1	HLA-DR polymorphism in SARS-CoV-2 infection and
2	susceptibility to symptomatic COVID-19
3	
4	Stuart Astbury ^{1,2†} , Catherine J Reynolds ^{3†} , David K Butler ³ , Diana C Munoz-Sandoval ³ , Kai-
5	Min Lin ³ , Franziska P Pieper ³ , Ashley Otter ⁴ , Afroditi Kouraki ⁵ , Lola Cusin ⁶ , Jessica
6	Nightingale ⁵ , Amrita Vijay ⁵ , Simon Craxford ⁵ , Guruprasad P Aithal ^{1,2} , Patrick J Tighe ⁶ , Joseph
7	M Gibbons ⁷ , Corinna Pade ⁷ , George Joy ⁸ , Mala Maini ⁹ , Benny Chain ⁹ , Amanda Semper ⁴ ,
8	Timothy Brooks ⁴ , Benjamin J Ollivere ⁵ , Áine McKnight ⁷ , Mahdad Noursadeghi ⁹ , Thomas A
9	Treibel ^{8,10} Charlotte Manisty ^{8,10} , James C Moon ^{8,10} , COVIDsortium investigators ^{\$} , Ana M
10	Valdes ^{1,5‡} , Rosemary J Boyton ^{3,11‡} , Daniel M Altmann ^{12‡} *
11	
12	[†] Joint first author [‡] Joint senior author [§] The members of the COVIDsortium investigators and
13	COVID sortium immune correlates network can be found at the end of the Acknowledgements
13	COVIDSoftium minute conclates network can be found at the end of the Acknowledgements.
14	
15	*Corresponding author
16	Prof Daniel M Altmann
17	Department of Immunology and Inflammation, Faculty of Medicine
18	Hammersmith. Hospital Campus, Imperial College London, London, W12 0NN, UK
19	Email: <u>d.altmann@imperial.ac.uk</u>
20	
21	Alternative contact:
22	Prof Rosemary Boyton; Email: <u>r.boyton@imperial.ac.uk</u>
23 24	1 NIHR Nottingham Biomedical Research Centre Nottingham University Hospitals
25	NHS Trust and the University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7 2UH, UK
26	2. Nottingham Digestive Diseases Centre, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham,
27	Nottingham, NG7 2UH, UK
28	3. Department of Infectious Disease, Imperial College London, London, W12 0NN
29 20	4. National Infection Service, Public Health England, Porton Down, UK
30 31	J. Division of Kneumatology, Orthopaedics and Dermatology, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham Nottingham NG5 1PR LIK
32	6. School of Life Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7 2UH, UK
	1

33	7. Blizard Institute, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary
34	University of London, London, UK
35	8. Barts Heart Centre, St. Bartholomew's Hospital, London, UK
36	9. Division of Infection and Immunity, University College London, London, UK
37	10. Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences, University College London, London, United
38	Kingdom.
39	11. Lung Division, Royal Brompton and Harefield Hospitals, London, UK
40	12. Department of Immunology and Inflammation, Imperial College London, London,
41	W12 0NN
42	
43	Key words: COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; immunogenetics; HLA; vaccine; T cell immunity
44	
45	
46	
47	

51 Abstract

SARS-CoV-2 infection results in different outcomes ranging from asymptomatic infection, to 52 53 mild or severe disease and death. Reasons for this diversity of outcome include differences in 54 challenge dose, age, gender, comorbidity and host genomic variation. Human leukocyte antigen 55 (HLA) polymorphisms may influence immune response and disease outcome. We investigated 56 the association of HLAII alleles with case definition symptomatic COVID-19, virus-specific 57 antibody and T cell immunity. 1,364 UK healthcare workers (HCW) were recruited during the 58 first U.K. SARS-CoV-2 wave and analyzed longitudinally, encompassing regular PCR 59 screening for infection, symptom reporting, imputation of HLAII genotype and analysis for antibody and T cell responses to nucleoprotein (N) and spike (S). Of 272 (20%) HCW who 60 61 seroconverted, the presence of HLA-DRB1*13:02 was associated with a 6.7-fold increased 62 risk of case definition symptomatic COVID-19. In terms of immune responsiveness, HLA-DRB1*15:02 was associated with lower nucleocapsid T cell responses. There was no 63 64 association between DRB1 alleles and anti-spike antibody titres after two COVID vaccine 65 doses. However, HLA DRB1*15:01 was associated with increased spike T cell responses 66 following both first and second dose vaccination. Trial registration - NCT04318314 and 67 ISRCTN15677965

71 Infection by SARS-CoV-2 leads to diverse outcomes in different individuals, the determinants 72 of such variability encompassing factors such as age, gender, obesity, and host genetics. A 73 number of loci have already been implicated in genetic susceptibility, many proposed to impact on innate immune mechanisms [1-4]. In terms of adaptive immunity, for many infectious 74 75 diseases there is a strong impact of HLA polymorphisms, since this complex contains the key 76 immune response genes determining peptide presentation to T cells [5-7]. Effects may be 77 apparent in outcomes, from susceptibility to infection, disease severity, disease progression, antibody titre, or magnitude of T cell response. Effects of this type are seen in HLA-associated 78 79 differential outcomes following infection by HIV, HBV, HCV, HPV and M. tuberculosis, 80 among many others [5-10]. While relatively few cases have been mapped to the level of specific 81 HLA-peptide interactions, the presumed mechanism for such HLA association is that the 82 peptide-binding grooves of particular alleles may better present key, immunogenic epitopes to 83 protective T cells [11]. Such effects can be even more apparent in differential responsiveness 84 to vaccines: profound differences associated with HLA type are seen in antibody titre following 85 vaccination for influenza, measles, anthrax and HBV [12,13]. In the case of HBV, for example, HLA-DRB1 polymorphisms are involved in vaccine non-responsiveness. The HLA complex 86 87 encompasses more than 250 expressed genes, and infectious disease associations have been 88 noted to different loci, in line with implicated immune mechanisms [14]. For example, different 89 aspects of HIV susceptibility highlight the role of HLAII interactions with CD4 T cells, of 90 HLAI interactions with CD8, and of HLA-B and C products with KIR on NK cells [7].

