| 1 | A dual-application | poly (DL | -lactic-co- | glycolic) a   | acid (PLG | GA)-chitosan    | composite scaffe | old |
|---|--------------------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------|-----|
| - | I uuui uppiicuiion | PVIJ (DL | incure co   | Si y come y c |           | filly childball | composite scario |     |

# 2 for potential use in bone tissue engineering

- 3 Yamina Boukari<sup>a</sup> (yamina.boukari@gmail.com), Omar Qutachi<sup>b</sup>
- 4 (omar.qutachi@nottingham.ac.uk), David J. Scurr<sup>b</sup> (<u>david.scurr@nottingham.ac.uk</u>), Andrew
- 5 P. Morris<sup>a</sup> (<u>andrew.morris@nottingham.edu.my</u>), Stephen W. Doughty<sup>c</sup>
- 6 (stephen.doughty@pmc.edu.my), and Nashiru Billa<sup>a\*</sup>
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>a</sup>School of Pharmacy, The University of Nottingham Malaysia Campus, Jalan Broga, 43500
- 8 Semenyih, Selangor, Malaysia.
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>b</sup>School of Pharmacy, The University of Nottingham, Park Campus, Nottingham NG7 2RD,

10 United Kingdom.

- <sup>11</sup> <sup>c</sup>Penang Medical College, 4 Jalan Sepoy Lines, 10450 George Town, Penang, Malaysia
- 12 \*Corresponding author: <u>Nashiru.Billa@nottingham.edu.my</u>; Tel, +60389248211; Fax,
  13 +60389248018
  14
  15
  16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 20
- 21

#### 22 Abstract

23 The development of patient-friendly alternatives to bone-graft procedures is the driving force 24 for new frontiers in bone tissue engineering. Poly (<sub>DL</sub>-lactic-co-glycolic acid), (PLGA) and 25 chitosan are well-studied and easy-to-process polymers from which scaffolds can be fabricated. In this study, a novel dual-application scaffold system was formulated from 26 27 porous PLGA and protein-loaded PLGA/chitosan microspheres. Physicochemical and in vitro 28 protein release attributes were established. The therapeutic relevance, cytocompatibility with 29 primary human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) and osteogenic properties were tested. 30 There was a significant reduction in burst release from the composite PLGA/chitosan 31 microspheres compared with PLGA alone. Scaffolds sintered from porous microspheres at 32 37°C were significantly stronger than the PLGA control, with compressive strengths of 0.846 33  $\pm$  0.272 MPa and 0.406  $\pm$  0.265 MPa, respectively (p < 0.05). The formulation also sintered at 37°C following injection through a needle, demonstrating its injectable potential. The 34 35 scaffolds demonstrated cytocompatibility, with increased cell numbers observed over an 8day study period. Von Kossa and immunostaining of the hMSC-scaffolds confirmed their 36 37 osteogenic potential with the ability to sinter at 37°C in situ. 38 Keywords: polymeric biomaterials, controlled delivery, poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA), microspheres, protein delivery, tissue engineering, mechanical properties, 39 formulation.<sup>1</sup> 40

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Abbreviations

BMPs, bone morphogenetic proteins; BSA, bovine serum albumin; DCM, dichloromethane; DMSO, dimethyl sulphoxide; ECM, extracellular matrix; FTIR, Fourier transform infrared; hMSC, primary human mesenchymal stem cells; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; PLGA, poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid); PVA, poly (vinyl alcohol); SDS, sodium dodecyl sulphate; SEM, scanning electron microscopy, TPP, sodium tripolyphosphate; ToF-SIMS, time of flight secondary ion mass spectroscopy.

#### 41 **1. Introduction**

42 There is an urgent need for alternative approaches for the regeneration of bone 43 following fracture or orthopaedic damage in lieu of traditional methods, and these alternative 44 approaches constitute an important tissue engineering application (Vo et al., 2012). The current 'gold standard' therapy is the bone graft procedure, which involves taking autologous 45 46 bone, usually harvested from the iliac crest of the patient, and implanting it into their defect 47 site (Martino et al., 2012; Amini et al., 2013). Alternatively, allograft bone from donors or 48 cadavers can be extracted from the femoral heads or extremities of other long bones (Delloye 49 et al., 2007). This implanted tissue acts as a scaffold for the existing bone tissue to infiltrate 50 and deposit extracellular matrix (ECM), leading to the remodelling of the fractured bone 51 (Bostrom and Mikos, 1997). Numerous drawbacks are associated with the above procedures, 52 including the limited supply of autologous bone, complications at the donor site and high 53 surgical costs (Martino et al., 2012). Furthermore, in large defects, resorption may occur 54 before osteogenesis has been completed (Burg et al., 2000). Allograft bone usage is associated 55 with incompatibility with the host, and the possible transmission of diseases and infections such as hepatitis and HIV (Vo et al., 2012; Bostrom and Mikos, 1997; Chen et al., 2010; 56 57 Puppi 2010). The risk of disease transmission from allograft bone can be minimised by 58 processing or devitalization via freeze-drying or irradiation; however, this may reduce the 59 osteoinductivity and mechanical strength (White et al., 2013; Hau et al., 2008; Nauth et al., 60 2011). Other options include the usage of bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), distraction 61 osteogenesis and bone cement; however, these are also not ideal (Amini et al., 2013). The 62 shortcomings in the current clinical options have led to concerted efforts in search of 63 alternative strategies for the repair of bone.

64 Poly (<sub>DL</sub>-lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) is a well-studied synthetic polymer used in
65 bone tissue engineering. It has favourable properties such as biodegradability (Pan and Ding,

2012), cytocompatibility, controllable mechanical properties (Bostrom and Mikos, 1997;
Burg et al., 2000; Chen et al., 2010; Puppi et al., 2010) and it can be easily processed (Burg et al., 2000; Pan and Ding, 2012). Furthermore, PLGA has been approved by the FDA for use in certain clinical applications (Lu et al., 2009).

70 The combination of porous and non-porous microspheres, which are able to sinter at 71 body temperature, enables the introduction of porosity within injected scaffolds, hence, 72 allowing proliferating cells access to nutrients [Qutachi et al., 2014; Boukari et al., 2015). 73 Simultaneously, the delivery of growth factors such as BMPs to the growing cells is also 74 facilitated. BMPs have been studied for their use in non-union bone defects, spinal fusion and 75 open tibial fractures (Boukari et al., 2015; Whilte et al., 2013; Hau and Wang, 2008). 76 Furthermore, it has been reported that one such BMP, BMP-2, is present during the initial 77 phase of fracture repair, and during chondrogenesis and osteogenesis (Patel et al., 2008). 78 Various strategies have been utilized for the sintering of microspheres into scaffolds. 79 These include the incorporation of plasticizers in order to reduce polymer glass transition 80 temperatures (Dhillon et al., 2011), the addition of organic solvents such as dichloromethane 81 (Pan and Ding, 2012; Wang et al., 2010) and the application of heat (Delloye et al., 2007; 82 Chen et al., 2010; Puppi et al, 2010). Although the use of high temperatures and organic 83 solvents result in mechanically strong scaffolds, these conditions are not ideal for the body 84 and so are not suitable for sintering *in-situ*. Therefore, a system capable of sintering at 37°C 85 in situ would be extremely beneficial.

