Synthetic Methods

1,4-Addition of TMSCCl₃ to Nitroalkenes: Efficient Reaction Conditions and Mechanistic Understanding

Na Wu, Benoit Wahl, Simon Woodward,* and William Lewis^[a]

Abstract: Improved synthetic conditions allow preparation of TMSCCl₃ in good yield (70%) and excellent purity. Compounds of the type NBu₄X [X = Ph₃SiF₂ (TBAT), F (tetrabutylammonium fluoride, TBAF), OAc, Cl and Br] act as catalytic promoters for 1,4-additions to a range of cyclic and acyclic nitroalkenes, in THF at 0–25 °C, typically in moderate to excellent yields (37–95%). TBAT is the most effective promoter and bromide the least effective. Multinuclear NMR studies (¹H, ¹⁹F, ¹³C and ²⁹Si) under anaerobic conditions indicate that addition of TMSCCl₃ to TBAT (both 0.13 M) at -20 °C, in the absence of nitroalkene, leads immediately to mixtures of Me₃SiF, Ph₃SiF and NBu₄CCl₃. The latter is stable to at least

Introduction

Despite its potential for use in organic synthesis, applications of TMSCCl₃ (TMS = SiMe₃) have been far narrower in scope than those of closely related TMSCF₃ (Ruppert-Prakash reagent).^[1] Two reasons can be identified as the origins of this situation. Firstly, all present literature preparations of TMSCCl₃ provide either low-to-modest isolated yields,^[2] or rely on extreme low-temperature protocols (typically -110 °C),^[2] limiting easy access to this reagent. Secondly, most applications of TMSCCl₃ require its "activation" by a silylphilic promoter, typically a fluoride ion. The intimate mechanism(s) by which this process proceeds are presently based on ad hoc suggestions rather than tangible data. In such environments it is possible to select reaction conditions that may not be mechanistically optimal. Although TMSCCl₃ is known to participate in a small number of 1,2-additions to aldehydes,^[3] ketones^[3] and imine derivatives (Scheme 1),^[4] 1,4 addition modes are practically unknown and are limited to just six examples, with modest

 [a] Dr. N. Wu,⁺ Dr. B. Wahl,⁺ Prof. Dr. S. Woodward, Dr. W. Lewis School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, University Park Nottingham NG7 2RD (UK) Fax: (+ 44) 115-951-3564 E-mail: simon.woodward@nottingham.ac.uk
 [*] These authors contributed equally to this work
 [*] Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201402394.
 [*] © 2014 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 7718 - 7724

Wiley Online Library

 0° C and does not add nitroalkene from -20 to 0° C, even after extended periods. Nitroalkene, in the presence of TMSCCl₃ (both 0.13 M at -20° C), when treated with TBAT, leads to immediate formation of the 1,4-addition product, suggesting the reaction proceeds via a transient [Me₃Si-(alkene)CCl₃] species, in which (alkene) indicates an Si-O coordinated nitroalkene. The anaerobic catalytic chain is propagated through the kinetic nitronate anion resulting from 1,4 CCl₃⁻ addition to the nitroalkene. This is demonstrated by the fact that isolated NBu₄[CH₂=NO₂] is an efficient promoter. Use of H₂C=CH(CH₂)₂CH=CHNO₂ in air affords radical-derived bicyclic products arising from aerobic oxidation.



Scheme 1. Known 1,2- versus 1,4-additions of TMSCCI₃. Boc = *tert*-butoxy-carbonyl.

yields, in a single paper by Cunico and Zhang.^[5] We were interested to identify improved experimental conditions for such reactions and to understand the underlying mechanism of 1,4addition of TMSCCl₃. New access to β -CCl₃-substituted nitroalkanes is of interest, and Sosnovskikh et al., and others,^[6] have developed a range of unusual and useful methods around this motif.