91

We here consider the question of HLAII association with outcome following natural infection
by SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19 vaccination in a well-documented cohort of frontline
healthcare workers (HCW) at UK hospitals in London and Nottingham [15-21], studied

⁷⁰

95 longitudinally by repeat PCR-testing and serology since UK lockdown in March, 2020. HCW 96 are at higher SARS-CoV-2 infection risk [22-24] with reported estimates from 3.4 to 18 times 97 higher than the general population [23-25]. As in the general population, the majority of SARS-CoV-2 infections tracked in our HCW cohorts are mild or asymptomatic, allowing 98 99 investigation of the range of immune responses in COVID-19 from case definition symptoms, to atypical symptoms and asymptomatic infection [15-21]. Data previously reported from this 100 101 HCW cohort indicate that antibody and T cell responses in natural infection can be variable 102 and discordant and with antibody responses starting to wane over the first 6-months from initial 103 infection [17-19]. T cell responses tended to be higher in male infected HCW and those 104 reporting case-definition symptoms. Neutralising antibody responses tended to be higher in 105 older women [19]. We here investigate the hypothesis that HLAII polymorphisms influence 106 outcome in SARS-CoV-2 infection in terms of likelihood of infection, symptomatic disease, 107 antibody response and T cell response. While noting that it would be of value also to consider 108 potential contributions of protective CD8 responses and HLAI polymorphisms, the present 109 study was based on the premise of a central axis of adaptive immunity operating through CD4 110 T cells and generation of antibody, using analysis of CD4 and antibody responses as we have 111 previously described [19-21]. Another recent study has focused on the potential role of HLAIassociated, protective CD8 responses: nucleoprotein 105-113/B*07:02-specific T cell 112 113 responses were associated with mild disease and antiviral protection through a sustained 114 repertoire of high avidity CD8 T cells [26]. We have here considered CD4 and antibody immune responses following natural infection and after first and second doses of the Pfizer 115 BNT162b2 vaccine in SARS-CoV-2 naïve and previously infected vaccinees. 116

117

- 118 Materials and Methods
- 119

120 Healthcare worker cohorts

A 5-hospital HCW longitudinal study (n=1364) of UK first wave SARS-CoV-2 infection 121 122 consisting of two initially independent studies (PANTHER, Nottingham: Nottingham City 123 Hospital and Queen's Medical Centre, part of Nottingham University Hospitals NHS trust; COVIDsortium, London: St Bartholomew's, Nightingale and Royal Free Hospitals) that 124 125 methodologically aligned in April 2020 (NCT04318314). London ethical approval was South Central, Oxford A Research Ethics Committee, reference 20/SC/0149. Nottingham was 126 127 initially under a Human Tissue Authority licence in Nottingham (Licence number: 11035) and subsequently North-West - Greater Manchester South Research Ethics Committee, reference 128 129 20/NW/0395. A detailed description of both cohorts can be found elsewhere [15-21].

130

131 The subset of participants included for the post vaccination part of the study and recruitment132 criteria are detailed in Supplementary Figure 1.

133

134 SARS-CoV-2 serology

Both studies performed serial SARS-CoV-2 serology testing assessing antibodies to both spike 135 136 (S1) and nucleoprotein (N). The London samples were analysed using commercial assays; the 137 Euroimmun anti-SARS-CoV-2 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) targeting IgG specific for S1 [27] and the Roche Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 electrochemiluminescence 138 139 immunoassay (ECLIA) that detects antibodies (including IgG) for N protein. Anti-RBD antibodies were detected using the quantitative Roche Elecsys® anti-SARS-CoV-2 ECLIA 140 spike assay (Roche ACOV2S, Product code: 09289275190). These were undertaken at the Rare 141 and Imported Pathogens Laboratory at Public Health England using standard protocols. 142 143 Positive was defined as (Euroimmun) a ratio >1.1, and (Roche) a electrochemiluminescence 144 sample to lot-specific cut-off index >1, as per manufacturers' instructions. Reported assay (92.3% and 96.2%-100% for Roche and Euroimmune respectively) 145 sensitivity and 146 specificities (100%) are high [28].

148 For all sera collected in 2020 and the first dose of the vaccine the Nottingham study used in-149 house robotically delivered ELISAs cross-validated by the same Public Health England 150 laboratory (PHE, Porton-Down, UK). In brief, they were ELISAs to S1 and N protein detecting 151 IgG. Individuals were classified as seropositive if they had a positive titre to either at any time 152 point. Seropositivity was defined as samples where the average measurement of the duplicates 153 exceeded 2x the median value for the pooled negative controls. Samples higher than the highest 154 negative, but lower than or equal to 2x the median of the pooled negatives were deemed 155 indeterminate for COVID-19. For the second dose of the vaccine the same methods and 156 laboratory were used as those for the London cohort described above.

157

158 Symptom definition

HCW were classified as having case-definition symptoms if at any time point they self-reported the following symptoms (fever, dry cough, loss of sense of smell or taste) using the symptomsbased model developed previously [29], or if they had to self-isolate due to symptoms of COVID-19.

