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Copper-catalysed (10 mol-\% $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}, \mathrm{CuCN}-\mathrm{LiCl}$, or $\mathrm{CuI} / \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ) addition of RMgBr to the pentafulvene 1-(cyclopenta-2,4-dien-1-ylidenemethyl)-2-methoxy-benzene allows the formation of cyclopentadienyl derivatives with $\alpha-\mathrm{CHR}(2-\mathrm{MeOPh})$ sidechains $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}, n \mathrm{Bu}, i \mathrm{Bu}$, allyl, Ph$)$ without $\mathrm{H}^{-}$transfer.

Deprotonation of these sec-alkyl substituted cyclopentadienyls followed by $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ addition allow the isolation of $\mathrm{TiCl}_{2}(\eta-$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHR}(2-\mathrm{OMePh})\right)$ as rac:meso mixtures that show $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50} 2.3\right.$ $-42.4 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ activity against human colon, breast and pancreatic cell lines.
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## Introduction

Since the initial disclosure of its moderate cytoxicity to Ehrlich ascites tumour cells, ${ }^{[1]}$ the complex $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Cp}=\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)(\mathbf{1})$ and its derivatives have attracted considerable attention, in part, due to their potential against tumour types resistant to existing treatments (especially cisplatin). As the biological activity of $\mathbf{1}$ has proved too low for clinical use, ${ }^{[2]}$ numerous studies have targeted the structural modifications summarised in Scheme 1 in a quest for compounds with greater potency (lower $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ or $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values). ${ }^{[3]}$ These modifications, reported by Tacke and others, have resulted in the identification of the compounds 2-4 and 5 (so called 'Titanocene- $Y$ ') as the current 'optimal' structures - delivering $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in the range $5.5-28 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ against an identical pig kidney tumour LLC-PK cell line (thus allowing direct comparison). The secondary centres in 2-3 and the proximal oxygen of $\mathbf{4}$ suggested to us that species containing $\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHR}(2-\mathrm{MeOPh})\right)$ units might be good candidates for therapeutic screening. However, these are notably absent in the current titanocene dichloride compound library. A closer look at the literature reveals that additions of RLi or RMgX to 6 -substituted pentafulvenes (the normal optimal route) suffer badly from competing hydride transfer (unless $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ or Ar). ${ }^{[3]}$ This synthetic deficiency has left the - CHAlkylAr motif rather underrepresented in substituted cyclopentadienyl chemistry, where aside from any biological use, wide ranges of additional applications in organic synthesis and catalysis have also been identified. ${ }^{[4]}$

Based on our experiences, ${ }^{[5]}$ we speculated that hydride transfer would be avoided if a 'Michael-like' organocopper addition giving A was employed (Scheme 2). Cyclopentadienyl groups are widely regarded as 'non transferable' from transition metals, but $\mathrm{CpCu}^{\mathrm{I}}$ complexes are some of the most labile known. ${ }^{[6]}$ We reasoned that with a suitable nucleophilic terminal organometallic it should prove possible to close the catalytic cycle of Scheme 2.

(3) $\mathrm{NMe}_{2} \mathrm{NMe} \quad \mathrm{IC}_{50} 5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
(4) $\mathrm{NMe}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{IC}_{50} 28 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Scheme 1. Lead and titanocene structural optimisation. Biological activities against identical pig kidney cancer LLC-PK cells; for which cisplatin gives an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $3.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. In this paper $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values are used - the concentration which inhibits cell proliferation by $50 \%$.


Scheme 2. Proposed use of fulvenes substrates in copper-catalysed addition of terminal organometallics.

Thus, our targets became: (i) defining the new catalysis of Scheme 2; and (ii) carrying out screening for the derived titanocenes in human carcinoma cell lines.

## Results and Discussion

## Synthesis

1-(Cyclopenta-2,4-dien-1-ylidenemethyl)2-methoxybenzene (6) was selected for our trials and prepared by literature procedures from cyclopentadiene and 2-MeO $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CHO} \cdot{ }^{[7]}$ Initial addition of 6 to a 5 -fold excess of a stoichiometric cuprate prepared from $\mathrm{CuI} / \mathrm{LiBr} / \mathrm{EtMgBr}$ in THF at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ showed the ethyl addition product 7 a in modest yield ( $43 \%$ ) after 16 h at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; but with no detectable hydride transfer by-products. In particular, two overlapping multiplets at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ 1.81-2.08 confirmed the presence of diastereotopic methylene groups from the ethyl addition. Additionally, two broadened signals at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.85$ and 3.00 in a 0.8 $\mathrm{H}: 1.2 \mathrm{H}$ ratio indicated the presence of two [1,5] hydrogen shift tautomers where the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ is either $\alpha$ or $\beta$ to the ipsocyclopentadienyl carbon. Through 2D (COSY, HMQC and HMBC) near full assignment of the two sets of tautomeric signals could be made, however, they could not be independently distinguished. Next attention was focussed on attaining a viable catalytic system. Base reaction conditions of $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 16 h with 2.5 equivalents of RMgBr were selected and the other reaction components varied (Table 1).

Table 1. Development of catalytic procedure for RMgBr additions to fulvene (6). ${ }^{[a]}$


| Run | $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}$ (mol-\%) | Additive (mol-\%) | R | Solvent | Yield/\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CuCN <br> (10) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{LiCl} \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ | Et <br> (7a) | THF | 88 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ <br> (10) | - | Et <br> (7a) | THF | 85 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ <br> (10) | - | $n \mathrm{Bu}^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ <br> (7b) | THF | 87 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ <br> (10) | - | $i \mathrm{Bu}$ <br> (7c) | THF | 92 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ <br> (10) | - | allyl <br> (7d) | THF | 91 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ <br> (10) | - | Me <br> (7e) | THF | $27^{\text {[c] }}$ |


| 7 | $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ | - | Ph | THF | $24^{[\mathrm{cc}]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(10)$ |  | $(7 f)$ |  |  |
| 8 | CuI | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ | Me | $t \mathrm{BuOMe}$ | $91^{[\mathrm{d]}}$ |
|  | $(8)$ | $(10)$ | $(\mathbf{7 e})$ |  |  |
| 9 | CuI | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ | Ph | $t \mathrm{BuOMe}$ | 66 |
|  | $(8)$ | $(10)$ | $(7 \mathbf{f})$ |  |  |

${ }^{[a]}$ Carried out from 6 on a $1-10 \mathrm{mmol}$ scale, isolated yields except were noted. ${ }^{[b]} n \mathrm{BuMgCl}$ used. ${ }^{[\mathrm{c]}]}$ Conversion of 6. ${ }^{[d]}$ Isolated yield from $77 \%$ conversion ( 10 mmol scale).

As copper(I) cynanide is known to result in highly reactive cuprates ${ }^{[8]}$ it was selected for an initial trial and provided a high yield of $7 \mathbf{a}$ at $10 \mathrm{~mol}-\%$ (run 1). Either no reactions at all with EtMgBr (or slow and unclean transformations under other promotions) were observed in the absence of $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}$ under the conditions tried. Due to practical considerations (cyanide waste and the need to dry LiCl ) we sought an alternative to CuCN and were delighted to find that, for a range of Grignards (runs 2-5), essentially quantitative conversion of 6 ( $>95 \%$ ) could be attained and high yields of the cyclopentadiene products 7 isolated using simple commercial $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$. These runs could be conducted on at least 2 g scale without diminuation of yields. One limitation of the $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ catalyst system was that it would not provide the methyl and phenyl derivatives (7e-f) in good yield - only partial conversions were attained (runs 6-7). These deficiencies could be overcome through the use of $t \mathrm{BuOMe}$ (MTBE) and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ (runs 8-9). Presumably the lower coordination ability of MTBE leads to a more Lewis acidic cuprate that can overcome slower transmetallation rates from these Grignard reagents from ' $\mathrm{CpCu}^{\mathrm{I}}$, (A) Scheme 2. Some support is given to this idea by the observation that $\mathrm{MeMgBr}\left(\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{C} 60 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}\right)^{[9]}$ is the slowest reacting system and the only one that does not give complete conversion (ca. $80 \pm 5 \%$ depending on the reaction scale). We were interested to probe if the crucial phosphine in these systems is able to deliver ligand accelerated catalysis - and thus, potentially an asymmetric synthesis. Screening of a small library of chiral phosphines provided no evidence of any induced stereoselectivity in the addition of EtMgBr to $\mathbf{6}$ under any of the conditions we tried. We conclude that while the added $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in runs 8-9 plays a cuprate stabilising role it is not critically involved in the addition transition state. All of the isolated cyclopentadiene products (7) are colourless oils and show the expected spectroscopic properties. All are isolated as close to a $1: 1$ mixture of $\alpha: \beta[1,5]$ hydrogen shift tautomers.

