

10 **Running headline:** Abiotic environment drives virulence evolution

#### 11 **SUMMARY**

1. Parasite virulence varies greatly. Theory predicts that this arises from parasites optimising 13 a trade-off between the mortality they inflict on current hosts, and their transmission to future hosts. The effect of the environment on this coevolution is rarely considered.14 2. Geographic mosaics are fertile systems for studying coevolution, but again, the diversity of 16 outcomes is often assumed to result from co-evolutionary dynamism, rather than being 17 moulded by the environment. 3. Here we quantify variation in virulence among lakes in a geographic mosaic of coevolution18 between a trematode ectoparasite (*Gyrodactylus arcuatus*) and its three-spined stickleback19 20 (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) host. 21 4. Virulence varies greatly in this system, and parasites are generally locally adapted to their 22 hosts. 5. Parasites are also locally adapted to the water in their own lake, and virulence is strongly23 related to lake pH, the dominant axis of abiotic environmental variation in this system.24 6. These results suggest that the evolution of virulence can be substantially affected by the25 26 abiotic environment, which has important implications for understanding coevolution. There 27 are also implications for the evolutionary management of disease e.g. ectoparasites in 28 aquaculture, the impacts of which might be expected to reduce given ongoing acidification of 29 aquatic ecosystems. 30 31 **KEYWORDS**

32 coevolution, disease, Gasterosteus aculeatus, Gyrodactylus, local adaptation, three-spined 33 stickleback, trematode

#### 34 **INTRODUCTION**

The geographic mosaic of coevolution has provided an attractive, if controversial, metaphor35 for the study of spatial variation in the evolution of biotic interactions (Thompson 2005; Nuismer 2006; Gomulkiewicz *et al.* 2007). Numerous empirical studies interpreted in this37 way provide compelling examples of the possible diversity of evolutionary outcomes,38 especially when antagonistic coevolution is inferred (Benkman, Holimon & Smith 2001;39 Brodie, Ridenhour & Brodie 2002; Kraaijeveld, Ferrari & Godfray 2003; Berenbaum &40 Zangerl 2006). An implicit assumption of some of the best known examples has been that41 coevolutionary dynamism by itself, or related biotic interactions, are enough to account for42 43 the spatial diversity of outcomes (Benkman, Holimon & Smith 2001; Brodie, Ridenhour  $\&$ 44 Brodie 2002; Berenbaum & Zangerl 2006). In contrast there has been surprisingly little investigation of the possibility that these outcomes are also, or instead, the result of variation45 in the wider (abiotic) environment in which they take place (Lively *et al.* 2014), although46 such relationships could have important consequences for our understanding of the47 consequences of global environmental change (MacLeod & Poulin 2012; Budria & Candolin48 2014). Here we examine spatial variation in the outcome (virulence) of the interaction between the three-spined stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) and its monogenean trematode50 ectoparasite, *Gyrodactylus arcuatus*, in a geographic mosaic of isolated lakes which exhibit51 52 strong abiotic variation in the aquatic environment.

53

The evolutionary outcome of host-parasite interactions has been intensively studied both 55 theoretically (Frank 1996) and empirically (Ebert 1994; Herre 1995; de Roode, Yates & 56 Altizer 2008). In standard theory (Anderson & May 1979; May & Anderson 1979), virulence is supposed to evolve to a level that optimises the trade-off between the increased risk of57 58 mortality inflicted on the current host, and the probability of transmission to new hosts, both

59 of which are assumed to be positively correlated with the growth rate of the infection. In this sense, the outcome of the host-parasite interaction is assumed to be driven by factors internal60 61 to the interaction (Zhan *et al.* 2002). However it has long been recognised that important effects on the outcome may result from external variation. In the classic example of virulence62 63 evolution in myxomatosis, it has been speculated that substantial differences in virulence between the UK and France may be the result of different vectors (Kerr & Best 1988). The extent to which environmental variation drives virulence evolution is an open question65 (Lively *et al.* 2014). Studying the variation of virulence among strains of parasite species may66 reveal the cause of such variation and it may contribute to a better understanding of how to67 control parasitic infections (Bull 1994; de Roode *et al.* 2008; Lopez Pascua, Gandon &68 69 Buckling 2012), and how they are likely to respond to environmental change (MacLeod  $&\&$ 70 Poulin 2012; Budria & Candolin 2014).

71

We examined variation in the virulence of *G*. *arcuatus* (using an index of the growth rate of72 infections), among lakes on the Scottish island of North Uist, where there is substantial73 3.74 spatial variation in both the abundance of the parasite (de Roij & MacColl 2012) and the aquatic abiotic environment, largely associated with variation in pH, which defines the75 76 dominant axis of environmental variation on North Uist (Waterston *et al.* 1979; MacColl, El Nagar & de Roij 2013; Magalhaes *et al.* 2016). Our aim was to assess the extent of local77 adaptation between parasites and hosts, and to quantify the degree to which variation in78 virulence was associated with abiotic environmental variation. The genus *Gyrodactylus* is79 commonly seen on the fins, gills and skin of many fish species. Because *Gyrodactylus* are80 81 ectoparasites, in direct contact with their environment at all times, we hypothesised that the 82 abiotic aquatic environment would be likely to affect their evolution, including virulence. Unlike other helminth parasites, gyrodactylids can directly reproduce asexually and sexually83 on fish hosts (Harris 1989; Schelkle *et al.* 2012), transmit directly between hosts, and survive84 85 on dead hosts for a short time (Scott & Anderson 1984). Gyrodactylid virulence is strongly related to the parasite's growth rate on an infected host. For example, strong positive86 87 correlations between the growth rate of parasite infections and parasite induced host death 88 have been recorded in the interactions between *G. turnbullis* and guppies *Poecilia reticulata* (Scott & Anderson 1984) and *G. salaris* and Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* (Bakke & 90 MacKenzie 1993).