163

164 Sample genotyping

Samples were genotyped using the Illumina Infinium Global Screening Array-24v1+MD, quality control and filtering (relatedness, heterozygosity, sample and SNP call rate) was carried out in PLINK v1.90b6.12 [30]. HLA alleles A, B, C, DQA1, DQB1, DPB1, DRB1 were imputed using the HLA Genotype Imputation with Attribute Bagging (HIBAG) v1.24.0 package running in R v4.0.1 [31]. HLA and SNP genotypes from the publicly available HLARES and HapMap Phase 2 datasets , genotyped using the same array as the input data, were used as references for imputation. Initially a multi-ethnic panel was used, and where 172 appropriate, ethnicity specific reference panels based on individuals of African, Asian and

173 European descent were used to increase imputation accuracy.

174

175 **T cell response analysis**

- 176 Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) and serum was isolated and stored as previously
- 177 described [19-21] T cell ELISpot analysis was carried out using pre-coated ELISpot plates
- 178 (Mabtech 3420-2APT), read on an AID classic ELISpot plate reader (Autoimmun Diagnostika

179 GMBH, Germany) and analyzed as previously reported [19-21].

180

181 Statistical analysis

Associations between DRB1 alleles and binary outcomes (Covid-19 case definition symptoms, seropositivity) were assessed by standard logistic regression. Association with quantitative outcomes were assessed by unpaired t-tests if assumptions of normality held, otherwise by Mann-Whitney tests. Data for antibody titres was normalised to a mean of 0 and variance of 1 for each cohort and data were meta-analyzed using a Mantel Hanzel model. All analyses were carried out using Prism GraphPad 8.0 and StatsDirect 3.0

188

Adjustment for multiple comparisons: we considered statistically significant p-values p<0.0025
adjusting for 15 DRB1 allele tests (alleles with carrier frequencies >1.5%, which comprise
DRB1*04:05, DRB1*16:01, DRB1*15:02, DRB1*01:02, DRB1*04:07, DRB1*12:01,
DRB1*08:01, DRB1*11:04, DRB1*04:02, DRB1*13:02, DRB1*04:04, DRB1*04:04,
DRB1*14:01, DRB1*13:01, DRB1*01:01, DRB1*11:01, DRB1*04:01, DRB1*15:01,
DRB1*03:01 and DRB1*07:01).

195

Statistical power: The analyses carried out had 80% power to detect associations between
DRB1 alleles with p<0.0025 (adjusting for fifteen DRB1 allele comparisons) between

198	seropositivity (total n=1365)& DRB1 alleles freq 1% of higher with odds ratios of 3.75, for
199	DRB1 alleles with allele freq 5% with odds ratios of 2.1 or higher and for DRB1 freq 10%
200	odds ratios of 1.75 for associations with symptoms among seropositive individuals allele freq
201	2.5% with OR>=4.75, for freq 5% OR 3.4, for freq 10% OR 2.6 (total n=265) for post
202	vaccination titres (n=432) for differences of 1 or more standard deviations between mean log
203	titre levels alleles with allele frequencies of 1% or higher, and for differences of 0.44 SDs
204	between alleles where the SD for log10 titre levels is 0.42 and average post vaccination log10
205	titre levels are 4.1.

208 Results

209 We initially explored the extent to which HLA-DRB1 alleles are associated with symptomatic 210 COVID-19 in seropositive HCW following SARS-CoV-2 infection. The London 211 COVIDsortium (n=731) and Nottingham PANTHER (n=633) cohorts were recruited during 212 the first wave in the UK and 20% of HCW seroconverted (Supplementary Figure 1, 213 Supplementary Table 1). There was no difference in HLA-DRB1 frequency between 214 seropositive (n=272) and seronegative (n=1092) individuals where seropositivity refers to IgG 215 positive titres for either nucleoprotein or spike S1 (Supplementary Figure 2A). The London 216 and Nottingham cohorts were analyzed separately since the serology had been measured using 217 different assays.

218 Although the HCW cohort study did not detect population-level effects of HLA polymorphism 219 on SARS-CoV-2 infection per se, within seropositive individuals there was an association 220 between carrying HLA-DRB1*13:02 and the presence of self-reported case-definition 221 symptoms (Supplementary Figure 2B). Expression of HLA-DRB1*13:02 is associated with an 222 increased chance of suffering symptomatic disease in infected individuals. Results from both 223 cohorts (London and Nottingham) split broadly by ethnicity (self-reported European descent 224 vs Minority ethnic group (UK)) showed DRB1*13:02 to be significantly associated with higher 225 odds of a seropositive individual presenting case-definition symptoms (OR=6.74, 95% CI 226 2.03–22.31; p=0.002) (Figure 1A). The data suggest greater susceptibility to symptomatic 227 disease in HLA-DRB1*13:02 individuals.

We next considered whether HLAII impacted on the magnitude of the T cell response to S or N in infected HCW. We have previously reported T cell ELISpot responses against SARS-CoV-2 in the London HCW cohort [19-21]. Since T cell analysis was conducted in a smaller sample, we interpret these findings with caution. We did not observe strong associations with T cell responses that could pass a multiple test correction (p<0.0025) but found a nominal association between lower responses to the N among carriers of DRB1*15:02 (Figure 1B). In general, infected HLA-DRB1*15:02 HCW in this cohort tended to cluster at the lower end of T cell responsiveness to both spike and nucleoprotein, often making little or no T cell response after infection (Supplementary Figure 3).

237 There was no significant association between HLA-DRB1 alleles and antibody titre after the 238 first vaccine dose in HCW with no prior SARS-CoV-2 infection (Supplementary Figure 4A). 239 However, we and others have previously shown that there is a strong and significant immune boosting effect of prior COVID-19 infection conferred on first vaccine dose [20,21, 32, 33]. In 240 241 the present study, significant negative associations between S antibody titres after one dose of 242 the BNT162b2 vaccine and HLA DRB1 alleles DRB1*04:04 and DRB1*07:01 were observed among single dose vaccinated individuals with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection, whilst 243 244 DRB1*03:01 was associated with significantly higher anti-S titres (Supplementary Figure 4B); 245 this was not apparent in SARS-CoV-2 naïve vaccinees. After two doses of vaccine, none of 246 these DRB1 allele associations remain significant, arguing that HLAII polymorphisms do not 247 substantially impact antibody responses to COVID-19 vaccination.