With an efficient route to 7 in hand attention was focused on the preparation of the derived titanocenes. Rapid quantatitive deprotonation of 7a by $n \mathrm{BuLi}$ ( 1.1 equiv.) in THF, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or MTBE at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was confirmed by $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ quench leading to $\mathrm{d}_{1}-7 \mathrm{a}$ as an $\alpha / \beta$ isomer mix (Scheme 2). Direct use of these reaction mixtures with either $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}(\mathrm{THF})_{2}$ led only to intractable mixtures. As has been found before ${ }^{[3]}$ filtration and drying of the intermediate organolithium species $\mathbf{8}$ is required in order to attain chemoselective preparation of the titanocene dichlorides (9). Presently the nature of the impurity(ies) in the crude deprotonation mixture that causes these issues is unknown. The lower yield of $\mathbf{8 b}$ is caused by its higher solubility in hydrocarbons containing trace $t$-BuOMe. Recrystallisation of the crude titanocene reaction mixtures containing 9 allowed the isolation of analytically pure red-orange/brown powders containing a $1: 1$ mixture of rac:meso diastereomers for 9a-e from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-pentane. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the rac and meso- 9 a is representative of the class. A triplet at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.76$ is due to the methyl group of one diastereomer and shows a typical ${ }^{3} J_{H H}$ of 7.5 Hz . This signal is overlapped by the equivalent methyl of the other diastereomer at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.77$. A
broad signal at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.96$ and an associated multiplet 2.08-2.23 (integrating to 4 H ) are assigned to the overlapping diastereomeric signals of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups while the methoxy and $\alpha-\mathrm{CH}$ groups of the two stereoisomers are coincident at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.78$ and 4.42 respectively. The broadness of the latter indicates restricted rotation within the molecule - most likely about the Cp-CHEtAr bond. The chemical shift region between 5.97 to 6.73 ppm contains two sets of diastereotopic cyclopentadienyl methine protons. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY spectrum of the aromatic region (see Supporting Data) allows assignment of two sets of four mangnetically inequivalent protons $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.97,6.06,6.46,6.73\right.$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.01,6.17$, 6.40 and 6.71 ) for the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{R}$ units of the two diastereomers. The phenylene signals are badly overlapped with only slight separation on the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{Ar}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.22\right.$ and 7.23$)$ and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{Ar}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.90\right.$ and 6.91) signals of the diastereomers. The rac/meso signals of $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ Ar at 6.91 ppm and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{Ar}$ at 7.04 ppm are essentially coincident with the latter signal being appreciably broadened at ambient temperature.
(7a-f)

Scheme 3. Preparation of titanocene dichlorides (9).

In the case of $\mathbf{9 f}$ only small initial crops of crystalline rac-9f were isolated from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$-hexane, and the meso form could not be isolated in a pure form from the mother liquors (which provided only sticky intractable rac/meso mixtures). The relative rac stereochemistry of $\mathbf{9 f}$ could be confirmed by X-ray crystallography (Figure 1). The Ti- Cl and $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{\text {ave }}\right)$ distances in $\mathrm{rac}-(\mathbf{9 f})$ at 2.335 and $2.392 \AA$ respectively compare well with typical titanocene dichloride structures in the Cambridge Crystallographic Database bearing a $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{CHAr}$ unit. ${ }^{[10]}$ These mono substituted titanocene dichlorides show $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{\text {ave }}\right)$ ranges of 2.31-2.37 and 2.39-2.45 A respectively. Often the Ti-C distance associated with the point of substitution in such complexes is appreciably lengthened (2.40-2.49 $\AA$ ) and this is the case in $\operatorname{rac}-(\mathbf{9 f})$ which shows $2.442 \AA$ for $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(1)$. The exact mode of anti cancer therapeutic action of such complexes is not completely understood - but labilisation of both the $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cp}$ ligands has been postulated. ${ }^{[2]}$ It is unknown if steric factors are involved in such processes, if they occur, but clearly such effects are present in $\mathbf{9 f}$. The origin of the restricted rotation in the complexes $\mathbf{9}$ is also clear
from the structure of $\operatorname{rac-}(\mathbf{9 f})$ were the $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7) 3.28 \AA$ and $\mathrm{C}(5)-$ $\mathrm{C}(15) 3.00 \AA$ distances are close to the expected $\mathrm{C} \ldots \mathrm{C}$ van der Waals contact ranges.


Figure 1. Molecular structure of rac-9f.

## Growth inhibitory studies

The antiproliferative activities of the RCHAr -substituted titanocenes (9) in comparison to the simple benzyl-substituted titanocene analogue $(\mathbf{1 0})^{[11]}$, titanocene dichloride (1) (Scheme 4) and cisplatin, cis- $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}$, were evaluated in vitro against HCT-116 (colo-rectal), MiaPaCa-2 (pancreatic), and MDA-468 (triple negative breast) carcinoma cell lines, representing intractable cancers from three different organ sites. Breast cancer is the most common cancer among women ( 1.38 million new cases worldwide in 2008), and the second most commonly diagnosed cancer overall $-23 \%$ of all cancers dignosed in 2008 were breast cancer. ${ }^{[12 a]}$ Triple negative (basal-like) breast cancer i.e. those which do not express oestrogen receptor, progesterone receptor or human epidermal growth factor 2 , tends to affect younger women, is aggressive, more resistant to therapy and associated with poor prognoses. ${ }^{[12 b]}$ Colo-rectal carcinoma is the third most common cancer and caused $>600000$ deaths globally in 2008. ${ }^{[12 a]}$ Pancreatic carcinoma is particularly resistant to chemotherapy, often diagnosed with metastatic disease, and an appalling 5 year survival rate ( $<5 \%$ ). Thus, development of new therapies for such malignant diseases represents a currently severely unmet need.


Scheme 4. Species compared in growth inhibitory studies against cisplatin, cis $-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{NH})_{3}$.

Compound concentrations which inhibit cell growth by $50 \%$ $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}\right.$ values) after 72 h exposure of cells to agents $\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1}$, were obtained by standard MTT [3-(4, 5-dimethyl-thiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] assay and are represented in Table 2. Compound stocks ( 10 mM ) were prepared in DMSO and diluted in nutrient medium immediately prior to use. All of the complexes 9 were assayed as $1: 1$ rac/meso mixtures of stereoisomers except for $\mathbf{9 f}$ which was a single rac diastereomer.

As can be deduced from Table 2, all chiral-substituted titanocenes (9) are active against all the studied cancer cell lines in the examined concentration range ( $0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}-100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Doseresponse relationships of (9a) and (9e) in HCT-116, MiaPaCa-2 and MDA-468 carcinoma cell lines are highlighted in Figure 3.

Table 2. Growth inhibitory assays. $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values are represented as mean $\pm$ SEM of three independent experiments ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ per experiment).

|  | Mean GI $_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | HCT-116 | MiaPaCa-2 | MDA-468 |
| (9a) | $7.7 \pm 1.4$ | $5.8 \pm 2.3$ | $2.3 \pm 0.8$ |
| (9b) | $42.4 \pm 4.8$ | $34.7 \pm 3.1$ | $14.6 \pm 4.2$ |
| (9c) | $24.6 \pm 3.5$ | $22.4 \pm 3.9$ | $32.3 \pm 1.7$ |
| (9d) | $28.6 \pm 2.9$ | $24.8 \pm 1.0$ | $26.7 \pm 0.5$ |
| (9e) | $5.7 \pm 2.8$ | $6.6 \pm 1.0$ | $7.7 \pm 2.6$ |
| rac-(9f) | $25.0 \pm 0.5$ | $11.4 \pm 2.6$ | $27.5 \pm 0.5$ |
| (10) | $73.4 \pm 3.9$ | $22.7 \pm 1.5$ | $76.2 \pm 2.6$ |
| (1) | $>100$ | $>100$ | $>100$ |
| cisplatin | $6.9 \pm 0.1$ | $6.8 \pm 2.4$ | $0.6 \pm 0.1$ |

Duplicate DMSO (vehicle) controls were carried out on all three cell lines representative of DMSO content over the whole range of concentrations; growth of cells was not significantly inhibited (DMSO $\leq 1 \%$ ). On direct comparison of $\mathbf{9}$ with $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$, it can be deduced that all chiral complexes $\mathbf{9}$ are much more active than their titanocene reference counterparts. Of particular note are $\mathbf{9 a}$, and $\mathbf{9 e}$ with $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values $<10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in all 3 cell lines - making them some of the most active titanocenes reported in this area, and directly comparable to cisplatin (particularly against HCT-116 and MiaPaCa-2 cells). This can be attributed to the presence of their CHRAr substituents, which might lead to a significant increase in inhibitory activity - as has been seen before. ${ }^{[3]}$. MDA 468 demonstrates greater sensitivity towards $\mathbf{9 a}, \mathbf{9 b}$ and cisplatin. MiaPaCa-2 is more (reltively) sensitive to the growth inhibitory properties of $\mathbf{r a c}-\mathbf{9 f}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$. Titanocenes $9 \mathbf{d}$ and 9 e demonstrate approximately equiactivity in all 3 cell lines. In an in vitro cytotoxicity study carried out on benzyl-substituted titanocenes such as 5 against 36 human tumour cell lines from 14 different organ sites it was found that the cytotoxicity of $\mathbf{5}$ relative to cisplatin was comparatively much lower in colon carcinoma cell lines whilst comparable in pancreas and breast carcinoma cell lines. ${ }^{[13]}$

Of particular note is the chain length effect on the cytoxicity of family (9), it can be seen in Table 2 and Figure 3 that the longer the
chain length, the lower the cytoxicity values obtained. As the chain length increases the cytotoxicity diminishes by a faction of $c a .6$ from a $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ side chain to a $n$-butyl chain. Best results were obtained for titanocenes with ethyl side chain $(\mathbf{9 a})$ showing $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values of $7.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathrm{HCT}-116,5.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for MiaPaCa-2 and $2.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for MDA-468 or methyl side chain (9e) with $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values of $5.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for HCT-116, $6.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for MiaPaCa-2 and $7.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for MDA-468 These two titanocenes show almost identical activity with uniform growth inhibitory responses in all three cancer cell lines that are similar to standard cisplatin (which shows $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values of $6.9 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for HCT-116, $6.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for MiaPaCa-2 and $0.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for MDA-468). Compounds 9a and 9e are are equiactive to cisplatin based on an unpaired t-test ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) ${ }^{[14]}$ except for $\mathbf{9 e}$ vs. cisplatin in MDA468 (where cisplatin is significantly more active).

