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Abstract: Diamond-reinforced metal matrix composites (DMMC) have great potential for wear-resistance applications due to the superior hardness of the diamond component. Cold spray as an emerging coating technique is able to fabricate coatings or bulk materials without exceeding the material melting point, thereby significantly lowering the risk of oxidation, phase transformation, and excessive thermal residual stress. In this paper, thick DMMC coatings were deposited onto aluminum alloy substrate via cold spray of three feedstock powders: copper-clad diamond and pure copper, and their mixtures. It was found that, due to its low processing temperature, cold spray is able to prevent graphitization of the diamond in the DMMC coatings. Further to that, the original diamond phase was almost completely retained in the DMMC coatings. In case of the coatings fabricated from copper-clad diamond powders only, its mass fraction reached $43 \mathrm{wt} . \%$, i.e. value higher than in any previous studies using conventional pre-mixed powders. Furthermore, it was found that the added copper content powders acted as a buffer, effectively preventing the fracture of the diamond particles in the coating. Finally, the wear test on the coatings showed that the cold sprayed DMMC coatings had excellent wear-resistance properties due to the diamond reinforcement.
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## 1. Introduction

Diamond is known to possess extremely high hardness, allowing it to be used as an excellent wear-resistance material. However, for the same reason, it is difficult to be machined, which in turn limits its direct applications. To overcome the limitations, diamond is normally applied in a form of thin, wear-resistant coatings. Chemical vapor deposition (CVD) technique can be used to produce such films. However, CVD films are restricted in terms of thickness, frequently suffer from low toughness, and tend to crack or peel off completely from the substrate due to the large thermal and residual stress generated during their solidification [1,2].

Diamond-reinforced metal matrix composites (DMMC) are novel materials in which the metallic phase acts as a binder (yielding the DMMC deformable and machinable), while the reinforcement diamond phase helps to improve the material properties. Currently, the common ways to fabricate bulk DMMC are powder metallurgy [3-7] and pressure infiltration techniques [8-12]. These methods mostly require extremely high processing temperatures to melt the metal binder, thereby significantly increasing the risk of the metal phase transformation and diamond graphitization, which, in turn, may potentially yield inferior material performance [5]. In terms of DMMC thin films for wear-resistance applications, various thermal spray techniques such as oxy-acetylene thermal spray [13-15], HVOF [15], supersonic laser deposition [16,17], and laser cladding [17] were applied. Analogous to the powder metallurgy and pressure infiltration techniques for producing bulk DMMC, these thermal spray techniques also require high working temperatures and the films/coatings therefore potentially face identical problems (in particular, graphitization of the diamond content [17]). Higher diamond contents in the coatings is known to improve the coating wear-resistance by reducing the wear rate [13]. However, in the thermally sprayed coatings, the diamond content is usually much lower than the binder phase content. Combined, these disadvantages
significantly lower the wear-resistance properties of the thermally sprayed DMMC coatings. Therefore, it is rather meaningful to develop a novel fabricating method of DMMC coatings that would avoid the risk of diamond phase graphitization and simultaneously retain high diamond contents.

Cold spray as an emerging coating technique is capable to deposit metals, MMC [18-20] and even ceramics [21,22], thereby attracting great interests over the last decades [23]. In this process, feedstock materials in the form of micron-sized powders are accelerated by a supersonic gas passing through Laval nozzle, and subsequently impact onto a substrate to form the coating [24-27]. During the deposition process, the feedstock remains solid state without any melting; the coating is formed through a metallurgical or mechanical bonding at the interface of adjacent particles and coating/substrate. Thereby, difficulties and defects such as oxidation, detrimental thermal residual stress development, and phase transformations which commonly appear in powder metallurgy, pressure infiltration, or thermal spray processes can be considerably avoided [28]. Furthermore, the coatings in cold spray can be deposited onto various substrates (such as metals, polymers, ceramics) and the respective thickness growth is almost unlimited for most metals and MMC, allowing cold spray to act as an additive manufacturing technique for producing bulk materials $[29,30]$.