Protein-loaded PLGA microspheres often exhibit an initial burst release (Boukari et al.,
2015; Tao et al., 2014) which is not ideal for an intended controlled release of BMP-2 at a
defect site. A number of strategies have been employed to control the release of proteins from
PLGA microspheres. These include varying the polymer molecular weight (Boukari et al.,

2015), the inclusion of additives such as poloxamer 188 (Paillard-Giteau et al., 2010) and the
use of a PLGA-PEG-PLGA triblock polymer (White et al., 2013; Kirby et al., 2011).

92 Chitosan is a natural polysaccharide derived from chitin and is popular in tissue 93 engineering applications for a variety of reasons, which include its cytocompatibility and 94 ability to promote cell adhesion (Amini et al., 2012). Chitosan microspheres show promise 95 for use in the encapsulation of proteins and have previously been shown to retain the activity 96 of a neural growth factor (Zeng et al., 2011). Moreover, due to its cationic nature and 97 propensity to slow degradation, chitosan-based materials are able to sustain the release of 98 growth factors (Qian and Zhang, 2013). Chitosan has been used in combination with PLGA 99 in various forms, including by embedding PLGA microspheres into chitosan scaffolds (Kirby 100 et al., 2011; Zeng et al., 2011; Di Martino et al., 2005; Qian, 2013). PLGA/chitosan 101 microspheres can be formulated in a variety of ways. These include the use of supercritical 102 fluid technology (Cassetari et al., 2011), the double emulsion method (Fu et al., 2012; Hu et 103 al., 2008) the solvent evaporation technique (Jian et al., 2010), an electro-dropping layer-by-104 layer approach (Choi et al., 2013) and conjugation and adsorption methods (Chakravarthi and 105 Robinson, 2011). Porous microspheres have also been treated with chitosan (Yue et al., 2015) 106 (Chakravarthi and Robinson, 2011), whilst others have encapsulated protein-loaded chitosan 107 microspheres into large porous PLGA microspheres (Tao et al., 2014).

In a previous study, we reported the formulation of a novel PLGA scaffold delivery
system based on porous and protein-loaded microspheres that sintered at 37°C (Boukari et al.,
2015). There have been a number of reports utilising composites of PLGA/chitosan
microspheres for use in bone tissue engineering (Casettari et al., 2011; Han et al., 2015;
Pandey et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2010; Choi et al., 2013; Chakravarthi and Robinson, 2011).
In the present work, we report the development of a 'dual-application' PLGA/chitosan
composite scaffold formulation which sinters at 37°C when injected through a hypodermic

needle as well as when implanted as a paste. Furthermore, we aimed to control the release
kinetics of a model protein for BMP-2 (BMP-2 itself was not used due to the cost
implications) from this system, via the inclusion of chitosan, and to investigate its
cytocompatibility and osteoinductive capabilities on primary human mesenchymal stem cells
(hMSCs).

#### 120 **2.** Materials and methods

### 121 **2.1 Materials**

122 PLGA (85:15, 53 kDa) was purchased from Evonik (Morris, NJ, USA). Chitosan, low 123 molecular weight,  $\geq 75\%$  deacetylation; sodium tripolyphosphate (TPP); poly vinyl alcohol 124 (PVA), 87–89% hydrolysed; phosphate buffered saline (PBS; 0.01 M phosphate buffer, 125 0.0027 M potassium chloride and 0.137 M sodium chloride; pH 7.4) tablets; sodium 126 hydroxide (NaOH) pellets; Triton X-100; goat serum; Hoechst 33258; sodium thiosulphate 127 solution; silver nitrate solution; formalin 10% v/v and paraformaldehyde 10% v/v solutions 128 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Glacial acetic acid was purchased from R&M Chemicals (Essex, UK). Dichloromethane (DCM), dimethyl sulfoxide 129 130 (DMSO) and sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) were purchased from Fisher Scientific UK 131 (Loughborough, UK). Bovine serum albumin (BSA) was purchased from Nacalai Tesque 132 (Kyoto, Japan). A micro BCA protein assay kit was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific 133 (Waltham, MA, USA). For stem cell culture, hMSCs, an MSCGM hMSC SingleQuot kit, 134 trypsin/EDTA for MSC and HEPES buffered saline were purchased from Lonza (Basel, 135 Switzerland). Presto Blue cell viability reagent was purchased from Gibco, Life Technologies 136 (Carlsbad, CA, USA). For immunostaining, anti-osteocalcin polyclonal antibody was

purchased from Merck Millipore (Billerica, MA, USA) and alexa flour 488 goat anti-rabbit
IgG was purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA).

## 139 **2.2 Formulation of PLGA microspheres**

140 Porous PLGA microspheres were prepared using the double emulsion solvent 141 evaporation method as described in detail elsewhere (Qutachi et al., 2014; Boukari et al., 142 2015). Briefly, a 250-µl aliquot of PBS was added to a 20% w/v PLGA/DCM solution and 143 homogenized at 9000 rpm using a Silverson L5M homogeniser (East Longmeadow, MA, 144 USA). This was added to 200 ml of 0.3% w/v PVA solution and homogenized at 4000 rpm 145 and then stirred at 300 rpm for 4 hours. The microspheres were washed with distilled water 146 and then exposed to ethanolic-NaOH in order to enhance the surface porosity. They were 147 then sieved (40 µm) and washed using distilled water. Non-porous microspheres were 148 prepared in a similar way using 100 µl of 100 mg/ml BSA solution or 100 µl of distilled 149 water, instead of 250 µl of PBS. BSA was chosen as a model protein as it is compatible with 150 chitosan and has previously been used as a substitute for growth factors (Song et al., 2013; 151 Yilgor et al., 2010; Yilgor et al., 2009).

Non-porous PLGA/chitosan composite microspheres were prepared similarly;
however, instead of using 200 ml of 0.3% w/v PVA solution, the aqueous phase comprised
150 ml of 0.4% w/v PVA solution containing 0.05 g of TPP. The primary emulsion, in
addition to 50 ml of 0.25% w/v chitosan solution in 2% v/v acetic acid, was added to the
external aqueous phase simultaneously and homogenized. All microspheres were freeze-dried
using a Thermo Fisher Scientific FR-Drying Digital Unit (Waltham, MA, USA) for 48 hours
and stored at -20°C until use.

## **2.3 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and size analysis**

| 160 | The freeze-dried samples were mounted onto aluminium stubs (Agar Scientific, UK)         |
|-----|--|
| 161 | and gold-coated using a Balzers SCD030 gold sputter coater (Balzers Union Ltd.,          |
| 162 | Lichtenstein). The morphology and surface topography of the microspheres were observed   |
| 163 | using a Jeol 6060L SEM imaging system (Jeol Ltd., Hertfordshire, UK) at 10 kV. The       |
| 164 | particle size distribution and mean microsphere diameter were determined using a Coulter |
| 165 | LS230 particle size analyser (Beckman, UK).  |

# **2.4 Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy**

167 FTIR spectra of the microspheres and their constituents were obtained using a
168 Spectrum RX 1 FTIR spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Samples were
169 mixed with potassium bromide (KBr) and compressed using a 5-tonne force into disks; 256
170 scans were acquired from 400 to 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## **2.5 Preparation of 3D scaffolds**

PLGA and PLGA/chitosan composite scaffolds were previously prepared in our
laboratories (Boukari et al., 2015). A 1:1 mass ratio of porous to non-porous microspheres
was mixed in a weighing boat followed by mixing with PBS (pH 7.4) at a ratio of 0.25:1
(PBS to microspheres) to form a paste. The paste was packed into a 6-mm diameter and 12mm height polytetrafluorethylene (PTFE) mould using a spatula, and then stored in a sealed
de-humidifying chamber at 37°C for 17 hours.

