



**Long-period surface motion of the multi-patch Mw9.0
Tohoku-Oki earthquake**

Journal:	<i>Geophysical Journal International</i>
Manuscript ID:	GJI-14-0115.R2
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Psimoulis, Panagiotis; Nottingham Geospatial Institute, The University of Nottingham, Civil Engineering Houlié, Nicolas; Geodesy and Geodynamics Lab., Institute of Geodesy and Photogrammetry, ETH Zurich, Michel, Clotaire; Swiss Seismological Service, ETH Zurich, Meindl, Michael; Geodesy and Geodynamics Lab., Institute of Geodesy and Photogrammetry, ETH Zurich, Rothacher, Markus; Geodesy and Geodynamics Lab., Institute of Geodesy and Photogrammetry, ETH Zurich,
Keywords:	Surface waves and free oscillations < SEISMOLOGY, Earthquake ground motions < SEISMOLOGY, Early warning < SEISMOLOGY, Transient deformation < GEODESY and GRAVITY, Satellite geodesy < GEODESY and GRAVITY

Long-period surface motion of the multi-patch Mw9.0 Tohoku-Oki earthquake

Panos A. Psimoulis ^{1,2*}, Nicolas Houlié ^{2,3}, Clotaire Michel ⁴, Michael Meindl ² and Markus Rothacher ²

¹ Nottingham Geospatial Institute, Dept. of Civil Engineering, The University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7 2TU, UK

² Geodesy and Geodynamics Lab., Institute of Geodesy and Photogrammetry, ETH Zurich, Zurich 8093, Switzerland

³ Seismology and Geodynamics, Institute of Geophysics, ETH Zurich, Zurich 8092, Switzerland

⁴ Swiss Seismological Service, ETH Zurich, Zurich 8092, Switzerland

Abstract

We show that it is possible to capture the oscillatory ground motion induced by the Tohoku-Oki event for periods ranging from 3 to 100s using Precise Point Positioning (PPP). We find that the ground motions of the sedimentary basins of Japan were large (respectively $> 0.15\text{m/s}$ and $> 0.15\text{m/s}^2$ for velocity and acceleration) even for periods larger than 3s. We compare geodetic observables with a Ground Motion Prediction Equation (GMPE) designed for Japan seismicity and find that the Spectral Acceleration (SA) is well estimated for periods larger than 3s and distances ranging from 100 to 500km. At last, through the analysis of the displacement attenuation plots, we show that the 2011 Tohoku-Oki event is likely composed of multiple rupture patches as suggested before by time-reversal inversions of seismic data.

Keywords: Seismology, Geodesy, strong-motion, GPS, Tohoku-Oki, PPP, long-period ground motion, GMPE

* Corresponding author: Assistant Professor in Geospatial Eng., Tel: +44 (0) 115 951 4287, Fax: +44 (0) 115 951 3881, E-mail: Panagiotis.Psimoulis@nottingham.ac.uk

1. Introduction

For solid Earth investigation, high-rate GPS is nowadays an essential tool in the study of transient deformation, seismic source at depth, or accurate determination of strain rate and surface velocity fields. In the field of engineering, high-rate GPS is used to determine the deformation or the motion of structures (bridges, high buildings, etc.) in time (Çelebi and Sanli, 2002; Psimoulis et al., 2008). Koketsu and Miyake (2008) showed that long-period ground motion is also of interest for the increasing number of large civil engineering structures such as bridges and tall buildings since far-distance resonance and short-distance directivity could occur. In the current study we investigate whether GPS is reliable and accurate in the determination of oscillatory ground motions (acceleration, velocity, displacement) for frequencies lower than or equal to 0.33 Hz.

Peak ground accelerations and velocities (PGA, PGV) are routinely computed using seismic data in near real-time (minutes or less) in the 0.5 to 25Hz frequency range (typically) in order to assess the possible consequences of an earthquake shortly after its occurrence. The relationship between magnitude and peak ground motions of an event is distorted by the interaction between seismic waves and the structures (sedimentary basins, fault systems, etc.) sampled during their travel from the source (e.g. Roten et al., 2011 or Denolle et al., 2013). Ground Motion Prediction Equations (GMPEs) predict that the peak motions representative of longer periods, such as the spectral acceleration (SA) at 3s depend proportionally more on the event's magnitudes than PGA or PGV (e.g. Zhao et al., 2006). Nevertheless, few GMPEs for peak motions representative of long-periods are available in the literature due to the limited amount of broadband data (Cauzzi and Faccioli, 2008). For this reason, peak ground displacements (PGDs) are generally not available and proxies like the Displacement Response Spectrum DRS (10s) are used (Cauzzi and Faccioli, 2008). We explore whether GPS can contribute to GMPEs but also if the seismic source can be better characterized using long-period surface oscillatory motions.

1
2
3 As seismic waveforms, GPS time-series provide insights into surface transients observed
4 during seismic wave propagation or during a seismic rupture (Larson et al., 2003; Bock et al.,
5 2004; Ji et al., 2004; Miyazaki et al., 2004; Blewitt et al., 2006; Kobayashi et al., 2006; Ohta
6 et al., 2006; Emore et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2007; Yokota et al., 2009; Delouis et al., 2010;
7 Feng et al., 2010; Houlié et al., 2011, Bock et al., 2011; Avallone et al., 2011; Ohta et al.,
8 2012; Mitsui and Heki, 2012 Wright et al., 2012; Meng et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2013; Houlié
9 et al., 2014, Psimoulis et al., 2015), tsunami generation for early warning (Blewitt et al., 2006;
10 Sobolev et al., 2007; Blewitt et al., 2009; Crowell et al., 2009; Behrens et al., 2010; Ohta et al.,
11 2012; Li et al., 2013), changes in ionosphere status (Ducic et al., 2003; Mai and Kiang, 2009;
12 Karia et al., 2012; Galvan et al., 2012), volcanic plumes (Houlié et al., 2005; Houlié et al.,
13 2006; Newman, et al., 2012), water vapour delays (Perler et al., 2011; Hurter et al., 2012), or
14 moment tensor (O'Toole et al., 2012; O'Toole et al., 2013). However, apart from few
15 exceptions (i.e. see Wright et al., 2012, Ohta et al., 2012), the studies listed above did not
16 make use of real-time or near real-time data processing strategies. Precise Point Positioning
17 (PPP) allows to monitor the motion of standalone GPS sites (Blewitt, 2008; Ge et al., 2008;
18 Jokinen et al., 2013). GPS, which is accurate up to a few millimetres for high-frequency
19 oscillations in differential mode (Psimoulis and Stiros, 2008; Psimoulis and Stiros, 2012), is
20 accurate at the centimetre level in PPP mode (Moschas et al., 2014), accuracy which is
21 sufficient to determine the surface displacement (Figure 1) following large events ($M_w > 7.0$).
22 The PPP GPS time-series have not been used until today as a tool to describe the surface
23 oscillatory motion. In this study we propose to use PPP time-series processed for the
24 GEONET continuous GPS (CGPS) sites to study the ground motions that followed the 2011
25 Tohoku-Oki event.