We then explored whether a similar pattern of HLAII associated enhancement was seen in T cell responses to S in SARS-CoV-2 naïve and prior infected HCW after one or two doses of vaccine (Fig 2B, C, D). DRB1*15:01 carriers showed a 4-6-fold enhancement of T cell responses against S compared to non-DRB1*15:01 carriers (Figure 2B). This observation was only apparent in the context of vaccinees with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection, and no difference was observed among SARS-CoV-2 naïve healthcare workers (Fig 2 B, C, D).

257 In this study our high-granularity, longitudinal analysis of large healthcare worker cohorts has allowed an initial appraisal of HLAII allelic effects in diverse aspects of susceptibility to 258 259 infection and symptoms as well as specific immune responses. Our comments carry the caveat that this sample size is relatively small from which to draw firm conclusions when one 260 261 considers the number of distinct HLAII heterozygous combinations present. Nevertheless, 262 there may be some interesting leads for further analysis. A further limitation of the study is 263 that, although the demographic characteristics of our study sample (socioeconomic status, 264 ethnicity, BMI, age) cover a broad spectrum of the UK population, the exposure to SARS-265 CoV-2 in this group is likely to be higher, and hence findings may not be readily extrapolated. 266

267 For a new human pathogen that has spread across the globe so effectively and rapidly, one might perhaps not expect to find explicit examples of differences in resistance to infection, and 268 269 this was indeed the case. While our cohort study did not detect population-level effects of 270 HLAII polymorphism on SARS-CoV-2 infection, within seropositive individuals there was an 271 association between presence of HLA-DRB1*13:02 and symptomatic disease. Among the 272 many research challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic has been decoding the differential 273 pathophysiology of diverse outcomes following exposure, from asymptomatic presentation to 274 mild disease, severe disease or death. Our findings place differential immune response gene 275 effects of HLAII sequence peptide presentation within that mechanistic landscape. Notwithstanding our immunological analysis of the HCW cohort, it remains to be seen whether 276 277 increased symptomatic disease in HLA-DRB1*13:02 individuals relates either to inadequacy 278 of a protective antiviral response, or to a differential immunopathogenic contribution to 279 symptomology in these individuals. Interestingly, this allele is implicated in other examples of 280 differential outcome after viral infection, notably, protection against persistent HBV infection [34]. The allele is found in populations across the globe, though common (approaching 1 in 5)
in some populations including Saudi Arabia, South Korea and Rwanda
(www.allelefrequencies.net).

284

285 While specific differences in SARS-CoV-2 epitope specific responses did not reach significance with respect to HLADRB1*13:02, we observed an effect on T cell responsiveness 286 287 of the allelic variants of HLA-DR15, that is, HLA-DRB1*15:01 and HLA-DRB1*15:02. HLA-288 DR15 sequences encompass multiple alleles preferentially represented in populations 289 inhabiting different regions of the world: HLA-DRB1*15:01 is more frequent in individuals of 290 European Caucasian origin, while HLA-DRB1*15:02 is the predominant HLA-DR15 allele in 291 Eastern and Southeastern Asia (www.allelefrequencies.net). The alleles differ by a single amino acid at position 86β, this impacting both peptide binding specificity, heterodimeric 292 stability and presentation to CD4 cells [35-39] From our analysis, HLA-DRB1*15:01 293 294 individuals tend to cluster at the higher end of T cell responses, HLA-DRB1*15:02 individuals 295 at the lower end. This enhanced responsiveness in HLA-DRB1*15:01 individuals extended to 296 the boosted responses that we have previously described in people vaccinated following a prior 297 natural infection [20,21]. Thus, assuming a classic 'high-responder' immune response gene 298 effect through ability of the HLA-DRB1*15:01 binding groove to present specific spike 299 epitopes, it is assumed that the epitope(s) in question must be immunodominant and processed for presentation both during infection and vaccination, and thus visualized as part of hybrid-300 301 immunity boosting. The number of DRB1*15:02+ individuals in our study is fairly modest, 302 and our results regarding this allele should be seen as hypothesis-generating, requiring further 303 confirmation.

305 In conclusion, HLAII polymorphisms exert an effect on presence of symptoms in natural 306 SARS-CoV-2 infection. However, we found no evidence for a role in seroprevalence following 307 infection. The magnitude of spike antibody response is also unaffected by DRB1 genotype. However, some HLA-DRB1 alleles are associated with enhanced or muted post natural 308 309 infection and vaccination T cell responses. Our findings suggest that, as management of the COVID-19 pandemic moves into a phase where there is demand for a more nuanced 310 311 understanding of differences in protective immunity, especially the issue of understanding 312 vulnerable groups and the targeting of booster vaccines, there will be a role for determination 313 of immunogenetic risk factors.

- 314
- 315
- 316
- 317
- 318

319 Author contributions

320 R.J.B., D.M.A and A.M.V. conceptualised the study reported. R.J.B. and D.M.A.. designed 321 and supervised the T cell experiments. C.J.R., D.K.B., D.M-S., K-M.L., and F.P. performed 322 the T cell experiments. S.A and A.M.V carried out HLA imputation and statistical analysis. 323 T.B. and A.Se. supervised S1 IgG and N IgG/IgM studies. A.D.O and A.Se analyzed the RBD 324 and N antibody assays. P.T and L.C carried out antibody testing in Nottingham cohort. C.J.R., 325 D.K.B., D.M-S., K-M.L., F.P., J.G., C.P and COVIDsortium investigators processed samples. G.J coordinated HCW recruitment and organised the cohort database for the London cohort. 326 T.B., C.M., Á.M., T.T., J.C.M., and M.N established the COVIDsortium HCW cohort. A.M.V., 327 328 B.J.O. and G.P.A. established the Nottingham PANTHER cohort. R.J.B, T.A.T., J.C.M., and