# **2.6 Time of flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (ToF-SIMS)**

180 The presence and distribution of the chitosan coating on the scaffold surfaces was 181 assessed using a time of flight secondary ion mass spectrometer (ToF-SIMS IV, ION-TOF 182 GmbH, Munster, Germany). Scaffolds were placed on the ToF-SIMS stage and secured with 183 metal clips. A 25-keV Bi<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> primary ion source was used to scan a  $256 \times 256$  pixel raster, 184 while simultaneously not exceeding the limit of static, as described by Rafati et al., (2012). 185 Surface charge due to the primary ion beam on the insulating sample surface was 186 compensated using a flood gun generating low energy electrons (20 eV). Negative and 187 positive polarity data for  $500 \times 500 \,\mu\text{m}$  areas were analysed using the SurfaceLab 6 software 188 (IONTOF, Germany). PLGA was identified by the presence of  $C_3H_3O_2^-$  (m/z = 71) and 189  $C_3H_5O_2^-$  (m/z = 73) (Rafato et al., 2012). Diagnostic secondary ion peaks for chitosan were 190 identified as  $CN^{-}$  (m/z = 26) from the negative polarity data, in addition to  $CH_4N^{+}$  (m/z = 30) 191 and C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>5</sub>N<sub>2<sup>+</sup></sub> (m/z = 81) from the positive polarity data. For a semi-quantitative analysis, each 192 area was split into four regions of interest, and the ion intensity data for these peaks of

193 interest were exported and normalized to the total ion intensity.

# 194 2.7 Encapsulation efficiency (%EE) of BSA within microspheres and scaffolds

195 The %EE of BSA within the non-porous PLGA and PLGA/chitosan composite 196 microspheres and scaffolds were determined by gently stirring 10 mg of the microspheres or 197 one scaffold in 750 µl or 13 ml of DMSO, respectively, for 1 hour. This was followed by the 198 addition of 2.15 ml or 37.27 ml of 0.02% w/v SDS in 0.2 M NaOH to the microspheres or 199 scaffolds, respectively. The solution was left to stand at room temperature for 1 hour. 200 Standard concentrations of BSA were calibrated with a BCA reagent so that the sample 201 absorbance could be matched with standard concentrations on an Infinite 200 plate reader 202 (Tecan, Switzerland) at 562 nm. The %EE of BSA within the microspheres and scaffolds was 203 then calculated using Equation 1.

| 204  | $\% EE = \frac{Actual mass of BSA in 10 mg of microspheres OR 1 scaffold}{Theoretical mass of BSA used for 10 mg of microspheres OR 1 scaffold} \times 100$ (1)  |
|--|--|
| 205  |  |
| 206  | 2.8 Release of BSA from microspheres and scaffolds   |
| 207  | Release studies of BSA from the PLGA and PLGA/chitosan composite microspheres  |
| 208  | were carried out by submerging 50 mg of microspheres in 1.5 ml of PBS in a micro-  |
| 209  | centrifuge tube. The tubes were incubated at 37°C. At predetermined time intervals, the PBS  |
| 210  | supernatant was removed and replaced with fresh buffer. Aliquots (150 $\mu$ l) were withdrawn  |
| 211  | from the supernatant and assayed for the presence of BSA at 562 nm on the microplate reader  |
| 212  | using the BCA assay kit. BSA release from scaffolds was studied in 4 ml of PBS and assayed   |
| 213  | as described above.  |
| 214  |  |
|  |  |
| 215  | 2.9 Preparation of 3D scaffolds post-injection   |
| 215<br>216   | <b>2.9 Preparation of 3D scaffolds post-injection</b><br>Microsphere mixtures were prepared at a 1:1 ratio of porous to non-porous   |
| 215<br>216<br>217  | 2.9 Preparation of 3D scaffolds post-injection<br>Microsphere mixtures were prepared at a 1:1 ratio of porous to non-porous<br>microspheres and an approximate BSA loading of 3 mg of BSA/g of mixture, as described in  |
| <ul><li>215</li><li>216</li><li>217</li><li>218</li></ul>  | 2.9 Preparation of 3D scaffolds post-injection<br>Microsphere mixtures were prepared at a 1:1 ratio of porous to non-porous<br>microspheres and an approximate BSA loading of 3 mg of BSA/g of mixture, as described in<br>section 2.5. The mixture was suspended in PBS at a concentration of 50 mg of  |
| <ul> <li>215</li> <li>216</li> <li>217</li> <li>218</li> <li>219</li> </ul>  | 2.9 Preparation of 3D scaffolds post-injection<br>Microsphere mixtures were prepared at a 1:1 ratio of porous to non-porous<br>microspheres and an approximate BSA loading of 3 mg of BSA/g of mixture, as described in<br>section 2.5. The mixture was suspended in PBS at a concentration of 50 mg of<br>microspheres/ml, vortex-mixed briefly and then drawn into a 1-ml syringe (BD Fine) fitted   |
| <ul> <li>215</li> <li>216</li> <li>217</li> <li>218</li> <li>219</li> <li>220</li> </ul>   | 2.9 Preparation of 3D scaffolds post-injection<br>Microsphere mixtures were prepared at a 1:1 ratio of porous to non-porous<br>microspheres and an approximate BSA loading of 3 mg of BSA/g of mixture, as described in<br>section 2.5. The mixture was suspended in PBS at a concentration of 50 mg of<br>microspheres/ml, vortex-mixed briefly and then drawn into a 1-ml syringe (BD Fine) fitted<br>with a 19-G needle (1.1 × 50 mm, BD fine, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Finally, the contents  |
| <ul> <li>215</li> <li>216</li> <li>217</li> <li>218</li> <li>219</li> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> </ul>  | 2.9 Preparation of 3D scaffolds post-injection<br>Microsphere mixtures were prepared at a 1:1 ratio of porous to non-porous<br>microspheres and an approximate BSA loading of 3 mg of BSA/g of mixture, as described in<br>section 2.5. The mixture was suspended in PBS at a concentration of 50 mg of<br>microspheres/ml, vortex-mixed briefly and then drawn into a 1-ml syringe (BD Fine) fitted<br>with a 19-G needle (1.1 × 50 mm, BD fine, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Finally, the contents<br>of the syringe were injected into the PTFE scaffold mould.  |
| <ul> <li>215</li> <li>216</li> <li>217</li> <li>218</li> <li>219</li> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> </ul>                           | 2.9 Preparation of 3D scaffolds post-injection<br>Microsphere mixtures were prepared at a 1:1 ratio of porous to non-porous<br>microspheres and an approximate BSA loading of 3 mg of BSA/g of mixture, as described in<br>section 2.5. The mixture was suspended in PBS at a concentration of 50 mg of<br>microspheres/ml, vortex-mixed briefly and then drawn into a 1-ml syringe (BD Fine) fitted<br>with a 19-G needle ( $1.1 \times 50$ mm, BD fine, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Finally, the contents<br>of the syringe were injected into the PTFE scaffold mould.  |
| <ul> <li>215</li> <li>216</li> <li>217</li> <li>218</li> <li>219</li> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> <li>223</li> </ul>              | 2.9 Preparation of 3D scaffolds post-injection Microsphere mixtures were prepared at a 1:1 ratio of porous to non-porous microspheres and an approximate BSA loading of 3 mg of BSA/g of mixture, as described in section 2.5. The mixture was suspended in PBS at a concentration of 50 mg of microspheres/ml, vortex-mixed briefly and then drawn into a 1-ml syringe (BD Fine) fitted with a 19-G needle (1.1 × 50 mm, BD fine, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Finally, the contents of the syringe were injected into the PTFE scaffold mould. 2.10 Compressive strength of scaffolds   |
| <ul> <li>215</li> <li>216</li> <li>217</li> <li>218</li> <li>219</li> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> <li>223</li> <li>224</li> </ul> | 2.9 Preparation of 3D scaffolds post-injection         Microsphere mixtures were prepared at a 1:1 ratio of porous to non-porous         microspheres and an approximate BSA loading of 3 mg of BSA/g of mixture, as described in         section 2.5. The mixture was suspended in PBS at a concentration of 50 mg of         microspheres/ml, vortex-mixed briefly and then drawn into a 1-ml syringe (BD Fine) fitted         with a 19-G needle (1.1 × 50 mm, BD fine, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Finally, the contents         of the syringe were injected into the PTFE scaffold mould.         2.10 Compressive strength of scaffolds         The compressive strength of the scaffolds was assessed using a TA.HD+ texture |