26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
The Tohoku-Oki event (origin time T_0 at 05:46:23 UTC on March 11, 2011) and the
subsequent tsunami stroke Japan with a heavy impact on structures. The rupture area is

1
2
3 exceptionally compact for such magnitude (\sim Mw9.0 and $L\sim$ 500km, to be compared with the
4
5 1000 km of rupture of the 2004 Sumatra event with a similar magnitude, Vigny et al., 2005)
6
7 with most of the slip contained above 30 km depth and a maximum slip on the fault of nearly
8
9 50m (Koketsu et al., 2011; Yagi et al., 2011; Suzuki et al., 2011; Yue and Lay, 2011).
10
11 Because of the poor coverage near the epicentre, the slip distribution near the surface is not
12
13 well constrained. Other studies (Maercklin et al., 2012; Roten et al., 2012) confirmed that the
14
15 long-period (10-20s) maximum slip was up to 50m but also suggested that the Tohoku-Oki
16
17 event was indeed composed of multiple ruptures of smaller magnitudes ($<$ Mw8.8).
18
19
20
21
22

23 **2. Data**

27 **2.1 GPS data**

29 The Mw9.0 earthquake off the Pacific coast of Tohoku-Oki on March 11, 2011 was fully
30
31 recorded by the GEONET network (Sagiya, 2004), operated by the Geospatial Information
32
33 Authority of Japan (GSI). The GEONET network is composed of over 1200 continuously
34
35 observing GPS receivers (with an average spacing of 20km). We processed 1Hz GPS records
36
37 from 847 GEONET stations of 15-hour duration, fully covering the earthquake period. The
38
39 data was analysed with the Bernese GPS Software (Dach et al., 2007). The data were post-
40
41 processed in a PPP mode using state-of-the-art models and a-priori information of highest
42
43 quality from the Center for Orbit Determination in Europe (Dach et al. 2009, Bock et al.,
44
45 2009). As an example for the results obtained, Figure 2 shows the displacement field at 300
46
47 seconds after the origin time (T_0). The displacement time series in North, East, and vertical
48
49 components were established separately for each station. The GPS displacement time series
50
51 show an *a-posteriori* formal accuracy of about 1cm in the horizontal and 2cm in the vertical
52
53 component, respectively (Psimoulis et al., 2015).
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

2.2 Strong motion data

The largest strong-motion networks of Japan called K-NET (Kyoshin network) and KiK-net (Kiban-Kyoshin network) consist of 1034 and 660 stations, respectively (Aoi et al., 2011). The main difference of the two networks is that the K-NET stations are located mainly on thick sedimentary sites, while the KiK-net stations are deployed on rock or thin sedimentary sites, as it is a sub-net of the Hi-net (High-sensitivity seismograph network) primarily designed for highly sensitive seismic observations. Consequently, the K-NET and KiK-net triggering thresholds are different (2 cm/s^2 and 0.2 cm/s^2 , Aoi et al., 2004). Furthermore, the K-NET stations are installed on the ground surface, while each KiK-net site hosts two instruments, one installed at the surface and the second at the bottom of a borehole of 100-200m depth.

The Mw9.0 earthquake of Tohoku-Oki 2011 was well recorded by the two strong-motion networks. Raw data of strong-motion records from 700 K-NET sites and 525 KiK-net sites were available. The records of three components, corresponding to the North, East and vertical directions, were sampled at 100Hz and up to 300s duration. The acceleration of each site was derived by correcting the raw data for the gain, converting the corresponding record time from UTC to GPS time and then by applying the time correction for 15 leap seconds. In order to be consistent with the recorded motion of the GPS and K-NET sites, only KiK-net surface records were investigated in this study.

3. Results

3.1 Consistency of GPS and strong-motion data

The evaluation of the consistency of GPS and strong-motion records at high frequencies must be completed for sites located very closely. In previous studies, the GPS and strong-motion

1
2
3 sites with an inter-distance of less than 1km were assumed as collocated (Melgar et al., 2013).

4
5 In our study, we used an even more conservative approach by using only the very closely
6
7 located GPS and strong-motion sites, with an inter-distance less than 100m. In total, we
8
9 identified 29 very closely located sites of the GPS and strong-motion networks (Figure 3),
10
11 comprising 21 K-NET and 8 KiK-net sites (Table 1).

12
13 For these sites we assume that the GPS and strong-motion records are not shifted by a
14
15 significant time difference ($<1s$), keeping in mind that the sampling rate (1Hz) of the GPS
16
17 network is low compared to the sampling rate of the strong-motion network (100Hz).
18
19

20
21 The evaluation of the consistency of the GPS and the strong-motion sensors was examined by
22
23 comparing the spectra of the two records and assessing them in their common frequency
24
25 range (0-0.5Hz). The usual technique of integration of strong-motion records for the
26
27 computation of velocities and displacements was not followed due to the significant
28
29 accumulated error (Stiros, 2008), the large duration of the strong-motion records (up to 300s)
30
31 and the significant coseismic displacement (up to 2-3m), which would make the estimation of
32
33 the long-period displacement time series unreliable (Boore, 2003).
34
35

36
37 Thus, instead of integrating the strong-motion records, the GPS displacement series were
38
39 differentiated twice resulting in acceleration time series. The derived accelerations are
40
41 affected also by errors due to the differentiation, which, however, do not accumulate. These
42
43 differentiation errors affect mainly the short-period acceleration (2-3s), due to the high short-
44
45 period noise of GPS measurements relatively to the short time step of the differentiation.
46
47 Furthermore, the error of the derived accelerations is expected to be higher for the vertical
48
49 component due to the higher noise level of the corresponding PPP results.
50
51

52
53 In Figure 4, we present the spectra of the GPS and the K-NET acceleration time series of the
54
55 East-West (EW), North-South (NS), and Up-Down (UD) components for two representative
56
57 closely located sites, one close (179km; Figure 4a-c) and one far (771km; Figure 4d-f) from
58
59
60

