1   **Impact of a quadrivalent meningococcal ACWY glycoconjugate or a serogroup B**

2   **meningococcal vaccine on meningococcal carriage: an observer-blind, phase 3**

3   **randomised clinical trial**

4   **Robert C. Read, MD[1], David Baxter, PhD[2], David R. Chadwick, PhD[3], Saul N. Faust, FRCPCH[1,4],**

5   **Adam Finn, PhD[5], Stephen B. Gordon, MD[6], Paul T. Heath, FRCPCH[7], David J.M Lewis, MD[8],**

6   **Andrew J. Pollard, PhD[9], David P.J. Turner, PhD[10], Rohit Bazaz, MD[11], Amitava Ganguli,**

7   **MRCP[6], Tom Havelock, MRCP[1,4], Keith R. Neal, MD[10], Ifeanyichukwu O. Okike, MD[7], Begonia**

8   **Morales-Aza, BSc[5], Kamlesh Patel, Bsc[12], Matthew D. Snape, MD[9], John Williams, MRCP[3],**

9   **Stefanie Gilchrist, MSc[13], Steve J. Gray, PhD[2], Martin C.J. Maiden, PhD[14], Daniela Toneatto,**

10   **MD[15], Huajun Wang, MSc[15], Maggie McCarthy, MPH[15], Peter M. Dull, MD[15], Ray Borrow,**

11   **PhD[2,13]**

12   1. Academic Unit of Clinical Experimental Sciences and NIHR Southampton Respiratory

13   Biomedical Research Unit, Faculty of Medicine and Institute for Life Sciences, University of

14   Southampton, Southampton, UK; 2.University of Manchester, Manchester, UK; 3.The James

15   Cook University Hospital, Middlesborough, UK; 4. Southampton NIHR Wellcome Trust Clinical

16   Research Facility, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton, UK; 5.

17   Schools of Clinical Science and Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol,

18   UK; 6. Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK; 7. St. George's, University of

19   London, London, UK; 8. University of Surrey, Guildford, UK; 9. Oxford Vaccine Group,

20   Department of Paediatrics, University of Oxford and the NIHR Oxford Biomedical Centre,

21   Oxford, UK; 10. University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK; 11. Department of Infection and

Immunity, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK; 12. NIHR Wellcome Trust Clinical Research

Facility, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester, UK; 13. Public Health England, Manchester

Royal Infirmary, Manchester, UK; 14. Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK;

15. Novartis Vaccines and Diagnostics, Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA

Corresponding author:

Robert C. Read, MD

University of Southampton

University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust

Mailpoint 814

South Academic Block

Tremona Road

Southampton

SO16 6YD

R.C.Read@soton.ac.uk

Telephone: 00442381204575

1   **Abstract**

2   Background: Meningococcal conjugate vaccines protect individuals directly, but may also confer

3   herd protection by interrupting carriage transmission. This Phase III observer-blind,

4   randomised, controlled study evaluated the effects of meningococcal quadrivalent

5   glycoconjugate (MenACWY-CRM) or serogroup B (4CMenB) vaccination on meningococcal

6   carriage rates in 18-24 year-old adults.

7   Methods: University students from ten sites in England were randomised (1:1:1, block size of

8   three) to receive two doses one month apart of Japanese Encephalitis vaccine (controls),

9   4CMenB, or one dose of MenACWY-CRM then placebo. Participants and outcome-assessors

10   were blinded to treatment arm. Meningococci were isolated from oropharyngeal swabs

11   collected before vaccination and at five scheduled intervals over one year. Primary analyses

12   were cross-sectional carriage one month after each vaccine course; secondary analyses

13   included comparisons of carriage at any time point after primary analysis until study

14   termination. Reactogenicity and adverse events were monitored throughout the study.

15   Findings: 2954 subjects were randomised (control, n=987; 4CMenB, n=979; MenACWY-CRM,

16   n=988); approximately one-third of each group was positive for meningococcal carriage at

17   study entry. By one month, there was no significant difference in carriage between controls and

18   4CMenB (Odds Ratios (OR), 1·2 [95%CI: 0·8–1·7]) or MenACWY-CRM (OR, 0·9 [95%CI: 0·6–1·3])

19   groups. From three months after dose two, 4CMenB vaccination resulted in significantly lower

20   carriage of any meningococcal strain (18·2% [95%CI: 3·4–30·8] carriage reduction) and capsular

21   groups BCWY (26·6% [95%CI: 10·5–39·9] carriage reduction) compared to control vaccination.

Significantly lower carriage rates were also observed in the MenACWY-CRM group compared with controls: 39·0% [95%CI: 17·3-55·0] and 36·2% [95%CI: 15·6-51·7] carriage reduction for serogroups Y and CWY, respectively. Study vaccines were generally well tolerated, with elevated rates of transient local injection pain, and myalgia, in the 4CMenB group. No safety concerns were identified.

Interpretation: MenACWY-CRM and 4CMenB vaccines reduced meningococcal carriage rates over 12 months post-vaccination and, therefore, may affect transmission where widely implemented. [ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT01214850]

1   Introduction

2   It is estimated that there are 0·5–1·2 million episodes of meningococcal disease every year

3   causing 50,000–135,000 deaths worldwide.[1,2] *Neisseria meningitidis* colonises the nasopharynx

4   and is transmitted via large-droplet spread. Asymptomatic carriage is highest among

5   adolescents, associated with social behaviours such as bedroom-sharing, smoking, kissing, and

6   attending bars and clubs.[3–6] The most important disease-associated serogroups are A, B, C, W,

7   and Y, with X being a recent concern in Africa.[7] Post-implementation analyses of population-

8   scale vaccination programmes reveal that meningococcal serogroup C conjugate (MCC)

9   vaccines provide benefit not only by direct protection of vaccinated individuals, but more

10   importantly also through the interruption of transmission (i.e., herd protection),[8–10] a factor

