# The Bangladesh Sustainability Compact: An Effective Exercise of Global Experimentalist EU Governance?

Jeff KENNER and Katrina PEAKE\*

#### **Abstract**

The calamitous Rana Plaza factory collapse in 2013 focused international attention on labour rights' violations and factory safety in Bangladesh's dominant ready-made garment industry which is almost wholly dependent on exports to the EU. In response, the EU and the ILO launched the Bangladesh Sustainability Compact, with the core objective of promoting continuous improvement in labour rights and factory safety in the industry. The uniqueness of the Compact stems from its nature as a form of experimentalist governance involving both governmental and non-governmental actors. Being primarily an EU-led initiative based on balancing trade, sustainable development and human rights' objectives, it is underpinned by the possible option, if the Compact fails, of withdrawing trade preferences. This article will examine the rationale for the Compact, its main features, and its effectiveness as a form of 'global experimentalist governance'.

**Keywords:** labour rights, trade, sustainable development, European Union, Bangladesh, Compact, ready-made garments, global experimentalist governance

## I. INTRODUCTION

The European Commission once posed the rhetorical question, 'it is surely unacceptable for the international community to turn a blind eye to human rights violations in the name of trade liberalisation?' Embracing a wealth of debate over the connection between the social dimension of globalisation and the pursuit of free trade,<sup>2</sup> the EU has been asking itself this question over many

<sup>\*</sup> Jeff Kenner is Professor of European Law at the University of Nottingham; Katrina Peake is a Midlands3Cities Doctoral Researcher at the University of Nottingham. This article further develops research by the authors in a case study published for the EU FP7 project FRAME (Fostering Human Rights among European policies): M Ark, M Islam, J Kenner, B Lein, K Peake, 'The Integration of EU development, trade and human rights policies', FRAME Work Package No 9 Deliverable No 4 (Commission, 1 September 2016) <a href="https://www.fp7-frame.eu/frame-reps-9-4/">http://www.fp7-frame.eu/frame-reps-9-4/</a>> ("FRAME Deliverable 9.4").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Commission, 'The Trading System and Internationally Recognised Labour Standards', COM(96) 402 final, p 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See O De Schutter, *Trade in the Service of Sustainable Development* (Hart Publishing, 2015); VA Leary and D Warner (eds), *Social Issues, Globalisation and International Institutions* (Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2006); B Hepple, *Labour Laws and Global Trade* (Hart Publishing 2005); S Charnovitz, *Trade Law and Global Governance* (Cameron May, 2002); Y Dahan et al (eds), *Global Justice and International Labour Rights* (Cambridge University Press, 2016); A Chan and RJS Ross, 'Racing to the Bottom: International Trade Without a Social Clause' (2003) 24(6) *Third World Quarterly* 1011.

decades.<sup>3</sup> Nevertheless, the EU's actions, addressing this question, have been limited and it has still not found an adequate method to address this complex connection.<sup>4</sup> The EU was obliged to react, however, when the Rana Plaza factory complex near Dhaka collapsed on 24 April 2013. In the worst industrial disaster of the 21<sup>st</sup> century, to date, over 1,136 people died and over 2,535 suffered serious injuries.<sup>5</sup> Most were young women working on the production of ready-made garments (RMGs) for export, mainly to the EU. The victims had been instructed to work for fear of loss of wages despite compelling evidence that the building was unsafe.<sup>6</sup> The tragedy was wholly avoidable and has been summed up aptly by Jeremy Seabrook as 'a story of such appalling contempt for human life that it must rank among the most callous in the brutal history of industrialism'.<sup>7</sup> It was Rana Plaza, which followed on from a series of fatal factory fires,<sup>8</sup> that finally put the denial of labour rights and dangerous factory conditions in Bangladesh's RMG industry under the global spotlight.

The EU as Bangladesh's largest trading partner, with RMGs accounting for 90% of the country's exports to the Union,<sup>9</sup> was duty bound, morally, legally and normatively, to act. Morally, the international community looked to the Union for some action of 'moral generosity'<sup>10</sup> that would address the negative effects of trade liberalisation that it had, in part, been facilitated by means of tariff preferences. Legally, withdrawal of tariff preferences upon 'serious and systematic violations' of human rights, including violations of certain International Labour Organisation (ILO) conventions, listed as conditions under the EU's Generalised Scheme of Preferences (GSP),<sup>11</sup> had to be considered as a real possibility. Normatively, as an international standard-setter,<sup>12</sup> the EU had an imperative to act in a manner that would demonstrate its influence and effectiveness as a global actor.

In response, the Union concluded the Bangladesh Sustainability Compact (the Compact), a soft law initiative supported by the ILO, alongside several different actors, including the US (and later Canada), domestic and international trade union and employer organisations, and the Government of Bangladesh.<sup>13</sup> The uniqueness of the Compact stems from its nature as a tailored form of 'global

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See note 1 above, p 16; P Alston (eds), *The EU and Human Rights* (Oxford University Press, 1999); Commission, 'The Social Dimension of Globalisation – The EU's Policy Contribution on Extending the Benefits to All', COM(2004) 383 final.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Commission, 'Promoting Core Labour Standards and Improving Social Governance in the Context of Globalisation', COM(2001) 416 final, p 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> KG Moazzem and A Islam, 'Moving Beyond the Shadow of the Rana Plaza Tragedy: In Search of a Closure and Restructuring Strategy' (Fourth Monitoring Report, Centre for Policy Dialogue Bangladesh, 21 April 2015) 6 <a href="https://cpd.org.bd/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/Fourth-Monitoring-Report-Rana-Plaza-Tragedy-FINAL-April-2015.pdf">https://cpd.org.bd/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/Fourth-Monitoring-Report-Rana-Plaza-Tragedy-FINAL-April-2015.pdf</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> J Seabrook, *The Song of the Shirt* (Hurst & Company, 2015) p 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Just a few months earlier, 112 garment workers had perished in a fire at the Tazreen Fashions factory. See Seabrook, ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Delegation of the EU to Bangladesh, 'Trade and Investment'

<sup>&</sup>lt;a href="http://eeas.europa.eu/archives/delegations/bangladesh/eu\_bangladesh/trade/index\_en.htm">http://eeas.europa.eu/archives/delegations/bangladesh/eu\_bangladesh/trade/index\_en.htm</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> BA Langille, 'Seeking Post-Seattle Clarity – and Inspiration' in J Conaghan et al, *Labour Law in an Era of Globalization: Transformative Practices and Possibilities* (Oxford University Press, 2002) p 139.

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$  Commission Regulation (EU) No 978/2012 [2012] OJ L 303 ("GSP Regulation"), Chap V.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See I Manners, 'Normative Power Europe: A Contradiction in Terms?' (2002) 40(2) *JCMS* 235.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Commission, 'Staying Engaged: A Sustainability Compact for Continuous Improvement in Labour Rights and Factory Safety in the Ready-Made Garment and Knitwear Industry in Bangladesh' (Joint Statement) p 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;a href="http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2013/july/tradoc\_151601.pdf">http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2013/july/tradoc\_151601.pdf</a> ("The Bangladesh Sustainability Compact")

experimentalist governance' (GXG) tested by the EU.<sup>14</sup> The Compact reflects support for experimentalist governance implicit in the EU's legal and policy framework governing its external relations. Indeed, it may provide a blueprint for future EU interventions designed to provide solutions to complex interactions between trade, sustainable development and human rights' considerations in bilateral or multilateral relations. However, concerns have been raised as to the Compact's effectiveness, and its nature as a form of GXG must be assessed to ascertain its capacity for positively affecting labour rights and conditions in Bangladesh's RMG industry.

This article will first define experimentalist governance and, next, explore the potential of the broader concept of GXG in the sphere of the EU's external relations, before embarking on a case study of the Compact, to determine its effectiveness, as a GXG method, for the EU to exert its leverage in trade to promote sustainable development and continuous improvement in labour rights in Bangladesh's RMG industry.

## II. DEFINING EXPERIMENTALIST GOVERNANCE

'Experimentalist governance' is a term deployed to describe a typology of governance that differs from the norm. 'Governance' refers to features such as an integrated network of public and private actors and the deterioration of distinctions between hard and soft law.<sup>15</sup> It may also encompass flexible, less prescriptive, and less hierarchical-types of regulatory approaches to governance.<sup>16</sup> The involvement of actors other than classically governmental actors, both private and third sector, is one of its core features.<sup>17</sup> Experimentalist governance can be applied to processes and practices that are of a normative nature yet cannot be related to the traditional governmental 'command-and-control-type legal institutions'.<sup>18</sup> The 'experimentalist' element of the term can be defined as processes and practices that instigate doubt about the assumptions and practices of governance, the solutions of which are thought incomplete and able to be readjusted through the comparison of different approaches to advancing similar aims.<sup>19</sup> Reduced to its core 'experimentalist' nature, it constitutes a typology of governance that constitutes a particular method of achieving certain goals by putting it to the test to collect, ultimately, outcome samples of its success or failure.

The concept of 'EU experimentalist governance', coined by Sabel and Zeitlin, embodies several features.<sup>20</sup> These are: firstly, framework goals and measures that are established by joint action of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> G de Búrca, RO Keohane and C Sabel, 'Global Experimentalist Governance' (2014) 44 *BJPolS* 477, p 478.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> C Möllers, 'European Governance: Meaning and Value of a Concept' (2006) 43 CML Rev 313, p 322.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> G de Búrca and J Scott, 'Introduction: New Governance, Law and Constitutionalism' in G de Búrca and J Scott (eds), *Law and New Governance in the EU and the US* (Hart Publishing, 2006) p 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Ibid.

<sup>18</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> J Dewey, *The Public and its Problems* (Originally Published H Holt 1927, Swallow Press 1991) as cited in J Zeitlin, *Transnational Transformations of Governance* (Amsterdam University Press, 2011) p 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> CF Sabel and J Zeitlin (ed), Experimentalist Governance in the European Union: Towards a New Architecture (Oxford University Press, 2010) p 3.

Member States and the EU institutions; secondly, that lower-level units have the freedom to meet these goals as they see fit; thirdly, these units report regularly on performance and participate in a peer review; and fourthly, framework goals and procedures are periodically revised by the actors who initially established them.<sup>21</sup> This form of experimentalist governance is applied to the internal structure of EU policy making. Nevertheless, it has become clear that experimentalist governance also has an external dimension. Indeed, its attractiveness has led to its application both transnationally by the EU and,<sup>22</sup> to a degree, globally.<sup>23</sup> As a form of policy making, it is increasingly recognised as a legitimate and advantageous method of pursuing external aims and objectives.