91

#### 92 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

93 We quantified variation in virulence and the extent of local adaptation of the parasite to host 94 populations, how virulence correlated with the pH of the lake from which the parasites originated, and the extent of local adaptation of parasites to that water. We use the term95 96 virulence (of parasite strains) to describe an index of the growth rate of infections ('total 97 parasite count', see below) averaged over host strains (where possible), and susceptibility (of host strains) to describe the same measure averaged over parasite strains. Resistance is the 99 reciprocal of susceptibility.

100

# 101 **Experimental design**

Experiments involving stickleback were carried out under licence from the U.K. Home

103 Office, PPL 40/3486. We carried out five experiments: (1) to quantify variation in virulence

among parasite populations, strains of *Gyrodactylus* from four separate North Uist lakes104

105 (Obse, Reiv, Scad and Maga, Table S1) were used to infect lab-raised stickleback ( $N = 8, 8, 105$ 

- 106 8, 6 respectively) from an allopatric (tester) population originating from a pond in
- 107 Nottingham (Jubilee lake,  $\sim 880$  km distant from N. Uist). See below for experimental
- infection details. (2) To estimate the extent of local adaptation, *Gyrodactylus* strains from108

three populations (Obse, Reiv and Scad) were used to infect lab-raised fish from the same 110 populations, in a fully reciprocal design. Eight to twelve individual fish were infected in each host-parasite combination, and a further six individuals per fish population were included as 112 uninfected controls. (3) To further explore variation in local adaptation and resistance of hosts, *Gyrodactylus* from Maga were used to infect lab-raised fish from Obse, Scad and Maga  $(N = 6$  fish from each population). (4) To estimate the correlation between virulence and pH, 115 *Gyrodactylus* strains from seven lakes with contrasting pH (Gill, Host, Maga, Obse, Reiv, 116 Scad and Torm, Table S1) were sampled from infected wild fish and used to infect wild caught fish from Chru, a population in which natural infection with *Gyrodactylus* is almost117 118 absent, and fish are naturally susceptible. Eighty fish were divided into eight groups of 10 individuals and one group was monitored as uninfected controls. (5) To quantify local119 120 adaptation of the parasite to lake water, *Gyrodactylus* strains from seven lakes (same as experiment 4) were placed individually in water from their own and the other six populations  $122$  in a reciprocal design. Twelve worms were exposed in each parasite population – lake water combination, in 100µl of water in wells of 96 microwell plates. *Gyrodactylus* survival was123 recorded every three hours until all worms had died. Death was determined from lack of124 125 movement or muscular contractions.

126

#### 127 **Study areas and fish sampling**

128 North Uist is a small (300 km<sup>2</sup>), relatively flat island in the Scottish Western Isles, with many 129 isolated lakes and coastal saline lagoons. Due to variation in surface geology and connectedness to the sea, the chemistry of these water bodies varies greatly in pH, alkaline130 131 metal concentration and salinity (MacColl et al. 2013). Most freshwater lakes are isolated from each other, although they may be connected to the sea by an outlet stream. Three-spined stickleback are resident in most water bodies, and lagoons are also visited in spring by133

breeding migratory stickleback which spend most of their lives at sea. Values of pH used in134 135 analyses were the means of two to six (mean  $= 5.3$ , standard deviation  $= 1.50$ ) annual 136 measurements for each lake recorded in April or May between 2006 and 2014 using a 137 calibrated electronic pH meter (Multi 340i, WTW, Weilheim, Germany). 138

For experiments (1) to (3) fish were collected using minnow traps ('Gees', Dynamic Aqua,139 Vancouver) during April-May 2013 from four geographically isolated lakes: Obse, Reiv,140 141 Scad and Maga. Minnow traps were set in pairs around lake shores in the morning, in water 142 one to three metres deep and left overnight. The four lakes were chosen because of their 143 contrasting environmental conditions, which represent the full range of variation on N. Uist 144 (MacColl et al 2013). Obse is connected with the sea at high tides and is saline, while the 145 others are isolated freshwater lakes (Table S1). Fish for experiment (4) were collected in the 146 same way in April 2014.

147

## 148 **Fish breeding and feeding**

149 Approximately five fish families were raised for each of the Obse, Reiv, Scad, Maga and Jubilee fish populations. This was done by artificially crossing breeding males and gravid150 females of three-spined stickleback on North Uist as described in de Roij, Harris and151 152 MacColl (2011). Fertilised eggs were transported on ice to the aquaria of the School of Life 153 Sciences at the University of Nottingham and incubated until day 10 in oxygen saturated 154 dechlorinated tap water with 2 ppt salt and methylene blue. At day 10, each clutch was 155 separately moved into one half of a 100L glass tank partitioned with fine mesh. Tanks were filled with dechlorinated Nottingham tap water (approx. pH 7.5) and provided with a156 157 biological filter (Fluval, Askoll, Italy) and an air source under controlled temperature and 158 photoperiod conditions mimicking the fish's natural habitat. After hatching, fry were fed on

159 different regimes, starting with *Paramecium* until day 7 and then with a mixture of

*Paramecium* and freshly hatched brine shrimp (*Artemia*) nauplii until day 14. After this stage,

fry were fed on brine shrimp nauplii alone until day 30 and then changed to a mixture of161

brine shrimp and chopped bloodworm defrosted from frozen (gamma blister bloodworm,162

163 Tropical Marine Centre, UK) for 60 days. After that, fish were fed on whole blood worm,

164 defrosted from frozen, until the end of the experiment.

