1 2 3 4 5 6	Title: The individual experience of ageing prisoners: systematic review and meta-synthesis through a Good Lives Model framework Running head: Systematic review on ageing prisoners.
7	Keywords: Prison, ageing prisoners, mental health, physical health, systematic review, meta-
8	synthesis.
9 10	Main points
11	• The experience of imprisonment from the perspective of ageing prisoners has received
12	little attention in research.
13	• We adopted a prisoner-centred approach grounded in the Good Lives Model, to explore
14	the experience of ageing prisoners, the elements of life in prison impacting on their
15	wellbeing and the current service provision.
16	• We reviewed 25 international studies and developed through meta-synthesis three themes:
17	The hardship of imprisonment, addressing health and social care needs and the route out
18	of prison.
19	• We found that, despite initiatives to address their needs, the experience of incarceration
20	for ageing prisoners is quite poor, which reflects the inconsistent support that they are
21	usually offered.
22	
23	Names of authors: Claudio Di Lorito, Birgit Völlm, Tom Dening
24	
25	Name of institution where research was conducted: Division of Psychiatry
26	and Applied Psychology, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham
27	

Corresponding author: Claudio Di Lorito, Room A10, Institute of Mental Health, Innovation Park, University of Nottingham, Triumph Road, Nottingham, NG7 2TU, United Kingdom. Email: claudio.dilorito@nottingham.ac.uk. Phone: (+44) 07453717277 Name of Sponsor: CLAHRC East Midlands Word count of body text: 4,494

Abstract 52 53 54 *Objective.* The existing literature on ageing prisoners tends to focus on such aspects as 55 diagnosis and physical ill-health. In contrast, the experience of imprisonment from the 56 perspective of ageing prisoners has received less attention. Grounded in a Good Lives Model 57 theoretical framework, we reviewed and meta-synthesised literature around their experience 58 of life in prison, its impact on their wellbeing and how prison services are currently 59 addressing their complex needs. We further identify potential areas of improvement. 60 61 Methods. 1. Systematic search on Assia, PsycInfo, MedLine, Embase, Web of Science, 62 Google and Gov.uk. 2. Extraction and categorisation of data on NVivo. 3. Development of 63 themes through thematic analysis and meta-synthesis. 4. Identification of potential areas of 64 improvement. 65 66 **Results.** We selected 25 studies for our review, of which thirteen were from the USA, seven from the UK, two from Australia and one each from Ireland, Switzerland and Israel. We 67 68 identified three themes: The hardship of imprisonment, addressing health and social care 69 needs, and the route out of prison. 70 71 Conclusions. Ageing prisoners have unique and complex health and social care needs which, 72 to varying degree across different countries, are mostly unmet. Promising initiatives to 73 address their needs are emerging but, at present time, the overall experience of incarceration 74 for the ageing prisoner is quite poor, given the inconsistent physical, emotional and social

care support offered from prison intake to release and beyond.

75

Introduction

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

The number of ageing prisoners is growing in many countries. In the United Kingdom, the population of prisoners over 60 years old has increased eight times since 1990 (Senior et al., 2013). In the United States of America, Ireland and Australia, ageing prisoners have been identified as the fastest growing group (Joyce & Maschi, 2016; Davoren et al, 2015; Cornish et al., 2016). This increase has been determined by demographic factors such as the ageing of the population (Senior et al., 2013) and cultural factors, including less lenient treatment of ageing offenders (Yorston, 2015). This has been accompanied, in the UK context, by tougher sentencing policies, causing an increase in longer, life and indeterminate sentences (Moll, 2013), historical and "other offences" (+95% between 1995 and 2005) (RECOOP, 2015). Ageing prisoners have been identified as a special need population in relation to physical, mental and social health care (Atabay, 2009). Regarding their physical health, in addition to common ailments, whose incidence increases with age (e.g., arthritis, Parkinson's disease, dementia, diabetes) (Baidawi, 2015), they experience poorer health status compared to people in the community, owing to their common histories of substance abuse and poor health management (Cooney & Braggins, 2010). Deteriorating physical health impacts on the mobility and independence of ageing prisoners, who may require consistent social care. In relation to mental health, a recent meta-analysis found that 38% of ageing prisoners suffer from 'any psychiatric disorder', with more than double the prevalence reported in community studies (15%) (Di Lorito, Völlm, & Dening, 2017). The authors also found higher prevalence for depression, schizophrenia/psychoses and anxiety disorders.

Several policies aim to address the complex health care needs of ageing prisoners, including the European Convention of Human Rights (ECHR) against inhuman treatment and the 8th amendment to the US constitution (Williams et al., 2012; Wahidin, 2011). In the UK, ageing prisoners are granted equal care as people living in the community through the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines on mental wellbeing and independence in ageing people (NICE, 2015) and the Care Act 2015, which gives local authorities legal responsibility for the social care and support needs of prisoners.

Consideration of individual needs is also a key principle of strength-based models for the rehabilitation of offenders, which, in contrast with risk need responsivity (RNR) (Andrews & Bonta, 2010) focusing on risk reduction, resort to personal strengths to promote rehabilitation (Robertson et al., 2011). Among the strength-based approaches, the Good Lives Model (GLM) is gaining a central role in forensic policy and practice (Robertson et al., 2011), following several international studies which yielded promising results in rehabilitation outcomes (Barnao, Ward, & Casey, 2016; Barnao, Ward, & Robertson, 2016; Ward & Willis, 2016; Chu & Ward, 2015; Fortune et al., 2015; Lord, 2014).