The growth inhibitory range shown by the $n$ - and $i$-butyl derivatives ( $\mathbf{9 c - d}$ ) across HCT-116 and MDA-468 cell lines deserves comment. Complex 9 c is statistially (unpaired t -test $-\mathrm{P}<$ 0.01 ) less active towards HCT-116 than MDA-468, while for 9d, the trend is reversed. It has been proposed ${ }^{[2]}$ that the cyclopentadienyl ligands are removed in the cytotoxic events acssociated with $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}$ theraputics; limiting the role of the cyclopentadienyl ligand to modulating drug uptake into the cell. The activity profile of $\mathbf{9 c - d}$ is therefore somewhat unexpected in the light the rather close structural similarity of these complexes. This might hint at significant molecular recognition at some point in the mode of action rather than simple pharmokinetic affects. Finally, we note also significantly increased growth inhibition evoked by the class 9, compared to titanocene-Y 5, in colon carcinoma cell lines. ${ }^{[13]}$

## Conclusion

Through use of copper-catalysis the additions or alkyl Grignard reagents to pentafulvene acceptors becomes a practical process without competing hydride transfer. The resultant cyclopentadienyl ligands are readily complexed to $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ and the resulting substituted $\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHRAr}\right)_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}(\mathrm{R}=$ alkyl $)(9)$ are some of the most cytotoxic agents that have been found in this area. As the cyclopentadienes $\mathbf{7}$ are prepared in racemic form the complexes $\mathbf{9}$ are attained as rac/meso mixtures of diastereomers. In principle, organometallic reagents in the presence of chiral additives (e.g. (-)sparteine) offer the possibility to access enantioenriched samples of 7 and hence 9 . This approach is now being actively targeted in our laboratory as screening individual stereoisomeric forms of $\mathbf{9}$ is likely to be a useful tool in identifying the biological mode of action of these titanocene dichlorides.


Figure 3. MTT assay profiles for (9a) and (9e) on MIAPACA-2, HCT-116 and MDA-468 growth.

## Experimental Section

All reactions involving air sensitive reagents/intermediates were performed under an atmosphere of argon using standard Schlenk techniques. Reaction solvents were distilled from appropriate drying agents under argon. THF, ether and methyl tert-butyl ether were dried and distilled over sodium/benzophenone. Grignard reagents were purchased from Acros and Sigma-Aldrich. All organolithium and Grignard reagents were titrated using Gilman Double titration procedure before use. Saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ solution pH 8 was prepared by mixing 8 mL of $35 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in 500 mL saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{\text {(aq) }}$. All other solvents and reagents were used as received from commercial suppliers. Column chromatography was performed using Davisil silicagel 60 and TLC analysis carried out on Merck silicagel 60 $\mathrm{F}_{254 \mathrm{~nm}}$. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ were recorded on either Joel EX270 or Bruker AV400, DPX400, AV(III)400 or AV500 spectrometers, using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as the deuterated solvent. Chemical shift values are reported in ppm using solvent resonances as internal standards $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ : $\delta 7.27$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, \delta 77.0$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ). Coupling constants ( $J$ ) are quoted in Hertz. Carbon NMR multiplicities and connectivities were assigned using DEPT and HMQC experiments. Infrared spectra were recorded on either Nicolet Avatar 320 FTIR using Nicolet Avatar 360 FT-IR reflecting probe (ATR diffuse reflectance) or Perkin Elmer 1600 FTIR (thin films). High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a Bruker ApexIV FTICRMS using electron-impact ionisation (EI) or Bruker MicroTOF LC-MS using electrospray ionisation (ESI). Mass spectra (MS) were recorded on MALDI-TOFMS using Bruker Ultraflex. Elemental analyses were performed using an Exeter Analytical CE-440 instrument. Melting points were determined using a Stuart Scientific SMP3 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. X-ray data for: CCDC 931593 (rac-9f) are available from the Cambridge Crystallographic database (http://webcsd.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/) by quoting the appropriate CCDC number above. Compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ was prepared by a literature route. ${ }^{[11]}$

## Synthesis of 6-substituted fulvene

1-(cyclopenta-2,4-dien-1-ylidenemethyl)-2-methoxybenzene (6):
Prepared as described in the literature, ${ }^{[7]}$ with minor modifications. 2Methoxybenzaldehyde ( $16.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.12 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and excess freshly fractionated cyclopentadiene ( $38-41^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 25.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.30 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were dissolved in methanol $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give a colourless solution which on dropwise addition of pyrrolidine ( $15.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.18 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), the solution changed colour from colourless through yellow through dark red. The reaction was left to stir at room temperature whilst being monitored by TLC (pentane: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 4: 1$ ). After 60 min , acetic acid ( $18.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.32 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added. The mixture was diluted with ether ( 300 mL ) and deionized water ( 50.0 mL ). After extraction from the aqueous layer ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ diethyl ether), the combined organic portions were washed twice with brine $(2 \times 80 \mathrm{~mL})$ and once with deionized water ( 50 mL ) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The volatiles were evaporated in vacuo. (CARE! 6 is highly malodorous). The crude product ( $21.1 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ) obtained as a red oil, was used immediately to avoid Diels-Alder dimerization which was facile in the neat liquid (ca. $10 \%$ over 7 days at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as detected by NMR spectroscopy). Alternatively, a 3.00 g portion of such contaminated product was filtered through a 40 mm pad of silica gel, eluting first with pentane ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and then with pentane: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ 4:1 ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The last two pentane-dichloromethane fractions were combined and evaporated in vacuo yielding a light red oil $(2.44 \mathrm{~g}$, $81 \%$ ) which was stored at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.34$ (pentane: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 4: 1$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400.1 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta=3.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.43(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.1$, $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, H-\mathrm{C}(4)), 6.58$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, H-\mathrm{C}(1)), 6.69-6.72$ (m, 2H, H-C(2) and $H-\mathrm{C}(3)), 6.95\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, H-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right), 7.06$ (dddd, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6,7.5,1.0,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, H-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)\right), 7.39$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3,1.6$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, H-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ ), 7.62 (br, s, unresolved long range couplings, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-$ $\mathrm{C}(6)), 7.66\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz} \& 1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, H-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100.6 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta=55.5\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 110.5\left(C\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right), 120.5\left(C\left(5^{\prime}\right)\right), 120.6(C(2$ or
$3)), 125.9\left(C\left(1^{\prime}\right)\right), 126.8(\mathrm{C}(4)), 130.5\left(C\left(1\right.\right.$ or $\left.\left.4^{\prime}\right)\right), 130.6$ ( $C\left(1\right.$ or $\left.\left.4^{\prime}\right)\right)$, $132.4\left(C\left(6^{\prime}\right)\right), 133.7(C(6)), 134.7(C(2$ or 3$)), 144.9\left(C(5), 158.4\left(C\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right)\right.$. This previously uncorrelated data was obtained using HMQC, HMBC and DEPT 90/135. IR (thin film): $\tilde{v}=3070,3002,2937,2836,1622,1597$, 1489, 1464, 1339, 1302, 1249, 1109, 1049, 1027, 903, 842, 753, $624 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. $\mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{EI}^{+\bullet}\right)[\mathrm{M}+1]^{+\bullet}(13.7 \%) m / z=185.1,\left[\mathrm{M}^{+\cdot}\right](100 \%) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=184.1,[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ (38.7\%) 183.1, $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{+\bullet}(77.6 \%) 169.1,\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right]^{+\bullet}(44.9 \%) 153.1$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{EI}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{M}^{+\bullet}\right)$ Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}: 184.0888 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, found: 184.0881 $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

## Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-substituted cyclopentadienyl compounds 7

## General Procedure for $\mathrm{CuBr}^{\bullet} \mathbf{S M e}_{2}$ catalysed additions 6

To a dry Schlenk tube, equipped with a magnetic stirrer and a septum, $\mathrm{CuBr} \bullet \mathrm{SMe}_{2}(206 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol}-\%)$ was added to dry THF (30.0 mL ) and left to stir at room temperature ( 15 min ). The solution was cooled to $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the appropriate Grignard reagent ( $25.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv. from a typically $0.50-0.90 \mathrm{M}$ THF solutions, $20 \mathrm{wt} \%$ THF-toluene solution or $1.00-3.00 \mathrm{M}$ ether solutions) was added dropwise and the reaction was left to stir $(15 \mathrm{~min})$ at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A colour change from yellow to purple was noted. Neat (6) ( $1.84 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in dry THF $(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added to the Grignard-cuprate solution dropwise. The reaction was then stirred for 16 h at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ solution $\mathrm{pH} 8(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to quench the reaction, which was then allowed to warm to room temperature with rapid stirring. The reaction mixture was diluted with ether ( 60 mL ) and the organic phase extracted, washed with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ solution $\mathrm{pH} 8(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to yield the crude product, which was then purified by column chromatography (pentane- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to yield the purified products.