165

#### 166 **Parasite breeding and artificial infections**

167 At the same time that fish were collected for crossing, stickleback were also collected to establish lab populations of *G. arcuatus*. The parasite strains were identified to species levels168 using morphological characteristics of the hard parts (opishaptor) and excretory system169 170 (Geets, Appleby  $&$  Ollevier 1999), and these identifications were checked by sequencing of ITS regions (S. Robertson, unpublished data; A.K. Rahn, personal communication). The 172 worms were passaged on naïve lab fish, until parasites were required for infection 173 experiments.

174

For the first, second and fourth experiments each fish was infected with two *Gyrodactylus*,175 but in the third experiment three *Gyrodactylus* were used. At the start and end of the experiments, standard length and (wet) weight were measured for the fish. Total worm 178 number (including the initial worms) on each fish was counted approximately every four days in the first experiment until day 36, every three days in the second to day 28, on days 5, 13179 180 and 20 in the third experiment and every three days until day 24 in the fourth experiment. The 181 procedures of infection and monitoring were carried out under gentle anaesthesia of the 182 experimental fish in a weak concentration of MS222 (100mg  $L^{-1}$ ). Infected fish were housed 183 individually in 3L plastic tanks containing 2L of dechlorinated tap water. For each tank, 50%

184 of the water content was changed with clean water from the same source every three days. 185 All the fish were housed in a room with controlled temperature (13.5 $\pm$  1°C) and 16:8 of 186 light/dark photoperiod mimicking the external conditions on North Uist. Infected fish were 187 monitored twice daily and if a fish did not swim well or was not feeding properly, it was 188 euthanised by overdose of anaesthetic and mechanical destruction of the brain. All remaining fish were euthanised at the end of the experiments and dissected for gender identification.189 190

# 191 **Statistical analysis**

In the four infection experiments, the response variable 'total parasite count' for each fish192 193 was calculated as the total of all counts for that fish from day  $\dot{0}$  to the last day of the 194 experiment (de Roij, Harris & MacColl 2011). Total parasite count was analysed separately for each experiment using a generalised linear model (GLM) with gamma distribution and logarithm link function. Initially, we analysed data from artificial infection experiments using196 generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) that included 'family' or family nested within197 198 population (population.family) as a random term, depending on whether the experimental 199 design was nested or not, but family never accounted for a significant proportion of the 200 variance, and we reverted to the use of GLMs. Fish length and fish sex were included as 201 independent variables in all analyses. For experiment  $(1)$ , 'parasite population' was the only 202 other fixed factor. For experiment (2), data were analysed in two ways; first, excluding data for sympatric infections, with parasite population as the only explanatory variable to look at 204 the effect of parasites' origin on their average performance on allopatric hosts and second, 205 including all data, with parasite population, fish population and their interaction as 206 explanatory variables to determine whether local adaptation was present (assessed from 207 significance of the parasite population x fish population interaction). For experiment  $(3)$ , fish 208 population was included as a fixed factor, to assess variation in resistance. For experiment

(4), parasite population was included as a fixed factor. Two-tailed Pearson correlations were 210 used to assess the relationships between parasite virulence, estimated in experiment  $(4)$ , and 211 both the pH of lakewater from which the parasite originated and host resistance scores 212 (estimated in experiment 2 by taking the inverse value of susceptibility (total worm count  $^{-1}$ ) for three lab raised stickleback populations (Obse, Reiv and Scad) to allopatric parasite213 214 strains in the reciprocal infections).

215

216 For experiment  $(5)$ , the response variable 'parasite survival time' (hours) was analysed with 217 and without the saltwater parasite population (Obse), using a GLM with gamma distribution 218 and log link function. Fixed factors 'parasite population' and 'lake water origin' were 219 included in a fully factorial design. Also for this experiment, an unpaired-samples t-test was 220 used to compare the mean estimated survival time (hours) of all gyrodactylids when 221 introduced into water from their own or from different lakes.

222

223 Effect size (E) of local adaptation was estimated using an approach developed by Rosenberg,

224 Adams and Gurevitch (2000) and used by other studies (Hoeksema & Forde 2008;

225 Konijnendijk *et al.* 2013) to investigate parasite local adaptation. The effect size (E) was

226 measured as natural log ratio of ' $X_S/X_A$ ' where ' $X_S$ ' is the mean fitness measurements of the

227 parasite strains on their sympatric hosts or in water from their local lake and ' $X_A$ ' is the mean

228 fitness measurements of the strains on allopatric hosts or in water from different lakes.

229 Parasite fitness was inferred from 'total worm count' on sympatric  $(X<sub>S</sub>)$  and two allopatric

230 hosts  $(X_A)$  in experiments 2 and 3 and from survival time (hours) in water from their local

lake against six different lakes in experiment 5. If the mean value of 'E' value is positive, a231

parasite is said to be adapted to its local hosts or conditions and if E is negative a parasite is232

233 said to be maladapted.

For all the artificial infection experiments, fish which were euthanised during the course of235 infections were excluded from the analyses because they had incomplete data. Statistical tests236 237 were performed using the SPSS package (IBM Corp. Released 2013. IBM SPSS Statistics 238 for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp).