11   that enhances public health impact and cost-effectiveness.[11]

12   In addition to quadrivalent glycoconjugate vaccines against serogroups A, C, W, and Y such as

13   MenACWY-CRM (Menveo®, NVS, Siena, Italy), a multi-component serogroup B meningococcal

14   vaccine, 4CMenB (Bexsero®, NVS, Siena, Italy) has recently been licensed in the European

15   Union, Australia and Canada. 4CMenB contains Neisseria Heparin Binding Antigen (subvariant

16   1.2) fused with accessory protein 953, Neisserial adhesin A (subvariant 3.1), factor H binding

17   protein (subvariant 1.1) fused with accessory protein 936, and the outer membrane vesicle

18   (OMV) from *N meningitidis* strain NZ98/254 (OMV NZ) derived from the MeNZB vaccine.[12,13]

19   We report the findings from a study conducted to evaluate the effect of 4CMenB and

20   MenACWY-CRM vaccination on meningococcal carriage rates in university students in England.

1   **Methods**

2   This was a randomised, observer-blind, controlled Phase III study at ten study centres in

3   England, conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki (1996) and

4   the International Conference on Harmonization Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice. The

5   National Research Ethics Service approved the study protocol. All participants gave informed

6   consent. This trial is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT01214850.

7   *Study population*

8   Eligible participants were healthy males and females attending university, aged 18–24 years,

9   who were available for all scheduled visits with no history of serogroup B meningococcal

10   vaccination, meningococcal disease or contact with it, significant infection of any nature within

11   the previous seven days, or use of antibiotics within 72 hours of enrolment. Pregnant women,

12   nursing mothers, females of childbearing age who did not use acceptable birth control, people

13   with chronic disease, impairment/alteration of the immune system (including

14   immunosuppressive therapy), or history of severe allergic reactions after vaccinations were

15   excluded.

16   *Interventions*

17   Subjects were randomised to three arms – controls, 4CMenB and MenACWY-CRM groups. The

18   control group received two doses of Japanese encephalitis vaccine (IXIARO® [Intercell, Vienna,

19   Austria]). The 4CMenB group received two doses of recombinant MenB vaccine (Bexsero®;

NVS). The MenACWY-CRM group received one dose of MenACWY-CRM vaccine (Menveo®;
NVS) then a dose of placebo (containing 1·5 mg aluminium hydroxide) one month later.

Subjects were observed for 30 minutes after each vaccination for any immediate reactions.
Subjects recorded adverse events (AEs) and serious AEs (SAEs) via a paper diary throughout the
study. All AEs and SAEs were judged by an investigator as not related, possibly related, or
probably related to study vaccination. A subset of subjects in each group recorded solicited
local and systemic reactions, as well as other indicators of reactogenicity (i.e. use of analgesic or
antipyretic), via a separate paper diary for a seven day period following each vaccination.

From study Day 1 to study termination there were six clinic visits over 12 months. All subjects
had oropharyngeal swabs collected at every visit. *N meningitidis*-positive samples were
identified by culture and biochemical confirmation. Isolates were further characterised by
genogroups, serogroups and sequence types. Isolates were serogrouped and reported here as
combined or individual serogroups. All non-serogroupable meningococci were then assessed by
PCR[14] and reported here as combined or individual capsular (caps) groups. CapsB isolates were
classified into sequence types (STs) by multilocus sequence typing and reported here as strains
that belong to STs associated with invasive disease in the UK, or all STs. Strains that were
'disease associated' were pre-defined in the analysis plan as those with matching ST or clonal
complex to those found to cause invasive disease in the UK between 2006 and 2010.

1   *Outcomes*

2   The primary outcomes were carriage prevalence of (1) capsB strains belonging to STs associated

3   with invasive disease one month after the two-dose series of either 4CMenB or control

4   vaccination, and (2) serogroup ACWY strains at one month after a single dose of MenACWY-

5   CRM or control vaccination.

6   Predefined secondary outcomes included: assessment of vaccine impact on carried

7   meningococcal strains, including all capsular group ABCWY (capsABCWY) strains and all capsB

8   strains; assessment of vaccine impact across multiple aggregate timepoints and impact on new

9   acquisition of meningococcal strains; and assessment of vaccine impact on carriage in students

10   with known risk factors for high transmission – younger subjects, smokers, and subjects

11   enrolling early in the university year. A group-unblinded interim analysis was performed after

12   all subjects had completed their third study visit.

13   The study required 850 evaluable subjects/arm (assuming 15% drop-out rate) and a carriage

14   prevalence of ≥20% for each of the primary outcomes to have sufficient power (89% each

15   outcome) to detect a 30% relative difference in carriage prevalence. Calculations were

16   performed with NQuery version 6·01 (two group continuity corrected chi-square test, two-sided

17   alpha=0·05).

18   Safety assessments included the incidence of selected indicators of reactogenicity reported

19   within a seven-day period following each vaccination, as well as the incidence of unsolicited AEs

20   and SAEs reported throughout the study period.

Randomisation and masking

Subjects were randomised in a 1:1:1 ratio into three arms using a validated computer-generated random allocation list provided by NVS. Fourteen enrolled subjects were not randomised to any of the arms due to protocol deviations; these subjects did not receive any study vaccinations. To maintain study masking, subjects and investigative site personnel were blinded to group assignment until the conclusion of the study. Study vaccines were prepared and administered by unblinded personnel who did not participate in any outcome assessments; study vaccines were prepared out of the view of subjects and those persons assessing outcomes. Unblinding of subjects following completion of visit five was permitted for those individuals who required immunizations for travel to JE- or MenACWY-endemic areas.

