@AGUPUBLICATIONS

Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres

RESEARCH ARTICLE

10.1002/2013JD019801

Key Points:

- Sulfur-35 is a unique tracer to quantify the Tibetan glacier melt
- Sulfur-35 vary significantly in aerosol, snow, and lake/river
- The age of Lake Nam Co surface water is ~10 months

Correspondence to: A. Privadarshi,

A. Priyadarshi, antra79@gmail.com

Citation:

Priyadarshi, A., J. Hill-Falkenthal, M. Thiemens, Z. Zhang, M. Lin, C.-y. Chan, and S. Kang (2014), Cosmogenic ³⁵S measurements in the Tibetan Plateau to quantify glacier snowmelt, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, *119*, 4125–4135, doi:10.1002/2013JD019801.

Received 6 MAR 2013 Accepted 14 MAR 2014 Accepted article online 18 MAR 2014 Published online 9 APR 2014

Cosmogenic ³⁵S measurements in the Tibetan Plateau to quantify glacier snowmelt

Antra Priyadarshi¹, Jason Hill-Falkenthal¹, Mark Thiemens¹, Zhisheng Zhang^{2,3}, Mang Lin^{1,2}, Chuen-yu Chan^{2,4}, and Shichang Kang^{5,6}

¹Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA, ²School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China, ³South China Institute of Environmental Sciences, Ministry of Environmental Protection, Guangzhou, China, ⁴Faculty of Science and Engineering, University of Nottingham, Ningbo, China, ⁵Key Laboratory of Tibetan Environment Changes and Land Surface Processes, Institute of Tibetan Plateau Research, CAS, Beijing, China, ⁶State Key Laboratory of Cryospheric Science, Cold and Arid Regions Environmental and Engineering Research Institute, CAS, Lanzhou, China

JGR

Abstract The cosmogenic radionuclide ³⁵S ($t_{1/2} \sim 87$ days) is a unique tracer for high-altitude air mass and has been used extensively to understand stratospheric air mass mixing. In this paper, we investigate if ³⁵S can be utilized as an independent tracer to quantify glacier melt. We report the first measurements of ³⁵S in samples collected from the Tibetan Plateau during 2009–2012 with an aim to interpret ³⁵S in atmospheric particles and their deposition over glacier and snowmelts. Our measurements show that ³⁵S activity in the aerosol phase varies from 116±13 to 2229±52 atoms/m³ resulting in higher values during winter–spring and lower values during summer–autumn. This seasonality is likely due to higher mixing of ³⁵S-rich stratospheric air masses during winter–spring and ³⁵S-poor air masses from the Bay of Bengal during the Asian summer monsoon. The average ³⁵S activity in the Zhadang glacier was found to be 3–8 times higher relative to the nearby lake water. The main source of ³⁵S activity in the Zhadang glacier is atmospheric deposition, whereas both atmospheric deposition and glacier snowmelt are the primary sources in the Nam Co Lake. The focus of this study is to quantitatively determine the spatial and temporal variations in glacier snowmelt. In the future, extensive sampling of aerosols and snow is required for determining ³⁵S in combination with stable oxygen isotopes in sulfate to better understand the glacier melt process and hydrological cycle on the Tibetan Plateau.

1. Introduction

The Tibetan Plateau (27°N-45°N, 70°E-105°E, average elevation ~4 km) is a home to nearly 36,800 glaciers amounting to a total glacial area of ~49,873 km² [Kang et al., 2010]. It is the largest ice mass outside polar regions, and thereby known as the third pole. The ice melt from these glaciers sustains Asia's 10 major rivers including the Yangtze, Yellow, Mekong, Ganges, Brahmaputra, and the Indus Rivers, which are the sources of water for about 40% of the world's population, including China, India, and many Southeast and East Asian countries [Ding et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2009]. Recently, there has been evidence of climatic changes in the Tibetan Plateau, including increased warming, early snowmelt, and the retreat of high mountain glaciers [Duan and Wu, 2006; Kang et al., 2010; Liu and Chen, 2000; Niu et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2012]. Satellite and field measurements show that the majority of glaciers on the Tibetan Plateau and the neighboring regions are shrinking more rapidly than previously thought [Ding et al., 2006; Li et al., 2008; Xiao et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2012]. Local pollution and long-range transport of mineral dust and aerosols from the deserts of western China, Afghanistan/Pakistan, and the Middle East [Cong et al., 2009; Fu et al., 2006; Kang et al., 2010; Lau et al., 2006, 2010; Qian et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2009, 2012] play an important role in temperature rise and albedo reduction, which consequently accelerates the melting of mountain glaciers. It has been proposed that atmospheric heating by Asian Brown Clouds [Ramanathan et al., 2007] and snow-darkening effects by black carbon and dust [Qian et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2009] contribute substantially to the early snowmelt and retreat of mountain glaciers as well. Li et al. [2008] estimated that the glacier area might decrease by nearly 30% in 2050 with glacier runoff increasing to its maximum value in 2030. Tibetan glacier melt will have a severe impact on future water availability in Asian countries [Barnett et al., 2005; Ding et al., 2008; Immerzeel et al., 2010]. Therefore, it is important to develop independent tracers to determine the extent of glacier melt and to verify model predictions in an afford to aid law regulations hoping to protect the Tibetan glacier. In this paper, we demonstrate that the naturally occurring cosmogenic radioactive isotope of sulfur (35 S, half-life = 87 days) is a perfect tracer to understand hydrological processes on short time scales and also a unique tool to quantify the snowmelt on the Tibetan glacier, providing new information to enhance current model predictions.

The cosmogenic radionuclide Sulfur-35 (half-life $t_{1/2}$ = 87 days) is produced by high-energy cosmic ray spallation of argon atoms (40 Ar) in the atmosphere [Lal and Peters, 1967]. The production rate of 35 S has both latitudinal and altitudinal dependence. For example, minimum production of ³⁵S is seen in the equatorial troposphere, whereas maximum production occurs in the polar stratosphere. Following production, ³⁵S rapidly oxidizes to ³⁵SO₂ (gas), which further gets oxidized to ³⁵SO₄²⁻ particles. Due to higher ³⁵S production rate and longer residence time in stratosphere, most ${}^{35}SO_2$ and ${}^{35}SO_4^{2-}$ produced in the stratosphere does not readily reach the boundary layer before decaying to ³⁵Cl, except during stratospheric mixing events. Both 35 SO₂ and 35 SO₄²⁻ are removed from the atmosphere by wet and dry deposition. At any given time, 35 S activity in sulfate aerosols depends on several atmospheric parameters [Lal and Peters, 1967; Priyadarshi et al., 2011b, 2012; Tanaka and Turekian, 1991, 1995; Turekian and Tanaka, 1992]: (i) sampling location due to altitudinal and latitudinal dependency of 35 S production rates, (ii) rate of oxidation of 35 S to 35 SO₄ $^{2-}$ via 35 SO₂, set by oxidant levels of OH/H₂O₂/O₃, and UV photolysis flux, (iii) air mass mixing between the troposphere and stratosphere, which brings ³⁵S-rich air mass from stratosphere to the boundary layer, and (iv) loss by scavenging processes (wet and dry deposition) which is controlled by wind speed, rain, and snow deposition rates. The residence time of ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ aerosol particles (<2 μ m) in the Tibetan Plateau region (10–12 days) is less than its radioactive half-life (87 days). Therefore, the ultimate fate of ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ is largely deposition on snow and lake/river water. Since the atmospheric factors (altitude, wind speed, precipitation, and aerosol residence time) influencing ³⁵S concentration is different at glaciers than other low-lying region, glacier snow is expected to contain significantly higher ³⁵S activity as compared to lake and river water in the Tibetan Plateau.