239

#### 240 **RESULTS**

241 In experiments in which lab raised fish were infected there was no evidence that the family 242 that a fish came from made any important contribution to variation in infection dynamics. In 243 GLMMs with 'family' (experiments 1 and 2) or 'population.family' (experiment 3) fitted as 244 random terms, the variance component due to family was small in comparison to its standard 245 error:  $0.007 \pm 0.017$ ,  $0.225 \pm 0.197$ ,  $0.054 \pm 0.085$  and  $0.00 \pm 0.00$  in GLMMs for experiments 1, 246 2 (allopatric), 2 (all infections) and 3 respectively. We therefore reverted to the use of GLMs 247 because of their easier fitting and better diagnostics.

248

#### 249 **Variation in virulence**

250 In all three experiments in which it was possible to test the effect  $(1, 2 \text{ and } 4)$ , the 'total worm 251 count' on allopatric tester hosts differed significantly among parasite populations (Table 1 (i, 252 ii.a)). In experiment 1, Maga and Obse parasites attained significantly higher total worm 253 count than Scad parasites (Figure 1A). In experiment 2, both Obse and Reiv parasites had significantly higher total worm counts than Scad parasites (Figure 1B). In experiment 4,254 255 multiple comparison tests showed that Scad and Gill parasites had significantly lower worm 256 counts than Host, Maga, Obse and Reiv parasites (Table  $1(iv)$ ). In experiments 1 and 2, 257 neither sex nor length of fish hosts had an effect on total worm counts (Table 1(i and ii

258 respectively)). In experiment 4, total worm count was not affected by fish body size, but 259 males had higher total worm counts than females (Table  $1(iv)$ ).

260

## 261 **Host-parasite local adaptation**

262 In the reciprocal cross infection experiment  $(2)$  there was again significant variation in 263 virulence among parasite populations (Table 1(iib)). Fish populations also differed consistently in the parasite counts recorded on them, indicating variation in resistance among264 265 host populations. Scad hosts supported the highest infection levels overall. The effect of interaction between parasite population and fish population was significant, indicating local266 267 adaptation (Table 1(iib)). Parasites did best on their own host population, with the exception 268 of Obse (the most virulent parasite population), which did best on Scad (the most susceptible 269 host population). The total parasite count of Reiv and Scad parasite populations was 270 significantly higher on sympatric than allopatric host populations (Fig. 2A).

271

In experiment 3, the total worm count of parasites from Maga differed significantly among272 273 Maga, Obse and Scad fish populations (Table 1(iii)), and performance was better on 274 sympatric Maga fish than allopatric Obse and Scad hosts (Fig. 2B). Fish sex and size had no 275 significant influence on worm count in this experiment.

276

277 In experiment 2 and 3, the three freshwater parasite populations (Reiv, Scad and Maga) 278 consistently had positive values of effect size 'E' measured for total worm count, but the  $279$  Obse parasite had negative 'E' values (Table 2A).

280

#### 281 **Parasite performance and environment**

282 In experiment 4, there was a strong positive correlation between total parasite counts and host resistance to allopatric parasite infection (i.e. by taking the inverse value of total worm counts283 284 during infections in exp. 2), although this was for only three populations ( $r = 0.99$ ,  $N = 3$ ,  $P =$ 285 0.037, Fig. 4A). Mean total worm counts for parasite strains in experiment 4 were strongly 286 positively correlated with the pH of the water in the lake from which the worms originated (r  $287 = 0.92$ ,  $N = 7$ ,  $P = 0.003$ , fig. 4B). When the data from all experiments which used different 288 parasite strains were combined in a single GLM, with total parasite counts as the response 289 variable, and 'experiment'  $(1, 2 \text{ and } 4)$  and 'pH' of lake of origin as explanatory variables, a 290 significant positive relationship between parasite count and pH was again found (for 291 'experiment', Wald F<sub>2,10</sub> = 31.7, P < 0.0001; for 'pH', Wald F<sub>1,10</sub> = 7.28, P = 0.022). 292 293 In experiment 5, parasite survival time was generally higher in water from their own lakes 294 than in water from different lakes (Fig. 3A, B). The expected survival of detached *G.* 295 *arcuatus* varied significantly among the seven parasite strains (including Obse, the saltwater 296 strain, (Table  $1(y.a)$ ) and this remained true when only data for freshwater strains were 297 analysed (Table 1(v.b)). Survival of strains was also affected by the water to which they were exposed, such that the interaction between parasite strain and lakewater origin was significant (Table 1(v.a). The interaction remained significant even after excluding the saltwater strain  $f(300 \text{ from the analysis (Table 1(v.b))})$ . Most parasite strains (Host, Gill, Obse, Scad and Torm) had 301 positive 'E' measured for survival time, but two parasite strains (Maga and Reiv) had 302 negative 'E' values (Table 2B).

303

## 304 **DISCUSSION**

305 We found clear evidence of variation among parasite populations in the growth rate of 306 infections, which is likely to be associated with virulence (Scott & Anderson 1984; Bakke  $\&$ 

307 MacKenzie, 1993). This variation was strongly associated with the dominant axis of aquatic 308 abiotic environmental variation across lakes, the pH. Host resistance also differed consistently across the four infection experiments, suggesting a geographic mosaic of309 coevolution, in which parasites were generally locally adapted. *Gyrodactylus*, an ectoparasite310 311 continually immersed in its aquatic environment, exhibited local adaptation (higher survival) 312 in the water from its own lake, consistent with the association between the pH of the water 313 and variation in virulence.