Statistical methods

Analysis of pharyngeal carriage was performed on the modified intention-to-treat (MITT) population, which included all enrolled subjects who received a study vaccination and provided at least one evaluable swab sample after baseline. The percentage of subjects with *N meningitidis* carriage and the associated 95% Clopper-Pearson confidence intervals (CIs) were tabulated by vaccine group and timepoint. Differences between vaccine and control groups were analysed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test, where appropriate. The percentage of subjects with new acquisition of *N meningitidis* carriage was calculated with two-sided 95% CI for each vaccine group and at each timepoint following vaccination. New acquisition of *N meningitidis* carriage was defined as the detection of an *N meningitidis* isolate that was undetected at baseline, once the subject received at least one dose of vaccine. The

1   odds ratios (ORs) of carriage at each visit were analysed by logistic regression, incorporating

2   carriage status at baseline as a covariate for any post-baseline visit analyses; ORs, as measures

3   of relative rates in the vaccine groups compared to the control group were calculated and the

4   associated two-sided 95% CIs computed. The ORs of carriage for aggregate post-baseline visits

5   were analysed using a generalised estimating equation (GEE) including baseline carriage status

6   and significant risk factors as covariates. ORs and the associated two-sided 95% CIs were

7   computed. Carriage reduction was calculated for the comparisons as (1 − OR) multiplied by 100.

8   The duration of carriage/new acquisition was calculated by least square means (LSM) returned

9   from a general linear model assuming normal-scale distribution of data; between group

10   differences were adjusted by centre and group.

11   ***Role of the funding source***

12   This investigator-initiated trial was funded, administered, and monitored by

13   employees/representatives of NVS. The chief investigators drafted and then finalised the

14   manuscript with editorial assistance funded by NVS. All authors reviewed and approved the

15   final version. RCR, PMD, and R Borrow had full access to study data and hold final responsibility

16   for publication submission.

17

1 **Results**

2 Overall, 2968 subjects were enrolled (21 September to 21 December 2010) and 2954 were

3 randomised (figure 1). The demographic variables and baseline *N meningitidis* carriage rates

4 were balanced across the treatment groups (table 1). No serogroup A *N meningitidis* was

5 detected in any individual. Over 99% of the randomised subjects were included in the MITT

6 analysis.

7 ***Control group characteristics***

8 At study entry, 31% (303/986) of control subjects were nasopharyngeal carriers of *N*

9 *meningitidis* (table 1). Among control subjects with swabs from all six study visits who were

10 negative at baseline, monthly acquisition of *N meningitidis* was 18% (112/627) during the first

11 month, declining to 2% (14/361 over 6 months) at month 12. (figure 2; supplementary table 1).

12 Acquisition of any capsulated meningococci (capsBCWY) occurred in 10% (69/663) of non-

13 carriers during the first month with lower rates thereafter. Acquisition was lowest for capsB,

14 with 4% (26/715) acquiring within the first month of enrolment and low rates over subsequent

15 visits (figure 2).

16 The mean duration of any meningococcal carriage in the control group was 202 (95% CI: 185–

17 219) LSM days for all *N meningitidis,* 147 (95%CI: 113–182) LSM days for capsB, and 113 (95%

18 CI: 87–138) LSM days for serogroups CWY (supplementary table 3).

1   *Impact of 4CMenB vaccination*

2   <u>Overall carriage prevalence</u>

3   One month following the second vaccination (primary endpoint) there was no significant

4   difference between the 4CMenB and control groups in the prevalence of carriage of disease-

5   associated STs of capsB (OR [95% CI], 1·2 [0·8–1·7]) (table 2). Considering all sampling points

6   together from three months after second vaccination, there was no significant difference

7   between the 4CMenB and control groups in carriage prevalence for all capsB (OR [95% CI]; 0·8

8   [0·6–1·1]) or disease-associated STs of capsB (OR [95% CI]; 0·9 [0·7–1·2]) (table 3). Significantly

9   lower carriage prevalence was, however, observed for 4CMenB versus control group at any

10   timepoint from three months after the second vaccination (visits 4–6) for all *N meningitidis*,

11   capsBCWY, capsCWY, and serogroup CWY (table 3).

12   <u>Acquisition, loss and duration of carriage in 4CMenB vaccinees</u>

13   For the aggregate timepoint (visits 4–6), there was a non-significant trend toward lower

14   acquisition of any *N meningitidis*, capsBCWY, and capsB in 4CMenB-vaccinated individuals,

15   resulting in carriage reductions of 22.3% [95% CI: -5·2– 42·6%], 15·9% [95% CI: -17·3– 39·8%],

16   and 28·6% (95% CI: -12–54·4%), respectively (table 4). Acquisition at individual timepoints is

17   shown in supplementary table 1. There was no observed impact on the proportion of subjects

18   losing carriage of all *N meningitidis*, capsBCWY, or capsB at any timepoint from three months

19   after second vaccination (data not shown).

1   Duration of carriage of any *N meningitidis* strains was comparable in 4CMenB and control

2   groups (193 [95% CI: 176–210] and 202 [95% CI: 185–219] LSM days, respectively) but the

3   4CMenB group showed a trend towards lower duration of carriage of capsBCWY (143 [95% CI:

4   122–164] LSM days versus 162 [95% CI: 141–183] LSM days in control group), and all capsB (127

5   [95% CI: 92–162] LSM days versus 146 [95% CI: 112–180] LSM days in control group) than the

6   control group (supplementary table 3).

7   <u>High risk groups</u>

8   When assessed at any timepoint from three months after the second vaccination, there were

9   significantly lower carriage rates of all *N meningitidis* strains in the 4CMenB group when they

10   had received their first vaccination <30 days after the start of the university term (OR [95% CI];

11   0·7 [0·5–0·9]), if they were smokers (OR [95% CI]; 0·7 [0·5–1·0]) and if they were aged <21 years

12   (OR [95% CI]; 0·8 [0·6–0·9]), (supplementary table 4). Similar findings were observed for

13   capsBCWY (supplementary table 4). No significant difference in carriage rates was observed for

14   these high-risk groups for capsB, including disease-associated STs.