To date, very few attempts of cold spraying of DMMC coatings for improving the hardness and Young's modulus have been carried out $[31,32]$. The state of the art suggests that the limitation for fabricating DMMC (also applicable for other MMC) via cold spray is the feedstock powders. Typically, either mechanically pre-mixed or ball milled feedstock is used. However, using the pre-mixed powders frequently leads to a reduction of the diamond phase content in the coating as compared to the original feedstock due to the its low deposition efficiency, while the ball-milling procedure in turn results in a serious fracture of the diamond phase or its graphitization [31,32]. Aside from reducing the cost efficiency of the process through
the loss of diamond powder in such case, the coating performance is significantly lowered, too [16,17,33].

In this paper, DMMC coatings were fabricated via cold spray technique. In order to avoid the disadvantages and problems associated with using the conventional pre-mixed or ball-milled feedstock, a novel copper-clad diamond powder (and its mixture with copper powder) was used as the feedstock to fabricate the coatings instead. In the previous work, this copper-clad diamond powder has been proven to significantly increase the diamond contents in the coating [34]. As the base material, copper was selected due to its ease of deposition and high deposition efficiency, allowing it to be an excellent binder in the MMC coatings. Wear test of the fabricated DMMC coatings was further carried out to investigate the coating wear-resistance capability. With copper not being a typical wear-resistance metal, the results better reflect the role of the diamond reinforcement. In the future work, using typical wear-resistance metals such as cobalt and nickel is planned.

## 2. Experimental methodology

### 2.1. Coating fabrication

Pure copper $(-38+15 \mu \mathrm{~m},>99.9 \%$, Safina, Czech Republic) and copper-clad diamond ( $-53+45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, PDA C50, Element-Six, Ireland) powders and their respective mixtures were used as the feedstock. Fig. 1a-b shows the morphology of both powders observed by SEM (Carl Zeiss ULTRA, Germany). The copper-clad diamond powder particles typically consist of three different layers: a diamond core enclosed in a thin nickel inter-layer and an outside electroless copper cladding, as can be seen from the powder cross-section shown in Fig. 1c (FIB, DB235, FEI Strata, USA). The nickel inter-layer is used due to its superior bonding with diamond as compared to copper. According to the supplier, the weight ratio of the diamond phase to both metals in a single particle is approximately 1:1, and thus the diamond core diameter was calculated as roughly between 40 and $47 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Table 1 Annotation of the produced coatings, the respective feedstock composition and cold spray deposition conditions.

| Coating | Feedstock powders | Gas | Pressure <br> [MPa] | Temperature $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right]$ | Gun speed $[\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s}]$ | Standoff distance [mm] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P0 | Copper | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 3.0 | 350 | 15 | 45 |
| P1 | Copper + copper-clad diamond (8:1 by weight) | He | 2.0 | 25 | 15 | 45 |
| P2 | Copper + Copper-clad diamond (1:1 by weight) | He | 2.0 | 25 | 15 | 45 |
| P3 | Copper clad diamond | He | 2.0 | 25 | 15 | 45 |



Fig. 2 Schematic of the DMMC coating fabrication via cold spray.

### 2.2. Materials characterization

To examine the phase content and the prospective graphitization of the diamond during the coating fabrication process, the as-sprayed coatings were examined by an X-Ray diffractometer (Siemens D500, Germany) with the $\operatorname{Co}\left(\lambda=1.789 \AA\right.$ ) source at a current of 40 mA , voltage of 35 kV and scan step of $0.02^{\circ}$. To assess the coating microstructure via SEM, the as-sprayed coating samples were prepared using standard metallographic procedures with the final polishing applied by $0.05 \mu \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution. Fracture surface was also obtained by breaking the as-sprayed samples and the observed by SEM. The element analysis (primarily, the mass fractions of Cu and Ni ) on the coating surfaces and polished cross-sections was performed with an EDS unit (Oxford Instruments INCA system, UK) equipping on the SEM system. Given its inaccuracy in measuring low molecular weight elements, the mass fraction of diamond was then calculated based on the results of copper and nickel. For each sample, five locations were randomly selected from the coating surface or polished cross-section and the measured data was then averaged.

