

Study Title:

The risk of Clostridium difficile infection in patients with pernicious anaemia: a retrospective cohort study using primary care database.

Fatmah Othman^{1,2}, Colin J Crooks¹, PhD; Timothy R Card¹

Author affiliations

¹ Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom.

² Department of Basic Medical Sciences, King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Correspondence to:

Fatmah Othman

Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Nottingham ,Clinical Sciences Building Phase 2, City Hospital, Nottingham NG5 1PB, UK

E-mail:msxfo1@nottingham.ac.uk

t: +44 (0) 115 8231376

KEYWORDS

Pernicious anaemia, enteric infections, general practice, proton pump inhibitor, hypochlorhydria

WORD COUNTS

Abstract (203)

Main text (3,406)

Tables (2)

Figure (1)

Abstract

Background

Studies have found an association between proton pump inhibitor (PPI) use and Clostridium difficile (C.difficile) infection. The purpose of this study was to determine whether the mechanism by which PPIs induce an increased risk of C.difficile infection is supported by the same mechanism acting in another cause of achlorhydria, pernicious anaemia.

Methods

Using a database of anonymised primary care records between 1990 -2013, we selected exposed patients with a diagnosis of pernicious anaemia treated with vitamin B12 therapy. Each exposed patient was matched by age, gender, and general practice to up to ten controls. Cox regression analysis was used to estimate the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for C.difficile infection with pernicious anaemia, adjusted for potential confounders.

Results

We identified 45,467 exposed patients matched to 449,635 controls. The crude incidence rate of C.difficile infection was 1.85/1000 person-years for the exposed cohort and 1.09/1000 person-years for controls. Patients with pernicious anaemia had a greater risk of C.difficile infection than the controls (adjusted HR 1.57, 95% CI 1.40 -1.76).

Conclusions

Pernicious anaemia patients have an increased risk of C.difficile infection. This supports the theory that severe hypochlorhydria is the mechanism that increases the risk of C.difficile infection in long-term PPI users.

Key summary

Summarise the established knowledge on this subject

- Prior studies have suggested that proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) may cause a risk of Clostridium difficile infection.
- However, it remains unclear if the hypochlorhydria in patients who use PPI on a long-term basis is an independent risk factor associated with the increased risk of Clostridium difficile infection.

What are the significant and/or new findings of this study?

- This study examines the risk of Clostridium difficile infection in patients with pernicious anaemia as a surrogate for achlorhydria.
- Patients with pernicious anaemia exhibited an increased risk of Clostridium difficile infection.
- This suggests that hypochlorhydria in chronic PPI therapy is likely to be the underlying mechanism of the increased risk of Clostridium difficile infection.

1 Introduction

2 Clostridium difficile (C. difficile) infection is the most commonly identified cause of
3 nosocomial and community associated diarrhoea(1–3). Although exposure to antibiotics,
4 advanced age, and hospitalisation have been recognised as risk factors for C. difficile
5 infection(3), recent evidence has described C. difficile infection in populations that lack these
6 traditional risk factors(4,5). Prior studies have suggested that acid-suppressing medication, in
7 particular proton pump inhibitors (PPI), may cause a risk of C. difficile infection(6–10). A
8 meta-analysis reported a 65% increase in the incidence of C. difficile infection among PPI
9 users(11). Although the current observational studies mainly focused on the studying the
10 association between C. difficile infection and the use of PPIs, the precise mechanism of this
11 association has remained elusive.