The term 'global experimentalist governance'<sup>24</sup> (GXG), as developed by De Búrca, Keohane and Sabel, constitutes: firstly, an initial reflection and discussion among stakeholders over a common problem; secondly, the articulation of a framework understanding with open-ended goals; thirdly, the implementation of goals is left to lower-level or contextually situated actors with knowledge of local conditions; fourthly, there is continuous feedback from local contexts; and fifthly, the goals and practices are periodically and routinely re-evaluated and where possible revised.<sup>25</sup> GXG may also take place in the shadow of 'penalty default',<sup>26</sup> which underpins this form of governance in a scenario of non-cooperation, in accordance with De Búrca and Scott's default hybridity thesis.<sup>27</sup> In essence, this thesis underpins experimentalist governance with the 'shadow of the law' whereby the legal rules represent the default regime that can be applied for the purpose of forcing a particular action.<sup>28</sup>

Many of the features of experimentalist governance and GXG are similar. In both, for example, the actors involved know the outcomes that are desired but the path to obtaining these outcomes is blurred.<sup>29</sup> Such forms of governance are endowed with a number of advantages, being suitable for, and facilitating, diverse, provisional and revisable decision making, transparency and policy learning, and participation and cooperation across a range of relevant stakeholders.<sup>30</sup>

## III. EXPERIMENTALIST GOVERNANCE IN EU EXTERNAL RELATIONS

Experimentalist governance is supported within the EU's external relations, particularly the fields of trade, sustainable development and human rights, by a number of factors, including: 'spillover' from internal experimentalist governance processes and practices; legal foundation in the Treaties and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Examples of transnational regulation include the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, the Forest Law Enforcement Governance and Trade Initiative, and the EU's role in shaping the transnational regulation of GMOs, see J Zeitlin (ed), *Extending Experimentalist Governance? The European Union and Transnational Regulation* (Oxford University Press, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Examples of GXG include the Montreal Protocol to the Vienna Convention, see note 14 above, p 478.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> See note 14 above.

<sup>25</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> See note 16 above, p 9.

<sup>28</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> See note 14 above, p 479.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> See note 16 above, p 3.

policies pertaining to external action; close relations with international organisations that share policy objectives, such as the ILO; integration of non-governmental actors within trade instruments; and, the global context of fulfilling the UN's Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

# a. Experimentalist Spillover

The EU's pursuit of experimentalist governance within its external relations is represented by the term 'experimentalist spillover'. In the field of labour law, experimentalist spillover has occurred as the EU adopts increasingly novel methods to develop and implement laws, involving both public and private actors, including the social partners - trade unions and employers' organisations - in the design of laws and policies. Experimentalist spillover also aligns with 'normative spillover' as as the EU externalises human rights protection and norms, including sustainable development, worldwide. These varying concepts of spillover stem from neofunctionalism, a theory put forward to explain the European integration process whereby integration in one policy area will lead rapidly to integration in other policy areas. As such, as the thrust of integration creates a domino effect as governance tools adopted internally are pursued externally. This endorses GXG as an effective mechanism for the EU to promote its standards as a 'normative actor'.

b. Experimentalist Governance as supported within EU

## External Relations Law and Policy

The endorsement of experimentalist governance as a mechanism of governance within the EU's acquis is evident. Ambitions of multilateralism and engagement with actors beyond traditional 'command-and-control-type' governmental institutions are mandated within Article 21(1) TEU on the principles of external action. With a domineering tone, this provision states that the EU 'shall seek to develop relations and build partnerships with third countries, and international, regional or global organisations'<sup>36</sup> which share principles pertaining to, inter alia, 'the universality and indivisibility of human rights and fundamental freedoms'. It bolsters the founding of the Union on 'respect for human rights' (Article 2 TEU) and its mandate to uphold and protect its values, including sustainable development (Article 21(2) TEU), in its relations with the 'wider world' (Article 3(5) TEU). This mandate is generated from Articles 207(1) and 208(1) TFEU pertaining, respectively, to the Common Commercial Policy and Development Cooperation, which underpin Union policies in these areas with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> The concept can also be applied to other areas of external EU experimentalist governance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> C Kilpatrick, 'New EU Employment Governance and Constitutionalism' in G de Búrca and J Scott (eds), *Law and New Governance in the EU and the US* (Hart Publishing, 2006) p 135.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> M González Garibay, 'The Trade-Labour Linkage from the Eyes of Developing Countries: A Euphemism for Protectionist Practices? (2009) 5 *European Foreign Affairs Review* 763.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> B Rosamond, *Theories of European Integration* (Palgrave Macmillan, 2000) p 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> See note 12 above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Emphasis added.

human rights values. Human rights are deemed the 'silver thread' that runs through EU external action.<sup>37</sup>

Discernibly, the Union is a 'staunch defender' of human rights both internally and externally. <sup>38</sup> Labour rights falling within the broad domain of economic, social and cultural rights are put, at least notionally, on the same footing as civil and political rights under the EU's Charter of Fundamental Rights. However, despite its normative ambitions as a human rights defender, the Union lacks policies that are consistent and coherent in the field of trade and labour rights. <sup>39</sup> Yet, as there is the scope for norm transfer as the EU conducts trade, <sup>40</sup> it is a potentially lucrative field through which to promote labour rights rooted in ILO obligations shared with partner countries.

Trade and labour rights became a focus for the EU in the 1990s after the failure, at WTO level, to integrate international trade and labour standards.<sup>41</sup> In turn, this led the EU to design an 'ambitious' agenda for promoting labour rights through trade.<sup>42</sup> This agenda rejects protectionist or sanction-based approaches, which might lead to disputes before the WTO, in favour of the pursuit of integrated, multi-disciplinary methods.<sup>43</sup> The EU seeks to promote incentive, dialogue and cooperation-based approaches to fostering labour rights, incorporating civil society, including domestic and international social partners.<sup>44</sup> Through this inclusive method, the EU aims to act broadly by collective means,<sup>45</sup> facilitating social dialogue,<sup>46</sup> and supporting regular review of progress towards set targets, and promoting feedback and learning.<sup>47</sup> The EU also conducts a significant proportion of external activities within multilateral global governance fora.<sup>48</sup>

# c. The EU-ILO Relationship

Fundamentally, the EU-ILO relationship is intrinsic to any policy approach that is focused on improving labour rights in countries which, as ILO members, are signatories of the 1998 Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and committed to ratification of core ILO conventions.<sup>49</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Commission, 'Human Rights and Democracy at the Heart of EU External Action – Towards a More Effective Approach', COM(2011) 886 final.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> P Alston and JHH Weiler, 'An 'Ever-Closer Union' in Need of a Human Rights Policy: The European Union and Human Rights' in P Alston (ed), *The EU and Human Rights* (Oxford University Press 1999) p 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> See note 12 above, p 245.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> See B Hepple, Labour Laws and Global Trade (Hart Publishing, 2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> B Kerremans and J Orbie, 'The Social Dimension of European Union Trade Policies' (2009) 14 European Foreign Affairs Review 629, p 632.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> See note 4 above, p 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> See note 38 above, p 11; Commission, 'Promoting Decent Work for All: The EU Contribution to the Implementation of the Decent Work Agenda in the World', COM(2006) 249 final, p 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> G De Búrca, 'EU External Relations: The Governance Mode of Foreign Policy' in B Van Vooren et al (eds), *The EU's Role in Global Governance: The Legal Dimension* (Oxford University Press, 2013) p 42.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Commission, 'Promoting Decent Work for All', note 44 above, p 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Commission, 'A Global Partnership for Poverty Eradication and Sustainable Development After, 2015', COM(2015) 44 final, p 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> J Wouters et al, 'The European Union's Participation in United Nations Human Rights and Environmental Governance: Key Concepts and Major Challenges' in J Wouters et al (eds), *The European Union and Multilateral Governance: Assessing the EU Participation in United Nations Human Rights and Environmental Fora* (Palgrave Macmillan, 2012) p 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Adopted by the International Labour Conference at its Eighty-sixth Session, Geneva, 18 June 1998 (Annex revised 15 June 2010) <a href="http://www.ilo.org/declaration/thedeclaration/textdeclaration/lang--en/index.htm">http://www.ilo.org/declaration/thedeclaration/textdeclaration/lang--en/index.htm</a>

The relationship between the EU and the ILO is not an asymmetric one.<sup>50</sup> The EU supports the ILO internally, by encouraging its Member States to ratify ILO conventions and approve recommendations, and externally through policy and normative measures.<sup>51</sup> From 2004 the relationship has deepened, out of a shared desire to promote the social dimension of globalisation, through the establishment of a 'strategic partnership' under which the EU plays a lead role in promoting the ILO programme for 'decent work' through joint development cooperation initiatives.<sup>52</sup> The concept of decent work encompasses job creation, rights at work, social protection and social dialogue, with gender equality as a crosscutting objective.<sup>53</sup> Decent work now forms part of Goal 8 of the UN's 2030 Sustainable Development Agenda. Decent work is, however, 'merely a singular thread among a complex web of issues that provide the dynamic of EU international relations',<sup>54</sup> making it even more important that labour rights are strengthened not by the EU acting alone but rather through mutually reinforcing EU-ILO strategies.<sup>55</sup> The ILO is 'exceedingly relevant' for ensuring that the Union's normative goals are consistent with international obligations.<sup>56</sup>

Support for ILO norms now forms a core element of EU trade policy and instruments. The EU's capacity to act normatively comes from supporting international labour law as opposed to transnationally exporting its internal labour law, the direct impact of which on third countries is limited, <sup>57</sup> and would, if attempted, be subject to strong resistance. Thus, the standards set by the ILO represent a source of normative power for the EU when applying its trade leverage. <sup>58</sup> The Conventions set what the standard should be and ratification shows a commitment to achieve it. <sup>59</sup> In summary, the EU's promotion of labour rights reflects the concept of 'ILO-isation', which comprises an increasing emphasis on the ILO's role in setting and monitoring labour standards, a shift towards soft governance, and a growing responsibility for the private sector in applying principles in ILO Conventions. <sup>60</sup> The EU-ILO relationship, therefore, is a centrifuge around within which support for GXG is spun.

d. The Integration of Non-Governmental Actors within EU

## Trade Instruments

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> A Johnson, 'EU-ILO Relations: Between Regional and Global Governance' in J Orbie and L Tortell (eds), *The European Union and the Social Dimension of Globalization: How the EU Influences the World* (Routledge, 2009) p 92.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Ibid p 94

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Commission and ILO, 'Concerning the Establishment of a Strategic Partnership between the international Labour Organisation and the Commission of the European Communities in the field of Development' (Memorandum of Understanding, 2004) A.1.

<sup>53</sup> ILO, 'Decent Work Agenda' < http://www.ilo.org/global/topics/decent-work/lang--en/index.htm>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> J Kenner, 'Economic Partnership Agreements: Enhancing the Labour Dimension of Global Governance?' in Van Vooren et al, note 45 above, p 321.

<sup>55</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> See note 50 above, p 93.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> J Orbie and O Babarinde, 'The Social Dimension of Globalization and EU Development Policy: Promoting Core Labour Standards and Corporate Social Responsibility' (2008) 30(3) *European Integration* 459.

<sup>58</sup> R Kissack, 'Writing a New Normative Standard? EU Member States and ILO Conventions' in Orbie and Tortell, note 50 above, pp 101-102.
59 Ibid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> J Orbie et al, 'EU Trade Policy and a Social Clause: A Question of Competences?' (2005) 17 Politique Européenne 159, pp 166-168.

The EU's trade instruments increasingly integrate non-governmental actors. Unilateral GSP instruments and bilateral or multilateral free trade agreements (FTAs) have, as they have evolved, increasingly orientated themselves around local, regional and international stakeholders. More recent initiatives have been concluded built on the premises of dialogue and cooperation, including the Bangladesh Sustainability Compact. The objective is to harness the EU's trade and development policies to promote decent work and adherence to, at least, the core ILO conventions, the essence of which demands collaboration, coherency and consistency, all of which can potentially be provided within GXG.