## General Procedure for $\mathbf{C u I} / \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ catalysed additions to 6

To a dry Schlenk tube, equipped with a magnetic stirrer and a septum, $\mathrm{CuI}(152 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 8.0 \mathrm{~mol}-\%)$ and triphenylphosphine $(262 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol}-\%)$ were added to dry methyl tert-butyl ether $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and left to stir at room temperature ( 30 min ). The solution was cooled to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the appropriate Grignard reagent ( $25.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv. from a $2.80-3.00 \mathrm{M}$ ether solution) was added dropwise and the reaction was left to stir ( 15 min ) at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Neat (6) $(1.84 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in dry methyl tert-butyl ether $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added to the Grignardcuprate solution dropwise. The reaction was then stirred for 16 h at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Quenching and work-up was carried out as above for the $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ promoted reactions.

## General procedure for $\mathbf{C u C N} / \mathrm{LiCl}$ catalysed additions to 6

To a dry Schlenk tube, equipped with a magnetic stirrer and a septum was added dried $\mathrm{LiCl}(63.6 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0 \mathrm{~mol}-\%)$ and $\mathrm{CuCN}(134$ $\mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0 \mathrm{~mol}-\%$ ) (TOXIC!). The mixture was then heated under vacuum ( 5 min ) using a hot air gun ( $>100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), cooled to room temperature, flushed with argon and dry THF ( 50 mL ) was added. The solution was cooled to $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of 0.83 M ethylmagnesium bromide in THF ( $45.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 37.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv.) was added dropwise. A colour change from colourless to grey then purple. Other Grignard reagents resulted in equivalent solutions. Neat ( $\mathbf{6}$ ) $(2.76 \mathrm{~g}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv. $)$ was dissolved in 25 . mL dry THF. The latter solution was added to the complexed Grignard solution dropwise. A colour change from dark purple to yellow was noted. The reaction was then stirred for 16 h at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{C}$ $/ \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ solution $\mathrm{pH} 8(35 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added dropwise to quench the reaction, which was then allowed to warm to room temperature with rapid stirring. The reaction mixture was diluted with ether ( 90 mL ) and the
organic phase extracted, washed with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ solution $\mathrm{pH} 8(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ Aqueous cyanide residue were quenched with bleach. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to yield the crude product, which was then purified by column chromatography (pentane- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to yield the purified product.

1-(1-(Cyclopenta-1,3-dien-1-yl)propyl)-2-methoxybenzene and 1-(1-(cyclopenta-1,4-dien-1-yl)propyl)-2-methoxy benzene (7a): Prepared by the $\mathrm{CuBr} \bullet \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ procedure using $\mathrm{EtMgBr}(8.7 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 2.90 M ether solution, $25.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv.). The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (pentane- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 8: 1, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.32$ ) to yield $7 \mathbf{a}$ as a colourless oil ( $1.82 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ). The individual $\alpha / \beta$ tautomer ( $0.8: 1.2$ ratio) signals could not be uniquely identified and are referred as tautomer 1 and tautomer 2 and integrated on this basis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.2 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.92\left(\mathrm{t}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} M e\right.$ tautomer 1) overlapped by 0.93 (t, $1.8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ tautomer 2), 1.81-2.08 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ both tautomers), 2.82-2.87 (br, $0.8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ in Cp tautomer 1), 2.98-3.02 (br, 1.2 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ in Cp tautomer 2), 3.85 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}$, OMe tautomer 1) overlapped by 3.86 (s, $1.8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}$ tautomer 2), 4.12-4.22 (m, 1.0 H, CHEt both tautomers), $6.10-6.15(\mathrm{~m}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ tautomer 2), $6.23-6.31(\mathrm{~m}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ tautomer 1), 6.36-6.45 (m, 1.2 H, Cp tautomer 2), 6.45-6.48 (m, 0.4 H, Cp tautomer 1), 6.87-6.96 (m, 2.0 H, Ar both tautomers), 7.11-7.23 (m, 2.0 H, Ar both tautomers). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right.$ both tautomers), $27.3\left(\mathrm{MeCH}_{2}\right.$ tautomer 2), $27.8\left(\mathrm{MeCH}_{2}\right.$ tautomer 1), 39.6 (CHEt tautomer 2), 40.4 ( CHEt tautomer 1), $41.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ in Cp tautomer 2), $42.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ in Cp tautomer 1), 55.5 (OMe both tautomers), 110.6 (Ar both tautomers), 120.6 (Ar both tautomers), 125.8 ( Cp tautomer 2), 126.3 ( Cp tautomer 1), 126.8 (Ar tautomer 1), 126.9 (Ar tautomer 2), 127.9 ( Ar tautomer 1), 128.0 (Ar tautomer 2), 130.7 (Cp tautomer 1), 132.1 ( Cp tautomer 2), 132.9 (Cp tautomer 2), 133.1 (Cp tautomer 1), 133.6 ( Cp tautomer 1), 134.6 (Cp tautomer 2), 149.9 ( $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{C}$-CHEt tautomer 2), 152.8 (Cp-C-CHEt tautomer 1), 157.1 ( $C$-O-Me tautomer 1), 157.3 ( $C$-O-Me tautomer 2). IR (thin film): $\tilde{v}=3064,2961,2932,2874,2835,1598,1490$, 1463, 1241, 1031, 899, $752 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{EI}^{+}$) $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}$ 214.1358, found: 214.1360. CHN Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 84.07$; H , 8.47, found: C, $84.01 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.67$. Also prepared according to the $\mathrm{CuCN} / \mathrm{LiCl}$ procedure to yield $2.82 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$.

1-(1-(Cyclopenta-1,3-dien-1-yl)pentyl)-2-methoxybenzene and 1-(1-(cyclopenta-1,4-dien-1-yl)pentyl)-2-methoxybenzene (7b): Prepared by the $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ procedure using $n-\mathrm{BuMgCl}(14.6 \mathrm{~g}$ of $20 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{THF} /$ toluene solution, $25.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv.). The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (pentane: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 6: 1, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.34$ ) to yield 7b as a colourless oil ( $2.10 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ). The individual $\alpha / \beta$ tautomer ( $0.8: 1.2$ ratio) signals could not be uniquely identified and are referred as tautomer 1 and tautomer 2 and integrated on this basis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400.1 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.88\left(\mathrm{t}, 3.0 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} M e\right.$ both tautomers), 1.17-1.39 (m, $4.0 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} H_{4} \mathrm{Me}$ both tautomers), $1.75-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ both tautomers), $2.80-2.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ in Cp tautomer 1), 2.95-3.01 (m, 1.2 H, CH ${ }_{2}$ in Cp tautomer 2), $3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}$ tautomer 1) overlapped by $3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}$, OMe tautomer 2$)$, $4.18-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}$, CH -Bu both tautomers), 6.06-6.12 (m, 0.6 H, Cp tautomer 2), 6.21-6.27 (m, $0.8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ tautomer 1), 6.36-6.47 (m, 1.6 H, Cp both tautomers), 6.85-6.94 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}\right.$, Ar both tautomers), 7.10-7.20 (m, 2.0 H, Ar both tautomers). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 14.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right.$ both tautomers), 22.7 $\left(C_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right.$ both tautomers), $30.0\left(C_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right.$ tautomer 2) overlapped by 30.1 $\left(C_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right.$ Me tautomer 1), $34.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right.$ tautomer 2), $34.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right.$ tautomer 1), 37.7 ( CH -Bu tautomer 2), 38.5 ( CH -Bu tautomer 1), $41.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ in Cp tautomer 2), $42.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ in Cp tautomer 1), 55.5 (OMe both tautomers), 110.6 (Ar both tautomers), 120.6 (Ar both tautomers) 125.7 ( Cp tautomer 2), 126.2 (Cp tautomer 1), 126.7 (Ar both tautomers), 127.9 (Ar tautomer 1), 128.0 (Ar tautomer 2), 130.7 (Cp tautomer 2), 132.1 (Cp tautomer 1), 133.1 (Cp tautomer 2), 133.2 ( Cp tautomer 2), 133.9 (tautomer 1), 134.6
(Cp tautomer 2), 150.1 ( $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}$ tautomer 2), 153.0 ( $\mathrm{Cp}-C-\mathrm{CH}$ tautomer 1), 157.0 ( $C$-O-Me tautomer $1,157.1$ ( $C$-O-Me tautomer 2 ). IR (thin film): $\tilde{v}=3064,3028,2998,2931,2859,1598,1491,1463,1439,1367,1288$, 1242, 1117, 1052, 1032, 931, 899, 752, $676 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (EI $\left.{ }^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{M}^{+\cdot}\right)$ Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}-242.1671$, found 242.1670. CHN Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 84.25$; H, 9.15; found: C, 84.27; H, 9.10.