12 Previous studies have found that PPIs, which act by reducing acid secretion, influence upper
13 and lower gastrointestinal intestinal microflora and potentially increase a patient's
14 susceptibility to enteric infection(10). Although this mechanism seems widely acceptable, it
15 has not been conclusively proven and other studies have found that C. difficile spores, which
16 are the major mode of transmission of C. difficile infection, are acid resistant (10). In
17 addition, emerging evidence suggests it is other factors within patients who are prescribed
18 PPI, rather than the PPI itself that are responsible for the increased risk of other
19 gastrointestinal infections in those patients(12). It remains unclear, therefore, if the
20 reduction in acid secretion (hypochlorhydria) in patients who use PPI on a long-term basis is
21 an independent risk factor associated with the increased risk of C. difficile infection, or
22 whether potential confounders, such as comorbidities, can explain the observed associations.
23 The chronic and persistent hypochlorhydria observed in long-term PPI users is, at least, as
24 marked as that generally associated with pernicious anaemia patients who also exhibit

25 achlorhydria (the absence of hydrochloric acid in the gastric secretions). Herein, the
26 achlorhydria observed in pernicious anaemia was applied to bridge the gap in understating of
27 the casual mechanisms that are at play in the relationship between using PPI and C. difficile
28 infection. The primary objective of the study was to determine whether people with
29 pernicious anaemia are more likely to develop C. difficile infection than those without it.
30 Demonstrating this association would provide further evidence that the hypochlorhydria
31 induced by acid suppressing medications could directly cause the increased risk of C. difficile
32 infection in patients who receive them.

33 **Methods**

34 *Data source and study design*

35 We performed a matched cohort study using routinely collected electronic healthcare data
36 from UK primary care practices that were extracted from the Clinical Practice Research
37 Datalink (CPRD)(13,14). This database consists of anonymised primary care records from
38 around 681 participating practices, and the data included in it represent around 7% of the UK
39 population. The data within CPRD includes information on patients' demographics, clinical
40 diagnosis, drug prescription, investigation history, and any referral(13). More than half of the
41 records contained within the CPRD are linked to secondary care data in the Hospital Episode
42 Statistics (HES) database. The HES data include records of all hospital admissions along with
43 the primary and secondary diagnosis coded using ICD-10 for each admission, date of
44 admission, and discharge status(15).

45 *Study population*

46 We identified all individuals with an acceptable registration status within the CPRD from 1990
47 to 2013 who had at least one year of active registration following the date of current
48 registration or the date the practice became "up to standard" (UTS)(13) on CPRD, whichever
49 was the latest. We identified within these a subgroup of patients for whom linked HES data
50 was available to increase the sensitivity of detecting outcomes by including hospital data.
51 Within this subgroup we used both CPRD and HES data to define the cases and outcome
52 events where the first diagnosis recorded in either data source was selected as the event
53 date. For this subset, the follow-up period was also modified to ensure it was consistent with
54 the linkage coverage period from 1997 to 2012.

55 *Identification of exposed and unexposed cohort*

56 From the list of eligible patients, we selected adult patients who had a coded diagnosis of
57 vitamin B12 deficiency anaemia or pernicious anaemia and who had been prescribed
58 concurrent vitamin B12 therapy, the current standard of care for this condition. We excluded
59 patients from the exposed cohort who were receiving vitamin B12 therapy, but had a pre-
60 existing alternative aetiology, such as gastrectomy, intestinal resection, generalised
61 malabsorption, or PPI use. PPI use was considered to be the indication if the date of the B12
62 prescriptions fell within the period of continuous use of PPI prior to cohort entry. The start of
63 follow-up for the exposed group was the date of diagnosis or the first date of UTS
64 prospective data if the UTS date was later than the date of diagnosis.

65 Ten unexposed patients for each case were randomly matched for age (within five years),
66 gender, general practice, and the start date of follow-up with a matched exposed patient. All
67 patients that met the inclusion criteria for the exposed cohort group, and all those who were
68 receiving vitamin B12 prescriptions for indications other than pernicious anaemia, were
69 excluded from the control group.

70 The end of the follow-up was defined as the date of the first outcome event on record, the
71 date of the patient's exit from the database, or the last download from their practice
72 (whichever was the earliest). Patients were censored if they developed one of the alternative
73 aetiologies after commencing vitamin B12 therapy. If the patients issued PPI prescription
74 after the cohort entry and B12 prescription date fell within continuous use of PPI, they were
75 censored on the date of the PPI prescription.