1
2
3 the epicentre. In addition, the spectra of the GPS acceleration time series, corresponding to the
4 time interval before the seismic event, are shown in Figure 4 as black lines. These spectra
5 provide information on the basic noise of the acceleration time series derived from GPS. For
6 periods above 3-4 seconds, the GPS noise is lower than the seismic signal for the horizontal
7 component. This supports the consistency of GPS and K-NET spectra (Figure 4a-c) mainly
8 for periods above 3 seconds, while KiK-net strong-motion spectra prove to be slightly less
9 consistent (Figure 4d-f) for the periods below 5 seconds. This is the case in general for sites
10 far from the epicentre (>600 km) where the seismic signal is weak relative to the PPP noise
11 and the S/N decreases, especially for short-periods (<5s) and for the vertical component
12 (period <10s). However, such phenomena do not affect the current study, as it is focusing on
13 the use of the horizontal components and long-periodic oscillations (>3 s), which usually are
14 not included in the ground motion parameters computation.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 **3.2 Computation of MGA, MGv and MGD**

33
34 The Maximum Ground Acceleration (MGA), Velocity (MGV), and Displacement (MGD)
35 were computed from GPS and strong-motion records for short- (3-10s) and long-period (10-
36 100s) domains. The strong-motion records were integrated once and twice into velocities and
37 displacements and the GPS records were differentiated once and twice to obtain velocities and
38 accelerations. The derived strong-motion and GPS time series were filtered for a short- (3-
39 10s) and a long-period (10-100s) band. Figure 5 shows the acceleration time series of the GPS
40 and strong-motion instruments that are closely located for the two period bands. For each type
41 of sensor, we compute the absolute maxima (corresponding to MGA, MGv, and MGD) of
42 acceleration, velocity, and displacement time series of every site of each network. Figures 6a,
43 6b and 6c show the MGA, MGv and MGD maps for the two period bands. As expected, by
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 comparing the GPS and the strong-motion networks, GPS seems to be more sensitive to long-
4
5 period ground displacements and the strong-motion sensors to short-period accelerations.
6

7 The noise of the GPS acceleration, velocity, and displacement time series was estimated by
8
9 analysing the time interval before the seismic event, where all the sites are assumed not to be
10
11 affected by motions. Following the methodology of MGA, MGV and MGD, the GPS noise
12
13 displacement time series were differentiated once and twice and subsequently filtered for the
14
15 two period bands (3-10s and 10-100s). The maximum value of each resulting time series
16
17 expresses the maximum noise level for each GPS site and for each quantity (acceleration,
18
19 velocity, displacement). In Table 2 the mean average and the standard deviation of the
20
21 estimated maximum noise values for the horizontal component and for the two examined
22
23 period bands are listed. All the estimated MGA, MGV, and MGD values of the GPS sites are
24
25 above their respective uncertainty maxima, with the latter computed as the mean maximum
26
27 error+3 σ zone (2.0cm/s², 1.5cm/s, 1.0cm, Figure 7). The level of the estimated maximum
28
29 noise is smaller than that in other studies (e.g. Wright et al., 2012), due to the limitation of the
30
31 noise level by filtering the GPS time series for specific period bands.
32
33
34
35

36 The qualitative examination of Figure 6 shows that strong-motion and GPS provide a
37
38 consistent estimation of MGA, MGV and MGD for the two examined period domains. Some
39
40 local differences for the short-period band might reflect weaknesses of GPS in estimating
41
42 acceleration of low periods (<4-5s) or local effects, without disturbing though the overall
43
44 compatibility of the MGA maps.
45
46

47 At long-periods, the maximum displacement map (Figure 6c, right) is smooth and reflects the
48
49 distance to the largest slip patch on the fault: the maximum values occur close to the epicentre
50
51 in the Sendai region with large values along the coast to the South. However, in the 3-10s
52
53 band (Figure 6b, c, left), the map is more complex and highlights large sedimentary basins. In
54
55 addition to the Sendai basin, close to the epicentre, the largest values are found in the Kanto
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 plain, known to exhibit resonance frequencies in this band (Yamanaka et al., 1989). On the
4
5 western coast, large values can also be found at the Sakata and Niigata basins, in relation with
6
7 the large amplification in these frequency band as shown by Mamula et al. (1984).
8
9

10 In Figure 8, we show the differences of the estimated GPS and strong-motion MGA, MG
11 V and MGD for the very closely located sites, normalised to the maximum acceleration, velocity
12 and displacement of the corresponding site. The derived normalised differences show the
13 good agreement between the solutions of GPS and the strong-motion sensors (relative errors
14 mostly below 10%) with the exception the short-period (3-10sec) MGA and MGD differences.
15 The latter are observed mainly for large distances from the epicentre and they are caused by
16 the relatively small oscillatory amplitude of the corresponding accelerations and
17 displacements (up to 0.15m/s^2 and 0.10m , respectively). This can be seen more clearly from
18 the absolute differences of the estimated MGA, MG
19 V and MGD between the GPS and strong-
20 motion sensors in Figure A1, which reveals the small values ($<0.03\text{cm/s}^2$, $<0.03\text{cm/s}$ and
21 0.05cm , respectively) for the sites located mainly far from the epicentre. The relatively high
22 differences in the displacement ($>3\text{cm}$) of some sites far from the epicentre (K-NET GIF006 -
23 GPS 0280, K-NET ISK015 - GPS 0972, K-NET HKD043 - GPS 0502 and K-NET HKD046 -
24 GPS 0504) may be attributed to local effects.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Since we find a general agreement of the GPS records with the seismic data in space and
42 frequency, we compute the spectral acceleration (SA) at 3s for a 5% damping (Figure 9). In
43 general the long-period signals are not of concern for the safety of typical building or small
44 objects at the surface. However, a large object such as a bridge, a skyscraper or a deep basin
45 might resonate at long-periods, particularly in the 3-10s range (Anderson et al., 1985; Singh et
46 al. 1988; Lermo et al., 1994; Chávez-García and Bard, 1994). Even more rigid structures
47 might be affected by these long-periods due to site effects, soil-structure interaction and
48 liquefaction (Margaris et al., 2010; Assimaki et al., 2012). In order to have a baseline in the
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 current study, the attenuation of ground motion with distance (spectral acceleration and
4 acceleration) is compared to the GMPE proposed for Japan by Zhao et al. (2006). Please note
5 that we use the distance from the epicentre (as computed by USGS) whereas Zhao et al.
6 (2006) assume the shortest distance between a rupture model and the station. We find that
7 SA(3s) estimates from GPS and strong-motion data are compatible with maximum values
8 predicted by the GMPE (Figure 9).

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16 Figure 10 shows the strong-motion and GPS maximum accelerations, velocities and
17 displacements of the two period bands (3-10s and 10-100s) with respect to the distance to the
18 epicentre. The comparison of MGA with GMPE predictions is less relevant as the long-period
19 signals were not used to build the GMPE. For large distances, several values of MGA exceed
20 the estimated PGA predicted by the GMPE. We remind that this discrepancy is however
21 related to small amplitude motions and more interestingly to the response of basins located at
22 distance > 200km. Basins response is however not sufficient to explain the evolution of MGA,
23 MGv and MGD with distance.