mm/second over a contact area of approximately 28.75 mm<sup>2</sup>. Dry PLGA and PLGA/chitosan
 BSA-loaded scaffolds prepared as described in sections 2.5 and 2.9 were tested, and the
 compressive strength was determined as the stress at the maximum strain.

229

230 **2.11 Cell culture and seeding onto scaffolds** 

231 Primary hMSCs were cultured in hMSC basal media supplemented with the contents 232 of an MSCGM hMSC SingleQuot kit. The cells were maintained in a humidified tissue-233 culture incubator at 37°C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. The cytocompatibility test was carried out on BSA-free 234 scaffolds. Scaffolds were prepared directly into a 24-well plate in a manner similar to that 235 described in section 2.5. A 1:1 porous to non-porous microsphere mixture was UV sterilised 236 for 80 minutes (Gould et al., 2013) and then transferred to the well. Basal growth medium 237 was then added at a ratio of 0.25:1 (medium to microspheres). After 17 hours of sintering, each scaffold was seeded with  $1 \times 10^5$  hMSCs and incubated for 2 hours, followed by the 238 239 addition of 1 ml of media to each scaffold/well. The cell-seeded scaffolds were maintained at 240 37°C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. For all cell experiments, either 5 replicates or 2 independent repeats each 241 comprising at least 3 replicates was carried out.

## 242 2.12 Cell viability assay

Each scaffold was submerged in 1 ml of media and 111  $\mu$ l of Presto Blue reagent and the cell viability was determined at day 1, 3, 6 and 8 post-seeding using the Presto Blue cell viability reagent. The well plate was protected from light and incubated at 37°C for 25 minutes. Aliquots of 100  $\mu$ l were withdrawn from each well in triplicate and the absorbance was read on an infinite 200 plate reader (Tecan, Switzerland) at excitation and emission wavelengths of 560 nm and 590 nm, respectively. The Presto Blue reagent was replaced with

fresh media and the scaffolds were placed back in the incubator. On day 8, after measuring the cell viability, the scaffolds were washed with PBS and the cells were fixed with 10% v/v buffered formalin solution for 20 minutes. Fixed hMSC-scaffold constructs were viewed under the SEM.

#### 253 2.13 Assessment of mineralization

254 In order to determine the degree of mineralization on the scaffolds, the von Kossa assay was utilized. Cells were seeded onto scaffolds as described in section 2.11 and 255 256 incubated in basal growth media for 21 days. On day 21, cells were fixed with 10% v/v 257 buffered formalin for 20 minutes and thoroughly washed with PBS. A 450-µl aliquot of 1% 258 w/v silver nitrate solution was added to each scaffold and incubated under a UV light source 259 for 1 hour. The solution was then removed and the scaffolds were washed three times with deionized water. This was followed by treatment with sodium thiosulphate solution for 5 260 261 minutes in order to remove any excess silver nitrate solution. The scaffolds were then washed 262 with PBS prior to imaging under a dissection microscope (Leica, Germany).

263

## 264 2.14 Osteocalcin immunostaining

Cells were seeded onto scaffolds as described in section 2.11. The scaffolds were incubated in basal growth media for 21 days after which they were fixed using 10% v/v paraformaldehyde for 20 minutes and then thoroughly washed with PBS. The cells were permeabilised with 500  $\mu$ l of 0.1% v/v Triton X-100 solution for 40 minutes. The solution was aspirated and the cells were washed with PBS. Blocking of unspecific binding sites as a result of epitomes on the cell layers was carried out via the addition of 500  $\mu$ l of 3% v/v goat serum in 1% w/v BSA in PBS for 40 minutes. The blocking solution was removed and 500  $\mu$ l

272 of anti-OCN primary antibody solution (1:200 dilution in 1% w/v BSA in PBS) was added. 273 The scaffolds were incubated at 4°C overnight. After incubation, the antibody solution was 274 removed, the scaffolds were washed with PBS and then incubated at room temperature for 275 two hours in 500 µl of a 1:200 solution of Alexa Flour 488 goat anti-rabbit secondary IgG, in 1% w/v BSA in PBS. After incubation, the secondary antibody solution was removed and the 276 277 scaffolds were washed with PBS. In order to stain the DNA of cells, the scaffolds were 278 incubated for a further 15 minutes in 1 µg/ml Hoechst dye dissolved in 1% w/v BSA in PBS 279 at room temperature. After incubation, the Hoechst dye was removed and the scaffolds were 280 thoroughly washed with PBS and then viewed under a dissection microscope. The images of 281 PLGA and composite scaffolds were processed and compared using the ImageJ software 282 (Version 1.48, National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). Four images were taken of 283 four different areas on each scaffold and then converted into binary formats so that the 284 stained areas could be calculated.

285

#### 286 2.15 Statistical Analyses

A statistical analysis of the data was carried out using Microsoft Excel. An unpaired t test and the ANOVA procedure were used and the results were deemed significant when p < 0.05.

**3. Results** 

# 290 **3.1** Physical characterization of PLGA/chitosan composite microspheres and scaffolds

BSA-encapsulated PLGA/chitosan composite microspheres were formulated using TPP as a cross-linker as detailed in section 2.2. Both the PLGA and composite microspheres appeared smooth, as shown in the SEM images in Figure 1A and B, respectively. Thus, the

addition of chitosan cross-linked with TPP did not alter the superficial appearance of the microspheres and no unprocessed, free chitosan is visible from the SEM images. Size analysis revealed the average diameters of the PLGA and composite microspheres to be 69.75  $\pm 21.47 \mu m$  and  $66.85 \pm 22.68 \mu m$ , respectively (Figure 1C).

298

299 The FTIR spectra of the raw materials and microspheres are presented in Figure 2. The chitosan spectrum shows a high-intensity peak at 3400 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which corresponds to 300 301 stretching vibrations of the O-H and N-H bonds, in addition to hydrogen bonding in the 302 backbone (Azevedo et al., 2011). The characteristic peak at 1647 cm<sup>-1</sup> is a result of the amide 303 functionality and may be present as a consequence of the axial deformation of the C=O bond 304 (Azevedo et al., 2011) and strong N-H bending (Misch et al., 1999). Peaks present at 1019 and 1086 cm<sup>-1</sup> (corresponding to C-O stretch vibrations), and 1152 cm<sup>-1</sup> (asymmetric stretch 305 306 of the C-O-C bond) are also indicative of chitosan (Azevedo et al., 2011).

