 663265247268250820234880t^{22} \\
 & \quad + 9929341713271041302112t^{20} + 22607862359961003907882t^{18} + 151963033359678362364t^{16} \\
 & \quad - 5846359991941694249t^{14} - 276152453516510822t^{12} + 64100253358393t^{10} \\
 & \quad - 116439515097332t^8 - 1405235953421t^6 - 3886412020t^4 - 21911230t^2 - 41272)
 \end{aligned}$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 2752t^6 - 1152t^5 + 224t^4 + 96t^3 - 52t^2 + 12t - 1 = 0 \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at the roots of } 2752t^6 + 1152t^5 + 224t^4 - 96t^3 - 52t^2 - 12t - 1 = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

The ramification defect of L_X is 1.

B.35. MW_{18}^4 .

[description p. 11, regularized quantum period p. 16]

The quantum differential operator is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4t - 1)(4t + 1)(8t - 1)(8t + 1)D^4 + 64t^2(128t^2 - 5)D^3 + 16t^2(1472t^2 - 33)D^2 + \\
 32t^2(896t^2 - 13)D + 128t^2(96t^2 - 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

The local log-monodromies for the quantum local system:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = 0 \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \frac{1}{4} \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \frac{1}{8} \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = -\frac{1}{8} \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = -\frac{1}{4} \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{at } t = \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

The operator L_X is extremal.

REFERENCES

1. Mohammad Akhtar, Tom Coates, Alessio Corti, Liana Heuberger, Alexander Kasprzyk, Alessandro Oneto, Andrea Petracci, Thomas Prince, and Ketil Tveiten, *Mirror symmetry and the classification of orbifold del Pezzo surfaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (2016), no. 2, 513–527.
2. V. V. Batyrev, *On the classification of toric Fano 4-folds*, J. Math. Sci. (New York) **94** (1999), no. 1, 1021–1050, Algebraic geometry, 9.
3. Victor V. Batyrev, Ionuț Ciocan-Fontanine, Bumsig Kim, and Duco van Straten, *Conifold transitions and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau complete intersections in Grassmannians*, Nuclear Phys. B **514** (1998), no. 3, 640–666.
4. ———, *Mirror symmetry and toric degenerations of partial flag manifolds*, Acta Math. **184** (2000), no. 1, 1–39.
5. Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265, Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).
6. Gavin Brown and Alexander Kasprzyk, *The Graded Ring Database*, online, access via <http://www.grdb.co.uk/>.
7. Daniel Cavey and Thomas Prince, *Del Pezzo surfaces with a single $\frac{1}{k}(1, 1)$ singularity*, arXiv:1707.09213 [math.AG], 2017.