The GLM is grounded in principles of human dignity and postulates that rehabilitation to socially integrated lifestyles is attained when the prison system provides the resources/support for the person to meet individual needs or "Primary goods" (Table 1) (Laws & Ward, 2011; Ward & Maruna, 2007; Ward & Stewart, 2003), by applying three principles:

 Person-centredness, which considers the person's preferences, aspirations and individual needs. 127 2. Human agency, which acknowledges the individual's ability to identify individual 128 needs and act through socially integrated lifestyles to meet them. 129 3. Human rights, which gives back dignity to offenders, by recognising their own 130 individuality and the need for prison services to implement tailored pathways of 131 rehabilitation. 132 [Insert Table 1 near here] 133 134 135 These principles put the prisoner at the centre and therefore their subjective experience is 136 crucial. Although a robust body of international literature exists around ageing prisoners, 137 most studies rely on data collected through the input of stakeholders' groups other than 138 prisoners (e.g. custodial staff), neglecting their subjectivity. Grounded on a GLM theoretical 139 framework, this review provides a meta-synthesis of the literature around the experience of 140 imprisonment through the perspective of the ageing prisoners and is guided by three research 141 questions: 142 (i). What is the subjective experience of imprisonment for the ageing prisoner? 143 144 (ii). What are the variables that most affect this experience? 145 (iii). How are prison services addressing the individual needs of ageing prisoners? Methods 146

This review complies with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-

147

148

149

150

Selection strategy and search criteria

Analyses (PRISMA) (Moher et al., 2009).

151	Inclusion criteria:
152	
153	• Study is on ageing prisoners. We systematically searched for terms related to the age
154	domain, but we avoided a strict age inclusion criterion because:
155	
156	(i). There is little consensus as to the age range of 'older' prisoners (Williams et
157	al., 2012). Some contend that given that age 60 is the cut-off in community
158	studies, 50 years old would apply to prisoners, who usually experience premature
159	ageing, given their poor health habits and histories of substance abuse (Cooney &
160	Braggins, 2010). Others object that prisoners experience similar health problems
161	as people in the community (Fazel et al., 2004). Given the absence of a resolution
162	in the current debate and in order not to discard relevant sources a priori, we
163	refrained from adopting a pre-determined age cut-off.
164	
165	(ii). Prisoners feel that ageing is a highly subjective experience:
166	
167	"There was an unusual reaction to the question "How old does a prisoner have to be
168	before people treat him as an older prisoner?" Fourteen men suggested that this
169	"depends on the man's physical and mental condition", Reed (1980).
170	
171	• The primary interest of the study is the experience of imprisonment for the ageing
172	prisoner including aspects that impact on this experience.
173	• Either sex.

• Any language and year of publication.

176	Exclusion criteria:
177	
178	• Studies on ex-prisoners or patients in forensic psychiatric settings, as they do not have
179	current lived experience of imprisonment.
180	• Remand prisoners, as the experience of being temporarily detained in prison may
181	greatly differ from that of sentenced prisoners.
182	• Studies which do not reflect the views of prisoners.
183	
184	We ran our search in December 2015 and again in December 2016 to retrieve the most
185	updated literature. We searched on 5 databases: Assia, PsycInfo, Embase, Medline and Web
186	of Science and combined terms from three domains:
187	
188	(i). The age domain, including: Age*, old*, aging, elderly, mature.
189	(ii). The prison domain, including: Prison*, imprison*, inmate*, incarcerat*, detain*,
190	detention*.
191	(iii). The health domain, including: Care, mental*, emotion*, physical*, health*, service,
192	healthcare, psychotic, psychos*, psychiatr*, psycholog*, mental*, wellbeing.
193	
194	The same strategy was used to identify further relevant literature through the first 100 hits on
195	Google and Gov.uk and by screening the reference pages of the studies we retrieved.
196	
197	Quality screening of the studies
198	We undertook a quality screening of our sources using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool
199	(MMAT) - Version 2011 (Pluye et al., 2011), a validated screening tool for complex
200	systematic literature reviews that include qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods studies.

201 Data extraction and analysis Data were extracted onto NVivo 11 (QSR International Pty Ltd., 2012). We adopted a 202 203 deductive approach to Thematic Analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006) and extracted data which 204 were relevant to our research questions, but refrained from generating themes at the initial 205 stage. Only after extraction, based on the emerging topics, we developed tentative umbrella 206 themes and sub-themes. The task was undertaken by the main author, who proposed an initial 207 number of seven tentative themes to the research team. 208 209 Each team member studied the dataset, assessed the appropriateness of the tentative themes 210 individually and fed back to the group during successive team meetings. The process of meta-211 synthesis of themes was iterative. A final number of three themes and titles reflecting their 212 content was agreed by all research team members. Results 213 214 We retrieved 3,222 records; 3,199 were identified through the databases and 23 through 215 Google and Gov.uk. Following title or abstract screening, 3,120 were dismissed. The 216 remaining 102 were screened for duplicates and assessed for eligibility against the inclusion 217 criteria. Twenty-five studies were included in this review (Figure 1). [Insert Figure 1 near 218 here] 219 220 Quality screening of the studies 221 Twenty studies were screened for quality, all of which passed the preliminary screening; 222 therefore, none was excluded for poor quality. Five were not screened for quality as they

were not empirical (Baldwin & Leete, 2012; Booth, 1989; Chaiklin, 1998; Hodel & Sanchez,

223

224

2012; Moll, 2013).

The scores ranged from 50 to 100. Five articles totalled the maximum score (Allen et al., 2008; Doron, 2007; Fazel et al., 2004; Leigey, 2008; Reed, 1980). The qualitative studies (or qualitative element of the studies) obtained mostly positive scoring in items 1.1 (data sources), 1.2 (data analysis), and 1.3 (discussion of how findings relate to the context). In relation to discussion around the researchers' influence in the interview process (item 1.4), negative scoring was attributed in all but three cases (Doron, 2007; Leigey, 2008; Reed, 1980). For the quantitative studies (or quantitative element of the studies), mostly positive scoring was attributed for items 2.1 (sampling strategy) and 2.3 (tools for data collection). Information on response rates was instead poorly reported in most studies (item 2.4). The quality screening is reported fully in table 2. [Insert Table 2 near here] Study characteristics Sixteen studies were qualitative, nine were quantitative and three adopted mixed methodologies. The publication year ranged from 1979 to 2016; most of the articles were published from the mid 2000's onwards, following an increase in the prevalence of ageing prisoners. Thirteen studies were from the USA, seven from the UK, two from Australia and one each from Ireland, Switzerland and Israel. In contrast to other countries, the US studies covered all years of publication, reflecting a longer tradition of prison literature in the USA. Seven studies focused on the general experience of imprisonment; the remainder addressed dementia (n=4); death/suicide (n=3); release from prison (n=3); physical and/or mental health and related needs, including social care (n=3); religion (n=2); treatment for physical and

mental health (n=2); and forgiveness (n=1). The studies from the USA and the UK covered