The TPP spectrum, similarly, shows a peak of significant intensity at 3390 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 307 308 corresponding to the stretching vibrations of the O-H bond. Peaks around the 1095 cm<sup>-1</sup> 309 region are an indication of the P=O phosphate group. The PLGA spectrum presents a peak at 310 3473 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which is indicative of vibration of the terminal O-H groups. Other peaks that indicate PLGA are present at 743 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-H bend), 1086 and 1180 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O stretch), 1381 311 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-H bend), 1771 cm<sup>-1</sup> (the carbonyl C=O) and 2876 cm<sup>-1</sup> (CH<sub>2</sub> bend) (Ganji and 312 313 Abdekhodaie, 2010). Both PLGA and PLGA/chitosan composite BSA-loaded microspheres 314 show peaks at identical wavelengths, which suggests that the microspheres are predominantly 315 PLGA. Moreover, the spectra of PLGA and PLGA/chitosan composite microspheres show 316 peaks at 1621 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1639 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, which are attributed to the C=O bond of the 317 amide groups that are found both in BSA and chitosan. However, there does appear to be a slightly more pronounced peak at 1639 cm<sup>-1</sup> on the spectrum of the PLGA/chitosan 318

319 composite microspheres, which corresponds to the amide C=O bond suggesting the presence320 of chitosan in the formulation.

| 321 | The ToF-SIMS analysis was carried out in order to ascertain the presence of chitosan  |
|-----|---|
| 322 | on the scaffold surfaces. BSA-free scaffolds were analysed based on the overlap of chitosan   |
| 323 | and BSA secondary ion peaks (discussed in section 2.6). Intensities of nitrogen-containing  |
| 324 | positive secondary ion peaks CH <sub>4</sub> N <sup>+</sup> ( $m/z = 30$ ) and C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>5</sub> N <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup> ( $m/z = 81$ ), as well as the |
| 325 | negative ion peak $CN^{-}$ ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> = 26) were all significantly higher in the composite   |
| 326 | PLGA/chitosan scaffolds when compared to the chitosan-free scaffolds, as shown in Figure  |
| 327 | 3A. However, there was no significant difference between the profiles of diagnostic PLGA  |
| 328 | ion peaks for the PLGA and composite scaffolds (Figure 3B).   |
| 329 | The incorporation of chitosan did not elicit a significant change in the encapsulation  |
| 330 | efficiency of BSA in the microspheres, with 80.58 $\pm$ 17.06% and 81.57 $\pm$ 3.06% of the   |
| 331 | protein being encapsulated into the PLGA and PLGA/chitosan composite microspheres,  |
| 332 | respectively. Moreover, there was no statistical difference in the encapsulation efficiencies of  |
| 333 | the PLGA and composite scaffolds (2.81 mg/g [93.68% $\pm$ 3.50%] and 2.52 mg/g [84.02% $\pm$  |
| 334 | 12.08%] for the PLGA and PLGA/chitosan composite scaffolds, respectively).  |

## 335 **3.2 Release of BSA from microspheres and scaffolds**

The release profile of BSA was mapped over 28 days from both microspheres and scaffolds sintered at 37°C (Figure 4). The initial burst release after 24 hours from the PLGA microspheres was significantly higher than from the PLGA/chitosan composite microspheres,  $0.93 \pm 0.06 \mu$ g/mg and  $0.57 \pm 0.03 \mu$ g/mg, respectively (p < 0.05). After 28 days,  $1.72 \pm 0.23$  $\mu$ g/mg of BSA was released from the PLGA microspheres, which was significantly higher in comparison to  $1.20 \pm 0.05 \mu$ g/mg from the PLGA/chitosan composite microspheres (p =0.05)

- Similarly, there was a significant retardation of the initial burst release from the scaffolds containing PLGA/chitosan composite microspheres,  $0.10 \pm 0.02 \mu g/mg$ , in comparison to the PLGA scaffolds,  $0.16 \pm 0.01 \mu g/mg$  (p < 0.05, Figure 4B).
- 345

# 346 **3.3 Sintering of microspheres into scaffolds**

347 In order to study the effect of the scaffold preparation method on their subsequent 348 morphology and mechanical strength, the PLGA and PLGA/chitosan composite scaffolds 349 were prepared using two different methods. Firstly, a paste was formed from the 350 microspheres as previously reported (Boukari et al., 2015). In the second method, we aimed 351 to study the ability of the microspheres to sinter post-injection through a 19-G needle into a 352 scaffold mould. This was then followed by a 17-hour incubation period at 37°C. Photographs 353 of the resulting scaffolds and their compressive strengths are presented in Figure 5A and B, 354 respectively. The sintering process results in the expulsion of water so that the components 355 within close proximity. We believe that this favours 'fusion' and bond formation within the 356 scaffolds. This approach to scaffold sintering at 37°C is superior to the more harsh methods 357 employing elevated temperatures and reagents.

The overall appearances of PLGA and composite scaffolds were very similar (Figure 5A). However, when comparing scaffolds prepared using the paste method, the compressive strength of PLGA/chitosan composite scaffolds was significantly higher (0.846  $\pm$  0.272 MPa) than the PLGA scaffolds (0.406  $\pm$  0.265 MPa, p < 0.05).

Figure 5A shows that it was possible to successfully sinter a microsphere suspension post-injection, thus, forming intact scaffolds that retained their shape when removed from the mould. This confirms the injectable potential of the microspheres. When scaffolds were

sintered as a suspension post-injection, there was no significant difference between the compressive strengths of the PLGA and PLGA/chitosan composite scaffolds,  $0.086 \pm 0.068$ MPa and  $0.048 \pm 0.00096$  MPa, respectively (p > 0.05, Figure 5B); however, it is likely that the compressive values may be below the lower limit of threshold of the machine.

369

## 370 **3.4 Cell proliferation on scaffolds**

The culturing of primary hMSCs on the scaffolds was used as a means to test their cytocompatibility. Cell proliferation was assessed using the Presto Blue viability reagent on day 1, 3, 6 and 8 (Figure 6A).

374 Cell proliferation increased over time on both scaffold types. On day 1, the cell numbers on PLGA and PLGA/chitosan composite scaffolds were  $1.06 \times 10^4$  and  $1.03 \times 10^4$ , 375 376 respectively. Both types of scaffolds exhibited a very similar cell growth profile with no 377 statistically significant difference found between them (p > 0.05) on day 1, 3 and 6. However, 378 the cell number on day 8 was significantly higher on the PLGA scaffolds (p < 0.05) at  $6.25 \times$  $10^4$  and  $4.45\times10^4$  for PLGA and PLGA/chitosan composite scaffolds, respectively. SEM 379 380 images of the cell-scaffold constructs on day 8 are shown in Figure 6B and C, with cells 381 visibly distributed between microspheres in both scaffold types.

382

# 383 **3.5 Assessment of mineralization**

The extent of mineralization on the scaffolds after 21 days in culture media was assessed using the von Kossa assay as described in section 2.14. Dark brown/black nodules (indicated by the white arrow in Figure 7B) are visible on the scaffolds and represent positive staining. A qualitative analysis shows that there are more nodules on the PLGA/chitosan

composite scaffolds (Figure 7B), which appear darker in the figure, in comparison to thePLGA scaffolds (Figure 7A).