- 329 C.M designed the vaccine sub-study. C.J.R., S.A., R.J.B., D.M.A and AMV analyzed the data.
- 330 D.M.A, C.J.R., S.A, Á.M., M.M., B.C., C.M., T.A.T., J.C.M., A.Se., T.B., M.N., A.M.V and
- R.J.B. interpreted the data. R.J.B., D.M.A. and A.M.V. wrote the manuscript. All the authorsreviewed and edited the manuscript and figures.
- 333
- 334

335 Acknowledgements

336 The authors thank all the HCW participants for donating their samples and data for these analyses, and the research teams involved in consenting, recruitment and sampling of the HCW 337 participants. The COVIDsortium Healthcare Workers bioresource was approved by the ethical 338 339 committee of UK National Research Ethics Service (20/SC/0149) and registered on 340 ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT04318314). The PANTHER Healthcare Workers bioresource was 341 approved by the ethical committee of UK National Research Ethics Service (ISRCTN15677965) and registered on ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT04318314). The study 342 conformed to the principles of the Helsinki Declaration, and all subjects gave written informed 343 344 consent. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC 345 BY 4.0) license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. To view a copy of this license, 346 347 visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. This license does not apply to figures/photos/artwork or other content included in the article that is credited to a third party; 348 349 obtain authorization from the rights holder before using such material.

350

Funding. Supported by the UKRI Covid-19 Rapid Response grant COV0331
(MR/V027883/1). The PANTHER cohort was supported by funding from the NIHR
Nottingham Biomedical Research Centre. The COVIDsortium is supported by funding donated
by individuals, charitable Trusts, and corporations including Goldman Sachs, Citadel and

355 Citadel Securities, The Guy Foundation, GW Pharmaceuticals, Kusuma Trust, and Jagclif 356 Charitable Trust, and enabled by Barts Charity with support from UCLH Charity. Wider 357 support is acknowledged on the COVIDsortium website. Institutional support from Barts Health NHS Trust and Royal Free NHS Foundation Trust facilitated study processes, in 358 359 partnership with University College London and Queen Mary University of London. RJB and 360 DMA are supported by MRC (MR/S019553/1, MR/R02622X/1 and MR/V036939/1), NIHR 361 Imperial Biomedical Research Centre (BRC):ITMAT, Cystic Fibrosis Trust SRC (2019SRC015), and Horizon 2020 Marie Skłodowska-Curie Innovative Training Network 362 (ITN) European Training Network (No 860325). ÁM is supported by Rosetrees Trust, The 363 364 John Black Charitable Foundation, and Medical College of St Bartholomew's Hospital Trust. JCM, CM and TAT are directly and indirectly supported by the University College London 365 366 Hospitals (UCLH) and Barts NIHR Biomedical Research Centres and through the British Heart 367 Foundation (BHF) Accelerator Award (AA/18/6/34223). TAT is funded by a BHF Intermediate Research Fellowship (FS/19/35/34374). MN is supported by the Wellcome Trust 368 (207511/Z/17/Z) and by NIHR Biomedical Research Funding to UCL and UCLH. The funders 369 370 had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the 371 report.

372 Competing interests

R.J.B. and D.M.A. are members of the Global T cell Expert Consortium and have consultedfor Oxford Immunotec outside the submitted work.

375 Data and materials availability

All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper or theSupplementary Materials.

378 UK COVIDsortium investigators: Hakam Abbass, Aderonke Abiodun, Mashael Alfarih,

379 Zoe Alldis, Daniel M Altmann, Oliver E Amin, Mervyn Andiapen, Jessica Artico, João B

380 Augusto, Georgiana L Baca, Sasha N L. Bailey, Anish N Bhuva, Alex Boulter, Ruth Bowles,

381 Rosemary J Boyton, Olivia V Bracken, Ben O'Brien, Tim Brooks, Natalie Bullock, David K 382 Butler, Gabriella Captur, Nicola Champion, Carmen Chan, Aneesh Chandran, David Collier, 383 Jorge Couto de Sousa, Xose Couto-Parada, Teresa Cutino-Moguel, Rhodri H Davies, Brooke 384 Douglas, Cecilia Genova, Keenan Dieobi-Anene, Mariana O Diniz, Anaya Ellis, Karen 385 Feehan, Malcolm Finlay, Marianna Fontana, Nasim Forooghi, Celia Gaier, Joseph M 386 Gibbons, Derek Gilroy, Matt Hamblin, Gabrielle Harker, Jacqueline Hewson, Lauren M 387 Hickling, Aroon D Hingorani, Lee Howes, Alun Hughes, Gemma Hughes, Rebecca Hughes, 388 Ivie Itua, Victor Jardim, Wing-Yiu Jason Lee, Melaniepetra Jensen, Jessica Jones, Meleri 389 Jones, George Joy, Vikas Kapil, Hibba Kurdi, Jonathan Lambourne, Kai-Min Lin, Sarah Louth, Mala K Maini, Vineela Mandadapu, Charlotte Manisty, Áine McKnight, Katia 390 391 Menacho, Celina Mfuko, Oliver Mitchelmore, Christopher Moon, James C Moon, Diana C 392 Muñoz-Sandoval, Sam M Murray, Mahdad Noursadeghi, Ashley Otter, Corinna Pade, Susana 393 Palma, Ruth Parker, Kush Patel, Babita Pawarova, Steffen E Petersen, Brian Piniera, Franziska P Pieper, Daniel Pope, Mary Prossora, Lisa Rannigan, Alicja Rapala, Catherine J 394 Reynolds, Amy Richards, Matthew Robathan, Joshua Rosenheim, Genine Sambile, Nathalie 395 396 M. Schmidt, Amanda Semper, Andreas Seraphim, Mihaela Simion, Angelique Smit, Michelle 397 Sugimoto, Leo Swadling, Stephen Taylor, Nigel Temperton, Stephen Thomas, George D 398 Thornton, Thomas A Treibel, Art Tucker, Jessry Veerapen, Mohit Vijayakumar, Sophie 399 Welch, Theresa Wodehouse, Lucinda Wynne, and Dan Zahedi.