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

250 the whole range of topics. Most studies concerning dementia were published since 2010, 251 which reflects the increased prevalence and increasing research interest in the condition. 252 253 Most studies were peer-reviewed. We also included two unpublished theses (Leigey, 2008; 254 Reid, 1980), which we believe reduces potential publication bias. The study designs included 255 both empirical and non-empirical methodologies. Study samples ranged from two to 263 256 prisoners. The age cut-off for inclusion in the studies ranged from 45 to 69 years old. Ten 257 studies were conducted on just one prison and 12 studies looked at multiple sites (Table 3). 258 [Insert Table 3 near here] 259 260 Themes 261 We derived three themes: 1. The hardship of imprisonment 262 263 2. Addressing health and social care needs 264 3. The route out of prison 265 266 The hardship of imprisonment The first theme was the hardship of imprisonment experienced by ageing prisoners due to 267 268 "Institutional thoughtlessness", the systematic neglect of age-related needs through a one-269 size-fits-all regime (Crawley, 2005). This was reflected in the access issues for inmates who 270 have limited mobility, as reported by an ageing prisoner in the Irish prison system: 271 272 "Walking up and down the stairs is hard, the breathing's not the best...you can imagine going to the top, it's five floors up" (Joyce & Maschi, 2016). 273 274

In the UK context, many prisons dating back to the 19th century do not fully comply with the Disability Discrimination Act 2005, presenting narrow cell doors and inaccessible bathrooms (Senior et al., 2013; Crawley, 2005). In addition, facilities are often located on upper floors (Joyce & Maschi, 2016). Limited accessibility, through a GLM perspective, leads to neglect of the primary human need for healthy living and functioning (see Table 1), since the ageing prisoners may be unable to use essential services for rehabilitation and wellbeing.

Another example of institutional thoughtlessness relates to dementia. Only few institutions have actively worked to become dementia-friendly (i.e. promoting the inclusion of people with dementia) (Fazel et al., 2002), mostly in the United States (Moll, 2013; Hodel & Sanchez, 2012) and in the UK, where HMP Norwich offers units for serious conditions, including dementia, and HMP Exeter is developing a specialist service for dementia (Moll, 2013).

These units offer specialised group activities such as walking, reminiscing and training in personal hygiene; modifications to the prison, including visual aids for easier navigation and quieter dining tables/zones (Hodel & Sanchez, 2012); and specialist programmes, such as the "Gold Coats", an Alzheimer's Association sponsored buddy scheme in 11 California prisons, in which people with dementia are assisted by younger inmates in managing finances, food, medications and cleaning (Baldwin & Leete, 2010; Moll, 2013). Exceptions aside, however, the overall picture appears less encouraging, as summarised by the CEO of Age Action, Eamon Timmins:

"There's nothing to suggest in our experience of prison life that it is dementia friendly or that there is dementia awareness within the prison system" (Joyce & Maschi, 2016).

Institutional thoughtlessness was also reflected in activity programmes. Only 55% of prisons in England and Wales offer age-friendly activities and in 67% these are not suitable for inmates with mobility difficulties, who may become reluctant to venture out of their cell (Crawley, 2005), remain active and engage in hobbies and recreational pursuits (see Table 1). Social disengagement and loneliness is often exacerbated by loss of contact with family and friends (Leigey, 2008) and age-related life events, such as the death of a spouse or friends (Booth, 1989) and may lead to alienation from life (Moll, 2013; Crawley, 2005), or in GLM terms, a loss of pleasure in the here and now (See Table 1). This was well summed up by an ageing prisoner: "Unfortunately, there's guys whether it be old age or just that killer thing of 'I don't care, I'll just lie down in bed and I'll go asleep'...A lot of them here have given up" (Joyce & Maschi, 2016) In response to the hardship of imprisonment, ageing prisoners adopt various coping mechanisms, such as religion and spirituality (Leigey, 2008). In contrast with younger prisoners, who tend to hide their religious belief from their peers, ageing prisoners often pride themselves over a rich spiritual life (Reed, 1980), which alleviates feelings of depression, anxiety and fear (Bishop et al., 2014, Allen et al., 2013; Allen et al., 2008), thus promoting "inner peace" (see Table 1). Attending religious ceremonies also represents an opportunity for social life and connectedness (Reed, 1980).

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

324

323 Another important response to the hardship of imprisonment is the potential for reconciliation

and restitution. With the passage of time, prisoners may come to accept responsibility for

their offence and their sentence. This may bring a change in attitude towards the victim(s) of their crime and the judicial authority, as well as emerging personal forgiveness for their wrongdoing (Bishop et al., 2014). Ageing prisoners also resort to reminiscing, the recalling of happy memories and/or challenging past events successfully dealt with, to find the strength to face the present (Crawley, 2005; Leigey 2008). Instead, counselling, psychotherapy and pastoral care were not reported as coping mechanisms. This may denote poor service provision in the prison system, which, as highlighted by Chaiklin (1998), is nonetheless crucial:

"One should distinguish between humanitarian care, therapeutic care, and custodial care.

All are needed. There is also a need for crisis intervention...".

Addressing health and social care needs

The second theme was care provision in the prison system. Our findings indicated that the complex physical, mental and social care health needs of ageing prisoners remain largely unmet, neglecting the primary good of healthy living and functioning (see Table 1).

This may occur because of the highly specialised care required, which may exert strain on the resources of the prison system (Booth, 1989). In the current economic climate, where optimisation of costs is often the priority, cuts in funding may result in poor service provision (Crawley, 2005).