#### **390 3.6 Osteocalcin immunostaining**

391 The presence of the bone marker protein, osteocalcin, was detected using the 392 immunostaining technique described in section 2.14. The data obtained was processed using 393 ImageJ, which allowed us to quantify the amount of stain present on each scaffold. The 394 results of this analysis show that there was an increase in osteocalcin staining on the 395 composite scaffolds when compared to the PLGA scaffolds (p < 0.05, Figure 8A). When 396 osteogenic media was used (data not shown), the osteocalcin staining on the PLGA/chitosan 397 and PLGA scaffolds was not significantly different (p > 0.05). Processed, merged images are shown in Figure 8B and C, with osteocalcin represented in green, and cell DNA in blue. 398

## 399 **4. Discussion**

400 Scaffolds made from biodegradable microspheres are a promising approach for bone 401 regeneration. However, there are several features to consider when developing such systems. 402 These include the incorporation of porosity and growth factors into the scaffolds, whilst at the 403 same time providing mechanical strength to enable the microspheres to be injectable and 404 sinter *in situ*. Some research groups have developed scaffolds with some of these properties; 405 however, most groups do not take into account all desirable features in one system. In the 406 present study, we propose a novel dual-application PLGA/chitosan composite scaffold 407 system with the potential to meet all of the above desirable criteria. The system comprises 408 porous and non-porous protein-loaded microspheres with the ability to sinter at 37°C and 409 release protein. The mechanical strength of the system is dependent upon its mode of 410 application, with a higher compressive strength achievable when it is applied as a paste, and 411 sufficient strength to maintain the shape (as evident from the fact that the microspheres

sintered at 37°C and were subsequently removed from the mould intact) when injected as a
suspension. The cytocompatibility and osteogenic potential of the formulation were
evaluated and compared with our previously reported system (Boukari et al., 2015).

415 Protein-loaded microspheres were formulated using PLGA and chitosan, where the 416 chitosan was cross-linked using TPP. There were no observable differences in the 417 morphology and size of the composite microspheres when compared with PLGA 418 microspheres. The presence of chitosan within the composite scaffolds formed via the paste 419 method was confirmed by ToF-SIMS, suggests that chitosan is formed as part of the 420 microstructure of the particles. Furthermore, the composite scaffolds demonstrated higher 421 compressive strength than the PLGA scaffolds. In this regard, chitosan contributes to the 422 mechanical strength of the scaffolds, due to interactions between the negatively charged 423 PLGA (Balmert et al., 2015) and the protonated amine groups in the chitosan structure. 424 Moreover, the compressive strength demonstrated by the composite scaffolds fell within an 425 acceptable range as reported by Misch et al. (1999).

426 The chitosan coating attenuated the initial burst release from the microspheres and 427 scaffolds, and this reduction may partly be attributed to chitosan complexing with BSA 428 (isoelectric point, approximately 5), thereby, impeding its release. The ability of chitosan, a 429 natural polyelectrolyte, to non-covalently bind to negatively charged proteins has been 430 reported (Boeris et al., 2010). A similar observation of a reduced burst release was made for 431 the same system when encapsulated with lysozyme, which is positively charged at a neutral 432 pH (data not shown). This suggests that other factors contribute to the reduction in burst 433 release. It has been reported that the burst release of proteins from PLGA microspheres is 434 usually due to protein residing near, or on the surface of, the delivery system (Zeng and 435 Liang, 2010). We believe that the formation of a chitosan -TPP matrix layer slows the release 436 of the protein and significantly contributes to the attenuation in the initial burst release. This

effect has been demonstrated in PLGA/chitosan microspheres encapsulated with a nonprotein drug, rifampicin, in which the addition of chitosan caused a reduction in the burst
release (Manca et al., 2008). The slower, steadier release of BSA from the microspheres and
scaffolds containing PLGA/chitosan is desirable in BMP-2 applications. The controlled
release reduces the need for supra-physiological loadings, which are necessary when there is
a huge initial loss via a burst release (Kirby et al., 2011).

443 The system described herein possesses dual-applicability arising from the 444 formulation's potential of having two application modes (i) a paste that is implanted within a 445 degenerated bone tissue, takes the shape of the defect area and then sinters at 37°C, and (ii) 446 the injection of the microsphere suspension directly into the defect area. The former would be 447 useful in applications requiring a relatively stronger scaffold, such as the regeneration of 448 cancellous bone for which the ultimate compressive strength has been reported to range from 449 0.22 to 10.44 MPa (Misch et al., 1999). However, the latter is more suited to applications in 450 which the delivery system may be injected and remain in one location, hence, allowing the 451 controlled delivery of a specific, known dose of protein to the site. To our knowledge, this is 452 the first time that the ability of microspheres to sinter at  $37^{\circ}$ C, post-injection, has been 453 demonstrated.

The ability of cells to attach and grow on the scaffolds is paramount in the development of protein delivery systems in regenerative medicine. For this reason, the cytocompatibility of the scaffolds with hMSCs was investigated. The cell number increased on the composite scaffolds over the 8-day period from  $1.03 \times 10^4$  on day 1, to  $4.45 \times 10^4$  on day 8. There was no significant difference between cell numbers on the composite and PLGA scaffolds, except on day 8, by which time the cell numbers were higher on PLGA scaffolds (p < 0.05). Although previous studies have investigated the cytocompatibility of sintered

461 composite PLGA/chitosan microspheres scaffolds with other cell types, these formulations
462 were not capable of sintering *in situ* (Tao et al., 2014).

The potential of the scaffold material to promote the differentiation of hMSCs is 463 464 another key factor that is crucial for the production of a successful biomaterial. Although the 465 presence of BMP-2 has been shown to promote osteogenic differentiation, the intrinsic ability of the material itself to promote the process is also of interest. Chitosan has been reported to 466 have numerous biomedical properties, including its ability to improve osteogenesis in animal 467 468 bone defect models (Lee et al., 2008). In this study, we investigated the cell response to 469 protein-free scaffolds in basal media in order to study the effect of the scaffold material on 470 osteogenesis. The presence of a calcified ECM is a reliable way of confirming osteogenesis 471 (Declercq et al., 2005). Nodules were observed on both composite and PLGA scaffolds based 472 on von Kossa staining, which indicates the presence of calcium. To provide further 473 confirmation of the deposition of a calcified matrix, the presence of osteocalcin, a late protein 474 marker of osteogenic differentiation, was determined. Its expression is known to rise with an 475 increase in mineralization (Stein et al., (1990). The composite scaffolds showed a 476 significantly higher degree of osteocalcin staining when compared to the PLGA scaffolds. 477 Previous studies have demonstrated the ability of chitosan-containing scaffolds to induce 478 differentiation in the presence of osteogenic media (Jiang et al., 2006), which we also 479 confirmed (data not shown). However, relatively little evidence has demonstrated this in 480 basal growth media. Therefore, these results suggest that the inclusion of chitosan in PLGA 481 microspheres enhanced the osteogenic capacity of the resultant scaffolds.

