Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis (Review)

Langton Hewer SC, Smyth AR



This is a reprint of a Cochrane review, prepared and maintained by The Cochrane Collaboration and published in *The Cochrane Library* 2014, Issue 11

http://www.thecochranelibrary.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
ABSTRACT	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY	2
BACKGROUND	3
OBJECTIVES	5
METHODS	5
RESULTS	8
Figure 1	11
DISCUSSION	20
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS	22
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	22
REFERENCES	23
CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES	34
DATA AND ANALYSES	50
Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo, Outcome 1 Positive respiratory culture for P. aeruginosa	,
(300 mg 2x daily)	54
Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo, Outcome 2 Positive respiratory culture for P. aeruginosa	
(80 mg 2x daily)	55
Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo, Outcome 3 Positive respiratory culture for P. aeruginosa	,,
(combined available case analysis)	56
Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo, Outcome 4 Positive respiratory culture for P. aeruginosa)(
(combined) - best case	57
Analysis 1.5. Comparison 1 Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo, Outcome 5 Positive respiratory culture for P. aeruginosa),
(combined) - worst case	58
Analysis 1.6. Comparison 1 Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo, Outcome 6 Change in weight from baseline	59
Analysis 1.7. Comparison 1 Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo, Outcome 7 Adverse events	59
Analysis 1.8. Comparison 1 Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo, Outcome 8 Change in modified Shwachmann score from))
baseline	60
Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 Oral ciprofloxacin and inhaled colistin versus no treatment, Outcome 1 Proportion colonised	00
with P. aeruginosa.	60
Analysis 3.1. Comparison 3 Oral ciprofloxacin and inhaled colistin versus inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 1 Positive	00
respiratory culture for Paeruginosa.	61
Analysis 3.2. Comparison 3 Oral ciprofloxacin and inhaled colistin versus inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 2 Adverse	01
events	62
Analysis 4.1. Comparison 4 Nebulised tobramycin 28 days versus 56 days, Outcome 1 Time to next isolation of P.	02
aeruginosa from BAL, sputum or oropharyngeal cultures	62
Analysis 4.2. Comparison 4 Nebulised tobramycin 28 days versus 56 days, Outcome 2 Number of respiratory	02
exacerbations	63
Analysis 4.3. Comparison 4 Nebulised tobramycin 28 days versus 56 days, Outcome 3 Adverse events (up to 3 months).	63
Analysis 4.4. Comparison 4 Nebulised tobramycin 28 days versus 56 days, Outcome 4 Adverse events (up to 5 months).	65
Analysis 5.1. Comparison 5 Inhaled colistin/oral ciprofloxacin versus inhaled tobramycin/oral ciprofloxacin, Outcome 1	0)
Positive respiratory culture for P. aeruginosa.	67
Analysis 5.2. Comparison 5 Inhaled colistin/oral ciprofloxacin versus inhaled tobramycin/oral ciprofloxacin, Outcome 2	0/
	67
Relative change in % predicted FEV1 from baseline (to mean 54 days)	6/
	(0
Post-trial microbiology status	68
, i	60
Adverse events leading to trial discontinuation	69
with one or more isolates of P. aeruginosa from respiratory tract.	(0
with one or more isolates of r. aeruginosa from respiratory tract	69

Analysis 6.2. Comparison 6 Cycled innaied tobramycin versus culture-based innaied tobramycin, Outcome 2 Mean 70-	
week change in FEV1 % predicted.	70
Analysis 6.3. Comparison 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 3 Mean 70-	
week change in weight from baseline	70
Analysis 6.4. Comparison 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 4 Mean 70-	
week change in height from baseline	71
Analysis 6.5. Comparison 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 5 Time to	
severe pulmonary exacerbation	71
Analysis 6.6. Comparison 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 6 Participants	
with one or more severe pulmonary exacerbations.	72
Analysis 6.7. Comparison 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 7 Time to	
pulmonary exacerbation (any severity).	72
Analysis 6.8. Comparison 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 8 Participants	
with one or more pulmonary exacerbations (any severity).	73
Analysis 6.9. Comparison 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 9 Participants	
with new isolates of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia.	73
Analysis 6.10. Comparison 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 10 Participants	
with one or more serious adverse event	74
Analysis 7.1. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome	2
1 Participants with one or more isolates of P. aeruginosa from respiratory tract.	74
Analysis 7.2. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome	
2 Mean 70-week change in FEV1 % predicted	75
Analysis 7.3. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome	
3 Mean 70-week change in weight from baseline.	75
Analysis 7.4. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome	
4 Mean 70-week change in height from baseline	- 70
Analysis 7.5. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome	
5 Time to severe pulmonary exacerbation.	76
Analysis 7.6. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome	
6 Participants with one or more severe pulmonary exacerbations.	77
Analysis 7.7. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome	/ / a
7 Time to pulmonary exacerbation (any severity).	77
Analysis 7.8. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome	
8 Participants with one of more pulmonary exacerbation (any severity)	78
Analysis 7.9. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome	
9 Participants with new isolates of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia.	78
Analysis 7.10. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome	
10 Participants with one or more serious adverse event.	- 79
WHAT'S NEW	79
	80
HISTORY	
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	8:
	81
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW	81
INDEX TERMS	82

[Intervention Review]

Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Simon C Langton Hewer¹, Alan R Smyth²

¹Paediatric Respiratory Medicine, Bristol Royal Hospital for Children, Bristol, UK. ²Division of Child Health, Obstetrics & Gynaecology (COG), School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

Contact address: Simon C Langton Hewer, Paediatric Respiratory Medicine, Bristol Royal Hospital for Children, Upper Maudlin Street, Bristol, Avon, BS2 8BJ, UK. simon.langtonhewer@bris.ac.uk.

Editorial group: Cochrane Cystic Fibrosis and Genetic Disorders Group.

Publication status and date: New search for studies and content updated (no change to conclusions), published in Issue 11, 2014. **Review content assessed as up-to-date:** 29 October 2014.

Citation: Langton Hewer SC, Smyth AR. Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 11. Art. No.: CD004197. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004197.pub4.

Copyright © 2014 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

ABSTRACT

Background

Respiratory tract infection with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* occurs in most people with cystic fibrosis. Once chronic infection is established, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is virtually impossible to eradicate and is associated with increased mortality and morbidity. Early infection may be easier to eradicate.

This is an update of a Cochrane review first published in 2003, and previously updated in 2006 and 2009.

Objectives

To determine whether antibiotic treatment of early *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in children and adults with cystic fibrosis eradicates the organism, delays the onset of chronic infection, and results in clinical improvement. To evaluate whether there is evidence that a particular antibiotic strategy is superior to or more cost-effective than other strategies and to compare the adverse effects of different antibiotic strategies (including respiratory infection with other micro-organisms).

Search methods

We searched the Cochrane Cystic Fibrosis and Genetic Disorders Group Trials Register comprising references identified from comprehensive electronic database searches and handsearches of relevant journals and abstract books of conference proceedings.

Most recent search: 08 September 2014.

Selection criteria

We included randomised controlled trials of people with cystic fibrosis, in whom *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* had recently been isolated from respiratory secretions. We compared combinations of inhaled, oral or intravenous antibiotics with placebo, usual treatment or other combinations of inhaled, oral or intravenous antibiotics. We excluded non-randomised trials, cross-over trials, and those utilising historical controls.

Data collection and analysis

Both authors independently selected trials, assessed risk of bias and extracted data.

Main results

The search identified 49 trials; seven trials (744 participants) with a duration between 28 days and 27 months were eligible for inclusion. Three of the trials are over 10 years old and their results may be less applicable today given the changes in standard treatment. Some of the trials had low numbers of participants and most had relatively short follow-up periods; however, there was generally a low risk of bias from missing data. In most trials it was difficult to blind participants and clinicians to treatment given the interventions and comparators used. Two trials were supported by the manufacturers of the antibiotic used.

Evidence from two trials (38 participants) at the two-month time-point showed treatment of early *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection with inhaled tobramycin results in microbiological eradication of the organism from respiratory secretions more often than placebo, odds ratio 0.15 (95% confidence interval 0.03 to 0.65) and data from one of these trials, with longer follow up, suggested that this effect may persist for up to 12 months.

One randomised controlled trial (26 participants) compared oral ciprofloxacin and nebulised colistin versus usual treatment. Results after two years suggested treatment of early infection results in microbiological eradication of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* more often than no anti-pseudomonal treatment, odds ratio 0.12 (95% confidence interval 0.02 to 0.79).

One trial comparing 28 days to 56 days treatment with nebulised tobramycin solution for inhalation in 88 participants showed that both treatments were effective and well-tolerated, with no notable additional improvement with longer over shorter duration of therapy. However, this trial was not powered to detect non-inferiority or equivalence.

A trial of oral ciprofloxacin with inhaled colistin versus nebulised tobramycin solution for inhalation alone (223 participants) failed to show a difference between the two strategies, although it was underpowered to show this. A further trial of inhaled colistin with oral ciprofloxacin versus nebulised tobramycin solution for inhalation with oral ciprofloxacin also showed no superiority of the former, with increased isolation of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* in both groups.

A recent, large trial in 306 children aged between one and 12 years compared cycled nebulised tobramycin solution for inhalation to culture-based therapy and also ciprofloxacin to placebo. The primary analysis showed no difference in time to pulmonary exacerbation or proportion of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* positive cultures. An analysis performed in this review (not adjusted for age) showed fewer participants in the cycled therapy group with one or more isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, odds ratio 0.51 (95% CI 0.31 to 0.28).

Authors' conclusions

We found that nebulised antibiotics, alone or in combination with oral antibiotics, were better than no treatment for early infection with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Eradication may be sustained for up to two years. There is insufficient evidence to determine whether antibiotic strategies for the eradication of early *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* decrease mortality or morbidity, improve quality of life, or are associated with adverse effects compared to placebo or standard treatment. Four trials of two active treatments have failed to show differences in rates of eradication of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. There have been no published randomised controlled trials that investigate the efficacy of intravenous antibiotics to eradicate *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis. Overall, there is still insufficient evidence from this review to state which antibiotic strategy should be used for the eradication of early *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in cystic fibrosis.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Different ways of giving antibiotics to eradicate *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in people with cystic fibrosis

Review question

We reviewed the evidence for the effectiveness of antibiotics in getting rid of a lung infection with a germ called *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in people with cystic fibrosis.

Background

Cystic fibrosis is an inherited condition where the airways often become blocked with mucus. It is associated with chest infections, which can lead to progressive breathing failure and death. A germ called *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a frequent cause of infection and is difficult to treat effectively, once infection has become established.

Search date

The evidence is current to September 2014.

Study characteristics

We wanted to compare different combinations of inhaled, oral and intravenous (IV) antibiotics for eliminating *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in people with cystic fibrosis and find out if any single treatment works best and is more cost-effective. We included seven trials with a total of 744 individuals, but the treatments were mostly different so we could not combine the results. Two trials compared tobramycin to placebo (a dummy treatment). Three trials used a combination of oral ciprofloxacin and inhaled colistin in one group of volunteers and compared this combination to no treatment in one trial, to inhaled tobramycin in a second trial and to oral ciprofloxacin with inhaled tobramycin in the third trial. Another trial compared 28 days of inhaled tobramycin to 56 days of inhaled tobramycin and the final included trial compared regular cycles of inhaled tobramycin (plus oral ciprofloxacin or placebo) to culture-based inhaled tobramycin (plus oral ciprofloxacin or placebo). Trials included people with cystic fibrosis of both sexes, any age and both mild and more severe lung disease. The trials lasted from 28 days to 27 months.

Key results

Two small trials (38 volunteers) treating early infection showed that, after two months, nebulised antibiotics were better than no treatment and eliminated *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in most people. One of these trials reported results over a longer period and these suggested that this effect may last for up to 12 months. Another small trial (26 volunteers) which lasted two years showed that treating early infection with a combination of nebulised and oral antibiotics was better than no treatment at eliminating *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. A further trial (88 volunteers), which compared 28 days of nebulised tobramycin solution for inhalation to 56 days, showed both were equally tolerated and effective at eliminating *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Four direct comparisons of oral or inhaled antibiotics (or combinations of both), one of which reported on 223 volunteers, did not find a difference between different antibiotic combinations. A recent, large trial in 306 children (aged up to 12 years) compared a regular cycle of treatment to treatment only when it was shown that a child was infected with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, the treatment used was either an antibiotic or a placebo. When we analysed the data for this review, we found that when children were given a regular cycle of inhaled tobramycin (with either oral ciprofloxacin or placebo) fewer of them grew *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from their sputum. The official published results from this trial made an adjustment for age and did not show any difference in the number of times *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was grown from samples between the groups, nor was there any difference in the length of time until the patients had their next chest infection.

Quality of the evidence

Some of the trials were conducted between 10 and 20 years ago and the results may not be applicable to patients today. Some trials were small and all the trials had quite a short follow-up period. Therefore, we could not show whether treatment made people with cystic fibrosis feel better or live longer. Given the types of treatment used in most of the trials, it would have been easy for the volunteers to guess which treatment they were receiving, which might have influenced some of the results. Two trials were supported by the pharmaceutical industry. Further research is still needed to see whether eliminating the bacteria completely improves the well-being and quality of life in people with cystic fibrosis and to establish which antibiotic combination provides the best way of eliminating *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

BACKGROUND

Description of the condition

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is the most common life-limiting, autosomal recessively inherited disease in Caucasian populations. Although this is a multisystem disease, the primary cause of death in CF is respiratory failure resulting from chronic pulmonary infection (FitzSimmons 1993). The most frequent cause of chronic

pulmonary infection beyond infancy in people with CF is *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*P. aeruginosa*) and, once established, appears to be permanent in the majority of cases (Fitzsimmons 1996). A number of definitions have been used for chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection in CF.

- 1. The presence of *P. aeruginosa* in monthly specimens for six successive months or the development of precipitating antibodies to *P. aeruginosa* or both (Valerius 1991).
 - 2. The culture of *P. aeruginosa* from the sputum or respiratory

secretions, on two or more occasions extending over six months or a shorter period if accompanied by a sustained rise of anti-pseudomonal antibodies (UK CF Trust 2004).

- 3. The isolation of *P. aeruginosa* in more than 50% of months over a 12-month period (Lee 2003) the second and subsequent positive specimens in the same month do not count. Conversely, eradication is defined as all monthly specimens negative for *P. aeruginosa* over 12 months.
- 4. Three or more isolates of *P. aeruginosa* in a 12-month period (UK CF Registry 2012).

We have used the first definition in this systematic review. The age-specific prevalence of *P. aeruginosa* in pre-school children is under 5%, rising to 30% at aged 16 years (UK CF Registry 2013). Some authors have suggested that the use of prophylactic anti-staphylococcal antibiotic therapy in early childhood may pre-dispose to chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection (Ratjen 2001b; Stutman 2002). However, this effect was not seen in a systematic review of prophylactic antibiotic use, including over 400 participants (Smyth 2012).

In children who are too young to expectorate, cough swabs or oropharyngeal swabs are the only respiratory specimens which can be easily obtained. These do not reliably predict the presence of *P. aeruginosa* in the lower respiratory tract (Armstrong 1996; Rosenfeld 1999), whereas flexible fibreoptic bronchoscopy with bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) may detect positive P. aeruginosa in children with negative cough swabs or oropharyngeal swabs (Douglas 2009; Hilliard 2007). Sputum cultures have been shown to accurately reflect lower respiratory tract organisms in expectorating children and adults (Iacocca 1963; Thomassen 1984). Over half of people with CF have chronic infection with P. aeruginosa by their early twenties (UK CF Registry 2013), although prior to chronic infection P. aeruginosa is often isolated intermittently from respiratory tract specimens. This may represent transient colonies of *P. aeruginosa* within the lower respiratory tract or alternatively it may reflect the difficulties in accurately detecting *P. aeruginosa* in the lungs of young people with CF (Burns 2001). The quantity and type of P. aeruginosa present in the lower respiratory tract changes as infection becomes established. It is known that P. aeruginosa has two major phenotypes - mucoid and non-mucoid. Following first isolation there is a progressive increase in the density of P. aeruginosa colonies in the lower respiratory tract (Rosenfeld 2001). Initial isolates often show a non-mucoid phenotype; however, as infection progresses a mucoid phenotype may prevail and will be more difficult to eradicate. Douglas has reported a relatively high prevalence (18.2%) of mucoid P. aeruginosa) at first isolation in newborn screened infants, speculating that the notion of transformation from non-mucoid to mucoid phenotype under environmental pressure may be inaccurate in young children. (Douglas 2009). It has been reported that P. aeruginosa provokes an inflammatory response of the lower respiratory tract (Muhlebach 1999) and there is a marked step up in this inflammatory response as the number of *P. aeruginosa* colonies increases (Armstrong 1996).

The presence of *P. aeruginosa* in respiratory secretions is a major predictor of mortality in children with CF (Emerson 2002). Individuals with CF infected with *P. aeruginosa* also suffer greater morbidity with a more rapid deterioration in lung function (Emerson 2002; Pamukcu 1995) and a more rapid decline in chest radiograph score (Kosorok 2001), poor growth, reduced quality of life, increased hospitalisation and increased need for antibiotic treatment (Ballman 1998; Nixon 2001; Winnie 1991). Some studies suggest there is a temporal relationship between the onset of chronic infection and increased morbidity (Abman 1991; Hudson 1993; Kosorok 2001; Parad 1999), whilst others do not support these findings (Kerem 1990; Rosenfeld 2001). On balance, there seems to be good evidence from well-designed non-experimental studies that clinical state deteriorates after first isolation of *P. aeruginosa*.

Description of the intervention

Several strategies exist to treat early infection with *P. aeruginosa* and include the use of the inhaled antibiotics such as colistin and tobramycin (Littlewood 1985; Ratjen 2001a), oral quinolones such as ciprofloxacin (Taccetti 2005) and intravenous antibiotics usually consisting of combination of an aminoglycoside with a beta-lactam (Döring 2000; Douglas 2009).

How the intervention might work

As well as antibiotic treatment of P. aeruginosa given at the time of first isolation, other strategies have the potential to prevent or delay infection of the respiratory tract. These include avoidance of contact with people who carry P. aeruginosa (UK CF Trust 2004) and the development of vaccines against P. aeruginosa (Johansen 2013). Uncontrolled series have indicated that a variety of antipseudomonal antibiotics either singly (Littlewood 1985; Ratjen 2001a) or in combination (Vazquez 1993) at first isolation may delay the onset of chronic infection. A trial using historical controls suggested that oral ciprofloxacin and nebulised colistin are effective in delaying or preventing chronic infection (Frederiksen 1997). An uncontrolled pilot study of intravenous therapy suggested that intravenous treatment alone was less effective in delaying the onset of chronic infection (Steinkamp 1989). There is also evidence supporting eradication therapy from long-term observational studies of chronic infection with *P. aeruginosa* in CF clinics such as the study reported by Lee (Lee 2004).

Why it is important to do this review

There are differences in the approach to detection and management of first isolation of *P. aeruginosa*. Some CF centres advocate frequent microbiological surveillance with attempts to eradicate *P. aeruginosa* when it first appears in the lung (Döring 2000), whereas

others treat only when clinical or radiological signs of pulmonary infection are present (Ramsey 1996). There is evidence that, when P. aeruginosa is cleared from respiratory secretions it is not simply suppressed because, when infection recurs, this is with a genetically distinct organism in most cases (Munck 2001). Evidence that eradication strategies result in increased survival or improved quality of life for people with CF are from observational studies alone. There are multiple different eradication regimens that have been described using different anti-pseudomonal antibiotics in different combinations of intravenous, oral or nebulised (or both) and with varying doses and duration of therapy (Lee 2009). Given the expense of chronic anti-pseudomonal suppressive therapy, there is a clear rationale for early eradication from a cost-effectiveness perspective and this is supported by observational data (Taccetti 2005); however, there has not been any formal evaluation of cost effectiveness to date.

This is an update of a Cochrane review first published in 2003, and previously updated in 2006 and 2009 (Langton Hewer 2009; Wood 2003; Wood 2006).

OBJECTIVES

To determine whether antibiotic treatment of early *P. aeruginosa* infection in children and adults with CF alters clinical and microbiological outcome when compared to usual treatment.

To test the hypotheses that antibiotics against *P. aeruginosa*, given at the time of first isolation, reduce CF-related mortality; improve quality of life; improve pulmonary function; nutritional status; and reduce the need for subsequent hospitalisation and consumption of antibiotics.

To investigate whether these antibiotics prevent or delay the onset of chronic infection of the respiratory tract with *P. aeruginosa*; increase the incidence of isolates of other micro-organisms from the respiratory tract; and are associated with adverse effects which are either important to the individual with CF or have long-term sequelae.

To investigate whether there is evidence of superior *P. aeruginosa* eradication efficacy or improved cost-effectiveness between different antibiotic strategies.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

Randomised controlled trials.

Types of participants

Children and adults with CF, diagnosed clinically and by sweat or genetic testing (or both) with a first ever positive microbiological isolate of *P. aeruginosa* from a respiratory tract specimen. Trials will also be included where the participants have been proven to be free of *P. aeruginosa* for at least six months before a new isolation and should not be currently receiving *Pseudomonas*-suppressing treatment such as daily inhaled antibiotic therapy. Participants should be enrolled into a trial within six months (*post hoc* change - previously not more than two months) from isolation of *P. aeruginosa*. In a further *post hoc* change, we have also altered our eligibility criteria to allow trials where all participants receive some eradication therapy before randomisation (*see* Differences between protocol and review). People with CF of all ages and disease severity will be included.

Types of interventions

Combinations of inhaled, oral or intravenous antibiotics with the aim of eradicating first pulmonary isolates of *P. aeruginosa* compared with placebo or usual treatment (or both) or other combinations of inhaled, oral or intravenous antibiotics.

Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

- 1. Eradication of *P. aeruginosa* from the respiratory tract as defined by
- i) clearance of *P. aeruginosa* from bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), sputum or oropharyngeal cultures at 1, 2, 3, 6, 12 and 24 months after commencement of therapy
- ii) time to next isolation of *P. aeruginosa* from BAL, sputum or oropharyngeal cultures

Secondary outcomes

- 1. Mortality
- 2. Quality of life assessment
- 3. Spirometric lung function (e.g. forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) and forced vital capacity (FVC)) expressed as percentage predicted values for age, sex and height
- 4. Growth and nutritional status as measured by weight, height (children), body mass index (BMI) or z score
 - 5. Frequency of respiratory exacerbations as defined by:
- i) frequency of infective pulmonary exacerbations expressed as the number of exacerbations per patient year
- ii) time to next course of IV antibiotics from commencement of therapy

- iii) days in hospital expressed as days in hospital per patient year
- iv) days of antibiotic usage expressed as days of antibiotic usage per patient year
- 6. Isolation of other micro-organisms from the respiratory tract expressed as the number of positive cultures per patient year (where available, the microbiology detection method will be described in view of the differences in sensitivity and specificity of oropharyngeal, sputum and BAL samples for bacteriology, mycology and non-tuberculous mycobacteria)
- 7. Adverse effects to antibiotics, e.g. renal or auditory impairment and hypersensitivity reactions

Additional outcomes which have arisen during the review

- 1. Time to chronic infection (as defined above in Description of the condition)
 - 2. Clinical and radiological scores
- 3. Cost effectiveness (trials looking at cost effectiveness will be compared, where possible)

Search methods for identification of studies

Relevant trials were identified from the Group's Cystic Fibrosis Trials Register using the terms: antibiotics AND (pseudomonas aeruginosa OR mixed infections) AND (eradication OR unknown).

The Cystic Fibrosis Trials Register is compiled from electronic searches of the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (updated each new issue of *The Cochrane Library*), quarterly searches of MEDLINE, a search of Embase to 1995 and the prospective handsearching of two journals - *Pediatric Pulmonology* and the *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis*. Unpublished work is identified by searching the abstract books of three major CF conferences: the International Cystic Fibrosis Conference; the European Cystic Fibrosis Conference and the North American Cystic Fibrosis Conference. For full details of all searching activities for the Trials Register, please see the relevant sections of the Cystic Fibrosis and Genetic Disorders Group Module.

Date of the most recent search of the Group's trials register: 08 September 2014.

We have also searched the relevant clinical trials databases clinicaltrials.gov/ and ISRCTN. We used the search terms "cystic fibrosis" AND "Pseudomonas aeruginosa" AND "eradication". Date of last search: 01 September 2014.

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies

For the original review, two authors (DW, AS) independently selected the trials to be included in the review. From Issue 3, 2009 of *The Cochrane Library* two authors (SLH, AS) selected the trials to be included in the review. Where there was disagreement on the suitability of a trial for inclusion in the review, or on its risk of bias, the authors reached a consensus by discussion.

Data extraction and management

Each author independently extracted data using standard data acquisition forms. Where there was disagreement on the suitability of a trial for inclusion in the review, or on its risk of bias, the authors reached a consensus by discussion.

We planned to group outcome data into those measured at one, three, six, twelve months and annually thereafter. In addition, we previously stated that if outcome data were recorded at other time periods as well, that we would also consider examining these data. Some trials reported data at two months for some outcomes and we have included these data within the review. In the Taccetti trial, cumulative data were reported at six months and final follow-up data at a median of 16 months (range 12 to 28 months); we have included both time points in our analysis (Taccetti 2012). In the Treggiari trial, cumulative data for pulmonary exacerbations and isolates of *P. aeruginosa* are presented for the 70-week follow-up period and these have been reported and analysed in this review (Treggiari 2011).

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

For earlier versions of this review, in order to assess the risk of bias, each author independently assessed the methodological quality of each trial, based on the method described by Schulz (Schulz 1995). From the 2011 update, each author independently assessed the risk of bias using the tool recommended by the Cochrane Collaboration (Higgins 2011). The risk of bias was judged to be high, unclear or low for the domains of:

- sequence generation;
- allocation concealment;
- blinding (risk of bias increased as the level of blinding decreased);
- incomplete outcome data (the risk of bias increased if any withdrawals were not adequately described and reasons for withdrawals given, or if the withdrawals were not equal across groups);
- selective outcome reporting (bias increased if stated outcome measures were only partially reported or not reported at all);
 - other potential sources of bias.

Where there was disagreement on the quality and risk of bias of a trial, the authors reached a consensus by discussion.