In relation to physical and social health care needs, one barrier is represented by the emphasis on punishment over care, which makes custodial staff reluctant to respond to the ageing prisoners' needs (Crawley, 2005) and by a "macho" culture, which considers attending to

prisoners' needs as feminine (Moll, 2013). The negative impact of the prison culture is reinforced by ageing prisoners being poorer self-advocates than the younger inmates (Doron, 2007). In an ageing prisoner's words: "With the younger ones, they know they have to keep them healthy anyway because they'd be complaining and they'd be writing to the papers" (Joyce & Maschi, 2016). Physical health issues and social care needs may also pass unidentified, especially if untrained prison staff are unable to recognise symptoms or emerging social care needs (Baidawi, 2015). The overall discontentment around physical and social health led a prisoner to conclude that only by becoming persistent self-advocates, can ageing prisoners have their health and social care needs met (Loeb et al., 2007). A similar neglect extends to mental health needs (Fazel et al., 2004), given the reticence of ageing prisoners to voice their needs, because of the stigma attached to psychiatric illness (Leigey, 2008) and the fear of being ridiculed by prison officers or fellow inmates (Baidawi, 2015). This concern is well supported by evidence, as Joyce and Maschi (2016) report that ageing prisoners are more frequently the victims of bullying compared to younger prisoners (38% against 12%). A potential vehicle to address the complex physical, mental and social health care needs of ageing inmates is networking with charities/organisations specialised in old age, such as AgeUK or the Alzheimer's Society, which could offer professional advice (Baidawi, 2015). In England and Wales, however, only a third of prisons co-operate with external agencies

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

(Senior et al., 2013).

Given the neglect of basic needs, ageing prisoners report poor emotional wellbeing and frequently engage in negative thinking. Some ageing prisoners may come to see death as liberation from misery (Crawley & Sparks, 2006; Aday, 2005). Handtke and Wangmo (2014) report that 50% of ageing prisoners have suicidal thoughts, which are often undisclosed, as being on suicide watch is stigmatising. In addition, suicide assessment is not carried out regularly, making it more difficult to identify at-risk subjects (Barry et al., 2015).

Despite the overall poor service provision, our review also found some examples of good practice, which are unevenly spread across countries and mostly depend on whether a national policy on ageing prisoners exists. They nonetheless reflect a growing interest among policy makers, the justice system and prison staff, in caring for the needs and wellbeing of ageing prisoners.

In the UK, HMP Wymott offers weekly visits from healthcare assistants, who provide support for bathing to prisoners with mobility issues; a programme of psychological interventions; self-help books or referrals to chaplaincy in the occurrence of mental health crises; and the delivery of age-friendly activities such as arts, yoga or cooking classes (Crawley, 2005; Crawley & Sparks, 2006).

In the Irish context, where prisons are not able to offer support services, social care has been delegated to community-based organisations such as the Red Cross and the ageing prisoners have welcomed the initiative:

"When they discovered that I had rheumatoid arthritis, they asked the Red Cross to arrange to bring my meals...They're very well organised" (Joyce & Maschi, 2016)

The route out of prison

As per the GLM principles, to sustain the process of rehabilitation, prisoners must fulfill their need for connection with the wider community (see Table 1), once they are released from prison. However, the evidence suggests that around 50% of ageing prisoners experience homelessness and/or destitution upon release (Senior et al., 2013; Joyce & Maschi, 2013). This is likely to result from limited information around resettlement arrangements (Senior et al., 2013) and poor liaison strategies between prisons, probation services and offenders' managers.

Pre-release courses are offered in a minority of prisons, but these rarely cater for individual needs (Senior et al., 2013). Therefore, the soon-to-be-released prisoners tend to rely on the information of other inmates, which is often inaccurate and generates high levels of anxiety (Senior et al., 2013). Most ageing prisoners fear being relocated with younger ex-offenders (Crawley & Sparks, 2006) and becoming the victims of physical and verbal intimidation (Forsyth et al., 2015) and have concerns around economic uncertainty upon resettlement, which may impact on their health (Crawley & Sparks, 2006; Loeb et al., 2007).

Given these uncertainties, prison may be seen as a protective environment (Doron, 2007, Aday & Webster, 1979). For example, healthcare provision, though far from being ideal, grants a level of security that could be lacking in the community (Handtke & Wangmo, 2014). This applies especially to the US health care system and to prisoners living in geriatric hospital wings which provide highly specialised treatments (Doron, 2007). As one ageing prisoner stated:

"Not long ago I sat down and analysed my situation. Heck, I couldn't work outside...I'm
better off here than I would be anywhere...I have friends in here...My medications and
everything are there when I need them" (Aday, 1994)

Discussion

- This systematic review adds to the current field of knowledge around ageing prisoners for several reasons:
 - 1. It is the first review grounded upon the evidence-based and increasingly applied rehabilitation framework of the GLM), through which we interpreted the literature and investigated our research questions.
 - 2. It is the only existing review with an international focus and based on updated sources. This is quite crucial, given the recent policy developments in several countries (e.g. the Care Act 2015 in the UK), novel initiatives (e.g. Red Cross programme in Ireland) and the growing numbers of ageing prisoners in most developed countries.
 - 3. It focuses on the experience of prisoners, as opposed to accounts from other stakeholders. We deem this work timely, given the increasing recognition of the individual dimension of the prisoner's experience.