314

There was a very strong relationship between the virulence of parasites in the lab and the pH 316 of water in their natural environment. Since virulence was measured in common garden 317 conditions (and sometimes after many generations of maintaining, or passaging, the parasites 318 in the lab), it is likely that much of the variation is an evolved, genetic response. Given that 319 *Gyrodactylus* is an ectoparasite, exposed to its environment, and that pH has many effects on 320 organisms, it is quite possible that pH itself has driven divergent evolution of *Gyrodactylus* 321 among North Uist lakes. However, in these lakes, pH is also strongly associated with the 322 availability of alkaline (eg.calcium, magnesium and sodium) and transition (e.g. zinc and copper) metals, and with overall water conductivity. Zinc in particular is known to have toxic323 effects on gyrodactylids (Gheorghiu *et al.* 2007). Therefore, pH may be a proxy for a wide324 325 range of water chemistry and resource conditions (MacColl, El Nagar & de Roij 2013). The 326 association between environmental pH and parasite virulence could be a direct result of selection on the parasite or an indirect result of changes in the life history traits of hosts, 328 although the former seems more likely, given the strength of the relationship. Lakes with low pH probably have poorer resources for stickleback, and this may affect the evolution of the host-parasite relationship. For example, stickleback may mount a weaker immune response330

when resource stressed, favouring reduced virulence in *Gyrodactylus* (Allen & Little 2011;331 332 Rauw 2012).

333

The relationship between pH and virulence has consequences for our understanding of the effects on host-parasite interactions of environmental change, especially eutrophication and335 336 ocean acidification (MacLeod & Poulin 2012; Budria & Candolin 2014). Our results suggest that ocean acidification might lead to a reduction in the virulence of (especially) ectoparasites. The effects of euthrophication on virulence, which can result in oscillating pH,338 339 are harder to predict. 340

There has been very little investigation of the relationship between abiotic environmental341 342 variables and evolved virulence, although many parasites vary in abundance across gradients of e.g. temperature and moisture (Combes & Morand 1999; Wolinska & King 2009;343 Karvonen *et al.* 2013), and host-parasite dynamics are clearly affected by abiotic conditions 345 (Wolinska  $&$  King 2009). Associations between biotic variation and virulence have been investigated, making clear that virulence can respond to environmental circumstances, but346 this is still poorly understood. In a study of bird-malaria interactions, the parasite347 348 (*Plasmodium relictum*) was found to adapt to the nutritional conditions of its hosts and these were thought to shape parasite virulence (Cornet *et al.* 2014). de Roode *et al.* (2008) found349 350 that a protozoan parasite (*Ophryocystis elektroscirrha*) of monarch butterflies (*Danaus plexippus* L.) exhibited low virulence when the larvae of its host fed on a plant containing a toxic substance, possibly through a direct effect of toxicity on virulence, or because the352 353 longevity of the host was reduced by toxicity.

354

Our results suggest that *Gyrodactylus* are generally adapted to their local host fish population,355 although the most virulent parasite (Obse) did better on the weakest host (Scad) than on its356 sympatric host. The survival of detached *Gyrodactylus* also suggested local adaptation of the357 358 parasite to its aquatic environment. The majority of the parasite strains tested in the current study had positive values of local adaptation effect size (E) measured for their performance on sympatric against allopatric hosts and for their survival time in water from their own360 361 against different lakes. Although parasite local adaptation is a common prediction of theoretical models of host-parasite coevolution, there have been few reports of it in362 experimental studies of vertebrate host-parasite interactions (Ballabeni & Ward 1993; 364 Voutilainen *et al.* 2009). Stickleback may provide a model system in this regard, since the 365 isolation of many water bodies from one another may favour evolutionary divergence and local adaptation. Given the direct transmission of *G. arcuatus*, and its rapid reproductive366 strategy it is likely that gene flow between parasite populations will be higher than between 368 host populations, and this may favour local adaptation of the parasite (Raeymaekers *et al.* 369 2011).

370

Apparent lack of local adaptation in one of the parasite strains (Obse) has an obvious 372 explanation. Two ecotypes of three-spined sticklebacks coexist in this saltwater lagoon which is flooded by the sea at spring tides. We used fish of (and parasites from) the 'resident'373 phenotype which inhabit this waterbody year-round. However, anadromous stickleback also374 enter this lagoon in the spring to breed. It seems likely that the gene flow between fish or375 parasites that surely results may disrupt the potential for local adaptation (Lively 1999). In376 this regard, our results agree with previous studies on the evolutionary outcomes of fish parasite combinations from connected waterbodies. For example, Sasal *et al.* (2000) used378 379 four strains of a digenean flatworm (*Labratrema minimus*) and *Pomatoschistus microps*

hosts, Konijnendijk *et al.* (2013) used two strains of *Gyrodactylus gasterostei* and three-380 381 spined stickleback hosts and Perez-Jvostov *et al.* (2015) used four isolates of *Gyrodactylus* sp. and their guppy populations. In the three studies, the parasite strains did not show 383 quantitative differences between sympatric and allopatric host infections. In such scenarios 384 parasite local adaptation could be absent because gene flow in hosts is expected to be higher 385 than in the parasite (Konijnendijk *et al.* 2013).

386

The interaction between stickleback and *Gyrodactylus* appears to match the conditions necessary to be a geographic mosaic of coevolution (Thompson, 2005; Gomulkiewicz et al.388 2007), at least in terms of pattern: traits (virulence and resistance) are spatially variable, and 390 while there is some correlation between traits across populations (e.g. Fig. 4A), implying 391 reciprocal selection between virulence and resistance, there are also mismatches. For example, we have shown here that *Gyrodactylus* from Torm are of intermediate virulence, yet  $\dot{\theta}$  de Roij et al. (2011) found this to be the most resistant of the stickleback populations they 394 assayed. It follows that neither resistance nor virulence are species level traits (Gomulkiewicz 395 et al. 2007).