Correspondingly, there has been a shift from 'government' to more inclusive new forms of governance within these trade instruments, even in long-established trade instruments such as the GSP. In the GSP, the procedure for tariff preference withdrawal by the EU - negative conditionality - overwhelmingly relies on ILO decisions and actions against that country where it is deemed to have seriously and systematically violated labour rights. ILO country assessments and evaluations form the starting point for the EU's assessment of the potential application of negative conditionality measures. Other actors are relied upon within this process, including the WTO, the OECD, international trade unions and employers' organisations. As such, the EU mainstreams a collaborative approach to GSP withdrawal in specific instances of violations. For pregative conditionality is not, therefore, as 'hard' or as unilateral as it might seem.

Elements of newer governance methods are also evident in the EU's 'new generation' FTAs,<sup>67</sup> whereupon there has been both a deepening of the labour provisions customarily incorporated into such agreements and a widening of oversight.<sup>68</sup> Here, sustainable development clauses (SDCs) include provisions regarding labour rights, through which the EU is attempting to foster ILO commitments,<sup>69</sup> that enable the participation of civil society in discussions relating to their implementation.<sup>70</sup> The EU's insistence on the use of SDCs has caused controversy and slowed some FTA negotiations, for example, with India, because the EU's support for labour rights through trade is still seen through a protectionist lens by many partner countries. Experimentalist governance may help to overcome the disinclination

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> See note 47 above, p 15.

<sup>62</sup> Commission, 'Promoting Decent Work for All', note 44 above, p 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> GSP Regulation, Article 19; Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 1083/2013 [2013] OJ L293/16; See note 1 above, p 14; C Portela and J Orbie, 'Sanctions under the EU Generalised System of Preferences and Foreign Policy: Coherence by Accident?' (2014) 20(1) Contemporary Politics 63.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> See note 60 above, p 167.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> See note 1 above, p 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> See O De Schutter, note 2 above, Chapter 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> The new generation FTAs are those recently concluded by the EU which include provisions on Trade and Sustainable Development, see Commission, 'Trade: Sustainable Development' <a href="http://ec.europa.eu/trade/policy/policy-making/sustainable-development/index\_en.htm">http://ec.europa.eu/trade/policy/policy-making/sustainable-development/index\_en.htm</a>
<sup>68</sup> L Van den Putte and J Orbie, 'EU Bilateral Trade Agreements and the Surprising Rise of Labour Provisions' (2015) 31(3) *The International Journal of Comparative Labour Law and Industrial Relations* 263, p 264.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> J Orbie and S Khorana, 'Normative Versus Market Power Europe? The EU-India Trade Agreement' (2015) 13 Asia Eur J 253, p 260.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> See J Orbie et al, 'Civil Society Meetings in European Union Trade Agreements: Features, Purposes and Evaluation' (CLEER Papers 2016/3).

of trade partners towards the mix of EU trade, sustainable development and labour rights. Moreover, where previously human rights clauses acted either as a sword, forcing third countries to comply with human rights obligations in return for single market access, or as a shield, allowing the EU to revoke trade benefits,<sup>71</sup> new style SDCs, by contrast, go beyond these functions. SDCs act as an impetus for experimentalist governance and the basis for a multifarious and heuristic approach to addressing labour rights violations which may more successfully promote the social dimension of globalisation as opposed to the unilateral and punitive approach of GSP withdrawal.<sup>72</sup> Increased engagement with non-state actors has fostered an integrated, multi-disciplinary approach by the EU to trade and labour rights.<sup>73</sup>

e. The Global Context of the UN Sustainable Development

Goals

Experimentalist governance is further supported within the framework of the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The shift in 2015 from the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) to the SDGs was designed to promote multi-stakeholder engagement.<sup>74</sup> As part of this agenda, the EU has sought to facilitate a new global partnership with a spirit of solidarity and cooperation.<sup>75</sup> The EU pushes for multi-stakeholder partnerships that include private actors and civil society,<sup>76</sup> with key GXG components of monitoring, accountability and review.<sup>77</sup> Sustainable development has thus become a further factor emphasising the need for the Union to orientate towards experimentalist governance. It has become not only a 'buzz phrase' that promotes the political consensus to include labour provisions in trade and sustainable development instruments,<sup>78</sup> but also the SDGs are an overarching reference point to ensure the incorporation of civil society within trade and labour rights' issues.<sup>79</sup>

f. Summary

Experimentalist governance responds to the current global context and its dynamic challenges and opportunities.<sup>80</sup> The global and EU-level framework encouraging GXG enables countries to maximise progress towards attaining the SDGs and facilitates the adoption of EU trade instruments that are not sanctions-based, enabling the EU to reap the benefits of experimentalist methods in attaining certain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> L Bartels, Human Rights Conditionality in the EU's International Agreements (Oxford University Press, 2005) pp 175-176.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Commission, 'Policy Coherence for Development: Accelerating Progress Towards Attaining the Millennium Development Goals', COM(2005) 134 final, p 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> See note 4 above, p 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> N Shawki, 'Norm Evaluation and Change: Analysing the Negotiation of the Sustainable Development Goals' in N Shawki (ed), *International Norms, Normative Change, and the UN Sustainable Development Goals* (Lexington Books, 2016) p 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> See note 47 above, p 3.

<sup>76</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Ibid p 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> See note 68 above, p 282.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> FRAME Deliverable 9.4, p 22.

<sup>80</sup> Commission, 'A Decent Life for All: Ending Poverty and Giving the World a Sustainable Future', COM(2013) 92 final, p 3.

normative goals. The Bangladesh Sustainability Compact is the epitome of EU GXG and is a suitable case for analysis.

## IV. A CASE STUDY OF GLOBAL EXPERIMENTALIST EU GOVERNANCE

a. Labour rights' issues in the Ready Made Garment Sector

in Bangladesh - the case for EU external governance

In this case study we have selected labour rights' issues in the RMG industry in Bangladesh because of the intense interaction between trade, development and human rights issues focused on a specific sector in a country which is economically dependent upon exports to the EU's single market.<sup>81</sup> The EU, therefore, has considerable leverage, through its external governance instruments and processes, to influence policy and practice on the ground to facilitate improvements in labour conditions.

The case for the exercise of global EU governance in the field of labour rights in Bangladesh is threefold. Firstly, with 47 million out of 161 million people living in poverty, 82 Bangladesh is a priority for the EU in its development cooperation, 83 as it seeks to contribute to the eradication of global poverty and the fulfilment of the SDGs by 2030. 84 Bangladesh is a long-standing development partner of the EU, and has faced the evolution of EU policy from being first a 'donor' and now a partner with Government and civil society focused on development needs, governance and human rights. 85 Under the EU's Agenda for Change, the Union seeks to tailor its development partnerships so as to achieve the greatest 'impact' towards eradicating poverty, or aid effectiveness, 86 supporting the twin objectives of: promoting human rights and democracy, and other elements of good governance; and attaining inclusive and sustainable growth for human development. 87 Aid effectiveness has improved under partnership and cooperation agreements, modernised financial instruments and mechanisms designed to improve policy coherence for development. 88

Partnership with Bangladesh is under the umbrella of the Cooperation Agreement of 2001,<sup>89</sup> which underpins cooperation with respect for human rights and democratic principles.<sup>90</sup> The EU uses policy dialogue and links results to specific cooperation programmes or instruments.<sup>91</sup> Bangladesh is a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> This section draws on the authors' contribution to FRAME Deliverable 9.4, pp 5-6. See pp 12-29 of the report for the broader political and socio-economic context post Bangladesh's independence in 1971; and pp 27-35 for an overview of the development of the RMG sector.

<sup>82</sup> World Bank, 'Bangladesh Overview' (2015) <www.worldbank.org/en/country/bangladesh/overview>

<sup>83</sup> See FRAME Deliverable 9.4, pp 60-67.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> UN, 'Sustainable Development Goals' (2015) <www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/sustainable-development-goals.html>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> See M Rahman and S Rahman, 'Bangladesh-EU Development Relationship: Major Features and Emerging Issues' (5 CPD Occasional Paper Series, Centre for Policy Dialogue 2000) p 3 <a href="http://www.cpd.org.bd/pub\_attach/op5.pdf">http://www.cpd.org.bd/pub\_attach/op5.pdf</a>; and L Bartels, 'The trade and development policy of the European Union' [2007] European Journal of International Law 715.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> Commission, 'Increasing the Impact of EU development Policy: An Agenda for Change', COM(2011) 637 final, p 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> Ibid 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> Commission, Green Paper, 'EU development policy in support of inclusive growth and sustainable development: Increasing the impact of EU development policy', COM(2010) 629 final, p 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> Cooperation Agreement between the European Community and the People's Republic of Bangladesh on partnership and development [2001] L 118/48.

<sup>90</sup> Ibid, Article 1.

<sup>91</sup> See note 88 above, p 3.

beneficiary of a €690 million country-specific allocation under the Development Cooperation Instrument. The EU encourages sustainable economic and social development, but challenges remain, including youth unemployment, shrinking space for civil society and violence against women. Corruption and political influence are obstacles to securing safe working conditions and genuinely effective labour rights' reforms. Employers and trade unions are controlled by party patronage.

The ILO's decent work agenda has become a core part of the EU's development priorities, shifting the focus of the Union's activities towards improving labour rights and working conditions. <sup>96</sup> In Bangladesh, the Decent Work Country Programme (DWCP) 2012-2015 has been the main instrument for carrying out this agenda. <sup>97</sup> Progress under the DWCP is linked to the specific action the ILO is taking in the RMG sector under the Better Work Programme, a partnership between the ILO and the International Finance Corporation (IFC), designed to improve conditions and promote competitiveness in the global garment industry. <sup>98</sup>

Secondly, under EU trade policy,<sup>99</sup> Bangladesh, as one of the UN's designated Least Developed Countries (LDCs), is a beneficiary of the Everything But Arms (EBA) arrangement under the EU's GSP, granting LDCs full duty and quota free access to the single market for all products except arms and ammunition.<sup>100</sup> The EBA trade preference has contributed to making the EU the country's largest trading partner.<sup>101</sup> Among the 49 EBA qualifying countries, Bangladesh is overwhelmingly the main beneficiary, accounting for a staggering 69.1% of all EBA preferential imports into the EU in 2014.<sup>102</sup> The EBA is regarded as important as, in theory, its generous tariff advantages promote the volume of trade and thus export earnings and in turn should reduce poverty.<sup>103</sup> A significant feature of the EBA is the prospect of negative conditionality in the form of temporary withdrawal of preferences where the EU is satisfied that there have been 'serious and systematic violations' of rights contained in core UN and ILO conventions.<sup>104</sup> The prospect of imposing this penalty, which would be catastrophic for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> European External Action Service and Commission, 'Development Cooperation Instrument Multi-Annual Indicative Programme 2014-2020' (30 March 2014) <a href="https://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/sites/devco/files/mip-bangladesh-2014-2020\_en.pdf">https://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/sites/devco/files/mip-bangladesh-2014-2020\_en.pdf</a>>

<sup>93</sup> Ibid point 1.2

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> J Yap, 'One step forward: The European Union Generalised System of Preferences and labour rights in the garment industry in Bangladesh' in J Wouters et al (eds), *Global Governance through Trade: EU Policies and Approaches* (Edward Elgar 2015) pp 214-244.
 <sup>95</sup> H Zafarullah, 'Globalisation, State and Politics in Bangladesh: Implications for Democratic Governance' (2003) 26(3) *Journal of South Asian Studies* 283, pp 283-296.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> See note 86 above, p 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> ILO, 'Bangladesh: Decent Work Country Programme 2012-2015' (November 2012)

<sup>&</sup>lt;a href="http://www.ilo.org/public/english/bureau/program/dwcp/download/bangladesh.pdf">http://www.ilo.org/public/english/bureau/program/dwcp/download/bangladesh.pdf</a>

<sup>98</sup> Commission, 'Bangladesh Sustainability Compact: Technical Status Report' (July 2016) p 7

<sup>&</sup>lt;a href="http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2016/august/tradoc\_154841.pdf">http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2016/august/tradoc\_154841.pdf</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> For fuller analysis of Bangladesh-EU trade relations and the GSP, see FRAME Deliverable 9.4, pp 53-60.