### 2.3. Wear test

The wear properties were measured using POD-2 pin-on-disc system (Teer Coatings Ltd., UK) at room
temperature. For accurate measurement of the wear rates, the sample surfaces were polished using $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution to $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ roughness prior to the test and the samples were then mounted on a carrier disc. A tungsten carbide ball with a diameter of 5 mm was used as a counterpart under a constant load of 4 N . The disk rotated at a linear speed of $10 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$ for 15000 revolutions. To determine the coating and pin ball wear rates, the material volume loss was calculated according to ASTM G 99 standard [26]. The amount of wear was determined by weighing the specimens before and after the test. The respective wear rate was then calculated as the volume loss per unit load and per traverse distance.

It was reported that the mean free path between reinforcement particles is an important parameter affecting the coating wear-resistance property $[25,35,36]$. The mean free path was calculated by drawing a total of 10 random lines on multiple coating cross-sectional images and counting the number of intersects with diamond particles. Then, the mean free path could be calculated according to the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{1-\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{L}}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the number of diamond particle intercepts per unit length of test line and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is the volume fraction of the reinforcing particles.

## 3. Numerical methodology

In order to study the powder particles deposition behavior during the coating formation process, finite element analysis (FEA) of the inter-particle impact was carried out using ABAQUS. The impact process was simplified as two particles successively depositing on the substrate in the same line. The contact pressure between the two particles was then calculated and compared with the diamond fracture stress to evaluate the diamond fracture behavior in the coating. Lagrangian algorithm with the dynamic explicit procedure was applied to build the computational model. The aluminum alloy substrate was defined as a cylinder, having a
diameter and height of 320 and $160 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, respectively. Based on the average size of the powders used in the experiment, the copper particle was defined as a sphere with a diameter of $26 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. For the preliminary evaluation, the irregular copper-clad diamond powder was simplified as a sphere of an equivalent diameter of $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Of that, the diameter of the diamond core and the thickness of the nickel inter-layer and copper cladding were defined as $44.5,0.4$ and $2.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, respectively, in accordance with the composition information of the real powder. The metal materials including nickel, copper and aluminum alloy were modeled using Johnson and Cook plasticity model. The diamond was considered as a linear elastic model with high elastic modulus [37]. The model inherently does not allow considering the fracture of the diamond, but the maximum contact pressure between the particles can be obtained. Detailed materials parameters applied to both models can be found elsewhere [37,38]. Fig. 3 shows the computational domain, meshing and boundary conditions of the used FEA model. Due to its symmetric character, the model was simplified as axisymmetric in order to reduce the computational time. The geometry was partitioned by four-node bilinear axisymmetric quadrilateral elements with reduced integration and hourglass control (CAX4R). The axisymmetric condition was applied to the axis and the fixed boundary condition was enforced to the bottom and lateral. The contact process was implemented by using the surface-to-surface penalty contact algorithm with balanced contact pair formulation. The particle impact velocities for copper and copper-clad diamond at the applied spray conditions (Table 1) and nozzle geometries were calculated as $600 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and $480 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ in a separate study (ANSYS-FLUENT 14.1 [39]).

The used FEA model inherently does not comprehend all experimental details and differs from reality in several aspects, such as in-line impact, spherical particles or not taking into account the potential out-of-equilibrium conditions of the particle materials arising from their fabrication routes (such as e.g. cold working, internal stresses, etc). As such, the model could not work (and was not used) to properly explain

e.g. the deformation mechanisms or materials flow. However, the model's features suffice to achieve the main aim, i.e. to calculate the level of stresses achieved at the contact and compare those with the diamond fracture stress values.


Fig. 3 Computational domain, meshing and boundary conditions of the FEA two-particle subsequent impact model. (a) two copper-clad diamond particles, (b) copper and copper-clad diamond particles, (c) mesh for copper-clad diamond powder and (d) mesh for copper powder.