The short half-life of ³⁵S allows it to be used as a tracer of hydrological processes and sulfur migration between different reservoirs such as the atmosphere, hydrosphere, cryosphere, and biosphere that occur on time scales of months to approximately a year. In the past, ³⁵S has been used as a tracer to understand the sulfate biogeochemical cycle and a dating tool for determining the source and age of water and quantitatively derive the contribution of glacier melt and ground water [*Cecil et al.*, 1998; *Cooper et al.*, 1991; *Kester et al.*, 2003; *Michel et al.*, 2001; *Plummer et al.*, 2001]. For example, *Cooper et al.* [1991] used natural ³⁵S along with stable sulfur isotopes to study spring runoff in a small Arctic watershed. *Sueker et al.* [1999] employed ³⁵S technique to study the hydrology of three small basins in the Front Range of the Rocky Mountains.

The Tibetan Plateau contains closed catchments where glacier melts are stored in lakes through river runoff. In this closed system, monitoring ³⁵S activity in atmospheric aerosols, glacier snow, glacier-fed lakes, and river water will enable us to determine the source and age of lake water developing a better understanding of the hydrological processes on the Tibetan Plateau. Here we present the first attempt to determine ³⁵S in samples collected from various locations in the Tibetan Plateau.

2. Sampling

Atmospheric samples including aerosol, SO₂, glacier snow, lake, and river water were collected during 2009–2012 in the southern Tibetan Plateau to analyze total bulk sulfate concentration and ³⁵S activity in ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ and ${}^{35}SO_2$ phase. Aerosol and SO₂ samples were collected at Nam Co station (N 30.77°, E 90.98°, 4730 m above sea level (asl)), which represents a clean continental background site for aerosol monitoring in Tibet [*Cong et al.*, 2009]. This sampling station is established near Nam Co Lake, which is close to the Nyainqentanglha Mountain and about 50 km northeast of the Zhadang glacier. The bulk aerosol samples and SO₂ samples were collected on quartz and KOH-treated quartz filter paper [*Forrest and Newman*, 1973], respectively. A high-volume aerosol sampler with a typical flow rate of ~1 m³ min⁻¹ was used to collect air sample continuously for 5 days. The sampling was done during each season; winter (December to February), spring (March to May), summer (June to September), and autumn (October to November). The



Figure 1. Details of the sampling locations in the Tibetan Plateau for ³⁵S analysis in aerosol, glacier, and lake/river water sample collected during 2009–2012. Aerosol and glacier samples were collected at Nam Co station and Zhadang glacier, respectively. Water samples were collected from 19 different lakes and two rivers, as shown in the map.

annual average precipitation at Nam Co station is about 414 mm/yr mainly occurring between June and September under the influence of the Asian summer monsoon [*Huang et al.*, 2012; *Kang et al.*, 2011].

Water samples were collected from 19 different lakes and rivers (Qugaqie and Niyaqu River), which are supported by winter–spring snowmelt, and summer precipitation mainly supports these rivers [*Kang et al.*, 2011]. Snow samples were collected from the Zhadang glacier on Mount Nyainqentanglha in the southern Tibetan Plateau (Figure 1 and Table 3). Fresh surface snow (0–24 cm) and deep snow (coarse-grained snow, 24–59 cm) samples were collected from the Zhadang glacier col (N 30.47°, E 90.65°, 5800 m) and Zhadang glacier terminal (N 30.48°, E 90.64°, 5500 m). At the Zhadang glacier terminal, surface and deep snow represents a depth of 0–11 cm and 11–20 cm, respectively. Three liters of lake and river water sample and snowmelt water were passed through an ion exchange (BioRad-Anion resin) resin column to trap sulfate ions. All resin-filled columns and aerosol filter papers were shipped to the University of California, San Diego, for the analysis of total sulfate concentration and radioactive ³⁵S by following the standard chemical processing technique [*Brothers et al.*, 2010]. Organic contaminants and chlorine salts were removed before counting ³⁵S activity in an ultralow-noise liquid scintillation counter [*Brothers et al.*, 2010; *Priyadarshi et al.*, 2011a]. The raw ³⁵S data are corrected for the background activity and for the decay time between sampling and measurement.

3. Results and Discussion

For a quantitative understanding of temporal and spatial distribution of the Tibetan glacier melt, a welldefined mapping of ${}^{35}S$ is required. The source of ${}^{35}S$ in glacier snow is primarily atmospheric deposition of ${}^{35}SO_2$ and ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$, whereas the sink of ${}^{35}S$ is via physical loss of surface snow due to high wind, snowmelt, and by radioactive decay.

The ³⁵S activity contained in lake and river water depends on the rate and source of snowmelt, atmospheric deposition of ³⁵SO₂ and ³⁵SO₄²⁻, hydrological properties such as the mixing rate of surface/deep water and on the biochemical sulfur cycle, which fractionates the sulfur reservoir. Since ³⁵S chemically behaves similar to bulk (stable) sulfur, understanding ³⁵S movement can be translated to the bulk sulfur cycle.

3.1. Variation in Bulk Sulfate Concentration

Bulk sulfate concentration in aerosol samples (44 samples), collected at Nam Co station during 2009–2012, varies from 0.2 nmol/m³ to 11.2 nmol/m³, whereas SO₂ concentration varies from 0.2 nmol/m³ to 0.6 nmol/m³ (Table 1). The annual mean concentration (mean $\pm 1\sigma$) of SO₄^{2–} and SO₂ was observed to be 2.2 \pm 1.2 nmol/m³ and 0.4 \pm 0.1 nmol/m³, respectively (Table 2). Similar to bulk sulfate concentration (variation by a factor 10), the concentration of total suspended particle (TSP) at Nam Co station ranges from 48 to 361 nmol/m³ (annual average of 67 nmol/m³) with maximum concentrations observed during spring and summer periods [*Cong et al.*, 2009]. The average sulfate concentration at Nam Co station was higher as compared to Dome C in Antarctica [*Priyadarshi et al.*, 2011b] which probably due to local pollution as well as the long-range transport of mineral dust and aerosol from the desert in western China to Nam Co station [*Li et al.*, 2007].