<sup>100</sup> GSP Regulation, recital 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> The EU received 47% of Bangladesh's total exports in 2015. The next largest export market was the US with 14%: Commission,

<sup>&#</sup>x27;European Union, Trade in goods with Bangladesh' (21 June 2016) p 8

<sup>&</sup>lt;a href="http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2006/september/tradoc\_113349.pdf">http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2006/september/tradoc\_113349.pdf</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> Commission, 'Report on the Generalised Scheme of Preferences covering the period 2014-2015', COM(2016) 29 final, p 8. These exports were valued at €11,774,829,000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> GM Grossman and AO Sykes, 'A Preference for Development: The Law and Economics of GSP' in GM Grossman and AO Sykes, *WTO Law and Developing Countries* (Cambridge University Press, 2007) 255, p 274.

<sup>104</sup> GSP Regulation, Article 19(1)(a).

RMG sector and the Bangladeshi economy as a whole, has given the EU considerable leverage over the Compact.

Thirdly, 90% of Bangladesh's exports to the EU are from a single economic sector, RMGs.<sup>105</sup> Over the last 25 years Bangladesh has rapidly expanded its RMG industry to meet the ever-growing demands of global brands seeking high quality and low cost through their 'global value chains' (GVCs),<sup>106</sup> to provide cheap clothes to predominantly European consumers mainly using local subcontractors.<sup>107</sup> As such, the RMG industry is part of a complex global jigsaw and provides a fascinating study of the impact of globalisation on both workers and consumers. GVCs make it particularly difficult for countries focused on one export industry at the lower end of the value chain, such as Bangladesh, to escape from a cycle of dependency on low-cost labour and low skills.<sup>108</sup>

However, with the RMG industry as an economic and social driver, Bangladesh has been transformed, meeting several of the MDG 2015 targets for, inter alia, cutting extreme poverty and hunger and achieving almost universal primary education.<sup>109</sup> It has fuelled economic growth of 6% per annum over the last decade.<sup>110</sup> The sector employs 4 million workers,<sup>111</sup> approximately 85% of whom are women.<sup>112</sup> Evidence points to increasing school enrolment, decreasing child marriage rates and more financial independence for women.<sup>113</sup> Yet much more needs to be done to empower women and combat exploitative practices.<sup>114</sup>

The rapid growth of the industry has been a 'double-edged sword' for RMG workers,<sup>115</sup> enabling employers to exploit the country's comparative advantage in global trade by maintaining the second lowest wages among its competitors.<sup>116</sup> Indeed, the RMG sector in Bangladesh is characterised

<sup>106</sup> See G Gereffi and K Fernandez-Stark, 'Global Value Chain Analysis: A Primer' (Center on Globalization Governance and Competitiveness, May 2011) p 5 <a href="http://www.cggc.duke.edu/pdfs/2011-05-31">http://www.cggc.duke.edu/pdfs/2011-05-31</a> GVC analysis a primer.pdf>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> See note 9 above.

<sup>107</sup> On subcontracting see FRAME Deliverable 9.4, p 33; S Labowitz and D Baumann-Pauly, 'Business as Usual is Not an Option: Supply Chains and Sourcing after Rana Plaza' (NYU Stern Center for Business and Human Rights, New York, April 2014) p 17 <a href="http://www.stern.nyu.edu/experience-stern/about/departments-centers-initiatives/centers-of-research/business-human-rights/activities/supply-chains-sourcing-after-rana-plaza">http://www.stern.nyu.edu/experience-stern/about/departments-centers-initiatives/centers-of-research/business-human-rights/activities/supply-chains-sourcing-after-rana-plaza>

<sup>108</sup> K Fernandez-Stark, S Frederick and G Gereffi, 'The Apparel Global Value Chain: Economic Upgrading and Workforce Development' (Duke Center on Globalization, Governance and Competitiveness, November 2011) p 2 <a href="http://www.cggc.duke.edu/pdfs/2011-11-11">http://www.cggc.duke.edu/pdfs/2011-11-11</a> CGGC Apparel-Global-Value-Chain.pdf>

<sup>109</sup> UNDP, 'Millennium Development Goals: Bangladesh Progress Report' (September 2015)

<sup>&</sup>lt;a href="http://www.bd.undp.org/content/bangladesh/en/home/library/mdg/mdg-progress-report-2015.html">http://www.bd.undp.org/content/bangladesh/en/home/library/mdg/mdg-progress-report-2015.html</a>

<sup>110</sup> World Bank, 'Bangladesh'<a href="http://data.worldbank.org/country/Bangladesh">http://data.worldbank.org/country/Bangladesh</a>

 $<sup>^{111}</sup>$  Bangladesh Garment Manufacturers and Exporters Association (BGMEA), 'Trade Information'

<sup>&</sup>lt;a href="http://bgmea.com.bd/home/pages/TradeInformation">http://bgmea.com.bd/home/pages/TradeInformation</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> MH Rahman and SA Siddiqui, 'Female RMG Worker: Economic Contribution in Bangladesh' (2015) 5(9) *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications* 1.

<sup>113</sup> World Bank, 'Getting to Equal, Promoting Gender Equality through Human Development' (2011)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> N Hussain, 'Exports, Equity, and Empowerment: The Effects of Readymade Garments Manufacturing Employment on Gender Equality in Bangladesh' (World Development Report 2012) <a href="https://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTWDR2012/Resources/7778105-1299699968583/7786210-1322671773271/Hossain-Export-Equity-employment.pdf">https://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTWDR2012/Resources/7778105-1299699968583/7786210-1322671773271/Hossain-Export-Equity-employment.pdf</a>,

<sup>115</sup> ActionAid, 'Diversify and Conquer: transforming Bangladesh into an industrialised country' (December 2015) p 4

<sup>&</sup>lt;a href="https://www.actionaid.org.uk/sites/default/files/publications/diversify-and-conquer-transforming-bangladesh-into-an-industrialised-country.pdf">https://www.actionaid.org.uk/sites/default/files/publications/diversify-and-conquer-transforming-bangladesh-into-an-industrialised-country.pdf</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>116</sup> Bangladesh has a minimum wage of €68 per month ahead of Sri Lanka at €66 per month, ILO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, 'Global Wage Report 2014/15: Asia and the Pacific Supplement', (December 2014) p 3 <www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---asia/---ro-bangkok/---sro-bangkok/documents/publication/wcms\_325219.pdf>

by long working hours, short and infrequent rest breaks, low wages, job insecurity, gender inequality and child labour. Freedom of association and a lack of collective bargaining rights are of particular concern. Only 10% of the 4,500 garment factories in Bangladesh have registered unions, and the law mandates excessive registration procedures. An ILO high-level tripartite mission highlighted that unions may be 'fake, paper-based, organised without workers' support, initiated by employers or dissolved'. The right to form trade unions is further supressed by harassment and abuse faced by union members from factory owners, women being particularly vulnerable.

Rapid growth has also encouraged speedy construction of factories, often without permits, <sup>122</sup> leading to poor labour conditions, overcrowding, and exposure to noise and dangerous machinery. <sup>123</sup> Factories may also be constructed with extra floors illegally added, as in the case of Rana Plaza, <sup>124</sup> or have been adapted from residential buildings. <sup>125</sup> Many workers lack sufficient training in essential health and safety understanding. <sup>126</sup> Factory owners face competitive pressure to keep costs low, which further undermines their 'ability to invest in safety'. <sup>127</sup>

These interconnected labour rights' issues, alongside the need for, firstly, greater civil society involvement to support trade unions and hold institutions and private actors to account, <sup>128</sup> and secondly, more responsible business conduct, <sup>129</sup> has provided a reference point for the Compact. In the following two sections we will first provide a contextual overview of the Compact before turning to an assessment of its features as an instrument of GXG.

## b. The Bangladesh Sustainability Compact in Context

All of the above reasons would have made the Bangladesh RMG sector suitable for a case study on the integration of the EU's policies on development and trade with human rights' objectives before the collapse of the Rana Plaza garment factory complex which finally focus the world's attention on the contrast between, on the one hand, liberalised trade and rapid economic growth in Bangladesh and,

13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>117</sup> RD Mariani and F Valenti, 'Working conditions in the Bangladeshi garment sector: Social dialogue and compliance' (Delft University of Technology and Fair Wear Foundation 2013) <a href="https://www3.fairwear.org/ul/cms/fck-">http://www3.fairwear.org/ul/cms/fck-</a>

uploaded/documents/countrystudies/bangladesh/WorkingconditionsintheBangladeshigarmentsectorSocialdialogueandcompliance.pdf> 118 lbid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>119</sup> Ibid.

<sup>120</sup> ILO, 'Report of the High-Level Tripartite Mission to Bangladesh' (17-20 April 2016) p 5 <a href="http://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---ed\_norm/---relconf/documents/meetingdocument/wcms\_488339.pdf">http://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---ed\_norm/---relconf/documents/meetingdocument/wcms\_488339.pdf</a>

<sup>121</sup> Human Rights Watch, 'Bangladesh: Protect Garment Workers' Rights' (6 February 2016)

<sup>&</sup>lt;a href="https://www.hrw.org/news/2014/02/06/bangladesh-protect-garment-workers-rights">https://www.hrw.org/news/2014/02/06/bangladesh-protect-garment-workers-rights></a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>122</sup> See note 6 above, pp 33-36.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>123</sup> European Agency for Safety and Health at Work, 'Occupational safety and health in the textiles sector' (E-facts, 2 April 2008) <a href="https://osha.europa.eu/en/publications/e-facts/efact30/view">https://osha.europa.eu/en/publications/e-facts/efact30/view</a>; B Bowden, 'Commentary – Bangladesh Clothing Factory Fires: The Way Forward' (2014) 1(2) South Asian Journal of Human Resources Management 283, p 285.

<sup>124</sup> E D'Ambrogio, 'Workers' conditions in the textile and clothing sector: just an Asian affair? Issues at stake after the Rana Plaza tragedy', Briefing, European Parliamentary Research Service (August 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>126</sup> SA Iqbal et al, 'Identification of occupational injury among the workers of selected cement industries in Bangladesh - A case study' (2010) 25 *Journal of Chemical Engineering* 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup> B Claeson, 'Deadly Secrets: What companies know about dangerous workplaces and why exposing the truth can save workers' lives in Bangladesh and Beyond' (International Labor Rights Forum, Washington D.C. 2012) p 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>128</sup> See FRAME Deliverable 9.4, pp 49-53.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup> Ibid pp 45-49.

on the other hand, low pay, poor labour conditions and inadequate factory safety.<sup>130</sup> The Rana Plaza factory collapse also came just a few months after a tragic fire at the Tazreen Fashions factory near Dhaka, which left 112 dead. These disasters focused international attention on workers' rights and working conditions in the RMG industry in Bangladesh and other competitor countries. Global actors were compelled to respond to demands for immediate action from international trade unions, NGOs, civil society organisations and consumers concerned about the ethics behind cheap clothing. The message was clear, 'business could not continue as usual'<sup>131</sup> without fundamental changes to safety, inspection, improvements in pay and working conditions, and compliance with international labour standards.