15   ***Impact of MenACWY-CRM vaccination***

16   <u>Overall carriage prevalence</u>

17   At one month following vaccination there was no significant difference between the

18   MenACWY-CRM and control groups in the prevalence of carriage of serogroups CWY

19   (combined) (OR [95% CI]; 0·9 [0·6–1·3]) (table 2). Taking all timepoints together after two

20   months, significantly lower carriage rates were observed in the MenACWY-CRM group

1   compared with controls for all capsular and serogroups evaluated (table 5). The carriage

2   reductions ranged from 36·2% (95% CI: 15·6-51·7) for serogroups CWY to 39% (95% CI: 17·3–

3   55·0) for serogroup Y (table 5).

4   <u>Acquisition, loss and duration of carriage</u>

5   From two months after one dose of MenACWY-CRM, there was a trend but no statistically

6   significant differences towards lower acquisition rates for serogroups CWY and serogroup Y in

7   the MenACWY-CRM group than the control group (14·8% [95% CI: -22·7–40·9%] and 23% [95%

8   CI: -14·6–48·3%] carriage reduction, respectively) (table 6). Notably, the MenACWY-CRM group

9   had a significantly lower acquisition rate of serogroup Y than the control group (66·7% carriage

10 reduction) at two months after one dose of MenACWY-CRM (data not shown). Acquisition at

11 individual timepoints is shown in supplementary table 2.

12 A trend towards lower duration of carriage was observed in the MenACWY-CRM vaccination

13 group compared with control for serogroups CWY (vaccination: 88 [95% CI: 62–114] LSM days;

14 control: 113 [95% CI: 87–138] LSM days) and serogroup Y (vaccination: 86 [95% CI: 59–114] LSM

15 days; control: 117 [95% CI: 91–144] LSM days; supplementary table 5). Similarly, the

16 MenACWY-CRM vaccinated group showed a trend to lower duration of carriage compared with

17 the control group for newly acquired serogroups CWY (vaccination: 90 [95% CI: 57–123] LSM

18 days; control: 120 [95% CI: 89–151] LSM days) and serogroup Y (vaccination: 87 [95% CI: 52–

19 122] LSM days; control: 120 [95% CI: 87–153] LSM days; supplementary table 5) strains.

1 <u>High risk groups</u>

2 In subjects at higher risk of carriage, the MenACWY group showed significantly lower carriage

3 rates of serogroups CWY and serogroup Y among early vaccinated subjects (OR [95% CI]; 0·5

4 [0·3–0·8] for serogroups CWY; OR [95% CI]; 0·6 [0·4–1·0] for serogroup Y) and subjects aged <21

5 years (OR [95% CI]; 0·7 [0·5–1·0] for serogroups CWY; OR [95% CI]; 0·7 [0·5–1·0] for serogroup

6 Y; supplementary table 6). No significant difference was observed among smokers.

7 **Reactogenicity and safety**

8 Of 2968 subjects enrolled in the study, 2943 (99%) were exposed to at least one study

9 vaccination and contributed to the safety analyses. Solicited local and systemic reactions

10 occurring within the seven-day period following each vaccination were analysed in a subset of

11 subjects in each group (4CMenB, n=185 (19%); MenACWY-CRM: n=176 (18%); Control: n=182

12 (18%)). Rates of solicited local reactions after any vaccination were generally higher in the

13 4CMenB group, with overall lower reports of reactogenicity following the second vaccination as

14 compared to the first vaccination within this group (supplementary table 7). Rates of solicited

15 systemic reactions after any vaccination were similar across groups, with exception to myalgia,

16 which was reported at a higher rate in the 4CMenB group. Across groups, the most commonly

17 reported local and systemic reactions were injection site pain and myalgia, respectively.

18 Overall, there was a low incidence of severe reactions across all groups.

19 The incidence of unsolicited AEs after any vaccination in the overall safety set were similar

20 across groups (35-40%), with a majority of these AEs assessed as unrelated study vaccination

1   (supplementary table 8). For the study duration, the most commonly reported unsolicited AEs

2   across groups were tonsillitis (3%) and urinary tract infection (2-3%). Across vaccine groups, a

3   similar rate (2-3%) of subjects reported SAEs, with three subjects in the 4CMenB group

4   reporting SAEs that were judged as at least possibly related to the study vaccine (dyspnoea,

5   hand tremors, and acute thyroiditis occurring 2, 18, and 18 days post-vaccination, respectively).

6   Premature withdrawals due to AEs were reported by 1% of subjects across vaccine groups.

7   **Discussion**

8   Over the one year period of follow-up, the MenACWY-CRM and 4CMenB vaccines significantly

9   reduced carriage of *N meningitidis*. MenACWY-CRM impacted on carriage of vaccine serogroups

10   whilst 4CMenB had a broad effect. The latter impact is not surprising, as although 4CMenB is

11   characterized as a "MenB vaccine," antigens contained in the vaccine are present in, and able

12   to induce bactericidal antibodies against, non-serogroup B strains as well.[15] However, neither

13   vaccine exhibited any immediate impact on carriage one month after completion of the vaccine

14   course, nor was the study able to demonstrate a specific impact of 4CMenB on carriage of

15   capsB strains.