Our review confirmed that ageing prisoners have unique health and social care needs, which at present are only partially met. This has implications for research and practice. Given their direct daily contact with the inmates, prison staff are crucial in identifying and referring prisoners with emerging health issues to health care professionals. Therefore, basic awareness training for prison staff and a change of culture to view prisoners as patients, are required

(Crawley, 2005). In the United States, the Community Aging Health Project has extended

449 geriatric training to all professionals working in the justice system (Ahalt & Williams, 2015). 450 Similar initiatives do not seem to exist in other countries. 451 452 Given that the remit of custodial staff does not extend to health and social care, effective work of a multi-disciplinary team, which includes health and social care professionals, is 453 454 essential. These professionals should be capable to work at three levels: 455 (i). Prevention, by developing and implementing programmes that promote physical and 456 mental health. 457 (ii). <u>Identification</u> of emerging needs, through team work with custodial staff; 458 (iii). Intervention, which requires expertise around age-associated conditions and the 459 difficulties that these entail. 460 461 Improvement in health and social care also depends on the regular administration of health 462 screenings, which, at present, are systematically carried out at intake but not afterwards. 463 These would further facilitate the identification of social care needs and the allocation of 464 support. 465 Adequate policy development and implementation is also required. In the UK, the Care Act 466 2015 may represent a turning point in the social care of ageing prisoners, who constitute 42% 467 468 of all prisoner referrals for assessment of social care and support (Anderson, 2015). A report 469 of the ADASS (Association of Directors of Adult Social Services) following the introduction 470 of the new legislation, however, has denounced that so far a very limited number of referrals 471 for social care has been made to local councils and concluded that integration between health 472 and social care still needs to be achieved in the prison system (Anderson, 2015).

In this sense, local authorities should promote cooperation between support providers (e.g. NHS), prison staff, health commissioners and the National Offender Management Service (NOMS) to ensure good practice. Interdisciplinary work between different agencies is also fundamental to support the 95% of ageing prisoners who are eventually reintegrated in the community, many of whom need long-term social care (Williams et al., 2012).

In relation to the health and social care needs of terminally-ill ageing prisoners, different countries have implemented different strategies, including pre-term compassionate release, specialised units for ageing prisoners, hospice and palliative care and assisted suicide. Pre-term compassionate release (i.e. reintegration of a terminally-ill prisoner in the community when it offers more suitable treatment than the prison) is common in France (Steiner, 2003), but it can be controversial with sexual offenders. Thus, in the US and the UK, requirements are often strict and few prisoners benefit from compassionate release (Williams et al., 2012).

A compromise between security and treatment is offered by specialised geriatric prison units, which are the subject of a heated debate. Some argue that they require large financial investments, promote age segregation, lack stimulation, and discourage family visits, if located further than general prisons (Howse, 2003). They also contend that ageing inmates exert a calming effect on the younger prisoners in a mixed environment (Howse, 2003). Others object that these units offer humane and specialist care in a safer environment (Fazel et al., 2004).

Undoubtedly, dedicated units for the ageing patients could provide opportunities for improving end of life care. In Switzerland, assisted suicide is legal practice for terminally-ill prisoners (Handtke & Wangmo, 2014). The prison hospice care movement in the United

States has led to the wide implementation of in-prison palliative and hospice care, which ensures emotional and physical support for dying prisoners, while preserving their human rights. In other countries, such as the United Kingdom, this is still less common (Docherty, 2009).

Limitations

This review has some limitations. Most of the studies were carried out in the UK and the USA; our findings may therefore be less generalisable to other countries. Nonetheless, the phenomenon of an ageing prison population is also seen in countries with different traditions of legal justice, such as Japan (Williams et al., 2012). Therefore, we feel that our findings are relevant to inform good practice in a variety of contexts.

Further limitations derive from the quality of the studies included. Five studies used non-empirical methodologies, which reduces confidence in their findings. In addition, we could only retrieve one study reporting on ageing female prisoners (Joyce & Maschi, 2016), thus potentially incurring a gender selection bias. Selection bias may have also been caused by the fact that almost a third of the studies (n=10) were single-site.

Finally, four studies were carried out more than 20 years ago, thus providing data that do not necessarily reflect the current situation. Nonetheless, we observe that their results are consistent with those reported in more recent literature. This suggests that their findings are still valid, and/or that there have been no substantial advances over the years in addressing ageing prisoners' needs.

Conclusion Our review found that ageing prisoners have unique and complex health and social care needs which are mostly unmet. There is an interest in this population, which is reflected in initiatives aimed at their wellbeing. However, at present time, the overall experience of incarceration for the ageing prisoner is quite poor, given the inconsistent physical, emotional and social care support offered from prison intake to release and beyond. Disclaimer: The research was funded by the NIHR Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care East Midlands (CLAHRC EM). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of health.

546	References
547 548	Aday, R. H. (1994). Aging in prison: A case-study on new elderly offenders. <i>International</i>
549	Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology, 38(1), 79-91.
550	
551	Aday, R. H. (2005). Aging prisoners' concerns toward dying in prison. Journal of Death and
552	Dying, 52(3), pp. 199-216. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.2190/CHTD-YL7T-R1RR-LHMN
553	
554	Aday, R., & Webster, E. (1979). Aging in Prison: The Development of a Preliminary Model.
555	Offender Rehabilitation 3(3), 271-282.
556	
557	Ahalt, C., & Williams, B. (2015). How can criminal justice systems from police to probation
558	address the medical and social care needs of elderly prisoners? Available at:
559	https://www.penalreform.org/blog/declining-health-advancing-years-prison-call-
560	policy-oriented/ [Accessed 25 Oct. 2016]
561	
562	Allen, R. S., Phillips, L. L., Roff, L. L, Cavanaugh, R., & Day, L. (2008).
563	Religiousness/Spirituality and Mental Health Among Ageing Male Inmates. The
564	Gerontologist, 48(5), 692–697.
565	
566	Allen, R. S., Harris, G. M., Crowther, M. R., Oliver, J. S., Cavanaugh, R., & Phillips, L. L.
567	(2013). Does religiousness and spirituality moderate the relations between physical and
568	mental health among aging prisoners? International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry,
569	28(7), 710-717. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/gps.3874