#### 482 **5. Conclusion**

483 In this study, a novel, dual-application composite microsphere system was developed484 with the ability to fuse together as a paste, thereby forming an intact scaffold in the body at

| 485 | 37°C. Furthermore, the ability of a suspension of the microspheres to sinter post-injection       |
|-----|---|
| 486 | was also demonstrated. Composite PLGA/chitosan microspheres were shown to attenuate the           |
| 487 | initial burst release and elicited a steady, slow release of protein over 28 days. The scaffold's |
| 488 | cytocompatibility and ability to promote osteogenesis were also demonstrated. This                |
| 489 | technology, therefore, exhibits potential as a scaffold for bone regeneration and is an           |
| 490 | excellent candidate for further in vitro and in vivo testing.                                     |
| 491 |   |
| 492 | Disclosures   |
| 493 | There are no potential conflicts of interest to disclose for this work.                           |
| 494 | Acknowledgements  |
| 495 | This work was funded by the European Community under the FP7 project 519                          |
| 496 | Biodesign EUFP7-NMP.20102.3-1. The authors would also like to thank Enas Alkhader,                |
| 497 | Hilda Amekyeh, Abdulrahman Baki and Noura Alom (The University of Nottingham) for                 |
| 498 | their support and assistance.   |
| 499 | This article contains supplementary material available from the authors upon request or via       |
| 500 | the Internet at http://wileylibrary.com.  |
| 501 |   |
| 502 |   |
| 503 |   |
| 504 |   |
| 505 | References:   |

- Amini AR, Laurencin CT, Nukavarapu SP 2012. Bone Tissue Engineering: Recent Advancesand Challenges. Crit Rev Biomed Eng 40: 363–408.
- 508 Azevedo JR, Sizilio RH, Brito MB, Costa a. MB, Serafini MR, Araújo a. a S, et al. 2011.
- 509 Physical and chemical characterization insulin-loaded chitosan-TPP nanoparticles. J Therm
- 510 Anal Calorim 106: 685–689.
- 511 Balmert SC, Zmolek AC, Glowacki AJ, Knab TD, Rothstein SN, Wokpetah JM, et al. 2015.
- 512 Positive charge of "sticky" peptides and proteins impedes release from negatively charged
- 513 PLGA matrices. J Mater Chem B 3: 4723–4734.
- 514 Boeris V, Farruggia B, Pico G 2010. Chitosan-bovine serum albumin complex formation: A
- 515 model to design an enzyme isolation method by polyelectrolyte precipitation. J Chromatogr
- 516 B-Analytical Technol Biomed Life Sci 878: 1543–1548.
- 517 Bostrom R, Mikos AG 1997. Tissue Engineering of Bone, in: Synth. Biodegrad. Polmer
- 518 Scaffolds, : pp. 215–234.
- 519 Boukari Y, Scurr DJ, Qutachi O, Morris AP, Doughty SP, Rahman C V, Billa N 2015.
- 520 Physicomechanical properties of sintered scaffolds formed from porous and protein-loaded
- 521 poly(DL-lactic-co-glycolic acid) microspheres for potential use in bone tissue engineering. J
- 522 Biomater Sci Polym Ed 26: 796–811.
- Burg KJ, Porter S, Kellam JF 2000. Biomaterial developments for bone tissue engineering.
  Biomaterials 21: 2347–2359.
- 525 Casettari L, Castagnino E, Stolnik S, Lewis A, Howdle SM, Illum L 2011. Surface
- 526 characterisation of bioadhesive PLGA/chitosan microparticles produced by supercritical fluid527 technology. Pharm Res 28: 1668–1682.
- 528 Chakravarthi SS, Robinson DH 2011. Enhanced cellular association of paclitaxel delivered in
   529 chitosan-PLGA particles. Int J Pharm 409: 111–120.
- 530 Chen FM, Zhang M, Wu ZF 2010. Toward delivery of multiple growth factors in tissue531 engineering. Biomaterials 31: 6279–6308.
- 532 Choi DH, Subbiah R, Kim IH, Han DK, Park K 2013. Dual growth factor delivery using
- 533 biocompatible core-shell microcapsules for angiogenesis. Small 9: 3468–3476.
- 534 Declercq H, Verbeeck R, Deridder L, Schacht E, Cornelissen M 2005. Calcification as an
- indicator of osteoinductive capacity of biomaterials in osteoblastic cell cultures. Biomaterials
   26: 4964–4974.
- 537 Delloye C, Cornu O, Druez V, Barbier O 2007. Bone allografts: What they can offer and
- 538 what they cannot. J Bone Joint Surg Br 89: 574–579.

- 539 Dhillon A, Schneider P, Kuhn G, Reinwald Y, White LJ, Levchuk A, et al. 2011. Analysis of
- sintered polymer scaffolds using concomitant synchrotron computed tomography and in situ
- 541 mechanical testing. J Mater Sci Mater Med 22: 2599–2605.
- 542 Di Martino A, Sittinger M, Risbud M V. 2005. Chitosan: A versatile biopolymer for 543 orthopaedic tissue-engineering. Biomaterials 26: 5983–5990.
- 544 Fu Y, Du L, Wang Q, Liao W, Jin Y, Dong A, et al. 2012. In vitro sustained release of
- 545 recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 microspheres embedded in
- thermosensitive hydrogels. Pharmazie 67: 299–303.
- 547 Ganji F, Abdekhodaie MJ 2010. Chitosan-g-PLGA copolymer as a thermosensitive548 membrane. Carbohydr Polym 80: 740–746.
- 549 Gould TW a, Birchall JP, Mallick AS, Alliston T, Lustig LR, Shakesheff KM, Rahman C V
- 550 2013. Development of a porous poly(DL-lactic acid-co-glycolic acid)-based scaffold for
- 551 mastoid air-cell regeneration. Laryngoscope 123: 3156–3161.
- Han F, Zhou F, Yang X, Zhao J, Zhao Y, Yuan X 2015. Facile Preparation of PLGA
- 553 Microspheres With Diverse Internal Structure by Modified Double-Emulsion Method for
- 554 Controlled Release. Polym Eng Sci 55: 896–906.
- Hsu WK, Wang JC 2008. Contemporary concepts in spine care- the use of bonemorphogenetic protein in spine fusion. Spine J 8: 419–425.
- 557 Hu X, Zhou J, Zhang N, Tan H, Gao C 2008. Preparation and properties of an injectable
- ss7 File X, Zhou Y, Zhang N, Tan H, Gao C 2008. I reparation and properties of an injectable scaffold of poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) microparticles/chitosan hydrogel. J Mech Behav
- 559 Biomed Mater 1: 352–359.
- 560 Jaklenec A, Hinckfuss A, Bilgen B, Ciombor DM, Aaron R, Mathiowitz E 2008. Sequential
- release of bioactive IGF-I and TGF-β1 from PLGA microsphere-based scaffolds.
  Biomaterials 29: 1518–1525.
- Jaklenec A, Wan E, Murray ME, Mathiowitz E 2008. Novel scaffolds fabricated from
  protein-loaded microspheres for tissue engineering. Biomaterials 29: 185–192.
- 565 Jiang T, Abdel-Fattah WI, Laurencin CT 2006. In vitro evaluation of chitosan/poly(lactic
- acid-glycolic acid) sintered microsphere scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. Biomaterials
  27: 4894–4903.
- 568 Jiang T, Khan Y, Nair LS, Abdel-Fattah WI, Laurencin CT 2010. Functionalization of
- 569 chitosan/poly(lactic acid-glycolic acid) sintered microsphere scaffolds via surface
- 570 heparinization for bone tissue engineering. J Biomed Mater Res A 93: 1193–1208.
- 571 Jiang T, Nukavarapu SP, Deng M, Jabbarzadeh E, Kofron MD, Doty SB, et al. 2010.
- 572 Chitosan-poly(lactide-co-glycolide) microsphere-based scaffolds for bone tissue engineering:
- 573 In vitro degradation and in vivo bone regeneration studies. Acta Biomater 6: 3457–3470.