400 COVIDsortium immune correlates network

401 The members of the COVIDsortium immune correlates network are Daniel M Altmann,
402 Rosemary J Boyton, Tim Brooks, Benjamin Chain, Mala K Maini, Charlotte Manisty, Áine
403 McKnight, James C Moon, Mahdad Noursadeghi, Thomas A Treibel.

404 Nottingham PANTHER study investigators: Guruprasad P Aithal, Waheed Ashraf, Stuart

405 Astbury, Jonathan K Ball, Joseph G Chappell, Simon Craxford, Lola M L Cusin, Joshua D

406 Duncan, Adeel Ikram, William L Irving, Hannah J Jackson, Anthony Kelly, Melanie Lingaya,

- 407 Ben A Marson, Jayne Newham, Jessica Nightingale, Alan Norrish, Barbara Nowicka,
 408 Benjamin J Ollivere, Alexander W Tarr, Patrick J Tighe, Theocharis Tsoleridis, Richard A
 409 Urbanowicz, Ana M Valdes, Amrita Vijay.

- 412
- 413

414 **References**

- 415 1. Pairo-Castineira E , Clohisey S, Klaric L et al., Genetic mechanisms of critical illness in
- 416 COVID-19. Nature. 2021 Mar;591(7848):92-98
- 417 2. Severe Covid-19 GWAS Group, Ellinghaus D, Degenhardt F et al., Genomewide
- 418 Association Study of Severe Covid-19 with Respiratory Failure. N Engl J Med. 2020 Oct
- 419 15;383(16):1522-1534
- 420 3 Alghamdi J, Alaamery M, Barhoumi T, et al., Interferon-induced transmembrane protein-3
- 421 genetic variant rs12252 is associated with COVID-19 mortality. *Genomics* 2021 Apr
- 422 7;113(4):1733-1741.
- 423 4. Zhang Q., Bastard P, Liu Z, et al., Inborn errors of type I IFN immunity in patients with
- 424 life-threatening COVID-19. Science. 2020;370:eabd4570.
- 5. Blackwell, JM, Jamieson SE, Burgner D. HLA and Infectious Diseases. *Clin Microbiol Rev*2009. 22: 370-385
- 427 6. Hill AVS, Evolution, revolution and heresy in the genetics of infectious 428 disease susceptibility. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2012; 367(1590): 840–849
- 429 7. Martin MP, Carrington M. Immunogenetics of HIV disease. Immunol Rev. 2013;254(1):245-
- 430 64. doi: 10.1111/imr.12071.
- 431 8. Singh, R, Kaul R, Kaul A et al. A comparative review of HLA associations with hepatitis B
- 432 and C viral infections across global populations. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2007; 13(12): 1770–
- 433 1787
- 434 9. Davidson EJ, Davidson JA, Sterling JC et al. Association between Human Leukocyte Antigen
- 435 Polymorphism and Human Papillomavirus 16-positive Vulval Intraepithelial Neoplasia in British
 436 Women. *Cancer Research* 2003. 63 (2), 400-40
- 437 10. Tian C, Hromatka BS, Kiefer AK, et al., Genome-wide association and HLA region fine-
- mapping studies identify susceptibility loci for multiple common infections. *Nat Commun.* 2017
 19;8(1):599.
- 440 11. Dendrou, CA, Petersen J, Rossjohn J, et al., HLA variation and disease. *Nature Reviews*441 *Immunology*. 2018. 18, 325–339
- 442 12. Ovsyannikova IG, Jacobson, Poland, GA. Variation in vaccine response in normal
- 443 populations. *Pharmacogenomics*. 2004 5(4):417-27.
- 444 13. Ovsyannikova IG, Pankratz VS, Vierkant RA, et al. Human leukocyte antigens and cellular
- 445 immune responses to anthrax vaccine adsorbed. Infect Immun. 2013;81(7):2584-91

- 446 14. Horton R, Wilming L, Rand V, et al. Gene map of the extended human MHC. *Nat Rev Genet*.
 447 2004;5(12):889-99.
- 448 15. Treibel TA, Manisty C, Burton M et al. COVID-19: PCR screening of asymptomatic health-care
 449 workers at London hospital. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10237):1608-1610. doi: 10.1016/S0140450 6736(20)31100-4.
- 451 16. Augusto JB, Menacho K, Andiapen M, et al. Healthcare Workers Bioresource: Study outline and
- 452 baseline characteristics of a prospective healthcare worker cohort to study immune protection and
- 453
 pathogenesis
 in
 COVID-19.
 Wellcome
 Open
 Res.
 2020
 ;5:179.

 454
 doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16051.2.
 PMID: 33537459;
 PMCID: PMC7836029.
- 455 17. Manisty C, Treibel TA, Jensen M et al. Time series analysis and mechanistic modelling of
- 456 heterogeneity and sero-reversion in antibody responses to mild SARS-CoV-2 infection.
- 457 *EBioMedicine*. 2021;65:103259.
- 458 18. Valdes AM et al. Longitudinal assessment of symptoms and risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection in
- 459 healthcare workers across 5 hospitals to understand ethnic differences in infection risk.
 460 EClinicalMedicine. 2021; 34:100835
- 461 19. Reynolds CJ, Swadling L, Gibbons J, et al. Discordant neutralizing antibody and T cell
- 462 responses in asymptomatic and mild SARS-CoV-2 infection. Sci. Immunol. 2020;5(54).
- 463 doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.abf3698
- 464 20. Manisty C, Otter AD, Treibel TA et al. Antibody response to first BNT162b2 dose in
 465 previously SARS-CoV-2-infected individuals. *The Lancet* 2021;397(10279):1057–1058.
- 466 21. Reynolds CJ, Pade C, Gibbons JM et al Prior SARS-CoV-2 infection rescues B and T cell
- responses to variants after first vaccine dose. *Science*. 2021 Apr 30:eabh1282.
- 469 22. Lackermair K, William F, Grzanna N et al. Infection with SARS-CoV-2 in primary care
- 470 health care workers assessed by antibody testing. *Fam. Pract.* [published online ahead of print:
- 471 August 7, 2020]; doi:10.1093/fampra/cmaa078
- 472 23. Nguyen LH, Drew DA, Graham MS et al. Risk of COVID-19 among front-line health-care
- 473 workers and the general community: a prospective cohort study. Lancet Public Health
- 474 2020;5(9):e475–e483.
- 475 24. Khalil A, Hill R, Ladhani S, Pattisson K, O'Brien P. COVID-19 screening of health-care
- 476 workers in a London maternity hospital. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2021;21(1):23–24.

