INTRAGASTRIC INFUSION OF DENATONIUM BENZOATE ATTENUATES INTERDIGESTIVE GASTRIC MOTILITY AND HUNGER SCORES IN HEALTHY FEMALE VOLUNTEERS

Eveline Deloose[1], Pieter Janssen[1], Maura Corsetti[1,2], Jessica Biesiekierski[1], Imke Masuy[1], Alessandra Rotondo[1], Lukas Van Oudenhove[1], Inge Depoortere[1], Jan Tack[1]

1 Translational Research Centre for Gastrointestinal Disorders, University of Leuven, Belgium

2 National Institute for Health Research, Nottingham Digestive Diseases Biomedical Research Unit, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

Names for PubMed indexing: Deloose, Janssen, Corsetti, Biesiekierski, Masuy, Rotondo, Van Oudenhove, Depoortere, Tack

Running title: DB and the effect on the MMC and motilin release

Key terms: Bitter, Hunger, Migrating motor complex, Motilin, Denatonium benzoate

Number of figures and tables: tables (4) and figures (6)

Clinical trial registration: NCT02759926

Corresponding author and person to who reprint requests should be addressed:

Jan Tack, MD, TARGID, Herestraat 49, box 701, BE-3000 Leuven, Belgium

E-mail: jan.tack@kuleuven.be, Tel: +32 (0)16 345751, Fax: +32 (0)16 345939

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest

Abbreviations: CASR: Ca²⁺-sensing receptor, CCK: cholecystokinin, DB: denatonium benzoate, ERK: extracellular signal-regulated kinase, GIT: gastrointestinal tract, GLP-1: glucagon-like

peptide 1, GPCR: G-protein coupled receptor, HRM: high-resolution manometry, MI: motility index, MMC: migrating motor complex, PROP: *6-n*-propylthiouracil, TAS2R: taste 2 receptor, VAS: visual analogue scale.

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 **Background:** Denatonium benzoate (DB) has been shown to influence ongoing ingestive

3 behavior and gut peptide secretion.

4 **Objectives:** To study the effect of intragastric administration of DB on interdigestive motility,

5 motilin and ghrelin plasma levels, hunger and satiety ratings and food intake in healthy

6 volunteers.

7 **Design:** Lingual bitter taste sensitivity was tested using 6 concentrations of DB in 65 subjects.

8 Placebo or DB (1 μmol/kg) were given intragastrically to assess their effect on fasting

9 gastrointestinal motility and hunger ratings; on motilin and ghrelin plasma levels; on satiety

10 and finally on caloric intake.

11 **Results:** Women (n=39) were more sensitive towards a lingual bitter stimulus (p=0.005) than

12 men (n=26). In women (n=10), intragastric DB caused a switch in the origin of phase III

13 contractions from stomach to duodenum (p=0.001) and decreased hunger ratings (p=0.04).

14 These effects were not observed in male participants (n=10). In females (n=12), motilin

15 (p=0.04) plasma levels decreased after intragastric DB administration while total and

16 octanoylated ghrelin were not affected. Intragastric administration of DB decreased hunger

17 (p=0.008) and increased satiety ratings (p=0.01) after a meal (500 kcal) in 13 female subjects

18 without affecting gastric emptying in 6 female subjects. Caloric intake tended to decrease

19 after DB administration compared to placebo (720±58 kcal vs 796±45 kcal; p=0.08) in 20

20 female subjects.

21 **Conclusions:** Intragastric DB administration decreases both antral motility and hunger ratings

22 during the fasting state, possibly due to a decrease in motilin release. Moreover, DB decreases

23 hunger and increases satiety ratings after a meal and shows potential to decrease caloric

24 intake. This trial was registered at clinicaltrials.gov as NCT02759926.

27

28 **INTRODUCTION**

29 Denatonium benzoate (DB), benzyl-diethyl (2:6-xylylcarbamoyl methyl) ammonium benzoate,

30 is a strong bitter tastant added to household products to prevent ingestion of potentially

31 harmful substances through taste aversion (1, 2). Concentrations as low as 10 ppb are already

32 detectable, at 50 ppb the taste is distinguishably bitter and at 10 ppm it is described as

33 unpleasantly bitter. In the US, DB is added at a concentration of 6 ppm to denature alcohol

34 (3). Specialized G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) from the taste 2 receptor (TAS2R) family

35 are involved in the perception of bitter compounds (4). Until now, 25 TAS2Rs have been

36 identified in humans (5). Based on the sensitivity towards *6-n*-propylthiouracil (PROP), three

37 categories of bitter sensitivity have been identified: non-tasters, medium-tasters and super-

38 tasters (6, 7). It has been reported that more women than men are classified as super-tasters

39 (6, 8).

40 Besides its extreme bitter taste, DB also has effects on gastrointestinal functions. Direct

41 intraluminal administration of DB in mice has shown to inhibit ongoing ingestive behavior, to

42 suppress food intake and to inhibit gastric emptying (9, 10). Moreover, DB stimulated the *in*

43 *vitro* release of glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) and cholecystokinin (CCK), which are known

44 to increase satiety and satiation respectively (11, 12). Intragastric administration of DB in

45 humans has been shown to impair relaxation of the proximal stomach after infusion of a liquid

46 meal and to increase satiation during an oral nutrient tolerance test (13).