1-(1-(cyclopenta-1,3-dien-1-yl)-3-methylbutyl)-2-methoxybenzene and 1-(1-(cyclopenta-1,4-dien-1-yl)-3-methylbutyl)-2-methoxybenzene (7c): Prepared by the $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ procedure using using $i \mathrm{BuMgCl}(12.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 2.00 M ether solution, $25.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv.). The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (gradient pentane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ initially $6: 1, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.38$ ) to yield $7 \mathbf{d}$ as a colourless oil ( 2.23 g , $92 \%$ ). The individual $\alpha / \beta$ tautomer ( $0.8: 1.2$ ratio) signals could not be uniquely identified and are referred as tautomer 1 and tautomer 2 and integrated on this basis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.89-0.93$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ both tautomers), 1.4-1.53 (m, $1.0 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ both tautomers), 1.68-1.83 (m, 2.0 H, $\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ both tautomers), 2.80-2.85 (m, $0.8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ in Cp tautomer 1), 2.95-2.99 (m, $1.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ in Cp tautomer 2), 3.83 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}$, OMe tautomer 1) overlapped by $3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}$ tautomer 2), 4.30-4.40 (m, 1.0 H, CH-iBu both tautomers), 6.05-6.10 (m, $0.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ tautomer 2), 6.20-6.28 (m, $0.8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ tautomer 1), 6.33-6.47 (m, $1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ both tautomers), 6.85-6.93 (m, 2.0 H, Ar both tautomers), 7.097.19 (m, 2.0 H, Ar both tautomers). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 22.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right.$ tautomer 1), $22.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right.$ tautomer 2), 23.0 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right.$ tautomer 2), $23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right.$ tautomer 1), $25.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right.$ both tautomers), $35.5(\mathrm{CH}-i \mathrm{Bu}$ tautomer 2), $36.3(\mathrm{CH}-i \mathrm{Bu}$ tautomer 1), 41.0 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ in Cp tautomer 2), $42.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ in Cp tautomer 1), $43.8\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right.$ tautomer 2), $44.2\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right.$ tautomer 1), 55.5 (OMe both tautomers), 110.6 (Ar tautomer 2) overlapped by 110.7 (Ar tautomer 1), 120.6 (Ar both tautomers) 125.6 ( Cp tautomer 2), 126.1 ( Cp tautomer 1 ), 126.7 ( Ar tautomer 1), 126.8 (Ar tautomer 2), 128.0 (Ar tautomer 1), 128.2 (Ar tautomer 2), 130.7 (Cp tautomer 2), 132.1 (Cp tautomer 1), 133.2 ( Cp both tautomers), 133.8 (Cp tautomer 1), 134.7 (Cp tautomer 2), 150.3 (Cp-C-CH tautomer 2), 153.2 (Cp- $C$-CH tautomer 1), 157.0 ( $C$-O-Me tautomer 1 , 157.1 ( $C$-O-Me tautomer 2). IR (thin film): $\tilde{v}=3064,2954,2868,2835$, $1598,1491,1464,1438,1366,1288,1241,1168,1096,1053,1032,899$, 807, 752, 677, $622 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{EI}^{+}$) $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}-$ 242.1671, found 242.1676. CHN Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 84.25$; H , 9.15; found: C, 83.85; H, 9.12.

1-(1-(Cyclopenta-1,3-dien-1-yl)but-3-en-1-yl)-2-methoxybenzene and 1-(1-(cyclopenta-1,4-dien-1-yl)but-3-en-1-yl)-2-methoxybenzene (7d): Prepared by the $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ procedure using using allylmagnesium bromide in ( 25.0 mL of 1.00 M ether solution, $25.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv.). The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (gradient pentane/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ initially $6: 1, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.28$ ) to yield $7 \mathbf{c}$ as a colourless oil ( $2.06 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ ). The individual $\alpha / \beta$ tautomer (0.9:1.1 ratio) signals could not be uniquely identified and are referred as tautomer 1 and tautomer 2 and integrated on this basis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.55-2.77$ (m, 2.0 H, CH2CH-Cp both tautomers), 2.82-2.86 (m, $0.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ in Cp tautomer 1), 2.96-3.02 (m, 1.1 H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ in Cp tautomer 2), $3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1.3 \mathrm{H}$, OMe tautomer 1), 3.84 (s, 1.7 H, OMe tautomer 2), 4.26-4.37 (m, 1.0 H , $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$-Cp both tautomers), 4.90-4.95 (m, 1.0H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Cp}$ both tautomers), 4.98-5.05 (m, 1.0 H, CH2 $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Cp}$ both tautomers), 5.72$5.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Cp}\right.$ both tautomers), $6.12-6.15(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ tautomer 2), 6.22-6.30 (m, 0.9 H, Cp tautomer 1), 6.36-6.42 (m, 1.1 H, Cp tautomer 2), 6.42-6.45 (m, 0.4 H, Cp tautomer 1), 6.85-6.93 (m, 2.0 H, Ar both tautomers), 7.08-7.20 (m, 2.0 H, Ar both tautomers). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100.6 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 38.0(\mathrm{CH}$-allyl tautomer 1$), 38.5$ ( CH -allyl tautomer 2), $38.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Cp}\right.$ tautomer 2), $39.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Cp}\right.$ tautomer 1), $41.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ in Cp tautomer 2), $42.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ in Cp tautomer 1), 55.5 (OMe both tautomers), 110.6 (Ar both tautomers), $115.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Cp}\right.$ tautomer 2) overlapped by $115.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Cp}\right.$ tautomer 1), 120.5 (Ar tautomer 2)
overlapped by 120.6 (Ar tautomer 1) 126.3 (Cp tautomer 2), 126.8 (Cp tautomer 1), 127.0 (Ar both tautomers), 128.1 (Ar tautomer 1), 128.3 ( Ar tautomer 2), 131.0 (Cp tautomer 2), 132.1 (Cp tautomer 1), 132.3 ( Cp tautomer 2), 133.1 (Cp tautomer 1), 133.3 (Cp tautomer 2), 134.6 ( Cp tautomer 1), $137.5\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Cp}\right.$ both tautomers), $149.2(\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}$ tautomer 2), 151.9 (Cp-C-CH tautomer 1), 156.9 ( $C$-O-Me tautomer 1), 157.0 ( $C$-O-Me tautomer 2). IR (thin film): $\tilde{v}=3072,3029,3001,2935$, 2836, 1640, 1599, 1586, 1491, 1463, 1439, 1365, 1339, 1289, 1242, 1186, $1162,1114,1052,1032,995,951,911,899,808,753,678,619,572,503$, $478,460 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{EI}^{+\cdot}\right)\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}-226.1358$, found 226.1360. CHN Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 84.91$; $\mathrm{H}, 8.02$; found: C , 84.90; H, 8.02.

1-(1-(cyclopenta-1,3-dien-1-yl)ethyl)-2-methoxybenzene and 1-(1-(cyclopenta-1,4-dien-1-yl)ethyl)-2-methoxybenzene (7e): Prepared by the $\mathrm{CuI} / \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ procedure using $\mathrm{MeMgBr}(8.3 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 3.00 M ether solution, 25.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv.). The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (gradient pentane/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ initially $10: 1, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ 0.30 ) to yield $7 \mathbf{e}$ as a colourless oil $(1.83 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%)$. The individual $\alpha / \beta$ tautomer (1.2:0.8 ratio) signals could not be uniquely identified and are referred as tautomer 1 and tautomer 2 and integrated on this basis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.2 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.46$ (d, $3.0 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHMe}$ both tautomers), 2.81-2.86 (m, 1.2 H, CH $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ in Cp tautomer 1), 2.99-3.02 (m, 0.8 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ in Cp tautomer 2), $3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}$ tautomer 1) overlapped by 3.86 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}$, OMe tautomer 2), 4.25-4.40 (m, 1.0 H, CHMe both tautomers), 6.08-6.13 (m, 0.4 H, Cp tautomer 2), 6.22-6.29 (m, 1.2 H, Cp tautomer 1), 6.33-6.41 (m, 0.8 H, Cp tautomer 2), 6.42-6.48 (m, 0.6 H, Cp tautomer 1), 6.85-6.93 (m, 2.0 H, Ar both tautomers), 7.03-7.08 (m, 1.0H, Ar both tautomers), 7.14-7.21 (m, 1.0 H, Ar both tautomers). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 19.9$ ( MeCH tautomer 2), $20.4(\mathrm{MeCH}$ tautomer 1), 32.4 ( MeCH tautomer 2), 33.1 ( MeCH tautomer 1), $41.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ in Cp tautomer 2), $42.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ in Cp tautomer 1), 55.5 (OMe both tautomers), 110.5 (Ar both tautomers), 120.6 (Ar both tautomers), 125.5 (Cp tautomer 2), 126.2 (Cp tautomer 1), 126.8 (Ar tautomer 1), 126.9 ( Ar tautomer 2), 127.7 (Ar tautomer 1), 127.9 (Ar tautomer 2), 131.0 ( Cp tautomer 1), 132.1 (Cp tautomer 1), 133.3 (Cp tautomer 2), 134.2 ( Cp tautomer 2), 134.7 (Cp tautomer 2), 135.2 (Cp tautomer 1), 151.1 (Сp-CCH tautomer 2), 153.9 (Cp-C-CHEt tautomer 1), 156.5 ( $C$-O-Me tautomer 1), 156.8 ( $C$-O-Me tautomer 2). IR (thin film): $\tilde{v}=3061,2962,2835,1599$, $1491,1463,1438,1367,1289,1241.1163,1111,1030,931,899,808,753$, 677, 573, $503 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{EI}^{\bullet}$ ) $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+\bullet}\right)$ Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}-200.1201$, found: 200.1205 .