## 4. Results and discussion

### 4.1 Chemical and phase transformations of DMMC coatings

The cold sprayed coatings thickness exceeded 5 mm in all cases, i.e. order of magnitude higher than what is possible to obtain using vapor deposition processes. As the mass fraction of the copper-clad diamond feedstock increased (i.e., the copper content decreased, from P0 to P3), the coating color changed from copper red to gray. Fig. 4 shows the copper content on the P0-P3 coating surfaces as determined by EDS as
well as the corresponding sample appearance.


Fig. 4 Copper content (wt\%) on the P0-P3 coating surfaces as determined by EDS.

Graphitization of the diamond frequently occurs when fabricating the DMMC via sintering, infiltration or thermal spray techniques due to the high processing temperatures $[4,5,16,17]$. The obtained XRD spectra in Fig. 5 showed no graphite peaks, indicating that no graphitization occurred during the fabrication of the cold sprayed DMMC. This fact clearly shows the advantage of cold spray over the other fabrication techniques in preventing diamond graphitization. Moreover, the spectra presented in Fig. 5 further suggested an increasing content of nickel and diamond phases from P1 to P3. This was supported by EDS cross-section analysis of the diamond content (Fig. 6). The coating P3 that was fabricated from copper-clad diamond powder only ( $50 \mathrm{wt} \%$ of diamond phase) contained $43 \mathrm{wt}$. \% diamond, suggesting its fairly high deposition efficiency in the process. In our previous work, even higher value of $56 \mathrm{wt} . \%$ was obtained by the EDS measurements. However, it is rather safe not to attribute the difference to a change in deposition efficiency as the higher value was obtained from coating fracture (i.e., not polished) surfaces [34]. In the previous cold powder clearly indicates that it is a promising feedstock for fabrication of cold sprayed DMMC coatings.


Fig. 5 Comparison of the XRD spectra of the produced P0-P3 coatings (a) and local magnified view marked by black square in Fig. 5a (b). Note the increasing content of Ni and diamond phases toward P3.


Fig. 6 Mass fraction of diamond on the coating cross-section.

### 4.2 DMMC coating microstructure

Fig. 7 shows the cross-sectional features of the DMMC coatings fabricated using different powders. It is known that a uniform distribution of the reinforcement phase in the MMC is of great importance to the final materials properties [42-44]. In this work, the cold sprayed DMMC coatings fabricated with the copper-clad diamond powders exhibited excellent uniformity, providing an essential condition for the high-quality materials properties. Besides, an obvious difference in the diamond mass fraction between each sample can be seen. The observed diamond contents in the coatings $(\mathrm{P} 3>\mathrm{P} 2>\mathrm{P} 1)$ were well consistent with the EDS element analysis shown in Fig. 6.


Fig. 7 Microstructure of the produced $\mathrm{P} 1(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}), \mathrm{P} 2(\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{e})$, and P3 (c,f) cold sprayed coatings.

The magnified images of the cross-section provided in Fig. 7d-f illustrate the diamond particles sizes in the produced coatings. Most of the diamond in the coating fabricated with the P1 and P2 powders had a diameter of approximately $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. This value was quite comparable to the diamond core diameter of original feedstock $(-47+40 \mu \mathrm{~m})$, which indicated that diamond did not exhibit substantial fracturing during deposition. In fact, the diamond phase in P1 exhibited almost no damage, while few cracks and several small diamond shards only could be found in P2. Assumedly, the matrix consisting of the free copper powder particles provided ductile component absorbing the impact energy and thereby effectively preventing the
diamond phase fragmentation. However, in the case of P3 coating, which was fabricated entirely using copper-clad diamond powder only, the diamond phase was considerably smaller, containing a large number of diamond shards with a diameter of less than $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, suggesting the occurrence of fracturing. Despite the fracture, previous works reported that tiny shards or fine particles may improve the toughness of cold sprayed composite coating [19,20].