8. *Creative Commons CC0 license*, <https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/> and <https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/legalcode>.
9. Ionuț Ciocan-Fontanine, Bumsig Kim, and Claude Sabbah, *The abelian/nonabelian correspondence and Frobenius manifolds*, Invent. Math. **171** (2008), no. 2, 301–343.
10. Tom Coates, *The quantum Lefschetz principle for vector bundles as a map between Givental cones*, [arXiv:1405.2893 \[math.AG\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.2893), 2014.
11. Tom Coates, Alessio Corti, Sergey Galkin, Vasily Golyshev, and Alexander Kasprzyk, *Mirror symmetry and Fano manifolds*, European Congress of Mathematics Kraków, 2–7 July, 2012, 2014, pp. 285–300.
12. Tom Coates, Alessio Corti, Sergey Galkin, and Alexander Kasprzyk, *Quantum periods for 3-dimensional Fano manifolds*, Geom. Topol. **20** (2016), no. 1, 103–256.
13. Tom Coates, Alessio Corti, Hiroshi Iritani, and Hsian-Hua Tseng, *Some applications of the mirror theorem for toric stacks*, [arXiv:1401.2611 \[math.AG\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.2611), 2014.
14. Tom Coates and Alexander Givental, *Quantum Riemann-Roch, Lefschetz and Serre*, Ann. of Math. (2) **165** (2007), no. 1, 15–53.
15. Alessio Corti and Liana Heuberger, *Del Pezzo surfaces with $\frac{1}{3}(1,1)$ points*, Manuscripta Math. **153** (2017), no. 1-2, 71–118.
16. Takao Fujita, *On the structure of polarized manifolds with total deficiency one. I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **32** (1980), no. 4, 709–725.
17. ———, *On the structure of polarized manifolds with total deficiency one. II*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **33** (1981), no. 3, 415–434.
18. ———, *On the structure of polarized manifolds with total deficiency one. III*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **36** (1984), no. 1, 75–89.
19. ———, *Classification theories of polarized varieties*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 155, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
20. Alexander Givental, *A mirror theorem for toric complete intersections*, Topological field theory, primitive forms and related topics (Kyoto, 1996), Progr. Math., vol. 160, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1998, pp. 141–175.
21. Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
22. V. A. Iskovskih, *Fano threefolds. I*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **41** (1977), no. 3, 516–562, 717.
23. ———, *Fano threefolds. II*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **42** (1978), no. 3, 506–549.
24. ———, *Anticanonical models of three-dimensional algebraic varieties*, Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 12 (Russian), VINITI, Moscow, 1979, pp. 59–157, 239 (loose errata).
25. V. A. Iskovskih and Yu. G. Prokhorov, *Fano varieties*, Algebraic geometry, V, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 47, Springer, Berlin, 1999, pp. 1–247.
26. Alexander Kasprzyk, Benjamin Nill, and Thomas Prince, *Minimality and mutation-equivalence of polygons*, Forum Math. Sigma **5** (2017), e18, 48.
27. Alexander Kasprzyk and Ketil Tveiten, *Maximally mutable Laurent polynomials*, in preparation.
28. Kiran S. Kedlaya, *p -adic differential equations*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 125, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
29. Shoshichi Kobayashi and Takushiro Ochiai, *Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **13** (1973), 31–47.
30. Yános Kollár, *Higher-dimensional Fano varieties of large index*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. (1981), no. 3, 31–34, 80–81.
31. Pierre Lairez, *Computing periods of rational integrals*, Math. Comp. **85** (2016), no. 300, 1719–1752.
32. Shigefumi Mori and Shigeru Mukai, *Classification of Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$* , Manuscripta Math. **36** (1981/82), no. 2, 147–162.
33. ———, *On Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$* , Algebraic varieties and analytic varieties (Tokyo, 1981), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 1, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983, pp. 101–129.
34. ———, *Classification of Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$. I*, Algebraic and topological theories (Kinosaki, 1984), Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1986, pp. 496–545.
35. ———, *Erratum: “Classification of Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$ ” [Manuscripta Math. **36** (1981/82), no. 2, 147–162]*, Manuscripta Math. **110** (2003), no. 3, 407.
36. ———, *Extremal rays and Fano 3-folds*, The Fano Conference, Univ. Torino, Turin, 2004, pp. 37–50.
37. Shigeru Mukai, *Curves, K3 surfaces and Fano 3-folds of genus ≤ 10* , Algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, Vol. I, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1988, pp. 357–377.
38. ———, *Biregular classification of Fano 3-folds and Fano manifolds of coindex 3*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **86** (1989), no. 9, 3000–3002.
39. Mikkel Øbro, *An algorithm for the classification of smooth fano polytopes*, [arXiv:0704.0049 \[math.CO\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/0704.0049), 2007.
40. Alessandro Oneto and Andrea Petracci, *On the quantum periods of del Pezzo surfaces with $\frac{1}{3}(1,1)$ singularities*, [arXiv:1507.08589 \[math.AG\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.08589). To appear in *Advances in Geometry*.
41. Hiroshi Sato, *Toward the classification of higher-dimensional toric Fano varieties*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **52** (2000), no. 3, 383–413.
42. Maria Ezia Serpico, *Fano varieties of dimensions $n \geq 4$ and of index $r \geq n - 1$* , Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **62** (1980), 295–308.
43. V. V. Shokurov, *A nonvanishing theorem*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **49** (1985), no. 3, 635–651.
44. Allan K. Steel, *Computing with algebraically closed fields*, J. Symbolic Comput. **45** (2010), no. 3, 342–372.
45. Andrew Strangeway, *Quantum reconstruction for Fano bundles*, Ph.D thesis, Imperial College London, 2014.
46. Andrew Strangeway, *Quantum reconstruction for Fano bundles on projective space*, Nagoya Math. J. **218** (2015), 1–28.
47. Michał Szurek and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski, *Fano bundles over \mathbf{P}^3 and Q_3* , Pacific J. Math. **141** (1990), no. 1, 197–208.
48. P. M. H. Wilson, *Fano fourfolds of index greater than one*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **379** (1987), 172–181.

49. Jarosław Wiśniewski, *Fano 4-folds of index 2 with $b_2 \geq 2$. A contribution to Mukai classification*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **38** (1990), no. 1-12, 173–184.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON, 180 QUEEN'S GATE, LONDON SW7 2AZ, UK

E-mail address: `t.coates@imperial.ac.uk`

E-mail address: `a.m.kasprzyk@imperial.ac.uk`

E-mail address: `a.strangeway09@imperial.ac.uk`

NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS (HSE), FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND LABORATORY OF ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY, 7 VAVILOVA STR., 117312, MOSCOW, RUSSIA

E-mail address: `Sergey.Galkin@phystech.edu`