47 During the fasting state, the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) exhibits a specific contractility pattern

48 known as the migrating motor complex (MMC), which can be divided into three phases (14-

49 17). During phase I, no contractions are present in the upper GIT; activity increases during

50 phase II to reach a burst of maximum contractility during phase III, which can originate from

51   the stomach or the small intestine (14, 15). Exogenous administration of motilin or ghrelin

52   triggers a premature gastric phase III in healthy volunteers (17, 18). Endogenous motilin

53   plasma levels, but not ghrelin, fluctuate in synchrony with antral contractility of the MMC, to

54   reach a peak just before the occurrence of a gastric phase III (16, 17, 19). We recently showed

55   that motilin-induced gastric phase III contractions of the MMC signal hunger in healthy

56   volunteers and that motilin plasma levels were closely associated with interdigestive hunger

57   ratings (20, 21). In 1916, *Carlson* (22) reported an inhibitory effect of intragastrically

58   administered bitter compounds on both fasting gastric contractility and hunger sensations,

59   but the underlying mechanism was not elucidated.

60   A first objective of the current study was to evaluate gender differences in the bitter taste

61   sensation of orally administered DB in healthy volunteers. Secondly the effect of intragastric

62   administration of DB on hunger ratings and gastrointestinal activity was evaluated. The third

63   aim was to evaluate the role of gastrointestinal hormones in the DB-induced effects. As fourth

64   and fifth objectives, we evaluated if DB was able to attenuate the return of hunger after a

65   standardized meal and to decrease caloric intake respectively.

66 **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

67 This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Leuven University Hospital,

68 Leuven, Belgium, and performed in full accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

69 **Study design**

70 The current study consisted of 5 independent protocols studying the following parameters:

71 lingual bitter taste sensitivity, gastrointestinal activity, hormonal responses, satiety ratings

72 and food intake.

73 **Test compounds**

74 DB was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Solutions of DB were prepared in

75 tap water. The stock concentration for intragastric administration was 10 mM. A volume of

76 0.1 ml/kg bodyweight was administered. The dosage of DB was chosen based on its inhibitory

77 effect on gastric accommodation in healthy volunteers (13). Tap water was given during the

78 placebo condition in a volume of 0.1 ml/kg bodyweight. The pH between the 2 test solutions

79 did not differ (pH7.4).

80 **Subjects**

81 Volunteers were eligible to participate if they were healthy, aged between 18 and 60 years

82 old, had a BMI (in kg/m²) between 18 and 30, and were recruited from an existing volunteer

83 database in our group. Exclusion criteria were gastrointestinal diseases, abdominal surgery

84 (appendectomy allowed), psychiatric illnesses, and usage of drugs affecting the GIT or central

85 nervous system. Written informed consent was obtained from all volunteers before the start

86 of the study. A total of 65 volunteers (40% men; mean±SEM age: 29±1 y; mean±SEM BMI:

87 23±0.4) participated in the bitter taste protocol; 20 (50% men; age: 27±9 y; BMI: 24±2)

88   participated in the gastrointestinal protocol; 12 female subjects (age: 31±4 y; BMI: 22±1)

89   participated in the hormone protocol; 13 female subjects (age: 28±3 y; BMI: 23±1) participated

90   in the satiety protocol and 20 female (age: 23±0.3 y; BMI: 22±1) subjects were included in the

91   food intake protocol. Sample sizes were calculated based on results from previous studies and

92   provided 80% power to detect significant differences of 15% with an alfpha of 0.05 (13, 23,

93   24). Based on the results obtained from the lingual (study 1) and gastrointestinal (study 2)

94   bitter sensitivity studies it was decided to only include female participants for the last 3 study

95   protocols. A flow chart of the subject distribution can be found in the online supplemental

96   material (**Supplemental Figure 1**). None of the volunteers dropped out. Volunteers that

97   participated in multiple protocols were randomly selected. All subjects were studied after an

98   overnight fast of 12 hours and were asked to refrain from smoking at least 1 hour before the

99   start of the study except for the first study protocol where smoking was not allowed before

100   the start of the study.

101   **Study protocols**

102   *Study 1: Bitter taste sensitivity of DB*

103   Six different concentrations (0, 0.1 μM, 1 μM, 10 μM, 0.1 mM and 1 mM) of DB were tested

104   using taste strips (25). The taste strips were placed on the tongue for 90 sec with a closed

105   mouth. Between each concentration participants rinsed their mouth with tap water. The taste

106   strips were given in ascending order of DB concentration, but participants were not aware of

107   this. Bitter taste sensation was scored for each concentration on a 10 cm visual analogue scale

108   (VAS) (0 cm: not bitter at all, 10 cm: extremely bitter).

109   *Study 2: Hunger and gastrointestinal motility responses to intragastric DB administration*

110   *during the interdigestive state*

111 This study was a placebo-controlled single-blind randomized trial. All these participants also

112 participated in study 1 (Supplemental Figure 1). Placebo (tap water) or DB (1 µmol/kg

113 bodyweight) was administered directly into the upper part of the stomach through a

114 nasogastric feeding tube (Flocare, Nutricia, Bornem, Belgium), 20 min after a complete MMC

115 cycle (**Figure 1A**). The position of the feeding tube was checked with fluoroscopy. By bypassing

116 the tongue, participants could not taste which compound was given. After administration, the

117 measurement continued until the next phase III. Hunger was scored every 5 min on a 10 cm

118 VAS (0 cm: not at all hungry, 10 cm: as hungry as I have ever felt) (26). Adverse events

119 (headache, nausea and stomach ache) were scored every 20 min on a 9-point numerical rating

120 scale.

121 *Study 3: The effect of intragastric DB administration on motilin and ghrelin plasma levels*

122 *during the interdigestive state*

123 This study was a placebo-controlled single-blind randomized trial. These subjects also

124 participated in study 1 and 10 of them also participated in study 2 (Supplemental Figure 1).

125 Twenty minutes (Figure 1B) after the end of a phase III contraction either placebo (tap water)

126 or DB (1 µmol/kg bodyweight) were administered directly into the upper part of the stomach

127 through a nasogastric feeding tube. The position of the feeding tube was checked with

128 fluoroscopy. The measurement was continued for another 2 hours and blood samples were

129 taken every 10 min to measure motilin and ghrelin plasma levels. The first blood sample was

130 taken 10 min prior to intragastric administration. Hunger was scored every 5 min on a VAS.