- 477 25. Barrett ES, Horton DB, Joy, J et al. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in previously
- 478 undiagnosed health care workers at the onset of the U.S. COVID-19 epidemic. *BMC Infect Dis*.
- 479 2020 Nov 16;20(1):853. doi: 10.1186/s12879-020-05587-2.
- 480 <u>26. Peng Y, Felce SL, Dong D et al., An immunodominant NP 105-113 B*07:02 cytotoxic T</u>
- 481 cell response controls viral replication and is associated with less severe COVID-19 disease.
- 482 *Nat Immunol.* 2022; 23(1):50-61. doi: 10.1038/s41590-021-01084-z. Epub 2021 Dec 1. PMID:
- 483 <u>34853448; PMCID: PMC8709787</u>
- 484
- 485 27. Beavis KG, Matushek SM, Abeleda APF et al, Evaluation of the EUROIMMUN Anti-
- 486 SARS-CoV-2 ELISA Assay for Detection of IgA and IgG Antibodies. Journal of Clinical
 487 Virology 2020: The Official Publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology
 488 129: 104468.
- 489 28. Haselmann V, Kittle M, Gerhards C et al, Comparison of Test Performance of Commercial
- 490 Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Immunoassays in Serum and Plasma Samples. Clinica Chimica Acta;
- 491 International Journal of Clinical Chemistry 2020. 510: 73–78.
- 492 29. Menni C, Valdes AM, Freidin MB et al. Real-time tracking of self-reported symptoms to
 493 predict potential COVID-19. *Nat. Med.* 2020;26(7):1037–1040.
- 494 30. Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC et al. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge
 495 of larger and richer datasets [Internet]. *GigaScience* 2015;4(1). doi:10.1186/s13742-015-0047496 8
- 497 31. Zheng X, Shen J, Cox C, et al. HIBAG—HLA genotype imputation with attribute bagging.
 498 *Pharmacogenomics J.* 2014;14(2):192–200.
- 32. Krammer, F, Srivastava K, Alshammary H et al, Antibody Responses in Seropositive
 Persons after a Single Dose of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 2021; 384:18491857
- 33. Goel RR, Apostilidis SA, Painter MM, et al, Distinct antibody and memory B cell responses
 in SARS-CoV-2 naïve and recovered individuals following mRNA vaccination. *Sci Immunol.*2021; 6(58):eabi6950.
- 505
- 506 34. Thursz MR, Kwiatkowski D, Allsopp CE, Greenwood BM, Thomas HC, Hill AV.
- 507 Association between an MHC class II allele and clearance of hepatitis B virus in

508 the Gambia. *N Engl J Med.* 1995 Apr 20;332(16):1065-9. doi:

509 10.1056/NEJM199504203321604

- 510
- 511 35. Smith KJ, Pyrdol J, Gauthier C et al. Crystal structure of HLA-DR2 (DRA*0101,
- 512 DRB1*1501) complexed with a peptide from human myelin basic protein. *J Exp Med* 1998;
 513 188:1511-20.
- 514 36. Verreck FA, Van der Poel A, Drijfhout JW et al. Natural peptides isolated from 515 Gly86/Val86-containing variants of HLA-DR1, -DR11, -DR13, and -DR52. *Immunogenetics* 516 1996; 43:392-7
- 517 37. Davenport MP, Quinn CL, Chicz RL et al. Naturally processed peptides from two disease-
- 518 resistance-associated HLA- DR13 alleles show related sequence motifs and the effects of the
- 519 dimorphism at position 86 of the HLA-DR beta chain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1995; 92:6567-
- 520 71
- 38. Newton-Nash DK, Eckels DD. Differential effect of polymorphism at HLA-DR1 betachain positions 85 and 86 on binding and recognition of DR1-restricted antigenic peptides. J *Immunol* 1993; 150:1813-21
- 39. Ong B,Willcox, N, Wordsworth P et al. Critical role for the Val/Gly86 HLA-DR beta
 dimorphism in autoantigen presentation to human T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1991;
 88:7343-7.
- 527
- 528
- 529
- 530
- 531
- 532

534 Figures

536 A



among seropositive healthcare workers 545

B







582 Figure 2. HLA DRB1 alleles not associated with enhanced antibody responses but DR15:01 583 associated with higher T cell responses to spike in prior SARS-CoV-2 infected HCW: (A) Antispike titres after two doses of COVID vaccine were evaluated in the context of the top 12 most 584 585 frequent DR alleles in HCW from the COVIDsortium (n=251) and PANTHER (n=169) cohorts. 586 (B-D) Association between the presence of the DRB1*1501 allele and T-cell responses against (B) 587 spike protein in single dose vaccinated HCW, (C) spike peptide pool in single dose vaccinated HCW and (D) spike peptide pool in two dose vaccinated HCW with prior SARS-Co-V-2 infection (upper 588 24

panel, $n = 23$) and SARS-CoV-2 naïve vaccinees (lower panel, $n = 23$). P values we	ere calculated
--	----------------

590 using a Mann-Whitney U test. Data are shown as box and whisker plots.