16   Evaluations in other clinical trials or early post-implementation studies after glycoconjugate

17   vaccines have revealed positive effects on reduction of carriage several months or even years

18   after vaccination.[16–19] As such, it is not clear whether the lack of significant difference after

19   one month is unusual or, more likely, too early to accumulate sufficient acquisition events to

20   demonstrate an impact. Post-glycoconjugate vaccination evaluations of pneumococcal carriage

1   similarly did not show any early impact and only at later timepoints were differences

2   demonstrated. [20,21]

3   For MenACWY-CRM, significantly lower carriage rates were observed for serogroups CWY

4   (36·2% carriage reduction) and serogroup Y (39·0% carriage reduction) specifically when

5   assessed at any timepoint from two months after vaccination. Evaluation of acquisition of

6   serogroups CWY and serogroup Y carriage suggests that the lower carriage rates result mainly

7   from reduction in acquisition rather than increased carriage clearance among vaccinated

8   individuals compared with controls. This is expected and consistent with results from other

9   carriage studies where impact on acquisition is thought to be the mechanism by which vaccine-

10 induced responses may impact on overall carriage. Reduction in carriage has been observed

11 with a range of glycoconjugate vaccines including different pneumococcal vaccines, serogroup

12 A and C meningococcal vaccines, and a *Haemophilus influenzae* type b vaccine (Hib).[18,22–27]

13 Similarly, although 4CMenB vaccination did not result in a significant reduction in carriage

14 prevalence for capsB one month after vaccination, significant differences in carriage of *N*

15 *meningitidis*, capsBCWY, capsCWY, serogroups CWY, capsY, and serogroup Y (18·2–29·6%

16 carriage reduction) were observed when assessed at any timepoint from three months after

17 second vaccination. Evaluations of impact of 4CMenB on specific STs associated with serogroup

18 B (e.g., ST-41/44, ST32, ST269) did not reveal differences between groups although numbers of

19 isolates were very small, which limits interpretation (data not shown). Whilst the effect of

20 4CMenB on disease–associated meningococci may contribute to herd protection, the potential

21 broad additional effect on commensal *Neisseria* and non-disease-associated *N meningitidis*

1   cannot be predicted. Significant effects on carriage were observed both across the whole

2   student population and also across sub-populations where acquisition rates are known to be

3   highest, such as in smokers, early enrollers and younger students.

4   In 1999 the UK introduced MenC conjugate vaccination to all persons younger than 18 years,

5   rapidly achieving a high coverage rate. Based on analyses of disease rates in unvaccinated 15–

6   17 year olds before and after vaccine introduction, herd protection was quantified at 67% after

7   one year,[28] increasing to 75% after two years, with an observed disproportionately high impact

8   on carriage of the genotype associated with the UK epidemic in the 1990s.[18] Although the

9   observed carriage impact in the present study appears modest, in the setting of a pathogen

10   such as *N meningitidis*, with a relatively low estimated basic reproduction number (R0 ~ 1·36),[29]

11   even a modest individual carriage impact may translate into a significant level of herd

12   protection.

13   There are some limitations to the work described here. There was limited new acquisition of

14   meningococcal strains, and in particular capsB strains, across the one year of study follow-up. It

15   is generally agreed that glycoconjugate vaccines impact carriage through decreasing new

16   acquisition and not eliminating existing carriage. As 4CMenB is a two-dose series and the

17   highest acquisition was observed between the first two study visits, and because enrolment

18   took place over a three month period, vaccines may have been administered too late to

19   observe a maximal impact. Carriage among the university students in our study is consistent

20   with others, with a peak during the late teenage years.[4] Thus, for greatest impact, a vaccine

programme might be initiated among early teens rather than university students as in this

study.

We observed that both 4CMenB and MenACWY-CRM were generally well-tolerated, with no

indications of safety concerns. While reactogenicity following any vaccination was overall

higher in the 4CMenB group, the incidence of unsolicited AEs was similar across groups

throughout the study period. Moreover, the rates of SAEs were low and similar across all

vaccine groups.

In summary, results from pre-specified secondary analyses of this study support the conclusion

that both MenACWY-CRM and 4CMenB have an impact on carriage and could provide a degree

of herd protection against meningococcal disease if implemented in a campaign targeting a

population (e.g., adolescents) where high transmission is known to occur. Although the study

was not designed to provide precise estimates of herd protection, an estimate of individual

carriage impact of 27% for 4CMenB (based on data from capsBCWY) and 36% for MenACWY-

CRM (based on data from serogroup CWY) assumptions can be made; the impact of 4CMenB on

capsB strains is less clear. An appropriate translation of this individual carriage impact estimate

into herd protection will likely only be available after implementation of large scale vaccination

programmes.

***Panel:* Research in Context**

Systematic review

1   In addition to direct protection, the ability of a meningococcal vaccine to impact colonization and

2   transmission of meningococci and, in turn, to provide indirect protective effects through herd

3   protection, has important implications for evaluating the population impact and cost effective benefit of

4   the vaccine. We searched PubMed with the MeSH terms "Neisseria" AND "vaccine" AND "randomized

5   controlled trial" AND "impact" OR "evaluation" OR "effectiveness" to find papers published between

6   1970 (when meningococcal polysaccharides vaccines were first investigated) and March 19th 2014, that

7   reported randomised controlled trials which investigated oropharyngeal carriage in human recipients of

8   meningococcal vaccines. We searched Web of Science for articles that cited selected references. No

9   such randomised controlled trials were identified, and no studies have previously investigated the effect

10   of non-polysaccharide vaccines on meningococcal colonisation. Incorporation of monovalent

11   meningococcal conjugate vaccines against serogroup C and serogroup A in mass vaccination

12   programmes in the United Kingdom and sub-Saharan Africa, respectively, has resulted in significant

13   reduction of cross-sectional pharyngeal carriage rates of the respective serogroup in those targeted

14   populations;[18,27,28,30] however, the impact of vaccination with a quadrivalent meningococcal vaccine or a

15   protein-based meningococcal vaccine such as 4CMenB on pharyngeal meningococcal carriage has not

16   yet been clinically evaluated .[5, 10]

17

18   Interpretation

19   This is the first randomised controlled trial of oropharyngeal carriage rates of *Neisseria meningitidis*

20   after meningococcal vaccination. These data suggest that 4CMenB or MenACWY-CRM are likely to

21   provide individual protection against the acquisition of carriage of meningococci when evaluated over a

22   broad time window after vaccination, and the scale of the effect can be used to aid in estimates of cost-

23   effectiveness. This study was conducted in the United Kingdom in a population sub-group – young

24   adults – with high rates of carriage and transmission, in order to provide a "proof of principle" that these

1   vaccines may provide herd protection, and data to guide implementation decisions wherever they may

2   be made.