Undoubtedly, however, it is the EU, as Bangladesh's largest export market for RMG products, facilitated by its trade preferences, that has had a particular responsibility post Rana Plaza to ensure that it utilises its trade and development leverage, and the range of tools at its disposal, to demand significant improvements in labour rights and working conditions from the Government of Bangladesh and factory owners, and responsible business conduct from global brands and retailers. In the aftermath of Rana Plaza, Bangladesh has provided a key test case for the EU, with the levers at its disposal, including both soft law and normative power, to demonstrate that its human rights response is both purposeful and efficacious.

There has been no single consolidated action plan in response to Rana Plaza. Instead, there have been a series of inter-related public and private initiatives involving different actors, with the ILO playing the pivotal role as both coordinator and collaborator with key partners, and with significant EU input. On a national level, the National Tripartite Plan of Action (NTPA) is a Government of Bangladesh initiative with the social partners, backed up by the ILO, with input from the EU and its Member States. Global trade unions drove the adoption of the Accord on Fire and Building Safety, a ground breaking legally binding agreement with global brands, and also the less ambitious business-led Alliance for Bangladesh Worker Safety, to the which are private initiatives to improve health and safety in garment factories through a continuing process of inspection and remediation. The ILO's Better Work Programme also has a key role in improving working conditions and promoting decent work. These initiatives overlap in their objectives, and coordination between all the relevant actors

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>130</sup> Ibid p 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>131</sup> ILO, 'Improving working conditions in the ready made garment industry: Progress and achievements' (September 2016)

<sup>&</sup>lt;a href="http://www.ilo.org/dhaka/Whatwedo/Projects/WCMS\_240343/lang--en/index.htm">http://www.ilo.org/dhaka/Whatwedo/Projects/WCMS\_240343/lang--en/index.htm</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>132</sup> ILO, 'National Tripartite Plan of Action on Fire Safety and Structural Integrity in the Ready-Made Garment Sector in Bangladesh' (25 July 2013) <a href="https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---asia/---ro-bangkok/---ilo-dhaka/documents/genericdocument/wcms\_221543.pdf">http://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---asia/---ro-bangkok/---ilo-dhaka/documents/genericdocument/wcms\_221543.pdf</a> see, FRAME Deliverable 9.4, pp 68-70.

<sup>133</sup> Accord on Fire and Building Safety in Bangladesh <a href="http://bangladeshaccord.org/">http://bangladeshaccord.org/</a> see, FRAME Deliverable 9.4, pp 71-74.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>134</sup> The Alliance for Bangladesh Worker Safety <a href="http://www.bangladeshworkersafety.org/who-we-are/about-the-alliance">http://www.bangladeshworkersafety.org/who-we-are/about-the-alliance</a> see, FRAME Deliverable 9.4, pp 74-75.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>135</sup> See note 131 above; FRAME Deliverable 9.4, pp 75-76.

involved in them is vital to fostering the needed changes. The EU is linked to them all through the overarching Compact with its specific focus on broad, ongoing engagement to secure implementation of human rights priorities.

Each of these initiatives is important and innovative, but the Compact<sup>136</sup> is the most novel and far-reaching in its ambitions. It is a cross-cutting coordinating mechanism that assesses technical progress towards the fulfilment of human rights objectives on the ground in Bangladesh's RMG sector.<sup>137</sup> The EU issued the Compact in July 2013, in partnership with the ILO and the Government of Bangladesh, with the aim of fostering 'continuous improvements' in labour rights and factory safety in the country's RMG industry.<sup>138</sup> The Compact represents a choice by the EU to reject, at least for the time being, the option of withdrawal of the GSP, and instead to embark on a 'period of deep engagement for all actors involved in the global value chain', including global buyers, brands, governments, employers' and workers' organisations, and consumers.<sup>139</sup> Although the US withdrew its GSP post Rana Plaza, it too joined later in 2013 and Canada is a partner from 2016.<sup>140</sup>

'Staying engaged' was both a pragmatic and economic choice for the EU,<sup>141</sup> given the importance of the RMG industry for European firms, but it was also in line with ILO thinking, to 'stay with Bangladesh' so as to protect the millions of jobs that depend on the sector.<sup>142</sup> Together, the EU and ILO would use their leverage to send a message to the Government of Bangladesh, the domestic garment manufacturers and the global brands that change was needed to prevent any further disasters. The Compact covers three specific areas, each of which has a strong human rights dimension:<sup>143</sup>

- respect for labour rights, in particular freedom of association and the right to collective bargaining;
- structural integrity of buildings and occupational health and safety; and
- responsible business conduct by all stakeholders.

We have analysed progress on the commitments made by the partners in each of these areas in depth in our report for the FRAME project.<sup>144</sup> Our conclusions can be summarised as follows.

Firstly, in respect of labour rights, where the main obligations fall on the Government of Bangladesh, with the EU and the ILO providing technical support, there has been an improved

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>136</sup> Compact, p 3; See, FRAME Deliverable 9.4, pp 81-91.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>137</sup> ILO, 'Strengthening Workplace Safety and Labour Rights in the Bangladesh Ready-Made Garment Sector' (September 2016) p 6 <a href="http://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---asia/---ro-bangkok/---ilo-dhaka/documents/publication/wcms\_474048.pdf">http://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---asia/---ro-bangkok/---ilo-dhaka/documents/publication/wcms\_474048.pdf</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>138</sup> Compact, p 3. It also covers the knitwear industry.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>139</sup> Commission, 'Joint Statement by EU Trade Commissioner Karel De Gucht and Bangladesh Foreign Minister Dr Dipu Moni following recent disasters in the Bangladeshi garment industry' (Press Release, 28 May 2013) <a href="http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release\_MEMO-13-469">http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release\_MEMO-13-469</a> en.htm>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>140</sup> See note 98 above, p 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup> The Governments of the EU Member States were represented by the Commission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>142</sup> See note 137 above, p 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>143</sup> Compact, p 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>144</sup> FRAME Deliverable 9.4, pp 83-90.

legislative environment. The Bangladesh Labour Act was revised in 2013, bringing some improvements in relation to freedom of association, collective bargaining and workplace safety. The implementing rules for the Act were issued in 2015, providing guidance on central elements of the law. However, this legislation falls short of ILO standards. In our findings, we also identify the need for greater attention to be given to the issue of gender equality as part of the review process.

Lack of freedom of association remains a significant problem. The EU, on the recommendation of the ILO supervisory bodies, has urged the Bangladeshi authorities to speed up registrations of trade unions and end arbitrary non-registration. <sup>147</sup> The global unions have reported that a 'severe climate of anti-union violence prevails' often directed by factory managers and rarely investigated. <sup>148</sup> The ILO is also placing increasing pressure on Bangladesh. In June 2015, the Committee on the Application of Standards demanded: firstly, strengthening of the legislation in relation to freedom of association and collective bargaining; secondly, extending full freedom of association to the 400,000 workers in designated Export Processing Zones (EPZs), which are subject to lighter regulation and where trade unions are banned; <sup>149</sup> and, thirdly, an investigation into all acts of anti-union discrimination to ensure reinstatement of those illegally dismissed and the imposition of fines and criminal sanctions on those responsible for the violence. <sup>150</sup>

Secondly, with regard to health and safety, the priority is to inspect factories, draw up Corrective Action Plans and carry out remediation alongside ongoing training. The responsibility for securing the objectives under this pillar lie with the Government, under the NTPA, and the private actors, the Accord and Alliance, linked to their obligations under the third pillar. Overall, the 2016 Technical Status Report notes 'tangible improvements' in enhancing building and workplace safety. <sup>151</sup> All factories identified as 'export-orientated' have been inspected and followed up. <sup>152</sup> As the ILO has noted, the completion of the factory inspections is an 'important step' towards improving safety in the sector but 'no factory can be considered safe until it has successfully undergone a remediation process'. <sup>153</sup> It is in securing this essential objective that the Compact has a particular role, through engagement, in urging remediation of faults in factories. <sup>154</sup> The major barrier here is the cost of

<sup>145</sup> See note 98 above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>146</sup> ITUC, UNI global union and IndustriaALL, 'An Evaluation of the Bangladesh Sustainability Compact: March 2015 Update' (July 2014) p 2 <a href="http://www.ituc-csi.org/IMG/pdf/ituc-ia-uni\_evaulation\_of\_the\_bangladesh\_sustainability\_compact.pdf">http://www.ituc-csi.org/IMG/pdf/ituc-ia-uni\_evaulation\_of\_the\_bangladesh\_sustainability\_compact.pdf</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>147</sup> See note 98 above, p 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>148</sup> ITUC, UNI Global Union and IndustriALL, 'An Evaluation of the Bangladesh Sustainability Compact' (January 2016) p 1 <a href="http://admin.industriall-union.org/sites/default/files/uploads/documents/Bangladesh/ituc-ia-">http://admin.industriall-union.org/sites/default/files/uploads/documents/Bangladesh/ituc-ia-</a>

uni\_evaulation\_of\_the\_bangladesh\_sustainability\_compact\_january\_2016\_final.pdf>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>149</sup> ITUC, UNI Global Union and IndustriALL Global Union, 'An Evaluation of the Bangladesh Sustainability Compact' (March 2015) 1.d <a href="https://www.ituc-csi.org/IMG/pdf/ituc-ia-uni\_evaulation\_of\_the\_bangladesh\_sustainability\_compact\_march\_final.pdf">https://www.ituc-csi.org/IMG/pdf/ituc-ia-uni\_evaulation\_of\_the\_bangladesh\_sustainability\_compact\_march\_final.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>150</sup> See note 120 above, p 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>151</sup> See note 98 above, p 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>152</sup> Ibid p 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup> See note 131 above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>154</sup> See note 98 above, p 22.

remediation. Again, the EU has a role, as the lead development partner alongside the Member States, working also with the US and Canada, to assist. In total US\$200 million has been pledged but more will be needed.<sup>155</sup> The next period of remediation will be the true test because, without it, many workers will be at risk of injury or death on a daily basis, with the risk of fire remaining particularly acute.

The third pillar of responsible business conduct (RBC) does not establish any commitments upon Bangladesh or the EU. It merely takes note of the private sector response to Rana Plaza and encourages its progression. Therefore, it places emphasis on the EU's support for the Accord, the Alliance and further initiatives through RBC. The essence of the pillar is that Bangladesh and the EU remain 'engaged to support and promote socially responsible supply chains'. It is not about the EU regulating these supply chains, but about supporting RBC.

There are several points to note. Firstly, the EU, the ILO, and the international trade unions are highly critical of the inadequate progress made by Bangladesh towards adopting and implementing reforms of its labour law. Secondly, Bangladesh, the EU and the ILO welcome the efforts by major fashion and retail brands to improve safety in RMG factories. Thirdly, they support the Alliance, to a certain extent, but particularly the Accord, which is the optimum private initiative in partnership with global unions, national unions and other stakeholders. Fourthly, the EU and Bangladesh recognise the need for transnational enterprises/brands/retailers to deepen discussion on RBC and encourage retailers and brands to adopt and follow a unified code of conduct for factory audit. Fifthly, they are seeking to ensure a fuller role for the social partners in the RBC process.