The bulk sulfate concentration was higher in surface snow at the Zhadang glacier col as compared to the Zhadang glacier terminal (Table 3). Both at col and terminal, the deep snow samples showed a lower sulfate concentration relative to the surface snow samples. Bulk sulfate concentration in the Zhadang glacier range from 0.4 μ mol/L to 2.4 μ mol/L which is agreeing with measurements of bulk sulfate concentration in 2008 snow pit samples at Zhadang glacier col which vary from 0.4 μ mol/L to 11.7 μ mol/L [*Huang et al.*, 2012].

The bulk sulfate concentration in lake and river water varies from 2.1 μ mol/L to 578.1 μ mol/L and 6.2 μ mol/L to 71.4 μ mol/L, respectively (Table 3). The sulfate concentration in all lake and river water samples was higher by a factor of 2–500 and 6–70, respectively, compared to the average sulfate concentration measured in the Zhadang glacier (1.7 μ mol/L). *Wang et al.* [2010] reported an average concentration of sulfate ion of 2170 μ mol/L in Nam Co Lake water, whereas our data show 359 μ mol/L. The difference in concentration might be due to the depth at which water samples were collected. *Wang et al.* [2010] collected samples at depths of 20 m to 68 m at an interval of 5 m whereas in our case, samples were collected at the surface level. The major sources of sulfate ion in the Nam Co Lake and river water are rock weathering, dissolution of evaporate minerals, and anthropogenic pollution [*Wang et al.*, 2010; *Zhang et al.*, 2008].

3.2. Variation in ³⁵S Activity in Atmospheric Aerosol and SO₂

Sulfur-35 activity in aerosol samples vary from 241 ± 6 to 2229 ± 52 atoms per m³ with an annual mean concentration of 662 ± 337 (mean $\pm 1\sigma$) atoms per m³, whereas ³⁵SO₂ activity varies from 45 ± 7 to 189 ± 9 atoms per m³ with annual mean concentration 118 ± 44 (mean $\pm 1\sigma$) atoms per m³ (Table 1 and Figure 2). Sulfate-35 activity is expected to be higher than ${}^{35}SO_2$ because the residence time of ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ in the atmosphere is longer (approximately weeks) [Priyadarshi et al., 2011b] as compared to ³⁵SO₂ as it gets oxidized to ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ within a few days [Xu and Carmichael, 1997]. We compare the variation in background ${}^{35}S$ activity measured at Nam Co with other sampling locations such as University of California, San Diego (UCSD)-Scripps pier, California, and Dome C, Antarctica. UCSD Scripps pier (32.85°N, 117.28°W, 10 m), located at sea level but at a similar latitude as Nam Co, observed lower ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ and ${}^{35}SO_2$ activity (455 ± 157 (1 σ) and $71 \pm 61(1\sigma)$ atoms per m³, respectively) relative to the Nam Co station [*Priyadarshi et al.*, 2012]. This is the result of the lower ³⁵S production rate at sea level and lower probability of stratospheric intrusion events, bringing enriched 35 S air mass to the surface. On the other hand, $^{35}SO_4^{2-}$ activity at Dome C (74.3°S, 123°E, 3280 m) is higher compared to Nam Co, but surprisingly, ³⁵SO₂ activity at Dome C is comparative to Nam Co measurements. The natural background of ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ and ${}^{35}SO_2$ at Dome C, Antarctica during summer is 728 and 189 atoms per m³, respectively [Hill-Falkenthal et al., 2013; Priyadarshi et al., 2011b]. Dome C is situated at a similar altitude as Nam Co; however, it has the highest ³⁵S production rate due to the higher abundance of cosmic rays at the pole.

The monthly average activities of ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ at Nam Co exhibit higher activity during winter–spring (December to May) relative to summer–autumn (July to November) (Table 2). The ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ activity (2229 ± 52 (1 σ) atoms per m³) measured on 1 March 2012 is the highest activity measured so far in a natural atmospheric sample. This result is influenced by an influx of stratospheric air mass enriched in ${}^{35}S$ intruding into the boundary layer. The stratospheric intrusion events occur more frequently during the winter [*Chen et al.*, 2011]. The positioning of Tibetan Plateau (mainly high altitude) on the world map is such that it serves as a gateway for tropospheric-stratospheric air mass exchange [*Fu et al.*, 2006; *Park et al.*, 2009], which enhances the probability of downward stratospheric air masses mixing. During stratospheric-tropospheric exchange, a

Table 1. Sulfur-35 Activity in Aerosol and SO2 Collected at Nam Co Station ^a							
Sample Id	Collection Date	Julian Dates	Sample Volume (in m ³)	Total DPM	[SO ₄] (nmol/m ³)	³⁵ S (atoms per m ³)	
			SO4 ²⁻ Aerosol				
TSP-T1	10/30/2010	10303	1414	0.91	1.0	116±13	
TSP-T2	11/25/2010	10329	4969	8.9	_	324±6	
TSP-T3	12/7/2010	10341	4331	44.7	_	1872±9	
TSP-T4	2/7/2011	11038	5344	26.5	_	899+4	
TSP-T5	2/14/2011	11045	6076	20.5	0.3	611+8	
TSP-T6	2/21/2011	11052	6455	11 5	0.2	323+6	
TSP-T7	2/27/2011	11052	6366	25.9	0.4	525±0 730+7	
TSP-T8	3/20/2011	11050	7790	16.3	0.5	379 + 10	
	3/25/2011	11075	6451	26.7	2.5	379 ± 10 750 + 12	
	3/23/2011	11004	6526	123	0.3	730 ± 12 342 ± 10	
TSP-T10	J/J1/2011 //10/2011	11100	4269	70.3	2.0	$12/6 \pm 16$	
	4/10/2011	11110	4209	29.5	2.0	1240 ± 10 1009 ± 17	
	4/22/2011	11112	4504	27.0	1.1	1090±17	
	4/30/2011	11120	0516	15.4	0.0	572 ± 10	
13P-114	5/00/2011	11120	0000	10.7	2.0	201 ± 9	
15P-115	5/1//2011	11137	9081	24.2	1.0	483±7	
ISP-II6	5/23/2011	11143	6401	20.9	1.9	593±9	
TSP-TT/	6/1/2011	11152	11050	19.5	1.1	319±5	
TSP-118	12/3/2011	11337	6784	17.9	4.5	4/8±10	
TSP-T19	12/18/2011	11352	6699	23.1	4.1	625±9	
TSP-T20	12/24/2011	11358	6700	25.9	1.0	701±9	
TSP-T21	12/30/2011	11364	5481	15.1	1.7	501±9	
TSP-T22	1/12/2012	12012	4300	19.7	6.3	831 ± 10	
TSP-T23	1/15/2012	12015	4195	19.8	5.0	858 ± 30	
TSP-T24	1/23/2012	12023	4152	18.6	6.0	814±29	
TSP-T25	1/29/2012	12029	4059	17.2	2.4	813±28	
TSP-T26	3/08/2012	12068	4147	51.0	3.5	2229 ± 52	
TSP-T27	3/22/2012	12082	4247	27.2	3.1	1164 ± 26	
TSP-T28	4/28/2012	12119	4233	37.8	7.4	1623 ± 41	
TSP-T29	5/4/2012	12125	5584	52.0	6.7	1690 ± 29	
TSP-T30	5/8/2012	12129	5778	27.8	11.2	874 ± 27	
TSP-T31	5/18/2012	12139	7094	52.4	6.1	1339 ± 13	
TSP-T32	5/22/2012	12143	6276	35.4	4.1	1024 ± 14	
TSP-T33	5/26/2012	12147	5740	37.9	4.4	1197 ± 14	
TSP-T34	7/3/2012	12185	7188	9.5	0.8	241±6	
TSP-T35	7/7/2012	12189	5566	11.5	_	376±9	
TSP-T36	7/12/2012	12194	7206	11.5	0.5	290±6	
TSP-T37	7/16/2012	12198	5762	8.1	0.6	256±7	
TSP-T38	7/24/2012	12206	10161	28.1	1.0	502 ± 5	
TSP-T39	7/29/2012	12211	7069	5.1	0.4	145 ± 5	
TSP-T40	8/2/2012	12215	6074	30.8	1.6	920±7	
			SO ₂ Gas				
SO ₂ -T1	11/25/2010	10329	4969	3.29	_	120±8	
SO ₂ -T2	12/7/2010	10341	4331	4.52	_	189±9	
SO ₂ -T3	2/7/2011	11038	5344	4.65	_	158 ± 5	
SO ₂ -T4	3/20/2011	11079	7790	3.90	0.4	91 ± 10	
SO ₂ -T5	3/25/2011	11084	6451	4.24	0.5	119±11	
SO ₂ -T6	3/31/2011	11090	6526	5.88	0.4	163 ± 11	
SO ₂ -T7	4/10/2011	11100	4269	4.03	0.6	171 ± 15	
SO ₂ -T8	4/22/2011	11112	4564	3.78	0.4	150 ± 16	
SO ₂ -T9	4/30/2011	11120	6518	4.27	0.2	119±11	
SO ₂ -T10	5/17/2011	11137	9081	4.56	0.2	91 ± 7	
SO ₂ -T11	5/23/2011	11143	6401	1.61		45+8	
SO ₂ -T12	6/1/2011	11152	11050	4.22	_	69 + 5	
SO ₂ -T13	12/3/2011	1132	6784	1 70		46 + 7	
SO ₂ -T14	12/24/2011	11358	6700	4 75	03	129+6	
SO ₂ -T15	12/30/2011	11364	5481	3 22	03	110 + 7	
502115	12,30,2011	11501	5701	5.55	0.5	110 - 7	