For well explaining the reason for inducing diamond fracture behavior in the pure copper-clad diamond coating, Fig. 8 shows the predicted stress distribution at the moment of (i.e., at the precise moment when the nominal stress reaches its maximum during the entire process) a copper-clad diamond powder impact (onto either copper or copper-clad diamond particles), with room-temperature helium under 2.0 MPa pressure as the propellant gas (i.e., identical to spraying conditions). For P1 and P2 coatings, the soft copper particles could act as a ductile matrix to the copper-clad diamond particles, dissipating most of the impact kinetic energy through the plastic deformation of copper. The predicted maximum impact stress in such case (Fig. 8a) reached almost 3900 MPa , i.e. significantly lower than the diamond fracture stress calculated elsewhere ( $5900 \mathrm{MPa},[37]$ ). Therefore, the impacting stress was unlikely to damage the diamond in case of impact into the copper matrix. The minor fragmentation of the diamond phase observed in P2 coatings (considerably lower than in P3 coatings, nevertheless; cf. Fig. 7e and 7f) could be caused by a prospective diamond-diamond contact given its higher content as compared to P1 coating. For P3, the outside metal cladding was very thin and most of the energy was directly imposed on the inner diamond cores due to the absence of softer buffer materials. The impact stress reached 8900 MPa (Fig. 8b). In this case, most of the diamond was certainly fractured during the deposition, in accordance with the observed microstructure (Fig. 7c,f).


Fig. 8 FEA modeling results of the stress distribution at the moment of particle-particle impact with the inlet pressure of 2.0 MPa and helium as the propulsive gas. (a) copper-clad diamond onto copper, (b) copper-clad diamond onto copper-clad diamond

To further observe the coating microstructure and clarify the diamond phase behavior, coating fracture surfaces were observed using SEM (Fig. 9). The diamond particles in P1 coating were complete without any visible signs of damage, while the P2 coatings contained both undamaged and slightly damaged diamonds. However, for the P3 coating shown in Fig. 9c, the diamond phase observed a frequent cracking, producing small diamond shards surrounding the parent particles. In such cases, bonding occurred between the thin copper claddings only and the coating was formed through the fractured diamond sub-particles uniformly dispersing into the metal phase [34].


Fig. 9 Fracture surfaces of the produced P1-P3 coatings and the respective diamond phase fragmentation behavior

### 4.3 Coatings Deposition Mechanisms

Following the coating microstructure analysis, the following deposition mechanisms are suggested herein. Fig. 10 shows the schematic of the deposition mechanisms for different coating types. For the coatings produced using a mixture of copper and copper-clad diamond particles (Fig. 10a), the copper-clad diamond particles have a limited chance to impact with each other only. Instead, they mostly impact onto copper and, as a result, the metallic bonding in the coating mainly occurs between the copper particles only or between the copper claddings of the diamond cores and copper particles. In addition, as the energy caused by the high-velocity impact is mostly transformed into the plastic deformation of copper, the impact stress imposed on the diamond phase does not reach its fracture stress threshold and the entire diamond content therefore uniformly embeds and distributes in the copper phase.


Fig. 10 Schematic of the deposition mechanisms for (a) copper-clad diamond + copper coating and (b) copper-clad coating

For the copper-clad diamond coatings (Fig. 10b), pure copper particles are absent in the deposition process, leading to impacts of the copper-clad diamond particles with each other directly. Then, the metallic bonding in the coatings only takes place between copper claddings. Although the copper cladding dissipates part of the kinetic energy through plastic deformation, it is too thin (2-5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) to act as a buffer. Most of the kinetic energy is dissipated via the interaction between the diamond cores rather than the plastic deformation
of the copper part. As a consequence, the impact stress imposed onto the diamond is large, exceeding the diamond fracture stress, as discussed in the last section. Diamonds hence fracture into shards during the deposition and disperse into the copper phase to form the DMMC.