131 *Study 4: The effect of DB on hunger and satiety ratings after a meal*

132 This study was a placebo-controlled single-blind randomized trial. Thirty minutes (Figure 1C)

133 after the intragastric administration of placebo (tap water) or DB (1 µmol/kg bodyweight), a

134   meal, consisting of two pancakes (500 kcal total), was consumed within 15 min. In 6 subjects

135   (31±6 years, 23±2 kg/m²), pancakes ingested on both occasions were labeled with sodium ^{13}C-

136   octanoate. Every 15 min, starting from just before the treatment until 6 hours after the meal,

137   volunteers exhaled in an exetainer that was stored in the fridge for later analysis. The $^{13}CO_2$

138   secretion data was analyzed by non-linear regression to allow curve fitting and calculation of

139   the gastric half-emptying time. Subjects scored their hunger and satiety feelings prior to the

140   administration and every 15 min for 4 hours starting from the meal intake on a VAS.

141   *Study 5: The effect of DB on food intake*

142   This study was a placebo-controlled double-blind randomized trial. Forty minutes after the

143   intragastric administration of DB (1 µmol/kg bodyweight) or placebo (tap water), subjects ate

144   ad libitum from an excess free-choice buffet for 1 hour. Food items included a variety of pre-

145   sliced, ready-to-eat food items including bread, ham, cheese, lettuce, tomato, mayonnaise,

146   jam, sweets, crisps, rice pudding, waffles, chocolate, apple and banana, which were weighed

147   pre- and postprandially to calculate caloric intake. The total caloric value of the buffet meal

148   was 2330 kcal containing 55 g protein, 94 g fat of which 32 g was saturated and 291 g

149   carbohydrates.

150   **Study techniques**

151   *Antroduodenal motility:*

152   Activity of the MMC was measured in study protocol 2 and 3 using a high-resolution solid-

153   state manometry catheter (36 channels, spaced 1 cm apart, Manoscan 360, Sierra Scientific

154   Instruments, Los Angeles, CA, USA, Manoview analysis software v2.0.) as described previously

155   (19). During the manometry measurements, phases of the MMC were identified based on

156 standardized definitions (27, 28). Motility index (MI) was calculated as follows: (number of

157 contractions*average amplitude contractions*average duration contractions)/5min (19, 29).

158 Average MI was calculated by averaging 6 consecutive antral channels. The anatomical

159 location of the channels was determined via fluoroscopy and through the characteristics of

160 the contractions measured using high-resolution manometry.

161 *Hormone measurements:*

162 Blood samples for motilin detection were collected in lithium heparin tubes containing 500

163 kIU/ml aprotinin (Roche Applied Science, Penzberg, Germany) and stored at -80°C, after

164 centrifugation, until assayed. Ghrelin blood samples were collected in EDTA tubes

165 supplemented with 500 kIU/ml aprotinin, centrifuged, acidified to a final concentration of

166 0.1N HCl, extracted on Sep-Pak C18 columns and vacuum-dried. Motilin and ghrelin levels

167 were determined by radioimmunoassay as fully described elsewhere (10, 19).

168 **Statistical analysis**

169 Significance was set at $p < 0.05$. BMI and age were compared between sexes using two-tailed

170 unpaired Student's t-tests or Mann Whitney U tests depending on the distribution. Bonferroni

171 correction for multiple testing was applied for post-hoc t-tests. SAS (Statistical Analysis System

172 version 9.3; SAS Institute) was used to analyze the data. Data are represented as mean±SEM

173 or median [Q1, Q3].

174 *Study 1: Bitter taste sensitivity of DB*

175 Bitter taste sensitivity was analyzed using mixed models with BMI, sex, DB concentration and

176 an interaction effect between sex and DB concentration as independent variables. Sex and DB

177 concentration were entered as categorical variables. DB concentration was entered as a

178 repeated within-subject variable.

179 *Study 2: Hunger and gastrointestinal motility responses to intragastric DB administration*

180 *during the interdigestive state*

181 The percentage origin of phase III was compared between placebo and DB with McNemar's

182 test. Paired Student's t-tests were used to compare the interval between administration and

183 phase III contractions between placebo and DB. Percentage change of hunger was calculated

184 with 10 min before intragastric administration as the reference point. Mixed model analysis

185 was used to compare percentage change of hunger between placebo and DB during phase III.

186 Drug (placebo and DB) and time were entered as categorical fixed effects; a drug-by-time

187 interaction effect was included. Drug and time were entered as within-subject variables.

188 *Study 3: The effect of intragastric DB administration on motilin and ghrelin plasma levels*

189 *during the interdigestive state*

190 Mixed model analysis was used to assess the main effects of time and drug (placebo and DB)

191 and the interaction effect between time and drug on the percentage change of hormone

192 plasma levels. Drug and time were entered as within-subject categorical variables. Percentage

193 change of hormone plasma levels was calculated with 10 min prior to intragastric

194 administration as the reference point.

195 The effect of hormone plasma levels on MI antrum was assessed using mixed model analysis

196 with the hormone of interest (motilin, total ghrelin or octanoylated ghrelin), drug (placebo

197 and DB) and time as main effects together with an interaction effect between drug and

198 hormone. Drug and time were entered as categorical within-subject variables. The same

199   analysis was done for hunger as the dependent variable. Percentage change was used for MI

200   antrum, hormone plasma levels and hunger. The reference point was set at 10 min prior to

201   intragastric administration.

202   *Study 4: The effect of DB on the return of hunger after a meal*

203   Mixed model analysis was used to assess the effect of drug (placebo and DB) on hunger and

204   satiety after a meal intake. Drug and time were included as categorical within-subject main

205   effects together with their interaction effect. Gastric half-time emptying time was compared

206   between the 2 conditions using Wilcoxon signed rank test.