^aA higher ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ activity in winter-spring is due to higher influx of stratospheric air mass into the boundary layer, whereas lower ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ activity in summer is due to a well-mixed boundary layer and the onset of Asian summer monsoon, which brings ${}^{35}S$ -poor air from Bay of Bengal to the Tibetan Plateau. DPM = disintegrations per minute.

Month of the Year ^b	Average SO ₄ ²⁻ Concentration (nmol/m ³) (Mean $\pm 1\sigma$)	Average ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ Concentration (atoms/m ³) (Mean ±1 σ)
January (4)	5.0±1.8	829±21
February (4)	0.3 ± 0.1	643 ± 244
March (5)	1.9 ± 1.5	973±777
April (4)	2.8 ± 3.2	1085 ± 524
May (8)	4.7 ± 3.3	935±472
June (1)	1.1	319
July (6)	0.6 ± 0.2	302±123
August (1)	1.6	920
September (0)	C	C
October (1)	1.0	116
November (1)	C	324
December (5)	C	835 ± 586

Table 2.	Monthly Average Concentration of	Bulk Sulfate and ³⁵ SO ₄ ²⁻	Activity in Aerosol Collected at Nam Co Station ^a
----------	----------------------------------	--	--

^aWinter–spring shows higher concentration of ³⁵SO₄^{2–} whereas summer–autumn has the lowest. Bulk sulfate concentration does not exhibit any seasonality. ^bNumber of samples analyzed during 2010–2012 is given within the parenthesis. ^cNo data available.

Table 3.	Sulfate-35 Measured in	Lake and River Wa	er Collected From	the Southern	Tibetan Plateau
----------	------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	--------------	-----------------

Sample Id	Sample Location (Elevation in m asl)	Collection Date	Sample Volume	[SO ₄ ^{2–}] (µmol/L)	DPM	³⁵ S (atoms per L) ^a 10 ³	
		Lake V	Vater				
TP-04	N 29.58°, E 84.77°, 4630	11/12/2009	3	7.2	0.24	14 ± 5	
TP-05	N 29.69°, E 84.13°, 4570	11/12/2009	3	12.4	0.33	20 ± 6	
TP-06	N 30.65°, E 82.15°, 4790	11/12/2009	3	205.4	0.53	32±6	
TP-07 ^b	N 30.81°, E 81.58°, 4610	11/14/2009	3	2.1	0.16	20 ± 5	
TP-08	N 33.43°, E 79.78°, 4260	11/15/2009	3	287.3	0.22	13±5	
TP-09	N 32.09°, E 81.78°, 4640	11/16/2009	3	229.4	0.72	43±6	
TP-10	N 32.23°, E 82.23°, 4410	11/16/2009	3	63.1	0.17	10±5	
TP-11	N 32.48°, E 82.97°, 4410	11/16/2009	3	179.9	0.33	20 ± 6	
TP-12	N 32.43°, E 83.2°, 4440	11/16/2009	3	369.1	0.46	28 ± 5	
TP-13	N 32.15°, E 84.7°, 4400	11/17/2009	3	222.5	0.46	28±6	
TP-14 ^b	N 31.22°, E 85.08°, 4650	11/17/2009	3	464.9	0.30	22±5	
TP-15	N 31.07°, E 84.98°, 4760	11/17/2009	3	190.6	0.60	36 ± 5	
TP-16	N 30.46°, E 85.2°, 4860	11/18/2009	3	287.5	1.56	94±6	
TP-17	N 29.89°, E 85.75°, 5170	11/18/2009	3	578.1	0.60	36±6	
TP-18	N 29.65°, E 85.72°, 5080	11/18/2009	3	200.9	0.36	22 ± 5	
TP-19	N 29.20°, E 87.4°, 4290	11/18/2009	3	82.5	0.39	23±6	
TP-21	N 31.15°, E 91.22°, 4671	9/15/2010	3	150.2	0.32	19±6	
TP-22	N 30.95°, E 90.97°, 4746	9/15/2010	3	333.4	0.52	32±6	
Nam Co Lake	N 30.52°, E 90.98°, 4730	9/7/2010	3	359.3	0.31	19±6	
Nam Co Lake	N 30.52°, E 90.98°, 4730	12/16/2010	3	_	0.40	24 ± 7	
Nam Co St	N 30.77°, E 90.98°, 4730	9/7/2010	3	394.4	0.34	21±6	
Nam Co St	N 30.77°, E 90.98°, 4730	11/19/2009	3	566.7	0.48	29±6	
Nam Co St	N 30.77°, E 90.98°, 4730	12/16/2010	3		0.28	19±6	
Miramar Lake ^a	N 32.92°, W 117.09°, 10	1/31/2010	4	257.2	0.17	8 ± 4	
Tahoe Lake ^a	N39.08°, W 120.03°, 1897	11/10/2010	3		0.44	26±7	
Zhadang Glacier Col							
Surface snow	N 30.47°, E 90.65°, 5800	9/10/2010	4.38	2.4	2.33	97±5	
Deep snow	N 30.47°, E 90.65°, 5800	9/10/2010	3.42	1.0	0.77	41±6	
Zhadang Glacier Terminal							
Surface snow	N 30.48°, E 90.64°, 5500	9/10/2010	4.45	0.9	1.57	64 ± 5	
Deep snow	N 30.48°, E 90.64°, 5500	9/10/2010	5.4	0.4	0.75	25 ± 4	
River							
Qugaqie River	N 30.57°, E 90.57°, 4800	9/10/2010	3	71.4	0.30	18±6	
Niyaqu River	N 30.83°, E 91.06°, 4750	9/7/2010	3	6.2	0.27	16±6	
Niyaqu River	N 30.83°, E 91.06°, 4750	12/16/2010	3	_	0.25	15±5	