Measures of treatment effect

For binary outcome measures, in order to allow an intention-to-treat analysis, the authors sought data on the number of participants with each outcome event, by allocated treated group, irrespective of compliance and whether or not the participant was later thought to be ineligible or otherwise excluded from treatment or follow up. We calculated a pooled estimate of the treatment effect for each outcome across trials - the odds ratio (OR) or the ratio of the odds of an outcome among treatment allocated participants to the corresponding odds among controls with 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

For continuous outcomes, in order to allow an intention-to-treat analysis, we sought outcome data by allocated treated group, irrespective of compliance and whether or not the participant was later thought to be ineligible or otherwise excluded from treatment or follow up. We recorded either mean change from baseline for each group or mean post-treatment or intervention values and standard deviation (SD). We calculated a pooled estimate of treatment effect by calculating the mean difference (MD) with 95% CIs.

The authors have reported longitudinal data as individual time points. We realise that this method ignores any correlation between the participants; however, we have been unable to analyse these data using more appropriate methods as we do not have the correlation co-efficient for these data. If in the future, we are able to obtain the correlation co-efficient, we will analyse these data more appropriately.

In this version of the review, we entered time-to-event data into the meta-analysis using the log hazard ratio. This was possible for the outcome 'time to pulmonary exacerbation' (severe or any), in the comparison of cycled versus. culture-based therapy (Analysis 6.5; Analysis 6.7) and ciprofloxacin versus placebo (Analysis 7.5; Analysis 7.7). We presented binary data on clearance of *P. aerugi*nosa from BAL, sputum or oropharyngeal cultures and occurrence of chronic infection with P. aeruginosa at multiple time points. We calculated the OR at each time point separately, thus ignoring the correlation between time points. For future updates of this review, for time-to-event data, such as time to next P. aeruginosa infection or time to chronic infection, the authors will attempt to obtain individual patient data (IPD). We will use these IPD to provide estimates of the log hazard ratio and its standard error and plan to combine time-to-event data from trials in a meta-analysis. We used the generic inverse variance (GIV) to analyse the data for time to next isolation of *P. aeruginosa* from the Ratjen trial (Ratjen 2010).

Unit of analysis issues

Cross-over trials are not eligible for inclusion within this review. The natural history of infection with *P. aeruginosa* in CF comprises an initial infection with the organism usually in planktonic form, followed by chronic infection (in which the *P. aeruginosa*

frequently exists in the mucoid state). In the planktonic form, antibiotics can eradicate the organism; however, persistent infection is associated with biofilm growth and adaptive evolution mediated by genetic variation. The development of mucoidy, hypermutability and the acquisition of mutational antibiotic resistance are important factors associated with persistent infection and are associated with increased difficulty in eradication (Ciofu 2012). In a cross-over trial comparing active treatment with placebo, given the progression of infections due to of *P.aeruginosa*, the group receiving the active treatment after placebo will be at a disadvantage compared with those receiving active treatment first. The *P. aeruginosa* may form a biofilm during placebo treatment and so it would not be able to be eradicated during the active treatment phase. Hence, a cross-over trial is an inappropriate design and the authors have not included cross-over trials in this review.

Dealing with missing data

In trials where outcome data were unavailable for randomised participants, the authors performed an available-case analysis. This available-case analysis included data on only those participants whose results are known, using as a denominator the total number of people who completed the trial for the particular outcome in question.

When data were incomplete, the authors imputed the missing data to provide best-case and worst-case scenarios, in order to show the range of possible results for the combined analysis (see Analysis 1.4; Analysis 1.5). The best-case scenario analysis is based on the assumption that all the missing data points represented beneficial clinical outcomes, whereas the worst-case analysis assumes that all missing data points had a negative clinical outcome.

Assessment of heterogeneity

For future updates of this review, if we are able to combine a sufficient number of trials (at least four), we will test for heterogeneity using the I² statistic (Higgins 2003). We will consider values of I² up to 30% to indicate little or no heterogeneity, values between 30% and 60% to represent moderate heterogeneity, values from 60% to 90% to represent substantial heterogeneity and values over 90% to represent considerable heterogeneity. We accept that the importance of the observed value of I² depends firstly on the magnitude and direction of effects and secondly on strength of evidence for heterogeneity (e.g. P value from the Chi² test, or a confidence interval for I²). In a future version of this review (with more trials included in the meta-analysis of individual treatment comparisons), if we find evidence of at least substantial clinical heterogeneity (as defined above) in the included trials, we will perform a random-effects analysis.

Assessment of reporting biases

The authors sought evidence of reporting bias by comparison of the reported outcomes with those listed in the trial's methodological description. Where important outcomes have not been identified, we have requested the original trial protocol from the authors.

Data synthesis

The authors have analysed the data using a fixed-effect model. If, in future updates of this review, we find evidence of at least substantial clinical heterogeneity (as defined above) in the included trials, we plan to perform a random-effects analysis.

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

If we identify a moderate degree of heterogeneity or higher (Higgins 2003) and are able to combine a sufficient number of trials (at least 10), then we will investigate this with subgroup analyses. We plan to categorise participants if possible as *P. aeruginosa*-free and *P. aeruginosa*-naive according to the definition by Lee (Lee 2003). These subgroups will be analysed separately.

Sensitivity analysis

We also plan to test the robustness of our results with the following sensitivity analyses:

- trials where participants receive treatment within three months of isolation of *P. aeruginosa* versus those where the interval is between three and 12 months;
- trials with high risk of bias versus low risk of bias for generation of allocation sequence;
- trials with a high risk of bias versus a low risk of bias for concealment of allocation;
 - multi-centre versus single centre trials.

RESULTS

Description of studies

Results of the search

Our search identified a total of 49 trials, none of these were crossover trials. Of these 49 trials, seven met our inclusion criteria (Gibson 2003; Proesmans 2013; Ratjen 2010; Taccetti 2012; Treggiari 2011; Valerius 1991; Wiesemann 1998); we identified one trial which is still ongoing and will include data from this trial in a future update of this review once they have been published (TORPEDO Trial); 40 trials were excluded and one trial is listed as 'Awaiting classification' (Noah 2010). We have contacted the investigators of this trial for further information to allow us to include or exclude it at a future update.

Included studies

The seven included trials enrolled a total of 744 participants (Gibson 2003; Proesmans 2013; Ratjen 2010; Taccetti 2012; Treggiari 2011; Valerius 1991; Wiesemann 1998).

Trial characteristics

All seven included trials were randomised controlled trials of parallel design. Two trials were placebo-controlled (Gibson 2003; Wiesemann 1998); one trial compared active treatment to no treatment (Valerius 1991); and three trials were open-label trials comparing different active treatments (Proesmans 2013; Ratjen 2010; Taccetti 2012). The design of the Treggiari trial was complex, with randomisation to cycled treatment with tobramycin solution for inhalation (TSI) or culture-based treatment and further randomisation to additional oral ciprofloxacin or placebo (Treggiari 2011). One trial reported stratification for age and participating centre (Gibson 2003), another trial reported stratification by age and FEV₁ values as an expression of illness severity (Taccetti 2012); the remaining five trials did not use stratification (Proesmans 2013; Ratjen 2010; Treggiari 2011; Valerius 1991; Wiesemann 1998). Two trials stated that they were double-blind (Gibson 2003; Wiesemann 1998); the Treggiari trial used placebo to blind for ciprofloxacin but not for TSI (Treggiari 2011); the other trials could not be blinded due to differing treatment regimens. Five trials were multicentre (Gibson 2003; Ratjen 2010; Taccetti 2012; Treggiari 2011; Wiesemann 1998) and the other two were singlecentre trials (Proesmans 2013; Valerius 1991). Five trials were based in Europe (Proesmans 2013; Ratien 2010; Taccetti 2012; Valerius 1991; Wiesemann 1998) and two in North America (Gibson 2003; Treggiari 2011). The number of participants in each trial ranged from 21 to 306 and were as follows: 306 in the Treggiari trial (Treggiari 2011); 223 in the Taccetti trial (Taccetti 2012); 88 in the Ratjen trial (Ratjen 2010); 26 in the Proesmans trial (Proesmans 2013); 26 in the Valerius trial (Valerius 1991); 22 in the Wiesemann trial (Wiesemann 1998); and 21 in the Gibson trial (Gibson 2003). The Gibson trial reported that the planned sample size was 98 participants, but randomisation was stopped after an early interim analysis by the Data Monitoring Committee was undertaken due to poor accrual. This analysis showed a statistically significant treatment effect and so the trial was stopped (Gibson 2003). The duration of the trials varied and ranged from 28 days (Gibson 2003) to 27 months (Valerius 1991).

Participant characteristics

All seven trials had approximately equal numbers of males and females. Only two trials recruited adult participants; Taccetti recruited participants aged from 1 to 35 years (Taccetti 2012) and

in the Ratjen trial any patient over six months of age was eligible for inclusion (Ratien 2010). Two trials were restricted to younger children: six months to six years (Gibson 2003) and 1 to 12 years (Treggiari 2011). All seven trials specified that participants had to have microbiological evidence of recent onset of airway infection with P. aeruginosa. However, the interval allowed between isolation of P. aeruginosa and randomisation to study treatment varied greatly, from four weeks (Proesmans 2013; Wiesemann 1998) to as long as six months (Treggiari 2011). Two trials additionally specified that individuals with raised titres to anti-pseudomonal antibodies were excluded from the trial (Ratjen 2010; Wiesemann 1998). Other data from the EPIC study have shown that raised antibodies to P. aeruginosa (anti-alkaline protease and anti-exotoxin A) are associated with an increased risk of recurrence in the 60 weeks following eradication treatment (Anstead 2013). Studies excluding participants with raised antibodies might therefore be expected to achieve higher eradication rates.

Intervention

Trials have used various combinations of inhaled tobramycin, inhaled colistin, oral ciprofloxacin, placebo and no treatment. The duration of the intervention has varied greatly, from three weeks (Valerius 1991) to one year (Wiesemann 1998). Two trials compared tobramycin to placebo (Gibson 2003; Wiesemann 1998). One trial used tobramycin solution for inhalation (TSI) TOBI® (now marketed by Novartis) at a dose of 300 mg twice-daily for 28 days (Gibson 2003); the second trial used aerosolised tobramycin parenteral preparation (Eli Lilly, Bad Homburg, Germany) at a dose of 80 mg twice-daily for 12 months (Wiesemann 1998). Ratjen evaluated a short (28 days) versus a longer (56 days) TSI course (Ratjen 2010). Participants in the Treggiari trial were randomised to receive either cycles of four weeks of treatment with nebulised TSI in every 12-week period or TSI only when respiratory culture was positive for P. aeruginosa (Treggiari 2011). In this trial, participants were also randomised to receive either oral ciprofloxacin or placebo for two weeks, commencing at the same time as TSI. This design resulted in a four-arm trial where all trial participants had an initial 28-day course of TSI, with an additional 28 days given if the patient remained positive after initial treatment. Ciprofloxacin or placebo was not given with second course of TSI and follow up was for 18 months from randomisation and first treatment with TSI (Treggiari 2011). Three trials evaluated inhaled colistin in combination with oral ciprofloxacin (Proesmans 2013; Taccetti 2012; Valerius 1991). Proesmans compared colistin 2 million units (MU) twice daily for three months (in combination with oral ciprofloxacin 30 mg/kg/day) to TSI 300 mg twice daily for 28 days (Proesmans 2013). The Taccetti trial compared 28 days of inhaled colistin with 28 days TSI; both arms also had oral ciprofloxacin 30 mg/kg/day for 28 days (Taccetti 2012). Valerius compared colistin 1 MU (plus ciprofloxacin 250 mg to 750 mg), both given twice daily for three weeks, for initial and any subsequent isolate of P. aeruginosa to no treatment (Valerius 1991).

Outcome measures

The most widely used primary outcome measure was eradication of P. aeruginosa from respiratory secretions, though definitions of eradication differ considerably between trials. In the Gibson trial, the primary outcome was the change in P. aeruginosa density, on BAL, from baseline to 28 days (Gibson 2003). However, the trial also looked at eradication, defined as a density of P. aeruginosa in BAL of less than 20 colony forming units (CFU) at 28 days from baseline. Proesmans defined eradication as a negative culture result for P. aeruginosa (from sputum, cough swab or BAL) at 28 days or three months (depending on which intervention the participant received) (Proesmans 2013). Both trials based successful eradication on a single specimen (Gibson 2003; Proesmans 2013). In contrast, Taccetti used a more stringent definition of eradication as per guidance published by the UK CF Trust of three negative cultures in a six-month period (Taccetti 2012; UK CF Trust 2004). Ratjen used the median time to recurrence of any strain of P. aeruginosa during a 27-month follow-up period (Ratjen 2010). In the oldest trial, the primary outcome measure was time to chronic infection with P. aeruginosa, defined as the presence of P. aeruginosa in monthly sputum samples for six consecutive months or the development of precipitating serum antibodies against P. aeruginosa or both (see Description of the condition for other definitions of chronic infection) (Valerius 1991). There were two primary outcomes in the Treggiari trial, time to pulmonary exacerbation requiring intravenous antibiotics and proportion of P. aeruginosa positive cultures over the 18-month trial period (Treggiari 2011). Other measured outcomes included less severe pulmonary exacerbations, Pseudomonas antibody levels, lung function, nutritional status, modified Shwachman score and monitoring for adverse clinical and microbiological effects.


Excluded studies

We excluded 40 trials from our analysis for a number of reasons. Three trials were excluded because therapy was not randomised (Gibson 2007; Postnikov 2000; Schelstraete 2010) and one trial was excluded because it was an observational study (Ballman 1998). Four trials were excluded as they did not have a control group (Heinzl 2002; Littlewood 1985; Ratjen 2001a; Steinkamp 1989) and a further five because they used a historical control group (Frederiksen 1997; Griese 2002; Kenny 2009; Taccetti 2005; Vazquez 1993). A total of 16 trials were excluded as they involved participants with chronic P. aeruginosa infection (Clancy 2013; Coates 2011; Goss 2009; Konstan 2010; Latzin 2008; Lenoir 2007; Mazurek 2012; Oermann 2009; Postnikov 2007; Prayle 2013; Ramsey 1999; Retsch-Bogart 2008; Retsch-Bogart 2009; Steinkamp 2007; Trapnell 2012; Wainwright 2011b). Two trials were excluded as they were designed to evaluate a diagnostic technique for P. aeruginosa (Brett 1992; Wainwright 2011a). A further two trials were excluded as they evaluated symptomatic rather than eradication treatment (Church 1997; Schaad 1997) and one was of a prophylactic antibiotic regimen to prevent infection with *P. aeruginosa* (Tramper-Stranders 2009). Five trials looked at pharmacokinetics and drug tolerability (Alothman 2002; Alothman 2005; Geller 2007; Rietschel 2009; Schuster 2013) and the final trial was excluded as it looked at antibiotic sinonasal nebulisation aiming to eradicate from the sinuses only (Mainz 2014).

Risk of bias in included studies

Please see further information in the risk of bias sections of the tables (Characteristics of included studies) and the graphical risk of bias summary (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Risk of bias summary: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item for each included study.



Allocation

All seven trials were described as randomised controlled trials; in four, the method of generation of allocation sequence was not stated; hence the trials are judged to have an unclear risk of bias (Gibson 2003; Proesmans 2013; Ratjen 2010; Valerius 1991). The remaining three trials are judged to have a low risk of bias (Taccetti 2012; Treggiari 2011; Wiesemann 1998). In the first of these, Taccetti describes a balanced randomisation sequence that was created using statistical software using permuted blocks of size 10 (Taccetti 2012). Treggiari employed a computer random number generator to assign treatments within permuted blocks of 12 (Treggiari 2011). In the Wiesemann trial, the allocation sequence was generated using a coin flip (Wiesemann 1998). There is no information as to who was responsible for the coin flip or what controls were in place to ensure validity of the result of the coin flip; however we have still judged this to have a low risk of bias.

In five trials it was not reported how allocation was concealed and we judged these to have an unclear risk of bias (Gibson 2003; Proesmans 2013; Ratjen 2010; Valerius 1991; Wiesemann 1998). In the Taccetti trial, the staff involved in randomisation and in treatment assignments were "kept separate" and we judged this trial to have a low risk of selection bias (Taccetti 2012). In the Treggiari trial, randomisation was remote and so allocation was concealed (Treggiari 2011).

Blinding

Two trials were reported as double-blind trials (Gibson 2003; Wiesemann 1998). Gibson did not provide any details in the published paper regarding who was blinded or the method of blinding (Gibson 2003). However, in response to a request for further information, Dr Gibson confirmed that drugs and placebo were sufficiently masked that neither participants or clinicians were able to differentiate between them. Wiesemann reported that participants were blinded by providing a placebo inhalation with a similar taste to the treatment inhalation, but it is not clear whether the clinicians administering the treatment were blinded to treatment allocation; however, we still judged this to have a low risk of bias (Wiesemann 1998).

In the Treggiari trial, the oral ciprofloxacin treatment was blinded but the TSI was open label and so we judged this to have an unclear risk of bias (Treggiari 2011).

The remaining four trials did not utilise blinding and were judged to have a high risk of bias (Proesmans 2013; Ratjen 2010; Taccetti 2012; Valerius 1991). The Proesmans trial compared an inhaled intervention to a combination of inhaled and oral treatment, so blinding of participants and clinicians was not possible; there is no information available as to whether the outcome assessors were

blinded (Proesmans 2013). The Ratjen trial was open label comparing 28 or 56 days of nebulised therapy and made no attempt at blinding (Ratjen 2010). The Taccetti trial was also open label comparing 28 days of inhaled colistin with 28 days of TSI, both groups also receiving 28 days of oral ciprofloxacin (Taccetti 2012). Valerius compared a combination of inhaled and oral treatment to no treatment, so again blinding of participants and clinicians was not possible and no information is available with regards to the outcome assessors (Valerius 1991).

Incomplete outcome data

Six trials were judged to have a low risk of bias (Gibson 2003; Proesmans 2013; Ratjen 2010; Taccetti 2012; Treggiari 2011; Valerius 1991). Four trials were analysed on an intention-to-treat basis; all four reported data on all participants who were randomised (Gibson 2003; Proesmans 2013; Taccetti 2012; Valerius 1991). In the Ratjen trial, 65 of the 88 randomised participants were included in the primary outcome (time to recurrence of *P. aeruginosa*). A total of 52 participants were prematurely withdrawn from the trial, but these were approximately evenly distributed across the two treatment groups and reasons were given for each withdrawal; we therefore judge there to be a low risk of bias (Ratjen 2010). In the Treggiari trial only two of 306 randomised participants were excluded from the analysis (because they did not receive treatment) (Treggiari 2011).

One trial had an unclear risk of bias (Wiesemann 1998). Five participants withdrew from this trial after randomisation and only baseline data at entry to the trial were presented for these participants; to date we have been unable to obtain further outcome data. The trial was therefore analysed on an available-case basis and we judged it to have an unclear risk of bias (Wiesemann 1998).

Selective reporting

It is current practice for newer trials to publish a protocol, but we have not been able to locate a published protocol for the Taccetti trial and have therefore judged this trial to have an unclear risk of bias (Taccetti 2012).

We judged three trials to have a high risk of bias (Proesmans 2013; Ratjen 2010; Wiesemann 1998). Although a protocol for the Proesmans trial was available online and we were able to confirm from the full paper that all outcomes from the protocol were measured, the paper did not provide actual data for BMI z score, weight z score or frequency of exacerbations and simply reported that none of these changed significantly for trial participants (Proesmans 2013). Ratjen reported that there were no major short-term (at three months) or long-term (at 27 months) changes in spirometry, but did not record the figures for either

of the two groups. In addition, only summary statements and no numerical data were provided for weight, height or BMI (Ratjen 2010). Wiesemann reported no change in spirometric pulmonary function during or after the treatment period, but again no data were given (Wiesemann 1998).

We judged three trials to have a low risk of bias from selective reporting (Gibson 2003; Treggiari 2011; Valerius 1991). The trial protocol for the EPIC trial was published as a separate paper; primary and secondary outcome measures were clearly described in the protocol and data on all of these outcomes were presented in either the main paper, related papers or in the online supplement (Treggiari 2011). We have compared the 'Methods' sections of the reports from the remaining trials with the 'Results' sections of the same and have not found any evidence of selective reporting (Gibson 2003; Valerius 1991).

Other potential sources of bias

We judged one trial to have a high risk due to other potential sources of bias (Gibson 2003). The Gibson trial planned to recruit to a sample size of 98 participants, but was stopped early by the Data Monitoring Committee after interim analysis of the first 21 participants showed a statistically significant microbiological effect in favour of the tobramycin-treated group (Gibson 2003). This trial was supported in part by Chiron, the manufacturer of the inhaled tobramycin (Gibson 2003).

We judged the Ratjen trial to have an unclear risk due to other potential sources of bias (Ratjen 2010). This trial recruited fewer participants than planned, the total number of planned randomised participants was 100, but 35 from the recruited cohort of 123 were not randomised: 31 because of high *P. aeruginosa* antibody levels (which led the investigators to believe that they were chronically infected with *P aeruginosa*); one for an adverse event; one where consent was withdrawn; one for a protocol deviation; and one 'other' (unspecified) reason (Ratjen 2010). Therefore the trial investigators actually randomised 88 participants and the primary outcome was evaluable in 65 of these (Ratjen 2010). The trial results cannot be generalised to a population where anti-*Pseudomonas* antibodies are not measured. Furthermore, like the earlier Gibson trial, this trial was initially supported by Chiron and later Novartis Pharma, the manufacturer of TSI (Ratjen 2010).

The Proesmans study was judged to have an unclear risk of bias in view of the different time-points at which the primary outcome was measured - at 28 days in the inhaled tobramycin group and three months in the colistin with ciprofloxacin group (Proesmans 2013).

In four trials no other potential source of bias was identified and these were judged to have a low risk of bias (Taccetti 2012; Treggiari 2011; Valerius 1991; Wiesemann 1998).

Effects of interventions

Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo

This comparison included two trials with 43 participants (Gibson 2003; Wiesemann 1998).

Primary outcome

1. Eradication of *P. aeruginosa* from the respiratory tract

a. Clearance of P. aeruginosa from BAL, sputum or oropharyngeal cultures

The intervention (inhaled tobramycin) was given in a very different dose in the Wiesemann trial (80 mg twice daily) compared to the Gibson trial (300 mg twice daily) (Gibson 2003; Wiesemann 1998). In the Gibson trial, significantly fewer children who received TSI had a positive BAL at one month, OR 0.01 (95% CI 0.00 to 0.30), but not at two months, OR 0.21 (95% CI 0.03 to 1.47) (Analysis 1.1). Results were not available for all the participants in the Wiesemann trial, thereby precluding an intention-totreat analysis (Wiesemann 1998). Wiesemann was able to demonstrate a statistically significant reduction in the odds of a positive culture from the respiratory tract specimen only after six months, OR 0.06 (95% CI 0.00 to 0.92) and 12 months of treatment, OR 0.02 (95% CI 0.00 to 0.67) but not at one, two or three months (Analysis 1.2). An available-case analysis of the data presented in the Wiesemann trial showed that, when combined with the data from the Gibson trial, there was a reduction in the odds of a positive culture in the treatment group compared to the placebo group at one month, OR 0.06 (95% CI 0.01 to 0.33); and two months, OR 0.15 (95% CI 0.03 to 0.65) both of which were statistically significant (Analysis 1.3).

A sensitivity analysis following imputation of the missing data to provide best-case and worst case-scenarios for the combined analysis showed a range of possible results. The best-case scenario showed a reduction in the odds of a positive culture of *P. aeruginosa* in the treatment group at both one month, OR 0.06 (95% CI 0.01 to 0.30); and two months, OR 0.14 (95% CI 0.03 to 0.60) (Analysis 1.4). Furthermore, these imputed data also showed a significant difference in favour of tobramycin at six months, OR 0.04 (95% CI 0.00 to 0.48) and 12 months, OR 0.01 (95% CI 0.00 to 0.26), but not at three months (Analysis 1.4). In the worst-case scenario the odds of a positive culture was reduced at one month, OR 0.08 (95% CI 0.02 to 0.38) and two months, OR 0.18 (95% CI 0.04 to 0.73), but was not statistically significant for Wiesemann alone at three, six or 12 months (Analysis 1.5).

b. Time to next isolation of P. aeruginosa from BAL, sputum or oropharyngeal cultures

Neither trial assessed or reported on this outcome.

Secondary Outcomes

1. Mortality

Mortality was not included as an outcome in either trial, but there were no reported deaths during any of the trial periods (Gibson 2003; Wiesemann 1998).

2. Quality of life

Neither trial assessed or reported on this outcome.

3. Spirometric lung function

Wiesemann reported no change in spirometric pulmonary function during or after the treatment period, but no data were given (Wiesemann 1998). Gibson did not assess or report on spirometric lung function; most of the participants in this trial were too young to perform spirometry reliably (Gibson 2003).

4. Growth and nutritional status

Only the trial by Gibson presented data on weight (Gibson 2003). There was no significant difference found between the two groups in the change in weight from baseline (measured at trial entry) and subsequent weights measured at one month and two months (Analysis 1.6).

5. Frequency of respiratory exacerbations

Neither trial assessed or reported on this outcome.

6. Isolation of other micro-organisms

Gibson reported no changes in the prevalence of other micro-organisms, including multi-resistant organisms, cultured from respiratory secretions (Gibson 2003). Wiesemann did not collect data on this outcome (Wiesemann 1998).

7. Adverse effects of antibiotics

Gibson reported cough in association with inhalation in seven out of eight participants in the treatment group and in 12 out of 13 in the placebo group, but this result was not statistically significant (Analysis 1.7). There was no evidence of a difference in serum creatinine levels or auditory threshold between the groups, however the numbers of participants was small (Gibson 2003). Wiesemann reported one withdrawal from the placebo group because of cough, however the authors did not report on the presence or absence of cough in other participants (Wiesemann 1998).

Additional outcomes which have arisen during the review

1. Time to chronic infection

Neither trial assessed or reported on this outcome.

2. Clinical and radiological scores

Only the Gibson trial reported modified Shwachmann scores, which were recorded at one month and two months from enrolment and were expressed as both mean scores with SDs and mean change from baseline with SDs (Gibson 2003). There were no significant differences between the two groups in changes in either mean scores or modified Schwachman scores from baseline at either one month or two months (Analysis 1.8).