76 *Outcome and covariates*

77 We defined *C. difficile* infection in the CPRD by the presence of a clinical diagnosis of *C.*
78 *difficile* infection codes recorded in the medical record by the general practitioner and/or
79 positive *C. difficile* toxin assay. We used information from both primary care and HES data in
80 the subgroup of patients with available linked data to additional outcomes with an ICD code
81 (A04.7 for *C. difficile* colitis). The first *C. difficile* diagnosis recorded in either data source was
82 considered to represent the event date.

83 The following variables were considered as potential confounders: socioeconomic status
84 (using individual IMD quintiles), co morbidity as measured by the Charlson index(16),
85 smoking, hospitalisation, and the use of acid-suppressing medication, immunosuppressant
86 drugs, antibiotics, and corticosteroids. As it is likely that there will be non-random missing
87 data particularly for smoking, we will model missingness as a separate category.

88 *Statistical analyses*

89 Descriptive analyses were carried out to compare the baseline characteristics between cases
90 and control groups. A two-sided likelihood ratio chi-square test was used to analyse the
91 categorical variables. We carried out a multivariable Cox regression analysis to estimate the
92 hazard ratio (HRs) and 95% confidence interval for the risk of *C. difficile* infection (first failure)
93 in the exposed cohort compared to the un-exposed cohort. We checked for violation of the
94 proportional hazards assumption in these models via log-log plots and Stata's stph test.

95 Use of antibiotics(17) and hospitalization(18) were included in the multivariate model as a
96 priori, and other potential confounders were entered if they were significantly associated
97 with the outcome in univariate analysis ($P \leq 0.05$), and retained in the final model if their
98 inclusion altered the apparent effect size of the univariate Cox model by at least 10%. We

99 considered drug exposure variables as time-varying covariates (acid suppressing medication,
100 use of immunosuppressant drugs, antibiotics, and corticosteroids). Specifically, each patient's
101 follow-up time was first converted into year-long blocks of time, and the drug exposure
102 status was then determined for each yearly block. Medical comorbidities were measured at
103 baseline, and categorised using the Charlson index derived from primary care data (19).
104 All statistical analyses were performed using STATA 12.0 (College Station, TX)

105 *Sensitivity analyses*

106 In order to assess the robustness of our results, we carried out the following sensitivity
107 analyses. First, to ensure that the results were not altered by any survival bias in cases, we
108 restricted the analysis to the subgroup of exposed patients who were diagnosed with
109 pernicious anaemia for the first time with at least one year of follow-up in CPRD within the
110 study period. In addition to ensure that any inaccuracy in definition of pernicious anaemia did
111 not cause bias we carried out an analysis restricting the exposed group to patients with both
112 a specific pernicious anaemia diagnosis code (i.e., excluding patients with vitamin B12
113 deficiency anaemia due to other causes) and vitamin B12 therapy.
114 Furthermore, as antibiotic prescriptions are often prescribed for a short term and considered
115 as markers of illness severity, we modelled the antibiotic use as the number of prescriptions
116 during the follow-up period in a separate analysis and categorised usage as follows: no
117 antibiotic use, <4, and 4 or more antibiotic prescriptions to account for the complex changes
118 in use of the antibiotic as a risk factor in the analysis.

119 *Sample size calculation*

120 An initial feasibility count in CPRD identified 38,842 cases (patients with pernicious anaemia).
121 Of these, 312 had a record of *C. difficile* subsequent to pernicious anaemia diagnosis.

122 Previous studies have estimated that the odds ratio for C. difficile is above 2(6) in patients
123 who take PPIs. Using ten controls per case, we expected to achieve 99% power to detect an
124 effect of this size or larger in those with pernicious anaemia and to achieve >90% power
125 using HES-linked cases alone.