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34 Like the accelerations, the maximum displacement estimates depend on the period range. We
35 know that MGD for the short-periods are ~10 times smaller than for long-periods (at ~1m),
36 and we might expect that PGD follows the same trend, so does the PGA. In the case of a
37 single rupture patch, we expect a decay of the form 1/distance in the displacement/distance
38 diagrams. In Figure 10f, displaying long-period oscillatory displacements, the displacement vs.
39 distance curve does not constantly decrease, but also increases with a second peak at a
40 distance of about 250 km from the epicentre. For better visibility, the averaged displacement
41 for each distance is shown using a blue line. Such a pattern for long-periods (10-100s)
42 suggests that the ground motion results from the rupture of two distinct fault segments. The
43 averaged velocity and acceleration is also computed (blue line, Figure 10d, e) but the feature
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 of increment of the two variables is not so pronounced mainly due to the weak velocity and
4
5 acceleration signal in long-periods.
6

7
8 Regarding the oscillatory acceleration and velocity, they appear to be constant for the short-
9
10 period (3-10s) and distance up to 250-300km from the epicentre (Figure 10), and then
11
12 decrease with distance linearly (in a log-log space). This pattern suggests that the strong short-
13
14 period oscillatory component is generated in an extended rupture area, which seems to cover
15
16 the location of the two different rupture patches, as it was revealed by the long-period
17
18 displacement. The plateau cannot be seen clearly in the short-period oscillatory displacements
19
20 due to the relatively small signal of the corresponding displacements.
21

22
23 Stewart et al. (2013) extensively compared GMPEs to the observed ground motion of the
24
25 Tohoku-Oki event and could not detect the stability of MGA/MGV with increasing distance.
26
27 Indeed, whereas high-frequency ground motion (intensity measures such as PGA or PGV) is
28
29 generated by small-scale asperities all along the fault, low-frequency parameters of the ground
30
31 motion such as displacement is caused by large-scale asperities that may be more localised on
32
33 the fault as shown by source inversions. These phenomena impact the choice of an optimal
34
35 distance measure: whereas distance to rupture is the most relevant for high frequencies as
36
37 used by Stewart et al. (2013), distance to major asperities is better for low frequencies. The
38
39 possibility of the presence of two sources is critical for correct ground motion estimation.
40
41 Indeed, as the two sources would be shifted relatively to each other, expected ground motion
42
43 would be larger at larger distances from the first epicentre.
44
45
46
47
48

49 **4. Conclusions and outlook**

50
51 The first conclusion of our work is that both GPS displacement histories and integrated
52
53 accelerograms are in agreement for the frequency range they have in common (3 to 100s). We
54
55 find that long-period oscillatory ground motions are accurately determined when based on
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 GPS data. The double integration of accelerograms does not impact the precise resolution of
4
5 the derived displacement data for periods less than 100s for a large earthquake such as the
6
7 Tohoku-Oki event.
8

9
10 In future, the PPP GPS data will be processed in near real-time (Branzanti et al., 2012) or
11
12 even real-time (Temporal Point Positioning; Li et al., 2013), allowing the computation of
13
14 maximum ground motion to supplement other seismic monitoring systems (Liu et al., 2014;
15
16 Tu, 2014). Considering that the ground acceleration is expected to be more than 5 times
17
18 higher at a period of 1s than the signal at a period of 10s, the reliability of the GPS for higher
19
20 sampling rates ($>1\text{Hz}$) will mostly depend on our capability to maintain the noise of the time
21
22 series at a level close to cm as suggested by Moschas et al. (2014).
23

24
25 Cauzzi and Faccioli (2008) demonstrated the importance of accurate GMPEs at long-periods
26
27 and the limited amount of available seismic data at these periods. We propose that the future
28
29 generations of GMPEs should include more long-period data such as GPS displacement time-
30
31 series, in order to better estimate effects of large magnitude ($M_w > 7$) events.
32

33
34 Furthermore, we highlight the response of major sedimentary basins of Japan to the shake that
35
36 followed the $M_w 9.0$ Tohoku-Oki 2011 earthquake, for periods ranging from 3 to 10 seconds.
37
38 The increase in oscillatory displacement at ~ 250 km distance from the epicentre can be
39
40 interpreted as a second source of displacement along the fault generated by a second asperity
41
42 as proposed by the source models of Koketsu et al. (2011), Suzuki et al. (2011) and Maercklin
43
44 et al. (2012). Long-period maximum oscillatory displacement can therefore be used as a
45
46 constraint for source inversions, providing additional constraints on spatial pattern of rupture
47
48 at depth.
49
50

51 52 53 54 **Acknowledgments** 55 56 57 58 59 60

This study has been supported by Swiss National Fund (SNF) grants in the framework of the project “High-rate GNSS for Seismology” (number 200021_130061).

6. References

Anderson , J.G., P. Bodin, J.N. Brune, J. Prince, S.K. Singh, R. Quaas & Onate, M., 1986.

Strong ground motion from the Michoacan, Mexico, earthquake, *Science*, **233**, 1043-1049

Aoi, S., Kunugi, T. & Fujiwara, H., 2004. Strong-motion seismograph network operated by NIED: K-NET and KiK-net, *Journal of Japan Association for Earthquake Engineering*, 4(3), 65-74.

Aoi, S., Kunugi, T., Nakamura, H. & Fujiwara, H., 2011. Deployment of new strong motion seismographs of K-NET and KiK-net, in *Earthquake Data in Engineering Seismology, Geotech. Geol. Earthquake Eng.*, 14, 167-186.

Assimaki, D., Ledezma, C., Montalva, G.A., Tassara, A., Mylonakis, G. & Boroschek, R., 2012. Site effects and damage patterns, *Earthq. Sp.*, 28 (1), S55-S74

Avallone, A., Marzario, M., Cirella, A., Piatanesi, A., Rovelli, A., Di Alessandro, C., D’Anastasio, E., D’Agostino, N., Giuliani, R. & Mattone, M., 2011. Very high rate (10 Hz) GPS seismology for moderate-magnitude earthquakes: The case of the Mw 6.3 L’Aquila (central Italy) event, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116(B2), B02305.

Behrens, J., A. Androsov, A. Babeyko, S. Harig, F. Klaschka & L. Mentrup, 2010. A new multi-sensor approach to simulation assisted tsunami early warning, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, **10** (6), 1085–1100.