3. Cost

Neither trial reported a health economic analysis.

Oral ciprofloxacin and inhaled colistin versus no treatment

This intervention included only one trial with 26 participants (Valerius 1991).

Primary outcome

1. Eradication of P. aeruginosa from the respiratory tract

a. Clearance of P. aeruginosa from BAL, sputum or oropharyngeal cultures

The included trial did not report on this outcome.

b. Time to next isolation of P. aeruginosa from BAL, sputum or oropharyngeal cultures

The included trial did not report on this outcome.

Secondary Outcomes

1. Mortality

The included trial did not report on this outcome.

2. Quality of life

The included trial did not report on this outcome.

3. Spirometric lung function

The included trial did not report on this outcome.

4. Growth and nutritional status

The included trial did not report on this outcome.

5. Frequency of respiratory exacerbations

The included trial did not report on this outcome.

6. Isolation of other micro-organisms

The included trial did not report on this outcome.

7. Adverse effects of antibiotics

Valerius did not describe cough specifically, but reported that there were no adverse effects in either group (Valerius 1991).

Additional outcomes which have arisen during the review

1. Time to chronic infection

We defined this as the presence of *P. aeruginosa* in each monthly sputum sample for six consecutive months or the presence of precipitating antibodies to *P. aeruginosa* or both. In the Valerius trial, from the data provided, it was possible to calculate the proportion of participants in each group who were defined as chronically colonised with *P. aeruginosa* from respiratory secretions at 3, 6, 12 and 24 month time points (Valerius 1991). The odds of being chronically infected with *P. aeruginosa* were reduced in the treatment group compared to the placebo group after 24 months, OR 0.12 (95% CI 0.02 to 0.79) (Analysis 2.1). No significant difference was detected between the two groups at the other time points. No other trials in the review used this outcome measure to express their findings.

2. Clinical and radiological scores

The included trial did not report on this outcome.

3. Cost

The Valerius trial did not include a health economic analysis.

Oral ciprofloxacin and inhaled colistin versus inhaled tobramycin

This intervention comparing oral ciprofloxacin and inhaled colistin (three months) with inhaled tobramycin (28 days) included only one trial including 58 participants (29 in each treatment group) (Proesmans 2013).

Primary outcome

1. Eradication of P. aeruginosa from the respiratory tract

a. Clearance of P. aeruginosa from BAL, sputum or oropharyngeal cultures

At some point in the six months following treatment, *P. aeruginosa* was isolated in 10 out of 29 participants enrolled to the inhaled colistin with oral ciprofloxacin arm compared to 16 out of 29 in the TSI arm. The difference between groups was not statistically significant (Analysis 3.1).

b. Time to next isolation of P. aeruginosa from BAL, sputum or oropharyngeal cultures

The included trial did not report on this outcome.

Secondary Outcomes

1. Mortality

There were no deaths in either arm (Proesmans 2013).

2. Quality of life

The included trial did not report on this outcome.

3. Spirometric lung function

The median change from baseline in FEV_1 (% predicted) for all the participants was -1%. The changes are not reported separately for each treatment arm (Proesmans 2013).

4. Growth and nutritional status

Both BMI z score and weight z score were reported not to have changed significantly for trial participants as a whole, but numerical data are not reported (Proesmans 2013).

5. Frequency of respiratory exacerbations

The authors report that, during the first six months of follow up, there was no difference between the two treatment arms in number of oral antibiotic treatment days. These oral antibiotics were given for symptoms and not because of failed eradication. However, numerical data are not reported (Proesmans 2013).

6. Isolation of other micro-organisms

The included trial did not report on this outcome.

7. Adverse effects of antibiotics

One participant is reported to have developed a severe cough with TSI, but this result was not statistically significant (Analysis 3.2). No other adverse effects are reported.

Additional outcomes which have arisen during the review

1. Time to chronic infection

The included trial did not report on this outcome.

2. Clinical and radiological scores

The included trial did not report on this outcome.

3. Cost

The included trial did not include a health economic analysis.

Inhaled tobramycin (28 days) versus inhaled tobramycin (56 days)

This intervention comparing 28 days TSI with 56 days TSI included one trial of 123 participants, of whom 88 were randomised (Ratjen 2010).

Primary outcome

1. Eradication of P. aeruginosa from the respiratory tract

a. Clearance of P. aeruginosa from BAL, sputum or oropharyngeal cultures

This outcome was not evaluated; data are presented in the paper at one month when participants were all given the initial 28 days of TSI before randomisation and were excluded if they had raised anti *P. aeruginosa* antibody levels (Ratjen 2010).

b. Time to next isolation of P. aeruginosa from BAL, sputum or oropharyngeal cultures

The median time to recurrence was 26.12 months in the 28-day treatment group and 25.82 months in the 56-day treatment group. Differences between groups were not significant (Analysis 4.1).

Secondary Outcomes

1. Mortality

There were no deaths reported (Ratjen 2010).

2. Quality of life

The included trial did not report on this outcome.

3. Spirometric lung function

The paper presented data in a table for the median change from baseline to three months and to 27 months for $FEV_1\%$ predicted, FVC % predicted and FEF_{25-75} % predicted; however, presented data were combined for both the 28-day and the 56-day treatment groups. The paper states that there were "no major short- or long-term changes in spirometric parameters observed during the study period" (Ratjen 2010).

4. Growth and nutritional status

No significant differences in weight, height or body mass index were reported. Only a summary statement was presented, indicating that the no significant difference was found in weight, height or body mass index. No data were provided (Ratjen 2010).

5. Frequency of respiratory exacerbations

Two participants allocated to the 56-day treatment group were hospitalised on one occasion, each for a pulmonary exacerbation. One of these isolated *P. aeruginosa* and was treated with intravenous ceftazidime and tobramycin. When entered into the analysis this gave a non-significant result (Analysis 4.2).

6. Isolation of other micro-organisms

There were no consistent trends reported in the isolation of non-*P. aeruginosa* organisms (one isolate only of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*) which was seen in the 28-day arm).

7. Adverse effects of antibiotics

Adverse events up to three months that were considered possibly or probably related to treatment were reported by 14 participants in each treatment group, with the majority being related to dysphonia in both treatment groups (11% and 14%, respectively) and cough in the 28-day group (9%). There were no significant differences between treatment groups for any of the reported adverse events at any time-point (Analysis 4.3; Analysis 4.4).

Additional outcomes which have arisen during the review

1. Time to chronic infection

The included trial did not report on this outcome.

2. Clinical and radiological scores

The included trial did not report on this outcome.

3. Cost

The included trial did not have a health economic analysis.

Inhaled colistin plus oral ciprofloxacin versus inhaled tobramycin plus oral ciprofloxacin

This comparison of inhaled colistin plus oral ciprofloxacin with inhaled tobramycin plus oral ciprofloxacin included one trial with 223 participants (Taccetti 2012).

Primary outcome

1. Eradication of P. aeruginosa from the respiratory tract

a. Clearance of P. aeruginosa from BAL, sputum or oropharyngeal cultures

In the inhaled colistin plus oral ciprofloxacin group, *P. aeruginosa* was isolated within the first six months from 39 out of 105 participants (37.1%) and in the the inhaled tobramycin plus oral ciprofloxacin group from 41 out of 118 participants (34.7%) (Taccetti 2012). When data were analysed, the rate of isolation of *P. aeruginosa* between the two arms was not significantly different (Analysis 5.1). At a median follow-up period of 16 months, *P. aeruginosa* had been isolated from 36 out of 97 participants in the colistin with ciprofloxacin arm for whom data were available and from 24 out of 108 participants in the tobramycin with ciprofloxacin arm; the two arms were not significantly different. The trial authors report that subgroup analyses by gender, age (one to five years; five

to twelve years and over twelve years), lung function (FEV $_1$ less than or greater than 70%) and participants with first ever isolation of *P. aeruginosa* failed to show any significant differences between groups (Taccetti 2012).

b. Time to next isolation of P. aeruginosa from BAL, sputum or oropharyngeal cultures

The trial did not report on this outcome.

Secondary Outcomes

1. Mortality

No deaths were reported (Taccetti 2012).

2. Quality of life

The trial did not report on this outcome.

3. Spirometric lung function

Following treatment, after a mean (SD) time of observation of 54 (39) days, the mean (SD) relative change (percentage of predicted) in FEV₁ from baseline was 2.15 (8.50)% in the inhaled colistin plus oral ciprofloxacin group compared to 4.55 (11.54)% in the the inhaled tobramycin plus oral ciprofloxacin group (not statistically significant) (Analysis 5.2).

4. Growth and nutritional status

The trial did not report these outcomes.

5. Frequency of respiratory exacerbations

The trial did not report this outcome.

6. Isolation of other micro-organisms

There was an observation that *S. maltophilia* was isolated more frequently in the follow-up period than before eradication treatment. There were no differences during follow up between the two groups for isolation of: *S. maltophilia, Achromobacter xylosoxidans* or *Aspergillus* species (Analysis 5.3).

7. Adverse effects to antibiotics

There were a total of 38 out of 223 randomised participants (17%) who discontinued treatment early; of these 17 were from the inhaled colistin plus oral ciprofloxacin group and 21 from the inhaled tobramycin plus oral ciprofloxacin group (Analysis 5.4). There were a number of reasons for these discontinuations including vomiting, photosensitivity, wheeze, pulmonary exacerbation and lack of compliance.

Additional outcomes which have arisen during the review

1. Time to chronic infection

The trial did not report on this outcome.

2. Clinical and radiological scores

The trial did not report on this outcome.

3. Cost

A health economic analysis was not undertaken in the included trial.

Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin

This comparison of cycled inhaled tobramycin (with oral ciprofloxacin or placebo) with culture-based inhaled tobramycin (with oral ciprofloxacin or placebo) included one trial, with 306 participants randomised and data analysed on 304 participants who received treatment (Treggiari 2011).

Primary outcome

1. Eradication of P. aeruginosa from the respiratory tract

a. Clearance of P. aeruginosa from BAL, sputum or oropharyngeal cultures

Treggiari reported 43 out of 148 children on cycled therapy had one or more isolates of *P. aeruginosa* compared to 67 out of 150 children on culture-based therapy giving a statistically significant effect in favour of cycled therapy, OR 0.51 (95% CI 0.31 to 0.82) (Analysis 6.1). The main trial publication reports an age-adjusted OR, using generalised estimating equations, with robust variance, specifying a logit link and assuming an independence working correlation (Treggiari 2011). This may explain the difference between the trial publication and the findings of this review.

b. Time to next isolation of P. aeruginosa from BAL, sputum or oropharyngeal cultures

This was not reported in the included trial.

Secondary Outcomes

1. Mortality

No deaths were reported in either arm (Treggiari 2011).

2. Quality of life

This outcome was not reported in the included trial.

3. Spirometric lung function

The included trial reports the mean 70-week change in FEV_1 % predicted, but the mean difference between the two arms was not significant (Analysis 6.2).

4. Growth and nutritional status

The Treggiari trial reports the mean 70-week change from baseline in weight (kg) for each treatment arm, but the MD between arms was not significant (Analysis 6.3). The trial also reports data for the change from baseline in height (cm) for each arm at the same time point; again the MD between arms was not significant (Analysis 6.4).

5. Frequency of respiratory exacerbations

A primary outcome in the Treggiari trial was the time to a severe pulmonary exacerbation (i.e. an exacerbation requiring intravenous antibiotics or hospitalisation or both) (Treggiari 2011). The analysis shows no significant difference in time to a severe exacerbation (Analysis 6.5).

Data on the frequency of severe exacerbations, during the 70-week follow-up period, are also presented in the paper. From our analysis, the data indicate no significant difference between groups (Analysis 6.6).

Treggiari also reported a secondary outcome of time to pulmonary exacerbation of any severity (including any exacerbation treated with intravenous, inhaled or oral antibiotics or requiring hospitalisation). The results of our analysis are slightly different to those reported in the paper, but still show no statistically significant difference in time to any exacerbation (Analysis 6.7).

Finally, the number of exacerbations of any severity was also reported. In our analysis, the OR was not significantly different between cycled and culture-based therapy (Analysis 6.8).

6. Isolation of other micro-organisms

The Treggiari trial reported the number of participants in each arm with one or more isolates of the emerging pathogen *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (Treggiari 2011). There was no significant difference between cycled and culture-based therapy (Analysis 6.9).

7. Adverse effects to antibiotics

With regards to the incidence of adverse effects, Treggiari did not report any significant difference between treatment arms, although adverse events attributable to antibiotic therapy were not recorded separately from adverse events which were unlikely to be related to the study intervention (Treggiari 2011). Our results were also not statistically significant (Analysis 6.10).

Additional outcomes which have arisen during the review

1. Time to chronic infection

This was not reported in the one included trial.

2. Clinical and radiological scores

These outcomes were not reported in the one included trial.

3. Cost

A health economic analysis was not undertaken in the one included trial.

Ciprofloxacin *versus* placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin therapy

As discussed under Description of studies, the Treggari trial randomised participants to cycled versus culture-based inhaled to-bramycin therapy and then to oral ciprofloxacin versus placebo for two weeks with each 28-day course of TSI (Treggiari 2011). This section presents the comparison of outcomes in the ciprofloxacin and placebo arms.

Primary outcome

1. Eradication of *P. aeruginosa* from the respiratory tract

a. Clearance of P. aeruginosa from BAL, sputum or oropharyngeal cultures

Treggiari reported that 49 out of 146 children on oral ciprofloxacin had one or more isolates of *P. aeruginosa* compared to 55 out of 150 children on placebo (Treggiari 2011). The data for this outcome show a non-statistically significant effect. Age group-adjusted ORs are reported in the trial paper; in our analysis, we did not adjust for age.

b. Time to next isolation of P. aeruginosa from BAL, sputum or oropharyngeal cultures

This was not reported in the included trial.

Secondary Outcomes

1. Mortality

No deaths were reported in either arm (Treggiari 2011).

2. Quality of life

This outcome was not reported in the included trial.

3. Spirometric lung function

The included trial reports the mean 70-week change in FEV_1 % predicted, but the MD between the two arms was not significant (Analysis 7.2).

4. Growth and nutritional status

The Treggiari trial reports the mean 70-week change from baseline in weight (kg) for each treatment arm; the MD between arms was not significant (Analysis 7.3). The trial also reports data for the change from baseline in height (cm) for each arm; again the MD between arms was not significant (Analysis 7.4).

5. Frequency of respiratory exacerbations

A primary outcome in the Treggiari trial was the time to a severe pulmonary exacerbation (i.e. an exacerbation requiring intravenous antibiotics or hospitalisation, or both) (Treggiari 2011). Again, for the ciprofloxacin versus placebo comparison, there was no significant difference in time to a severe exacerbation (Analysis 7.5).

Data on the frequency of severe exacerbations, during the 70-week follow-up period, are also presented in the paper. From our analysis, the OR is not significantly different between groups (Analysis 7.6).

We analysed the outcome 'time to pulmonary exacerbation (any severity)', including any exacerbation treated with intravenous, inhaled or oral antibiotics or requiring hospitalisation (Analysis 7.7). There was no difference between ciprofloxacin and placebo in time to exacerbation (any severity).

Finally, the number of exacerbations of any severity was not significantly different between ciprofloxacin and placebo (Analysis 7.8).

6. Isolation of other micro-organisms

In the Treggiari trial, there was no significant difference between ciprofloxacin and placebo in the number of isolates of *S. maltophilia* (Analysis 7.9).

7. Adverse effects to antibiotics

Treggiari did not report any significant difference between treatment arms in the incidence of adverse events, although adverse events attributable to antibiotic therapy were not recorded separately from adverse events which were unlikely to be related to the trial intervention (Treggiari 2011). Our analysis showed no difference between arms in the number of participants with one or more serious adverse events (Analysis 7.10).

Additional outcomes which have arisen during the review

1. Time to chronic infection

This was not reported in the one included trial.

2. Clinical and radiological scores

These outcomes were not reported in the one included trial.

3. Cost

A health economic analysis was not undertaken in the one included trial.

DISCUSSION

Summary of main results

Our review includes seven trials (with data from 744 participants) of antibiotic strategies for the eradication of *P. aeruginosa* infection in CF, conducted over a period of over 20 years. Each trial used a different intervention and only two trials could be combined in a meta-analysis of the same treatment comparison. An early interim analysis was performed due to slow accrual in one trial (Gibson 2003).

Cumulative data from 43 participants in two of the seven included trials indicate that P. aeruginosa was more frequently eradicated from the respiratory secretions in the participants receiving antibiotics than from those receiving placebo (Gibson 2003; Wiesemann 1998). This reduction in the number of isolates of P. aeruginosa was noted at both one month and two months after the start of treatment. A further trial suggests that the onset of chronic infection with P. aeruginosa is delayed in those individuals who have received antibiotic therapy compared to those receiving no therapy (Valerius 1991). There was evidence from the trials by Valerius and Wiesemann that this effect may persist for up to 24 months (Valerius 1991; Wiesemann 1998). We found no difference in adverse events. In two trials, an increased incidence of the emerging pathogen S. maltophilia was reported following treatment, but no difference was found between eradication regimens in either of these trials (Taccetti 2012; Treggiari 2011) .

The trial by Proesmans, which randomised 58 participants, was associated with a low rate of short-term eradication of *P. aeruginosa* from both groups of children treated with nebulised high-dose tobramycin and a combination of oral ciprofloxacin with inhaled colistin (Proesmans 2013). The numbers of participants in each group were too low to allow comparisons of superiority between the two eradication regimens to be made. A further possible cause of the low eradication rate in this trial may be that participants were recruited if they had been free of *P. aeruginosa* for at least six months (with negative microbiology samples for at least six months), which is shorter than the 12-month *P. aeruginosa*-free interval used by the Leeds definition of '*Pseudomonas*-free' (Lee 2003).

The trial by Ratjen took place in 21 centres in Germany, France, The Netherlands, UK, Spain and Austria from November 2003 until January 2008. The trial has reported that 28-day and 56day treatment with inhaled tobramycin to be effective and safe; with no additional advantage to 56 days of therapy over 28 days (Ratien 2010). The authors of this trial concluded that head-tohead comparisons will clarify whether adding either oral ciprofloxacin or intravenous antibiotic therapy can further increase treatment success in people with CF with early P. aeruginosa infection. The Taccetti trial randomised 223 participants and failed to demonstrate superiority of inhaled tobramycin with oral ciprofloxacin over inhaled colistin with oral ciprofloxacin, both treatments given over 28 days (Taccetti 2012). As in the Ratjen trial, the included participants had been free of *P. aeruginosa* for at least six months, again at variance with the Leeds definition of Pseudomonas-free (Lee 2003).

The Treggiari trial was the largest trial of *P. aeruginosa* eradication in CF reported so far and randomised 306 children (aged 1 to 12 years) and reported data on 304 children (Treggiari 2011). The trial used a complex, four-arm design, comparing cycled with culture-based treatment with TSI and also additional oral ciprofloxacin versus placebo. The trial was adequately powered for its two primary outcome measures (time to severe pulmonary exacerba-

tion and proportion of *P. aeruginosa* positive cultures) and found no difference between arms for either outcome. In contrast, our analysis (which was not corrected for age) did find a significant difference in the proportion of *P. aeruginosa* positive cultures in favour of cycled therapy. There was no difference between ciprofloxacin and placebo for this outcome.

No deaths were reported in any of the trials.

Overall completeness and applicability of evidence

The aim of antibiotic therapy for early *P. aeruginosa* infection in CF should be both eradication of the micro-organism and improvement in (or slowing in the rate of decline of) clinical parameters, whilst minimising adverse effects and the isolation of new micro-organisms. If *P. aeruginosa* is successfully eradicated, but there is no measurable clinical benefit, it is likely that current measures of clinical status are not sufficiently sensitive or that the duration of follow up is too short to show a difference.

There are differences in the type and dose of drug administered to the treatment groups in the two trials where nebulised tobramycin was compared to placebo. In the Wiesemann trial, tobramycin injectable solution was administered by nebuliser, at a low dose (80 mg twice daily) for a long duration (12 months) (Wiesemann 1998); whereas Gibson used TSI TOBI[®] (now marketed by Novartis) at a high dose (300 mg twice daily) for a short duration (28 days) (Gibson 2003). This is a potential source of heterogeneity between the trials. It is of interest that significant heterogeneity was detected between the trials at the one-month time point but this was not detected at the two-month time point.

The Proesmans and Taccetti trials included patients that were *Pseudomonas*-naive and those that had not isolated *P. aeruginosa* for at least six months (Proesmans 2013; Taccetti 2012), which is at variance with the 12-month definition proposed by Lee to define a patient as '*Pseudomonas*-free' (Lee 2003). It was not possible for the authors of this review to extract IPD for those patients that fit this longer definition of *Pseudomonas*-free. The Treggiari trial required patients to have been free of *P. aeruginosa* for at least two years (Treggiari 2011).

Eradication of isolates of *P. aeruginosa* is easiest in people with CF with recent onset of *P. aeruginosa* infection of the respiratory tract, in particular in those who have non-mucoid isolates of *P. aeruginosa* as they seem particularly susceptible to antibiotic therapy. Two trials included in this review have recruited adult patients suggesting that early *P. aeruginosa* infection can be eradicated from adults as well as from children and therefore adults should be included in future studies.

Finally, it should be noted that some of the trials were conducted between 10 and 20 years ago and the results may be less applicable to patients today.

Quality of the evidence

The quality of the trials was variable with important deficiencies identified in some. For example, in four out of the seven included trials we judged there to be a high risk from a lack of blinding of participants and clinicians; in two of these trials the different interventions used in the treatment and control groups precluded blinding (Proesmans 2013; Valerius 1991) and two trials were open label and no attempt was made at blinding. We also judged there to be a high risk of bias due to selective reporting in three of the trials, which only reported summary statements with no actual data for a number of outcomes (Proesmans 2013; Ratjen 2010; Wiesemann 1998).

While we generally judged there to be a low risk of bias due to incomplete outcome data, there was incomplete follow up of a number of participants in one of the older and smaller trials (Wiesemann 1998). The absence of these data has complicated the combined analysis of the two trials that compare inhaled tobramycin with placebo (Gibson 2003; Wiesemann 1998); one trial was analysed on an intention-to-treat basis (Gibson 2003) and another on an available-case basis (Wiesemann 1998). A sensitivity analysis based on best- and worst-case scenarios demonstrated similar results to the available-case analysis. The available-case analysis revealed a reduction in the odds of a positive culture for *P. aeruginosa* in the group treated with tobramycin inhalation when compared to the odds in the placebo group at both one and two months from the start of treatment (Wiesemann 1998).

The Gibson trial was stopped early because of evidence of significant treatment effect (Gibson 2003). It has been suggested that the results of randomised controlled trials stopped early for benefit should be interpreted with caution particularly when the number of events is small (Montori 2005).

In the Ratjen trial, the authors wished to enrol 120 participants in order to randomise 100 patients. They succeeded in recruiting 123 individuals of whom 88 were randomised and 65 could be included in the analysis of the primary outcome (time to recurrence of *P. aeruginosa*). This trial is potentially subject to bias because of the exclusion of non-randomised individuals, including 31 people in whom there were elevated antibody titres to *P. aeruginosa* (Ratjen 2010).

The relationship between the presence of *P. aeruginosa* in secretions from the upper respiratory tract and the isolation of *P. aeruginosa* from the lower respiratory tract is inconsistent. Reporting of the presence of organisms in respiratory secretions is difficult to standardise, dependent on the sampling methods used and on the number of samples taken. The trials included in this review used a heterogeneous mix of methods to sample respiratory secretions from both the lower and upper respiratory tracts. No two trials used the same methods and more than one method was used in two trials. There was no subgroup analysis based on sampling method in any of the trials, probably owing to relatively small numbers of participants in individual trials. Wiesemann used a combination of oropharyngeal swabs and sputum samples, whereas Gibson used

oropharyngeal swabs and BAL fluid (Gibson 2003; Wiesemann 1998). Proesmans used sputum, throat swab and BAL (Proesmans 2013). The Taccetti study did not describe the technique used for culture collection (Taccetti 2012). The Treggiari trial enrolled younger children and used oropharyngeal swabs or sputum samples (Treggiari 2011). Armstrong has shown that the results of oropharyngeal specimens are poorly predictive of the presence of organisms in the lower respiratory tract (Armstrong 1996). Valerius relied on sputum samples which can be of poor quality in younger children (Valerius 1991).

Potential biases in the review process

The original review stated that trials would be included only where eradication begins within two months of isolation of *P. aeruginosa*. In a *post hoc* change, this has now been altered to include those where eradication has been initiated up to six months since isolation. Whilst prompt treatment of new *P. aeruginosa* infection is recommended (Smyth 2014), there is no robust evidence for a specific time limit for initiation of treatment.

The review now also includes patients from two distinct groups, those that are *Pseudomonas*-naive and those that are *Pseudomonas*-free

Agreements and disagreements with other studies or reviews

We are not aware of any other studies or reviews which recommend specific eradication treatment.

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

Significantly more children with cystic fibrosis (CF) show clearance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*P. aeruginosa*) from their respiratory secretions up to three months after commencing antibiotic therapy aimed at eradication of the organism from their respiratory tract when compared to placebo. This effect may last for several months. This review has not established any improvement in clinical outcome measures following treatment. The small numbers of participants in some trials and the short duration of follow up mean that this review may have insufficient statistical power to detect changes in these clinical outcomes. However, there are many observational studies which have shown a decline in clinical

status once chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection occurs and so clinical benefit from eradication is likely. There was no significant difference in the rate of common adverse effects detected. An increased incidence of the emerging pathogen *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (*S. maltophilia*) was seen after eradication treatment in two trials, but there was no statistically significant difference between regimens (Taccetti 2012; Treggiari 2011).

We found that nebulised antibiotics (or a combination of nebulised and oral antibiotics) were better than no treatment in treating early infection with *P. aeruginosa*, which was eliminated in the majority of individuals. Eradication may be sustained in the short term. We were unable to determine whether there is an associated clinical benefit to people with CF, though data from observational studies suggest that benefit is likely. Overall, there is insufficient evidence from this review to state which antibiotic strategy should be used for the eradication of early *P. aeruginosa* infection in CF.