# c. The Bangladesh Sustainability Compact as Global Experimental Governance

Attributing features of GXG to the Compact acknowledges the variety of methods the EU, and other international actors, may utilise to pursue certain goals. The Compact illustrates the creativity of the Union in going beyond the hierarchical nature of the conventional 'trade-labour linkage'. It is an unprecedented initiative tailored specifically to labour rights and factory safety in the Bangladeshi RMG industry with the value of sustainable development buttressing it. The Compact is not 'hard law' like the GSP, which remains as a back stop, but instead is a form of iterative soft law that builds on the strength of the EU-ILO relationship, illustrating the potential for future engagement in other partner countries based on the model it offers.

<sup>158</sup> See note 98 above, p 30.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>155</sup> See note 137 above, p 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>156</sup> See note 148 above, p 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>157</sup> Compact, p 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>159</sup> See Zeitlin, note 22 above; see note 14 above, p 478.

The core tenets of GXG can be applied to the Compact. Firstly, there was an initial reflection and discussion among stakeholders with a broadly shared perception of a common problem. After the tragedy of Rana Plaza, international stakeholders involved in the RMG industry were reproached for their laxity in allowing labour rights violations to prevail. They were directed to repair their 'wrongs'. In a pressured environment, where consumers worldwide were pushing for change, international actors took different paths to achieving the objective of improving labour rights and factory safety. The US, as mentioned above, withdrew its GSP shortly after the disaster, although this was somewhat superficial as the RMG sector had not been given a tariff preference. Brands and retailers scrambled to conclude the Accord and the Alliance. The EU and the ILO emphasised the importance of 'deep engagement' in Bangladesh for all actors involved in the global value chain. The concept of 'deep engagement' was seized upon to quell uncertainty about whether the EU would initiate the GSP withdrawal procedure. Ultimately, the EU, concerned about the impact on workers on the ground, and with the support of the ILO and, to a qualified extent, the international trade unions, was not willing to withdraw the GSP tariff preferences granted to RMG exports from Bangladesh and chose to reject this path, at least for the immediate term.

The broadly desired outcome, in theory, of the international community, was a shared endeavour to secure rapid improvement in labour rights and factory safety in Bangladesh. Although, it must be noted that a genuine desire to bring about such change was propelled by a combination of concerns including, inter alia, the loss of business, the political friction that ensued after Rana Plaza, and the sheer scale of the disaster. The predominant difficulty in pursuing such goals was that the actors did not know the best path to take, sevident in large part from their diverse responses. It was a situation of strategic uncertainty that meant effective solutions to problems could only be defined by actually pursuing them. The EU understood that it was in a position both of leadership and of a certain special responsibility, as the principal recipient of Bangladesh's RMG exports. The properties of discuss the best mechanism with which to address the improvement of labour standards, factory safety and responsible business conduct in the industry. The outcome of this meeting was the Compact driven

<sup>160</sup> See note 14 above, p 478.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>161</sup> Office of the US Trade Representative, 'GSP Review of Bangladesh Recognises Progress, Urges that more be done on Worker Safety and Rights' (January 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>162</sup> See note 139 above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>163</sup> FRAME Deliverable 9.4, p 80.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>164</sup> See note 14 above, p 479.

<sup>165</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>166</sup> See Zeitlin, note 22 above, p 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>167</sup> See note 98 above, p 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>168</sup> Compact, p 1.

by the EU in partnership with the ILO, and agreed to by the Government of Bangladesh, initially as a reactive rather than a proactive initiative. <sup>169</sup> It manifested the paramount objective of all the actors involved to foster the continuous improvement of labour rights and factory safety so as to ensure that there would be no more Rana Plazas. <sup>170</sup>

The establishment of the Compact in response to Rana Plaza adopted and merged together four different 'pathways' to a transnational experimentalist regime discussed by Zeitlin and Overdevest.<sup>171</sup> First, private transnational initiatives were created in response to, in part, inaction by the Government on labour rights' issues, regimes which were diffused throughout the RMG GVC. Second, the unilateral imposition of GSP conditions on labour rights moulded itself into a joint governance system with stakeholders from Bangladesh and the RMG industry. Third, the plethora of regimes imposing separate demands upon labour standards in Bangladesh were met by a need for coordination, and, fourth, there was a degree of benchmarking and comparison of different initiatives concluded post-Rana Plaza.<sup>172</sup>

The Compact also meets the second tenet of GXG by operating as a framework with openended goals.<sup>173</sup> Linguistically, a 'framework' indicates the existence of a supporting or underlying structure.<sup>174</sup> As such a framework, it does not set out how the signatory partners to the Compact should act, but rather acts as a support to their efforts. The Compact becomes the foundation to their deployed methods to achieve the overarching goal. This is particularly reflected by the lexicon used within the Compact, including 'welcome the support', 'take note of the work', 'encourage', 'recognise' and 'consulting closely'. Thus, the EU's actions and decisions are not imposed upon the Compact partners, it is instead about establishing and fostering a consistent and long-term relationship between them.<sup>175</sup>

Furthermore, each of the three pillars of the Compact constitutes an open-ended goal: respect for labour rights; structural integrity of buildings and occupational health and safety; and RBC.<sup>176</sup> It is under RBC that the nature of the Compact as a 'framework' is most evident. Here, the Compact partners support the coordination of RBC efforts by major fashion and retailer brands to improve safety in the Bangladeshi factories which supply them.<sup>177</sup> By contrast, under the first two pillars, certain commitments are imposed upon the Government of Bangladesh. The EU also makes commitments

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>169</sup> Ibid.

<sup>170</sup> Ibid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>171</sup> C Overdevest and J Zeitlin, 'Assembling an Experimentalist Regime: Transnational Governance Interactions in the Forest Sector' (2014) 8 Regulation & Governance 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>172</sup> Ibid, pp 27-29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>173</sup> See note 14 above, p 478.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>174</sup> C Soanes and S Hawker, Compact Oxford English Dictionary of Current English (Third Edition, Revised, Oxford University Press, 2008).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>175</sup> Commission, 'The European Union's Role in Promoting Human Rights and Democratisation in Third Countries', COM(2001) 252 final, p 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>176</sup> Compact, p 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>177</sup> Ibid.

regarding its development cooperation priorities. Yet even these commitments do not belie the Compact's flexible nature. The Compact acts as an overarching initiative with a cross-cutting coordinating mechanism,<sup>178</sup> adding to the work of trade unions, industry, the ILO and the Government in finding solutions.<sup>179</sup> It was not designed to be the EU 'preaching from a lofty pedestal' but 'rather offering advice'.<sup>180</sup>

Addressing the third tenet of GXG, the implementation of the goals is left to 'lower-level' or contextually situated actors with knowledge of local conditions and the discretion to adapt the framework norms to the different contexts.<sup>181</sup> The nature of the commitments and goals of the Compact endow the methods by which these goals can be met upon the partners. Much like the EU Member States, as 'lower-level' actors are given the freedom to pursue goals in conventional experimentalist governance, so the Compact partners, including the government, are given some flexibility in the way they pursue its goals.<sup>182</sup> The EU's most important partner in this respect is the Government, as it has the potential to 'work the levers' of law and policy in response to Rana Plaza.<sup>183</sup>

Genuine experimentalist governance in the field of trade and labour rights also necessarily demands engagement and cooperation with grassroots trade union and employer organisations. Indeed, experimentalist governance is founded within EU engagement with domestic civil society. 184 Accordingly, the Compact engages both the ILO and the international and industry-based social partners. Local civil society organisations are key to the monitoring and implementation of the Compact. 185 These contextually-situated actors provide invaluable input. The social partners monitor and feedback on the situation on the ground, such as highlighting concerns relating to anti-union discrimination and violence. 186 They have played a crucial role in drawing attention to human rights violations in the RMG industry. 187 They also act as a pressure valve on the Government to improve labour rights and provide incentives for them to introduce regulatory reforms. 188 The international trade unions are 'watchdogs' for international labour standards. 189 Involving trade union organisations enables them to use their position to facilitate internal legitimisation within their domestic political

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>178</sup> FRAME Deliverable 9.4, p 80.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>179</sup> C Malmström, 'Remembering Rana Plaza: What Next?' (Commission, Speech, 22 April 2015)

<sup>&</sup>lt;a href="http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2015/april/tradoc\_153350.pdf">http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2015/april/tradoc\_153350.pdf</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>180</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>181</sup> See note 14 above, p 478.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>182</sup> See FRAME Deliverable 9.4, p 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>183</sup> Commission, 'The European Union and the External Dimension of Human Rights Policy: From Rome to Maastricht and Beyond', COM(95) 567 final, para 108.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>184</sup> See note 171 above, p 36.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>185</sup> See note 148 above, p 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>186</sup> Ibid pp 7-9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>187</sup> M Raisul, I Khan and C Wichterich, 'Safety and Labour Conditions: The Accord and the National Tripartite Plan of Action for the Garment Industry of Bangladesh' (ILO, Global Labour University, 2015) <a href="http://www.global-labour-university.org/fileadmin/GLU\_Working\_Papers/GLU\_WP\_No.38.pdf">http://www.global-labour-university.org/fileadmin/GLU\_Working\_Papers/GLU\_WP\_No.38.pdf</a>; FRAME Deliverable 9.4, pp 49-53.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>188</sup> E Postnikov & I Bastiaens, 'Does Dialogue Work? The Effectiveness of Labor Standards in EU Preferential Trade Agreements' (2014) 21(6) *Journal of European Public Policy* 923, pp 927-928.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>189</sup> See note 175 above, p 8.

context.<sup>190</sup> Particularly in Bangladesh, where there are significant concerns relating to the suppression of freedom of association and collective bargaining,<sup>191</sup> enabling such legitimisation will empower and endow confidence on local trade unions and those who seek to form trade unions.<sup>192</sup>

Table 1, below, takes note of the different initiatives operating under the framework of the Compact and reflects the nature of the Compact as a governance measure that permits the employment of differing means to the same general ends. 193 It is clear from Table 1 that each initiative adopts different means and involves different actors. The diverse pathways to an experimentalist regime converge on a multi-level, multi-actor governance architecture represented by the Compact which dissolves the distinctions between these actors.<sup>194</sup> While the NTPA is a national initiative, focusing on bringing together organisations within Bangladesh, the Better Work Bangladesh programme takes a more international outlook drawing upon the Compact partners, including the EU, ILO and IFC. Similarly, the Accord involves both global trade unions and global NGOs, whereas the Alliance does not. Contrasting means of obtaining the general objectives of the Compact are adopted, depending largely upon the form of initiative. The Accord and Alliance are both RBC, private sector initiatives, the NTPA is a government initiative, and the Better Work Bangladesh programme is an ILO-IFC initiative. As such, they entail different methods. The Accord is a legally binding agreement which engages with workers through committees, and factory safety through an inspection programme and enforcement system. The Alliance, however, is not a legally binding agreement. It adopts factory safety assessments and a helpline, rather basic in comparison to the Accord. The NTPA focuses on national law and policies, alongside factory inspections. The Better Work initiative promotes, in line with the ILO's involvement, compliance with international labour standards and national labour law. The Compact provides space for 'mutual readjustment, learning from experience, and increased accountability of schemes to one another and to external audiences'. 195

Table 1 - Banaladesh Sustainability Compact

Initiative	General Objective: Improving labour rights and factory safety in Bangladesh					
	ACCORD	ALLIANCE	NTPA	BETTER WORK BANGLADESH		
Form of Initiative	CSR Initiative	CSR Initiative	Government Initiative	ILO and IFC Initiative		
Actors Involved	More than 200 international clothing brands and retailers, factory owners, trade unions: IndustriALL and UNI-Global, and NGOs including the	North American retailers and brands, including Wal-Mart, Gap and Target.	Government, national worker and employer organisations.	ILO, IFC, national trade unions and employer organisations, Compact partners.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>190</sup> See note 68 above, p 271.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>191</sup> See FRAME Deliverable 9.4, pp 38-40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>192</sup> See note 68 above, p 271; FRAME Deliverable 9.4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>193</sup> See FRAME Deliverable 9.4, pp 67-76.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>194</sup> See note 171 above, p 27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>195</sup> Ibid, p 32.