396

It is more difficult to establish the necessary conditions for a geographic mosaic in terms of397 processes (Gomulkiewicz et al. 2007). However, it seems likely that there is geographic398 variation across the mosaic in the strength of interactions (hot and cold spots): for example in399 Torm we have never recorded more than one *Gyrodactylus* on an individual stickleback400  $(401 \text{ (N=83, ADCM unpublished data)},$  while in Scad we have never recorded more than six  $(102 \quad (N=154)$  and it seems unlikely that such low abundances can have substantial effects on the 403 fitness of hosts. In contrast, stickleback in saltwater occasionally have *Gyrodactylus* 404 abundances as high as 300! As discussed in the previous paragraph, it also seems likely that

trait remixing is occurring in this system: some lakes are connected to each other in the same405 406 catchment, while those close to the sea also experience an influx of migratory stickleback 407 (and their parasites) in the spring each year, making gene flow between both host and parasite 408 populations likely. We cannot at this stage establish that there is a selection mosaic in the interaction between stickleback and *Gyrodactylus* (Gomulkiewicz et al. 2007), although it is409 possible to imagine individually based, quantitative genetic experiments that might make this410 411 possible.

412

In conclusion, our study suggests that the interaction between *Gyrodactylus* and stickleback413 can be described as a geographic mosaic of coevolution, but that levels of virulence exhibited414 by parasites from different populations are more a result of the aquatic environment (pH) to 416 which the parasite is exposed, than an emergent property of the host-parasite interaction. As 417 both the hosts and their parasites used in some experiments were raised in the lab, the 418 difference among populations is likely genetic and driven by differences in gene flow 419 between the parasites and their hosts (Greischar & Koskella 2007). Collectively, this body of 420 work highlights the fact that environmental variables (especially water pH) can potentially alter the dynamic of this host- parasite interactions and may determine virulence levels421 422 (Lively *et al.* 2014).

423

## 424 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

425 M.A.M. conducted fieldwork, designed and carried out experiments, analysed data and 426 contributed to writing the manuscript. J.E.B. contributed to project design and writing the 427 manuscript. A.D.C.M. conceived the project, designed and supervised experiments, and

contributed to data analysis and writing the manuscript. All authors contributed critically to428 429 the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

430

# 431 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

432 The work was supported by the Human Capacity Development Program (HCDP) of the

433 Kurdistan Regional Government, Iraq and the University of Nottingham. We are grateful to

434 North Uist Estates for access to lochs; to Ann Lowe and Alan Crampton for fish husbandry;

Isabel Santos for her valuable suggestions about the project; Daniele D'Agostino, Shaun435

Robertson, Abdul Rahman, Becca Young, Talib Chitheer and James Whiting for field work436

437 assistance. We thank three anonymous reviewers who substantially improved the manuscript.

All work on stickleback was carried out under Project Licence 40/3486 from the U.K. Home

439 Office.

440

#### 441 **DATA ACCESSIBILITY**

- All data from the reported experiments have been archived in the Dryad Digital Repository,
- 443 http://doi:10.5061/dryad.37ns0 (Mahmud, Bradley & MacColl, 2017).