1-(Cyclopenta-1,3-dien-1-yl(phenyl)methyl)-2-methoxybenzene and 1-(cyclopenta-1,4-dien-1-yl(phenyl)methyl)-2-methoxybenzene (7f): Prepared by the $\mathrm{CuI} / \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ procedure using $\mathrm{PhMgBr}(9.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 2.80 M ether solution, $25.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv.). The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (gradient pentane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ initially $10: 1, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.20$ ) to yield 7 f as a colourless oil ( $1.73 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%$ ). The individual $\alpha / \beta$ tautomer (0.9:1.1 ratio) signals could not be uniquely identified and are referred as tautomer 1 and tautomer 2 and integrated on this basis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.2 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ : 2.99-3.04 (br, 0.9 H , $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ in Cp tautomer 1), 3.05-3.09 (br, $1.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ in Cp tautomer 2), 3.81 (s, $3.0 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}$ both tautomers), $5.63-5.67$ (br, $0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}$ tautomer 2), 5.675.71 (br, 0.5 H, CHPh tautomer 1), $5.81-5.84$ (m, $0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ ), $5.98-6.02$ (br, $\mathrm{m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}), 6.37-6.41(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp})$, 6.43-6.47 (m, 0.5 H, Cp), 6.47$6.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1.0 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp})$, 6.91-6.99 (m, 2.0 H, Ar both tautomers), 7.07-7.14 (m, $1.0 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ both tautomers), $7.22-7.30$ (m, $4.0 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \& \mathrm{Ph}$ both tautomers), 7.31-7.37 (m, 2.0 H, Ph both tautomers). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 41.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ in Cp tautomer 2), $43.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ in Cp tautomer 1), 44.7 ( CHPh tautomer 2), 45.4 ( CHPh tautomer 1), 55.6 (OMe both tautomers), 110.6 (Ar tautomer 1), 110.7 (Ar tautomer 2), 120.3 (Ar both tautomers), 125.9 ( Ph both tautomers), 127.3 (Ar tautomer 1), 127.4 ( Ar tautomer 2), 128.0 ( $\mathrm{Ph} \times 2$ both tautomers), 128.9 ( $\mathrm{Ph} \times 2$ tautomer 1 ), $129.0(\mathrm{Ph} \times 2$
tautomer 2), 129.1 (Ar tautomer 2), 129.6 (Ar tautomer 1), 129.7 ( Cp tautomer 2), 130.0 (Cp tautomer 1), 131.6 ( Cp tautomer 2), 131.8 ( Cp tautomer 2), 132.0 (Cp tautomer 2), 132.6 (Cp tautomer 1), 133.4 ( Cp tautomer 1), 135.1 ( Cp tautomer 2), 143.4 ( $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{C}$ - CH tautomer 2), 143.9 (Ph- $C$ - CH tautomer 1), 149.1 ( $\mathrm{Cp}-C-\mathrm{CH}$ tautomer 2), 151.6 ( $\mathrm{Cp}-C-\mathrm{CH}$ tautomer 1), 156.8 ( $C$-O-Me tautomer 1), 157.0 ( $C$-O-Me tautomer 2). IR (thin film): $\tilde{v}=3061,3026,2935,2835,1598,1450,1462,1438,1361$, $1289,1243,1162,1105,1051,1030,900,755,700,634 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (EI ${ }^{+}$) $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}-262.1358$, found: 262.1361 .

## General Procedure for synthesis of rac/meso-substituted titanocenes 9

A flame dried Schlenk tube was left to cool under vacuum for 30 min and then weighed under vacuum. Under a stream of argon atmosphere, 7 (1.0 equiv.) was added, followed by dry ether or methyl tert-butyl ether ( 4.00 mL per 1.00 mmol of 7 ). The mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by the addition of $n$-BuLi 1.60 M in hexane ( 1.1 equiv.). The solution was left to stir for 30 min at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielding a white precipitate of the lithium substituted-cyclopentadienide $\mathbf{8}$ in a faint yellow solution. The solvent was removed from $\mathbf{8}$ by cannula filtration procedure, and the solid washed twice with dry ether ( $2 \times 1.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ per 1.0 mmol of 7 ) and re-filtered under argon. The precipitate was dried under vacuum at 0.1 mmHg for 1 h and weighed under vacuum. The lithium substituted-cyclopentadienide 8 ( 2.0 equiv.) was dissolved in dry THF ( 4.0 mL per 1.0 mmol of $\mathbf{8}$ ) to give a colourless solution. In another Schlenk tube, titanium tetrachloride 1.00 M solution in toluene ( 1.0 equiv.) was dissolved in dry THF ( 8.0 mL per 1.0 mmol of titanium tetrachloride) to give a yellow solution. The solution of titanium tetrachloride was added to the solution of $\mathbf{8}$ via cannula at room temperature, to give a dark red solution and refluxed for 16 h at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resultant solution was then cooled and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The remaining brown residue was extracted with chloroform and filtered through Celite to remove LiCl . The solvent removed in vacuo yielding the crude solid material. Purification was carried out by direct infusion of pentane on top of a saturated solution of 9 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( final mixture ca. 5:1 pentane: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ).

## Rac/meso-dichloridobis(1-propyl-1'-(2-methoxyphenyl)- $\eta^{5}$ -

 cyclopentadienyl)titanium (9a): Prepared using 7a ( $535 \mathrm{mg}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv.), dry ether ( 10 mL ) and $n-\operatorname{BuLi}(1.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) yielding lithium substituted-cyclopentadienide $\mathbf{8 a}(410 \mathrm{mg}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol}$, $74 \%$ ). This intermediate ( $1.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in 7.5 mL dry THF to give a colourless solution. Titanium tetrachloride $(0.93 \mathrm{mmol}$, $0.93 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in 7.5 mL dry THF to give a yellow solution. After purification, a red solid was obtained ( $359 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ - based on titanium). The individual rac and meso diastereomers (1:1 ratio) signals could not be uniquely identified and are thus identified as isomer 1 or 2. M.p. $173-174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.76(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ isomer 1 or 2) overlapped by $0.76(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ isomer 1 or 2), 1.88-2.03 (br, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ both isomers), 2.08-2.23 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ both isomers), 3.78 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OMe}$, both isomers), 4.42 (br, 2 H, CHEt both isomers), $5.97\left(\mathrm{AB}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}\right.$ isomer 1), $6.01\left(\mathrm{AB}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}\right.$ isomer 2), $6.06\left(\mathrm{AB}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}\right.$ isomer 1), $6.17\left(\mathrm{AB}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}\right.$ isomer 2), $6.40\left(\mathrm{AB}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=\right.$ $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}$ p isomer 2), $6.46\left(\mathrm{AB}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}\right.$ isomer 1$), 6.71(\mathrm{AB}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}$ isomer 2), $6.73\left(\mathrm{AB}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}\right.$ isomer 1), 6.86-6.92 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, H-\mathrm{C}(4)$ and $H-\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{Ar}$, both isomers), 7.03 (br d, 2H, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, H-\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{Ar}$, both isomers), 7.20 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5,7.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, H-$ $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{Ar})$ overlapped by 7.25 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5,7.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{Ar}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125.8 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 11.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right.$ both isomers), 26.2 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right.$ isomer 1 or 2$), 26.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right.$ isomer 1 or 2$), 42.1$ (CHEt both isomers), 55.4 (OMe both isomers), 111.1 ( $C(6)$ Ar both isomers), 115.4 (br, Cp isomer 1), 116.4 (br, Cp isomer 2), 117.4 (Cp isomer 2), 118.3 ( Cp isomer 1), 118.8 ( C p isomer 1), 119.2 ( Cp isomer 2), $120.7(C(3)$ or $C(4)$ Ar both isomers), $120.7(C(3)$ or $C(4)$ both isomers Ar$), 121.9(\mathrm{Cp}$ isomer2), 123.1 ( Cp isomer 1), 127.6 ( $C(5) \mathrm{Ar}$ both isomers), 129.9 (br, ipso- Cp isomer), 131.7 (br, ipso- Cp isomer), 142.2 ( $C(2) \mathrm{Ar}$ isomer 1 or 2), 142.5 ( $C(2) \mathrm{Ar}$ isomer 1 or 2 ), 157.6 ( $C(1) \mathrm{Ar}$ both isomers). IR (ATR.): $\tilde{v}=$ 3118, 2962, 2933, 2875, 2835, 1597, 1583, 1490, 1459, 1434, 1379, 1334, $1290,1242,1183,1157,1117,1080,1048,1025,908,838,829,797775$, $752,740,712 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{ESI}^{+}$) $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}^{+}-$ 567.1308, found: 567.1319. CHN Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}: \mathrm{C}, 66.07$; H, 6.28; found: C, 65.94; H, 6.28.