Blewitt, G., Kreemer, C., Hammond, W.C., Plag, H.-P., Stein, S. & Okal, E., 2006. Rapid determination of Earthquake magnitude using GPS for Tsunami Warning Systems. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 33, L11309.

- 1
2
3 Blewitt, G., 2008. Fixed point theorems of GPS carrier phase ambiguity resolution and their
4 application to massive network processing: Ambizap, *J. Geoph. Res. B: Solid Earth*, 113(12),
5 B12410.
6
7
8
9
10 Blewitt, G., Hammond, W.C., Kreemer, C., Plag, H.-P., Stein, S. & Okal, E., 2009. GPS for
11 real-time earthquake source determination and tsunami warning systems, *J. Geod.*, 83(3-4),
12 335-343.
13
14
15
16 Bock, H., Dach, R., Jäggi, A. & Beutler, G., 2009. High-rate GPS clock corrections from
17 CODE: Support of 1Hz applications, *J. Geod.*, 83(11), 1083-1094.
18
19
20
21 Bock, Y., Melgar, D. & Crowell, B., 2011. Real-Time Strong-Motion Broadband
22 Displacements from Collocated GPS and Accelerometers, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 101,
23 2904–2925.
24
25
26
27 Bock, Y., Prawirodirdjo, L. & Melbourne, T., 2004. Detection of arbitrary large dynamic
28 ground motions with a dense high-rate GPS network, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 31(L06604).
29
30
31
32 Boore, D.M., 2003. Analog-to-digital conversion as a source of drifts in displacements
33 derived from digital recording of ground acceleration, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **93**(5), 2017-
34 2024.
35
36
37
38 Branzanti, M., Colosimo, G., Crespi, M. & Mazzoni, A., 2013, GPS near-real-time coseismic
39 displacements for the great Tohoku-Oki earthquake, *IEEE Geoscience and remote sensing*
40 *letters*, 10(2), 372-376.
41
42
43
44
45 Cauzzi, C., & Faccioli, E., 2008. Broadband (0.05 to 20 s) prediction of displacement
46 response spectra based on worldwide digital records. *J. Seism.*, 12(4), 453–475.
47
48
49
50 Chávez-García, F.J. & Bard, P.-Y., 1994. Site effects in Mexico City eight years after the
51 September 1985 Michoacan earthquakes, *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, **13**,
52 229-247.
53
54
55
56 Çelebi, M. & Sanli, A. 2002. GPS in pioneering dynamic monitoring of long-period structures,
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 *Earthq. Spec.*, 18(1), 47-61.
- 4
5 Crowell, B.W., Bock, Y. & Squibb, M.B., 2009. Earthquake early-warning using total
6 displacement waveforms from real-time GPS networks, *Seism. Res. Lett.*, 80(5), 772-782.
- 7
8
9 Dach, R., Hugentobler, U., Meindl, M. & Fridez, P., 2007. The Bernese GPS Software
10 Version 5.0, *1st edn*, Astronomical Institute, University of Bern, Switzerland.
- 11
12
13 Dach, R., Brockmann, E., Schaer, S., Beutler, G., Meindl, M., Prange, L., Bock, H., Jäggi, A.
14 & Ostini, L., 2009. GNSS processing at CODE: status report, *J. Geod.*, 83(3-4), 353-366.
- 15
16
17
18 Delouis, B., Nocquet, J.-M. & Vallée, M., 2010. Slip distribution of the February 27, 2010
19 Mw = 8.8 Maule Earthquake, central Chile, from static and high-rate GPS, InSAR, and
20 broadband teleseismic data, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37, L17305.
- 21
22
23
24
25 Denolle, M. A., Dunham, E.M., Prieto, G.A. and Beroza, G.C. 2013. Ground motion
26 prediction of realistic earthquake sources using the ambient seismic field, *J. Geophys. Res.*
27
28
29 *Solid Earth*, 118 (5), 2102-2118, 10.1029/2012JB009603
- 30
31
32 Ducic, V., Artru, J. & Lognonné, P., 2003. Ionospheric remote sensing of the Deanli
33 Earthquake Raleigh surface waves, *Geoph. Res. Lett.*, 30(18), 1951
- 34
35
36 Emore, G., Haase, J., Choi, K., Larson, K. & Yamagiwa, A. 2007. Recovering absolute
37 seismic displacements through combined use of 1-Hz GPS and strong motion
38 accelerometers, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 97, 357-378.
- 39
40
41
42
43 Feng, L., Newman, A.V., Farmer, G.T., Psimoulis, P. & Stiros, S. 2010. Energetic rupture,
44 coseismic and post-seismic response of the 2008 Mw6.4 Achaia-Elia Earthquake in
45 northwestern Peloponnese, Greece: An indicator of an immature transform fault zone,
46
47
48
49 *Geoph. J. Int.*, 183(1), 103-110.
- 50
51
52 Galvan, D.A., Komjathy, A., Hickey, M.P., Stephens, P., Snively, J., Tony Song, Y., Butala,
53 M.D. & Manucci, A.J., 2012. Ionospheric signatures of Tohoku-Oki tsunami of March 11,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 2011: Model comparisons near the epicenter, *Rad. Sc.*, 47(4), RS4003