3

9 **Author contributions**

10 RCR, R Borrow, and PMD conceived of and designed the study. RCR, DB, DRC, SNF, AF, SBG,

11 PTH, DJML, AJP, DPJT, R Baziz, AG, TH, KRN, IOO, BM-A, KP, MDS, JW, SG, SJG, and MCJM

12 conducted the study. All authors participated in the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of

13 the data. HW provided statistical evaluation. All authors reviewed and approved the final

14 version for submission.

15 **Declarations of interest**

16 DT, HW, MM, and PMD are permanent employees of NVS. All other authors acted as chief or

17 principal investigators for this NVS-sponsored trial conducted on behalf of their respective NHS

18 Trusts and/or Universities, but received no personal payments from NVS for study conduct. RCR

19 reports speaker fees and travel assistance from NVS to attend a meeting, outside the submitted

20 work. SNF reports honoraria from NVS, Pfizer and Sanofi to attend conferences, paid to his

References

1. Stephens DS, Greenwood B, Brandtzaeg P. Epidemic meningitis, meningococcaemia, and Neisseria meningitidis. *Lancet* 2007; **369**(9580): 2196–210.

2. Rouphael NG, Stephens DS. *Neisseria meningitidis*: biology, microbiology, and epidemiology. *Methods Mol Biol* 2012; **799**: 1–20.

3. MacLennan J, Kafatos G, Neal K, Andrews N, Cameron JC, Roberts R, et al. Social behavior and meningococcal carriage in British teenagers. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2006; **12**(6): 950–7.

4. Christensen H, May M, Bowen L, Hickman M, Trotter CL. Meningococcal carriage by age: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2010; **10**(12): 853–61.

5. Soriano-Gabarro M, Wolter J, Hogea C, Vyse A. Carriage of *Neisseria meningitidis* in Europe: a review of studies undertaken in the region. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 2011; **9**(9): 761–74.

6. Erlich KS, Congeni BL. Importance of circulating antibodies in protection against meningococcal disease. *Hum Vacc Immunother* 2012; **8**(8): 1029–35.

7. Chang Q, Tzeng YL, Stephens DS. Meningococcal disease: changes in epidemiology and prevention. *Clin Epidemiol* 2012; **4**: 237–45.

8. Balmer P, Borrow R, Miller E. Impact of meningococcal C conjugate vaccine in the UK. *J Med Microbiol* 2002; **51**(9): 717–22.

9. Trotter CL, Andrews NJ, Kaczmarski EB, Miller E, Ramsay ME. Effectiveness of meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccine 4 years after introduction. *Lancet* 2004; **364**(9431): 365–7.

10. Trotter CL, Maiden MC. Meningococcal vaccines and herd immunity: lessons learned from serogroup C conjugate vaccination programs. *Expert Rev Vaccines* 2009; **8**(7): 851–61.

11. Christensen H, Hickman M, Edmunds WJ, Trotter CL. Introducing vaccination against serogroup B meningococcal disease: an economic and mathematical modelling study of potential impact. *Vaccine* 2013; **31**(23): 2638–46.

12. Giuliani MM, Adu-Bobie J, Comanducci M, Arico B, Savino S, Santini L, et al. A universal vaccine for serogroup B meningococcus. *PNAS* 2006; **103**(29): 10834–9.

13. Arnold R, Galloway Y, McNicholas A, O'Hallahan J. Effectiveness of a vaccination programme for an epidemic of meningococcal B in New Zealand. *Vaccine* 2011; **29**(40): 7100–6.

14. Gray SJ, Trotter CL, Ramsay ME, Guiver M, Fox AJ, Borrow R, et al. Epidemiology of meningococcal disease in England and Wales 1993/94 to 2003/04: contribution and experiences of the Meningococcal Reference Unit. *J Med Microbiol* 2006; **55**(Pt 7): 887–96.

15. Hong E, Giuliani MM, Deghmane AE, Comanducci M, Brunelli B, Dull P, et al. Could the multicomponent meningococcal serogroup B vaccine (4CMenB) control *Neisseria meningitidis* capsular group X outbreaks in Africa? *Vaccine* 2013; **31**(7): 1113–6.

16. Moore MR, Hyde TB, Hennessy TW, Parks DJ, Reasonover AL, Harker-Jones M, et al. Impact of a conjugate vaccine on community-wide carriage of nonsusceptible *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in Alaska. *J Infect Dis* 2004; **190**(11): 2031–8.

17. Millar EV, O'Brien KL, Watt JP, Bronsdon MA, Dallas J, Whitney CG, et al. Effect of community-wide conjugate pneumococcal vaccine use in infancy on nasopharyngeal carriage through 3 years of age: a cross-sectional study in a high-risk population. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006; **43**(1): 8–15.

18. Maiden MC, Ibarz-Pavon AB, Urwin R, Gray SJ, Andrews NJ, Clarke SC, et al. Impact of meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccines on carriage and herd immunity. *J Infect Dis* 2008; **197**(5): 737–43.

19. Holmes JD, Martin D, Ramsay C, Ypma E, Oster P. Combined administration of serogroup B meningococcal vaccine and conjugated serogroup C meningococcal vaccine is safe and immunogenic in college students. *Epidemiol Infect* 2008; **136**(6): 790–9.

20.   Dagan R, Melamed R, Muallem M, Piglansky L, Greenberg D, Abramson O, et al. Reduction of nasopharyngeal carriage of pneumococci during the second year of life by a heptavalent conjugate pneumococcal vaccine. *J Infect Dis* 1996; **174**(6): 1271–8.