207   *Study 5: The effect of DB on food intake*

208   Our hypothesis for this protocol was that intragastric administration of DB would decrease

209   caloric intake compared to placebo. This hypothesis was formulated based on the results of

210   our previous experiments which are described in the results section (study 2-4). Based on the

211   hypothesized direction of the effect we decided to compare caloric intake between placebo

212   and DB administration using a one-tailed paired Student's t-test.

213 **RESULTS**

214 *Women are more sensitive to DB lingual stimulation than men*

215 BMI (p=0.02), but not age (p=0.9), differed between the two sexes (**Table 1**). Increasing

216 concentrations of DB were perceived as more bitter (**Figure 2**; p<0.0001), but a significant sex

217 effect (Figure 2; p=0.005) was also present. There was no interaction effect between sex and

218 DB concentration (p=0.1). There was a trend of a positive association between BMI and bitter

219 taste perception (beta coefficient of 0.3±0.2; p=0.06).

220 *Intragastric administration of DB inhibits gastric phase III and decreases hunger scores in*

221 *female participants*

222 BMI (p=0.003), but not age (p=0.6), differed between the two sexes (Table 1). None of the

223 volunteers could discriminate between placebo and DB during intragastric administration. No

224 adverse events were reported by any of the participants when DB was given.

225 In women, administration of DB (**Figure 3**A; p=0.001) reduced the number of phase III

226 contractions with a gastric origin from 67% (placebo) to 33% (DB). The interval between

227 intragastric administration and the occurrence of phase III did not differ (p=0.5) between

228 placebo (76±12 min) and DB (93±12 min) treatment. In men (Figure 3B) there was no (p=0.1)

229 difference in the origin of phase III contractions between placebo (57% gastric) and DB (40%

230 gastric). The interval between intragastric administration and the occurrence of phase III did

231 not differ (p=0.2) between placebo (76±11 min) and DB (111±19 min).

232 The switch from a gastric to a duodenal phase III origin in females after DB administration was

233 accompanied by a significantly lower percentage change of hunger scores compared to

234 placebo (Figure 3C; p=0.04). In contrast, in male participants, the percentage change in hunger

235 scores (Figure 3D; p=0.3) during phase III did not differ between placebo and DB treatment.

Intragastric administration of DB inhibits the increase in motilin plasma levels

237 The effect of intragastric administration of DB on the release of motilin and ghrelin during the

238 interdigestive state was measured. There was a significant main effect of treatment (**Figure**

239 **4**A; p=0.04) on the percentage change of motilin plasma levels due to a relative increase in

240 motilin plasma levels during placebo administration and a relative decrease during intragastric

241 administration of DB. There was no difference between the 2 treatment arms for the

242 percentage change of total (Figure 4B; p=0.3) or octanoylated (Figure 4C; p=0.5) ghrelin

243 plasma levels. Values of the raw hormone plasma concentrations can be found in the online

244 supplemental material (**Supplemental Figure 2**).

245 The change in antral motility was affected by the change in motilin plasma levels (p=0.0003),

246 as well as by DB administration (p=0.02) (**Table 2**). Furthermore, a significant interaction effect

247 between these two factors was found (p=0.01). This interaction effect depicts a significant

248 difference in the slope of the regression curves between placebo and DB. This positive

249 assiciation between antral motility and motilin plasma levels was reduced after DB

250 administration compared to placebo (**Table 3**). A similar result was obtained for the effect of

251 motilin plasma level changes on changes in hunger ratings (**Table 4**). There was a significant

252 main effect of motilin (p=0.0002), DB administration (p=0.02) and a significant interaction

253 effect between the two (p=0.02). The slope of the regression curve between hunger changes

254 and motilin changes differed between placebo and DB (**Table 3**).

255 Changes in antral motility were not associated with changes in total (p=0.9) or octanoylated

256 ghrelin (p=0.9) (**Table 2**). Changes in total ghrelin plasma levels showed a trend (p=0.06) to be

257 associated with changes in hunger ratings. There was no associaton between changes in

258 octanoylated ghrelin (p=0.9) plasma levels and changes in hunger ratings (**Table 4**).

259 *Intragastric administration of DB suppresses hunger and increases satiety ratings after a meal*

260 DB administration before the standard meal was associated with prolonged elevated satiety

261 scores and delayed return of hunger after the meal (**Figure 5**). Hunger scores (Figure 5A) were

262 affected by both DB administration (main effect with lower ratings over all time points after

263 DB, p=0.008) and time relative to the meal (p<0.0001). The course of hunger over time tended

264 to differ between placebo and bitter adminsitration (p=0.07). Similarly, satiety scores (Figure

265 5B) were affected by both DB administration (higher ratings over all time points after DB,

266 p=0.01) and time (p<0.0001). There was no interaction effect between time and bitter

267 administration for satiety scores (p=0.4).

268 Gastric half-emptying time (measured in 6 subjects) did not differ between placebo and DB

269 (109 [93, 118] min vs 109 [87, 128] min; p=0.7).

270 Ad libitum food intake tended to decrease after intragastric administration of DB compared

271 with placebo (720±58 kcal vs 796±45 kcal; p=0.08) (**Figure 6**).

272   **DISCUSSION**

273   Our study showed that DB inhibited phase III contractions with gastric origin, with an

274   increased occurrence of phase III starting in the duodenum. In keeping with a role for gastric

275   phase III in determining interdigestive hunger, this switch was accompanied by a decrease in

276   hunger scores (20). Similar to our findings in the lingual system, the response to DB was sex-

277   dependent, being more pronounced in women than in men. The increase in motilin plasma

278   levels was significantly inhibited after DB administration compared to placebo, but ghrelin

279   plasma levels were not affected. The positive association between motilin and antral motility

280   was reduced after intragastric DB administration. A similar result was obtained for the

281   association between motilin and hunger ratings. Moreover, our study showed that intragastric

282   administration of DB decreased hunger and increased satiety scores after a standard meal

283   without altering gastric emptying. Finally, ad libitum food intake tended to decrease after

284   intragastric DB administration.