### 4.4 Coatings Wear Test

The DMMC coatings experienced almost no wear during the test. Instead, the tungsten carbide pin ball counterpart exhibited signs of damage. Also, a large amount of black debris worn from the tungsten carbide pin ball was left on the worn track after the testing. As the debris surely affected the sample weight, the coating wear rate measurement could not be taken as valid from this test. Fig. 11 provides the measured wear rate of the tungsten carbide pin ball as a function of the calculated mean free path. It is clearly seen that the mean free path decreased from P1 to P3, while the wear rate of the pin ball increased gradually. This fact indicated that the coating wear-resistance performance steadily improved as the mean free path decreased, in good agreement with the previous work [36]. The wear rate of the pure copper coating was also measured for comparison reason. The copper coating was seriously worn after the test with the wear rate of $0.0077 \mathrm{~mm}^{3} / \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{mm}$ while the pin ball did not experience any significant signs of damage. The comparison clearly indicates the importance of the diamond reinforcements in improving the coating wear-resistance properties. Moreover, in the previous works related to cold sprayed wear-resistance coatings (e.g., A15056/SiC [45], CuSn8/AlCuFeB [46]), materials loss normally occurred on the coating surface, while the wear of WC-Co pin ball was not reported. This fact further suggests the excellent wear-resistance properties of the cold sprayed DMMC coatings obtained in this work.


Fig. 11 Experimentally measured wear rate of the tungsten carbide pin ball against mean free path between diamond particles in the respective produced DMMC coatings.

To further investigate the coating wear mechanism, the worn surfaces and the corresponding EDS measurements are provided in Fig. 12. For the pure copper coating (P0), the worn surface was characterized by smooth profile with slight signs of delamination only. For P1 (having the lowest content of the diamond phase among P1-P3), tungsten carbide film worn off from the pin ball was detected on the worn surface. Also, the diamonds were not pulled off from but rather remained embedded in the P1 coating. They prevented the pin ball to wear the metal phase of the coating, but led to the serious abrasion of pin ball. With the pin ball continuously sliding on the coating surface, the tungsten carbide debris formed a thin film on the worn surface. As the diamond contents increased (P2), the area of the tungsten carbide film became larger (i.e., increased pin ball abrasion), lowering the area of the exposed coating materials. Therefore, the wear rate of the pin ball was higher than that of P1. In the case of P3 fabricated with copper-clad diamond powder only, a large amount of diamond resulted in the entire worn surface covered by the black and thick tungsten carbide film without any exposure of the underlying coating material whatsoever. The wear rate of the pin
ball was therefore the highest in all tests. It is known that copper is not a typical material for wear-resistance coating due to its relatively low hardness [27]. Therefore, it is plausible to suggest that the wear-resistance capability of the produced DMMC is attributed to the diamond reinforcements. The performed wear test results clearly indicate the great potential of cold spray technique for producing wear-resistance DMMC coatings.


Fig. 12 SEM images and the corresponding EDS results of the worn surface of the cold sprayed P0-P3 coatings.

## Conclusions

In this paper, a range of diamond-reinforced metal matrix composites (DMMC) were fabricated via cold spray using copper-clad diamond powder or its mixture with pure copper powder. Copper-clad diamond is a novel powder consisting of an inside diamond powder, a thin interbedded nickel layer and an outside electroless copper cladding. The sprayed DMMC coatings fabricated using different powders had thickness exceeding 5 mm , i.e. order of magnitude thicker than those typically produced by chemical vapor deposition
(CVD) processes. Due to the low working temperature, the coatings exhibited no phase transformations. In the coatings fabricated from the mixed feedstock of copper and copper-clad diamond, the additional copper powders acted as a buffer, dissipating most of the kinetic energy through the plastic deformation of copper, thereby effectively preventing the fracture of the diamond phase in the coatings. When using pure copper-clad diamond powder as the feedstock only, the impact stress imposed on the diamonds exceeded the diamond fracture stress, leading to a frequent diamond phase fragmentation during the deposition. The pure copper-clad diamond coating was then formed through the bonding between the copper claddings only and the fractured diamond uniformly dispersed into the metal phase. In all coatings, the diamond in the feedstock was fully transferred from the feedstock, with negligible losses only (e.g., reduction from $50 \mathrm{wt} \%$ to $43 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in the copper-clad diamond coating) - a results never achieved in the previous cold spray works with conventional pre-mixed powders.

Finally, the wear test on the DMMC coating clearly showed that the cold sprayed DMMC coating had superior wear-resistance properties. With copper not being a typical wear-resistance metal, the results clearly reflect the role of the diamond reinforcement in improving the wear-resistance capability. In the future works, copper may be replaced by other wear-resistance metals, such as e.g. cobalt.
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