Letter to the editor 21/2221 - reply

Response to 'Interventions for basal cell carcinoma: abridged Cochrane systematic review and GRADE assessments': reply from the authors

J. Thomson¹, S. Hogan¹, J. Leonardi-Bee², H.C. Williams³ and F.J. Bath-Hextall⁴

¹Department of Dermatology, Royal London Hospital, Barts Health NHS Trust, London, UK

²Centre for Evidence Based Healthcare, Division of Epidemiology and Public Health, Clinical Sciences Building Phase 2, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK ³Centre of Evidence Based Dermatology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK ⁴Emeritus Professor, Evidence Based Healthcare, University of Nottingham, Nottingham,

Dear Editor,

We thank Mazzoni and Muir¹ for their interest in our article² and for highlighting the omission of curettage and cautery (C&C) from the abridged version of our Cochrane systematic review³ published in the BJD. As we stated in the published article, we presented data on the effects of interventions for only seven out of 52 comparisons due to limitations on word count.

The seven comparisons we selected for the review were Mohs micrographic surgery vs. surgical excision, imiquimod vs. surgical excision, radiotherapy vs. surgical excision, methyl aminolaevulinate photodynamic therapy (MAL-PDT) vs. surgical excision, aminolaevulinic acid PDT vs. surgical excision, imiquimod vs. MAL-PDT, and imiquimod vs. 5-fluorouracil.

As described in the article, these were selected based on the results from an electronic survey sent to clinicians who were asked for their views on what they felt were the most important comparisons to patients and clinicians. We apologize if it was not made clear enough in our abridged version that we only presented a proportion of the total comparisons included in the Cochrane review.

For your information, one small randomized controlled trial with 2 years of follow-up comparing C&C against surgical excision in 55 participants with 69 basal cell carcinomas on the face did meet our Cochrane review's inclusion criteria. Unfortunately we rated the certainty of evidence as very low so were uncertain whether the risk of recurrence was improved or worsened with C&C compared with surgical excision. In our Cochrane review's conclusions, we highlighted the paucity of evidence on C&C, and given how commonly used this technique is, we stressed the need for further randomized controlled trials with long-term follow-up assessing this treatment modality.

References

- 1. Mazzoni D, Muir J. Response to 'Interventions for basal cell carcinoma: abridged Cochrane systematic review and GRADE assessments'.Br J Dermatol 2022; in press.
- 2. Thomson J, Hogan S, Leonardi-Bee Jet al. Interventions for basal cell carcinoma: abridged Cochrane systematic review and GRADE assessments. Br J Dermatol 2021;185: 499–511.
- 3. Thomson J, Hogan S, Leonardi-Bee Jet al. Interventions for basal cell carcinoma of the skin. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2020; 11:CD003412.
- 4. Salmanpoor R, Motevalli D, Saki Net al. Efficacy of excisional surgery, curettage and combined curettage and electrodesiccation in treatment of basal cell carcinoma. Iran J Dermatol 2012; 15:66–7.

Funding sources: This project was supported by the National Institute for Health Research, via Cochrane Infrastructure funding to the Cochrane Skin Group.

Conflicts of interest: H.C.W. and F.J.B.-H. were coinvestigators in the SINS trial, which compared topical imiquimod vs. surgery and is a trial that was included in their view. They were not involved in extracting data from the trial or commenting on the evidence from this trial.

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Thomson J, Hogan S, Leonardi-Bee J, Williams H, Bath-Hextall F. Response to 'Interventions for basal cell carcinoma: abridged Cochrane systematic review and GRADE assessments': reply from the authors. British Journal of Dermatology 2021; Accepted Author Manuscript, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/bjd.20950

This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions. This article may not be enhanced, enriched or otherwise transformed into a derivative work, without express permission from Wiley or by statutory rights under applicable legislation. Copyright notices must not be removed, obscured or modified. The article must be linked to Wiley's version of record on Wiley Online Library and any embedding, framing or otherwise making available the article or pages thereof by third parties from platforms, services and websites other than Wiley Online Library must be prohibited.