^aSamples are collected from California and Sierra Nevada, United States. ^bHalf water sample was lost from TP07 and one fifth of water sample was lost from TP14. Sulfur-35 activities were corrected accordingly.



Figure 2. Variation in ³⁵S activity in sulfate aerosols collected at Nam Co station during 2010 to 2012. Higher concentration of ³⁵SO₄²⁻ during winter-spring (December to May) is due to stratospheric air mass (³⁵S-rich) influx into the boundary layer. Mixing of ³⁵S-poor air mass originated from Bay of Bengal during Indian summer monsoon decreases the ³⁵SO₄²⁻ activity in summer.

fraction of stratospheric air mass containing higher concentrations of ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ and ${}^{35}SO_2$, mixes directly into the boundary layer directly (deep mixing) or indirectly after a time lag (shallow mixing) and causes an enhancement in ${}^{35}SO_4{}^2$ concentrations in the boundary layer. Since the ³⁵S activity has not been measured directly, a mathematical model based on ³⁵S production rate, atmospheric chemistry, and air mass mixing has been used. The model calculation predicts that the ³⁵S activity in the lower stratosphere is 6316 atoms per m³ and 13,383 atoms per m³ at Scripps pier and Dome C, respectively [Priyadarshi et al., 2011b, 2012], demonstrating the nonuniformity of ³⁵S concentration in the stratosphere. The stratospheric ³⁵S activity at the Tibetan

Plateau is expected to lie within this range. A nearly 5% mixing of stratospheric air mass in the boundary layer explains the increase in ³⁵S concentration observed on 1 Mar 2012.

A relatively lower concentration of ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ observed during summer at Nam Co is mainly due to scavenging of ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ by heavy summer monsoon precipitation. The southern part of the Tibetan Plateau is influenced by the Asian summer monsoons from June to September. In addition to the frequent precipitation (which removes ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ particles considerably from the atmosphere), the Asian monsoon air masses also dilutes the $^{35}\text{SO}_4{}^{2-}$ and $^{35}\text{SO}_2$ concentrations at Nam Co, because the monsoon air mass originates at a lower altitude (sea level at Bay of Bengal) [Liu et al., 2009] containing low ³⁵S concentration. Moreover, ³⁵SO₂ concentrations during summer are expected to be low because of the increased oxidation rate of ${}^{35}SO_2$ to ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ by atmospheric oxidants, including OH/O₃/H₂O₂ [Alexander et al., 2005; Savarino et al., 2000]. Similar to ³⁵S, summer monsoon air masses are also devoid of ozone and are held responsible for the loss of ozone observed in the Tibetan atmosphere during summer when ozone poor air masses from the Bay of Bengal ascends toward the midtroposphere (8-12 km) leading to a decrease in ozone concentration [Tobo et al., 2008; Zhou and Zhang, 2005; Zou, 1996]. Additionally, the strong surface heating during summer leads to a well-mixed boundary layer, up to 8 km asl [Yang et al., 2004] and increases the height of the troposphere up to ~17 km asl [Fu et al., 2006; Tian et al., 2008] leading to lower occurrence of stratospheric intrusion events. Therefore, a frequent precipitation and a decrease in stratospheric intrusion events are mainly responsible for the decrease in ³⁵S activity during summer.

3.3. Sulfate-35 Activity in Zhadang Glacier and Lake/River Water

The average ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ activity in Zhadang glacier snow was measured to be $57 \pm 31 \times 10^3$ (mean $\pm 1\sigma$) atoms per L, with a range of $25 \pm 4 \times 10^3$ to $97 \pm 5 \times 10^3$ atoms per L. Surface snow collected at the Zhadang glacier terminal shows the lowest ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ activity (64×10^3 atoms/L) whereas Zhadang glacier col sample has the highest activity (97×10^3 atoms/L). The ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ activity in the deep snow (coarse grained) was found to be 3–4 times lower than the surface snow and is probably due to the loss of ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ by ion percolation and radioactive decay over time. Sulfate-35 activity in lake water varies from $10 \pm 5 \times 10^3$ to $94 \pm 6 \times 10^3$ atoms per L (Table 3) and was found to be lower by 3–8 times as compared to ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ in Zhadang glacier. No correlation between ${}^{35}S$ activity and bulk sulfate concentrations with the lake size or altitude was observed.

We compared ³⁵S activity measured at the Tibetan lakes with two other lakes in California: Miramar Lake [N 32.92°, W 117.09°, 10 m] and Lake Tahoe [N 39.08°, W 120.03°, 1897 m]. Miramar Lake is a fresh water lake, situated at sea level (low-³⁵S production rate), and exhibits a lower ³⁵SO₄²⁻ concentration, $8 \pm 4 \times 10^3$ atoms per L (Table 3). On the other hand, ³⁵SO₄²⁻ activity in Lake Tahoe, (situated in the California-Sierra Nevada

region, at an altitude of ~2 km) was measured to be $26 \pm 7 \times 10^3$ atoms per L, which is 3 times higher than the activity observed in Miramar Lake. Similar to the Tibetan lakes, Lake Tahoe is a snow fed lake and receives higher ³⁵S flux by atmospheric deposition; thereby, ³⁵SO₄²⁻ activity in Lake Tahoe is similar to the activity measured in Tibetan lakes.