126 Results

127 *Study population*

128 We identified 45,467 patients within CPRD who had a diagnosis of vitamin B12 deficiency
129 anaemia or pernicious anaemia and had received vitamin B12 therapy for at least one year.
130 To these cases we successfully matched a total of 449,635 unexposed patients on age,
131 gender, and general practice (Figure 1). The CPRD-HES linked information was available for
132 24,869 exposed patients and their 246,593 controls. Table 1 presents the characteristics of
133 the study population at the start of follow-up. The exposed cohort was more likely to have a
134 higher burden of comorbidity and had used more medication compared to the control group.

135 *Primary analysis*

136 The mean follow-up time was similar in exposed cohort and in the unexposed group (5 years
137 in both). Overall, the crude incidence rate of *C. difficile* was higher among patients with a
138 diagnosis of pernicious anaemia, 1.85 cases per 1000 persons years of follow-up, compared
139 with matched controls, 1.09 cases per 1000 persons years of follow-up. The unadjusted Cox
140 regression analysis revealed that the exposed group had an increased risk of *C. difficile*
141 infection compared to the controls with a HR of 1.76 (95% CI 1.58 to 1.97). After adjusting for
142 confounders, the HR for the association between pernicious anaemia and *C. difficile* infection
143 decreased to 1.57 (95% CI 1.40 to 1.76). (Table 2).

144 The adjusted HR for *C. difficile* infection in the HES subset was similar at 1.67 (95% CI 1.44-
145 1.94).

146 *Sensitivity analyses:*

147 The analysis of pernicious anaemia patients who had an incident diagnosis of pernicious
148 anaemia and who were on vitamin B12 therapy yielded similar results to our primary analysis

149 (Table 2). The analysis of those patients who had been diagnosed with pernicious anaemia
150 based only on pernicious anaemia diagnosis codes and B12 injections showed a slightly
151 higher adjusted HR(1.73 95%CI 1.41 to 2.13). The result of the adjusted analysis in which we
152 modelled the antibiotic usage as number of prescriptions showed very similar hazard ratio to
153 our primary analysis (Table 2).

154 Discussion

155 In this cohort study, patients with a pernicious anaemia diagnosis had an increased risk of C.
156 difficile infection. This association persisted when we limited the analysis to a subgroup with
157 a more restrictive definition of pernicious anaemia diagnosis, or to incident cases.

158 *Limitations and strengths of the study*

159 As our study was conducted using anonymised electronic patient records, we were not able
160 to confirm the diagnoses of both the exposure and outcome. However, we believe that,
161 although these individual diagnoses have not been specifically validated in CPRD data, the
162 numerous previous studies that have validated the information contained in the CPRD(20–
163 22) suggest that errors in the assigned diagnoses are not likely to be common. In addition,
164 since it is unlikely any such error would be more or less common in either group, any bias
165 resulting is likely to merely reduce the apparent association observed. Furthermore, we have
166 attempted to further reassure ourselves in this regard, by insisting on a record of vitamin B12
167 therapy to define our exposure which should have minimised the risk of misclassification, and
168 our sensitivity analysis restricted to patients with pernicious anaemia specific diagnosis
169 codes, where this definition had been previously used in a study that utilized similar
170 database(23), further supports our belief that misclassification did not have a major impact
171 on our results. In addition, this study was limited to the data recorded in our dataset and we
172 attempted to control for a variety of confounders through applying both matching and
173 adjustment techniques in the analyses; still, we cannot be certain that there is not residual
174 confounding by unmeasured factors. However, our results were similar to those previous
175 studies that have found an association between the use of PPI therapy and C. difficile
176 infection(6,11). This suggests we think, that given the differences between pernicious

177 anaemia patients and PPI using patients, it would be a remarkable coincidence that
178 confounding alone caused the associations that were observed in both studies. Rather, the
179 generalisability of the result to a further cause of hypochlorhydria supports the possibility
180 that the association is causal.