Implications for research

Whilst there is evidence that eradication treatment is more effective than placebo or no treatment, there is no evidence to help the clinician choose which regimen to use. There remains an urgent need for well-designed and well-executed trials, comparing eradication regimens. Where possible these should evaluate whether eradication results in appreciable clinical benefit to patients, without causing them harm. Trials should also explore the influence of prior infection with P. aeruginosa ("Pseudomonas-free" compared to "Pseudomonas-naive") and whether there is an advantage in early institution of therapy (within weeks rather than within months). This might be accomplished, using a stratified trial design. Consideration should be given to appropriate outcome measures, particularly spirometric lung function, nutritional status, socio-economic outcomes (including quality of life) and duration of follow up. Long-term follow-up trials with careful clinical and bacteriological surveillance are required. These trials will be challenging to design and deliver. Randomisation should include two active treatments that are both believed to be effective and safe and would still need to recruit a sufficient number of patients to show differences in efficacy with adequate power. Adult participants as well as children should be included in such trials.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Hazel Bunn assisted in formulation of the review protocol. We would also like to thank Dr Damian Wood for his input into the original version of this review and subsequent updates until November 2007.

REFERENCES

References to studies included in this review

Gibson 2003 {published data only}

Gibson RL, Emerson J, McNamara S, Burns JL, Rosenfeld M, Yunker A, et al.A randomized, controlled trial of inhaled tobramycin in young children with cystic fibrosis: Eradication of pseudomonas from the lower airway [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2002;**Suppl 24**:300. [CFGD Register: PI151c]

Gibson RL, Emerson J, McNamara S, Burns JL, Rosenfeld M, Yunker A, et al.Online Supplement to 'Significant microbiological effect of inhaled tobramycin in young children with cystic fibrosis'. [online]. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2003;**167**(6):841 Online. [CFGD Register: PI151e]

* Gibson RL, Emerson J, McNamara S, Burns JL, Rosenfeld M, Yunker A, et al.Significant microbiological effect of inhaled tobramycin in young children with cystic fibrosis. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 2003;167(6):841–9. [CFGD Register: PI151d] Rosenfeld M. Serum and lower respiratory tract tobramycin concentrations produced by inhaled tobramycin (TOBI) in young children with cystic fibrosis [abstract]. Pediatric Pulmonology 1999;Suppl 19:106–8. [CFGD Register: PI151b]

Rosenfeld M, Borowitz D, Emerson J, Gibson R, McCoy K, McNamara S, et al.Serum pharmacokinetics and safety of inhaled tobramycin in very young CF patients [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 1999;**Suppl 19**:262. [CFGD Register: PI151a]

Proesmans 2013 {published data only}

Proesmans M, Boulanger L, Vermeulen F, De Boeck K. Eradication of recent *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolation: TOBI versus colistin/ciprofloxacin [abstract]. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2008;7(S2):S64. [CFGD Register: PI208a] Proesmans M, Boulanger L, Vermeulen F, De Boeck K. Eradication of recent Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolation: TOBI versus colistin/ciprofloxacin [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2009;44(S32):321, Abstract no: 311. [CFGD Register: PI208b; MEDLINE: 96296083] Proesmans M, Boulanger L, Vermeulen F, De Boeck K. Eradication of recent pseudomonas aeruginosa infection: TOBI versus Colistineb®/ ciprofloxacin [abstract]. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2011;10 Suppl 1:S26, Abstract no: 102. [CFGD Register: PI208c]

* Proesmans M, Vermeulen F, Boulanger L, Verhaegen J, De Boeck K. Comparison of two treatment regimens for eradication of Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in children with cystic fibrosis. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2013; **12**(1):29–34. [CFGD Register: PI208d]

Ratjen 2010 {published data only}

Ratjen F, Munck A, Campello V. Inhaled tobramycin nebuliser solution for treatment of early Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection: first results from the Elite study [abstract]. Pediatric Pulmonlogy 2006;41(S29):318. [CFGD Register: PI197b] Ratjen F, Munck A, Campello V. Safety of inhaled tobramycin nebuliser solution for treatment of early pseudomonas aeruginosa infection: first results from the ELITE study [abstract]. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis 2006;5 Suppl 1:S22. [CFGD Register: PI197a] Ratjen F, Munck A, Kho P. Short and long-term efficacy of inhaled tobramycin in early P. Aeruginosa infection: the ELITE study [abstract]. Pediatric Pulmonology 2008;43 (S31):319. [CFGD Register: PI197d] * Ratjen F, Munck A, Kho P, Angyalosi G, for the ELITE Study Group. Treatment of early Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in patients with cystic fibrosis: the ELITE trial. Thorax 2010;65(4):286-91. [CFGD Register: PI197e] Ratjen F, Stenglein S, Munck A. Inhaled tobramycin nebulizer solution for treatment of early Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection; the ELITE study [abstract]. Journal

Taccetti 2012 {published data only}

PI197c]

Cariani L, Defilippi G, Costantini D, Claut L, Clarizia G, D'accico M, et al.Semi-automated rep-pcr genotyping of pseudomonas aeruginosa in Italian CF patients in eradication therapy [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2010; **45 Suppl 33**:348, Abstract no: 360. [CFGD Register: PI230c]

of Cystic Fibrosis 2008;7 Suppl 2:S26. [CFGD Register:

Dolce D, Cariani L, Ravenni N, Mergni G, Biffi A, Colombo C, et al.Anti-P.aeruginosa antibodies and microbiological outcome in patients treated with early eradication therapy [abstract]. Pediatric Pulmonology 2013; 48 Suppl 36:288, Abstract no: 231. [CENTRAL: 921692; CFGD Register: PI230i; CRS: 5500125000000403] Taccetti G, Bianchini E, Zavataro L, Campana S, Defilippi G, Ravenni N, et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa eradication in cystic fibrosis: preliminary data from a randomized multicenter study of two different early antibiotic treatment protocols [abstract]. Pediatric Pulmonology 2010;45(S33): 337, Abstract no: 332. [CFGD Register: PI230d] Taccetti G, Bianchini E, Zavataro L, Campana S, Defilippi G, Ravenni N, et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa microbiological status and emergence of other pathogens after early eradication treatment in cystic fibrosis: a posttrial follow-up [abstract]. Pediatric Pulmonology 2011;46 (S34):317, Abstract no: 292. [CFGD Register: PI230e] Taccetti G, Bianchini E, Zavataro L, Campana S, Ravenni N, Boni V, et al. Early antibiotic treatment for Pseudomonas aeruginosa eradication in cystic fibrosis patients: a randomized multicenter study of two different protocols [abstract]. Pediatric Pulmonology 2009;44(S32): 354, Abstract no: 406. [CFGD CF Register: PI230a; MEDLINE: 96296083] Taccetti G, Bianchini E, Zavataro L, Costantini D, Galici

V, Campana S, et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa eradication

in cystic fibrosis: final results of a randomized multicenter

study of two different early antibiotic treatment protocols [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2011;**46**(S34):317, Abstract no: 291. [CFGD Register: PI230f] Taccetti G, Cocchi P, Dolce D, Galici V, Mergni G, Gagliardini R, et al.Is early eradication treatment against P.aeruginosa associated with the emergence of other non-fermenter gram negatives [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2013;**48 Suppl** 36:328, Abstract no: 338. [CENTRAL: 921671; CFGD Register: PI230h; CRS: 5500125000000398]

* Taccetti G, Bianchini E, Cariani L, Buzzetti R, Costantini D, Trevisan F, et al. Early antibiotic treatment for Pseudomonas aeruginosa eradication in patients with cystic fibrosis: a randomised multicentre study comparing two different protocols. *Thorax* 2012;**67**(10):853–9. [CFGD Register: PI230g]

Zavataro L, Taccetti G, Cariani L, Ravenni N, Braccini G, Bresci S, et al. Epidemiology of first/new Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in cystic fibrosis patients [abstract]. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2010;**9 Suppl 1**:S29, Abstract no: 110. [CFGD Register: PI230b]

Treggiari 2011 {published data only}

Anstead M, Lymp J, Khan U, Barbieri J, Langkamp M, Doring G, et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa serology predicts response to treatment and re-infection in the EPIC clinical study [abstract]. Pediatric Pulmonology 2011;46(S34):303, Abstract no: 254. [CFGD Register: PI202g] Hamblett NM, Retsch-Bogart GZ, Treggiari M, Kronmal RA, Khan U, Williams J, et al. Safety and efficacy of anti-pseudomonal therapy for early eradication of Pseudomonas aeruginosa: the EPIC study [abstract]. Pediatric Pulmonology 2009;44(S32):183. [CFGD CF Register: PI202b; MEDLINE: 96296083] Hoffman LR, Ramsey BW, Kulasekara HD, Retsch-Bogart GZ, Wolter DJ, Pope CE, et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PA) phenotypes associated with persistent early infection in CF patients in the EPIC Clinical Trial [abstract]. Pediatric Pulmonology 2012;47(S35):317, Abstract no: 266. [CFGD Register: PI202j]

Khan U, Mayer-Hamblett N, Retsch-Bogart G, Treggiari M, Ramsey B. Association between baseline pseudomonas aeruginosa positivity in EPIC clinical trial participants & prior antibiotic exposure [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2010;**45**(S33):335, Abstract no: 326. [CFGD Register: PI202f]

Mayer-Hamblett N, Kronmal RA, Gibson RL, Rosenfeld M, Retsch-Bogart G, Treggiari MM, et al.Initial Pseudomonas aeruginosa treatment failure is associated with exacerbations in cystic fibrosis. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2012;47(2):125–34. [CFGD Register: PI202i; DOI: 10.1002/ppul.21525] Mayer-Hamblett N, Rosenfeld M, Treggiari MM, Konstan MW, Retsch-Bogart G, Morgan W, et al.Standard care versus protocol based therapy for new onset Pseudomonas aeruginosa in cystic fibrosis. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2013; 48(10):943–53. [CENTRAL: 916577; CFGD Register: PI202k; CRS: 5500125000000727; PUBMED: 23818295] Ramsey B. TOBI use in infants and children with early

Pseudomonas Aeruginosa infection - duration of effect and epic update [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2005;**40**(S28): 146. [CFGD CF Register: PI202a]

Treggiari M, Retsch-Bogart G, Mayer-Hamblett N, Khan U, Kronmal R, Ramsey B, et al.Comparative efficacy and safety of four randomized regimens to treat early Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in children with cystic fibrosis [abstract]. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2010;**9 Suppl 1**: S54, Abstract no: 209. [CFGD Register: PI202e] Treggiari M, Retsch-Bogart GZ, Mayer-Hamblett N, Kronmal R, Khan U, Williams J, et al.Early antipseudomonal infection in children with CF: study population and conduct of the "EPIC" clinical trial [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2009;**44**(S32):316, Abstract no: 299. [CFGD CF Register: PI202c; MEDLINE: 96296083]

* Treggiari MM, Retsch-Bogart G, Mayer-Hamblett N, Khan U, Kulich M, Kronmal R, et al.Comparative efficacy and safety of 4 randomized regimens to treat early Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in children with cystic fibrosis. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine* 2011; 165(9):847–56. [CFGD Register: PI202h] Treggiari MM, Rosenfeld M, Mayer-Hamblett N, Retsch-Bogart G, Gibson RL, Williams J, et al.Early antipseudomonal acquisition in young patients with cystic fibrosis: rationale and design of the EPIC clinical trial and observational study. *Contempory Clinical Trials* 2009;30 (3):256–68. [CFGD CF Register: PI202d; MEDLINE: 96296083]

Valerius 1991 {published data only}

* Valerius NH, Koch C, Høiby N. Prevention of chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa colonisation in cystic fibrosis by early treatment. *Lancet* 1991;**338**(8769):725–6. [CFGD Register: PI70b]

Valerius NH, Koch C, Høiby N. Prevention of chronic colonization with Pseudomonas aeruginosa in patients with CF by early treatment with ciprofloxacin and colistin aerosol inhalations [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 1990;**9** (Supplement S5):248. [CFGD Register: PI70a]

Wiesemann 1998 {published data only}

Ratjen F, Steinkamp G, Döring G, Bauernfeind A, Wiesemann HG, Von Der Hardt H. Prevention of chronic pseudomonas aeruginosa infection by early inhalation therapy with tobramycin [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 1994;**Suppl 10**:250. [CFGD Register: PI101a] * Wiesemann HG, Steinkamp G, Ratjen F, Baurnfeind A, Przyklenk B, Döring G, et al.Placebo-controlled, doubleblind, randomised study of aerosolised tobramycin for early treatment of Pseudomonas aeruginosa colonization in cystic fibrosis. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 1998;**25**(2):88–92. [CFGD Register: PI101b]

References to studies excluded from this review

Alothman 2002 {published data only}

Alothman GA, Alsaadi MM, Ho BL, Ho SL, Dupuis A, Corey M, et al. Evaluation of bronchial constriction in children with cystic fibrosis after inhaling two different preparations of tobramycin. *Chest* 2002;**122**(3):930–4. [CENTRAL: 398028; CFGD Register: PI157b; CRS: 5500100000002196; PUBMED: 12226034] Alothman GA, Coates AL, Corey M, Dupuis A, Ho SL, Ho BL, et al.In cystic fibrosis (CF) patients, does the inhalation of an intravenous tobramycin preparation result in more bronchospasm than a preservative free tobramycin preparation? [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2000;**Suppl 20**:298–9. [CFGD Register: PI157a]

Alothman 2005 {published data only}

Alothman GA, Ho B, Alsaadi MM, Ho SL, O'Drowsky L, Louca E, et al.Bronchial constriction and inhaled colistin in cystic fibrosis. *Chest* 2005;**127**(2):522–9. [CENTRAL: 502709; CFGD Register: PI190; CRS: 5500100000002670; PUBMED: 15705991]

Ballman 1998 {published data only}

Ballman M, Rabsch P, von der Hardt H. Long term follow up of changes in FEV1 and treatment intensity during Pseudomonas aeruginosa colonisation in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Thorax* 1998;**53**(9):732–7.

Brett 1992 {published data only}

Brett MM, Simmonds EJ, Ghonheim ATM, Littlewood JM. The value of serum IgG titres against Pseudomonas aeruginosa in the management of early infection in cystic fibrosis. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 1992;**67**(9): 1086–8. [CFGD Register: PI73]

Church 1997 {published data only}

Church DA, Kanga JF, Kuhn RJ, Rubio TT, Spohn WA, Stevens JC, et al. Sequential ciprofloxacin therapy in pediatric cystic fibrosis: comparative study vs. ceftazidime/tobramycin in the treatment of acute pulmonary exacerbations. The Cystic Fibrosis Study Group. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 1997;**16**(1):97–105. [CENTRAL: 135891; CFGD Register: PI115; CRS: 55001000000000803; PUBMED: 9002118]

Clancy JP, Dupont L, Konstan MW, Billings J, Fustik

Clancy 2013 {published data only}

S, Goss CH, et al. Phase II studies of nebulised Arikace in CF patients with Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection. Thorax 2013;68(9):818-25. [CENTRAL: 876398; CFGD Register: PI207e // PI222c; CRS: 5500050000000084; PUBMED: 23749840] Clancy JP, Minic P, Dupont L, Goss CH, Quittner AL, Lymp JF, et al. Full analysis of data from two phase II blinded & placebo-controlled studies of nebulized liposomal amikacin for inhalation (Arikace) in the treatment of CF patients with pseudomonas aeruginosa lung infection [abstract]. Pediatric Pulmonology 2010;45 Suppl 33:299, Abstract no: 227. [CENTRAL: 848916; CFGD Register: PI207d // PI222b; CRS: 5500100000010628] Dupont L, Minic P, Fustic S, Mazurek H, Solyom E, Feketova A, et al.A randomised placebo-controlled study of nebulized liposomal amikacin (Arikace) in the treatment of cystic fibrosis patients with chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa lung infection [abstract]. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis 2008;

7 Suppl 2:S26. [CENTRAL: 790820; CFGD Register: PI207a; CRS: 5500100000003531]

Dupont LJ, Minic P, Fustik S, Mazurek H, Solyom E, Feketeova A, et al.A randomized placebo-controlled study of nebulized liposomal amikacin (Arikace) in the treatment of cystic fibrosis patients with chronic Pseudomonas Aeruginosa lung infection [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2008;43 Suppl 31:301. [CENTRAL: 790939; CFGD Register: PI207b; CRS: 5500100000003535] Gupta R, Dupont L, Minin P, Fustik S, Mazurek H, Solyom E, et al.A randomized placebo-controlled study of nebulized liposomal amikacin (Arikace) in the treatment of cystic fibrosis patients with chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa lung infection (protocol TR02-105) [abstract]. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2009; 179:Abstract no: A1199. [CENTRAL: 790940; CFGD Register: PI207c; CRS: 5500100000003536]

Coates 2011 {published data only}

* Coates AL, Denk O, Leung K, Ribeiro N, Chan J, Green M, et al. Higher tobramycin concentration and vibrating mesh technology can shorten antibiotic treatment time in cystic fibrosis. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2011;**46**(4):401–8. [CENTRAL: 786190; CFGD Register: PI241b; CRS: 5500100000006333]

Denk O, Caotes AL, Keller M, Leung K, Green M, Chan J, et al.Lung delivery of a new tobramycin nebuliser solution (150mg/1.5ml) by an investigational eFlow® nebuliser is equivalent to TOBI® but in a fraction of time [abstract]. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2009;**8 Suppl** 2:S66, Abstract no: 264. [CENTRAL: 794467; CFGD Register: PI241c; CRS: 55001000000003576]

Keller M, Coates AL, Griese M, Denk O, Schierholz J, Knoch M. In-vivo data support equivalent therapeutic efficacy of a new tobramycin inhalation solution (150mg/1.5ml) administered by the eFlow® electronic nebuliser compared to TOBI® in the PARI LC PLUS® [abstract]. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2010;**9 Suppl 1**:S22, Abstract no: 84. [CENTRAL: 794286; CFGD Register: PI241a; CRS: 55001000000003569]

Frederiksen 1997 {published data only}

Frederiksen B, Koch C, Høiby N. Antibiotic treatment of initial colonisation with Pseudomonas aeruginosa postpones chronic infection and prevents deterioration of pulmonary function in cystic fibrosis. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 1997;**23** (5):330–5.

Geller 2007 {published data only}

Geller DE, Howenstine M, Conrad C, Smith J, Mulye S, Shrewsbury SB. A phase 1 study to assess the tolerability of a novel tobramycin powder for inhalation (TPI) formulation in cystic fibrosis subjects [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2004;**38**(Suppl 27):250. [CENTRAL: 507896; CFGD Register: PI187a; CRS: 5500100000002694] Geller DE, Konstan MW, Smith J, Noonberg SB, Conrad C. Novel tobramycin inhalation powder in cystic fibrosis subjects: Pharmacokinetics and safety. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2007;**42**(4):307–13. [CENTRAL: 587235;

CFGD Register: PI187c; CRS: 5500100000002919; PUBMED: 17352404]

Rodriguez CA, Shrewsbury SB, Potter SN, Nardella P, Geller DE. Single dose pharmacokinetics of tobramycin after administration of a novel dry powder formulation (TPI) in subjects with cystic fibrosis (cf) [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2004;**38 Suppl 27**:250. [CENTRAL: 526254; CFGD Register: PI187b; CRS: 55001000000002754]

Gibson 2007 {published data only}

Gibson RL, Emerson J, Mayer-Hamblett N, Burns JL, McNamara S, Accurso FJ, et al. Duration of treatment effect after tobramycin solution for inhalation in young children with cystic fibrosis. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2007;**42**(7): 610–23.

Goss 2009 {published data only}

Goss CH, Clancy JP, Nick JA, Billings J, Rubenstein RC, Young KR, et al. A phase 2 blinded and placebo-controlled study of nebulized liposomal amikacin (arikace) in the treatment of CF patients with Pseudomonas aeruginosa lung infection [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2009;44 (S32):295. [CFGD CF Register: PI222]

Griese 2002 {published data only}

Griese M, Mueller I, Reinhardt D. Eradication of initial Pseudomonas aeruginosa colonisation in patients with cystic fibrosis. *European Journal of Medical Research* 2002;7(2): 79–80.

Heinzl 2002 {published data only}

Heinzl B, Eber E, Oberwaldner B, Haas G, Zach M. Effects of inhaled gentamicin prophylaxis on acquisition of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in children with cystic fibrosis: a pilot study. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2002;**33**(1):32–7.

Kenny 2009 {published data only}

Kenny S, Hall V, Goldsmith C, Moore J, Rendall JC, Elborn JS. Eradication of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in adults with CF [abstract]. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2009;**8 Suppl** 2:S39, Abstract no: 158. [CFGD CF Register: PI229a; MEDLINE: 96296083]

Kenny SL, Shaw TD, Downey DG, Moore JE, Rendall JC, Elborn JS. Eradication of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in adults with cystic fibrosis. *BMJ Open Respiratory Research* 2014;1: e000021.

Konstan 2010 {published data only}

Konstan MW, Geller DE, Brockhaus F, Zhang J, Angyalosi G. Tobramycin inhalation powder is effective and safe in the treatment of chronic pulmonary Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Pa) infection in patients with cystic fibrosi [abstract]. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2009;179:Abstract no: A1186. [CENTRAL: 744126; CFGD Register: PI227a; CRS: 5500100000003455] Konstan MW, Geller DE, Minic P, Brockhaus F, Zhang J, Angyalosi G. Effective treatment of chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Pa) infection with tobramycin inhalation powder in CF patients [abstract]. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2009;8 Suppl 2:S27, Abstract no: 105.

[CENTRAL: 744127; CFGD Register: PI227b; CRS: 5500100000003456]

Konstan MW, Geller DE, Minic P, Brockhaus F, Zhang J, Angyalosi G. Tobramycin inhalation powder for P. aeruginosa infection in cystic fibrosis: The EVOLVE trial. Pediatric Pulmonology 2010;46:230-8. [CENTRAL: 867122; CFGD Register: PI227e; CRS: 5500100000011272; PUBMED: 20963831] McColley S, Rietschel E, Brockhaus F, Angyalosi G, Higgins M. Safety of inhaled tobramycin in patients with cystic fibrosis [abstract]. Pediatric Pulmonology 2011;46 Suppl 34:344, Abstract no: 365. [CENTRAL: 848919; CFGD Register: PI227d // PI239h; CRS: 5500100000010634] Novartis (NCT00125346). Tobramycin Inhalation Powder (TIP) in Cystic Fibrosis Subjects (EVOLVE). Http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00125346 2010. [CENTRAL: 867467; CFGD Register: PI227c; CRS: 5500100000006168]

Latzin 2008 {published data only}

Latzin P, Fehling M, Bauernfeind A, Reinhardt D, Kappler M, Griese M. Efficacy and safety of intravenous meropenem and tobramycin versus ceftazidime and tobramycin in cystic fibrosis. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2008;7(2):142–6. [CFGD Register: PI209]

Lenoir 2007 {published data only}

* Lenoir G, Antypkin YG, Miano A, Moretti P, Zanda M, Varoli G, et al. Efficacy, safety, and local pharmacokinetics of highly concentrated nebulized tobramycin in patients with cystic fibrosis colonized with Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Paediatric Drugs* 2007;**9 Suppl**:11–20. [CFGD Register: Pi196c]

Lenoir G, Aryayev N, Varoli G, Monici Preti P. Aerosolized tobramycin in the treatment of patients with cystic fibrosis and pseudomonas aeruginosa infection [abstract]. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2006;**5 Suppl 1**:S42. [CFGD Register: P1196b]

Lenoir G, Aryayev N, Varoli G, Monici Preti P. Highly concentrated aerosolized tobramycin in the treatment of patients with cystic fibrosis and Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection [abstract]. *European Respiratory Journal* 2005;**26** Suppl 49:620s. [CFGD Register: PI196a]

Littlewood 1985 {published data only}

Littlewood JM, Miller MG, Ghoneim AT, Ramsden CH. Nebulised colomycin for early pseudomonal colonisation in cystic fibrosis [letter]. *Lancet* 1985;1(8433):865.