571	Anderson, I. (2015). A report on the findings of the ADASS (Association of Directors of
572	Adult Social Services) survey of social care activity in prisons and approved premises –
573	Quarter 1 2015/16. Available at: https://www.adass.org.uk/media/4231/an-analysis-of-
574	social-care-activity-in-prisons-and-approved-premises.pdf [Accessed 10 May 2017].
575	
576	Andrews, D. A., & Bonta, J. (2010). The psychology of criminal conduct (5th Edition).
577	New Providence, NJ: LexisNexis.
578	
579	Atabay, T. (2009). Handbook on prisoners with special needs. United Nations Publications.
580	Vienna, Austria: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime.
581	
582	Baidawi, S. (2015). Older prisoners: Psychological distress and associations with mental
583	health history, cognitive functioning, socio-demographic, and criminal justice factors.
584	International Psychogeriatrics, 28(3), 385-395.
585	doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1041610215001878
586	
587	Baldwin, J., & Leete, J. (2012). Behind bars: the challenges of an ageing population.
588	Australian Journal of Dementia care, 2(1), 16-19.
589	
590	Barnao, M., Ward, T., & Casey, S. (2016). Taking the good life to the institution: Forensic
591	service users' perceptions of the Good Lives Model. International Journal of Offender
592	Therapy and Comparative Criminology, 60(7), 766-786.
593	
594	Barnao, M., Ward, T., & Robertson, P. (2016) The Good Lives Model: A New Paradigm for
595	Forensic Mental Health. <i>Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, 23(2), 288-301</i> .

596	Barry, L. C., Wakefield, D. B., Trestman, R. L., & Conwell, Y. (2015). Active and passive
597	suicidal ideation in older prisoners. The Journal of Crisis Intervention and Suicide
598	Prevention, 37(2), 88-94. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1027/0227-5910/a000350
599	
600	Bishop, A. J., Randall, G., & Merten, M. J. (2014). Consideration of forgiveness to enhance
601	the health status of older male prisoners confronting spiritual, social, or emotional
602	vulnerability. Journal of Applied Gerontology, 33(8), 998-1017.
603	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0733464812456632
604	
605	Booth, D. E. (1989). Health Status of the Incarcerated Elderly. Journal of Offender
606	Counseling Services Rehabilitation, 13(2), 193-213. doi: 10.1300/J264v13n02_11
607	
608	Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative
609	Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77-101.
610	
611	Chu, C. M., & Ward, T. (2015). The good lives model of offender rehabilitation: Working
612	positively with sex offenders. In Ronel, N. & Segev, D. (eds.) Positive Criminology.
613	Abingdon, United Kingdom: Routledge, pp. 140-161
614	
615	Chaiklin, H. (1998). The elderly disturbed prisoner. Clinical Gerontologist: The Journal of
616	Aging and Mental Health, 20(1), 47-62.
617	
618	Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (European
619	Convention on Human Rights, as amended) (ECHR) art. 3.
620	

621 Cooney, F., & Braggins, J. (2010). Doing time. Good practice with older people in prison – 622 The views of prison staff. London: Prison Reform Trust. 623 624 Cornish, N., Kimmett, E., Hewson, A., & War S. (2016). Social care or systematic neglect? 625 Older people on release from prison. London: Prison Reform Trust. 626 Crawley, E. (2005). Institutional thoughtlessness in prisons and its impacts on the day-to-day 627 628 prison lives of elderly men. Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice, 21(4), 350-629 363. doi:10.1177/1043986205282018 630 631 Crawley, E., & Sparks, R. (2006). Is there life after imprisonment? How elderly men talk 632 about imprisonment and release. Criminology and Criminal Justice, 6(1), 63-82. 633 doi:10.1177/1748895806060667 634 635 Davoren, M., Fitzpatrick, M., Caddow, F., Caddow, M., O'Neill, C., O'Neill, H., & 636 Kennedy, H. (2014). Older men and older women remand prisoners: mental illness, 637 physical illness, offending patterns and needs. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 27(5), 638 747-755. 639 640 Department of Health (2001). National Framework for Older People. London: Department of 641 Health. 642 643 Di Lorito, C., Völlm, B., & Dening, T. (2017). Psychiatric disorders among older prisoners: 644 A systematic review and comparison study against older people in the community. Aging and Mental Health. 2017 Feb 7:1-10. doi: 10.1080/13607863.2017.1286453. [Epub ahead 645

646	of print].
647	
648	Docherty, J. L. (2009). The Healthcare Challenges of Older People in Prisons – a briefing
649	paper. Prison Health Research Network. Available at:
650	http://www.ohrn.nhs.uk/resource/Research/OlderPrisonersReview.pdf [Accessed 18 Nov.
651	2016].
652	
653	Doron, I. (2007). Heaven or hell? Aging behind bars in Israel. International Journal of Aging,
654	9(2), 145-159. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.2190/HA.9.2.e
655	
656	Fazel, S. (2014). Older people and the criminal justice system. In J. Gunn and P. J. Taylor
657	eds., Forensic Psychiatry: Clinical, legal and ethical issues. London: Taylor and Francis,
658	pp. 523-528.
659	
660	Fazel, S., Hope, T., O'Donnell, I., & Jacoby, R. (2004). Unmet treatment needs of older
661	prisoners: a primary care survey. Age & Ageing, 33(4), 396-398.
662	
663	Fazel, S., McMillian, J., & O'Donnell, I. (2002). Dementia in prison: Ethical and legal
664	implications. Journal of medical Ethics, 28(3), 156-159.
665	
666	Forsyth, K., Senior, J., Stevenson, C., O'Hara, K., Hayes, A., Challis, D., & Shaw, J. (2015).
667	'They just throw you out': Release planning for older prisoners. Ageing & Society, 35(9),
668	2011-2025. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X14000774
669	
670	

0/1	Fortune, C. A., Ward, I., & Mann, R. (2015). Good lives & the renabilitation of sex
572	offenders: A positive treatment approach. In: Linley, A. & Joseph S. (eds.) Positive
573	psychology in practice (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
574	
575	Handtke, V., & Wangmo, T. (2014). Ageing prisoners' views on death and dying:
676	contemplating end-of-life in prison. Journal of Bioethical Inquiry, 11(3), 373-386.
677	doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11673-014-9548-x
578	
579	Hodel, B., & Sanchez, H. G. (2012). The Special Needs Program for Inmate-Patients with
580	Dementia (SNPID): a psychosocial program provided in the prison system. Dementia,
581	12(5), 654-660. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1471301211432952
582	
583	Howse, K. (2003). Growing old in prison: a scoping study on older prisoners. London: Prison
584	Reform Trust. Available at:
585	http://www.prisonreformtrust.org.uk/uploads/documents/Growing.Old.Book - small.pdf
586	[Accessed on 5 Dec. 2016]
587	
588	Joyce, J., & Maschi, T. (2016). "In here, time stands still". The rights, needs and
589	experiences of older people in prison. Irish Penal Reform Trust: Dublin, Ireland.
590	
591	Laws, D. R., & Ward, T. (2011). Desistance from sex offending: Alternatives to throwing
592	away the keys. New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
593	
594	Leigey, M. E. (2008). Life while serving life: Examining the correctional experiences of
595	older inmates serving a life without parole sentence. Dissertation Abstracts International