181 In addition to the limitations outlined above, the data employed in this study had a number
182 of significant advantages. Firstly, the large number of records within the CPRD gave us an
183 adequate power to detect rare diseases and their outcomes. Since the data was collected
184 independently of the research, this should greatly reduce the risk of information bias(13).
185 Similarly, the selection of all available cases and a random subset of appropriate controls
186 should mean that our study is free from selection bias. To further assure ourselves of this
187 with regard to survivorship, we conducted a sensitivity analysis of incident cases. The results
188 of this analysis were similar to those of the overall analysis. Finally, since the CPRD population
189 is representative of the general UK population(13),our results are likely to be generalizable to
190 the UK population or similar populations.

191 *Comparison with previous literature:*

192 The idea that hypochlorhydria might predispose to C. Difficile infection dates back to at least
193 1982 when Gurian and colleagues reported a case that demonstrated that the killing of the
194 organism and neutralisation of toxin by gastric juice were pH dependent, and that
195 hypochlorhydria may increase the susceptibility and severity of enteric infection(24).
196 Recently, several(6,8,25), but not all(26,27), observational studies supported this idea and
197 demonstrated a significant elevated risk of C. difficile infection in patients on PPI. Two meta-
198 analyses that combined these observational studies using slightly different methods showed
199 a significant increase in the incidence of C. difficile infection among patients on PPI therapy

200 with an overall risk estimate between 1.65 (95% CI 1.41 - 1.93)(11) and 1.74(95%CI 1.47-
201 2.85) (28). This caused enough concern that the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)
202 issued a drug safety statement that PPIs may be associated with C. difficile infection(29).
203 To date, no randomised placebo-controlled trials have been conducted to solidify the
204 causality of this effect because the associated ethical issues and challenges related to the
205 rarity of the outcome to be studied in such trials entails that conducting such studies is
206 challenging. Although the reduction in stomach acidity decreases the body's ability to protect
207 itself against C. difficile proliferation, as outlined above(10), it is biologically plausible that
208 users of PPIs are different in many ways to other members of the population; as such, it is not
209 possible to be confident that all confounding has been corrected in the observational studies
210 that have been performed to date. The chronic and persistent achlorhydria seen in pernicious
211 anaemia is at least as marked as that generally associated with long-term PPI use. The
212 association between pernicious anaemia and C. difficile infection demonstrated in this
213 research therefore suggests, that severe hypochlorhydria can predispose to C. difficile
214 infection independent of any confounding present in the prescription of PPIs, and is likely
215 therefore to be the mechanism for increased C. difficile infection in people who have
216 received long-term acid-suppression medication.

217 **Conclusion**

218 In this population-based cohort study, patients with pernicious anaemia exhibited an
219 increased risk of C. difficile infection. The results suggest that hypochlorhydria in chronic PPI
220 therapy is likely to be the underlying mechanism of the increased risk of C. difficile infection.
221 This contributes additional data to the evidence that PPI use is a potentially modifiable risk
222 factor for C. difficile infection. Given the increasing number of patients who are taking long-

223 term PPIs, this finding suggests that practitioners should be vigilant when prescribing a PPI,
224 particularly to patients who have other risk factors for developing C. difficile infection.

Ethical approval: This study was approved by the Independent Scientific Advisory Committee (ISAC) with CPRD number 15_240R, and 15_240RMn for minor amendment.

Conflict of Interest: FO has received scholarship award from King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health sciences- Saudi Arabia which sponsors her studies at University Of Nottingham; no support or financial relationships with any other organisation for the submitted work, TC and CC were independent of the funder and disclose no other conflicts.

Declaration of funding interests: Fatmah Othman has carried out this study as part of her PhD program at University of Nottingham. She has received scholarship award from King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health sciences- Saudi Arabia that sponsors her studies.

Author contributions: Dr.Timothy Card proposed the original idea for the study, planned the study design and the analysis, involved in interpretation of results, and revised the paper critically. Fatmah Othman helped in planning the analysis and was responsible for conducting the data management, statistical analyses, and writing up the first draft of the paper. Dr.Colin Crooks contributed to study design and concept, analysis planning, and in interpretation of results as well as to revising the drafts of the paper.