285   The most characteristic property of DB is its extreme bitter taste (2). DB is known to interact

286   with 8 of the bitter taste receptors in man (TAS2R4, TAS2R8, TAS2R10, TAS2R13, TAS2R39,

287   TAS2R43, TAS2R46, TAS2R47) (31, 32). Our finding that women perceive a bitter lingual

288   stimulus more intensely than men is in agreement with previous bitter sensitivity studies (6,

289   8). This sex difference has been associated with the density of fungiform papillae on the

290   anterior tongue and with polymorphisms in the haplotypes of the TAS2R38 gene for PROP

291   sensitivity (6, 7, 33, 34).

292   In addition we found that in women, but not in men, there was a switch in the origin of phase

293   III contractions from the stomach to the duodenum after administration of DB. This occurred

294   in parallel with a significant inhibition of hunger during phase III. The inhibitory effect of bitter

295   stimuli on antral motility and hunger has already been suggested in the beginning of the

296   previous century by *Carlson* (22). A dose of 1 μmol/kg DB was chosen since this dosage

297   significantly inhibited gastric accommodation without inducing adverse events (13). Also in

298   the present study no adverse events were reported by the volunteers. Two chronic toxicity

299   studies showed no significant changes in general behavior and appearance, ophthalmoscopy,

300   electrocardiograms, body weight, hematological and biochemical studies or urinalysis (35-37).

301   There is only one published case report of adverse reactions due to an allergic reaction after

302   exposure to DB (38). Oral administration of 10 ppm DB to children aged 17-36 months induced

303   a strong taste aversion, but no other effects were noted (1).

304   It needs to be mentioned that the increase in hunger during phase III was weaker in male in

305   comparison to female participants during placebo administration in the current study. One

306   factor contributing to this difference in hunger changes could be the lower occurrence of

307   gastric phase III contractions in male participants during the present study. Previous studies

308   did not report a difference in association of phase III contractions and hunger ratings between

309   men and women (20), but this aspect needs to be studied in more detail and in larger numbers

310   in future research.

311   Our study showed that only motilin plasma levels were decreased after administration of DB.

312   A significant positive association was observed between antral motility and plasma motilin,

313   but not ghrelin levels. This confirms our previous finding that motilin but not ghrelin is the key

314   regulator of the MMC in man (19). After administration of DB, this association between motilin

315   plasma levels and antral motility was reduced, but also the positive relationship between

316   motilin plasma levels and hunger scores, confirming our recently published observation that

317   motilin signals hunger (20).

318 It has already been described that taste receptors are expressed on enteroendocrine cells,

319 allowing them to modulate the release of several gastrointestinal hormones (11, 12, 39-41).

320 In mice, intragastric administration of DB (10 mM) significantly increased both total and

321 octanoylated ghrelin levels during the first 30 min after gavage (10). Our results in humans

322 differ as we showed no effect of intragastric DB on ghrelin plasma levels. Comparing the two

323 studies is difficult due to species and sex differences and also differences in the dosage. The

324 expression of bitter taste receptors on motilin-producing cells has not been reported but

325 needs to be addressed. In addition, a direct effect of DB on smooth muscle cell contractility

326 cannot be excluded since expression of bitter taste receptors has been shown on human

327 gastric smooth muscle cells. DB administration induced Ca^{2+} rises and increased extracellular

328 signal-regulated kinase (ERK) phosphorylation in human gastric smooth muscle cells (13).

329 Furthermore, bitter compounds induced concentration and region-dependent contractility

330 changes in mouse intestinal muscle strips (13).

331 However, the current study does not rule out that the findings related to the administration

332 of DB are mediated via a different pathway. *Rogachevskaja et. al.*(42) have shown that DB also

333 binds to the extracellular Ca^{2+}-sensing receptor (CASR). Moreover, this receptor is also

334 expressed in the GIT and has been linked to acid secretion and nutrient sensing (43). Further

335 studies are necessary to elucidate via which pathway DB exerts its effects.

336 Finally, our study also showed that intragastric administration of DB delayed the return of

337 hunger and prolonged the satiety feeling after a meal without affecting gastric emptying.

338 Intragastric administration of DB in mice was able to delay gastric emptying, but the dosage

339 used was 60 times higher (13). We already reported an effect of DB on satiation during an oral

340 nutrient challenge test and on gastric accommodation in healthy volunteers (13). The effect

341 of DB on both hunger and satiety could be due to a combined effect on the release of both

342 hunger and satiety hormones. In the present study, we have shown that motilin release is

343 diminished after DB administration which affects hunger scores. Another study performed by

344 *Kim et. al.*(11) showed that administration of DB in mice increased the secretion of GLP-1, a

345 gastrointestinal hormone known to decrease food intake. Moreover it has been reported that

346 intragastric administration of DB in mice activates neurons in the nucleus of the solitary tract

347 possibly via the release of CCK and PYY (44).

348 Few studies to date have evaluated the effect of bitter agonist administration on food intake

349 in human volunteers. Our results showed a trend that DB administration decreased caloric

350 intake. One study reported that intraduodenal administration of quinine (75 mg in 120 ml tap

351 water) did not alter food intake (45). However, another study reported reduced food intake

352 after intraduodenal administration of quinine (18 mg in an acid-resistant capsule) (23). These

353 differences in outcomes are probably due to differences in compounds, administration routes,

354 dosages and study design. The effect of these compounds on the release of anorexigenic and

355 orexigenic hormones deserves further evaluation in larger subject groups, outside the scope

356 of the present study, as this could lead to the development of new therapeutic approaches in

357 the treatment of obesity.