Mainz 2014 {published data only}

Mainz JG, Schadlich K, Schien C, Michl R, Schelhorn-Neise P, Koitschev A, et al.Sinonasal inhalation of tobramycin vibrating aerosol in cystic fibrosis patients with upper airway Pseudomonas aeruginosa colonization: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study. *Drug Design, Development and Therapy* 2014;8: 209–17. [CENTRAL: 981442; CFGD Register: PI248b; CRS: 5500125000000721; PUBMED: 24596456] Mainz JG, Schien C, Schadlich K, Pfister W, Schelhorn-Neise P, Koitschev A, et al.Sinonasal inhalation of tobramycin in cystic fibrosis patients with P. aeruginosa

colonization of the upper airways - results of a multicentric placebo-controlled pilot study [abstract]. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2011;**10 Suppl 1**:S21, Abstract no: 83. [CENTRAL: 848866; CFGD Register: PI248a; CRS: 5500100000010543]

Mazurek 2012 {published data only}

Mazurek H, Chiron R, Pelikan L, Geidel C, Bolbas K, Antipkin Y, et al. Comparison of two inhaled tobramycin solutions in cystic fibrosis patients with chronic pseudomonas aeruginosa infection: results in different age subgroups [abstract]. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis 2011;10 Suppl 1:S28, Abstract no: 111. [CENTRAL: 848929; CFGD Register: PI249b; CRS: 5500100000010714] Mazurek H, Chiron R, Varoli G, Santoro D, Cicirello H, Antipkin Y. Efficacy on lung function and safety of multiple courses of tobramycin 300mg/4 ml nebuliser solution (Bramitob) in patients with cystic fibrosis and chronic pseudomonas aeruginosa infection: results from a 48-week extension phase [abstract]. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis 2012; 11 Suppl1:S74, Abstract no: 69. [CENTRAL: 867264; CFGD Register: PI249c; CRS: 5500100000011290] Mazurek H, Lenoir G, Pelikan L, Geidel C, Bolbas K, Antipkin Y, et al. Head-to-head comparison of two inhaled tobramycin solutions in cystic fibrosis (CF) patients with chronic pseudomonas aeruginosa (Pa) infection [abstract]. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis 2011;10 Suppl 1:S28, Abstract no: 110. [CENTRAL: 848928; CFGD Register: PI249a; CRS: 5500100000010713]

Oermann CM, McCoy KS, Retsch-Bogart GZ, Gibson R,

McKevitt M, Montgomery B. Antibiotic susceptibility in

Oermann 2009 {published data only}

Pseudomonas Aeruginosa (PA) isolates following exposure to aztreonam for inhalation solution (AZLI) in patients with cystic fibrosis [abstract]. Pediatric Pulmonology 2009;44 (S32):309, Abstract no: 278. [CFGD CF Register: PI220a] Oermann CM, McCoy KS, Retsch-Bogart GZ, Gibson R, McKevitt M, Montgomery B. Effect of repeated exposure to aztreonam for inhalation solution (AZLI) therapy on cystic fibrosis respiratory pathogens [abstract]. Pediatric Pulmonology 2009;44(Suppl 32):335, Abstract no: 353. [CFGD Register: PI220d] Oermann CM, McCoy KS, Retsch-Bogart GZ, Gibson RL, Montgomery AB. Effect of multiple courses of Aztreonam Lysine for inhalation (AZLI) on FEV1 and weight in patients with cystic fibrosis (CF) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PA): analysis of 18 month data from CP-AI-006 [abstract]. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis 2009;8 Suppl 2: S28, Abstract no: 107. [CFGD CF Register: PI220c] Oermann CM, McCoy KS, Retsch-Bogart GZ, Gibson RL, Quittner AL, Montgomery AB. Adherence over multiple courses of Aztreonam for inhalation (AZLI): effect on disease- related endpoints in patients with cystic fibrosis (CF) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PA) [abstract]. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis 2009;8 Suppl 2:S28, Abstract no: 109. [CFGD CF Register: PI220b] Oermann CM, Retsch-Bogart GZ, Quittner AL, Gibson RL, McCoy KS, Montgomery AB, et al.An 18-month study of the safety and efficacy of repeated courses of inhaled aztreonam lysine in cystic fibrosis. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2010;**45**(11):1121–34. [CFGD Register: PI220e] Quitnner AL, Henig NR, Lewis S, Derchak PA, McCoy KS, Oermann CM, et al.Effects of chronic intermittant aztreonam for inhalation solution (AZLI) on health-related quality of life (HRQOL) in persons with cystic fibrosis (CF) and P. aeruginosa [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2011;**46 Suppl 34**:299, Abstract no: 240. [CENTRAL: 867260; CFGD Register: PI220f/PI213j; CRS: 55001000000011261]

Postnikov 2000 {published data only}

Postnikov SS, Semykin SYU, Kapranov NI, Perederko LV, Polikarpova SV, Khamidullina KF. Evaluation of pefloxacin efficacy and tolerability in the treatment and prophylaxis of severe infections at the children with mucoviscidosis and aplastic anaemia. *Antibiotiki i Khimioterapiia* 2000;**45**(8): 25–30. [CFGD Register: PI171]

Postnikov 2007 {published data only}

Postnikov SS, Semykin SY, Polikarpova SV, Dubovik LG, Gracheva LA, Sagatelyan. A prospective trial on the efficacy and tolerability of twice-daily dosing (TDD) versus oncedaily dosing (ODD) amikacin in cystic fibrosis patients [abstract]. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2007;**6 Suppl 1**:S34. [CFGD CF Register: PI204]

Prayle 2013 {published data only}

Prayle A, Jain K, Watson A, Smyth AR. Are morning doses of intravenous tobramycin less nephrotoxic than evening? Evidence from urinary biomarkers in the critic study [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2013;**48 Suppl 36**:299, Abstract no: 261. [CENTRAL: 980338; CFGD Register: CO55; CRS: 5500125000000420]

Ramsey 1999 {published data only}

Birnbaum HG, Greenberg P, Finkelstein S, Berndt E, Otto KL, Montgomery AB, et al. Economic analysis of hospitalization and home IV anti-pseudomonal antibiotic use in CF patients on tobramycin solution for inhalation (TOBI®) [abstract]. Pediatric Pulmonology 1998;Suppl 17: 273. [CENTRAL: 792738; CRS: 5500100000003553] Bowman CM. The long-term use of inhaled tobramycin in patients with cystic fibrosis. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis 2002;1 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):S194-8. [CENTRAL: 451888; CFGD Register: PI120cc; CRS: 5500100000002389] Burns JL, Van Dalfsen JM, Shawar RM, Otto KL, Garber RL, Quan JM, et al. Effect of chronic intermittent administration of inhaled tobramycin on respiratory microbial flora in patients with cystic fibrosis. Journal of Infectious Diseases 1999;179(5):1190-6. [CENTRAL: 161606; CRS: 5500100000000895; PUBMED: 101912221

Casey S, Ramsey B, Borowitz D. Nutritional benefits of chronic intermittent Pseudomonas aeruginosa suppression with tobramycin solution for inhalation in adolescents [abstract]. 13th International Cystic Fibrosis

Congress; 2000 June 4-8; Stockholm, Sweden. 2000:172. [CENTRAL: 302945; CRS: 5500100000001685] Enger C, Rothman K, Kylstra JW. Mortality rates during 2 years of treatment with intermittent inhaled tobramycin (TOBI) in CF [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 1999; Suppl 19:339–40. [CENTRAL: 291292; CRS: 5500100000001353]

Fiel SB. Long term effect of tobramycin solution for inhalation on reduction of hospitalization of CF patients [abstract]. *European Respiratory Journal* 2000;**16**(Suppl 31): 1545. [CENTRAL: 415647; CRS: 5500100000002265] Fiel SB. Long term effect of tobramycin solution for inhalation on reduction of hospitalization of CF patients [abstract]. *European Respiratory Journal* 2000;**16**(Suppl 31): 1545. [CENTRAL: 415647; CFGD Register: PI120dd; CRS: 55001000000002265]

Geller DE, Pitlick WH, Nardella PA, Tracewell WG, Ramsey BW. Pharmacokinetics and bioavailability of aerosolized tobramycin in cystic fibrosis. *Chest* 2002;**122** (1):219–26. [CFGD Register: PI120bb; CENTRAL: 404033; CRS: 5500100000002214]

Graff GR, Gordon DC, Van Dalfsen JM, Burns JL. Epidemiology of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia culture in cystic fibrosis (CF) patients during the tobramycin solution for inhalation (TOBI®) study [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2000;**Suppl 20**:283. [CENTRAL: 793580; CRS: 5500100000003564]

Hazinski TA. Intermittent administration of inhaled tobramycin in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1999;**135**(1):130. [CENTRAL: 165714; CRS: 55001000000000909; EMBASE: 1999019576; PUBMED: 10428654]

Konstan MW, VanDevanter DR. Peripheral white blood cell count as a surrogate marker for progression of lung disease in cystic fibrosis [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2001;**Suppl** 22:305. [CENTRAL: 362193; CRS: 5500100000001991] Kylstra JW, Bowman CM, Meyer U, Montgomery AB, Schaeffler B, Stewart P, et al. Who benefits more? An agestratified analysis of lung function and weight gain in CF patients using inhaled tobramycin [abstract]. *Netherlands Journal of Medicine* 1999;**54**(Suppl):S83. [CENTRAL: 291415; CRS: 5500100000001449]

LeLorier J, Perreault S, Birnbaum H, Greenberg P, Sheehy O. Savings in direct medical costs from the use of tobramycin solution for inhalation in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Clinical Therapeutics* 2000;**22**(1): 140–51. [CENTRAL: 275378; CRS: 5500100000001232; PUBMED: 10688397]

LiPuma JJ. Microbiological and immunologic considerations with aerosolized drug delivery. *Chest* 2001; **120**(3 Suppl):118S–23S. [CENTRAL: 356379; CRS: 5500100000001971; PUBMED: 11555566] MacLeod DL, Nelson LE, Shawar RM, Lin BB, Lockwood LG, Dirk JE, et al.Aminoglycoside-resistance mechanisms for cystic fibrosis Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates are unchanged by long-term, intermittent, inhaled tobramycin

treatment. Journal of Infectious Diseases 2000;181(3):

1180–4. [CENTRAL: 302968; CRS: 5500100000001702] Moss R, Kylstra JW, Montgomery AB, Gibson R. Who benefits more? An analysis of FEV1 and weight in adolescent (age 13-<18) CF patients using inhaled tobramycin (TOBI) [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 1999;**Suppl 19**:243. [CENTRAL: 291469; CRS: 5500100000001497] Moss RB. Administration of aerosolized antibiotics in cystic fibrosis patients. *Chest* 2001;**120**(3 Suppl):107S–13S. [CENTRAL: 368291; CRS: 5500100000002023] Moss RB. Long-term benefits of inhaled tobramycin in adolescent patients with cystic fibrosis. *Chest* 2002;**121**(1): 55–63. [CENTRAL: 377132; CRS: 5500100000002049; PUBMED: 11796432]

Nickerson B, Montgomery AB, Kylstra JW, Ramsey BW. Safety and effectiveness of 2 years of treatment with intermittent inhaled tobramycin in CF patients [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 1999;**Suppl 19**:243–4. [CENTRAL: 291479; CRS: 5500100000001506]

Otto KL, Montgomery AB, Lin A, Ramsey BW. Seasonality of hospitalization for pulmonary exacerbations and lower respiratory tract infections in Phase III tobramycin solution for inhalation (TOBI®) trials [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 1998;**Suppl 17**:273. [CENTRAL: 795752; CRS: 5500100000003601]

Quan JM, Vasiljev M, Schaeffler B, Phelps C, Burrington C, Meyer U. Treatment for exacerbation only does not arrest progressive lung function decline in CF [abstract]. Netherlands Journal of Medicine 1999;54(Suppl):S84. [CENTRAL: 291523; CRS: 5500100000001545] Quittner A, Gordon D, Yu X. Convergence of quality of life assessments and clinical outcomes in patients enrolled in the tobramycin solution for inhalation (TSI) trials [abstract]. 24th European Cystic Fibrosis Conference; 2001 June 6-9; Vienna, Austria. 2001:P326. [CENTRAL: 614345; CRS: 55001000000003153]

Quittner AL, Buu A. Effects of tobramycin solution for inhalation on global ratings of quality of life in patients with cystic fibrosis and Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2002;**33**(4):269–76. [CENTRAL: 379174; CRS: 5500100000002059; PUBMED: 11921456]

Quittner AL, Buu A, Gordon D. Longitudinal changes in global ratings of quality of life for patients in the tobramycin solution for inhalation (TSI) trials [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2001;**Suppl 22**:349. [CENTRAL: 362214; CRS: 5500100000002002]

Ramsey B, Burns J, Smith A. Safety and efficacy of Tobramycin Solution for Inhalation in patients with cystic fibrosis: The results of two phase III placebo controlled clinical trials [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 1997;**Suppl 14**:137–8. [CENTRAL: 385760; CRS: 5500100000002121]

Ramsey BW, Bowman MC, Montgomery AB, Smith AR, TOBIStudy G. Design of phase 3 aerosolized tobramycin studies for chronic intermittent therapy [abstract]. 21st European Cystic Fibrosis Conference; 1997 June 1-6; Davos, Switzerland. 1997:144. [CENTRAL: 291527;

CRS: 5500100000001548]

Ramsey BW, Bowman MC, Vasiljev-KM, Smith AR, TOBIStudy G. Results of phase 3 aerosolized tobramycin studies for chronic intermittent therapy [abstract]. 21st European Cystic Fibrosis Conference; 1997 June 1-6; Davos, Switzerland. 1997:144. [CENTRAL: 291528; CRS: 5500100000001549]

Ramsey BW, Pepe MS, Quan JM, Otto KL, Montgomery AB, Williams Warren J, et al.Intermittent administration of inhaled tobramycin in patients with cystic fibrosis. cystic fibrosis Inhaled Tobramycin Study Group. New England Journal of Medicine 1999;340(1):23–30. [CENTRAL: 158508; CRS: 55001000000000883; PUBMED: 9878641] Rosenfeld M, Emerson J, Williams-Warren J, Pepe M, Smith A, Montgomery AB, et al.Defining a pulmonary exacerbation in cystic fibrosis. Journal of Pediatrics 2001;139(3):359–65. [CENTRAL: 384105; CRS: 5500100000002077; PUBMED: 11562614] Taylor CJ. Nebulised high dose Tobramycin (TOBI) in adolescents with cystic fibrosis [abstract]. Pediatric Pulmonology 2001;Suppl 22:291. [CENTRAL: 362226; CRS: 550010000000002008]

VanDevanter D, Hou K, Yu X. Effect of tobramycin solution for inhalation (TOBI®) on long term rates of lung function decline in Pseudomonas aeruginosa infected cystic fibrosis (CF) patients with mild to moderate lung disease [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2000;**Suppl 20**: 298. [CENTRAL: 793150; CRS: 5500100000003557] VanDevanter D, Yu X, Konstan MW. Effect of tobramycin solution for inhalation on circulating white blood cell counts in exacerbating and stable CF patients [abstract]. 24th European Cystic Fibrosis Conference; 2001 June 6-9; Vienna, Austria. 2001:P172. [CENTRAL: 354463; CRS: 55001000000001964]

Ratjen 2001a {published data only}

Ratjen F, Döring G, Nikolaizik WH. Effect of inhaled tobramycin on early Pseudomonas aeruginosa colonisation in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Lancet* 2001;**358**(9286): 983–4.

Retsch-Bogart 2008 {published data only}

Burns JL, Stapp J, Lofland D, AIPhase 2SG. Microbiology results from a phase 2 clinical study of aztreonam lysinate for inhalation (AI): a new inhaled antibiotic to treat CF patients with Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PA) [abstract]. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis 2005;4 Suppl:S55. [CENTRAL: 548338; CFGD Register: PI211a; CRS: 5500100000002786] * Retsch-Bogart GZ, Burns JL, Otto KL, Liou TG, McCoy K, Oermann C, et al.A phase 2 study of aztreonam lysine for inhalation to treat patients with cystic fibrosis and Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection. Pediatric Pulmonology 2008;43(1):47-58. [CENTRAL: 628521; CFGD Register: PI211c; CRS: 5500100000003193; PUBMED: 18041081] Retsch-Bogart GZ, Gibson RL, AIPhase 2SG. A phase 2 study of aztreonam lysinate for inhalation to treat cystic fibrosis patients with Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection [abstract]. American Thoracic Society International Conference; 2005 May 20-25; San Diego, USA. 2005:

A576. [CENTRAL: 592963; CFGD Register: PI211b; CRS: 5500100000002930]

Retsch-Bogart GZ, McCoy KS, Gibson RL, Oermann CM. Sustained improvement in pulmonary function following a 28-day course of 75mg azli tid therapy [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2008;**43 Suppl 31**:320. [CENTRAL: 675920; CFGD Register: PI211d // PI213b; CRS: 5500100000003286]

Retsch-Bogart 2009 {published data only}

McCoy K, Retsch-Bogart G, Gibson RL, Oermann C, Braff M, Montgomery AB. Investigation of susceptibility breakpoints for inhaled antibiotic therapies in cystic fibrosis [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2010;45 Suppl 33:341, Abstract no: 340. [CENTRAL: 848913; CFGD Register: PI212g // PI213i; CRS: 5500100000010623] McCoy KS, Retsch-Boagrt GZ, Gibson RL, Oermann CM, McKevitt M, Montgomery AB. Efficacy of Aztreonam Lysine for inhalation (AZLI) in patients with cystic fibrosis and drug resistant P. aeruginosa (DRPA) [abstract]. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2009;8 Suppl 2:S28. [CENTRAL: 744120; CFGD Register: PI212e // PI213e; CRS: 55001000000003449]

McCoy KS, Retsch-Bogart GZ, Gibson R, Oermann C, Braff MH, Montgomery AB. Relevance of established susceptibility breakpoints to clinical efficacy of inhaled antibiotic therapies in cystic fibrosis [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2008;**43 Suppl 31**:351. [CENTRAL: 677646; CFGD Register: PI212b // PI213c; CRS: 5500100000003289]

Plosker GL. Aztreonam lysine for inhalation solution: in cystic fibrosis. *Drugs* 2010;**70**(14):1843–55. [CENTRAL: 848912; CFGD Register: PI212f // PI213h; CRS: 5500100000010622]

Quittner AL, Henig NR, Lewis S, Derchak PA, McCoy KS, Oermann CM, et al. Effects of chronic intermittent aztreonam for inhalation solution (AZLI) on health-related quality of life (HRQOL) in persons with cystic fibrosis (CF) and P. aeruginosa [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2011;46 Suppl 34:299, Abstract no: 240. [CENTRAL: 867260; CFGD Register: PI213j // PI220f; CRS: 5500100000011261]

Quittner AL, Retsch-Bogart GZ, McCoy KS, Oermann CM, Gibson R, Lewis S, et al.Effect of a 28-day course of aztreonam for inhalation solution (AZLI) on responses to individual CFQ-R respiratory symptoms score questions among patients with CF [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2009;44 Suppl 32:305, Abstract no: 266. [CENTRAL: 744128; CFGD Register: PI213f; CRS: 55001000000003457]

Retsch-Bogart GZ, McCoy KS, Gibson RL, Oermann C, Montgomery AB. Source of improvements in lung function in patients with cystic fibrosis (CF) following treatment with aztreonam lysine for inhalation (AZLI) [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2008;**43 Suppl 31**:320. [CENTRAL: 744116; CFGD Register: PI213d; CRS: 5500100000003445] Retsch-Bogart GZ, McCoy KS, Gibson RL, Oermann CM. Sustained improvement in pulmonary function

following a 28-day course of 75mg azli tid therapy [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2008;**43 Suppl 31**:320. [CENTRAL: 675920; CFGD Register: PI211d // PI213b; CRS: 5500100000003286]

Retsch-Bogart GZ, Montgomery B, Gibson R, McCoy K, Oermann CM, Cooper P. Phase 3 trial (AIR-CF 1) measuring improvement in respiratory symptoms in patients with cystic fibrosis (CF) following treatment with aztreonam lysine for inhalation [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2007; **42 Suppl 30**:310. [CENTRAL: 623759; CFGD Register: PI213a; CRS: 5500100000003182]

Retsch-Bogart GZ, Quittner AL, Gibson RL, Oermann CM, McCoy KS, Montgomery AB, et al.Efficacy and safety of inhaled aztreonam lysine for airway pseudomonas in cystic fibrosis. *Chest* 2009;**135**(5): 1223–32. [CENTRAL: 698536; CFGD Register: PI213g; CRS: 5500100000010621]

Rietschel 2009 {published data only}

Rietschel E, Posselt HG, Heuer HE, Merkel N, Staab D. Pharmacokinetics of tobramycin (TOBI) after 4 and 8 weeks of continuous once daily or twice daily inhalation [abstract]. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis 2009;8 Suppl 2:S27, Abstract no: 106. [CENTRAL: 795021; CFGD Register: PI232a; CRS: 5500100000003587] Rietschel E, Staab D, Merkel N, van Konigsbruggen S, Posselt H. Pharmacokinetics of continuous treatment with O.D. or B.I.D inhalation of tobramycin (TOBITM) via Pari EflowTM Rapid [abstract]. Pediatric Pulmonology 2010;45 Suppl 33:320, Abstract no: 283. [CENTRAL: 849031; CFGD Register: PI232c; CRS: 5500100000010629] Rietschel E, Staab D, von Konigsbruggen S, Merkel N, Heuer HE, Posselt HG. Pharmacokinetics of continuous treatment with o.d. or b.i.d. inhalation of tobramycin (TOBITM) [abstract]. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis 2010;9 Suppl 1:S23, Abstract 106. [CENTRAL: 795018; CFGD Register: PI232b; CRS: 5500100000003586]

Schaad 1997 {published data only}

Schaad UB, Wedgwood J, Ruedeberg A, Kraemer R, Hampel B. Ciprofloxacin as antipseudomonal treatment in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Pediatric Infectious Diseases Journal* 1997;**16**(1):106–11. [CFGD Register: PI116]

Schelstraete 2010 {published data only}

Schelstraete P, Deschaght P, Van Daele S, Haerynck F, Van Simaey L. Genotype based evaluation of eradication treatment of new P. aeruginosa infections in CF patients [abstract]. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2009;**8 Suppl 2**: S39, Abstract no: 156. [CFGD CF Register: PI228; MEDLINE: 96296083]

Schelstraete P, Deschaght P, Van Simaey L, Van Daele S, Haerynck F, Vaneechoutte M, et al.Genotype based evaluation of Pseudomonas aeruginosa eradication treatment success in cystic fibrosis patients. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2010;**9**(2):99–103. [CFGD Register: PI228]

Schuster 2013 {published data only}

Goldman M, Schuster A, Halliburn C, Döring G, The FSG. A randomised, open label phase 3 study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of a dry powder formulation of inhaled

colistimethate sodium (Colobreathe®) versus tobramycin nebuliser solution (TNS) in cystic fibrosis subjects with chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa lung infection [abstract]. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2012;**11, Suppl 1**:S12, Abstract no: WS5.5. [CENTRAL: 848917; CFGD Register: PI214b; CRS: 5500100000010630]

Goldman MH, Pitt T. Lack of emergence of antimicrobial resistance of Pseudomonas Aeruginosa after six months inhalation of dry powder colistimethate [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2008;**43 Suppl 31**:331. [CFGD Register: PI214a]

Goldman MH, Shuster A, Haliburn C, Doring G. A randomised, open label phase 3 study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of a dry powder formulation of colistimethate sodium (Colobreathe®) versus tobramycin nebuliser solution (TNS) in cystic fibrosis subjectes with chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa lung infection [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2012;47(S35):353, Abstract no: 363. [CENTRAL: 849030; CFGD Register: PI214c; CRS: 5500100000010631]

Goldman MH, Werner T, Schuster A. Does persistence with inhaled dry powder antibiotic treatment improve tolerability? [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2013;48 Suppl 36:347, Abstract no: 389. [CENTRAL: 921669; CFGD Register: PI214f; CRS: 5500125000000396] Schuster A, Haliburn C, Doring G, Goldman MH, FSG. Online Data Supplement to 'Safety, efficacy and convenience of colistimethate sodium dry powder for inhalation (Colobreathe DPI) in patients with cystic fibrosis: a randomised' [online] study. *Thorax* 2013;68(4):344-350 Online. [CENTRAL: 867327; CFGD Register: PI214e; CRS: 5500100000011299]

* Schuster A, Haliburn C, Doring G, Goldman MH, FSG. Safety, efficacy and convenience of colistimethate sodium dry powder for inhalation (Colobreathe DPI) in patients with cystic fibrosis: a randomised study. *Thorax* 2013; **68**(4):344–50. [CENTRAL: 864435; CFGD Register: PI214d; CRS: 5500100000011298; PUBMED: 23135343]

Steinkamp 1989 {published data only}

Steinkamp G, Tummler B, Malkotte R, Von der Hardt H. Treatment of Pseudomonas aeruginosa colonisation in cystic fibrosis. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 1989;**64**(7): 1022–8.

Steinkamp 2007 {published data only}

Steinkamp G, Schmitt-Grohe S, Doring G, Stabb D, Schubert R, Zielen S. Once weekly azithromycin in cystic fibrosis: a double-blind, randomised trial in patients with chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2007;**42**(S30):300. [CFGD Register: MA19b]

Steinkamp G, Schmitt-Grohe S, Doring G, Worlitzsch D, Staab D, Schubert R, et al. Clinical and immunomodulatory effects of once weekly azithromycin treatment in cystic fibrosis patients chronically infected with Pseudomonas aeruginosa [abstract]. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2006;**5 Suppl 1**:S25. [CFGD Register: MA19a]

Taccetti 2005 {published data only}

Taccetti G, Campana S, Festini F, Mascherini M, Doring G. Early eradication therapy against Pseudomonas aeruginosa in cystic fibrosis patients. *European Respiratory Journal* 2005;**26**(3):1–4.

Tramper-Stranders 2009 {published data only}

Tramper-Stranders G, Wolfs TFW, van Aalderen W, Kouwenberg J, Nagelkerke A, van der Ent CK. Prevention of initial P. aeruginosa infection in children with cystic fibrosis: a multi-centre double-blind randomised controlled trial [abstract]. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2009;**8 Suppl** 2:S37, Abstract no: 148. [CFGD Register: PI231a; MEDLINE: 96296083]

Tramper-Stranders GA, Wolfs TF, van Haren Noman S, van Aalderen WM, Nagelkerke AF, Nuijsink M, et al.Controlled trial of cycled antibiotic prophylaxis to prevent initial Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in children with cystic fibrosis. *Thorax* 2010;**65**(10):915–20. [CENTRAL: 761942; CFGD Register: PI231b; CRS: 5500125000000359; PUBMED: 20729233]

Trapnell 2012 {published data only}

McColley SA, Trapnell B, Kissner D, McKevitt M, Montgomery B, Rosen J, et al.Fosfomycin/tobramycin for inhalation (FTI): microbiological results of a phase 2 placebo-controlled trial in patients with cystic fibrosis and pseudomonas aeruginosa [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2010;45 Suppl 33:338, Abstract no: 334. [CENTRAL: 848865; CFGD Register: PI247c; CRS: 5500100000010542]

Trapnell BC, Kissner D, Montgomery AB, Newcomb T, Geller D. Fosfomycin/tobramycin for inhalation FTI): safety results of a phase 2 placebo-controlled trial in patients with cystic fibrosis and pseudomonas aeruginosa [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2010;**45 Suppl 33**:302, Abstract no: 234. [CENTRAL: 848864; CFGD Register: PI247b; CRS: 5500100000010541]

Trapnell BC, McColley SA, Kissner DG, Rolfe MW, Rosen JM, McKevitt M, et al.Fosfomycin/tobramycin for inhalation in patients with cystic fibrosis with pseudomonas airway infection. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2012;**185**(2):171–8. [CENTRAL: 814492; CFGD Register: PI247d; CRS: 5500100000010632] Trapnell BC, Rolfe M, McColley S, Montgomery AB, Moorehead L, Geller D. Fosfomycon/tobramycin for inhalation (FTI): efficacy results of a phase 2 placebo-controlled trial in patients with cystic fibrosis and pseudomonas aeruginosa [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2010;**45 Suppl 33**:302, Abstract no: 233. [CENTRAL: 848927; CFGD Register: PI247a; CRS: 55001000000010712]

Vazquez 1993 {published data only}

Vazquez C, Municio M, Corera M, Gaztelurrutia L, Sojo A, Vitoria JC. Early treatment of Pseudomonas aeruginosa colonisation in cystic fibrosis. *Acta Paediatrica Scandanavia* 1993;**82**(3):308–9.