All authors approved the final version.

1 References

- 2 1. Blossom DB, McDonald LC. The Challenges Posed by Reemerging *Clostridium difficile*
3 Infection. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2007 Jul 15;45(2):222–7.
- 4 2. Bouza E. Consequences of *Clostridium difficile* infection: understanding the healthcare
5 burden. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2012;18:5–12.
- 6 3. Vindigni SM, Surawicz CM. *C. difficile* Infection: Changing Epidemiology and
7 Management Paradigms. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol*. Nature Publishing Group; 2015 Jul
8 9;6(7):e99.
- 9 4. Deshpande A, Pasupuleti V, Thota P, Pant C, Rolston DDK, Sferra TJ, et al. Community-
10 associated *Clostridium difficile* infection and antibiotics: a meta-analysis. *J Antimicrob*
11 *Chemother*. 2013 Sep;68(9):1951–61.
- 12 5. Gupta A, Khanna S. Community-acquired *Clostridium difficile* infection: an increasing
13 public health threat. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2014;7:63–72.
- 14 6. Dial S, Delaney JAC, Barkun AN, Suissa S. Use of gastric acid-suppressive agents and the
15 risk of community-acquired *Clostridium difficile*-associated disease. *JAMA*. American
16 Medical Association; 2005 Dec 21;294(23):2989–95.
- 17 7. Wilcox MH, Mooney L, Bendall R, Settle CD, Fawley WN. A case-control study of
18 community-associated *Clostridium difficile* infection. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2008
19 Aug;62(2):388–96.
- 20 8. Howell MD, Novack V, Grgurich P, Soulliard D, Novack L, Pencina M, et al. Iatrogenic
21 Gastric Acid Suppression and the Risk of Nosocomial *Clostridium difficile* Infection.
22 *Arch Intern Med*. American Medical Association; 2010 May 10;170(9):784.
- 23 9. Linsky A, Gupta K, Lawler E V., Fonda JR, Hermos JA, MS D, et al. Proton Pump
24 Inhibitors and Risk for Recurrent *Clostridium difficile* Infection. *Arch Intern Med*.
25 American Medical Association; 2010 May 10;170(9):772.
- 26 10. Bavishi C, Dupont HL. Systematic review: the use of proton pump inhibitors and
27 increased susceptibility to enteric infection. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2011 Dec;34(11–
28 12):1269–81.
- 29 11. Janarthanan S, Ditah I, Adler DG, Ehrinpreis MN. *Clostridium difficile*-associated
30 diarrhea and proton pump inhibitor therapy: a meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol*.
31 2012 Jul;107(7):1001–10.
- 32 12. Brophy S, Jones KH, Rahman MA, Zhou S-M, John A, Atkinson MD, et al. Incidence of
33 *Campylobacter* and *Salmonella* infections following first prescription for PPI: a cohort
34 study using routine data. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2013 Jul;108(7):1094–100.
- 35 13. Herrett E, Gallagher AM, Bhaskaran K, Forbes H, Mathur R, van Staa T, et al. Data
36 Resource Profile: Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD). *Int J Epidemiol*. 2015 Jun
37 6;44(3):827–36.
- 38 14. CPRD. The Clinical Practice Research Datalink [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2015 Jun 25].
39 Available from: www.cprd.com
- 40 15. HES. Hospital Episode Statistics [Internet]. 2015. Available from:
41 <http://www.hscic.gov.uk/hes>
- 42 16. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying
43 prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic*
44 *Dis*. 1987 Jan;40(5):373–83.
- 45 17. Brown KA, Khanafer N, Daneman N, Fisman DN. Meta-analysis of antibiotics and the
46 risk of community-associated *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Antimicrob Agents*