- 574 Kirby GTS, White LJ, Rahman C V., Cox HC, Qutachi O, Rose FRAJ, et al. 2011. PLGA-
- 575 Based Microparticles for the Sustained Release of BMP-2. Polymers (Basel) 3: 571–586.
- 576 Lee J-Y, Nam S-H, Im S-Y, Park Y-J, Lee Y-M, Seol Y-J, et al. 2002. Enhanced bone
- 577 formation by controlled growth factor delivery from chitosan-based biomaterials. J Control
- 578 Release 78: 187–197.
- 579 Lu JM, Wang X, Marin-Muller C, Wang H, Lin PH, Yao Q, Chen C 2009. Current advances
- 580 in research and clinical applications of PLGA based nanotechnology. Expert Rev Mol Diagn
- 581 9: 325–341.
- 582 Luciani A, Coccoli V, Orsi S, Ambrosio L, Netti P a. 2008. PCL microspheres based
- functional scaffolds by bottom-up approach with predefined microstructural properties andrelease profiles. Biomaterials 29: 4800–4807.
- 1
- 585 Makadia HK, Siegel SJ 2011. Poly Lactic-co-Glycolic Acid (PLGA) as Biodegradable
  586 Controlled Drug Delivery Carrier. Polymers (Basel) 3: 1377–1397.
- 587 Manca ML, Loy G, Zaru M, Fadda AM, Antimisiaris SG 2008. Release of rifampicin from
  588 chitosan, PLGA and chitosan-coated PLGA microparticles. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 67:
  589 166–70.
- Martino S, D'Angelo F, Armentano I, Kenny JM, Orlacchio A 2012. Stem cell-biomaterial
  interactions for regenerative medicine. Biotechnol Adv 30: 338–351.
- 592 Misch E, Qu Z, Bidez MW 1999. Mechanical properties of trabecular bone in the human
- 593 mandible: implications for dental implant treatment planning and surgical placement. J Oral
- 594 Maxillofac Surg 57: 700–706.
- Nauth A, Ristevski B, Li R, Schemitsch EH 2011. Growth factors and bone regeneration:
  how much bone can we expect? Injury 42: 574–579.
- 597 Paillard-Giteau A, Tran VT, Thomas O, Garric X, Coudane J, Marchal S, et al. 2010. Effect
- 598 of various additives and polymers on lysozyme release from PLGA microspheres prepared by 599 an s/o/w emulsion technique. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 75: 128–136.
- Pan Z, Ding J 2012. Poly(lactide-co-glycolide) porous scaffolds for tissue engineering and
   regenerative medicine. Interface Focus 2: 366–377.
- Pan Z, Ding J 2012. Poly(lactide-co-glycolide) porous scaffolds for tissue engineering and
   regenerative medicine. Interface Focus 2: 366–377.
- 604 Pandey CM, Sharma A, Sumana G, Tiwari I, Malhotra BD 2013. Cationic poly(lactic-co-
- 605 glycolic acid) iron oxide microspheres for nucleic acid detection. Nanoscale 5: 3800–3807.
- 606 Patel ZS, Yamamoto M, Ueda H, Tabata Y, Mikos AG 2008. Biodegradable gelatin
- 607 microparticles as delivery systems for the controlled release of bone morphogenetic protein-
- 608 2. Acta Biomater 4: 1126–1138.

- Puppi D, Chiellini F, Piras a. M, Chiellini E 2010. Polymeric materials for bone and cartilage
  repair. Prog Polym Sci 35: 403–440.
- 611 Qian L, Zhang H 2013. One-step synthesis of protein-encapsulated microspheres in a porous
- scaffold by freeze-drying double emulsions and tuneable protein release. Chem Commun 49:8833–8835.
- 614 Qutachi O, Vetsch JR, Gill D, Cox H, Scurr DJ, Hofmann S, et al. 2014. Injectable and
- porous PLGA microspheres that form highly porous scaffolds at body temperature. ActaBiomater 10: 5090–5098.
- 617 Rafati A, Boussahel A, Shakesheff KM, Shard AG, Roberts CJ, Chen X, et al. 2012.
- 618 Chemical and spatial analysis of protein loaded PLGA microspheres for drug delivery
- 619 applications. J Control Release 162: 321–329.
- 620 Song K, Liu Y, Macedo HM, Jiang L, Li C, Mei G, Liu T 2013. Fabrication and evaluation of
- a sustained-release chitosan-based scaffold embedded with PLGA microspheres. Mater Sci
  Eng C 33: 1506–1513.
- 623 Song K, Liu Y, MacEdo HM, Jiang L, Li C, Mei G, Liu T 2013. Fabrication and evaluation
- of a sustained-release chitosan-based scaffold embedded with PLGA microspheres. Mater Sci
  Eng C 33: 1506–1513.
- Stein GS, Lian JB, Owen T a 1990. Relationship of cell growth to the regulation of tissuespecific gene expression during oseoblast differentiation. FASEB J 4: 3111–3123.
- 628 Tao C, Huang J, Lu Y, Zou H, He X, Chen Y, Zhong Y 2014. Development and
- 629 characterization of GRGDSPC-modified poly(lactide-co-glycolide acid) porous microspheres
- 630 incorporated with protein-loaded chitosan microspheres for bone tissue engineering. Colloids
- 631 Surfaces B Biointerfaces 122: 439–446.
- Tran VT, Benoît JP, Venier Julienne MC 2011. Why and how to prepare biodegradable,
  monodispersed, polymeric microparticles in the field of pharmacy? Int J Pharm 407: 1–11.
- 634 Vo TN, Kasper FK, Mikos AG 2012. Strategies for controlled delivery of growth factors and
- 635 cells for bone regeneration. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 64: 1292–1309.
- 636 Wang Y, Shi X, Ren L, Wang C, Wang D-A 2009. Porous poly (lactic-co-glycolide)
- 637 microsphere sintered scaffolds for tissue repair applications. Mater Sci Eng C 29: 2502–2507.
- 638 Wang Y, Shi X, Ren L, Yao Y, Zhang F, Wang D-A 2010. Poly(lactide-co-glycolide)/titania
- 639 composite microsphere-sintered scaffolds for bone tissue engineering applications. J Biomed
- 640 Mater Res B Appl Biomater 93: 84–92.
- 641 White LJ, Kirby GTS, Cox HC, Qodratnama R, Qutachi O, Rose FRAJ, Shakesheff KM
- 642 2013. Accelerating protein release from microparticles for regenerative medicine
- 643 applications. Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl 33: 2578–2583.

- Yilgor P, Hasirci N, Hasirci V 2010. Sequential BMP-2/BMP-7 delivery from polyester
  nanocapsules. J Biomed Mater Res Part A 93: 528–536.
- 646 Yilgor P, Tuzlakoglu K, Reis RL, Hasirci N, Hasirci V 2009. Incorporation of a sequential
- 647 BMP-2/BMP-7 delivery system into chitosan-based scaffolds for bone tissue engineering.
- 648 Biomaterials 30: 3551–3559.
- 649 Yu Y, Chen J, Chen R, Cao L, Tang W, Lin D, et al. 2015. Enhancement of VEGF-Mediated
- 650 Angiogenesis by 2- N, 6- O -Sulfated Chitosan-Coated Hierarchical PLGA Scaffolds. ACS
- 651 Appl Mater Interfaces 7: 9982–9990.
- Zeng W, Huang J, Hu X, Xiao W, Rong M, Yuan Z, Luo Z 2011. Ionically cross-linked
  chitosan microspheres for controlled release of bioactive nerve growth factor. Int J Pharm
  421: 283–290.
- 655 Zheng C hong, Liang W 2010. A one-step modified method to reduce the burst initial release
- 656 from PLGA microspheres. Drug Deliv 17: 77–82.







Area Normalized by Total Ion Intensity





Time (days)

# Α

Sintered as a suspension post-injection Sintered as a paste



В









Α