21.   Mbelle N, Huebner RE, Wasas AD, Kimura A, Chang I, Klugman KP. Immunogenicity and impact on nasopharyngeal carriage of a nonavalent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine. *J Infect Dis* 1999; **180**(4): 1171–6.

22.   Takala AK, Eskola J, Leinonen M, Kayhty H, Nissinen A, Pekkanen E, et al. Reduction of oropharyngeal carriage of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib) in children immunized with an Hib conjugate vaccine. *J Infect Dis* 1991; **164**(5): 982–6.

23.   Barbour ML, Mayon-White RT, Coles C, Crook DW, Moxon ER. The impact of conjugate vaccine on carriage of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b. *J Infect Dis* 1995; **171**(1): 93–8.

24.   Prymula R, Kriz P, Kaliskova E, Pascal T, Poolman J, Schuerman L. Effect of vaccination with pneumococcal capsular polysaccharides conjugated to *Haemophilus influenzae*-derived protein D on nasopharyngeal carriage of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *H. influenzae* in children under 2 years of age. *Vaccine* 2009; **28**(1): 71–8.

25.   Cheung YB, Zaman SM, Nsekpong ED, Van Beneden CA, Adegbola RA, Greenwood B, et al. Nasopharyngeal carriage of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in Gambian children who participated in a 9-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine trial and in their younger siblings. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2009; **28**(11): 990–5.

26.   Cohen R, Bingen E, Levy C, Thollot F, Boucherat M, Derkx V, et al. Nasopharyngeal flora in children with acute otitis media before and after implementation of 7 valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in France. *BMC Infect Dis* 2012; **12**: 52.

27.   Kristiansen PA, Diomande F, Ba AK, Sanou I, Ouedraogo AS, Ouedraogo R, et al. Impact of the serogroup A meningococcal conjugate vaccine, MenAfriVac, on carriage and herd immunity. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013; **56**(3): 354–63.

28.   Ramsay ME, Andrews NJ, Trotter CL, Kaczmarski EB, Miller E. Herd immunity from meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccination in England: database analysis. *BMJ* 2003; **326**(7385): 365–6.

29.   Trotter CL, Gay NJ, Edmunds WJ. Dynamic models of meningococcal carriage, disease, and the impact of serogroup C conjugate vaccination. *Am J Epidemiol* 2005; **162**(1): 89–100.

30.   Daugla DM, Gami JP, Gamougam K, Naibei N, Mbainadji L, Narbé M, et al. Effect of a serogroup A meningococcal conjugate vaccine (PsA-TT) on serogroup A meningococcal meningitis and carriage in Chad: a community study [corrected]. *Lancet* 2014; **383**(9911):40-7.

Table 1: Demographics, baseline characteristics and carriage

	4CMenB N=979	MenACWY-CRM N=988	Control N=987	Total N=2968[†]
Age (Years±SD)	19·9±1·6 (N=977)	19·9±1·6	19·8±1·6	19·9±1·6 (N=2966)
Males, n (%)	463 (47)	455 (46)	440 (45)	1369 (46)
Caucasian, n (%)	860 (88)	876 (89)	866 (88)	2610 (88)
Weight (kg±SD)	69·7±13·2 (n=973)	68·8±13·4 (n=985)	69·2±13·5 (n=986)	69·2±13·4 (n=2950)
Height (cm±SD)	172·6±9·4 (n=974)	172·5±9·4 (n=987)	172·0±9·4 (n=986)	172·4±9·4 (n=2953)
Smokers, n/N (%)*	159/974 (16)	161/983 (16)	143/984 (15)	463/2941 (16)
Carriage, n (%)	N=976	N=984	N=986	N=2946
N meningitidis	326 (33)	334 (34)	303 (31)	963 (33)
A (serogroupable)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
B (all)	92 (9)	100 (10)	86 (9)	278 (9)
B (disease-associated)	78 (8)	84 (9)	72 (7)	234 (8)
B (all serogroupable)	66 (7)	73 (7)	57 (6)	196 (7)
C (all)	3 (0)	3 (0)	3 (0)	9 (0)
C (serogroupable)	1 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)
W (all)	20 (2)	20 (2)	10 (1)	50 (2)
W (serogroupable)	15 (2)	15 (2)	4 (0)	34 (1)
Y (all)	70 (7)	67 (7)	68 (7)	205 (7)
Y (serogroupable)	54 (6)	42 (4)	45 (5)	141 (5)
CWY (all)	93 (10)	90 (9)	81 (8)	264 (9)
CWY (serogroupable)	70 (7)	58 (6)	49 (5)	177 (6)
N lactamica	7 (1)	5 (1)	5 (1)	17 (1)

*Number and percentage of smokers are from Day 1 visit. †Total includes the 14 enrolled subjects who were not randomised; the number of subjects for each site were as follows: Univ. of Sheffield Medical School (n=826); St. Georges Hospital (n=156); Nottingham University Hospital / NHS (n=319); Oxford Vaccine Group (n=263); South Tees Hospital Foundation (n=121); University of Manchester (n=255); Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine (n=501); University of Southampton (n=308); North Bristol NHS Trust (n=206); University of Surrey (n=13).