Results and Discussion

For the conjugate-addition mechanistic investigations we required access to large amounts of highly pure $TMSCCI_3$. Unfortunately, current literature preparations^[2] have significant



limitations, either in yield, purity of reagent attained, reproducibility or the need for special conditions. These issues are due to the fragility of $MCCI_3$ (typically M = Li or Na) intermediates. Facile decomposition of these intermediates leads to dichlorocarbene-derived byproducts. We reasoned that the use of lowcost TMSCI (ca. € 0.1 per mL) as a co-solvent (5 equiv) would significantly enhance CCl₃⁻ capture, improving the TMSCCl₃ yield. Addition of LiHDMS (lithium hexamethyl disilazide) in hexane/THF (65:20) to a chloroform/TMSCI (12:64) mixture at -65°C, followed by slow warming to ambient temperature proved optimal. After an appropriate workup, Kugelrohr sublimation routinely afforded pristine material in 64-70% yield on a > 10 g scale. The nitroolefins, 1, for our study were prepared by using a one-pot procedure by Dauzonne and Royer,^[7] a condensation method by Andrew and Raphael^[8] or by using a very recent AqNO₂ method by Maiti et al.^[9] (Scheme 2). The advantage of the former two methods, although the yields are often modest, is that they are technically simple and provide a direct route to the 3-nitro-2H-chromenes and styrenyl systems, respectively. The advantage of the latter procedure is its wide and general scope. Initial studies on substrate 1a (Table 1) confirmed the findings of Cunico and Zhang,^[5] but indicated that CsF is an unreliable promoter because of its low solubility in organic solvents. Soluble NBu₄X [X = Cl, OAc, F and especially Ph₃SiF₂ (TBAT)] were found to be efficient promoters at 5 mol% in both polar (THF, entries 2-5) and non-polar (tolu-



Scheme 2. Preparation of nitroalkene starting materials. TEMPO = 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine *N*-oxide; Cy = cyclohexyl.

CHEMISTRY A European Journal Full Paper



[a] carried out on 0.3 mmol 1 a (0.06 M). Yield data obtained from GC analysis in the presence of an internal standard (1-methylnaphthalene, 25 μ L, 0.18 mmol). [b] Use of either solvent resulted in inefficient catalysis; [c] > 95% of 2 a could only be attained after 24 h in THF with excess CsF (3.75 equiv). n.d.=not determined.

ene, entries 6-10) solvents. The less-silylophilic promoter, NBu₄Br, led to a very slow turnover. Due to the speed of the reactions in THF at 20 °C no rate estimates could be attained (entries 2-5). However, approximate initial rates (based on conversion in the first 20 sec) could be attained in toluene, confirming the high efficacy of TBAT; in the absence of any promoter no reaction occurred. The spectroscopic properties of 2a are in accord with 1,4-addition of the trichloromethyl group. In particular, a characteristic multiplet is seen at $\delta_{\rm H}\!=\!$ 5.56 ppm in the ^1H NMR spectrum, correlating to the ^{13}C NMR CH signal α to the nitro group at $\delta_c = 80.7$ ppm. The β -CH group is diagnostically shifted to lower frequency ($\delta_c = 56.3 \text{ ppm}$ in **2a**) compared with its C=CH precursor (δ_c = 139.2 ppm in **1** a), whereas a low-intensity quaternary signal at $\delta_{c} = 100.8$ ppm is assigned to CCI_3 and the molecular ion of **2a** shows the expected CI_3 isotope pattern.

The conditions of Table 1 (entry 5) could be applied to a range of nitroalkene substrates, leading to various 1,4-addition products in 37–95% isolated yields (Scheme 3). For the acyclic systems reversal of the addition mode proved optimal.

The connectivity of **2d** could be confirmed by an X-ray crystallographic study (Figure 1). In comparison, the 27 structures in the Cambridge Crystallographic Database^[6] showing the same NO₂C^{α}HC^{β}HCCl₃ motif have: N–C^{α} 1.49–1.53, C^{α}–C^{β} 1.52–1.55 and C^{β}–CCl₃ 1.51–1.57 Å; N-C^{α}-C^{β} 105.8–117.1 and C^{α}-C^{β}-CCl₃ 111.2–117.1 °. Two closely related six-ring structures (CIBGIF and HACJAY) show *anti* arrangements, as in **2d**, but a *syn* motif is also known (QEMZUE).^[6]