- 1
2
3 Ge, M., Gendt, G., Rothacher, M., Shi, C. & Liu, J., 2008. Resolution of GPS carrier-phase
4 ambiguities in Precise Point Positioning (PPP) with daily observations, *J. Geod.*, 82(7): 389-
5 399.
6
7
8
9
10 Guo, A., Wang, Y., Li, Z., Ni, S., Wu, W., Liu, G., Zheng, Y. & Simons, M., 2013.
11 Observation of core phase ScS from the mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake with highrate GPS,
12 *Seism. Res. Lett.*, 84(4), 594–599.
13
14
15
16 Houlié, N., Briole, P., Nercessian, A. & Murakami, M., 2005, Volcanic plume above Mount
17 St. Helens detected with GPS, *EOS*, 86(30), 277, 10.1029/2005EO300001.
18
19
20
21 Houlié, N., Briole, P., Bonforte, A. & Puglisi, G., 2006, Large scale ground deformation of
22 Etna observed by GPS between 1994 and 2001, *Geoph. Res. Lett.*, 339(2), L02309,
23 10.1029/2005GL024414.
24
25
26
27
28 Houlié, N., Occhipinti, G., Shapiro, N., Lognonné, P. & Murakami, M., 2011, New approach
29 to detect seismic surface waves in 1Hz-sampled GPS time series. *Sci. Rep.* 1(44),
30 10.1038/srep00044.
31
32
33
34
35 Houlié, N., Dreger, D. & Kim, A., 2014. GPS source solution of the 2004 Parkfield
36 earthquake. *Sci. Rep.* 4, 3646, 10.1038/srep03646 .
37
38
39
40
41 Hurter, F., Geiger, A., Perler, D. & Rothacher, M., 2012, GNSS water vapor monitoring in the
42 Swiss Alp, *Int. Geosc. Rem. Sens. Symp. (IGARSS)*, 2012 IEEE International,
43 10.1109/IGARSS.2012.6351115, 1972-1975.
44
45
46
47
48 Ji, C., Larson, K., Tan, Y., Hudnut, K., & Choi, K., (2004). Slip history of the 2003 San
49 Simeon earthquake constrained by combining 1-Hz GPS, strong motion, and teleseismic
50 data. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 31, L17608.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Karia, S., Sarkar, S. & Pathak, K., 2012. Analysis of GPS-based TEC and electron density by
4 the DEMETER satellite before the Sumatra earthquake on 30 September 2009, *Int. J. Rem.*
5 *Sens.*, 33(16), 5119-5134.
6
7
8
9
10 Kobayashi, R., Miyazaki, S. & Koketsu, K., 2006. Source processes of the 2005 West Off
11 Fukuoka Prefecture earthquake and its largest aftershock inferred from strong motion and 1-
12 Hz GPS data. *Ear. Pl. Sp.*, 58, 57–62.
13
14
15
16 Koketsu, K. & Miyake, H., 2008. A seismological overview of long-period ground motion. *J.*
17 *Seism.*, 12(2), 133-143.
18
19
20
21 Koketsu, K., Yokota, Y., Nishimura, N., Yagi, Y., Miyazaki, S., Satake, K., Fujii, Y., Miyake,
22 H., Sakai, S., Yamanaka, Y. & Okada, T., 2011. A unified source model for the 2011
23 Tohoku earthquake, *Ear. Plan. Sc. Lett.*, 310, 480-487.
24
25
26
27 Larson, K., Bodin, P. & Gomberg, J. 2003. Using 1-Hz GPS data to measure deformations
28 caused by the Denali fault earthquake, *Science*, 300, 1421-1424
29
30
31
32 Lee, S.-J., Huang, B.-S., Ando, M., Chiu, H.-C. & Wang, J.-H., 2011. Evidence of large scale
33 repeating slip during the 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38,
34 19, L19306
35
36
37
38 Lermo, J. & Chavez-Garcia, F.J. 1994. Site effect evaluation at Mexico City: dominant period
39 and relative amplification from strong motion and microtremor records, *Soil Dyn. Earthq.*
40 *Eng.*, 13, 413-423.
41
42
43
44
45 Li, X., Ge, M., Zhang, Y., Wang, R., Xu, P., Wickert, J. & Shuh, H., 2013. New approach for
46 earthquake/tsunami monitoring using dense GPS networks. *Sci. Rep.* 3.
47
48
49
50 Li, X., Ge, M., Guo, B., Wickert, J. & Schuh, H. 2013. Temporal Point Positioning approach
51 for real-time GNSS seismology using a single receiver, *Geoph. Res. Lett.*, 40(21), 5677-
52 5682
53
54
55
56
57 Liu, Z., Owen, S. & Moore, A., 2014. Rapid estimate modeling of permanent coseismic
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 displacements for large earthquakes using high-rate global positioning system data, *Seism.*
4
5 *Res. Lett.*, 85(2), 284-294
6
7 Maercklin, N., Festa, G., Colombelli, S. & Zollo, A., 2012. Twin ruptures grew to built up the
8
9 giant 2011 Tohoku, Japan, earthquake, *Sci. Rep.*, 709(2), 1-7.
10
11 Margaris, B., Athanasopoulos, G., Mylonakis, G., Papaioannou, C., Klimis, N., Theodulidis,
12
13 N., Savvaidis, A., Efthymiadou, V. & Stewart, J.P., 2010. The 8 June 2008 Mw6.5 Achaia-
14
15 Elia, Greece earthquake: Source characteristics, ground motions, and ground failure, 26(2),
16
17 399-424.
18
19 Mai, C.-L. & Kian, J.-F., 2009. Reconstruction of ionospheric perturbation induced by 2004
20
21 sumatra tsunami using computerized tomography technique, *IEEE Trans. Geosc. Rem.*
22
23 *Sens.*, 47(10), 4, 3303-3312
24
25
26
27 Mamula, L., Kudo, K., & Shima, E., 1984. Distribution of Ground-Motion Amplification
28
29 Factors as a Function of Period (3-15sec), in Japan. *Bull Earthq Res Inst*, 59, 467-500
30
31
32 Melgar, D., Brendan, W.C., Bock, Y, Haase, J.S. 2013. Rapid modelling of the 2011 Mw 9.0
33
34 Tohoku-oki earthquake with seismogeodesy, *Geoph. Res. Lett.*, 40, 2963-2968
35
36
37 Meng, G., Ren, J., Su, X., Yang, Y., Zhu, Z., Ge, L. & Li, X., 2013. Coseismic Deformation
38
39 of the 2010 Mw 6.9 Yushu Earthquake Derived from GPS Data. *Seis. Res. Lett.* 84(1).
40
41 Mitsui, Y. & Heki, K., 2012. Observation of Earth's free oscillation by dense GPS array:
42
43 After the 2011 Tohoku megathrust earthquake. *Sci. Rep.* 2(931).
44
45
46 Miyazaki, S., Larson, K.M., Choi, K.H., Hikina, K., Koketsu, K., Bodin, P., Haase, J., Emore,
47
48 G. & Yamagiwa, A. 2004. Modeling the rupture process of the 2003 September 25 Tokachi-
49
50 Oki (Hokkaido) earthquake using 1-Hz GPS data. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 31, L21603.
51
52
53 Moschas, F., Avallone, A., Saltogianni, N. & Stiros, S. 2014. Strong-motion displacement
54
55 waveforms using 10-Hz precise point positioning GPS: an assessment based on free
56
57 oscillation experiments, *Earthq. Eng. Str. Dyn.*, doi: 10.1002/eqe.2426
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Newman, A.V., Stiros, S., Feng, L., Psimoulis, P., Moschas, F., Saltogianni, V., Jiang, Y.,
4
5 Papazachos, C., Panagiotopoulos, D., Karagianni, E. & Vamvakaris, D., 2012. Recent
6
7 geodetic unrest at Santorini Caldera, Greece, *Geoph. Res. Lett.*, Vol. 39(6), L06309
8
9
10 Ohta, Y., Kobayashi, T., Tsushima, H., Miura, S., Hino, R., Takasu, T., Fujimoto, H., Iinuma,
11
12 T., Tachibana, K., Demachi, T., Sato, T., Ohzono, Mako, Umino, N., 2012. Quasi real-time
13
14 fault model estimation for near-field tsunami forecasting based on RTK-GPS analysis:
15
16 Application to the 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake ($M_w9.0$), *J. Geoph. Res.*, 117, B02311
17
18
19 Ohta, Y., Meiano, I., Sagiya, T., Kimata, F. & Hirahara, K., 2006. Large surface wave of the
20
21 2004 Sumatra-Andaman earthquake captured by the very long baseline kinematic analysis of
22
23 1-Hz GPS data. *Ear. Pl. Sp.*, 58, 153–157.
24
25
26 Ohta, Y., Kobayashi, T., Tsushima, H., Satoshi, M., Ryota, H., Tomoji, T., Hiromi, F.,
27
28 Takeshi, I., Kenji, T., Tomotsugu, D., Sato, T., Ohzono, M. & Umino, N. 2012. Quasi real-
29
30 time fault model estimation for near-field tsunami forecasting based on RTK-GPS analysis:
31
32 Application to the 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake ($M_w9.0$), *J. Geoph. Res. B: Sol. Ear.*, 117,
33
34 B02311
35
36
37 O'Toole, T.B., Valentine, A.P. & Woodhouse, J.H., 2012. Centroid-moment tensor inversions
38
39 using high-rate GPS waveforms, *Geoph. J. Int.*, 187(3), 1516-1536
40
41
42 O'Toole, T.B., Valentine, A.P. & Woodhouse, J.H., 2013. Earthquake source parameters from
43
44 GPS-measured static displacements with potential for real-time application, *Geoph. Res.*
45
46 *Lett.*, 40(1), 60-65
47
48
49 Perler, D., Geiger, A. & Hurter, F., (2011), GPS water vapour tomography, New
50
51 parameterized approaches, *J. Geod.*, 85(8), 539-550
52
53
54 Psimoulis, P. & Stiros, S., 2008. Experimental assessment of the accuracy of GPS and RTS
55
56 for the determination of the parameters of oscillation of major structures, *Computer-Aided*
57
58 *Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 23(5), 389-403.
59
60