This article has been published in a revised form in Cambridge Yearbook of European Legal Studies https://doi.org/10.1017/cel.2017.3. This version is free to view and download for private research and study only. Not for re-distribution, re-sale or use in derivative works. © Centre for European Legal Studies, Faculty of Law, University of Cambridge

	Clean Clothes			
	Campaign			
Means	Legally binding	Factory safety	Focus on legislation and	Personal action plans,
Adopted	agreement to work	assessments, helpline	policy, administration	factory assessments,
	towards a safe and	for workers to	including improving the	promotes compliance
	healthy RMG	anonymously report	factory inspectorate	with international labour
	industry. It has a	safety concerns and	programme and practical	standards and national
	Steering Committee,	labour issues.	activities including factory	law, provides, inter alia,
	an independent		level fire safety needs'	advisory services, creates
	inspection		assessments and a fire	factory improvement
	programme, health		safety risk hotline for	plans and establishes
	and safety		workers.	Worker Participation
	committees and			Committees.
	enforcement.			

An additional feature of GXG, found in the Compact, is the process of continuous feedback with frequent reporting, monitoring and peer review.<sup>196</sup> This is a key element as the Compact is an overarching initiative that coordinates the different public and private actions required to fulfil its goals. Peer review is undertaken by the engagement of all Compact partners. There is strict follow up, in the form of meetings and technical status reports, of progress under each of the pillars and commitments undertaken by Bangladesh, the EU, the ILO, and the private actors.<sup>197</sup> In the follow-up meetings, the Compact partners discuss the progress of implementation and the importance of working together to draw upon lessons learned towards the improvement of working conditions in the RMG industry in similar conditions elsewhere. There is also a high-level '3+5+1' group at the local level, involving contextually relevant actors, the Government and ambassadors of Compact partners, which regularly reviews the progress of the Compact. Inevitably, this peer review process has given NGOs and international trade unions the opportunity to comment on the initiatives concluded under the remit of the Compact. For example, global trade unions provide data and analysis to the local high level '3+5+1 group' to assist with the monitoring of the Compact.<sup>198</sup>

Technical status reports published by the Commission provide transparency to supervision. They build upon information from several sources, including additional meetings with, and reports published by, actors from the private sector and civil society, including the International Trade Union Confederation and Human Rights Watch.<sup>199</sup> These actors are key to engaging in peer review, particularly apparent in the criticism that has arisen over the effectiveness of the RBC initiatives concerning factory inspection and remediation. Contrasting levels of progress between the initiatives have been made transparent as a result of such review. For example, the Government-led NTPA immediately declared 80% of factories under its scope safe, raising concerns about the seriousness

 $<sup>^{196}</sup>$  See note 14 above, p 478.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>197</sup> Compact, p 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>198</sup> IndustriALL et al, 'Bangladesh Sustainability Compact @ 4: Situation Worsening, Time for Action is Now' (May 2017) <a href="http://www.industriall-">http://www.industriall-</a>

union.org/sites/default/files/uploads/documents/2017/BANGLADESH/the\_failure\_of\_the\_bangladesh\_sustainability\_compact\_2017.pdf> 199 See note 98 above, p 2.

with which Bangladesh treats its obligations and also corruption, whereas the private sector Accord and Alliance initiatives both found safety issues with every factory they inspected.<sup>200</sup> Global NGOs are keen to draw upon the Accord because of the unprecedented role it endows upon trade unions.<sup>201</sup> The private sector has, moreover, been particularly proactive in engaging in peer review. There is a regular forum meeting bringing together all interested brands and retailers to discuss issues related to RBC,<sup>202</sup> and a data sharing facility.<sup>203</sup> The comparisons drawn upon in these meetings generate assessments of the success of the initiatives, which in turn feeds into public accountability, recursive learning and external pressure for improvement, particularly by NGOs both within Bangladesh and worldwide.<sup>204</sup>

Finally, the Compact's goals and practices must be periodically and routinely re-evaluated and where possible revised.<sup>205</sup> This can be recognised throughout the four years of the Compact to date. The engagement of more partners, such as Canada, reflects the nature of the Compact as a form of 'continuing engagement' or continually *improving* engagement. It is unquestionable that the addition of new partners will strengthen it, enabling greater support and funding to delve into, for example, ongoing issues such as skills development, combating gender discrimination and more effective factory remediation. Likewise, the transformation of the Compact as an initiative monitored primarily by civil society provides focused pursuit of its goals.

The Compact's goals are not set in stone and have been revised over time. Priority areas have been reviewed parallel to what the Bangladeshi context demands. For example, the identification of difficulties with trade union registration, particularly the fact that the rate of registration approvals has slowed down,<sup>206</sup> has led to a focus on the need to ensure that the registration process is carried out freely without interference by the Government or industry.<sup>207</sup> The work of the ILO Committee on the Application of Standards has highlighted further concerns regarding the lack of freedom of association and collective bargaining rights,<sup>208</sup> whereupon follow up actions have been recommended under the Compact and are being monitored.<sup>209</sup> The ILO's actions and decisions provide the reference point for the EU in its commitment to maintaining the Compact experiment.

The practices of the initiatives covered under the Compact have also been revised, through a process of deep engagement, most particularly on how to draw upon the different means adopted

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>200</sup> See note 148 above, pp 7-9; these initiatives are examined in detail in FRAME Deliverable 9.4, pp 67-75.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>201</sup> Clean Clothes Campaign et al, 'Re: Bangladesh Accord' (Memo, April 2017) p 1 <a href="https://cleanclothes.org/resources/publications/accord-update-april-2017">https://cleanclothes.org/resources/publications/accord-update-april-2017</a>>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>202</sup> See note 98 above, pp 30-31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>203</sup> Ibid p 31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>204</sup> See note 171 above, p 35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>205</sup> See note 14 above, p 478.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>206</sup> Ibid p 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>207</sup> Joint Conclusions, 'Second Follow-up Meeting on Bangladesh Sustainability Compact' (Dhaka, 28 January 2016)

<sup>&</sup>lt;a href="http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2016/january/tradoc\_154181.pdf">http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2016/january/tradoc\_154181.pdf</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>208</sup> See note 167 above, p 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>209</sup> Ibid p 4.

under the key initiatives and facilitating the understanding of how a variety of actors can work together to bring about change.

On the overarching level, the factory inspection systems under the Accord, Alliance and NTPA were re-aligned as a result of fragmentation caused by the different means adopted for factory inspections. An agreement was reached between all stakeholders carrying out inspections advocating a common reporting format.<sup>210</sup> In addition, owing to the disassociated nature of publications of inspection reports, in March 2014 summary reports from the three initiatives began to be published on the dife.gov.bd website, containing information as to factory names, addresses, owner names, numbers of workers and inspections completed.<sup>211</sup> As of April 2015, it contained information on 3,743 factories,<sup>212</sup> a major achievement for transparency.

Change has also been facilitated through the Compact whereby pressure placed on the Government by Compact partners has led to improvements in labour laws. For example, a 2015 update of implementing rules for the Bangladesh Labour Act facilitated a piloting programme under the Accord of training and safety committees.<sup>213</sup> The pilot of this programme has since engaged, as of March 2017, around 500,000 participants in 'All Employee Meetings' and around 1,500 in Safety Committee training programmes.<sup>214</sup> In addition, new practices have been adopted by the Government. In May 2015, a hotline was established by the Department of Labour with ILO support to receive grievances from workers and management in RMG and other sectors.<sup>215</sup> As of July 2016, it received 6,159 calls.<sup>216</sup> The establishment of this hotline mirrors the establishment of a hotline under the Alliance. There were 21,010 calls recorded under the Alliance helpline by September 2015.<sup>217</sup> This hotline has since been listed as one of the Compact's key achievements under the first pillar.

Perhaps the most successful aspect of the Compact is that where problems on the ground have been identified, these have been addressed by the partners. The Compact thus provides a visible platform for engagement. For example, in response to the heightened difficulty obtaining registered trade union status, the ILO conducted workshops bringing together trade unions and employer organisations educating workers on how to make online applications and appeal against application rejections.<sup>218</sup> With the backing of the Compact partners and the ILO, this placed increasing pressure on the Government to address difficulties regarding trade union registrations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>210</sup> See Commission, 'Technical Status Report' (July 2016) note 98 above, p 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>211</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>212</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>213</sup> See note 201 above, p 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>214</sup> Ibid, p 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>215</sup> See Commission, 'Technical Status Report' (July 2016) note 98 above, p 16.

<sup>216</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>217</sup> Alliance for Bangladesh Worker Safety, 'Protecting and Empowering Bangladesh's Garment Workers' (Second Annual Report, September 2015) p 2 <www.bangladeshworkersafety.org/files/Alliance%20Second%20Annual%20Report,%20Sept,%202015.pdf> <sup>218</sup> Ibid.

Efforts to improve factory safety have resulted in varying degrees of commitments by Compact partners. The weaknesses of the NTPA were identified and addressed by the injection of a US \$31.4 million, three and a half year ILO programme funded by Canada, the Netherlands and the UK.<sup>219</sup> The ILO developed hundreds of Corrective Action Plans (CAPs) for NTPA factories in the remediation stage.<sup>220</sup> With regards to difficulties obtaining funding for remediation, development partners including the EU pledged US \$200 million,<sup>221</sup> the US having also pledged funding to strengthen Bangladesh's inspectorate process through increasing the number of inspectors.<sup>222</sup> It has only been through extensive peer review and monitoring, and a shared commitment from the partners, that these weaknesses have been able to be addressed and quickly acted upon.

A further feature of the Compact that aligns itself with GXG is the existence of a 'penalty default'. Indeed, experimentalist governance does not preclude the existence of binding legal obligations or sanctions for aspects of non-engagement.<sup>223</sup> This supports the 'hybridity thesis' which approaches the co-existence of law and 'experimentalist governance'.<sup>224</sup> It affiliates with the hypothesis that this coexistence can produce a fruitful outcome. As part of this co-existence, 'default hybridity', governance 'in the shadow of the law' is applicable to the Compact. Hard law is the default regime which can be applied where there has been a lack of engagement in experimentalist governance. Such a default position is potentially severe in its consequences, and is designed to be an 'action-forcing' regime.<sup>225</sup> GXG is deemed to be unsuccessful where it is impossible to have the existence of this penalty default.<sup>226</sup> The penalty default underpinning the Compact is the possibility of GSP tariff preference withdrawal. The Compact is thus a novel form of regulation that can interact with negative conditionality.