The following scope and limitation comments should be made: i) Addition of TMSCCl₃ to the substrate and TBAT catalyst was appropriate for α -substituted substrates **1 a**–**e** and **1 q**. However, for terminal nitroalkenes (**1 f**–**p**) the alkene needed to be added slowly (over 1 h) to TMSCCl₃/TBAT mixtures to avoid polymerisation, which led to unacceptable yields of **2**. ii)

Chem. Eur. J. **2014**, 20, 7718 – 7724

www.chemeurj.org

7719 © 2014 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



Scheme 3. Isolated addition products from TBAT-catalysed (5 mol%) additions of TMSCCl₃ to nitroalkenes 1.



Figure 1. Molecular structure of **2 d**. Selected interatomic distances and angles: C(1)–C(4) 1.556, C(3)–C(4) 1.544, N(1)–C(3) 1.519 Å; C(1)-C(4)-C(3) 109.2, N(1)-C(3)-C(4) 111.3 °. Dihedral angle N(1)-C(3)-C(4)-C(1) 115.4 °.

Acyclic α -substituted nitroalkenes led to very poor reactions and this substrate class was not further pursued; β -substitution provided clean products (e.g. **2 m**), but in reduced yield. iii) Although alkyl, ether, ester, alkene and C–F functional groups were tolerated in various degrees, some aryl bromide-containing substrates (e.g. 8-bromo-3-nitro-2*H*-chromene) and benzylic (*E*)-BnCH=CHNO₂ were not tolerated and led only to decomposition. Given the known relative stability of the CCl₃ radical, and its propensity to add to unsaturated systems (Kharasch et al.^[10]), tests were carried out to assess the veracity of such reaction pathways. Firstly, it was observed that the presence of the known radical inhibitors hydroquinone or butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) did not prevent TBAT-catalysed additions to **1 d** under strictly anaerobic conditions. Secondly, the substrate 1r was used to provide potential intramolecular radical trapping sites. The standard reaction conditions (slow addition of 1r to TMSCCl₃/TBAT under argon) led to trace amounts (15%) of bicyclic 2r, and the majority of the starting material remained unconverted after the typical reaction time of 1-16 h (Scheme 4). However, if the reaction was conducted under aerobic conditions 2r became the major product. TMSCCl₃ solutions in THF, in the presence of TBAT and O₂ (one molar equivalent of oxygen injected into a sealed reaction), were analysed by ²⁹Si NMR spectroscopy and revealed a significant amount of a single silicon-containing species showing $\delta_{\rm Si}$ = 7.4 ppm. Based on comparison with litera-



Scheme 4. Aerobic cyclisation of substrate 1 r.

ture silicon NMR shift values^[11] we assigned this new species as TMS₂O. One explanation for the formation of **2r** is reaction of TMSCCl₃ (in the presence of TBAT) with O₂, leading to TMS₂O₂ and CCl₃ radicals that cascade to **2r**, via **3** and **4**. Although we could not detect any TMS₂O₂ peroxide ($\delta_{si} \approx -27 \text{ ppm}^{[12]}$) in air or in O₂-exposed samples of TMSCCl₃, in the presence or absence of TBAT, the latter was rapidly converted to TMS₂O. It is likely that any peroxide would be both generated and decomposed as shown in Scheme 4. The siloxane can also be generated from TMSOH generated by elimination from **4**. Literature bicycles related to **2r** have been generated, either by oxidation of nitronate anions^[13] or through nitrile oxide formation and

www.chemeuri.ora



CHEMISTRY A European Journal Full Paper

subsequent [2+3] cycloaddition chemistry,^[13] from the expected 1,4-addition product **5**. Although we cannot completely exclude such possibilities, such approaches normally require stronger oxidants than molecular oxygen or prolonged heating at 60 °C.^[13] The connectivity of **2r** could be confirmed by an X-ray crystallographic study on its dehydrochlorination product **2r**', obtained through simple MgSO₄ drying/recrystallisation of **2r** (Figure 2). Formation of the C=CCl₂ bond is also evident in the ¹³C NMR spectrum, in which the diagnostic CCl₃ signal at δ_c =99.4 ppm in **2r** is replaced by two quaternary alkene signals (δ_c =121.4 and 126.7 ppm). Both **2r** and **2r**' have a C=N