696	Section A: Humanities and Social Sciences, 68, pp. 3599.
697	
698	Loeb, S. J., Steffensmeier, D., & Myco, P. M. (2007). In their own words: older male
699	prisoners' health beliefs and concerns for the future. Geriatric Nursing, 28(5), 319-
700	329. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gerinurse.2007.02.001
701	
702	Lord. A. (2014). Integrating risk, the Good Lives Model and recovery for mentally
703	disordered sexual offenders. Journal of Sexual Aggression, 22(1), 107-122.
704	
705	Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G., The PRISMA Group (2009).
706	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA
707	Statement. <i>PLoS Med</i> , 6(7), e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097
708	
709	Moll, A. (2013). Losing track of time: dementia and the ageing prison population. Mental
710	Health Foundation, London. Available at:
711	http://www.mentalhealth.org.uk/content/assets/PDF/publications/losing-track-of-time-
712	2013.pdf?view=Standard [Accessed 10 Oct. 2015]
713	
714	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2015). Mental Wellbeing and
715	independence in older people. NICE guideline (NG32). London: National Institute for
716	Health and Care Excellence.
717	
718	NVivo qualitative data analysis Software (2012). Melbourne, Australia: QSR International
719	Pty Ltd.
720	

721	Pluye, P., Robert, E., Cargo, M., Bartlett, G., O'Cathain, A., Griffiths, F., Boardman, F.,
722	Gagnon, M.P., & Rousseau, M.C. (2011). Proposal: A mixed methods appraisal tool for
723	systematic mixed studies reviews. Available at:
724	http://mixedmethodsappraisaltoolpublic.pbworks.com [Accessed on 12 May 2017]
725	
726	Reed, M. B. (1980). Aging in a total institution: The case of older prisoners (Doctoral
727	Dissertation). Available at: https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/Digitization/65232NCJRS.pdf
728	[Accessed 10 Dec 2016]
729	
730	Resettlement and Care for Older ex-Offenders and Prisoners (RECOOP) (2015). Available at
731	http://www.recoop.org.uk/pages/resources/ [Accessed on 25 Oct. 2016]
732	
733	Robertson P., Barnao, M., & Ward, T. (2011). Rehabilitation frameworks in forensic mental
734	health. Aggression and Violent Behavior, 16(6), 472-484.
735	
736	Senior, J., Forsyth, K., Walsh, E., O'Hara, K., Stevenson, C., Hayes, A. C., Short, V., Webb,
737	R., Challis, D., Fazel, S., Burns A. and Shaw, J. (2013). Health and social care services for
738	older male adults in prison: the identification of current service provision and piloting of
739	an assessment and care planning model. Health Services and Delivery Research, 1(5).
740	doi:10.3310/hsdr01050
741	
742	Steiner, E. (2003). Early release for seriously ill and elderly prisoners: Should French
743	practice be followed? Probation Journal, 50(3), 267-276.
744	
745	

746 Wahidin, A. (2011). Ageing behind bars, with particular reference to older women prisoners 747 in prison. Irish Probation Service, 8, 109-123. 748 749 Ward, T., Mann, R. E., & Gannon, T. A. (2007). The Good Lives Model of offender 750 rehabilitation: Clinical implications. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 12(1), 87–107. 751 Ward, T., & Maruna, S. (2007). Rehabilitation: Beyond the risk paradigm. London, UK: 752 753 Routledge. 754 755 Ward, T., & Stewart, C. A. (2003). The treatment of sex offenders: Risk management and 756 good lives. Professional Psychology, Research and Practice, 34(4), 353–360. 757 758 Ward, T. & Willis, G. (2016). Dynamic Risk Factors and Offender Rehabilitation: A 759 Comparison of The Good Lives Model and The Risk-Need Responsivity Model. In: Laws, 760 D. R. & O'Donohue, W. (eds.). Treatment of sex offenders: Strengths and weaknesses in 761 assessment and intervention. New York, NY: Springer, pp 175-190. 762 763 Williams, B. A., Stern, M. F., Mellow, J., Safer, M., & Greifinger, R. B. (2012). Aging in 764 correctional custody: Setting a policy agenda for older prisoner health care. American 765 journal of Public health, 102(8), 1475-1481. 766 767 Yorston, G. A. (2015). Managing aggression and violence in older people. In G. Dickens, P. 768 Sugarman and M. Picchioni eds., *Handbook of secure care* (179-192). London: The Royal 769 College of Psychiatrists.