However, tariff preference withdrawal is not such a strong 'penalty default'. The Commission shows a policy preference for cooperation over sanctions, and sanctions in the form of tariff preference withdrawal have rarely been enacted by the EU.<sup>227</sup> Negative conditionality may no longer be considered a credible threat underpinning the Compact. This has led to calls for the EU to use its power and leverage more fully to influence the improvement of labour rights and factory safety.<sup>228</sup> Even in the light of ongoing labour rights' violations in Bangladesh, the EU remains cautious about moving to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>219</sup> ILO, 'Improving Working Conditions in the Ready Made Garment Industry: Progress and Achievements' (Dhaka, September 2016) <www.ilo.org/dhaka/Whatwedo/Projects/WCMS\_240343/lang--en/index.htm>; FRAME Deliverable 9.4, p 70.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>221</sup> ILO, 'Strengthening Workplace Safety and Labour Rights in the Bangladesh Ready-Made Garment Sector' (September 2016) <a href="http://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---asia/---ro-bangkok/---ilo-dhaka/documents/publication/wcms\_474048.pdf">http://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---asia/---ro-bangkok/---ilo-dhaka/documents/publication/wcms\_474048.pdf</a>
<a href="https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---asia/---ro-bangkok/---ilo-dhaka/documents/publication/wcms\_474048.pdf">https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---asia/---ro-bangkok/---ilo-dhaka/documents/publication/wcms\_474048.pdf</a>
<a href="https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---asia/---ro-bangkok/---ilo-dhaka/documents/publication/wcms\_474048.pdf">https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---asia/---ro-bangkok/---ilo-dhaka/documents/publication/wcms\_474048.pdf</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>223</sup> G De Búrca, RO Keohane and CF Sabel, 'New Modes of Pluralist Global Governance' (2013) 45(3) *New York University Journal of International Law and Politics* 723, p 740.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>224</sup> See note 16 above, p 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>225</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>226</sup> See note 14 above, p 483.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>227</sup> See note 4 above, p 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>228</sup> See note 148 above, p 2.

a default scenario.<sup>229</sup> Nevertheless, global civil society continues to push for consideration of this option. NGOs have highlighted how each year since Rana Plaza the Government of Bangladesh has failed to meet its commitments, affirmed by the ILO supervisory machinery.<sup>230</sup> At the 2016 International Labour Conference, the ILO Committee on the Application of Standards inserted a special paragraph into its report, noting 'with deep concern that the Government has failed to make progress on the repeated and consistent conclusions of this Committee'.<sup>231</sup> It urged the government to undertake several actions, including amending the 2013 Labour Act, investigating acts of anti-union discrimination and ensuring the appropriate procedures are in place for union registrations.<sup>232</sup>

Global trade unions are confident that the initiation of an investigation process is the appropriate path for the EU to take.<sup>233</sup> They consider that it is time for the EU to take a bolder position and steps to determine whether a partial suspension of trade preferences is in order.<sup>234</sup> Despite this, the EU still has not undertaken a GSP investigation, arguing for more dialogue, which global trade unions view not in itself as problematic, but conclude that 'the manner in which the EU has conducted dialogue has been ineffective given that the situation has only worsened substantially over the last several years'. 235 In March 2017, as progress stalled on the actions recommended by the ILO, it was reported that the Commission sent a joint letter to the Ambassador of Bangladesh in Brussels warning that key labour reforms had to be undertaken or it risked temporary withdrawal of trade preferences.<sup>236</sup> Certainly, the process of peer review of the EU's actions by trade unions, NGOs and the ILO, with regard to the lack of imposition of the 'penalty default' has played a particularly important role under the Compact. In one of the rare instances that GSP withdrawal has occurred, in Myanmar, it was the trade union organisations, utilising their leverage within the ILO, that acted as the conduit for GSP withdrawal.<sup>237</sup> Trade union organisations in response to Rana Plaza, on the other hand, advocated at first the softer approach of the Compact. Now their position as Compact partners has endowed them with greater ongoing influence in the process of GXG.

This weakness in invoking the 'penalty default' scenario is that it provides less incentive for the employers and Government to engage. 238 Staying engaged in the Compact should not be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>229</sup> See note 179 above, p 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>230</sup> See note 198 above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>231</sup> ILO, 'Conference Committee on the Application of Standards' (Geneva 2016, 105<sup>th</sup> Session of the International Labour conference) part 1/32, para 143.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>232</sup> Ibid, para 144.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>233</sup> See note 198 above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>234</sup> ITUC et al, 'Joint Union Letter to EU re Bangladesh' <www.ituc-csi.org/joint-union-letter-to-eu-re>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>235</sup> Uni Global Union et al, 'EU-Based Affiliated Demand a GSP Investigation for Bangladesh' (20 February 2017) <a href="http://www.unieuropa.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/20170220">http://www.unieuropa.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/20170220</a> - joint\_letter\_to\_affliates\_on\_bangladesh.pdf>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>236</sup> Ibrahim Hossain Ovi, 'EU Warns Bangladesh of GSP Suspension over Labour Rights' (Dhaka Tribune, 24 March 2017)

<sup>&</sup>lt;a href="http://www.dhakatribune.com/bangladesh/2017/03/24/eu-warns-bangladesh-gsp-suspension-labour-rights/">http://www.dhakatribune.com/bangladesh/2017/03/24/eu-warns-bangladesh-gsp-suspension-labour-rights/</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>237</sup> George Tsogas, 'Labour Standards in the Generalized Systems of Preferences of the European Union and the United States' (2000) 6(3) European Journal of Industrial Relations 349, 362.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>238</sup> See note 71 above, pp 42-43.

underestimated, and it is important to enable lasting improvements, as opposed to a short-term solution.<sup>239</sup> The Compact may have been a reactive initiative, at the point of its inception, but it seeks to establish long-term proactive engagement. Deep engagement, after all, is about investment and cooperation between many actors in a rigorous process of review to attain improvements across the three pillars.<sup>240</sup> This is an optimistic objective, and the road to attaining the goal will not automatically foster improvements in labour rights. Experiments rarely guarantee success, and usually encompass many 'tests' prior to drawing any scientific conclusion.

#### V. CONCLUSION

The Compact, as a method of GXG, has evolved as a proactive initiative addressing some of the negative consequences of globalisation for RMG workers in Bangladesh.<sup>241</sup> The speed with which it was concluded after Rana Plaza shows that it was the result of a great deal of international stakeholder pressure. One might, therefore, regard the Compact as test case for future initiatives that may be concluded by the EU, in conjunction with the ILO, to pre-empt rather than react to crises in safety and violations of labour rights in specific sectors in partner countries, particularly when identified by civil society as requiring multi-level intervention.

The benefits of taking an approach of GXG towards achieving broad labour-related and societal goals are numerous. It is designed to foster participatory, deliberative, locally informed and adaptive problem solving, <sup>242</sup> features which have notably constituted the Compact. Ensuring a non-hierarchical method of governance, it also allows for the engagement of a variety of international actors and the creation of new partnerships. <sup>243</sup> This is clear within the Compact's multi-level governance method. It has engaged the key States, international organisations and domestic actors within its remit, facilitating the sentiment of investment in the Compact by many. <sup>244</sup> Cooperation between the partners has been a vital element to its progress, reflecting a concept of engagement that has depth, seeking to go beyond scratching the surface of labour rights' violations. <sup>245</sup> It has become a holistic and a heuristic approach to the promotion of labour rights, necessary for the Compact to be a normatively sustainable mechanism. <sup>246</sup> Experimentalist governance has also allowed for tailored solutions to the specific problem of addressing complex labour rights' issues in the Bangladeshi RMG sector. <sup>247</sup> It has become clear that GXG within the Compact has been useful as a versatile instrument for pursuing the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>239</sup> See note 98 above, pp 4-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>240</sup> FRAME Deliverable 9.4, p 80.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>241</sup> See note 72 above, p 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>242</sup> See note 14 above, p 480.

<sup>243</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>244</sup> DM Trubek et al, "Soft Law', 'Hard Law' and EU Integration' in G de Búrca and J Scott (eds), *Law and New Governance in the EU and the US* (Hart Publishing, 2006) p 78.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>245</sup> FRAME Deliverable 9.4, p 81.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>246</sup> I Manners, 'The Social Dimension of EU Trade Policies: Reflections from a Normative Power Perspective' (2009) 14 European Foreign Affairs Review 785, p 803.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>247</sup> See note 215 above, p 78.

EU's intertwined human rights, trade and sustainable development objectives in partnership with local actors. Commitment to the Compact remains relatively strong, and there have been some tangible improvements, such as completed factory inspections and limited strengthening of labour legislation, <sup>248</sup> promoted by its unique governance-based approach to fostering labour rights. <sup>249</sup>

However, there is much that remains to be done. As already ascertained, the engagement of the actors is decreasing. The Bangladesh Government, upon whom most of the obligations for 'continuous improvement' are placed, has not shown much support for the Compact's objectives and its engagement is intermittent at best. Trade union organisations, for example, highlight concerns relating to the attitude of Government representatives 'away from the spotlight'. Serious violations of labour rights remain. There is a need to improve respect for trade union rights, ensure that labour legislation meets ILO requirements and that issues regarding sexual harassment and abuses of child labour are dealt with. Inspections of factories must always be followed up with effective remediation. To guarantee the success of the Compact, in meeting its goals, it is important that ongoing pressure is maintained on the Government and the initiative, which is not formally time-limited, must not diminish in influence or importance.

GXG, much like elements of experimentalist governance within the EU's internal agenda, when transcribed to the EU's external relations, offers a silver lining amongst the dark clouds created by pure sanctions-based EU approaches. <sup>255</sup> GXG may be the fundamental rethinking that is needed in the field of EU trade and labour rights. <sup>256</sup> Previously, in Bangladesh, the EU had a *laissez-faire* approach to labour rights knowing that it had the option of imposing trade sanctions if intervention was necessary. As a result, it was detached from the situation on the ground. Now, through continuous multi-level engagement, and strong international partnership, it is able, with the ILO, to shape progress towards the fulfilment of shared objectives while maintaining at least the underpinning of penalty default, however much of a chimera it may seem in practice. <sup>257</sup> Indeed, even where there are doubts as to the Compact's effectiveness, the creativity of the Union in designing, with the ILO and other actors, the unique partnership that it has fostered offers promise for future GXG initiatives focused on delivering sustainable development objectives and strong adherence by States and private actors to international human rights' standards.

<sup>248</sup> See note 167 above, p 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>249</sup> See note 207.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>250</sup> See note 185 above, p 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>251</sup> See FRAME Deliverable 9.4, pp 35-41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>252</sup> FRAME Deliverable 9.4, pp 38-40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>253</sup> See note 167 above, p 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>254</sup> Ibid p 81.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>255</sup> See note 71 above, pp 42-43.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>256</sup> See note 38 above, p 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>257</sup> M Ewing-Chow, 'First Do No Harm: Myanmar Trade Sanctions and Human Rights' (2007) 5(2) *Northwestern Journal of International Human Rights* 153, pp 179-180.