358 In summary, for the first time, we provide evidence that DB administered intragastrically is

359 able to decrease both antral motility and hunger during the fasting state. These effects are

360 probably caused by the inhibitory effect of DB on motilin release. Moreover, DB increased

361 satiety and decreased hunger ratings after a standardized meal. These results suggest that DB,

362 and potentially also other bitter tastants, could be investigated for their potential application

363 for the treatment of obesity.

364 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

REFERENCES

1.   Sibert JR, Frude N. Bittering agents in the prevention of accidental poisoning: children's reactions to denatonium benzoate (Bitrex). Arch Emerg Med 1991;8:1-7.

2.   Berning CK, Griffith JF, Wild JE. Research on the effectiveness of denatonium benzoate as a deterrent to liquid detergent ingestion by children. Fundam Appl Toxicol 1982;2:44-8.

3.   Final report of the safety assessment of Alcohol Denat., including SD Alcohol 3-A, SD Alcohol 30, SD Alcohol 39, SD Alcohol 39-B, SD Alcohol 39-C, SD Alcohol 40, SD Alcohol 40-B, and SD Alcohol 40-C, and the denaturants, Quassin, Brucine Sulfate/Brucine, and Denatonium Benzoate. Int J Toxicol 2008;27 Suppl 1:1-43.

4.   Chandrashekar J, Mueller KL, Hoon MA, Adler E, Feng L, Guo W, Zuker CS, Ryba NJ. T2Rs function as bitter taste receptors. Cell 2000;100:703-11.

5.   Shi P, Zhang J, Yang H, Zhang YP. Adaptive diversification of bitter taste receptor genes in Mammalian evolution. Mol Biol Evol 2003;20:805-14.

6.   Bartoshuk LM, Duffy VB, Miller IJ. PTC/PROP tasting: anatomy, psychophysics, and sex effects. Physiol Behav 1994;56:1165-71.

7.   Miller IJ, Jr., Reedy FE, Jr. Variations in human taste bud density and taste intensity perception. Physiol Behav 1990;47:1213-9.

8.   Garneau NL, Nuessle TM, Sloan MM, Santorico SA, Coughlin BC, Hayes JE. Crowdsourcing taste research: genetic and phenotypic predictors of bitter taste perception as a model. Front Integr Neurosci 2014;8:33.

9.   Schier LA, Davidson TL, Powley TL. Ongoing ingestive behavior is rapidly suppressed by a preabsorptive, intestinal "bitter taste" cue. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 2011;301:R1557-68.

10.   Janssen S, Laermans J, Verhulst PJ, Thijs T, Tack J, Depoortere I. Bitter taste receptors and alpha-gustducin regulate the secretion of ghrelin with functional effects on food intake and gastric emptying. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2011;108:2094-9.

11.   Kim KS, Egan JM, Jang HJ. Denatonium induces secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1 through activation of bitter taste receptor pathways. Diabetologia 2014;57:2117-25.

12.   Chen MC, Wu SV, Reeve JR, Jr., Rozengurt E. Bitter stimuli induce Ca2+ signaling and CCK release in enteroendocrine STC-1 cells: role of L-type voltage-sensitive Ca2+ channels. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2006;291:C726-39.

13.   Avau B, Rotondo A, Thijs T, Andrews CN, Janssen P, Tack J, Depoortere I. Targeting extra-oral bitter taste receptors modulates gastrointestinal motility with effects on satiation. Sci Rep 2015;5:15985.

14.   Szurszewski JH. A migrating electric complex of canine small intestine. Am J Physiol 1969;217:1757-63.

15.   Deloose E, Janssen P, Depoortere I, Tack J. The migrating motor complex: control mechanisms and its role in health and disease. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2012;9:271-85.

16.   Peeters TL, Vantrappen G, Janssens J. Fasting plasma motilin levels are related to the interdigestive motility complex. Gastroenterology 1980;79:716-9.

17.   Vantrappen G, Janssens J, Peeters TL, Bloom SR, Christofides ND, Hellemans J. Motilin and the interdigestive migrating motor complex in man. Dig Dis Sci 1979;24:497-500.

18.   Tack J, Depoortere I, Bisschops R, Delporte C, Coulie B, Meulemans A, Janssens J, Peeters T. Influence of ghrelin on interdigestive gastrointestinal motility in humans. Gut 2006;55:327-33.

19. Deloose E, Vos R, Corsetti M, Depoortere I, Tack J. Endogenous motilin, but not ghrelin plasma levels fluctuate in accordance with gastric phase III activity of the migrating motor complex in man. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2015;27:63-71.

20. Tack J, Deloose E, Ang D, Scarpellini E, Vanuytsel T, Van Oudenhove L, Depoortere I. Motilin-induced gastric contractions signal hunger in man. Gut 2016;65:214-224.

21. Deloose E, Vos R, Janssen P, Van den Bergh P, Van Oudenhove L, Depoortere I, Tack J. The motilin receptor agonist erythromycin stimulates hunger and food intake through a cholinergic pathway. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 2016;103:730-7.

22. Carlson A. The control of hunger in health and disease. Chicago: Univ. of Chicago Press., 1916.

23. Andreozzi P, Sarnelli G, Pesce M, Zito FP, Alessandro AD, Verlezza V, Palumbo I, Turco F, Esposito K, Cuomo R. The Bitter Taste Receptor Agonist Quinine Reduces Calorie Intake and Increases the Postprandial Release of Cholecystokinin in Healthy Subjects. J Neurogastroenterol Motil 2015;21:511-9.