Table 1. The 11 classes of primary goods (i.e. needs) identified by the GLM (Retrieved from https://www.goodlivesmodel.com/information)

Primary good	Notes
1. Life	Includes healthy living and functioning
2. Knowledge	How well informed about things that are important to them
3. Excellence in play	Hobbies and recreational pursuits
4. Excellence in work	Includes mastery experiences
5. Excellence in agency	Autonomy, power and self-directedness
6. Inner peace	Freedom from emotional turmoil and stress
7. Relatedness	Including intimate, romantic, and familial relationships
8. Community	Connection to wider social groups
9. Spirituality	In the broad sense of finding meaning and purpose in life
10. Pleasure	Feeling good in the here and now
11. Creativity	Expressing oneself through alternative forms

Studies included in (n = 25)

Table 2. Study quality screening through the MMAT (Pluye et al., 2011)

Article	Scree	ning*	ng* Qualitative studies			Quantitative studies				3. Mi:	Score***			
	A	В	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.4	3.1	3.2	3.2	
Aday, 1994	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	×								75
Aday, 2005	✓	√	√	✓	×	×	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	72
Aday & Webster, 1979	✓	√	√	✓	✓	×	✓	√	×	×	✓	✓	×	63
Allen et al., 2013	✓	√					✓	×	✓	×				50
Allen et al., 2008	√	√					✓	✓	✓	✓				100
Baidawi, 2015	√	√					✓	✓	✓	*				75
Baldwin & Leete, 2012	Non-empirical													
Barry et al., 2015	√	√					✓	×	✓	×				50
Bishop et al., 2014	√	√					✓	×	√	×				50
Booth, 1989			Non-empirical											
Chaiklin, 1998		_					Non-empirical							
Crawley, 2005	√	√	√	✓	✓	×								75
Crawley & Sparks, 2006	√	√	√	✓	✓	×								75
Doron, 2007	√	√	√	√	✓	✓								100
Fazel et al., 2002	√	√	√	√	√	×								75
Fazel et al., 2004	√	√					√	√	√	✓				100
Forsyth et al., 2015	√	√	√	√	√	×								75
Handtke & Wangmo, 2014	√	√	√	√	✓	×								75

Hodel & Sanchez, 2012	Non-empirical													
Joyce & Maschi, 2016	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×								75
Leigey, 2008	✓	√	√	✓	✓	√								100
Loeb et al., 2007	✓	√	√	✓	✓	×								75
Moll, 2013	Non-empirical													
Reed, 1980	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓								100
Senior et al., 2013	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	✓	√	√	√	√	√	√	90

Note: Omission to report on items were rated with a negative (i.e. 'No') score on the ground that the MMAT investigates basic areas, which must be addressed in a study for it to be considered of good quality.

- A. Are there clear qualitative and quantitative research questions (or objectives), or a clear mixed methods question (or objective)?
- B. Do the collected data allow address the research question (objective)?
- 1.1. Are the sources of data (archives, documents, informants, observations) relevant to address the research question (objective)?
- 1.2. Is the process for analysing data relevant to address the research question (objective)?
- 1.3. Is appropriate consideration given to how findings relate to the context, e.g., the setting, in which the data were collected?
- 1.4. Is appropriate consideration given to how findings relate to researchers' influence, e.g., through their interactions with participants?
- 2.1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?
- 2.2. Is the sample representative of the population under study?
- 2.3. Are measurements appropriate (clear origin, or validity known, or standard instrument)?
- 2.4. Is there an acceptable response rate (60% or above)?
- 3.1. Is the design relevant to address the qualitative and quantitative research questions (or objectives)?
- 3.2. Is the integration of qualitative and quantitative data (or results*) relevant to address the research question (objective)?
- 3.3. Is consideration given to the limitations associated with the divergence of qualitative and quantitative data (or results*)?

^{*} Initial screening, administered to all articles, regardless of their methodology. Articles which did not pass this were discarded

^{**} Relevant criteria from the qualitative (1) and quantitative (2) domains can be applied for mixed methods studies

^{***} Percentage of yes on total (excluding criteria A and B)

Table 3. Study characteristics

Author	Year	Country	Article	Theme of article	Design	Methodology	Sample (n)	Age	Site
Aday	1994	USA	Journal	Experience of imprisonment	Case-study	Qualitative	25	68 (x)	1
Aday	2005	USA	Journal	Death	Cross-sectional	Mixed	102	59 (x)	1
Aday & Webster	1979	USA	Journal	Experience of imprisonment	Cross-sectional Mixed		40	55+	1
Allen et al.	2013	USA	Journal	Religion	Cross-sectional Quantitative		94	45+	1
Allen et al.	2008	USA	Journal	Religion	Cross-sectional	Quantitative	81	50+	1
Baidawi	2015	Australia	Journal	Health status	Cross-sectional	Quantitative	233	50+	>1
Baldwin & Leete	2012	Australia	Journal	Dementia	Editorial	-	-	-	-
Barry et al.	2015	USA	Journal	Suicidal ideation	Cross-sectional	Quantitative	124	50+	>1
Bishop et al.	2014	USA	Journal	Forgiveness	Cross-sectional	Quantitative	261	45+	>1
Booth	1989	USA	Journal	Health status	Discussion paper	-	-	-	-
Chaiklin	1998	USA	Journal	Treatment	Discussion paper	-	-	-	-
Crawley	2005	UK	Journal	Experience of imprisonment	Observational cross-sectional	Qualitative	80+	65+	>1
Crawley & Sparks	2006	UK	Journal	Release	Observational cross-sectional	Qualitative	80+	65+	>1
Doron	2007	Israel	Journal	Experience of imprisonment	Cross-sectional	Qualitative	12	50+	1
Fazel et al.	2002	UK	Journal	Dementia	Case-study	Qualitative	2	69+	1
Fazel et al.	2004	UK	Journal	Treatment	Cross-sectional	Quantitative	203	60+	>1
Forsyth et al.	2015	UK	Journal	Release	Longitudinal	Qualitative	62	60+	>1
Handtke & Wangmo	2014	Switzerland	Journal	Death	Cross-sectional	Qualitative	35	51+	>1
Hodel & Sanchez	2012	USA	Journal	Dementia	Discussion paper	-	-	-	1
Joyce & Maschi	2016	Ireland	Report	Experience of imprisonment	Cross-sectional	Qualitative	23	50+	>1
Leigey	2008	USA	Thesis	Experience of imprisonment	Cross-sectional	Qualitative	25	50+	>1
Loeb et al.	2007	USA	Journal	Release	Pilot	Qualitative	51	50+	1
Moll	2013	UK	Report	Dementia	Report	-	-	-	>1
Reed	1980	USA	Thesis	Experience of imprisonment	Cross-sectional	Qualitative	19	59 (x)	1
Senior et al.	2013	UK	Journal	Health	Cross-sectional	Mixed	127	60+	>1