- 47 Chemother. American Society for Microbiology (ASM); 2013 May;57(5):2326–32.
- 48 18. Barbut F, Petit J-C. Epidemiology of Clostridium difficile-associated infections. Clin
49 Microbiol Infect. Blackwell Science Ltd; 2001 Aug;7(8):405–10.
- 50 19. Crooks CJ, West J, Card TR. A comparison of the recording of comorbidity in primary
51 and secondary care by using the Charlson Index to predict short-term and long-term
52 survival in a routine linked data cohort. BMJ Open. 2015 Jan 5;5(6):e007974.
- 53 20. Khan NF, Harrison SE, Rose PW. Validity of diagnostic coding within the General
54 Practice Research Database: A systematic review. Br J Gen Pract. 2010;60(March):199–
55 206.
- 56 21. Herrett E, Thomas SL, Schoonen WM, Smeeth L, Hall AJ. Validation and validity of
57 diagnoses in the General Practice Research Database: A systematic review. Br J Clin
58 Pharmacol. 2010;69:4–14.
- 59 22. Williams T, van Staa T, Puri S, Eaton S. Recent advances in the utility and use of the
60 General Practice Research Database as an example of a UK Primary Care Data
61 resource. Ther Adv Drug Saf. 2012 Feb 2;3(2):89–99.
- 62 23. Merriman NA, Putt ME, Metz DC, Yang Y-X. Hip fracture risk in patients with a
63 diagnosis of pernicious anemia. Gastroenterology. 2010 Apr;138(4):1330–7.
- 64 24. Gurian L, Ward TT, Katon RM. Possible foodborne transmission in a case of
65 pseudomembranous colitis due to Clostridium difficile: influence of gastrointestinal
66 secretions on Clostridium difficile infection. Gastroenterology. 1982 Aug;83(2):465–9.
- 67 25. Dial S, Delaney JAC, Schneider V, Suissa S. Proton pump inhibitor use and risk of
68 community-acquired Clostridium difficile-associated disease defined by prescription
69 for oral vancomycin therapy. CMAJ. 2006 Sep 26;175(7):745–8.
- 70 26. Lowe DO, Mamdani MM, Kopp A, Low DE, Juurlink DN. Proton Pump Inhibitors and
71 Hospitalization for Clostridium Difficile--Associated Disease: A Population-Based Study.
72 Clin Infect Dis. 2006 Nov 15;43(10):1272–6.
- 73 27. Khanna S, Aronson SL, Kammer PP, Baddour LM, Pardi DS. Gastric Acid Suppression
74 and Outcomes in Clostridium difficile Infection: A Population-Based Study. JMCP.
75 2012;87(7):636–42.
- 76 28. Kwok CS, Arthur AK, Anibueze CI, Singh S, Cavallazzi R, Loke YK. Risk of Clostridium
77 difficile Infection With Acid Suppressing Drugs and Antibiotics: Meta-Analysis. Am J
78 Gastroenterol. Nature Publishing Group; 2012 Jul 24;107(7):1011–9.
- 79 29. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). FDA Drug Safety Communication:
80 Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea can be associated with stomach acid drugs
81 known as proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) [Internet]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration
82 (FDA); 2012 [cited 2016 Jun 22]. Available from:
83 <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm290510.htm>
84

Tables:

Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population included in the cohort study by exposure status at cohort entry into the study period, for all CPRD patients and for the subset of patients with HES- linked data