3.4. Age Determination Based on ³⁵S

At Zhadang glacier, snowmelt is strong during summer; thereby, snow stored as ice is negligible which often leads to a negative or deficit in annual mass balance [Zhang et al., 2013]. Nearly all of the snowpack melts during summer and flows into runoff whereas snow sublimation is weak during rest of the year. Since the Tibetan lakes and rivers are mainly derived from snowmelt, ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ activity in lake-river water is expected to be the same as the ${}^{35}SO_4{}^2$ - activity in Zhadang glacier if the source of water is exclusively derived from the Zhadang glacier. However, our measurements show that the average ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ concentration in the Nam Co Lake surface water is approximately 4–7 times lower compared to the Zhadang glacier. The possible reasons are the following: (1) Zhadang glacier may not be the only source of input water to the lake [Zhang et al., 2013]. Probably, the snowmelt of both fresh and old ice (containing less or no ³⁵S activity) of different glacier contributes significantly toward the lake water. (2) Lake Nam Co is a well-mixed lake where deep water containing no ³⁵S from the lake bottom mixes with the surface water and consequently dilutes the surface water ³⁵S activity. Considering the low surface temperature and the size of the Nam Co Lake, the second biggest lake on the Tibetan Plateau (total area 2017 km² and total catchment area of 10,680 km², average depth ~90 m), it is unlikely that the lake is well mixed [Zhou et al., 2013]. Our ³⁵S data indicate the existence of multiple water sources from the Nam Co Lake, which agrees with the estimation from Zhou et al. [2013] that precipitation and meltwater runoff from the glaciers account for 23–28% and 7–22% of the total water input to the lake, respectively.

The ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ activities in the Qugaqie and Niyaqu rivers (the closest rivers to the Zhadang glacier) were nearly the same (~18 × 10³ atoms per L) and found to be 6–9 times lower than the activity measured at the Zhadang glacier. Interestingly, the ${}^{35}S$ activity measured in the Niyaqu River during September and December 2010 shows a similar value, indicating a steady state equilibrium between the input and output fluxes, whereas ${}^{35}S$ activity at Lake Nam Co is 30% lower during September 2010 compared to December 2010. This likely results from either (or both) higher biological uptake rate of ${}^{35}S$ by lake biota during summer (September) or less atmospheric deposition of ${}^{35}S$ by dry or wet processes due to significantly lower ${}^{35}S$ activity in atmosphere (as discussed in section 3.2) caused by several precipitations during Indian summer monsoon.

We note that the bulk sulfate concentrations and ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ activities vary considerably among the Tibetan lakes and rivers. This variation in ${}^{35}S$ activities is due to changes in the relative contribution of different glacier sources, snowmelt of fresh snow versus older ice, difference in the onset and duration of snowmelt, and deep water mixing which consequently result in mixing of water-soluble sulfate containing variable ${}^{35}S$ activity corresponding to different ages. There are several other processes that can decrease the ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ concentration in lake water such as biogeochemical exchange and biological uptake/reduction [*Michel et al.*, 2001]. However, these processes will not fractionate between ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ and bulk sulfate concentrations as radioactive ${}^{35}S$ behaves exactly similar to stable sulfate. In contrast, mineral weathering serves as a source of bulk sulfate devoid of radiogenic ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ due to the short half-life of ${}^{35}S$ and decreases the overall specific activity (defined as number of ${}^{35}S$ atoms per mole of bulk sulfate). Based on our limited data set, it is not possible to understand the biochemical cycle of ${}^{35}S$ in lake/river water and estimate the ${}^{35}S$ loss (dilution) due to biological uptake (mineral weathering) quantitatively. A larger data set with increased sample resolution can potentially yield constraints on biological uptake and weathering processes.

Assuming the Zhadang glacier as the main contributor of snowmelt/runoff water to the Nam Co Lake and no loss of ³⁵S other than radioactive decay loss, we estimate the age of surface lake water.

The ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ activity in the Zhadang glacier was measured during late summer (September) when a fraction of fresh snow has already melted. Summertime ${}^{35}S$ activity in snow is not the same as the ${}^{35}S$ activity in springtime (before the onset of snowmelt), because of the loss of snow as well as in situ radioactive decay. We estimated springtime (May) ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ activity in surface snow to be $214 \pm 62 \times 10^3$ (mean $\pm 1\sigma$) atoms per L by correcting the late summertime (September) ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ activity (Avg = $80 \pm 23 \times 10^3$ atoms per L) for in situ

radioactive decay. Utilizing the average ${}^{35}SO_4{}^{2-}$ activities for the Zhadang glacier and lake water during spring and summer, respectively, the average age of the Nam Co Lake surface water and Qugaqie and Niyaqu rivers are estimated to be 9.7 ± 0.7 months and 10.8 ± 0.4 months, respectively, which is an upper limit of the estimate. This is the age of the Nam Co Lake surface water and is order of magnitude younger than the reservoir age. Based on radioactive isotope (${}^{137}Cs$ and ${}^{210}Pb$) tracer technique, the reservoir age of the sediment core from the Nam Co Lake was estimated to vary from 2476 to 1200 years [*Zhu et al.*, 2008]. Considering other losses of ${}^{35}S$, such as biological uptake, deep water or ground water mixing (with no ${}^{35}S$) will lower the estimated age. The advantage of ${}^{35}S$ is that it determines the age of surface water very accurately compared to other radioactive isotopes (${}^{137}Cs$, ${}^{210}Pb$, and ${}^{14}C$) due to its short half-life (83 days) and thus helps to understand the seasonal pattern of the Tibetan glacier melt.

4. Conclusion and Future Scope of the Present Work

Sulfur-35 activity was measured in atmospheric samples collected from the Tibetan Plateau. Sulfur-35 in sulfate aerosols varies from 116 to 2229 atoms per m³ with higher activities during winter–spring and lower activities during summer–autumn. Frequent stratospheric intrusion events, which bring ³⁵S rich stratospheric air mass into the boundary layer leads to a higher ³⁵S activity during winter. Sulfate-35-poor air mass coming from the Bay of Bengal during the Asian summer monsoon season results in lower ³⁵S activity during summer. The average concentration of ³⁵SO₄²⁻ in the Zhadang glacier surface snow is $80 \pm 23 \times 10^3$ atoms per L and is 3–8 times higher than the ³⁵SO₄²⁻ activities measured in lake and river water. Based on our limited data set and neglecting deep water mixing and the biological sulfur uptake, the average age of surface lake water was determined to be 10 ± 1 months. In the future, an extensive sampling with high temporal resolution measuring ³⁵S and the oxygen isotopic anomaly in sulfate would lead to better constraints on the hydrological cycle and help quantitative understanding of glacier melt at the Tibetan Plateau.

The radiogenic ³⁵S provides an ideal clock to understand the natural sources and sinks of water-soluble sulfate. It is utilized to understand the movement between different sulfur reservoirs and ultimately to estimate the percentages of glacier melt. Careful analysis of ³⁵SO₄²⁻ concentrations in glacier and lake-river water throughout the year, particularly before the onset of snowmelt and during peak discharge time periods will help better constrain the contribution of glacier snowmelt as an input flux. Triple oxygen isotope abundances in sulfate provide additional information regarding ³⁵SO₂ oxidation pathways and the presence of the oxidant (O₃, H₂O₂, and OH) levels in the atmosphere [*Alexander et al.*, 2005; *Savarino et al.*, 2000]. The oxygen anomaly (Δ^{17} O) in sulfate is created by mass independent chemical processes and is observed only in the atmospherically derived sulfate. In the future, a more finessed technique involving both ³⁵S and Δ^{17} O anomaly in sulfate [*Hill-Falkenthal et al.*, 2012; *Lee and Thiemens*, 2001] will be used to understand the sulfate biogeochemical cycle and to determine the unaltered fraction of atmospherically derived sulfate in the lake-river water.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (41225002 and 41121001). the Fundamental Research Funds for Central Universities (2010380003161542), and the Trans-Century Training Program Foundation for the talents offered by the Ministry of Education of China. Mang Lin acknowledges a fellowship from the Oversea Study Program of Guangzhou Elite Project (JY201303). The authors gratefully acknowledge Z. Huang, G. Zhang, M. Hu, and all staff at Nam Co station for field assistance and help in collecting samples.