Wainwright 2011a {published data only}

Byrnes CA, Vidmar S, Cheney JL, Carlin JB, Armstrong

DS, Cooper PJ, et al. Prospective evaluation of respiratory exacerbations in children with cystic fibrosis from newborn screening to 5 years of age. *Thorax* 2013;**68**(7):643–51. [CENTRAL: 904924; CFGD Register: PE167i; CRS: 5500125000000477; PUBMED: 23345574] Cheney J, Vidmar S, Grimwood K, Carlin JB, Wainwright C, on behalfofACFBALSG. Interim outcomes of a Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Pa) eradication protocol in young children in the Australian Cystic Fibrosis Bronchoalveolar Lavage (ACFBAL) Study [abstract]. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2009;**8**(Suppl 2):S39, Abstract no:157. [CFGD Register: PE167c]

Cheney J, Wainwright C, ACFBAL SG. Trials, tribulations and triumphs of a cystic fibrosis study - a behind the scenes look at the workings of an international multi-centre study [abstract]. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2010;**9 Suppl 1**:S118, Abstract no: 452. [CENTRAL: 790042; CFGD Register: PE167g; CRS: 5500125000000092]

Wainwright C, Carlin J, Cooper P, Byrnes C, Martin J, Grimwood K, et al. Australasian cystic fibrosis BAL study interim analysis [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2006;**41** Suppl 29:317. [CFGD Register: PE167a]

Wainwright CE, Carlin J, Cooper P, Byrnes C, Whitehead B, Martin J, et al.Early infection with pseudomonas aeruginosa can be cleared in young children with cystic fibrosis [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2002;**34 Suppl 24**:300–1. [CFGD Register: PI167d]

Wainwright CE, Kidd TJ, Ramsey KA, Bell SC, Grimwood K. Australasian CF bronchoalveolar lavage (ACFBAL) study: P.Aeruginosa (PA) genotypes in pre-school CF children [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2011;**46 Suppl** 34:320, Abstract no: 299. [CENTRAL: 874662; CFGD Register: PE167h; CRS: 5500125000000093] Wainwright CE, Vidmar S, Armstrong DS, Byrnes CA,

Wainwright CE, Vidmar S, Armstrong DS, Byrnes CA, Carlin JB, Cheney J, et al. Effect of bronchoalveolar lavage-directed therapy on Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection and structural lung injury in children with cystic fibrosis: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 2011;**306**(2):163–71. [CFGD Register: PI167e]

Wainwright CE, Vidmar S, Armstrong DS, Byrnes CA, Carlin JB, Cheney J, et al. Online Supplement to 'Effect of bronchoalveolar lavage-directed therapy on Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection and structural lung injury in children with cystic fibrosis: a randomized trial' [online]. *JAMA* 2011;306(2):163-171 Online. [CENTRAL: 788878; CFGD Register: PE167f; CRS: 5500100000011126; PUBMED: 21750293]

Wainwright CE, Grimwood K, Carlin JB, Vidmar S, Cooper PJ, Francis PW, et al.Safety of bronchoalveolar lavage in young children with cystic fibrosis. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2008;**43**(10):965–72. [CFGD Register: PI167b]

Wainwright 2011b {published data only}

Wainwright CE, Quittner AL, Geller DE, Nakamura C, Wooldridge JL, Gibson RL, et al. Aztreonam for inhalation solution (AZLI) in patients with cystic fibrosis, mild lung impairment, and P. aeruginosa. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis*

2011;**10**(4):234–42. [CENTRAL: 801029; CFGD Register: PI273; CRS: 5500100000011273; PUBMED: 21441078]

References to studies awaiting assessment

Noah 2010 {published data only}

Noah T, Ivins S, Abode K, Harris W, Henry M, Leigh M. Comparison of antibiotics for early pseudomonas infection in CF: interim data analysis [abstract]. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2007;**42**(S30):332. [CFGD Register: PI205a] * Noah TL, Ivins SS, Abode KA, Stewart PW, Michelson PH, Harris WT, et al.Inhaled versus systemic antibiotics and airway inflammation in children with cystic fibrosis and Pseudomonas. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2010;**45**(3):281–90. [CFGD Register: PI205b]

References to ongoing studies

TORPEDO Trial {published data only}

Langton Hewer S. TORPEDO-CF. http://www.controlled-trials.com/ISRCTN02734162/torpedo-cf accessed 10 October 2011. [: ISRCTN02734162]

Additional references

Abman 1991

Abman SH, Ogle JW, et al. Early bacteriologic, immunologic and clinical courses of young infants with cystic fibrosis identified by neonatal screening. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1991; **119**(2):211–7.

Anstead 2013

Anstead M, Heltshe S, Khan U, Barbieri JT, Langkamp M, Doring G, et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa serology and risk for re-isolation in the EPIC trial. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2013;**12**(2):147–53.

Armstrong 1996

Armstrong D, Grimwood K, Carlin J, Carzino R, Olinsky A, Phelan P. Bronchoalveolar lavage or oropharyngeal cultures to identify lower respiratory pathogens in infants with cystic fibrosis. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 1996;**21**(5): 267–75.

Burns 2001

Burns JL, Gibson RL, McNamara S, Yim D, Emerson J, Rosenfeld M, et al.Longitudanal assessment of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in young children with cystic fibrosis. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2001;**183**(3):444–52.

Ciofu 2012

Ciofu O, Mandsberg LF, Wang H, Hoiby N. Phenotypes selected during chronic lung infection in cystic fibrosis patients: implications for the treatment of Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm infections. *FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology* 2012;**65**(2):215–25.

Douglas 2009

Douglas TA, Brennan S, Gard S, Berry L, Gangell C, Stick SM, et al. Acquisition and eradication of P. aeruginosa in young children with cystic fibrosis. *European Respiratory Journal* 2009;**33**(2):305–11.

Döring 2000

Döring G, Conway S, Heijerman H, Hodson M, Høiby N, Smyth A, et al. Antibiotic therapy against Pseudomonas aeruginosa: a European consensus. *European Respiratory Journal* 2000;**16**(4):749–67.

Döring 2012

Döring G, Flume P, Heijerman H, Elborn JS. Treatment of lung infection in patients with cystic fibrosis: Current and future strategies. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2012;**11**(6): 461–79.

Emerson 2002

Emerson J, Rosenfeld M, McNamara S, Ramsey B, Gibson RL. Pseudomonas aeruginosa and other predictors of mortality and morbidity in young children with cystic fibrosis. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2002;**34**(2):91–100.

FitzSimmons 1993

FitzSimmons SC. The changing epidemiology of cystic fibrosis. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1993;**122**(1):1–9.

Fitzsimmons 1996

Fitzsimmons S. The Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry Report 1996. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 1996;**Suppl** 21:267–75.

Higgins 2003

Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 2003;**327** (7414):557–60.

Higgins 2011

Higgins JPT, Altman DG. Chapter 8: Assessing risk of bias in included studies. In: Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). Cochrane Handbook of Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Version 5.1 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org.

Hilliard 2007

Hilliard TN, Sukhani S, Francis J, Madden N, Rosenthal M, Balfour-Lynn I, et al.Bronchoscopy following diagnosis with cystic fibrosis. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 2007; **92**(10):989–9.

Hudson 1993

Hudson V, Wielinski C, Regelmann W. Prognostic implications of initial oropharyngeal bacterial flora in patients with cystic fibrosis diagnosed before the age of two years. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1993;**122**(6):854–60.

Iacocca 1963

Iacocca VF, Sibinga M, Barbero G. Respiratory Tract Bacteriology in Cystic Fibrosis. *American Journal of Disease in Childhood* 1963;**106**(3):115–24.

Johansen 2013

Johansen HK, Gøtzsche PC. Vaccines for preventing infection with Pseudomonas aeruginosa in cystic fibrosis. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013, Issue 6. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001399.pub3]

Kerem 1990

Kerem E, Corey M, Stein R, Gold R, Levison H. Risk factors for Pseudomonas aeruginosa colonisation in cystic fibrosis patients. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 1990;**9** (7):494–8.

Kosorok 2001

Kosorok MR, Zeng L, West SE, Rock MJ, Splaingard ML, Laxova A, et al. Acceleration of lung disease in children with cystic fibrosis after Pseudomonas aeruginosa acquisition. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2001;**32**(4):277–87.

Lee 2003

Lee TWR, Brownlee KG, Conway SP, Denton M, Littlewood JM. Evaluation of a new definition for chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in cystic fibrosis patients. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2003;**2**(1):29–34.

Lee 2004

Lee TW, Brownlee KG, Denton M, Littlewood JM, Conway SP. Reduction in prevalence of chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection at a regional pediatric cystic fibrosis center. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2004;37(2):104–10.

Lee 2009

Lee TWR. Eradication of early *Pseudomonas* infection in cystic fibrosis. *Chronic Respiratory Disease* 2009;**6**(2): 99–107.

Montori 2005

Montori VM, Devereaux PJ, Adhikari NKJ, Burns KEA, Eggert CH, Briel M, et al.Randomized Trials Stopped Early for Benefit: A Systematic Review. *JAMA* 2005;**294**(17): 2203–9.

Muhlebach 1999

Muhlebach M, Stewart P, Leigh M, Noah T. Quantitation of inflammatory responses to bacteria in young cystic fibrosis and control patients. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine* 1999;**160**(1):186–91.

Munck 2001

Munck A, Bonacorsi S, Mariani-Kurkdjian P, Lebourgeois M, Gerardin M, Brahimi N, et al.Genotypic characterisation of Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains recovered from patients with cystic fibrosis after initial and subsequent colonisation. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2001;**32**(4):288–92.

Nixon 2001

Nixon GM, Armstrong DS, Carzino R, Carlin JB, Olinsky A, Robertson CF, et al. Clinical outcome after early Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in cystic fibrosis. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2001;**138**(5):699–704.

Pamukcu 1995

Pamukcu A, Bush A, Buchdal R. Effects of Pseudomonas aeruginosa colonisation on lung function and anthropomorphic variables in children with cystic fibrosis. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 1995;**19**(1):10–5.

Parad 1999

Parad RB, Gerard CJ, Zurakowski D, Nichols DP, Pier GB. Pulmonary outcome in cystic fibrosis is influenced primarily by mucoid Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection and immune status and only modestly by genotype. *Infection & Immunity* 1999;**67**(9):4744–50.

Ramsey 1996

Ramsey B. Drug therapy: Management of pulmonary disease in patients with cystic fibrosis. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1996;**335**(3):179–88.

Ratjen 2001b

Ratjen F, Comes G, Paul K, Posselt H, Wagner T, Harms K. Effect of continuous antistaphylococcal therapy on the rate of P.aeruginosa acquisition in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2001;**31**(1):13–6.

Rosenfeld 1999

Rosenfeld M, Emerson J, Accurso F, Armstrong D, Castille R, Grimwood K, et al.Diagnostic accuracy of oropharyngeal cultures in infants and young children with cystic fibrosis. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 1999;**28**(5):321–8.

Rosenfeld 2001

Rosenfeld MR, Gibson RL, McNamara S, Emerson J, Burns JL, Castile R, et al. Early pulmonary infection, inflammation and clinical outcomes in infants with cystic fibrosis. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2001;**32**(5):356–66.

Schulz 1995

Schulz KF, Chalmers I, Hayes RJ, Altman DG. Empirical evidence of bias. Dimensions of methodological quality associated with estimates of treatment effects in randomised controlled trials. *JAMA* 1995;**273**(5):408–12.

Smyth 2012

Smyth AR, Walters S. Prophylactic anti-staphylococcal antibiotics for cystic fibrosis. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 12. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001912]

Smyth 2014

Smyth AR, Bell SC, Bojcin S, Bryon M, Duff A, Flume P, et al.European Cystic Fibrosis Society Standards of Care: Best Practice Guidelines. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* 2014;**13** (Supplement 1):S23–S42.

Stutman 2002

Stutman HR, Lieberman JM, Nussbaum E, Marks MI. Antibiotic prophylaxis in infants and young children with cystic fibrosis: a randomised controlled trial. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2002;**140**(3):299–305.

Thomassen 1984

Thomassen MJ, Klinger JD, Badger SJ, Van Herckren BW, Stern RC. Cultures of thoracotomy specimens confirm usefulness of sputum cultures in cystic fibrosis. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1984;**104**(3):352–6.

UK CF Registry 2012

UK CF Trust. Cystic Fibrosis Trust Patient Registry User's Guide. Bromley: UK CF Trust, 2012.

UK CF Registry 2013

UK Cystic Fibrosis Trust. UK CF Registry: Annual Data Report 2013. Bromley: Cystic Fibrosis Trust, 2014.

UK CF Trust 2004

UK CF Trust Control of Infection Group. Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in people with cystic fibrosis:

suggestions for prevention and control (2nd edition). UK CF Trust 2004.

Winnie 1991

Winnie GB, Cowan RG. Respiratory tract colonisation with Pseudomonas aeruginosa in cystic fibrosis: correlations between anti-Pseudomonas aeruginosa antibody levels and pulmonary function. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 1991;**10**(2): 92–100.

References to other published versions of this review

Langton Hewer 2009

Langton Hewer SC, Smyth AR. Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic

fibrosis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2009, Issue 4. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004197.pub3]

Wood 2003

Wood DM, Smyth A. Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2003, Issue 2. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004197]

Wood 2006

Wood DM, Smyth AR. Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2006, Issue 1. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004197.pub2]

* Indicates the major publication for the study

CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

Characteristics of included studies [ordered by study ID]

Gibson 2003

Methods	Double-blind RCT. Placebo-controlled. Parallel design. Duration: 28 days. Multicentre based in USA.		
Participants	21 participants with a recent positive oropha from BAL at study entry. Age: 6 months - 6 years. Gender: 11 males, 10 females.	Age: 6 months - 6 years.	
Interventions	Treatment: Tobramycin solution for inhala Control: placebo inhalations.	tion (300 mg 2x daily for 28 days)	
Outcomes	Eradication of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , nutritional sta	Eradication of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , nutritional status, modified Shwachman score, adverse effects	
Notes	Oropharyngeal cultures performed at entry and on days 14, 28, 42 and 56 of the study. BAL from the same lobar segment on entry and day 28 Enrolement was discontinued due to an interim analysis, precipitated by poor accrual of participants, which showed a statistically significant microbiological effect of treatment		
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement Support for judgement		
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Described as a randomised controlled trial stratified by study centre and age (≤ 36 months; > 36 months), but the method of generation of allocation sequence was not stated	
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Did not report how allocation was concealed.	
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Reported as double blind, but paper did not provide any details regarding who was blinded or the method of blinding We received the following helpful response from trial authors, regarding placebo: Active: Preservative free tobramycin sulfate, 60 mg/mL in 5 mL excipient (1/4 normal saline, pH 6.0) in low density polyethylene plastic ampoules inside a foil pouch (Patho-	

Gibson 2003 (Continued)

		Genesis Corporation) Placebo: 5 mL of vehicle with 1.25 mg of quinine sulfate added as a flavouring agent, packaged identically. PathoGenesis Corporation were responsible for the manufacture of the tobramycin and placebo for inhalation
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Analysed on an intention-to-treat basis. Reported data on all participants who were randomised. There were no dropouts reported
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting found.
Other bias	High risk	Study was stopped early by the Data Monitoring Committee after recruitment of 21 from an anticipated 98 participants because of statistically significant treatment effect in favour of the tobramycin group Study received sponsorship support from Chiron, manufacturer of tobramycin for inhalation as used in the study

Proesmans 2013

Methods	RCT. Parallel design. Duration: 3 months. Single centre based in Europe.
Participants	58 children with CF, all with new isolation of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> (sputum or cough swabs). Age: median age 9 years, interquartile range (4.7 - 13.1 years) Gender: 31 male, 27 female. Lung function: median FEV ₁ at inclusion 98% predicted.
Interventions	Treatment (n = 29): Inhaled TSI (300 mg 2x daily for 28 days) Control (n = 29): 3 months combination therapy with inhaled colistin (2 MU 2x daily) + oral ciprofloxacin (10 mg/kg 3x daily)
Outcomes	Primary outcomes Eradication of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> at the end of treatment. Secondary outcomes Time to <i>P. aeruginosa</i> relapse; antibodies (Ab); IgG; FEV ₁ ; body mass index; and <i>P. aeruginosa</i> status at 2-year follow up.
Notes	Participants were then switched to the other arm or treated with IV antibiotics if clinically indicated

Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Randomised in blocks of 10. No description given of method of randomisation, nor of any stratification
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Did not report how allocation was concealed.
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Blinding not possible for participants and clinicians as treatments compared were inhaled versus inhaled and oral. No details regarding whether outcome assessors were blinded
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Intention-to-treat analysis on all 58 randomised participants
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	High risk	Protocol published on ClinicalTrials.gov (identifier: NCT01400750). All pre-specified outcomes reported BMI z score, weight z score and frequency of exacerbations were reported not to have changed significantly for trial participants, but numerical data are not reported
Other bias	Unclear risk	Primary outcome was assessed at end of treatment which was different for the 2 treatment groups 28 days for TSI participants versus 3 months for colistin/ciprofloxacin participants

Ratjen 2010

Methods	RCT. Parallel design. Duration: 27 months. Multicentre (21 centres) based in Europe (Germany, France, Spain, Austria, UK, Netherlands)
Participants	123 participants with CF free of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> (88 randomised - 31 participants not randomised because of high <i>P. aeruginosa</i> antibody titres and 4 for other reasons). Age (mean (SD)): 28-day TIS 8.7 (7.2) years, 56-day TIS 8.7 (10.5) years Gender: 28-day TIS 26 (58%) males, 19 (42%) females; 56-day TIS 22 (51%) males, 21 (49%) females Lung function (mean (SD) FEV ₁ % predicted): 28-day TIS 80.2 (18.9), 56-day TIS 87.

Ratjen 2010 (Continued)

	0 (19.2)
Interventions	Group 1 (n = 45): 28 days of tobramycin solution for inhalation (TSI) (300 mg $2x$ daily) , then stopped treatment Group 2 (n = 43): 28 days of tobramycin solution for inhalation (TSI) (300 mg $2x$ daily) , then randomised to a further 28 days (56 days in total)
Outcomes	Primary outcome Median time to recurrence of any strain of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> . Secondary outcomes Proportion of patients free of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> 1 month after the end of treatment Number and length of hospital admissions for respiratory indications Occurrence of other pathogens Changes in FEV ₁ , FVC & FEF ₂₅₋₇₅ Weight, height and body mass index.
Notes	Also known as ELITE trial.

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Described as randomised, but no description of randomisation techniques given
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Did not report how allocation was concealed.
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Open-label study, no attempt at blinding.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	65 patients from 88 randomised achieved primary outcome. A total of 52 participants prematurely withdrawn from trial 27 participants withdrew from the 28-day treatment group with the following reasons: loss to follow up (n = 1); protocol deviation (n = 4); recurrence/non-eradication (n = 21); other (n = 1) 25 participants withdrew from the 56-day treatment group for the following reasons: withdrawn consent (n = 1); loss to follow up (n = 2); protocol deviation (n = 2); recurrence/no eradication (n = 19); abnormal audiology test (n = 1)

Ratjen 2010 (Continued)

Selective reporting (reporting bias)	High risk	Study reports there were no major short- or long-term (3 and 27 months) changes in spirometry, but does not record the figures for either of the 2 groups. Also, only sum- mary statements and no numerical data are provided for weight, height or BMI
Other bias	Unclear risk	Recruited fewer participants than planned; actually randomised 88 participants (primary outcome evaluable in 65) - planned randomisation of 100 participants Did not randomise 35 participants from the recruited cohort of 123 participants: 31 because of high <i>P. aeruginosa</i> antibody levels, one for an adverse event, one where consent was withdrawn, one for a protocol deviation and one 'other' (unspecified) reason. Participants with raised antibody levels were not included because the investigators believed that they were chronically infected with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> based on their antibody results. This trial was initially supported by Chiron and later Novartis Pharma, the manufacturer of TSI

Taccetti 2012

Methods	RCT. Parallel design. Duration: 28 days. Multicentre (13 centres) in Italy.
Participants	223 participants with first ever or new <i>P. aeruginosa</i> infection. New infection defined as <i>P. aeruginosa</i> isolation following bacterial clearance documented by 3 negative cultures within the previous 6 months Age: over 1 year. Gender: 116 male, 107 female.
Interventions	Group A (n = 105; 52 male and 53 female): 28 days 2x daily inhalation of 2 MU colistin with 2x daily doses of ciprofloxacin 15 mg/kg/dose. Group B (n = 118; 64 male and 54 female): 28 days therapy with TSI (300 mg 2x daily) with 2x daily doses of ciprofloxacin 15 mg/kg/dose
Outcomes	Primary outcome P. aeruginosa eradication defined as 3 negative cultures over 6 months. Secondary outcomes Lung function (FEV ₁).

Taccetti 2012 (Continued)

Other bias

	Period of time free of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> . Isolation of other pathogens including gram-negative and aspergillus	
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomisation sequence generated by statistical software within permuted blocks of size 10, stratified according to age and FEV_1 .
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Separation of individuals responsible for randomisation and treatment assignment
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Open-label trial so no blinding of participants nor researchers
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	38 of 223 randomised participants (17%) dropped out of the trial. The biggest reason for dropping out was lack of compliance with follow up protocol (11 from Group A and 13 from Group B) and identification of a pulmonary exacerbation during early eradication therapy (4 from Group A and 5 from Group B). Analysis was by intention-to-treat
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	We have been unable to locate a published protocol for this trial. The details published on the EudraCT database (number 2008-006502-42) describe objectives but not outcomes. In the main paper, the methods section does not describe all the trial objectives. Only eradication, time free of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> and spirometry are described

Low risk

in the methods section. These outcomes plus the additional outcomes of isolation of other organisms and adverse events are

No evidence of other bias identified.

described in the results

Treggiari 2011

Notes	raverse events.		
Outcomes	Proportion of positive cultures in ea Secondary outcomes Clinical Time to pulmonary exacerbation not Frequency of pulmonary exacerbation inhaled, and IV antibiotics Anthropometric measures (linear grands Pulmonary function tests including of age and older, able to reproducibe Total hospitalization days. Microbiological Changes in antibiotic susceptibility antibiotics) Colony morphology. Presence of mucoid isolates from bases	Time to first exacerbation requiring IV therapy. Proportion of positive cultures in each group. Secondary outcomes Clinical Time to pulmonary exacerbation not requiring IV antibiotic usage or hospitalization Frequency of pulmonary exacerbations, hospitalizations, and use of concomitant oral, inhaled, and IV antibiotics Anthropometric measures (linear growth, weight gain). Pulmonary function tests including FVC, FEF _{25%-75%} , and FEV ₁ (participants 4 years of age and older, able to reproducibly perform spirometry) Total hospitalization days. Microbiological Changes in antibiotic susceptibility patterns (minimal inhibitory concentrations of 12 antibiotics) Colony morphology. Presence of mucoid isolates from baseline to the end of the trial Emergence of intrinsically aminoglycoside and ciprofloxacin-resistant non-pseudomonal	
Interventions	maceutical Corp) for 28 days with o main randomised intervention of national factorial for the sacin, commenced after this initial for Group A: cycled therapy; Group B: culture-based therapy. Furthermore, the time from isolation		
Participants	for 2 years or more.	Age: 1 year or older and 12 years and younger.	
Methods	Multi-centre (57 centres) in the US. Trial duration for each participant i Parallel design. Inhaled tobramycin was provided in	RCT. Multi-centre (57 centres) in the USA. Trial duration for each participant is 18 months. Parallel design. Inhaled tobramycin was provided in an open-label fashion, while oral ciprofloxacin was provided in a double-blinded fashion	

Treggiari 2011 (Continued)

Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomization was carried out by permuted blocks, and performed using a computer-generated sequence
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomization assignment was available at the sites via an interactive voice response system with e-mail confirmation of the treatment assignment
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Inhaled tobramycin was provided in an open-label fashion, while oral ciprofloxacin was provided in a double-blinded fashion All trial personnel and participants were blinded to oral therapy assignment but not to cycled or culture-based treatment allocation. The core trial investigators were blinded to all treatment allocation for the entire study
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Only 2 of 306 randomised participants excluded from the analysis (because they did not receive study treatment)
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	Data on all primary and secondary outcomes reported.
Other bias	Low risk	No imbalance in baseline characteristics. Central trial team (not local investigators) blinded

Valerius 1991

Methods	RCT. Parallel design. Duration: 27 months. Single-centre trial based in Europe.
Participants	26 participants with a recent positive culture who have never received anti-pseudomonal therapy Age: 2 - 9 years. Gender: 13 males, 13 females.
Interventions	Treatment: oral ciprofloxacin (250 - 750 mg) 2x daily and inhaled colistin (1 MU) for 3 weeks at entry and each time <i>P. aeruginosa</i> isolated. Control: no anti-pseudomonas chemotherapy.

Valerius 1991 (Continued)

Outcomes	Time to chronic colonisation with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> (defined as the presence of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> in monthly routine sputum specimens for 6 consecutive months and/or the development of precipitating serum antibodies against <i>P. aeruginosa</i>).
Notes	Monthly sputum samples.

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Described as a RCT without stratification, but the method of generation of allocation sequence was not stated
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Did not report how allocation was concealed.
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Did not use blinding, interventions different.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Analysed on an intention-to-treat basis. Reported data on all participants who were randomised. There were no dropouts reported
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting found.
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence of other bias identified.

Wiesemann 1998

Methods	RCT. Double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Parallel design. Duration: 2 years. Multicentre trial based in Europe.
Participants	22 children with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> -negative throat swabs or sputum cultures for > 1 year and negative serum antibody titers were eligible Age: 4 - 18 years. Gender: 9 males, 13 females.
Interventions	Treatment: nebulised tobramycin 80 mg inhaled 2x daily. Control: inhaled placebo.
Outcomes	Time to clearance of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> from the airway.

Wiesemann 1998 (Continued)

Notes	Monthly sputum or oropharyngeal swabs during trial period.				
Risk of bias					
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement			
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	Allocation sequence was generated using a coin flip for pairs of participants. There is no information as to who was responsible for the coin flip or what controls were in place to ensure validity of the result of the coin flip			
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Did not report how allocation was concealed.			
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Reported as double blind. Participants were blinded by providing a placebo inhalation with a similar taste to the treatment inhalation, but it is not clear whether the clinicians administering the treatment were blinded to treatment allocation			
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	2 out of 11 participants withdrew from treatment group; 5 out of 11 participants withdrew from placebo group. The trial was analysed on an available case basis			
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	High risk	Reported there was no change in spirometric pulmonary function during or after the treatment period, but no data were given			
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence of other bias identified.			