24. Horne J, Lawless HT, Speirs W, Sposato D. Bitter taste of saccharin and acesulfame-K. Chem Senses 2002;27:31-8.

25. Landis BN, Welge-Luessen A, Bramerson A, Bende M, Mueller CA, Nordin S, Hummel T. "Taste Strips" - a rapid, lateralized, gustatory bedside identification test based on impregnated filter papers. J Neurol 2009;256:242-8.

26. Hill AJ, Blundell JE. Nutrients and behaviour: research strategies for the investigation of taste characteristics, food preferences, hunger sensations and eating patterns in man. J Psychiatr Res 1982;17:203-12.

27. Dooley CP, Di Lorenzo C, Valenzuela JE. Variability of migrating motor complex in humans. Dig Dis Sci 1992;37:723-8.

28. Code CF, Marlett JA. The interdigestive myo-electric complex of the stomach and small bowel of dogs. J Physiol 1975;246:289-309.

29. Gorard DA, Libby GW, Farthing MJ. 5-Hydroxytryptamine and human small intestinal motility: effect of inhibiting 5-hydroxytryptamine reuptake. Gut 1994;35:496-500.

30. WHO. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO Consultation. WHO Technical Report Series 2000;894.

31. Meyerhof W, Batram C, Kuhn C, Brockhoff A, Chudoba E, Bufe B, Appendino G, Behrens M. The molecular receptive ranges of human TAS2R bitter taste receptors. Chem Senses 2010;35:157-70.

32. Wiener A, Shudler M, Levit A, Niv MY. BitterDB: a database of bitter compounds. Nucleic Acids Res 2012;40:D413-9.

33. Duffy VB, Davidson AC, Kidd JR, Kidd KK, Speed WC, Pakstis AJ, Reed DR, Snyder DJ, Bartoshuk LM. Bitter receptor gene (TAS2R38), 6-n-propylthiouracil (PROP) bitterness and alcohol intake. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2004;28:1629-37.

34. Mennella JA, Pepino MY, Duke FF, Reed DR. Psychophysical dissection of genotype effects on human bitter perception. Chem Senses 2011;36:161-7.

35. InternationalResearchAndDevelopmentCorporation. Contract for testing the acute and chronic toxicity of denatonium benzoate, an acerbic ingredient proposed for use in bad-tasting paint to prevent paint pica. One year oral toxicity study in monkeys. Report Number 3E. NTIS Report 1977;No. PB81-139362.

36. InternationalResearchAndDevelopmentCorporation. Contract for testing the acute and chronic toxicity of denatonium benzoate, an acerbic ingredient proposed for use in bad-tasting paint to prevent paint pica. Two-year oral toxicity study in rats. Report number 2E. NTIS Report 1977;Report No. PB81-139347.

37. InternationalResearchAndDevelopmentCorporation. Contract for testing the acute and chronic toxicity of denatonium benzoate, an acerbic ingredient proposed for use in bad-tasting paint to prevent paint pica. Two year oral toxicity study in rats. Report Number 2F. NTIS Report 1978;No. PB81-139354.

38. Bjorkner B. Contact urticaria and asthma from denatonium benzoate (Bitrex). Contact Dermatitis 1980;6:466-71.

39. Jang HJ, Kokrashvili Z, Theodorakis MJ, Carlson OD, Kim BJ, Zhou J, Kim HH, Xu X, Chan SL, Juhaszova M, et al. Gut-expressed gustducin and taste receptors regulate secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104:15069-74.

40. Hass N, Schwarzenbacher K, Breer H. T1R3 is expressed in brush cells and ghrelin-producing cells of murine stomach. Cell Tissue Res 2010;339:493-504.

41. Wu SV, Rozengurt N, Yang M, Young SH, Sinnett-Smith J, Rozengurt E. Expression of bitter taste receptors of the T2R family in the gastrointestinal tract and enteroendocrine STC-1 cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002;99:2392-7.

42. Rogachevskaja OA, Churbanov GD, Bystrova MF, Romanov RA, Kolesnikov SS. Stimulation of the extracellular Ca(2)(+)-sensing receptor by denatonium. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2011;416:433-6.

43. Geibel JP, Hebert SC. The functions and roles of the extracellular Ca2+-sensing receptor along the gastrointestinal tract. Annu Rev Physiol 2009;71:205-17.

44. Hao S, Sternini C, Raybould HE. Role of CCK1 and Y2 receptors in activation of hindbrain neurons induced by intragastric administration of bitter taste receptor ligands. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 2008;294:R33-8.

45.   van Avesaat M, Troost FJ, Ripken D, Peters J, Hendriks HF, Masclee AA. Intraduodenal

infusion of a combination of tastants decreases food intake in humans. Am J Clin Nutr

2015;102:729-35.

TABLES

<u>**Table 1. Comparison of BMI and age between male and female participants**</u>

	Men	Women	p-value
BMI_study 1 (kg/m²)	24 [21, 26] (n=26)	22 [20, 24] (n=39)	0.02
Age_study 1 (years)	25 [23, 33] (n=26)	26 [23, 32] (n=39)	0.9
BMI_study 2 (kg/m²)	25±2 (n=10)	22±1 (n=10)	0.003
Age_study 2 (years)	23 [22, 26] (n=10)	25 [22, 35] (n=10)	0.6

Data are represented as mean±SEM or median [Q1, Q3]. BMI and age were compared between sexes using two-tailed unpaired Student's t-test or Mann Whitney U test depending on the distribution.

Table 2. The effect of hormone plasma levels on antral motility (n=12)

	Motilin	Total ghrelin	Octanoylated ghrelin
Main effect hormone	0.0003	0.9	0.9
Main effect administration	0.02	0.8	0.2
Main effect time	0.1	0.1	0.1
Hormone by administration	0.01	0.7	0.2

Data were analyzed using mixed model analysis. Antral motility was the dependent variable. The hormone of interest (motilin, total or octanoylated ghrelin), administration, time and an interaction effect between hormone and administration were the independent variables. The table depicts the calculated p-values.