Figure 2. Molecular structure of 2 r'. Selected interatomic distances and angles: N(1)–C(6A) 1.258, N(1)–O(2) 1.431, C(6)–C(7) 1.324 Å; C(6A)-N(1)-O(2) 107.5, C(7)-C(6)-C(6A) 127.1 °.

resonance ($\delta_c = 167.6$ and 166.5 ppm, respectively). The structure of **2r**' is reminiscent of other tetrahydro-3*H*-cyclopenta-[c]isoxazoles in the Cambridge Crystallographic Database.^[14]

Despite the aerobic cyclisation shown in Scheme 4, we could not attain any evidence for radical involvement under strict anaerobic conditions. In particular, the observation of low, but reproducible, ee values in the formation of 2q by using chiral promoters at low substrate conversion suggested that a different reaction mechanism operates under O₂-free conditions. To the best of our knowledge, no study of the explicit reaction mode of TMSCCl₃ with NBu₄SiPh₃F₂ (TBAT) has been carried out, therefore, we sought to define the nonradical process by multi-nuclear NMR studies. Reagent concentrations of 0.125 M in THF/[D₆]benzene (5:1) at $-20 \degree \text{C}$ offered the best compromise with respect to solubility, ²⁹Si sensitivity and attainment of O_2 -free conditions. Temperatures of $-20\,^\circ\text{C}$ are also the lowest at which viable catalytic reactions are possible, indicating that the reactions should be slowed to only primary events at this temperature. Representative ²⁹Si NMR spectra are given in Figure 3. In an initial set of conditions at -20 °C, TMSCCl₃ (δ_{si} = 21.9 ppm) was immediately converted to TMSF $(\delta_{si} = 32.4 \text{ ppm})^{[15]}$ on addition of TBAT $(\delta_{si} = -108.8 \text{ ppm})$,^[16] which itself was transformed to Ph_3SiF ($\delta_{Si} = -4.1 \text{ ppm}$)^[17] (Figure 3). No other silicon-containing species were present, except for traces of TMS₂O ($\delta_{si} = 7.3 \text{ ppm}$)^[11] (which could be minimised/eliminated by good experimental technique to eliminate the last traces of O_2). The J_{SiF} coupling pattern is indicative of the number of attached fluorine atoms in these species. The residual TBAT species, TMSF and Ph₃SiF could be correlated to signals at $\delta_{\rm F} = -98.9^{[17]}_{,} -158.0^{[15]}_{,}$ and -170.5 ppm^[16] in the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture at -20°C (see the Supporting Information). This accounted for > 98% of all the fluorine-containing species. The ²⁹Si and ^{19}F NMR spectra of the same reaction mixture at $+\,20\,^\circ\text{C}$ show only very slight broadening, indicating that any exchange between the species detected is, at best, very slow under the reaction conditions. At -20 °C the ¹³C NMR spectrum of the TMSCCl₃/TBAT mixture, in the methyl region, confirmed the presence of Me₃SiF ($\delta_c = -0.4$ ppm, $J_{cF} = 15.5$ Hz) and a singlet peak ($\delta_c = 1.6$ ppm) ascribed to the expected exchange product, NBu₄CCl₃. This latter compound is stable at -20 °C indefinitely, no evidence of formation of tetrachlorethene (δ_{c} = 120.7 ppm), or any other CCl₃⁻ or dichlorocarbene-derived by-



Figure 3. ²⁹Si NMR spectra (79.5 MHz, 5:1 THF/[D₆]benzene, $-20 \degree$ C): a) TMSCCl₃ (δ = 21.9 ppm); b) TBAT (δ = -108.8 ppm, J(Si–F) = 254 Hz); c) a nominal 1:1 mixture of TMSCCl₃/TBAT (a slight excess of TBAT was used to provide a spectral internal standard), TMSF (δ = 32.4 ppm, J(Si–F) = 275 Hz), TMS₂O (δ = 7.3 ppm), Ph₃SiF (δ = -4.1 ppm, J(Si–F) = 282 Hz).

Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 7718 – 7724

www.chemeurj.org





sions of the stoichiometric reactions shown in Scheme 5 can be translated to the catalytic reactions, three clear predictions can be made: i) Nitronate anions themselves should be excellent

promoters of the reaction. ii) If the concentration of nitronate (or indeed any other anionic promoter nucleophile) builds up over time, a wide range of nucleophilic promoters (Nu⁻) will be available to replace fluoride (F⁻)

in the key conversion of **6** into **7** (Scheme 5). iii) Under such conditions, the *ee* value of the 1,4addition product, produced by an asymmetric source of Nu⁻ should decrease over time (due

to competition with an increasingly populated pool of promot-

er anions). To check these sup-

we

NBu₄[CH₂=NO₂] from nitrome-

thane and found that it does

indeed promote rapid quantita-

tive conversion of 1a into 2a.

prepared

positions

products was seen in the spectra. No reaction was observed when nitroalkene **1a** was added last to the above mixture, which was then warmed from -20 to $0^{\circ}C$ (conditions under which the catalytic reaction is spontaneous).

In a separate set of conditions, nitroalkene **1a** was first added to TMSCCl₃ at -20 °C. The ¹H NMR spectrum in the region $\delta_{\rm H}$ = 6.8–8.0 ppm contains the aryl and alkene signals of **1a** (see Figure 4a,b). The signal of TMSCCl₃ is at $\delta_{\rm H}$ = 0.20 ppm (not shown in Figure 4). The equivalent ¹³C NMR spectra confirm <5% reaction of alkene and TMSCCl₃ because only the

ture down to -20 °C. Further cooling of the reaction was not possible because of the formation of non-homogeneous samples, and heating the sample was not possible. However, the data are generally in accord with attack of an external nucleophile, in this case fluoride ions (either directly or indirectly from TBAT^[18]), on **6**, triggering CCl₃ transfer, presumably through a chair-like transition state, leading to **7**. Finally, due to the strength of the Si–F bond in TMSF (and the clear lack of exchange with this species in the NMR studies) it is nitronate **8** that is expelled and detected spectroscopically. If the conclu-



Figure 4. Partial ¹H NMR spectra (5:1 THF/[D₆]benzene, -20 °C): a) alkene **1a**; b) a nominal 1:1 mixture of alkene **1a** and TMSCCl₃; c) After addition of 1 equiv of TBAT to mixture (b). Signals due to TBAT are marked (\bullet), those due to Ph₃SiF (\Box) and those due to the proposed nitronate product **8** (Δ).

characteristic peaks of **1a** and TMSCCl₃, at $\delta_{\rm C} = -4.5$ ppm are present. The ²⁹Si NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture shows only the presence of TMSCCl₃. Subsequent addition of TBAT at -20 °C to this mixture leads to partial (38%), but immediate, conversion of **1a** into a new compound with ¹H (Figure 4c) and ¹³C NMR spectra (see the Supporting Information) that are closely related to those of the addition product **2a**. This new species is assigned as nitronate **8** (Scheme 5). In some experiments traces of a new species could be detected before the addition of TBAT (See Figure 4b). This species could only be identified as a product of nitroalkene decomposition, or species **6** (see below).

Overall, the data from the stoichiometric NMR experiments are in accord with Scheme 5. Once formed, NBu₄CCl₃ is insufficiently nucleophilic to directly attack the nitroalkene and equilibration back to TMSCCl₃ is not possible. Rather, the nitroalkene binds TMSCCl₃ by means of an electron-rich N–O contact, providing **6**. We could detect no exchange broadening of the minor signals observed in Figure 4b from ambient tempera-



Scheme 5. Mechanistic proposal from stoichiometric NMR studies.