BAL: bronchoalveolar lavage

FEF₂₅₋₇₅: mid-forced expiratory flow

FEV1: forced expiratory volume at one second

FVC: forced vital capacity IgG: immunoglobulin G

IV: intravenous MU: million units

P. aeruginosa: Pseudomonas aeruginosa RCT: randomised controlled trial TSI: tobramycin solution for inhalation

Characteristics of excluded studies [ordered by study ID]

Study	Reason for exclusion
Alothman 2002	Drug tolerability study, not eradication therapy.
Alothman 2005	Drug tolerability study, not eradication therapy.
Ballman 1998	Eradication treatment not used. Observational study.
Brett 1992	Participants allocated to treatment by minimisation on the basis of IgG levels and clinical indications compared to therapy based on clinical indications alone
Church 1997	Symptomatic treatment not eradication.
Clancy 2013	Participants chronically infected with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .
Coates 2011	Participants chronically infected with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .
Frederiksen 1997	Historical control group.
Geller 2007	Pharmacokinetic and drug tolerability study, not eradication therapy
Gibson 2007	Not randomised and with no allocation concealment.
Goss 2009	Participants chronically infected with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .
Griese 2002	Case-control study.
Heinzl 2002	No control group.
Kenny 2009	Retrospective cohort study.
Konstan 2010	Participants chronically infected with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .
Latzin 2008	The primary aim of this trial was not to evaluate eradication regimens for <i>P. aeruginosa</i> and 112 of 118 participants were treated for an acute exacerbation or suppression of chronic infection with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .
Lenoir 2007	Trial not designed to look at eradication of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> . At baseline, 47 of 59 participants had chronic infection with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .
Littlewood 1985	No control group.
Mainz 2014	Sinonasal nebulisation of antibiotic aiming to eradicate from the sinuses only
Mazurek 2012	Participants chronically infected with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .
Oermann 2009	Participants chronically infected with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , not an eradication trial, no randomisation.

(Continued)

Postnikov 2000	No control group and no randomisation.
Postnikov 2007	Not an eradication trial, participants chronically infected with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .
Prayle 2013	Participants chronically infected with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .
Ramsey 1999	Participants chronically infected with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .
Ratjen 2001a	No control group.
Retsch-Bogart 2008	Participants chronically infected with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .
Retsch-Bogart 2009	Participants chronically infected with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .
Rietschel 2009	Pharmacokinetic study of inhaled tobramycin, not eradication therapy
Schaad 1997	Symptomatic treatment not eradication.
Schelstraete 2010	No randomisation or eradication therapy.
Schuster 2013	Drug tolerability study in chronic <i>P. aeruginosa</i> infection, not eradication therapy.
Steinkamp 1989	No control group.
Steinkamp 2007	Participants chronically infected with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .
Taccetti 2005	Primary outcome did not have a control group. Historical controls utilised for other outcomes. No randomisation
Tramper-Stranders 2009	Study of prophylaxis against future infection with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , not of eradication.
Trapnell 2012	Participants chronically infected with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .
Vazquez 1993	Historical control group.
Wainwright 2011a	Randomised to therapy directed by the results of bronchoalveolar lavage compared to therapy based on clinical indications or upper respiratory samples
Wainwright 2011b	Participants chronically infected with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .

P. aeruginosa: Pseudomonas aeruginosa

Characteristics of studies awaiting assessment [ordered by study ID]

Noah 2010

Methods	Single-centre, randomised, prospective trial.
Participants	Stable children with CF and positive surveillance cultures for <i>P. aeruginosa</i> .
Interventions	Nebulised tobramycin 300 mg 2x daily for 4 weeks or intravenous ceftazidime with tobramycin for 2 weeks at standard weight-adjusted doses
Outcomes	Primary efficacy endpoint was change in BAL fluid percentage neutrophils from the most affected lobe at bronchoscopy Secondary outcomes included change in BAL fluid differential cell counts, cytokines and bacterial quantity
Notes	8 participants from a total of 15 had first ever isolate of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> and can be included in this review. Outcome data for these 8 participants not published, author contacted for them

BAL: bronchoalveolar lavage

CF: cystic fibrosis

P. aeruginosa: Pseudomonas aeruginosa

Characteristics of ongoing studies [ordered by study ID]

TORPEDO Trial

Trial name or title	TORPEDO-CF (Trial of Optimal Therapy for Pseudomonas Eradication in Cystic Fibrosis)
Methods	Multi-centre, parallel group, RCT.
Participants	 Inclusion criteria Diagnosis of CF Children over the age of 28 days, older children and adult CF participants are all eligible with no upper age limitation Competent adults should provide fully informed written consent to participate in the trial Minors should have proxy consent by the parent or legal guardian and should provide assent where applicable to participate in the trial The participant should have isolated <i>P. aeruginosa</i> and should be either: P. aeruginosa-naïve (i.e. has never previously isolated P. aeruginosa) or P. aeruginosa-free (i.e. a minimum of four consecutive cough or sputum samples should be P. aeruginosa-free within a 12-month period) The participant should be able to commence treatment no later than 21 days from the date of a P. aeruginosa positive microbiology report
Interventions	Objective: this trial will assess whether 10 days IV ceftazidime with tobramycin is superior to 3 months oral ciprofloxacin. Both treatment regimes will be in conjunction with 3 months nebulised colistin Arm A: 14 days IV ceftazidime 50 mg/kg/dose, to a maximum of 3 g 3x daily and IV tobramycin 10 mg/kg/day either 1x daily or in divided doses (maximum 660 mg/day). Arm B: 3 months oral ciprofloxacin 2x daily (ciprofloxacin dose will be 15 - 20 mg/kg/dose 2x daily for

TORPEDO Trial (Continued)

	children aged < 5 years and 20 mg/kg/dose 2x daily (maximum 750 mg 2x daily) for those aged \geq 5 years). Both treatment arms will receive 3 months of nebulised colistin in conjunction to the randomised treatment. Colistin dose will be as recommended by the UK CF Trust: 1,000,000 units 2x daily for children aged \leq 2 years and 2,000,000 units 2x daily for children aged > 2 years and adults
Outcomes	Primary outcome 1. Successful eradication of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> infection 3 months after allocated treatment has started, remaining infection-free through to 15 months after the start of allocated treatment Secondary outcomes 1. Time to reoccurrence of original <i>P. aeruginosa</i> infection 2. Re-infection with a different genotype of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> 3. Lung function (FEV ₁ , FVC, FEF ₂₅₋₇₅) 4. O ₂ saturation 5. Growth and nutritional status - height, weight and body mass index 6. Number of pulmonary exacerbations 7. Admission to hospital 8. Number of days spent as inpatient in hospital over the 3-month period after allocated treatment has finished, and between 3 months and 15 months after eradication treatment has finished (other than 14 days spent on initial IV treatment) 9. Quality of life (CFQ) 10. Utility (EQ-5D) 11. Adverse events 12. Other sputum/cough microbiology (MRSA, <i>B. cepacia</i> complex, Aspergillus, candida infection) 13. Cost per patient (from NHS perspective) 14. Incremental cost effectiveness ratio (cost per successfully treated patient, cost per QALY) 15. Carer burden (absenteeism from school or work)
Starting date	24/05/2010.
Contact information	Dr Simon Langton Hewer Bristol Royal Hospital for Children Paul O'Gorman Building Upper Maudlin Street Bristol BS2 8BJ UK
Notes	Anticipated end date: 01/11/2014 HTA 07/51/01

A. xylosoxidans: Alcaligenes xylosoxidans

B. cepacia: Burkholderia cepacia

CF: cystic fibrosis

 FEF_{25-75} : mid-forced expiratory flow FEV_1 : forced expiratory volume at 1 second

FVC: forced vital capacity

IV: intravenous

MRSA: Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus

NHS: National Health Service

O₂: oxygen od: once daily

P. aeruginosa: Pseudomonas aeruginosa QALY: quality-adjusted life year RCT: randomised controlled trial

S. maltophilia: Stenotrophomonous maltophilia

DATA AND ANALYSES

Comparison 1. Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo

1 Positive respiratory culture for P teruginosa (300 mg 2x daily) 1.1 At 1 month 1	Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1.1 At 1 month		1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
1.2 At 2 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 2 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 At 1 month 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 2.1 At 1 month 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 2.2 At 2 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.2 At 3 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.2 At 3 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.2 At 2 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.2 At 1 month 2.5 At 12 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.1 At 1 month 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.1 At 1 month 2 At 2 months 3 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.1 At 1 month 2 At 2 months 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.2 At 2 months 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.2 At 2 months 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.2 At 2 months 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.2 At 2 months 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.2 At 2 months 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.2 At 2 months 4.2 At 2 months 4.3 At 3 months 1 Node Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.3 At 3 months 1 Node Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.4 At 6 months 1 Node Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.5 At 12 months 1 Node Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.5 At 12 months 1 Node Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.5 At 12 months 1 Node Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.5 At 12 months 1 Node Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.5 At 12 months 1 Node Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.5 At 12 months 1 Node Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.6 At 1 month 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.7 At 1 month 4 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.7 At 1 month 5 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 6 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 7 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed,		1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
2 Positive respiratory culture for \$P\$ tereignosa (80 mg 2x daily) 2.1 At 1 month 1					
2.1 At 1 month 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 2.2 At 2 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 2.3 At 3 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 2.4 At 6 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 2.5 At 12 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3 Positive respiratory culture for P 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3 Positive respiratory culture for P 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.1 At 1 month 2 38 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.2 At 2 months 2 38 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4 Positive respiratory culture for B aeruginosa (combined) - best case 4.1 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.2 At 2 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Usubtotals only 4.2 At 2 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for B aeruginosa (combined) - best case 5.1 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 6 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 7 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 7 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 8 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 8 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 9 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 10 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)		1			
2.2 At 2 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 2.3 At 3 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] (2.4 At 6 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] (2.5 At 12 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] (2.5 At 12 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] (2.5 At 12 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] (2.5 At 12 months 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] (2.5 At 12 months 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.06 [0.01, 0.33] (2.5 At 2 months 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.15 [0.03, 0.65] (2.5 At 12 months 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.15 [0.03, 0.65] (2.5 At 12 months 1 No.00 (2.5 At 2 months 1 No.00 (2.5 A		1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
2.3 At 3 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 2.4 At 6 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.5 At 12 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.7 At 12 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.7 At 12 months 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.8 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.9 Subtotals only 3.1 At 1 month 2 38 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.1 At 1 month 2 38 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.1 At 1 month 2 38 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.1 At 1 month 2 38 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.1 At 1 month 2 38 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.1 At 1 month 2 38 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.1 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.2 At 2 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for 12 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for 12 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for 12 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for 12 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for 12 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for 12 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for 12 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for 12 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for 12 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for 12 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for 12 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for 12 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for 12 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for 12 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for 12 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 6 Change in months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 6 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 7 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fi					
2.4 At 6 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 2.5 At 12 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 2 38 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.1 At 1 month 2 38 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.2 At 2 months 2 38 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.2 At 2 months 2 38 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.2 At 2 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 5 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 5 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 6 Change in weight from baseline 6 Positive respiratory culture for Party of the months 1 Mean Diff	2.3 At 3 months	1			
2.5 At 12 months 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 aeruginosa (combined available case analysis) 3.1 At 1 month 2 38 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.2 At 2 months 4.2 At 2 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.2 At 2 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 6 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.5 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 30 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 40 Agartiginosa (combined) - worst case 5.1 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5.2 At 2 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5.6 Change in weight from baseline 6.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 7.1 Cough 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 7.1 Cough 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 7.1 Cough 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 7.1 Totals not selected Shwachmann score from baseline 8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 7.1 Totals not selected Shwachmann score from baseline 8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 7.1 Cough 8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)		1			
3 Positive respiratory culture for P. aeruginosa (combined available case analysis) 3.1 At 1 month 2 38 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.2 At 2 months 4 Positive respiratory culture for P. aeruginosa (combined) - best case 4.1 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.2 At 2 months 4.2 At 2 months 5 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 P. aeruginosa (combined) - best case 4.1 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.2 At 2 months 5 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 6 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 7 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 7 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 8 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 9 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)		1			
3.1 At 1 month 3.2 At 2 months 2 38 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.2 At 2 months 2 38 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 3.2 At 2 months 4 Positive respiratory culture for 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 8 at 2 months 4.1 At 1 month 4.2 At 2 months 5 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for P aeruginosa (combined) - worst case 5.1 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 6 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 7 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 8 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 9 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 9 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 10 Odd [0.00, 0.48] 11 Nodds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 11 Nototals only 12 Nototals only 13 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 14 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 15 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 16 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 17 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 19 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 10 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 11 National Response of the properties of	aeruginosa (combined available	2			
3.2 At 2 months 2 38 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.15 [0.03, 0.65] 4 Positive respiratory culture for 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) Subtorals only **Rearraginosa** (combined) - best case 4.1 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.06 [0.01, 0.30] 4.2 At 2 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.14 [0.03, 0.60] 4.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.14 [0.02, 1.16] 4.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.04 [0.00, 0.48] 4.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.01 [3.32, 0.26] 5 Positive respiratory culture for \$P\$ 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) Subtotals only **aeraginosa** (combined) - worst case** 5.1 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.08 [0.02, 0.38] 5.2 At 2 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.18 [0.04, 0.73] 5.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 5.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.16 [0.01, 1.83] 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 6 Change in weight from baseline 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 7 Adverse events 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 8 Change in modified 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 8 Change in modified 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected Shwachmann score from baseline 8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected Shwachmann score from baseline 8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	•	2	38	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.06 [0.01, 0.33]
4 Positive respiratory culture for P. aeruginosa (combined) - best case 4.1 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.2 At 2 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 4.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 At 12 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 5 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 6 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 6 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 6 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 6 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 6 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 6 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 6 O.08 [0.02, 0.38] 6 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 6 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 6 Change in weight from baseline 6 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 7 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed,					
P. aeruginosa (combined) - best case 4.1 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.06 [0.01, 0.30] 4.2 At 2 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.14 [0.03, 0.60] 4.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.14 [0.02, 1.16] 4.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.04 [0.00, 0.48] 4.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.01 [3.32, 0.26] 5 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) Subtotals only aeruginosa (combined) - worst case 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.08 [0.02, 0.38] 5.2 At 2 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.18 [0.04, 0.73] 5.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 5.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.16 [0.01, 1.83] 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.16 [0.01, 1.83]					-
4.2 At 2 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.14 [0.03, 0.60] 4.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.14 [0.02, 1.16] 4.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.04 [0.00, 0.48] 4.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.01 [3.32, 0.26] 5 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) Subtotals only aeruginosa (combined) - worst case Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.08 [0.02, 0.38] 5.1 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.18 [0.04, 0.73] 5.2 At 2 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 5.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.16 [0.01, 1.83] 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.16 [0.01, 1.83] 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 6 Change in weight from baseline 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 7 Adv	P. aeruginosa (combined) - best				,
4.2 At 2 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.14 [0.03, 0.60] 4.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.14 [0.02, 1.16] 4.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.04 [0.00, 0.48] 4.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.01 [3.32, 0.26] 5 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) Subtotals only aeruginosa (combined) - worst case 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.08 [0.02, 0.38] 5.1 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.18 [0.04, 0.73] 5.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 5.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.16 [0.01, 1.83] 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 6 Change in weight from baseline 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 6.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 7 Adverse events 1	4.1 At 1 month	2	39	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.06 [0.01, 0.30]
4.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.14 [0.02, 1.16] 4.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.04 [0.00, 0.48] 4.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.01 [3.32, 0.26] 5 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 aeruginosa (combined) - worst case 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) Subtotals only 5.1 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.08 [0.02, 0.38] 5.2 At 2 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.18 [0.04, 0.73] 5.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 5.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.16 [0.01, 1.83] 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 6 Change in weight from baseline 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 6.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 7 Adverse events 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected 5 Change in modified	4.2 At 2 months	2	39		-
4.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.04 [0.00, 0.48] 4.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.01 [3.32, 0.26] 5 Positive respiratory culture for P. acruginosa (combined) - worst case 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) Subtotals only 5.1 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.08 [0.02, 0.38] 5.2 At 2 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.18 [0.04, 0.73] 5.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 5.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.16 [0.01, 1.83] 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 6 Change in weight from baseline 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 6.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 7 Adverse events 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 7.1 Cough 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected Shwachmann score from baseline 1	4.3 At 3 months	1	18	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	
5 Positive respiratory culture for P. 2 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) Subtotals only aeruginosa (combined) - worst case Case Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.08 [0.02, 0.38] 5.1 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.18 [0.04, 0.73] 5.2 At 2 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 5.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.16 [0.01, 1.83] 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 6 Change in weight from baseline 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected 6.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 7 Adverse events 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected 7.1 Cough 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 8 Change in modified 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected Shwachmann score from baseline 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	4.4 At 6 months	1	18	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.04 [0.00, 0.48]
aeruginosa (combined) - worst case 5.1 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.08 [0.02, 0.38] 5.2 At 2 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.18 [0.04, 0.73] 5.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 5.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.16 [0.01, 1.83] 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 6 Change in weight from baseline 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.06 [0.05, 2.77] 6 Change weight from baseline 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 6.2 At 2 months 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 7 Adverse events 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected 7.1 Cough 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected 8 Change in modified 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected Shwachmann score from baseline 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	4.5 At 12 months	1	18	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.01 [3.32, 0.26]
5.1 At 1 month 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.08 [0.02, 0.38] 5.2 At 2 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.18 [0.04, 0.73] 5.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 5.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.16 [0.01, 1.83] 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 6 Change in weight from baseline 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected 6.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 7 Adverse events 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected 7.1 Cough 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 8 Change in modified 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected Shwachmann score from baseline 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	aeruginosa (combined) - worst	2		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
5.2 At 2 months 2 39 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.18 [0.04, 0.73] 5.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 5.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.16 [0.01, 1.83] 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 6 Change in weight from baseline 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected 6.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 6.2 At 2 months 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 7 Adverse events 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected 7.1 Cough 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 8 Change in modified 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected Shwachmann score from baseline 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0]		2	20		0.00 [0.02.0.20]
5.3 At 3 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 5.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.16 [0.01, 1.83] 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 6 Change in weight from baseline 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected 6.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 6.2 At 2 months 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 7 Adverse events 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected 7.1 Cough 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 8 Change in modified 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected Shwachmann score from baseline 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0]					
5.4 At 6 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.16 [0.01, 1.83] 5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.36 [0.05, 2.77] 6 Change in weight from baseline 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected 6.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 6.2 At 2 months 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 7 Adverse events 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected 7.1 Cough 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 8 Change in modified 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected Shwachmann score from baseline 8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0]					
5.5 At 12 months 1 18 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 6 Change in weight from baseline 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 6.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 6.2 At 2 months 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 7 Adverse events 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 7.1 Cough 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 8 Change in modified 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 8 Change in modified 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)					
6 Change in weight from baseline 6.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 6.2 At 2 months 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 7 Adverse events 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 7.1 Cough 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 8 Change in modified 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 7 Totals not selected 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 8 Change in modified 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 7 Totals not selected 8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 7 Totals not selected 8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 7 Totals not selected					
6.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 6.2 At 2 months 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 7 Adverse events 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected 7.1 Cough 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 8 Change in modified 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected Shwachmann score from baseline 8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0]			10		
6.2 At 2 months 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 7 Adverse events 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 7.1 Cough 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 8 Change in modified Shwachmann score from baseline 8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0]					
7 Adverse events 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected 7.1 Cough 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 8 Change in modified 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected Shwachmann score from baseline 8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0]					
7.1 Cough 1 Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0] 8 Change in modified 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected Shwachmann score from baseline 8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0]					
8 Change in modified 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) Totals not selected Shwachmann score from baseline 8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0]					
Shwachmann score from baseline 8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	——————————————————————————————————————				
baseline 8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	•	1		incan Difference (11, 11xed, 77/0 CI)	Totals Hot scienced
8.1 At 1 month 1 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0]					
		1		Mean Difference (IV Fixed 95% CI)	[0.0, [0.0, 0.0]
8.2 At 2 months 1 Mean Difference (IV. Fixed, 95% CI) 0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	8.2 At 2 months	1		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]

Comparison 2. Oral ciprofloxacin and inhaled colistin versus no treatment

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Proportion colonised with <i>P. aeruginosa</i>	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
1.1 At 3 months	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
1.2 At 6 months	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
1.3 At 12 months	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
1.4 At 24 months	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]

Comparison 3. Oral ciprofloxacin and inhaled colistin versus inhaled tobramycin

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants Statistical method		Effect size
1 Positive respiratory culture for P.aeruginosa	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
1.1 In first 6 months	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
1.2 At 24 months	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
2 Adverse events	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
2.1 Severe cough	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]

Comparison 4. Nebulised tobramycin 28 days versus 56 days

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants Statistical method		Effect size
1 Time to next isolation of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> from BAL, sputum or oropharyngeal cultures	1		Hazard Ratio (Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
2 Number of respiratory exacerbations	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
2.1 Until recurrence of <i>P. aeruginosa</i>	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3 Adverse events (up to 3 months)	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
3.1 Cough	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.2 Productive cough	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.3 Haemoptysis	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.4 Rhinitis	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.5 Sinusitis	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.6 Nasopharyngitis	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.7 Tonsilitis	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.8 Oropharyngeal pain	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.9 Dysphonia	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0\ [0.0,0.0]$

3.10 Headache	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.11 URTI	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.12 Lung disorder	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.13 Bronchitis	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.14 P. aeruginosa infection	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0[0.0, 0.0]
3.15 Influenza	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.16 Otitis media	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.17 Deafness	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.18 Drug level increased	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.19 Pyrexia	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.20 Vomiting	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.21 Varicella	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4 Adverse events (over 3 months)	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
4.1 Cough	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.2 Productive cough	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.3 Haemoptysis	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.4 Rhinitis	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.5 Sinusitis	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.6 Nasopharyngitis	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.7 Tonsilitis	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.8 Oropharyngeal pain	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.9 Dysphonia	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.10 Headache	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.11 URTI	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.12 Lung disorder	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.13 Bronchitis	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.14 P. aeruginosa infection	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.15 Influenza	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.16 Otitis media	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.17 Deafness	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.18 Drug level increased	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.19 Pyrexia	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.20 Vomiting	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.21 Varicella	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]

Comparison 5. Inhaled colistin/oral ciprofloxacin versus inhaled tobramycin/oral ciprofloxacin

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Positive respiratory culture for <i>P. aeruginosa</i>	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
1.1 In first 6 months	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
1.2 At end of follow up (median 16 months)	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
2 Relative change in % predicted FEV ₁ from baseline (to mean 54 days)	1		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
3 Post-trial microbiology status	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected

3.1 Stenotrophomonas	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
maltophilia	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.2 Achromobacter xylosoxidans	1	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	. , ,
3.3 Aspergillus species	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4 Adverse events leading to trial	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
discontinuation			
4.1 Vomiting	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.2 Photosensitivity	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.3 Wheeze	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0[0.0, 0.0]
4.4 Pulmonary exacerbation	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
during early eradication			
treatment			
4.5 Lack of compliance	1	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]

Comparison 6. Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Participants with one or more isolates of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> from respiratory tract	1		Odds Ratio (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
2 Mean 70-week change in FEV ₁ % predicted	1		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
3 Mean 70-week change in weight from baseline	1		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
4 Mean 70-week change in height from baseline	1		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
5 Time to severe pulmonary exacerbation	1		Hazard Ratio (Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
6 Participants with one or more severe pulmonary exacerbations	1		Odds Ratio (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
7 Time to pulmonary exacerbation (any severity)	1		Hazard Ratio (Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
8 Participants with one or more pulmonary exacerbations (any severity)	1		Odds Ratio (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
9 Participants with new isolates of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	1		Odds Ratio (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
10 Participants with one or more serious adverse event	1		Odds Ratio (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected

Comparison 7. Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Participants with one or more isolates of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> from respiratory tract	1		Odds Ratio (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
2 Mean 70-week change in FEV ₁ % predicted	1		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
3 Mean 70-week change in weight from baseline	1		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
4 Mean 70-week change in height from baseline	1		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
5 Time to severe pulmonary exacerbation	1		Hazard Ratio (Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
6 Participants with one or more severe pulmonary exacerbations	1		Odds Ratio (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
7 Time to pulmonary exacerbation (any severity)	1		Hazard Ratio (Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
8 Participants with one of more pulmonary exacerbation (any severity)	1		Odds Ratio (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
9 Participants with new isolates of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	1		Odds Ratio (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
10 Participants with one or more serious adverse event	1		Odds Ratio (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected

Analysis I.I. Comparison I Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo, Outcome I Positive respiratory culture for P. aeruginosa (300 mg 2x daily).

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: I Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo

Outcome: I Positive respiratory culture for $\emph{P. aeruginosa}$ (300 mg 2x daily)

Study or subgroup	Tobramycin n/N	Placebo n/N	Odds Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI	Odds Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
I At I month Gibson 2003	0/8	11/13		0.01 [0.00, 0.30]
2 At 2 months Gibson 2003	2/8	8/13		0.21 [0.03, 1.47]
			0.001 0.01 0.1 10 100 1000	
			Favours tobramycin Favours placebo	

Analysis I.2. Comparison I Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo, Outcome 2 Positive respiratory culture for P. aeruginosa (80 mg 2x daily).

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: I Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo

Outcome: 2 Positive respiratory culture for *P. aeruginosa* (80 mg 2x daily)

Study or subgroup	Tobramycin	Placebo	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% CI	M-H,Fixed,95% CI
I At I month				
Wiesemann 1998	3/8	7/9		0.17 [0.02, 1.44]
2 At 2 months				
Wiesemann 1998	2/8	7/9		0.10 [0.01, 0.90]
3 At 3 months				
Wiesemann 1998	2/8	4/7		0.25 [0.03, 2.24]
4 At 6 months				
Wiesemann 1998	1/9	4/6	—	0.06 [0.00, 0.92]
5 At 12 months				
Wiesemann 1998	1/8	4/4		0.02 [0.00, 0.67]

0.001 0.01 0.1 10 100 1000


Favours tobramycin Favours placebo

Analysis I.3. Comparison I Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo, Outcome 3 Positive respiratory culture for P. aeruginosa (combined available case analysis).