Table 3. The interaction effect between motilin and intragastric administration on antral motility and hunger

	Placebo (n=12)	DB (n=12)
Antral motility	238±46	45±77*
Hunger	6.22±1.2	1.33±2.0*

Values represent betas, which are the slopes of the regression curves. *: p<0.05 vs placebo.

Bèta values are given as mean±SEM.

Table 4. The effect of hormone plasma levels on hunger scores (n=12)

	Motilin	Total ghrelin	Octanoylated ghrelin
Main effect hormone	0.0002	0.06	0.9
Main effect administration	0.02	0.3	0.3
Main effect time	0.5	0.6	0.3
Hormone by administration	0.02	0.3	0.4

Data were analyzed using mixed model analysis. Hunger was the dependent variable. The hormone of interest (motilin, total or octanoylated ghrelin), administration, time and an interaction effect between hormone and administration were the independent variables. The table depicts the calculated p-values.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Schematic overview of the protocol outline. All protocols were single-blinded randomized placebo-controlled trials. Either placebo (water) or DB (1 μmol/kg bodyweight) were administered intragastrically using a nasogastric feeding tube. Antroduodenal motility was measured continuously during the course of both study 2 and 3 with high-resolution manometry. Hunger and satiety ratings were scored on 10cm visual analog scales with endpoints. Blood samples were collected via an i.v. catheter and analyzed using hormone-specific RIAs to measure motilin and ghrelin (total and octanoylated) plasma levels. Pancakes were labeled with sodium ^{13}C-octanoate to assess gastric half-emptying time.

Figure 2. Bitter lingual sensitivity in male and female participants. These data are part of study protocol 1. 6 different concentrations of DB were scored for their bitterness using taste strips. Concentrations were presented in ascending order and placed on the tongue for 90 sec. Participants rinsed their mouth between consecutive administrations. Bitter taste perception was scored on a 10-cm visual analog scale. The data was analyzed using mixed-model analysis, with bitter scores as the dependent variable and sex, concentration (repeated statement), BMI and an interaction effect between sex and concentration as the independent variables. Both DB concentration (p<0.0001) and sex (p=0.005) had a significant effect on bitter taste perception. There was no significant effect of BMI (p=0.06) or a significant interaction effect between sex and DB concentration (p=0.1). Data are represented as means and SEMs.

Figure 3. Origin of phase III and hunger scores after intragastric administration of DB (1 μmol/kg). These data are part of study protocol 2. Percentage of phase III with gastric and duodenal origin after intragastric administration of placebo or 1 μmol/kg DB in (A) female and (B) male participants. McNemar's test was used to compare the origin between both conditions (*: p<0.05). Percentage change in hunger scores during phase III for (C) female and (D) male participants. Percentage change of hunger was calculated with 10 min prior to intragastric administration as the reference point. The data was analyzed with mixed-model analysis. Percentage change of hunger scores was the dependent variable and time, drug and an interaction effect between drug and condition were the independent variables. Both drug and time were entered as repeated categorical variables. In females the percentage change of hunger scores differed significantly between placebo and DB administration (p=0.04), there was no significant time (p=07) or interaction effect (p=0.9). In men there was no significant effect of condition (p=0.3) or a significant interaction effect (p=0.3), but there was a significant time effect (p=0.04). Data (C and D) are represented as means and SEMs.

Figure 4. The effect of intragastric administration of DB on motilin and ghrelin plasma levels.

These data are part of study protocol 3. Percentage change of (A) motilin, (B) total and (C) octanoylated ghrelin plasma levels after intragastric administration of placebo or 1 μmol/kg DB. Time point 0 indicates the start of the intragastric administration. Percentage change of the hormone levels was calculated with 10 min prior to intragastric administration as the reference point. *: $p<0.05$. The data was analyzed with mixed-model analysis. Change in plasma concentration of the hormone of interest was the dependent variable and time, drug and an interaction effect between drug and condition were the independent variables. Both drug and time were entered as repeated categorical variables. Change in motilin plasma levels differed significantly between placebo and DB administration (p=0.04) there was no significant time (p=0.3) or interaction effect (p=0.07). There was no significant main effect of drug administration (p=0.3) or time (p=0.2) and no significant interaction effect (p=0.3) for total ghrelin plasma levels. There was no significant main effect of drug administration (p=0.5) or time (p=0.5) and no significant interaction effect (p=0.2) for octanoylated ghrelin plasma levels. Data are represented as means and SEMs.

Figure 5. The effect of intragastric administration of DB on hunger and satiety scores after a meal. These data are part of study protocol 4. (A) Hunger and (B) satiety scores after placebo or 1 μmol/kg DB intragastric administration. Compounds were administered 30 min before the start of the meal (500 kcal). Time point 0 indicates start of meal intake. *: p<0.05 Data was analyzed with mixed-model analysis. Hunger or satiety scores were the dependent variables and time, drug and an interaction effect between drug and condition were the independent variables. Both drug and time were entered as repeated categorical variables. Both hunger and satiety scores were significantly affected by time (both $p<0.0001$) and drug administration ($p=0.008$ and $p=0.01$ respectively). There was no significant interaction effect between time and drug administration for hunger ($p=0.07$) and satiety ($p=0.4$) scores. Data are represented as means and SEMs.

Figure 6. Food intake after intragastric administration of DB. These data are part of study protocol 5. Caloric intake was calculated in kcal. Total caloric value of the excess-choice buffet meal was 4211 kcal. DB (1 µmol/kg) or placebo were given intragastrically 40 min prior to the start of the buffet meal. Subjects had 1 hour to eat ad libitum. Caloric intake between placebo and DB administration was compared using a one-tailed paired Student's t-test (p=0.08).