## Rac/meso-dichloridobis(1-pentyl-1'-(2-methoxyphenyl)- $\eta^{5}$ -

cyclopentadienyl)titanium (9b): Prepared using 7b ( $606 \mathrm{mg}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv.), dry methyl tert-butyl ether ( 10 mL ) and $n-\operatorname{BuLi}(1.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.75$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) yielding $\mathbf{8 b}$ ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$ yield). This intermediate ( 0.69 mmol, 2.0 equiv.) dissolved in 2.8 mL dry THF to give a colourless solution. Titanium tetrachloride ( $0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.34 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in 2.8 mL dry THF to give a yellow solution. After purification, a red solid was obtained ( $143 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ - based on titanium). The individual rac and meso diastereomers (1:1 ratio) signals could not be uniquely identified and are thus identified as isomer 1 or 2. M.p. 121-123 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.82\left(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right.$ both isomers) 0.95-1.08 (br, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}$ both isomers), $1.10-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}$ both isomers), $1.85-2.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2.0 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right.$ both isomers), 3.78 (s, $6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OMe}$, both isomers), 4.49 (br, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$-Bu both isomers), 5.95 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ isomer 1), 6.01 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ both isomers), 6.16 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ isomer 2), 6.39 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ isomer 2), 6.48 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ isomer 1), 6.69 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ isomer 2), 6.74 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ isomer 1), 6.82-6.95 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ and $H$-C(6) Ar, both isomers), 7.03 (br d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, H-\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{Ar}$, both isomers), 7.20 (br t, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, H-\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{Ar}$ both isomers) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125.8 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ : $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 14.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right.$ both isomers) $22.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right.$ both isomers), $29.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right.$ both isomers), $33.0\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right.$ isomer 1 or 2) overlapped by $33.1\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right.$ isomer 1 or 2$), \approx 39.5-40.5(\mathrm{CHBu}$ both isomers), 55.5 (OMe both isomers), 111.1 ( $C(6) \mathrm{Ar}$ both isomers), 115.2 (br, Cp isomer 1 or 2), 116.8 (br, Cp isomer 2), 117.6 (Cp isomer 2), 118.1 (Cp isomer 1), 119.2 ( Cp isomer 1 or 2), 119.6 ( Cp isomer 1), 120.7 ( $C(3)$ or $C(4) \mathrm{Ar}$ isomer 1 or 2 ) overlapped by 120.8 ( $C(3)$ or $C(4) \mathrm{Ar}$ isomer 1 or 2), 121.3 ( Cp isomer 2), 123.0 ( Cp isomer 1), 127.6 ( $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{Ar}$ both isomers), 129.9 (br, ipso-Cp isomer), 132.2 (br, ipso-Cp isomer), $142.3(\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{Ar}$ isomer 1 or 2$), 142.8(\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{Ar}$ isomer 1 or 2$)$, $157.5(\mathrm{C}(1)$ Ar both isomers). IR (ATR): $\tilde{v}=3105,2954,2928,2869,1596,1585$, $1491,1463,1438,1336,1288,1240,1189,1162,1124,1088,1051,1029$, $949,843,826,804,782,753,735,714,702,685,675 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (MALDI TOF - DCTB matrix, $10 \%$ laser): m/z 565.3 (M-Cl, 100\%), 530.3 (M-2Cl, $3 \%)$. CHN Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}: \mathrm{C}, 67.89 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.04$; found: C , 67.80; H, 7.08.

Rac/meso)-dichloridobis(1-(3-methylbutyl)-1'-(2-methoxyphenyl)- $\eta^{5}$ cyclopentadienyl)titanium (9c): Prepared using $7 \mathrm{c}(735 \mathrm{mg}, 3.00 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv.), dry ether ( 12.1 mL ) and $n-\operatorname{BuLi}(2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) yielding $8 \mathbf{d d}$ ( $706 \mathrm{mg}, 2.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 94 \%$ yield). This intermediate ( $2.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in 11.5 mL dry THF to give a colourless solution. Titanium tetrachloride $(1.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.42 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in 11.5 mL dry THF to give a yellow solution. After purification, a red solid was obtained ( $682 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ - based on titanium). The individual rac and meso diastereomers (1:1 ratio) signals could not be uniquely identified and are thus identified as isomer 1 or 2. M.p. 161-162 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.84(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ both isomers), 0.93 (d, $6 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ both isomers), 1.4-1.53 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ both isomers), 1.75-2.15 (m, 4 H , $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCp}$ both isomers), 3.79 (s, $6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OMe}$, both isomers), 4.45-4.85 (br, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$-iBu both isomers), 5.94 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ isomer 1), $6.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ both isomers), 6.16 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ isomer 2), 6.37 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ isomer 2), 6.48 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ isomer 1), $6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ isomer 2), $6.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ isomer 1), 6.85-6.95 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, H-\mathrm{C}(4)$ and $H-\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{Ar}$, both isomers), 7.00-7.15 (br, $2 \mathrm{H}, H-\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{Ar}$, both isomers), $7.20(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, H-\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{Ar}$ both
isomers) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125.8 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ : $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 21.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right.$ both isomers), $24.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right.$ both isomers), $25.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right.$ both isomers), 42.8 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCp}$ both isomers), 55.5 (OMe both isomers), 111.3 ( $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{Ar}$ both isomers), 117.5 (br, Cp isomer 1 or 2), 117.9 (br, Cp isomer 2), 119.2 ( Cp isomer 2), 119.8 ( C isomer 1), 120.7 ( $\mathrm{C}(3)$ or $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{Ar}$ isomer 1 or 2 ), 120.9 ( Cp both isomers), 122.7 ( Cp isomer 1), 127.6 ( $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{Ar}$ both isomers), 129.9 (br, ipso-Cp isomer 1 or 2), 132.4 (br, ipso-Cp isomer 1 or 2), $142.6(\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{Ar}$ isomer 1 or 2$), 143.0(\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{Ar}$ isomer 1 or 2$), 157.6$ (C(1) Ar both isomers). IR (ATR): $\tilde{v}=3105,2953,2868,2838,1653,1596$, 1586, 1491, 1464, 1438, 1384, 1364, 1327, 1287, 1242, 1187, 1168, 1119, 1097, 1051, 1043, 1028, 906, 848, 828, 806, 754, 717, $702 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{MS}$ (MALDI TOF - DCTB matrix, $10 \%$ laser): m/z 565.3 (M-Cl, 100\%), 530.3 (M-2Cl, 11\%). CHN Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}: \mathrm{C}, 67.89 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.04$; found: C, 67.73; H, 7.10.

Rac/meso-dichloridobis(1-but-3-en-1-yl-1'-(2-methoxyphenyl)- $\eta^{5}$ cyclopentadienyl)titanium (9d): Prepared using 7d (1.09 g, $4.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.), dry methyl tert-butyl ether ( 19.2 mL ) and $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(3.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.28$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) yielding 8 c ( $785 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ yield). This intermediate (3.38 mmol, 2.0 equiv) was dissolved in 13.5 mL dry THF to give a colourless solution. Titanium tetrachloride ( $1.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in 13.5 mL dry THF to give a yellow solution. After purification, a brown solid was obtained ( $713 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ - based on titanium). The individual rac and meso diastereomers (1:1 ratio) signals could not be uniquely identified and are thus identified as isomer 1 or 2. M.p. 139-140 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.71-2.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\right.$ Cp isomer 1 or 2 ), 2.87-2.97 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Cp}$ isomer 1 or 2 ), 3.78 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6$ $\mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{x}$ OMe both isomers), $4.55-4.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Cp}\right.$ both isomers), 4.80-4.85 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}$ isomer 1 or 2), 4 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ CH isomer 1 or 2), 4.92-4.95 (br m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}$ isomer 1 or 2), 4.954.97 (br m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}$ isomer 1 or 2), $5.58-5.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}$ both isomers), $5.98\left(\mathrm{AB}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{A q}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}\right.$ isomer 1), 6.02 $\left(\mathrm{AB}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}\right.$ isomer 2), $6.08\left(\mathrm{AB}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}\right.$ isomer 1), $6.18\left(\mathrm{AB}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}\right.$ isomer 2), $6.41\left(\mathrm{AB}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=\right.$ $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}$ isomer 2), $6.45\left(\mathrm{AB}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}\right.$ isomer 1), 6.71-6.73 ( $2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{AB}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ both isomers), 6.85-6.91 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, H-\mathrm{C}(4)$ and $H-\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{Ar}$, both isomers), 6.99-7.05 (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, H-\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{Ar}$, both isomers), 7.20 (ddd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0,7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{Ar}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125.8 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ : $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 37.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Cp}\right.$ isomer 1 or 2$)$ overlapped by $37.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Cp}\right.$ isomer 1 or 2 ), 40.6 ( $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{CH}$-Ph both isomers), 55.4 (OMe both isomers), 111.0 (C(6) Ar both isomers), 115.2 (br, Cp isomer 1), $116.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}\right.$ both isomers) overlapped by 116.1 ( Cp isomer 2 ), 117.4 (Cp isomer 2), 118.4 (Cp isomer 1), 118.6 (Cp isomer 1), 119.3 (Cp isomer 2), $120.6(\mathrm{C}(3)$ or $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{Ar}$ both isomers), 121.8 ( Cp isomer 2), 122.8 ( Cp isomer 1), 127.8 ( $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{Ar}$ both isomers), 130.1 (br, ipso- Cp isomer), 131.3 (br, ipso- Cp isomer), $136.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right.$ both isomers), 141.5 (C(2) Ar isomer 1 or 2 ), 141.7 ( $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{Ar}$ isomer 1 or 2 ), 157.2 ( $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{Ar}$ both isomers). IR (ATR): $\tilde{v}=3074,2939,2836,1639,1598,1588,1492,1462$, 1438, 1421, 1337, 1288, 1275, 1244, 1177, 1123, 1093, 1078, 1052, 1030, 995, $960,907,847,816,786,753,732,718 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (MALDI TOF DCTB matrix, $10 \%$ laser): m/z 533.2 (M-Cl, 100\%), 430.3 (M-2Cl, 6\%). HRMS ( $\mathrm{ESI}^{+}$) $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{TiNa}^{+}-591.1308$, found: 591.1313. CHN Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ Ti: $\mathrm{C}, 67.50$; $\mathrm{H}, 6.02$; found: C, 67.86; H, 6.02.