References

Alexander, B., R. J. Park, D. J. Jacob, Q. B. Li, R. M. Yantosca, J. Savarino, C. C. W. Lee, and M. H. Thiemens (2005), Sulfate formation in sea-salt aerosols: Constraints from oxygen isotopes, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D10307, doi:10.1029/2004JD005659.

Barnett, T. P., J. C. Adam, and D. P. Lettenmaier (2005), Potential impacts of a warming climate on water availability in snow-dominated regions, *Nature*, 438, 303–309.

Brothers, L. A., G. Dominguez, A. Abramian, A. Corbin, B. Bluen, and M. H. Thiemens (2010), Optimized low-level liquid scintillation spectroscopy of ³⁵S for atmospheric and biogeochemical chemistry applications, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, *107*(12), 5311–5316.

Cecil, L. D. W., J. R. Green, S. Vogt, R. L. Michel, and G. Cottrell (1998), Isotopic composition of ice cores and meltwater from Upper Fremont Glacier and Galena Creek rock glacier, Wyoming, *Geogr. Ann., Ser. A*, 80(3-4), 287–292.

Chen, X. L., Y. M. Ma, H. Kelder, Z. Su, and K. Yang (2011), On the behaviour of the tropopause folding events over the Tibetan Plateau, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 5113–5122.

Cong, Z., S. Kang, A. Smirnov, and B. Holben (2009), Aerosol optical properties at Nam Co, a remote site in central Tibetan Plateau, Atmos. Res., 92, 42–48.

Cooper, L. W., C. R. Olsen, D. K. Solomon, I. L. Larsen, R. B. Cook, and J. M. Grebmeier (1991), Stable isotopes of oxygen and natural and fallout radionuclides used for tracing runoff during snowmelt in an Arctic watershed, *Water Resour. Res.*, 27(9), 2171–2179.

Ding, Y., S. Liu, J. Li, and D. Shangguan (2006), The retreat of glaciers in response to recent climate warming in western China, Ann. Glaciol., 43, 97–105.

Ding, Y., Z. Wang, and Y. Sun (2008), Inter-decadal variation of the summer precipitation in east China and its association with decreasing Asian summer monsoon. Part I: Observed evidences, Int. J. Climatol., 28, 1139–1161.

Duan, A., and G. Wu (2006), Change of cloud amount and the climate warming on the Tibetan Plateau, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L22704, doi:10.1029/2006GL027946.

Forrest, J., and L. Newman (1973), Sampling and analysis of atmospheric sulfur compounds for isotope ratio studies, Atmos. Environ., 7, 561–573.

Fu, R., Y. Hu, J. S. Wright, J. H. Jiang, R. E. Dickinson, M. Chen, M. Filipiak, W. G. Read, J. W. Waters, and D. L. Wu (2006), Short circuit of water vapor and polluted air to the global stratosphere by convective transport over the Tibetan Plateau, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 103(15), 5664–5669.

Hill-Falkenthal, J., A. Priyadarshi, and M. Thiemens (2012), Differentiating sulfate aerosol oxidation pathways for varying source altitudes using 35 S and Δ^{17} O tracers, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D18302, doi:10.1029/2012JD018242.

- Hill-Falkenthal, J., A. Priyadarshi, J. Savarino, and M. Thiemens (2013), Seasonal variations in ³⁵S and △¹⁷O of sulfate aerosols on the Antarctic plateau, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 9444–9455, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50716.
- Huang, J., S. Kang, Q. Zhang, H. Yan, J. Guo, M. G. Jenkins, G. Zhang, and K. Wang (2012), Wet deposition of mercury at a remote site in the Tibetan Plateau: Concentrations, speciation, and fluxes, *Atmos. Environ.*, 62, 540–550.

Immerzeel, W. W., L. P. H. van Beek, and M. F. P. Bierkens (2010), Climate change will affect the Asian water towers, *Science*, 328(5984), 1382–1385.

Kang, S., Y. Xu, Q. You, W. A. Flugel, N. Pepin, and T. Yao (2010), Review of climate and cryospheric change in the Tibetan Plateau, *Environ. Res.* Lett., 5, Article 015101.

Kang, S., Y. Yang, L. Zhu, and Y. May (2011), Modern Environmental Processes and Changes in the Nam Co Basin, Tibetan Plateau, Beijing Meteorological Press, China.

Kester, C. L., J. S. Baron, and J. T. Turk (2003), Isotopic study of sulfate sources and residence times in a subalpine watershed, *Environ. Geol.*, 43, 606–613.

Lal, D., and B. Peters (1967), Cosmic ray produced radioactivity in the Earth, Handb. Phys., 46, 551-612.

Lau, K. M., M. K. Kim, and K. M. Kim (2006), Asian summer monsoon anomalies induced by aerosol direct forcing: The role of the Tibetan Plateau, *Clim. Dyn.*, 26, 855–864.

Lau, K. M., M. K. Kim, K. M. Kim, and W. S. Lee (2010), Enhanced surface warming and accelerated snow melt in the Himalayas and Tibetan Plateau induced by absorbing aerosols, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, *5*, Article 025204.

Lee, C. C.-W., and M. H. Thiemens (2001), The δ17O and δ18O measurements of atmospheric sulfate from a coastal and high alpine region: A mass-independent isotopic anomaly, J. Geophys. Res., 106(D15), 17,359–17,373.

Li, C., S. Kang, Q. Zhan, and S. Kaspari (2007), Major ionic composition of precipitation in the Nam Co region. Central Tibetan Plateau, Atmos. Res., 85(3-4), 351–360.

Li, X., G. Cheng, H. Jin, E. Kang, T. Che, R. Jin, L. Wu, Z. Nan, J. Wang, and Y. Shen (2008), Cryospheric change in China, Global Planet. Change, 62(3-4), 210–218.

Liu, X., and B. Chen (2000), Climatic warming in the Tibetan Plateau during recent decades, Int. J. Climatol., 20, 1729–1742.

Liu, Y., Y. Wang, X. Liu, Z. Cai, and K. Chance (2009), Tibetan middle tropospheric ozone minimum in June discovered from GOME observations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, L05814, doi:10.1029/2008GL037056.