The chiral complex [Ni(Duphos)₂](acac)₂ (Duphos = (*R*,*R*)-methyl-Duphos, CAS [147253-67-6]; acac = acetylacetonate) was found to be an, albeit poor, promoter of asymmetric trichlomethylation of **1q** (see the Supporting Information). Nevertheless, the *ee* value of **2q** formed by using this catalyst (10 mol%) does decrease reproducibly from 15 to <1% over 20 h, in line with predictions. The bifunctional chiral catalyst by Takemoto et al.^[19] (10 mol%), associated with TBAF as a co-promoter (10 mol%), also led to a decrease in the *ee* value of **2q** over time (from 26% to <1%). Based on all of the data it seems likely that Scheme 6 is the most rational description of the catalytic cycle. The nitronate product, **8**, can either act as a promoter itself or leave the promoter pool by means of a reaction with either TMSX (X=CCl₃ or Nu, if Nu is a suitable leaving group).



Scheme 6. Proposed catalytic cycle.

Finally, the use of the 1,4-addition products, **2**, for the formation of other products was briefly investigated. Treatment of **2a** with KOtBu in THF led to the formation of dichlorocyclopropane **9** in moderate yield (Scheme 7), through α -CH deprotonation. However, the equivalent acyclic systems showed



Scheme 7. Representative reactions of the 1,4-addition products.

a distinct preference for β -CH deprotonation. For example, **2 f** led to the formation of dichloroalkene **10** when treated under the same conditions.

Conclusion

Catalytic 1,4-additions of TMSCCl₃ to electron-deficient Michael acceptors have considerable potential for use in organic chemistry. The mechanistic studies presented here are consis-

tent with pre-coordination of the nitroalkene to the silicon reagent before its promotion by a silylphilic nucleophile. Attempts to develop asymmetric versions of this reaction will prove to be challenging for mechanistic reasons. The kinetically derived nitronate product of the reaction is itself a highly effective chain carrier, and attaining a competitive chiral catalyst or alternative conditions will be critical for success. Investigations into such approaches and the use of other acceptors are underway.

Experimental Section

Full details of all transformations and associated spectroscopic data are given in the Supporting Information. Nitroolefins **1a**-**e** were prepared by Dauzonne and Royer's one-pot procedure^[7]. Alkene **1a** showed identical spectroscopic properties to previously reported samples.^[20] Compounds **1b**-**e**, previously unreported, were fully characterised (see the Supporting Information). Nitroolefins **1g**-**I** were prepared by Andrew and Raphael's condensation method^[8] and had identical spectroscopic properties to previously reported samples.^[9,21,22] Nitroolefins **1m**-**r** were prepared by the AgNO₂ method by Maiti et al.,^[9] and had identical spectroscopic properties to previously reported samples.

General procedure for trichloromethylation of cyclic substrates

Trimethyl(trichloromethyl)silane (TMSCCl₃; 0.21 g, 1.1 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of the cyclic nitroalkene (1 mmol) and tetrabutylammonium triphenyldifluorosilicate (TBAT; 0.027 g, 5 mol%) in THF (2 mL) under argon at room temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo and then purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to give the corresponding Michael addition products. Alternatively, the reactions were quenched with saturated NH₄Cl (aq), extracted with ethyl acetate, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated before purification by chromatography.

General procedure for trichloromethylation of acyclic substrates

The acyclic alkene (1 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added dropwise,



over a period of one hour, to a solution of trimethyl(trichloromethyl)silane (TMSCCl₃; 0.21 g, 1.1 mmol) and tetrabutylammonium triphenyldifluorosilicate (TBAT; 0.027 g, 5 mol%) in THF (2 mL) under argon at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred overnight. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo and then purified by

flash chromatography on silica gel to give the corresponding Michael addition products.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) for support of this work through grant EP/K000578/1.