- 1
2
3 Psimoulis, P., Pytharouli, S., Karambali, D. & Stiros, S., 2008. Potential of Global Positioning
4 System (GPS) to measure frequencies of oscillations of engineering structures, *J. Sound and*
5 *Vibr.*, 318(3), 606-623
6
7
8
9
10 Psimoulis, P. & Stiros, S., 2012. A supervised learning computer-based algorithm to derive
11 the algorithm of oscillations of structures using noisy GPS and Robotic Theodolites (RTS)
12 records, *Comp. Str.*, 92-93, 337-348.
13
14
15
16 Psimoulis, P., Houlié, N., Meindl, M. and Rothacher, M. (2015), Consistency of GPS and
17 strong-motion records: case study of Mw9.0 Tohoku-Oki 2011 earthquake, *Smart Structures*
18 *and Systems*, 26, 2 (accepted)
19
20
21
22
23 Rhie, J., Dreger, D.S., Murray, M., Houlié, 2009. Peak ground velocity ShakeMaps derived
24 from geodetic slip models, *Geoph. J. Int.*, 179 (2), 1105-1112
25
26
27
28 Roten, D., Olsen, K.B., Pechmann, J.C., Cruz-Atienza, V.M., Magistrale, H. 2011. 3D
29 simulations of M7 earthquakes on the Wasatch fault, Utah, Part I: Long-period (0-1Hz)
30 ground motion, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, 101(5), 2045-2063
31
32
33
34 Roten, D., Miyake, H. & Koketsu, K., 2012. A Rayleigh wave back-projection method
35 applied to the 2011 Tohoku earthquake, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **39**, L02302,
36 doi:10.1029/2011GL050183
37
38
39
40
41 Sagiya, T., 2004. A decade of GEONET: 1994-2003 - The continuous GPS observation in
42 Japan and its impact on earthquake studies, *Ear. Pl. Sp.*, 56, xxix-xli.
43
44
45
46 Singh, S.K., Mena, E. & Castro, R., 1988. Some aspects of source characteristics of the 19
47 September 1985 Michoacan earthquake, and ground motion amplifications in and near
48 Mexico City from strong motion data. *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **78**, 451-477
49
50
51
52 Sobolev, S. V., Babeyko, A.Y., Wang, R., Hoechner, A., Galas, R., Rothacher, M., Sein, D.V.,
53 Schröter, J., Lauterjung, J. & C. Subarya 2007. Tsunami early warning using GPS-Shield
54 arrays, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, B08415, doi:10.1029/2006JB004640.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Stewart, J. P., Midorikawa, S., Graves, R. W., Khodaverdi, K., Kishida, T., Miura, H. &
4
5 Campbell, K. W. 2013. Implications of the M_w 9.0 Tohoku-Oki Earthquake for Ground
6
7 Motion Scaling with Source, Path, and Site Parameters. *Earthq. Sp.*, 29(S1), S1–S21.
8
9 doi:10.1193/1.4000115
10
- 11 Stiros, S., 2008. Errors in velocities and displacements deduced from accelerographs: An
12
13 approach based on the theory of error propagation. *Soil Dyn. Earthq. Eng.*, **28**, 415-420.
14
15
- 16 Suzuki, W., Aoi, S., Sekiguchi, H. & Kunugi, T., 2011. Rupture process of the 2011 Tohoku-
17
18 Oki megathrust earthquake (M9.0) inverted from strong-motion data, *Geoph. Res. Lett.*, 38:
19
20 L00G16.
21
- 22 Tu, R., 2014. Fast determination of displacement by PPP velocity estimation, *Geoph. J. Int.*,
23
24 196 (3), 1397-1401
25
26
- 27 Vigny, C., Simons, W. J. F., Abu, S., Bamphenyu, R., Satirapod, C., Choosakul, N., Subarya,
28
29 C., Socquet, A., Omar, K., Abidin, H. Z. & Ambrosius, B. A. C., 2005. Insight into the 2004
30
31 Sumatra-Andaman earthquake from GPS measurements in southeast Asia, *Nature*, 436, 201-
32
33 206
34
35
- 36 Wang, G., Boore, D. M., Tang, G. & Zhou, X. 2007. Comparisons of ground motions from
37
38 collocated and closely spaced one-sample-per-second Global Positioning System and
39
40 accelerograph recordings of the 2003M6.5 San Simeon, California, earthquake in the
41
42 Parkfield Region. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 97(1B), 76–90.
43
44
- 45 Wright, T., Houlié, N., Hildyard, M. & Iwabuchi, T., 2012. Real-time, reliable magnitude for
46
47 large earthquakes from 1Hz GPS precise point positioning: The 2011 Tohoku-Oki (Japan)
48
49 earthquake, *Geoph. Res. Lett.*, 39, L12302, 10.1029/2012GL051894.
50
51
- 52 Yagi, Y., Fukahata, Y., 2011. Rupture process of the 2011 Tohoku - oki earthquake and
53
54 absolute elastic strain release. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 38(19), L19307
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Yamanaka, H., Seo., K. & Samano, T., 1989. Effects of sedimentary layers on surface-wave
4 propagation, *Bull Seism. Soc. Am.*, 79(3), 631-644.
5
6
7 Yokota, Y., Koketsu, K., Hikima, K. & Miyazaki, S. 2009. Ability of 1-Hz GPS data to infer
8 the source process of a medium-sized earthquake: The case of the 2008 Iwate-Miyagi
9 Nairiku, Japan, earthquake. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 36, L12301.
10
11
12 Yue, H. & Lay, T., 2011. Inversion of high-rate (1sps) GPS data for rupture process of the 11
13 March 2011 Tohoku earthquake (M_w 9.1), *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38: L00G09.
14
15
16 Zhao, J.X., Zhang, J., Asano, A., Ohno, Y., Oouchi, T., Takahashi, T., Ogawa, H., Irikura, K.,
17 Thio, H.K., Somerville, P.G., Fukushima, Y. & Fukushima, Y., 2006. Attenuation Relations
18 of Strong Ground Motion in Japan Using Site Classification Based on Predominant Period,
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1: The EW displacement time series for the GPS site closest to the epicentre (GPS 0550; ~75km away) and for a remote site (GPS 0998; ~650km); time origin corresponds to the earthquake occurrence. The time difference between the two responses reflects the delay of the arrival of the seismic signal at the station far from the epicentre.