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: I Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo

Outcome: 3 Positive respiratory culture for *P. aeruginosa* (combined available case analysis)



0.001 0.01 0.1 1 10 100 1000

Favours tobramycin

Favours placebo

Analysis I.4. Comparison I Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo, Outcome 4 Positive respiratory culture for P. aeruginosa (combined) - best case.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: I Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo

Outcome: 4 Positive respiratory culture for P. aeruginosa (combined) - best case

Study or subgroup	Tobramycin	Placebo	Odds Ratio	Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% CI		M-H,Fixed,95% CI
I At I month					
Gibson 2003	0/8	11/13	- 	64.6 %	0.01 [0.00, 0.30]
Wiesemann 1998	3/9	7/9	-	35.4 %	0.14 [0.02, 1.16]
Subtotal (95% CI)	17	22	•	100.0 %	0.06 [0.01, 0.30]
Total events: 3 (Tobramycin), 1 Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1.58$, dl Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.42$ 2 At 2 months	$f = 1 (P = 0.21); I^2 = 37$	7%			
Gibson 2003	2/8	8/13	-	45.6 %	0.21 [0.03, 1.47]
Wiesemann 1998	2/9	7/9	_	54.4 %	0.08 [0.01, 0.75]
Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 4 (Tobramycin), I Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.39, di	, ,	22	•	100.0 %	0.14 [0.03, 0.60]
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.65$ 3 At 3 months	5 (P = 0.0080)		_		
Wiesemann 1998	2/9	6/9	-	100.0 %	0.14 [0.02, 1.16]
Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 2 (Tobramycin), 6 Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 1.8: 4 At 6 months Wiesemann 1998		9 7/9		100.0 %	0.14 [0.02 , 1.16]
Subtotal (95% CI)	9	9		100.0 %	0.04 [0.00, 0.48]
Total events: I (Tobramycin), 7 Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 2.5 5 At 12 months	7 (Placebo)	,		2000 /0	510.2 [510.55, 61.10]
Wiesemann 1998	1/9	9/9		100.0 %	0.01 [0.00, 0.26]
Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: I (Tobramycin), 9 Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 2.79		9		100.0 %	0.01 [0.00, 0.26]


0.001 0.01 0.1 I 10 100 1000 Favours tobramycin Favours placebo

Analysis 1.5. Comparison I Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo, Outcome 5 Positive respiratory culture for P. aeruginosa (combined) - worst case.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: I Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo

Outcome: 5 Positive respiratory culture for *P. aeruginosa* (combined) - worst case



Analysis I.6. Comparison I Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo, Outcome 6 Change in weight from baseline.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: I Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo

Outcome: 6 Change in weight from baseline

Tobramycin		Placebo		Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
Ν	Mean(SD) N		Mean(SD)	IV,Fixed,95% CI	IV,Fixed,95% CI	
8	0.3 (0.5)	13	0.1 (0.6)	+	0.20 [-0.28, 0.68]	
8	0.4 (0.5)	13	0.3 (0.6)	+	0.10 [-0.38, 0.58]	
	N 8	N Mean(SD) 8 0.3 (0.5)	N Mean(SD) N 8 0.3 (0.5) 13	N Mean(SD) N Mean(SD) 8 0.3 (0.5) 13 0.1 (0.6)	Tobramycin Placebo Difference N Mean(SD) N Mean(SD) IV,Fixed,95% CI 8 0.3 (0.5) 13 0.1 (0.6) ————————————————————————————————————	

-4 -2 0 2 4
Favours tobramycin Favours placebo

Analysis I.7. Comparison I Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo, Outcome 7 Adverse events.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: I Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo

Outcome: 7 Adverse events

Study or subgroup	Tobramycin n/N	Placebo n/N		Odds Ratio ked,95% Cl		Odds Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI
I Cough Gibson 2003	7/8	12/13				0.58 [0.03, 10.86]
			0.01 0.1 Favours tobramycin	I I0 Favours p	100 acebo	


Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis (Review) Copyright © 2014 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis 1.8. Comparison I Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo, Outcome 8 Change in modified Shwachmann score from baseline.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: I Inhaled tobramycin versus placebo

Outcome: 8 Change in modified Shwachmann score from baseline



Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 Oral ciprofloxacin and inhaled colistin versus no treatment, Outcome I Proportion colonised with P. aeruginosa.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 2 Oral ciprofloxacin and inhaled colistin versus no treatment

Outcome: I Proportion colonised with P. aeruginosa

Anti-pseudo	Control	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% CI	M-H,Fixed,95% CI
1/14	0/12		2.78 [0.10, 74.70]
1/14	1/12		0.85 [0.05, 15.16]
2/14	6/12		0.17 [0.03, 1.09]
2/14	7/12		0.12 [0.02, 0.79]
	n/N 1/14 1/14 2/14	n/N n/N 1/14 0/12 1/14 1/12 2/14 6/12	n/N n/N M-H,Fixed,95% CI 1/14 0/12


0.001 0.01 0.1 | 10 100 1000 Favours anti-pseudo Favours control

Analysis 3.1. Comparison 3 Oral ciprofloxacin and inhaled colistin versus inhaled tobramycin, Outcome I Positive respiratory culture for P.aeruginosa.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 3 Oral ciprofloxacin and inhaled colistin versus inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: I Positive respiratory culture for P.aeruginosa



0.01 0.1 10 100

Favours cipro % colistin Favours tobramycin


(I) Only 23/29 colistin/ciproflxacin % 24/29 TSI treated patients followed up to 24 months

Analysis 3.2. Comparison 3 Oral ciprofloxacin and inhaled colistin versus inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 2 Adverse events.


Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 3 Oral ciprofloxacin and inhaled colistin versus inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 2 Adverse events



Analysis 4.1. Comparison 4 Nebulised tobramycin 28 days versus 56 days, Outcome I Time to next




Analysis 4.2. Comparison 4 Nebulised tobramycin 28 days versus 56 days, Outcome 2 Number of respiratory exacerbations.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 4 Nebulised tobramycin 28 days versus 56 days

Outcome: 2 Number of respiratory exacerbations



Analysis 4.3. Comparison 4 Nebulised tobramycin 28 days versus 56 days, Outcome 3 Adverse events (up to 3 months).

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 4 Nebulised tobramycin 28 days versus 56 days

Outcome: 3 Adverse events (up to 3 months)

Study or subgroup	28-day TIS	56-day TIS	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% CI	M-H,Fixed,95% CI
l Cough				
Ratjen 2010	9/44	2/43		5.27 [1.07, 26.04]
2 Productive cough				
Ratjen 2010	1/44	2/43		0.48 [0.04, 5.46]
3 Haemoptysis				
Ratjen 2010	2/44	1/43		2.00 [0.17, 22.91]
4 Rhinitis				
Ratjen 2010	7/44	3/43	+-	2.52 [0.61, 10.48]
5 Sinusitis				
Ratjen 2010	0/44	0/43		Not estimable
			0.005	
			0.005	
			1 avour 3 20-day 113	(Continued)

Study or subgroup	28-day TIS n/N	56-day TIS n/N	Odds Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	(Continued) Odds Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl
6 Nasopharyngitis Ratjen 2010	3/44	0/43		7.34 [0.37, 146.43]
7 Tonsilitis Ratjen 2010	1/44	0/43		3.00 [0.12, 75.69]
3 Oropharyngeal pain Ratjen 2010	2/44	0/43		5.12 [0.24, 109.77]
9 Dysphonia Ratjen 2010	5/44	6/43		0.79 [0.22, 2.81]
10 Headache Ratjen 2010	3/44	1/43		3.07 [0.31, 30.77]
I I URTI Ratjen 2010	4/44	2/43		2.05 [0.36, 1.83]
12 Lung disorder Ratjen 2010	2/44	0/43		5.12 [0.24, 109.77]
13 Bronchitis Ratjen 2010	2/44	0/43		5.12 [0.24, 109.77]
14 <i>P. aeruginosa</i> infection Ratjen 2010	2/44	1/43		2.00 [0.17, 22.91]
15 Influenza Ratjen 2010	2/44	0/43		5.12 [0.24, 109.77]
16 Otitis media Ratjen 2010	0/44	1/43		0.32 [0.01, 8.03]
17 Deafness Ratjen 2010	0/44	2/43		0.19 [0.01, 4.00]
18 Drug level increased Ratjen 2010	2/44	1/43		2.00 [0.17, 22.91]
19 Pyrexia Ratjen 2010	3/44	4/43		0.71 [0.15, 3.39]
20 Vomiting Ratjen 2010	1/44	1/43		0.98 [0.06, 16.13]
21 Varicella	0/44	1/43		0.32 [0.01, 8.03]

Favours 28-day TIS

Favours 56-day TIS

Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis (Review) Copyright © 2014 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis 4.4. Comparison 4 Nebulised tobramycin 28 days versus 56 days, Outcome 4 Adverse events (over 3 months).

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 4 Nebulised tobramycin 28 days versus 56 days

Outcome: 4 Adverse events (over 3 months)

Study or subgroup	28-day TIS n/N	56-day TIS n/N	Odds Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% Cl	Odds Ratio M-H,Fixed,95% CI
l Cough				
Ratjen 2010	9/35	7/36	+-	1.43 [0.47, 4.40]
2 Productive cough Ratjen 2010	0/35	1/36		0.33 [0.01, 8.46]
3 Haemoptysis Ratjen 2010	1/35	0/36		3.17 [0.13, 80.58]
4 Rhinitis Ratjen 2010	3/35	2/36		1.59 [0.25, 10.17]
5 Sinusitis Ratjen 2010	2/35	0/36		5.45 [0.25, 117.63]
6 Nasopharyngitis Ratjen 2010	0/35	2/36		0.19 [0.01, 4.20]
7 Tonsilitis Ratjen 2010	4/35	1/36		4.52 [0.48, 42.59]
8 Oropharyngeal pain Ratjen 2010	2/35	0/36		5.45 [0.25, 117.63]
9 Dysphonia Ratjen 2010	0/35	0/36		Not estimable
10 Headache Ratjen 2010	0/35	0/36		Not estimable
II URTI Ratjen 2010	4/35	4/36		1.03 [0.24, 4.50]
12 Lung disorder Ratjen 2010	1/35	2/36		0.50 [0.04, 5.78]
13 Bronchitis Ratjen 2010	3/35	4/36		0.75 [0.16, 3.62]
14 <i>P. aeruginosa</i> infection Ratjen 2010	1/35	1/36		1.03 [0.06, 17.13]
			0.005 0.1 10 200	

Favours 28-day TIS

Favours 56-day TIS

Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis (Review) Copyright © 2014 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

(Continued ...)

28-day TIS	56-day TIS	Odds Ratio	(Continued) Odds Ratio
n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% CI	M-H,Fixed,95% CI
0/35	0/36		Not estimable
3/35	2/36		1.59 [0.25, 10.17]
0/35	0/36		Not estimable
0/35	0/36		Not estimable
2/35	2/36		1.03 [0.14, 7.75]
0/35	3/36		0.13 [0.01, 2.71]
0/35	3/36		0.13 [0.01, 2.71]
	n/N 0/35 3/35 0/35 0/35 2/35 0/35	n/N	n/N


0.005 0.1 10 200 Favours 28-day TIS Favours 56-day TIS

Analysis 5.1. Comparison 5 Inhaled colistin/oral ciprofloxacin versus inhaled tobramycin/oral ciprofloxacin, Outcome I Positive respiratory culture for P. aeruginosa.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 5 Inhaled colistin/oral ciprofloxacin versus inhaled tobramycin/oral ciprofloxacin

Outcome: I Positive respiratory culture for P. aeruginosa



0.5 0.7 | 1.5 2

Favours colistin/cipro Favours tobramycin/cipro

Analysis 5.2. Comparison 5 Inhaled colistin/oral ciprofloxacin versus inhaled tobramycin/oral ciprofloxacin, Outcome 2 Relative change in % predicted FEVI from baseline (to mean 54 days).

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 5 Inhaled colistin/oral ciprofloxacin versus inhaled tobramycin/oral ciprofloxacin

Outcome: 2 Relative change in % predicted FEV₁ from baseline (to mean 54 days)

Study or subgroup	Colistin/ciprofloxacin	1	obramycin/cipro	ofloxacin	Me Differen	ean nce	Mean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	IV,Fixed,9	95% CI	IV,Fixed,95% CI
Taccetti 2012	60	2.15 (8.5)	68	4.55 (11.54)			-2.40 [-5.89, 1.09]

-10 -5 0
Favours tobramycin/cipro Fa

5 10 Favours colistin/cipro

Analysis 5.3. Comparison 5 Inhaled colistin/oral ciprofloxacin versus inhaled tobramycin/oral ciprofloxacin, Outcome 3 Post-trial microbiology status.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 5 Inhaled colistin/oral ciprofloxacin versus inhaled tobramycin/oral ciprofloxacin

Outcome: 3 Post-trial microbiology status

Study or subgroup	Colistin/ciprofloxacin	Tobramycin/ciprofloxacin	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H,Fixed,95% CI	M-H,Fixed,95% CI
Stenotrophomonas n	naltophilia			
Taccetti 2012	18/97	22/108		0.89 [0.45, 1.78]
2 Achromobacter xylos	soxidans			
Taccetti 2012	8/97	6/108		1.53 [0.51, 4.57]
3 Aspergillus species				
Taccetti 2012	10/97	21/108		0.48 [0.21, 1.07]
		(0.05 0.2 5 20	

Favours colistin/cipro


Favours tobramycin/cipro

Analysis 5.4. Comparison 5 Inhaled colistin/oral ciprofloxacin versus inhaled tobramycin/oral ciprofloxacin, Outcome 4 Adverse events leading to trial discontinuation.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 5 Inhaled colistin/oral ciprofloxacin versus inhaled tobramycin/oral ciprofloxacin

Outcome: 4 Adverse events leading to trial discontinuation



Favours colistin/cipro Favours tobramycin/cipro

Analysis 6.1. Comparison 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome I Participants with one or more isolates of P. aeruginosa from respiratory tract.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: I Participants with one or more isolates of *P. aeruginosa* from respiratory tract


Study or subgroup	Cycled	Culture-based	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	IV,Fixed,95% CI	IV,Fixed,95% CI
Treggiari 2011	43/148	67/150		0.51 [0.31, 0.82]
			0.1 0.2 0.5 2 5 10	
			Favours cycled Favours culture-based	

Analysis 6.2. Comparison 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 2 Mean 70-week change in FEVI % predicted.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 2 Mean 70-week change in FEV₁ % predicted



Analysis 6.3. Comparison 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 3 Mean 70-week change in weight from baseline.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 3 Mean 70-week change in weight from baseline

Study or subgroup	Cycled		Culture-based		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)[kg]	Ν	Mean(SD)[kg]	IV,Fixed,95% CI	IV,Fixed,95% CI
Treggiari 2011	152	4 (2.7)	152	3.9 (2.4)		0.10 [-0.47, 0.67]

-I -0.5 0 0.5 I
Favours culture-based Favours cycled

Analysis 6.4. Comparison 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 4 Mean 70-week change in height from baseline.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 4 Mean 70-week change in height from baseline



-I -0.5 0 0.5 I
Favours culture-based Favours cycled

Analysis 6.5. Comparison 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 5 Time to severe pulmonary exacerbation.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 5 Time to severe pulmonary exacerbation

Study or subgroup	Cycled	Culture-based	log [Hazard Ratio]	Hazard Ratio	Hazard Ratio
	N	N	(SE)	IV,Fixed,95% CI	IV,Fixed,95% CI
Treggiari 2011	152	152	-0.0513 (0.286)		0.95 [0.54, 1.66]


0.5 0.7 I I.5 2
Favours cycled Favours culture-based

Analysis 6.6. Comparison 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 6 Participants with one or more severe pulmonary exacerbations.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 6 Participants with one or more severe pulmonary exacerbations




Analysis 6.7. Comparison 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 7 Time to pulmonary exacerbation (any severity).

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 7 Time to pulmonary exacerbation (any severity)




Analysis 6.8. Comparison 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 8 Participants with one or more pulmonary exacerbations (any severity).

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 8 Participants with one or more pulmonary exacerbations (any severity)




Analysis 6.9. Comparison 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 9 Participants with new isolates of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 9 Participants with new isolates of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*




0.1 0.2 0.5 2 5 10 Favours cycled Favours culture-based

Analysis 6.10. Comparison 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 10 Participants with one or more serious adverse event.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 6 Cycled inhaled tobramycin versus culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 10 Participants with one or more serious adverse event



Analysis 7.1. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome I Participants with one or more isolates of P. aeruginosa from respiratory tract.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: I Participants with one or more isolates of P. aeruginosa from respiratory tract

Study or subgroup	Ciprofloxacin	Placebo	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	IV,Fixed,95% CI	IV,Fixed,95% CI
Treggiari 2011	49/146	55/152		0.89 [0.55, 1.44]
			01 02 05 1 2 5 10	

Favours ciprofloxacin


Favours placebo

Analysis 7.2. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 2 Mean 70-week change in FEVI % predicted.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 2 Mean 70-week change in FEV₁ % predicted



Favours placebo Favours ciprofloxacin

Analysis 7.3. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 3 Mean 70-week change in weight from baseline.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 3 Mean 70-week change in weight from baseline

Study or subgroup	Ciprofloxacin		Placebo		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)[kg]	Ν	Mean(SD)[kg]	IV,Fixed,95% CI	IV,Fixed,95% CI
Treggiari 2011	152	3.8 (2.5)	152	4.1 (2.7)		-0.30 [-0.88, 0.28]


-2 -1 0 1 2
Favours placebo Favours ciprofloxacin

Analysis 7.4. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 4 Mean 70-week change in height from baseline.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 4 Mean 70-week change in height from baseline



Favours placebo Favours ciprofloxacin

Analysis 7.5. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 5 Time to severe pulmonary exacerbation.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 5 Time to severe pulmonary exacerbation

Study or subgroup	Ciprofloxacin	Placebo	log [Hazard Ratio]	Hazard Ratio	Hazard Ratio
	N	N	(SE)	IV,Fixed,95% CI	IV,Fixed,95% CI
Treggiari 2011	152	0	0.3716 (0.439)	+	1.45 [0.61, 3.43]

0.02 0.1 | 10 50

Favours ciprofloxacin Favours placebo

Analysis 7.6. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 6 Participants with one or more severe pulmonary exacerbations.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 6 Participants with one or more severe pulmonary exacerbations



Analysis 7.7. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 7 Time to pulmonary exacerbation (any severity).

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 7 Time to pulmonary exacerbation (any severity)

Study or subgroup	Ciprofloxacin N	Placebo N	log [Hazard Ratio] (SE)	Hazard Ratio IV.Fixed,95% CI	Hazard Ratio IV,Fixed,95% CI
Treggiari 2011	83	68	0.2546 (0.214)	+	1.29 [0.85, 1.96]

0.1 0.2 0.5 2 5 10


Favours ciprofloxacin Favours placebo

Analysis 7.8. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 8 Participants with one of more pulmonary exacerbation (any severity).

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 8 Participants with one of more pulmonary exacerbation (any severity)



Analysis 7.9. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 9 Participants with new isolates of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia.



Comparison: 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 9 Participants with new isolates of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia

Study or subgroup	Ciprofloxacin	Placebo	(Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	IV,Fix	ed,95% CI	IV,Fixed,95% CI
Treggiari 2011	29/137	26/142			1.20 [0.66, 2.16]
			0.5 0.7 Favours ciprofloxacin	I I.5 2 Favours placebo	

Analysis 7.10. Comparison 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin, Outcome 10 Participants with one or more serious adverse event.

Review: Antibiotic strategies for eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa in people with cystic fibrosis

Comparison: 7 Ciprofloxacin versus placebo added to cycled and culture-based inhaled tobramycin

Outcome: 10 Participants with one or more serious adverse event



WHAT'S NEW

Last assessed as up-to-date: 29 October 2014.

Date	Event	Description
29 October 2014	New search has been performed	A search of the Group's CF Register identified 117 references for possible inclusion in the review Three references have been added to an already included trial (Proesmans 2013). One trial, known as the ELITE trial, has been moved from 'Ongoing studies' to 'Included studies' and the reference to the full publication added; this trial has provided a comparison of duration of intervention not previously available for inclusion (Ratjen 2010). A further trial also previously listed as ongoing with the study ID Ramsey 2005 (also known as the EPIC trial) has been included with 11 new references (Treggiari 2011). One new trial, with nine references has been included (Taccetti 2012). A total of 22 new trials (97 references) have been excluded: one reference each (Alothman 2005; Goss 2009; Prayle 2013; Postnikov 2007; Wainwright 2011b); two references each (Alothman 2002; Kenny 2009; Mainz 2014; Schelstraete 2010; Tramper-Stranders 2009); three references each (Coates 2011; Geller 2007; Mazurek 2012; Rietschel 2009); four references each (Retsch-Bogart 2008; Trapnell 2012); five references each (Clancy 2013; Konstan 2010); six references each (Oermann 2009; Schuster 2013); 10 references each (Oermann 2009; Schuster 2013); 10 references

		ences (Retsch-Bogart 2009); and 32 references (Ramsey 1999).
		Two trials previously listed as 'Awaiting classification'
		have now been excluded (Latzin 2008; Lenoir 2007).
		One additional reference has been added to a previously excluded study (Wainwright 2011a)
		The full paper to an abstract already listed as 'Awaiting
		Classification' has now been published and added to the
		existing study ID while we await clarification regarding
		participants from the authors (Noah 2010).
		One new trial (with a single reference) has been added
		to 'Ongoing studies' (TORPEDO Trial).
		There have been three post hoc changes to the review at
		this update:
		 we now include participants who have received
		treatment within six months of the first isolation of <i>P.</i>
		aeruginosa (previously not more than two months);
		 we now allow trials where all patients receive
		some eradication therapy before randomisation which
		reflects current recommended 'standard of care' and
		consequent trial design;
		 we have added cost as an outcome measure, as
		cost-effectiveness has become increasingly important
		in CF care.
29 October 2014	Now design required but conclusion have	This regulary has been undeted but the government
29 October 2014	New citation required but conclusions have not changed	main the same
	C	

HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 2, 2003 Review first published: Issue 1, 2006

Date	Event	Description
10 June 2009	New search has been performed	A new search of the Group's CF Register identified 14 new references We have included one new study with one reference (Proesmans 2013) and excluded two new studies with three new references (Postnikov 2000; Steinkamp 2007). Three studies with a total of five references are listed as 'Awaiting classification' until we are able to obtain further details (Latzin 2008a; Lenoir 2007a; Noah 2007) We have added five references to the two ongoing stud-

(Continued)

		ies: one reference to Ramsey 2005a and four references to Ratjen 2006a
10 June 2009	New citation required but conclusions have not changed	From the 2009 update of this review, the lead author Dr Damian Wood has stepped down and is no longer involved in the review. The new lead author is Dr Simon Langton Hewer
12 November 2008	Amended	Converted to new review format.
13 November 2007	Amended	The review authors have addressed some comments from the CFGD Group's medical statistician within this update
13 November 2007	New search has been performed	The search identified four new trials; two of which have been excluded (Gibson 2007; Griese 2002); and two of which are still ongoing (Ramsey 2005a; Ratjen 2006a)
15 November 2006	Feedback has been incorporated	The review now contains the response from the authors to feedback regarding the necessity of further trials received through The Cochrane Library Feedback system.

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

Damian Wood wrote the first draft of the review and both Damian Wood and Alan Smyth edited it to produce the final original review version. Both Damian Wood and Alan Smyth have worked on updated versions of the review up until 2007. As from Issue 2, 2009 the new lead author is Simon Langton Hewer. The most recent version of the review was jointly written by Simon Langton-Hewer and Alan Smyth.

Simon Langton Hewer acts as guarantor of the review.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Dr Langton Hewer is the lead investigator on the ongoing trial Torpedo-CF: Trial of Optimal Therapy for Pseudomonas Eradication in Cystic Fibrosis.

Prof Smyth has received financial support from Forest Laboratories and Gilead (both companies market a nebulised antibiotic) and from MPEX Pharma (nebulised antibiotic in development).

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW

2014

The inclusion criteria have been changed to include participants who have received study treatment within six months of the first isolation of *P. aeruginosa* (previously not more than two months). This is to reflect differences in clinical practice between Europe and North America and to allow trials from earlier decades (where early treatment of *P. aeruginosa* was not established clinical practice) to be included. A large trial (306 participants), published in 2011, is therefore now eligible for inclusion (Treggiari 2011). However, it is possible that, where infection has been present for as long as six months, it may have become more difficult to eradicate.

In recent years 28 days of inhaled tobramycin has been recommended as 'standard of care' for eradication of *P. aeruginosa* in guidelines (Döring 2012). This has been reflected in trial design, where investigators have designed their trials to ensure that all patients receive an initial 28-day course of inhaled tobramycin before randomisation to the next stage of therapy. We have therefore altered our eligibility criteria to allow trials where all patients receive some eradication therapy before randomisation (Treggiari 2011).

We have added cost as an outcome measure, as cost-effectiveness has become increasingly important in CF care. None of the trials included to date have reported this outcome but future trials may do so.

2009

After new lead reviewer re-assessed the review, the section 'Objectives' was expanded to include the sentence: 'To investigate whether there is evidence of superiority or improved cost-effectiveness between antibiotic strategies.' Currently, we have included both *P. aeruginosa* free and *P. aeruginosa* naive patients according to the definition by Lee (Lee 2003). At

Currently, we have included both *P. aeruginosa* free and *P. aeruginosa* naive patients according to the definition by Lee (Lee 2003). At the update in 2009 we have added plans to analyse these subgroups separately if sufficient data become available from included studies in the future.

2005

Two clinically relevant additional outcomes were added at review stage to the ones we had originally listed:

- 1. Time to chronic infection (defined as the presence of *P. aeruginosa* in each monthly sputum sample for six consecutive months or the presence of precipitating antibodies to *P. aeruginosa* or both)
 - 2. Clinical and radiological scores

INDEX TERMS

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa; Administration, Inhalation; Administration, Oral; Anti-Bacterial Agents [administration & dosage; therapeutic use]; Ciprofloxacin [administration & dosage; therapeutic use]; Colistin [administration & dosage; therapeutic use]; Cystic Fibrosis [*complications; microbiology]; Pseudomonas Infections [*drug therapy]; Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic; Tobramycin [administration & dosage; therapeutic use]

MeSH check words

Adult; Child; Humans