Chem. Eur. J. **2014**, 20, 7718 – 7724

www.chemeuri.ora



Keywords: brønsted base catalysis · catalysis · Michael addition · reaction mechanisms · trichloromethylation

- a) G. A. Olah, G. K. S. Prakash, Q. Wang, X.-Y. Li, M. Sanchez-Rosello, C. del Pozo Losada, J. L. Acena, Jose Luis in *Handbook of Reagents for Organic Synthesis: Reagents for Silicon-Mediated Organic Synthesis* (Ed.: P. L. Fuchs), **2011**, John Wiley and Sons, New York, pp. 539–546; b) N. Shibata, S. Mizuta, H. Kawai, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2008**, *19*, 2633–2644. For a limited review on TMSCCl₃, see: c) G. K. S. Prakash, J. Hu, in *Science of Synthesis, Vol. 22* (Ed.: A. B. Charette), Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, **2005**, pp. 617–668.
- [2] For preparations of TMSCCl₃, see: a) J. L. Speier, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1951, 73, 824-826 (1.3% isolated yield, photochlorination of Me₃SiCH₂Cl); b) S. Dietmar, E. M. Hanson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 2438-2440 (4.5 % GC yield, minor product in the thermolysis of $(Me_3SiCCI_2)_2Hq)$; c) J. Dunoguès, R. Calas, J. Malzac, N. Duffaut, C. Biran, P. Lapouyade, J. Organomet. Chem. 1971, 27, C1-C4 (45%, CCl₄/TMSCl/Mg/HMPT, 30- $60\,^\circ\text{C});$ d) H. H. Hergott, G. Simchen, Synthesis $1980,\,626-627$ (synthesis of trimethylsilyltrichloroacetate from TMSCI and Cl₃COOH (87% yield) and decarboxylation (79%, 69% overall yield), in our hands this reaction gave less than a 50% overall yield); e) P. Pons, C. Biran, M. Bordeau, J. Dunoguès, J. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 358, 31-37 (94% GC yield, electroreduction of CCl4 with Zn. The following values in parentheses after the reference are the isolated yield and temperature of the reaction, respectively); f) W. R. Bamford, B. C. Pant, J. Chem. Soc. C 1967, 1470-1472 (52%, -110°C); g) B. C. Pant, W. R. Bamford, Brit. Patent GB 1166460 19691008 1969, [Chem. Abs. 1970, 72, 43850] (52%, -120°C); h) K. E. Henegar, R. Lira, J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 2999-3004 (-70 to −60 °C, 25 %).
- [3] a) M. Fujita, T. Hiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 4085–4088; b) M.
 Fujita, M. Obayashi, T. Hiyama, *Tetrahedron* 1988, 44, 4135–4145; c) J.
 Kister, C. Mioskowski, J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 3925–3928.
- [4] a) A. D. Dilman, D. E. Arkhipov, V. V. Levin, P. A. Belyakov, A. A. Korlyukov, M. I. Struchkova, V. A. Tartakovsky, J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 8604–8607;
 b) Y. Li, Y. Cao, J. Gu, W. Wang, H. Wang, T. Zheng, Z. Sun, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 676–679.
- [5] R. F. Cunico, C. P. Zhang, Synth. Commun. 1991, 21, 2189-2195.
- [6] For selected examples, see: a) V. Y. Korotaev, V. Y. Sosnovskikh, I. B. Kutyashev, M. I. Kodess, *Lett. Org. Chem.* 2005, *2*, 616–620; b) V. Y. Korotaev, V. Y. Sosnovskikh, A. Y. Barkov, P. A. Slepukhin, M. A. Ezhikova, M. I. Kodess, Y. V. Shklyaev, *Tetrahedron* 2011, *67*, 8685–8698; c) V. Y. Korotaev, A. Y. Barkov, A. A. Sokovnina, V. Y. Sosnovskikh, *Mendeleev Commun.* 2013, *23*, 150–152. See also citations to earlier work in these publications and X-ray structures: CIBGIF, HACJAY and QEMZUE. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
- [7] D. Dauzonne, R. Royer, Synthesis 1984, 348-349.
- [8] R. G. Andrew, R. A. Raphael, Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 4803-4816.
- [9] S. Maity, S. Manna, S. Rana, T. Naveen, A. Mallick, D. Maiti, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3355 – 3358.