Figure 2: Precise Point Positioning (PPP) coseismic horizontal displacement field for the Tohoku-Oki event measured by the GEONET GPS network at $t = T_0 + 300s$.




Figure 3: KiK-net (a), K-NET (b) and GEONET GPS (c) sites used in this study. The very closely located sites of GPS and strong-motion networks are indicated with red triangles. The red star indicates the epicentre of the Tohoku-Oki earthquake in 2011.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 4: Spectra of derived acceleration time series using K-NET, KiK-net and GPS data for two sites: (a-c) close (179km) and (d-f) far (771km) from the epicentre. The solid black line corresponds to the spectra of the GPS acceleration time series before the occurrence of the earthquake indicating the noise level.




Figure 5: The initial acceleration time series of the very closely located sites of K-NET IWT019 (blue solid line) and GPS 0164 (dashed red line) and the derived time series after filtering for the 3-10s and 10-100s period bands. The time corresponds to seconds since the earthquake occurrence.




Figure 6a: Maximum ground acceleration maps for KiK-net (top), K-NET (middle), and GPS (bottom) for the two period bands (3-10s and 10-100s).




Figure 6b: Maximum ground velocity maps for KiK-net (top), K-NET (middle), and GPS (bottom) for the two period bands (3-10s and 10-100s).




Figure 6c: Maximum ground displacement maps for KiK-net (top), K-NET (middle), and GPS (bottom) for the two period bands (3-10s and 10-100s). Note the different scale of the 10-100s band.




Figure 7: Maximum level of noise derived from the GPS acceleration, velocity and displacement records.




Figure 8: Normalised differences of GPS and strong-motion MGA (top), MGV (middle) and MGD (bottom) at the very closely located sites. The positive and negative distances correspond to the position of the sites northward and southward from the epicentre.




Figure 9: Spectral acceleration (SA) at 3s from GMPE (black line) of soft soil (a) and bedrock (b) conditions (Zhao et al., 2006) compared to the SA computed from GPS (red dots) and to the SA computed from the strong-motion records (green dots). The error bars indicate the 1σ uncertainty zone of the GMPE.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 10: GPS (red) and strong-motion (grey) MGA, MG_V and MG_D for the 3-10s (left) and 10-100s (right) period bands. The blue curve shows the average GPS MGA/MG_V/MG_D values.




Figure A1: The differences of the GPS and strong-motion MGA (top), MGV (middle) and MGD (bottom) values for each very closely located sites versus the distance from the epicentre. The positive and negative distances correspond to the position of the sites northward and southward from the epicentre.

Table 1: The closely located GPS and strong-motion sites of K-NET and KiK-net with their location (latitude, longitude) and distance from the epicentre.

GPS	K-NET	KiK-net	Latitude (degrees)	Longitude (degrees)	Sensor distance (m)	Epicentre distance (km)
0005	HKD080	-	43.50786	144.44901	45	604
0041	FKS011	-	37.09071	140.90252	97	186
0115	HKD066	-	43.66182	145.13143	20	639
0122	HKD083	-	43.23294	144.32503	20	572
0140	HKD151	-	42.49436	140.35420	25	496
0144	HKD110	-	42.13094	142.93539	39	428
0147	HKD155	-	42.04297	140.80554	99	436
0164	IWT019	-	39.84918	141.80385	39	179
0183	AKT006	-	40.21544	140.78733	26	253
0280	GIF006	-	36.03305	136.95276	28	541
0285	GIF012	-	35.63615	136.48877	64	600
0502	HKD043	-	44.58204	142.96446	6	700
0503	HKD048	-	44.21972	143.61567	24	666
0504	-	ABSH04	44.19194	143.07682	12	657
0511	HKD056	-	43.67050	143.57815	25	605
0519	HKD072	-	43.19517	145.52050	52	605
0535	AOM027	-	41.14555	140.82198	29	343
0779	HKD006	-	45.12678	142.35184	21	758
0783	HKD020	-	44.14876	141.66460	37	652
0792	HKD131	-	42.42055	141.08106	67	471
0793	-	TKCH08	42.48641	143.15197	10	470
0849	-	SOYH04	45.23011	141.88178	95	771
0864	HKD065	-	43.79403	145.05686	39	650
0877	-	IKRH02	43.22090	141.65202	60	550
0972	ISK015	-	37.22644	136.90877	9	494
0983	-	NGNH28	36.70665	138.09673	99	416
0991	-	GIFH23	35.72349	136.78462	7	572
0998	-	AICH21	34.74005	136.93848	6	625
1009	-	NARH03	34.29293	136.00264	63	723

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 2: Mean average and standard deviation of the estimated maximum noise values of the displacements, velocities and accelerations derived from the PPP time series for the two examined period bands.

	period 3-10s		period 10-100s	
	mean average	standard deviation	mean average	standard deviation
Displacement (mm)	4.5	2.3	5.1	2.1
Velocity (mm/s)	5.5	2.7	1.5	0.9
Acceleration (mm/s ²)	7.6	4.0	1.0	0.7