Table 2: **Pharyngeal carriage prevalence of disease-associated STs of capsB in 4CMenB and control groups and serogroups A, C, W or Y (combined) of *N meningitidis* in MenACWY-CRM and control groups (primary endpoints; MITT Population)**

	Percentage of subjects with carriage n/N (%; 95% CI)		Group difference % (95% CI)*	OR (95% CI)	Carriage Reduction,[†] % (95% CI)
	4CMenB (N=974)	**Control (N=984)**			
Day 1 (Baseline)	78/974 (8; 6–10)	72/984 (7; 6–9)	0.6 (−1·6–2·7)	NA	NA
1 month after 2nd vaccination	87/916 (9; 8–12)	75/928 (8; 6–10)	1·0 (−1·5–3·5)	1·2 (0·8–1·7)	−18·2 (−73·3–19·4)
	MenACWY-CRM (N=981)	**Control (N=984)**			
Day 1 (Baseline)	58/981 (6; 5–8)	49/984 (5; 4–7)	0 (−0·4–0·4)	NA	NA
1 month after vaccination	57/956 (6; 5–8)	58/947 (6; 5–8)	−1 (−2·8–0·8)	0·9 (0·6–1·3)	10·5 (−34·2–40·3)

*Vaccine group differences in proportions between vaccine and control groups were analyzed by using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test where appropriate with center and treatment group in the model.

[†]Carriage Reduction = 100 × (1-OR)

NA=Not applicable

***Table 3:* Odds Ratios (GEE model) for carriage prevalence of *N meningitidis* in 4CMenB and control groups at any timepoint from three months after second vaccination (visits 4 to 6) – MITT population**

	Percentage of positive samples, n (%)		OR (95% CI)	Carriage Reduction,[†] % (95% CI)
	4CMenB N=2489	Control N=2576		
All STs of capsB	233 (9·4)	262 (10·2)	0·8 (0.6–1·1)	15·6% (−11·0–35·9)
Disease-associated STs of capsB	214 (8·6)	237 (9·2)	0·9 (0.7–1·2)	12·6% (−15·9–34·1)
All *N meningitidis*	797 (32·0)	885 (34·4)	0·8 (0.7–1.0)	18·2% (3.4–30.8)
Capsular groups BCWY	449 (18·0)	539 (20·9)	0·7 (0.6–0·9)	26·6% (10·5–39·9)
Capsular groups CWY	216 (8·7)	277 (10·8)	0·7 (0.5–0·9)	29·6% (8·1–46·0)
Serogroups CWY	149 (6)	186 (7·2)	0·7 (0.5–1.0)	28·5% (2·8–47·5)
Capsular Y	178 (7·2)	228 (8·9)	0·7 (0.6–1·0)	25·1% (−0·2–44·0)
Serogroup Y	128 (5·1)	161 (6·3)	0·7 (0.5–1·0)	28·2% (−0·1–48·4)

"N" given for GEE results refers to total number of swab samples across visits.
OR and Carriage Reduction adjusted by treatment group, baseline status, and significant risk factors as identified within the multivariate model
[†]Carriage Reduction = 100 × (1-OR).

Table 4: Percentage of subjects with new acquisition of pharyngeal carriage at 3, 5 or 11 months post second vaccination with 4CMenB versus controls (Pharyngeal carriage; subjects with all 6 swabs)

	Percentage of subjects with carriage n/N (%; 95% CI)		Difference between Vaccine Groups (95% CI)	Odds Ratio (95%CI)	Carriage Reduction, % (95% CI)
	4CMenB	Control	4CMenB		
Any *N meningitidis*	105/410 (26; 21–30)	140/452 (31; 27–35)	−5% (−11%–1%)	0·8 (0·6–1·1)	22·3% (−5·2%–42·6%)
Capsular groups, BCWY	74/519 (14; 11–18)	91/551 (17; 14–20)	−2% (−7%–2%)	0·8 (0·6–1·2)	15·9% (−17·3%–39·8%)
All capsB	34/628 (5; 4–7)	50/674 (7; 6–10)	−2% (−5%–1%)	0·7 (0·5–1·1)	28·6% (−12·0%–54·4%)
Disease-associated capsB	34/638 (5; 4–7)	44/686 (6; 5–9)	−1% (−4%–1%)	0·8 (0·5–1·3)	17·9% (−30·3%–48·2%)

OR and Carriage Reduction adjusted by treatment group, baseline status, and significant risk factors as identified within the multivariate model

Table 5: **Odds Ratios (GEE Model) for carriage prevalence of *N meningitidis* in MenACWY-CRM and control groups at any timepoint after two months of one vaccine dose (Visits 3 to 6) (MITT Population)**

	Percentage of positive samples		OR (95% CI)	Carriage Reduction, % (95% CI)
	MenACWY-CRM n (%) N=3520	Control n (%) N=3504		
Capsular CWY	333 (9·5)	388 (11·1)	0·7 (0·6–0·9)	27·1 (6·9–42·9)
Serogroups CWY	193 (5·5)	260 (7·4)	0·6 (0·5–0·8)	36·2 (15·6–51·7)
Capsular Y	261 (7·4)	325 (9·3)	0·7 (0·6–1·0)	26·5 (4·1–43·7)
Serogroup Y	157 (4·5)	227 (6·5)	0·6 (0·5–0·8)	39·0 (17·3–55·0)

"N" given for GEE results refers to total number of swab samples across visits.

OR and Carriage Reduction adjusted by treatment group, baseline status, and significant risk factors as identified within the multivariate model

Table 6: **Percentage of subjects with new acquisition of pharyngeal carriage at 2, 4, 6 or 12 months post first dose/1, 3, 5 or 11 months post second vaccination with MenACWY-CRM versus controls (Pharyngeal carriage; subjects with all 6 swabs)**

	Percentage of subjects with carriage n/N (%; 95% CI)		Difference between Vaccine Groups (95% CI)	Odds Ratio (95%CI)	Carriage Reduction
	MenACWY-CRM	**Control**			
Serogroups CWY	59/712 (8; 6-11)	68/709 (10; 8-12)	−1% (−4%-2%)	0·9 (0.6-1·2)	14·8% (−22·7%-40·9%)
Serogroup Y	47/730 (6; 5-8)	59/719 (8; 6-10)	−2% (−5%-1%)	0·8 (0.5-1·2)	23·0% (−14·6%-48·3%)

OR and Carriage Reduction adjusted by treatment group, baseline status, and significant risk factors as identified within the multivariate model