592	
502	
393	
594	
591	
595	
506	
390	
597	
500	
598	
500	
599	
600	
(01	
601	
602	
002	
603	
604	
004	
605	
()(
606	
607	
007	
608	
600	
009	
610	
(11	
611	
612	
012	
613	
(14	
614	
615	
015	
616	
617	
01/	
618	
(10	
619	
620	
020	
621	
())	
022	
623	
(24	
024	
625	
025	
626	
627	
027	
628	
(20)	
029	
630	
000	
631	
622	
032	
633	
(24	
634	
635	
000	
636	
627	
111/	

- 638 Supplementary figures and tables
- 641 Supplementary Table 1. Descriptive characteristics and SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity data for
- 642 the COVIDsortium (London) and PANTHER (Nottingham) HCW cohorts. ITU= Intensive
- 643 Therapy Unit; PPE = Personal Protective Equipment

		London		Nottingham			
		Ab-	Ab+	Seropositive%	Ab-	Ab+	Seropositive%
Age	Mean years	37.8	39.4		43.1	43.9	
	(SD)	10.9	11		11.6	11.6	
	(5D)	10.7	11		11.0	11.0	
Sex	М	188	54	22.3%	115	22	16.1%
	F	384	102	21.0%	401	93	18.7%
Covid-10	Vas	111	71	39.0%	108	42	28.0%
Covid-17	1 05		/1	39.070	100	72	28.070
symptoms	No	463	86	15.7%	410	73	15.1%
Ethnicity	Minority ethnic group (UK)	327	77	23.55%	127	40	31.49%
	European descent	372	107	22.3%	439	87	16.5%
LL CODE		100	17	12.50/	26	1	2.70/
Use of PPE	ITU role	109	17	13.5%	36	1	2.7%
	use PPE not ITU	353	112	24.1%	337	84	20.0%
	other roles	112	28	20.0%	147	30	16.9%

656 Supplementary Figure 1. Consort Diagram for (A) COVIDsortium cohort (London) and (B)

657 Panther cohort (Nottingham)

658 A



Supplementary Figure 2. DRB1 alleles and (A) seropositivity in HCW; (B) presence of case definition COVID-19 symptoms among seropositive healthcare workers. Association is defined as the correlation coefficient (beta) from logistic regression. For (A) IgG to N or spike seropositivity 1 or 0 being the outcome and carriage of DRB1 alleles the predictive variable. For (B) all individuals are seropositive and the outcome is presence of COVID-19 case definition symptoms. DRB1*13:02 is highlighted in blue.



Supplementary Figure 3. Cumulative magnitude of the T cell response to spike (red) and N
(blue) protein ordered by increasing magnitude of response in HCW with laboratory-confirmed
SARS-CoV-2 infection (n=70). (A) HCW expressing one or two DRB1*15:02 (B) HCW
expressing one or two DRB1*15:01



Supplementary Figure 4. Association between DRB1 alleles and serological responses (IgG to spike S1) in COVIDsortium (London) and Panther (Nottingham) cohorts combined meta-analyses: (A) SARS-CoV-2 naïve HCW single dose vacinees (n=78); (B) HCW single dose vaccinees with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection (n=64); (A) SARS-CoV-2 naïve HCW single dose vacinees (n=78). DRB1*03:01 (red circle) associated with a fold increase in spike SI IgG responses and DRB1*04:04 and DRB1*07:01 (blue circle) associated with a fold decease in spike S1 IgG responses.







731 Supplementary Methods

732 Nottingham cohort serology. Serum samples were serially diluted in 3% skimmed milk 733 powder in PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 and 0.05% sodium azide. All assays were performed on Biotek Precision liquid handling robots in a class II microbiological safety 734 735 cabinet. For endpoint dilution ELISAs, sera were progressively 4-fold diluted from 1:150 to 1;38,400. ELISA was performed by coating 384 well Maxisorp (NUNC) assay plates with 736 either 20 µL per well of 0.5 µg.mL⁻¹ of Wuhan strain SARS-CoV-2 spike protein S1 subunit 737 738 (His tagged, HEK293 expressed; Sino Biological) or SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid (His Tagged, 739 baculovirus expressed; Sino Biological) in carbonate-bicarbonate buffer (CBC; Merck), or human IgG at 1 µg.mL⁻¹ in CBC buffer as controls. Plates were sealed with foil film and 740 741 incubated overnight at 4 °C. Plates were then washed with PBS with 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS-742 T) 3 times using a ThermoFisher Wellwash Versa plate washing robot. Wells were immediately 743 filled with 100 µL of 3% skimmed milk powder (w/v) in PBS and 0.05% sodium azide (PBS-MA) and blocked overnight at 4 °C. Assay plates were then washed 3 times and 20 µL of pre-744 745 diluted serum sample (including SARS-CoV-2 antibody-positive and negative serum controls) 746 added in duplicate wells. After one hour at 21 °C, the plate was washed 3 times in PBS-T, 747 followed by addition of 20 µL of gamma chain-specific anti-human IgG-HRP conjugate (Sigma A0170-1ML) at 1:30,000 dilution, incubating for one hour at 21 °C. Following a final 748 749 three washes with PBST, 40 µL One-step UltraTMB substrate solution (ThermoScientific) was 750 added to each well. After incubating for 20 minutes at room temperature, 40 µL of 2N H₂SO₄ 751 was added to each well and Absorbance was measured at 450nm using a GlowMax Explorer 752 microplate reader (Promega).

Seropositivity where determined as samples where the average measurement of the duplicates exceeds 2x the Median for the pooled negative controls. Samples higher than the highest negative, but lower than or equal to 2x the median of the pooled negatives were deemed indeterminate for covid19.