characteristic	Complete cohort				HES linked cohort			
	Total number of patients 495,102		Total number of patients 271,462		Unexposed, %		Exposed, %	
	449,635		45,467		246,593		24,869	
Age (mean SD)	64(17)		65(17)		64(17)		65(17)	
Smoking								
Never smoked	155,877	34	14,152	31	75,439	31	7,035	28
smoker	122,311	27	12,810	28	63,914	26	6,905	27
missing	171,447	38	18,505	41	107,240	43	10,929	44
Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) Quintiles*								
1(least deprived)	50,695	20	4,581	18	38,801	20	3,505	17
2	60,257	23	5,775	22	45,872	23	4,403	22
3	53,159	21	5,277	20	40,284	20	4,038	20
4	51,201	20	5,503	21	39,168	20	4,226	21
5(most deprived)	42,149	16	4,848	19	31,840	16	3,737	19
Charlson comorbidity index score								
0	200,730	45	17,029	37	105,860	43	8,619	35
1-2	122,141	27	12,507	28	67,419	27	6,892	28
3-4	92,608	21	10,349	23	53,337	22	5,973	24
>5	34,156	7	5,582	12	19,977	8	3,385	13
Medications								
Acid suppressing	70,500	16	8,993	20	36,531	15	4,982	20
Immunosuppressant	18,641	4	2,886	6	6,141	2	971	4
Corticosteroids	42,257	9	5,617	12	24,013	10	3,206	13
Antibiotics	133,547	30	15,156	33	70,461	28	8,131	33

HES Hospital Episode Statistics

* Socioeconomic status is based on Index of multiple deprivation (IMD) and figures are the percentage of the patients eligible for inclusion in the linkage to patient level deprivation data.

Table 2: Adjusted and unadjusted hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for the association of Clostridium Difficile infection with pernicious anaemia.

Study Population	Exposure definition	No. of patients	Unexposed cohort		Exposed cohort		HR			
			Clostridium Difficile infection event (%)	Rate of Clostridium Difficile per 1,000 person years (95%CI)	No. of patients	Clostridium Difficile infection event (%)	Rate of Clostridium Difficile per 1,000 person years (95%CI)	unadjusted HR (95% CI)	adjusted HR ^a (95% CI)	adjusted HR ^b (95% CI)
CPRD patients										
The main analysis		449,635	2,492(0.5)	1.09 (1.05 to 1.13)	45,467	429(0.9)	1.85 (1.69 to 2.04)	1.76 (1.58 to 1.96)	1.57 (1.40 to 1.76)	1.60 (1.43 to 1.80)
Sensitivity analysis	Newly diagnosed cases with at least 1 year of follow-up in CPRD	282,830	1,685(0.5)	1.34 (1.28 to 1.49)	28,590	278(0.9)	2.21 (1.96 to 2.49)	1.68 (1.47 to 1.92)	1.43 (1.24 to 1.64)	1.44 (1.25 to 1.66)
	Cases in the exposed cohort with pernicious anaemia diagnosis code only	165,987	855(0.5)	0.88 (0.83 to 0.94)	16,735	141(0.8)	1.44 (1.22 to 1.70)	1.80 (1.48 to 2.18)	1.73 (1.41 to 2.13)	1.77 (1.44 to 2.18)
CPRD_HES linked patients										
The main analysis		246,593	1,453(0.5)	1.30 (1.24 to 1.37)	24,869	272 (1.2)	2.44 (2.16 to 2.74)	1.97 (1.72 to 2.26)	1.67 (1.44 to 1.94)	1.58 (1.36 to 1.84)
Sensitivity analysis	Newly diagnosed cases with at least 1 year of follow-up in CPRD	154,678	899 (0.5)	1.58 (1.48 to 1.68)	15,611	175(1.1)	3.08 (2.65 to 3.57)	2.03 (1.71 to 2.40)	1.64 (1.36 to 1.98)	1.55 (1.29 to 1.87)
	Cases in the exposed cohort with pernicious anaemia diagnosis code only	80,324	496 (0.6)	1.16 (1.06 to 1.27)	8,069	85(1.1)	1.98 (1.60 to 2.44)	1.80 (1.40 to 2.30)	1.59 (1.21 to 2.09)	1.56 (1.18 to 2.05)

CPRD, Clinical Practice Research Datalink, HES, Hospital Episode Statistics data, HR, Hazard ratio, CI, confidence interval

^a Model adjusted for comorbidity index, hospitalization, and use of antibiotics, acid suppression therapy, and immunosuppressant drugs.

^b Model adjusted for comorbidity index, hospitalization, and use of antibiotics (as number of prescriptions), acid suppression therapy, and immunosuppressant drugs