Michel, R. L., J. T. Turk, D. H. Campbell, and M. A. Mast (2001), Use of natural ³⁵S to trace sulfate cycling in small lakes, flattops wilderness area, Colorado, USA, *Water Air Soil Pollut. Focus*, *2*, 5–18.

Niu, T., L. Chen, and Z. Zhou (2004), The characteristics of climate change over the Tibetan Plateau in the last 40 years and the detection of climatic jumps, *Adv. Atmos. Sci.*, 21(2), 193–203.

Park, M., W. J. Randel, L. K. Emmons, and N. J. Livesey (2009), Transport pathways of carbon monoxide in the Asian summer monsoon diagnosed from Model of Ozone and Related Tracers (MOZART). J. Geophys. Res., 114, D08303, doi:10.1029/2008JD010621.

Plummer, L. N., E. Busenberg, J. K. Bohlke, D. L. Nelms, R. L. Michel, and P. Schlosser (2001), Groundwater residence times in Shenandoah National Park, Blue Ridge Mountains, Virginia, USA: A multi-tracer approach, *Chem. Geol.*, 179, 93–111.

Priyadarshi, A., G. Dominguez, and M. H. Thiemens (2011a), Evidence of neutron leakage at the Fukushima nuclear plant from measurements of radioactive ³⁵S in California, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 108(35), 14,422–14,425.

Priyadarshi, A., G. Dominguez, J. Savarino, and M. Thiemens (2011b), Cosmogenic ³⁵S: A unique tracer to Antarctic atmospheric chemistry and the polar vortex, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, L13808, doi:10.1029/2011GL047469.

Priyadarshi, A., J. Hill-Falkenthal, E. Coupal, G. Dominguez, and M. Thiemens (2012), Measurements of 35S in the marine boundary layer at La Jolla, California: A new technique for tracing air mass mixing during Santa Ana events, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D08301, doi:10.1029/2011JD016878.

Qian, Y., M. G. Flanner, L. R. Leung, and W. Wang (2011), Sensitivity studies on the impacts of Tibetan Plateau snowpack pollution on the Asian hydrological cycle and monsoon climate, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *11*, 1929–1948.

Ramanathan, V., V. M. Ramana, G. Roberts, D. Kim, C. Corrigan, C. Chung, and D. Winiarek (2007), Warming trends in Asia amplified by brown cloud solar absorption, *Nature*, 448, 575–578.

Savarino, J., C. C. W. Lee, and M. H. Thiemens (2000), Laboratory oxygen isotopic study of sulfur (IV) oxidation: Origin of the mass-independent oxygen isotopic anomaly in atmospheric sulfates and sulfate mineral deposits on Earth, J. Geophys. Res., 105(D23), 29,079–29,088.

Sueker, J. K., J. T. Turk, and R. L. Michel (1999), Use of Cosmogenic 35S for comparing ages of water from three alpine-subalpine basins in the Colorado Front Range, *Geomorphology*, 27, 61–74.

Tanaka, N., and K. Turekian (1991), Use of cosmogenic ³⁵S to determine the rates of removal of atmospheric SO₂, *Nature*, *352*(6332), 226–228. Tanaka, N., and K. Turekian (1995), Determination of the dry deposition flux of SO₂ using cosmogenic ³⁵S and ⁷Be measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *100*(D2), 2841–2848.

Tian, W., M. Chipperfield, and Q. Huang (2008), Effects of the Tibetan Plateau on total column ozone distribution, *Tellus, Ser. B, 60*(4), 622–635.
Tobo, Y., Y. Iwasaka, D. Zhang, G. Shi, Y.-S. Kim, K. Tamura, and T. Ohashi (2008), Summertime "ozone valley" over the Tibetan Plateau derived from ozonesondes and EP/TOMS data, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L16801, doi:10.1029/2008GL034341.

Turekian, K., and N. Tanaka (1992), The use of atmospheric cosmogenic ³⁵S and ⁷Be in determining depositional fluxes of SO₂, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *19*(17), 1767–1770.

Wang, B., Q. Bao, B. Hoskins, G. Wu, and Y. Liu (2008), Tibetan Plateau warming and precipitation changes in East Asia, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L14702, doi:10.1029/2008GL034330.

Wang, J., L. Zhu, Y. Wang, J. Ju, M. Xie, and G. Daut (2010), Comparisons between the chemical compositions of lake water, inflowing river water, and lake sediment in Nam Co, central Tibetan Plateau, China and their controlling mechanisms, J. Great Lakes Res., 36, 587–595.

Xiao, C., et al. (2007), Observe changes of cryosphere in China over the second half of the 20th century: An overview, Ann. Glaciol., 46(1), 382–390.

Xu, B. Q., M. Wang, D. R. Joswiak, J. J. Cao, T. D. Yao, G. J. Wu, W. Yang, and H. B. Zhao (2009), Deposition of anthropogenic aerosols in a southeastern Tibetan glacier, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D17209, doi:10.1029/2008JD011510.

Xu, B. Q., J. Cao, D. R. Joswiak, X. Liu, H. Zhao, and J. He (2012), Post-depositional enrichment of black soot in snow-pack and accelerated melting of Tibetan glaciers, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 7, Article 014022.

Xu, Y., and G. R. Carmichael (1997), Modeling the dry deposition velocity of sulfur dioxide and sulfate in Asia, J. Appl. Meteorol., 37, 1084–1099.
 Yang, K., T. Koike, H. Fujii, and T. Tamura (2004), The daytime evolution of the atmospheric boundary layer and convection over the Tibetan Plateau: Observations and simulations, J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn., 82(6), 1777–1792.

Zhang, G., T. Yao, H. Xie, S. Kang, and Y. Lei (2013), Increased mass over the Tibetan Plateau: From lakes or glaciers?, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40, 2125–2130, doi:10.1002/grl.50462.

Zhang, M., S. Wang, Z. Li, and F. Wang (2012), Glacier area shrinkage in China and its climatic background during the past half century, J. Geogr. Sci., 22(1), 15–28.

Zhang, Q., S. Kang, F. Wang, C. Li, and Y. Xu (2008), Major ion geochemistry of nam Co basin and its sources Tibetan Plateau, Aquat. Geochem., 14, 321–336.

Zhou, S., and R. Zhang (2005), Decadal variations of temperature and geopotential height over the Tibetan Plateau and their relations with Tibet ozone depletion, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L18705, doi:10.1029/2005GL023496.

Zhou, S., S. Kang, F. Chen, and D. R. Joswiak (2013), Water balance observations reveal significant subsurface water seepage from Lake Nam Co, south-central Tibetan Plateau, J. Hydrol., 491, 89–99.

Zhu, L., Y. Wu, J. Wang, X. Lin, J. Ju, M. Xie, M. Li, R. Mausbacher, A. Schwalb, and G. Daut (2008), Environmental changes since 8.4 ka reflected in the lacustrine core sediments from Nam Co, central Tibetan Plateau, China, *Holocene*, *18*(5), 831–839.

Zou, H. (1996), Seasonal variation and trends of Toms ozone over Tibet, Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(9), 1029–1032.