Rac/meso)-dichloridobis(1-ethyl-1'-(2-methoxyphenyl)- $\eta^{5}$ -
cyclopentadienyl)titanium (9e): Prepared using 7e (802 mg, 4.00 mmol , 1.0 equiv.), dry ether ( 16.0 mL ) and $n-\operatorname{BuLi}(2.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) yielding $\mathbf{8 e}(738 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%)$. This intermediate ( 3.58 mmoles, 2.0 equiv.) was dissolved in 14.0 mL dry THF to give a colourless solution. Titanium tetrachloride ( $1.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.80 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in 14.0 mL dry THF to give a yellow solution. After purification, a red solid was obtained with a yield (based on titanium) of $51 \%$ ( $472 \mathrm{mg}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The individual rac and meso diastereomers (1:1 ratio) signals could not be
uniquely identified and are thus identified as isomer 1 or 2. M.p. 144-145 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.54(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CHMe isomer 1 or 2) overlapped by $1.55(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH} M e$ isomer 1 or 2 ), $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}$, isomer 1 or 2$)$ overlapped by $3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}$, isomer 1 or 2), 4.67-4.75 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHMe}$ both isomers), $6.06\left(\mathrm{Ap}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{A q}\right.$ $=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}$ isomer 1) overlapped by $6.08\left(\mathrm{AB}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}\right.$ isomer 2), $6.29\left(\mathrm{AB}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}\right.$ isomer 1) overlapped by 6.31 $\left(\mathrm{AB}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}\right.$ isomer 2), 6.43-6.46 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ both isomers), 6.72-6.76 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}$ both isomers), 6.84-6.90 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ Ar, both isomers), 6.94 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, H-\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{Ar}$, isomer 1 or 2) overlapped by $6.95(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, H-\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{Ar}$, isomer 1 or 2), 7.19 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0,6.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, H-\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{Ar}$ ) overlapped by 7.20 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0,6.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{Ar}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125.8 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $\left.25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ : $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 19.3$ (CHMe both isomers), 34.5 (CHMe both isomer 1 or 2 ) overlapped by 34.5 (CHMe both isomer 1 or 2 ), 55.4 (OMe both isomers), 110.9 (C(6) Ar both isomers), 116.3 (Cp isomer 1), 116.3 (Cp isomer 2), 117.2 (Cp isomer 1), 117.4 (Cp isomer 2), 119.0 (Cp isomer 1), 119.4 (Cp isomer 2), $120.7(\mathrm{C}(3)$ or $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{Ar}$ both isomers), 121.8 ( Cp isomer 2), 122.0 (Cp isomer 1), 127.5 (C(5) Ar both isomers), 128.7 (C(3) or $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{Ar}$ both isomers), 134.6 (ipso- Cp both isomers), 142.2 ( $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{Ar}$ isomer 1 or 2 ), 142.5 (C(2) Ar isomer 1 or 2), 156.6 (C(1) Ar both isomers). IR (ATR): $\tilde{v}=$ 3110, 2936, 2834, 1598, 1586, 1491, 1461, 1437, 1421, 1366, 1334, 1290, $1241,1179,1123,1110,1076,1043,1031,986,917,861,851,827,812$, $753,706 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (MALDI TOF - DCTB matrix, $10 \%$ laser): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 481.1$ (M-Cl, $100 \%$ ), 446.1 (M-2Cl, 29\%). HRMS ( $\mathrm{ESI}^{+}$) $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{TiNa}^{+}-539.0995$, found: 539.1004. CHN Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ Ti: C, 65.01; H, 5.85; found: C, 65.33; H, 6.14.

Rac-dichloridobis(1-(phenyl)methyl-1'-(2-methoxyphenyl)- $\eta^{5}$ -
cyclopentadienyl)titanium (9f): Prepared using $7 \mathbf{f f}(707 \mathrm{mg}, 2.70 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv.), dry ether ( 10.8 mL ) and $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.90 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) yielding $\mathbf{8 f}(568 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ yield). This intermediate $(2.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), then dissolved in 8.5 mL dry THF to give a colourless solution. Titanium tetrachloride ( $1.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in 8.5 mL dry THF to give a yellow solution. Purification involved the dissolution of the crude red solid, $0.350 \mathrm{~g}(66 \%)$, in a saturated solution of chloroform (1 part) followed by layering of hexane (4 parts), giving red crystals of the rac-isomer of $\mathbf{9 f}$ (confirmed by X-ray data) with a yield (based on titanium) of ( $93.0 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \%$ - based on titanium). The mother liquors ca. $50 \%$ contained a rac/meso mixture of $9 f$ that could not be brought to a state of analytical purity despite repeted trials. M.p. 244-245 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OMe}), 4.87$ $\left(\mathrm{AB}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}\right), 5.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Ph}), 5.99\left(\mathrm{AB}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=\right.$ $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}), 6.42\left(\mathrm{AB}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}\right), 6.68(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}), 6.76\left(\mathrm{AB}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{A B}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}\right), 6.78-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, H-\mathrm{C}(4)$ and $H-\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{Ar}), 7.17$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, H-\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{Ar}), 7.18-7.23$ (m, 7 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}$ and Ar ), 7.25 ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.26-7.27 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.27-7.28 (m, 1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125.8 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 45.9$ (CHPh) 55.4 (OMe), 110.3 (Cp), 110.8 (C(6) Ar), 116.0 (Cp), 120.0 (Ar), 123.7 (Cp), 126.6 (Ar or Ph$), 127.9(\mathrm{Ar}$ or Ph$)$ overlapped by $127.9(\mathrm{Ar}$ or Ph$), 128.8(\mathrm{Ph}), 129.6$ ( Ph or Ar ), $131.8(\mathrm{Cp}), 132.7(\mathrm{Ph}), 140.5(\mathrm{Ar}$ or Ph$), 141.5(\mathrm{Ar}$ or Ph$)$, 158.7 (C(1) Ar). IR (ATR): $\tilde{v}=3119,2838,1599,1584,1488,1461,1452$, $1436,1341,1319,1290,1246,1187,1162,1008,1075,1058,1050,1029$, $953,940,898,847,837,826,794,783,765,751,735,728,700,686 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (MALDI TOF - DCTB/NaI matrix, 25\% laser): m/z 605.2 (M-Cl, $100 \%), 570.2(\mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{Cl}, 7 \%)$. HRMS ( $\left.\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{TiNa}^{+}-663.1308$, found: 663.1315. CHN Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}$ (9f dichloromethane monosolvate from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexanes ): C, 64.49; H, 5.00; found: C, 64.61; H, 5.00.

Dichloridobis-1-(2-methoxyphenyl)- $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyl) titanium (10): Procedure as in literature. ${ }^{[11]}$ The compound had properties identical to those in the primary literature ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR, MS, CHN analyses) but its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR specrum showed differences in the multiplicities of the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ' Cp '
protons: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 6.0 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OMe}$ ), $4.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.33(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Cp}), 6.40(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Cp}), 6.85-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ar}), 7.16(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, H-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)\right), 7.23(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar})$.

## Growth inhibitory studies in vitro

The antiproliferative activity was performed by MTT [3-(4, 5-dimethyl-thiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] assay on three different human carcinoma cell lines; colon (HCT-116), pancreas (MiaPaCa-2), and breast (MDA-468). The carcinoma cell lines were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with $10 \%$ (v/v) FBS (foetal bovine serum). Cells were seeded into 96 -well plates at a density of $3 \times 10^{3}$ per well (180 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ per well) and allowed to adhere for 24 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. A 10 mM top stock solution in DMSO was then freshly made. Serial dilutions were prepared in RMPI 1640 medium supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS. Control wells recieved vehicle alone ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ per well). The final concentrations in the wells were; $0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}, 0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}, 1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}, 10 \mu \mathrm{M}, 50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The final concentration of DMSO in the wells never exceded $1 \%$. Vehicle control assays were performed ( $0.0001-1 \%$ DMSO) Experimental plates were incubated for a further 72 h period at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Cell viability was recorded at the time of agent addition $\left(\mathrm{T}_{0}\right)$ and following 72 h exposure: following addition of MTT solution ( $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in PBS - $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ per well), experimental plates were incubated for 3 h to allow reduction of MTT to insoluble dark purple formazan crystals. The supernatant in each well was then aspirated and cellular formazan solublised by addition of DMSO ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ per well). Absorbance was read at a wavelength of 550 nm using an Anthos Labtec systems plate reader. Measured intensity is proportional to metabolic activity which correlates to cellular viability. Agent $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values (the concentration of agent which inhibits growth by $50 \%$ ) were calculated by performing MTT assays at time of drug addition as well as after 72 h exposure.

Supporting Information ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra for all compounds prepared in this study.
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