## 444 **REFERENCES**

- 445 Allen, D.E. & Little, T.J. (2011) Identifying energy constraints to parasite resistance. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology,* **24,** 224-229.446
- Anderson, R.M. & May, R.M. (1979) Population biology of infectious diseases: Part I. *Nature,* **280,** 361-367.448
- Bakke, T.A. & MacKenzie, K. (1993) Comparative susceptibility of native Scottish and449 450 Norwegian stocks of atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L., to *Gyrodactylus salaris* Malmberg: Laboratory experiments. *Fisheries Research,* **17,** 69-85.451
- Ballabeni, P. & Ward, P.I. (1993) Local adaptation of the trematode *Diplostomum phoxini* to452 453 the European minnow *Phoxinus phoxinus*, its second intermediate host. *Functional Ecology,* **7,** 84-90.454
- 455 Benkman, C.W., Holimon, W.C. & Smith, J.W. (2001) The influence of a competitor on the 456 geographic mosaic of coevolution between crossbills and lodgepole pine. *Evolution,* **55,** 282–294.457
- 458 Berenbaum, M.R. & Zangerl, A.R. (2006) Parsnip webworms and host plants at home and abroad: trophic complexity in a geographic mosaic. *Ecology,* **87,** 3070–3081.459
- 460 Brodie, E.D.J., Ridenhour, B.J. & Brodie, E.D.I. (2002) The evolutionary response of predators to dangerous prey: Hotspots and coldspots in the geographic mosaic of461 coevolution between garter snakes and newts. *Evolution,* **56,** 2067–2082.462
- 463 Budria, A. & Candolin, U. (2014) How does human-induced environmental change influence host-parasite interactions? *Parasitology,* **141,** 462-474.464
- 465 Bull, J.J. (1994) Perspective: virulence. *Evolution*, **48,** 1423-1437.
- Combes, C. & Morand, S. (1999) Do parasites live in extreme environments ? Constructing466 hostile niches and living in them. *Parasilology,* **119,** S107-S110.467
- Cornet, S., Bichet, C., Larcombe, S., Faivre, B. & Sorci, G. (2014) Impact of host nutritional468 469 status on infection dynamics and parasite virulence in a bird-malaria system. *Journal of Animal Ecology,* **83,** 256-265.470
- 471 de Roij, J., Harris, P.D. & MacColl, A.D.C. (2011) Divergent resistance to a monogenean flatworm among three-spined stickleback populations. *Functional Ecology,* **25,** 217-472 226.473
- de Roij, J. & MacColl, A.D.C. (2012) Consistent differences in macroparasite community474 475 composition among populations of three-spined sticklebacks, *Gasterosteus aculeatus* L. *Parasitology,* **139,** 1478-1491.476
- 477 de Roode, J.C., Pedersen, A.B., Hunter, M.D. & Altizer, S. (2008) Host plant species affects virulence in monarch butterfly parasites. *Journal of Animal Ecology,* **77,** 120-126.478
- 479 de Roode, J.C., Yates, A.J. & Altizer, S. (2008) Virulence-transmission trade-offs and population divergence in virulence in a naturally occurring butterfly parasite.480 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA,* **105,** 7489-7494.481
- Ebert, D. (1994) Virulence and local adaptation of a horizontally transmitted parasite.482 *Science,* **265,** 1084-1086.483
- Frank, S.A. (1996) Models of parasite virulence. *The Quarterly Review of Biology,* **71,** 37-78.484
- Geets, A., Appleby, C. & Ollevier, F. (1999) Host-dependent and seasonal variation in485 opisthaptoral hard parts of *Gyrodactylus* cf. *arcuatus* from three *Pomatoschistus* spp.486 487 and *G. arcuatus* from *Gasterosteus aculeatus*: a multivariate approach. *Parasitology,* **119,** 27–40.488
- Gheorghiu, C., Cable, J., Marcogliese, D.J. & Scott, M.E. (2007) Effects of waterborne zinc489 on reproduction, survival and morphometrics of *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* (Monogenea)490 on guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*). *International Journal for Parasitology,* **37,** 375-381.491
- 492 Gomulkiewicz, R., Drown, D.M., Dybdahl, M.F., Godsoe, W., Nuismer, S.L., Pepin, K.M., Ridenhour, B.J., Smith, C.I. & Yoder, J.B. (2007) Dos and don'ts of testing the493 geographic mosaic theory of coevolution. *Heredity (Edinb),* **98,** 249-258.494
- Greischar, M.A. & Koskella, B. (2007) A synthesis of experimental work on parasite local495 adaptation. *Ecology Letters,* **10,** 418-434.496
- Harris, P.D. (1989) Interactions between population growth and sexual reproduction in the 498 viviparous monogenean *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* Harris, 1986 from the guppy, *Poecilia reticulata* Peters. *Parasitology,* **98,** 245-251.499
- 500 Herre, E.A. (1995) Factors affecting the evolution of virulence: Nematode parasites of fig 501 wasps as a case study. *Parasitology*, 111, S179-S191.
- 502 Hoeksema, J.D. & Forde, S.E. (2008) A meta-analysis of factors affecting local adaptation between interacting species. *The American Naturalist,* **171,** 275-290.503
- 504 Karvonen, A., Kristjansson, B.K., Skulason, S., Lanki, M., Rellstab, C. & Jokela, J. (2013) Water temperature, not fish morph, determines parasite infections of sympatric505 506 Icelandic threespine sticklebacks (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). *Ecology and Evolution,* **3,** 1507-1517.
- 508 Kerr, P.J. & Best, S.M. (1988) Myxoma virus in rabbits. *Revue Scientifique et Technique de l'OIE,* **17,** 256-268.509
- 510 Konijnendijk, N., Raeymaekers, J.A.M., Vandeuren, S., Jacquemin, L. & Volckaert, F.A.M. (2013) Testing for local adaptation in the *Gasterosteus*–*Gyrodactylus* host–parasite511 system. *Evolutionary Ecology Research,* **15,** 489-502.512
- 513 Kraaijeveld, A.R., Ferrari, J. & Godfray, H.C.J. (2003) Costs of resistance in insect-parasite and insect-parasitoid interactions. *Parasitology,* **125,** S71- S82.514
- Lively, C.M. (1999) Migration, virulence, and the geographic mosaic of adaptation by515 516 parasites. *The American Naturalist*, **153,** S34- S47.
- Lively, C.M., Roode, J.C.d., Duffy, M.A., Graham, A.L. & Koskella, B. (2014) Interesting517 518 open questions in disease ecology and evolution. *The American Naturalist*, 184, S1– 519 S8.
- 520 Lopez Pascua, L., Gandon, S. & Buckling, A. (2012) Abiotic heterogeneity drives parasite 521 local adaptation in coevolving bacteria and phages. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology,* **25,** 187-195.
- 523 MacColl, A.D.C., El Nagar, A. & de Roij, J. (2013) The evolutionary ecology of dwarfism in three-spined sticklebacks. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **82**, 642-652.
- 525 MacLeod, C.D. & Poulin, R. (2012) Host–parasite interactions: a litmus test for ocean acidification? *Trends in Parasitology,* **28,** 365-369.526
- 527 Magalhaes, I.S., D'Agostino, D., Hohenlohe, P.A. & MacColl, A.D.C. (2016) The ecology of an adaptive radiation of three-spined stickleback from North Uist, Scotland.528 *Molecular Ecology,* **25,** 4316-4336.529
- 530 Mahmud, M.A., Bradley, J.E. & MacColl, A.D.C. (in press) Data from: Abiotic environmental variation drives virulence evolution in a fish host-parasite geographic531 532 mosaic. Dryad Digital Repository. http://doi:10.5061/dryad.37ns0
- 533 May, R.M. & Anderson, R.M. (1979) Population biology of infectious diseases: Part II. *Nature,* **280,** 455-461.534
- Nuismer, S.L. (2006) Parasite local adaptation in a geographic mosaic. *Evolution***,** 24-30.535
- 536 Perez-Jvostov, F., Hendry, A.P., Fussmann, G.F. & Scott, M.E. (2015) Testing for local hostparasite adaptation: an experiment with *Gyrodactylus* ectoparasites and guppy hosts.537 *International Journal for Parasitology,* **45,** 409-417.538
- 539 Raeymaekers, J.A.M., Wegner, K.M., Huyse, T. & Volckaert, F.A.M. (2011) Infection dynamics of the monogenean parasite *Gyrodactylus gasterostei* on sympatric and540
- 541 allopatric populations of three- spined stickleback *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. *Folia Parasitologica,* **58,** 27-34.542
- 543 Rauw, W.M. (2012) Immune response from a resource allocation perspective. *Frontiers in Genetics,* **3,** 1-14.544
- Rosenberg, M.S., Adams, D.C. & Gurevitch, J. (2000) MetaWin, statistical software for545 meta-analysis. Version:2.0, *Sinauer, Sunderland Massachusetts*. . Department of546 Ecology and Evolution, State University of New York at Stony Brook, pp. 1-25.
- Sasal, P., Durand, P., Faliex, E. & Morand, S. (2000) Experimental approach to the 549 importance of parasitism in biological conservation. *Marine Ecology Progress Series,* **198,** 293-302.
- Schelkle, B., Faria, P.J., Johnson, M.B., van Oosterhout, C. & Cable, J. (2012) Mixed infections and hybridisation in monogenean parasites. *PLoS ONE,* **7,** e39506.552
- 553 Scott, M.E. & Anderson, R.M. (1984) The population dynamics of *Gyrodactylus bullatarudis* (Monogenea) within laboratory populations of the fish host *Poecilia reticulata*.554 *Parasitology,* **89,** 159-194.555
- 556 Thompson, J.N. (2005) *The Geographic Mosaic of Coevolution*, University of Chicago Press: 557 Chicago, IL, USA, . pp. pp. 50-135.
- Voutilainen, A., Valdez, H., Karvonen, A., Kortet, R., Kuukka, H., Peuhkuri, N., Piironen, J.558 & Taskinen, J. (2009) Infectivity of trematode eye flukes in farmed salmonid fish -559 Effects of parasite and host origins. *Aquaculture,* **293,** 108-112.560
- 561 Waterston, A.R., Holden, A.V., Campbell, R.N. & Maitland, P.S. (1979) The inland waters of 562 the Outer Hebrides. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh. Section B. Biological Sciences,* **77,** 329-351.
- 564 Wolinska, J. & King, K.C. (2009) Environment can alter selection in host-parasite 565 interactions. *Trends in Parasitology*, **25,** 236-244.
- 566 Zhan, J., Mundt, C.C., Hoffer, M.E. & B.A.Mcdonald (2002) Local adaptation and effect of host genotype on the rate of pathogen evolution: an experimental test in a plant pathosystem. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology,* **15,** 634–647.568