- [10] a) M. S. Kharasch, E. V. Jensen, W. H. Urry, *Science* **1945**, *102*, 128; b) M. S. Kharasch, E. V. Jensen, W. H. Urry, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1947**, *69*, 1100–1105.
- [11] For TMSOTMS, ²⁹Si NMR (99 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.1 ppm, see: R. Wakabayashi, Y. Sugiura, T. Shibue, K. Kuroda, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 10896– 10899; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 45, 10708–10711.
- [12] For TMSO₂TMS, ²⁹Si NMR (79.8 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =27.6 ppm, see: Y. Berchadsky, C. Bernard-Henriet, J.-P. Finet, R. Lauricella, S. R. A. Marque, P. Tordo, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2006**, *12*, 7084–7094.
- [13] a) N. Arai, K. Narasaka, Chem. Lett. 1995, 11, 987–988; b) I. N. N. Namboothiri, A. Hassner, H. E. Gottlieb, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 485–492;
 c) J.-Y. Liu, M.-C. Yan, W.-W. Lin, L.-Y. Wang, C.-F. Yao, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 1215–1218; d) S.-J. Gao, Z. Tu, C.-W. Kuo, J.-T. Liu, C.-M. Chu, C.-F. Yao, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 2851–2857.
- [14] For comparable X-ray structures, see: FEPLUH, HOQQUA, NIRSOW, NOTMEO, TEXRET and XUMSED. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
- [15] For TMSF, ²⁹Si NMR (59.6 MHz, C₆D₆, 25 °C): δ = 32.0 ppm (d, ¹J(²⁹Si-¹⁹F) = 274 Hz), and ¹⁹F NMR (282.4 MHz, C₆D₆, 25 °C): δ = -157.3 ppm (s, ¹J(¹⁹F-²⁹Si) = 274 Hz), see: M. Lehmann, A. Schulz, A. Vilinger, *Angew. Chem.* **2009**, *121*, 7580-7583; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 7444-7447.
- [16] For TBAT, ²⁹Si NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = -106.3$ ppm (t, ¹J(²⁹Si–¹⁹F) = 252 Hz), see: A. S. Pilcher, H. L. Ammon, P. DeShong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 5166–5167, and for ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = -96.1$ ppm (s, ¹J(¹⁹F–²⁹Si) = 250 Hz), see: R. Bujok, M. Makosza, *Synlett* **2004**, 371–373.
- [17] For Ph₃SiF, ²⁹Si NMR (11.9 MHz, calculated): $\delta = -4.7$ ppm, see: G. Engelhardt, R. Radeglia, H. Jancke, E. Lippmaa, M. Mägi, *Org. Magn. Res.* **1973**, *5*, 561–566; T. Takayama, I. Ando, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1987**, *60*, 3125–3129; For ¹⁹F NMR (470.6 MHz, [D₈]toluene, 27 °C): $\delta = -169.0$ (s, ¹*J*(¹⁹F–²⁹Si) = 280 Hz), see: R. J. Lindup, T. B. Marder, R. N. Perutz, A. C. Whitwood, *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 3664–3666; For δ_{Si} and δ_F (Ph₃SiF) in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME), see: P. D. Lickiss, R. Lucas, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1996**, *510*, 167–172.
- [18] Direct F-atom transfer from TBAT seems most likely from our ¹⁹F NMR studies. Signals from -80 to -150 ppm have been reported for NBu₄F, which is very solvent/component sensitive. Only trace signals are present in our spectra at these expected regions for "free" F⁻ions, see: H. Sun, S. G. DiMagno, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2005**, 127, 2050-2051.
- [19] T. Okino, Y. Hoashi, T. Furukawa, X. Xu, Y. Takemoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 119-125.
- [20] A. H. Clark, J. D. McCorvy, V. J. Watts, D. E. Nichols, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2011, 19, 5420-5431.
- [21] S. Manna, S. Jana, T. Saboo, A. Maji, D. Maiti, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 5286-5288.
- [22] C. Xu, J. Du, L. Ma, G. Li, M. Tao, W. Zhang, *Tetrahedron* 2013, 69, 4749– 4757.
- [23] G. Zhang, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 2534-2536.

Received: February 27, 2014 Published online on May 21, 2014