582 Table 2. Local adaptation effect size (E) for the parasite performance measured: (A) *in situ* 583 using the formulae 'ln (the average of total worm count on a sympatric host / the average of 584 total worm count on two allopatric hosts)' in the second and third experiments and (B) *in* 585 vitro using 'ln (the average survival hours in water from own lake/ the average survival hours 586 in water from six different lakes)' for the fourth experiment.



Figure 1. Virulence of parasite strains on allopatric hosts. (A) Mean total worm load of588 589 parasites from four different populations (Obse, Reiv, Scad and Maga) on hosts from a single 590 allopatric stickleback population (Jubilee) in experiment 1. (B) Mean total worm load of 591 parasite strains from Obse, Reiv and Scad on hosts from the two allopatric stickleback 592 populations in experiment 2. In experiment 2, each of the three parasite populations was tested reciprocally on its sympatric and two allopatric hosts, but only their average measures 594 on allopatric hosts are used in this figure (i.e. Obse on Reiv and Scad: shaded; Reiv on Obse 595 and Scad: lined; Scad on Obse and Reiv: plain). Asterisks above the error bars represent 596 results of post hoc (LSD) tests indicating the presence of significant differences (\* =  $P \leq$ 597 0.05, \*\* =  $P \le 0.01$ , \*\*\* =  $P \le 0.001$ ).

598

Figure 2. Differences in the total worm load measured for each parasite population on its599 600 sympatric and two allopatric host populations. (A) In experiment 2 each of Obse, Reiv and 601 Scad parasites was tested on three fish populations (Obse: shaded; Reiv: horizontally lined 602 and Scad: plain). (B) In experiment 3 Maga parasites were also tested on three fish populations (Obse: shaded; Scad: plain and Maga: vertically lined).603

604

Figure 3. Difference in the log transformed mean survival time (hours) of detached605 gyrodactylids when incubated in water from their own (plain) and six different (shaded)606 607 lakes: (A) represents data from all seven strains (Gill, Host, Maga, Obse, Reiv, Scad and  $608$  Torm) of the parasite while in (B), the saltwater strain (Obse) was excluded from the 609 analysis.

610

Figure 4. The relationship between the response variable 'total worm count' measured for611 612 parasite populations in the lab (experiment 4) and:  $(A)$  host resistance scores of three

- stickleback populations to two allopatric *Gyrodactylus* strains ('mean total worm count -1' in613
- experiment 2) and (B) lake-water pH for seven lakes on North Uist.

615 Fig. 1



618 Fig. 2.



621 Fig. 3



624 Fig. 4

