Title: Guillain-Barré syndrome variant occurring after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination

Running head: GBS VARIANT OCCURING AFTER COVID-19 VACCINATION

Authors: Christopher Martin Allen,[1,2] Shelby Ramsamy,[1] Alexander William Tarr,[3,4] Patrick Jason Tighe,[3,4] William Lucien Irving,[3,4] Radu Tanasescu,[1,2] and Jonathan Rhys Evans[1]

Affiliations:

1. Department of Neurology, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Nottingham, UK

2. School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

3. NIHR Nottingham Biomedical Research Centre, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Nottingham, UK

4. School of Life Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

Corresponding author:

Dr Jonathan R Evans

Department of Neurology, Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Nottingham NG7 2UH

jonathan.evans2@nuh.nhs.uk

01158231192

Character count of title: 70

Word count of article: 1906

Word count of abstract: 90

Colour figures: 1, greyscale version provided for print edition

Highlights:

- Bifacial weakness with paraesthesias variant of Guillain-Barré syndrome has been reported as a complication of SARS-CoV-2 infection
- We report it occurring in four cases following administration of the Oxford-AstraZeneca SARS-CoV-2 vaccine
- If the link is causal it could be due to a cross-reactive immune response to the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and components of the peripheral immune system
- We suggest vigilance for, and prompt reporting of this rare neurological syndrome following administration of all SARS-CoV-2 vaccines

Abstract

Whilst SARS-CoV-2 vaccines are very safe, we report four cases of the bifacial weakness with paraesthesias variant of Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS) occurring within three weeks of vaccination with the Oxford-AstraZeneca SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. This rare neurological syndrome has previously been reported in association with SARS-CoV-2 infection itself. Our cases were given either intravenous immunoglobulin, oral steroids, or no treatment. We suggest vigilance for cases of bifacial weakness with paraesthesias variant GBS following vaccination for SARS-CoV-2 and that post-vaccination surveillance programs ensure robust data capture of this outcome, to assess for causality.

Introduction

The United Kingdom commenced a mass public immunisation programme against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in December 2020. Cerebral venous sinus thrombosis with thrombocytopenia has been reported as a serious adverse effect after the AstraZeneca vaccine (AZV). [1]

Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS) is an acute monophasic immune-mediated polyradiculoneuropathy that typically presents following an antecedent infective illness. The bifacial weakness with paraesthesias variant of GBS is rare in general neurological practice and is characterised by facial diplegia being the only motor manifestation. [2, 3] This syndrome has been described with SARS-CoV-2 infection. [4-7] Affected individuals report distal sensory symptoms, then facial diplegia, which is typically complete, and reaches a nadir after 48 hours. Deep tendon reflexes are normal, and it is rare for patients to have either objective sensorimotor signs in the limbs or involvement of other cranial nerves. [8] Where tested, SARS-CoV-2 has not been detected in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of patients with GBS associated with the virus. [9]

We report four cases of bifacial weakness with paraesthesias variant GBS occurring after administration of the first dose of AZV, all cases presented within ten days of each other. Symptom onset occurred 11-22 days post vaccination. As a post-vaccination phenomenon it has rarely been described in the literature. [10] They were reported to the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency; all patients provided written consent for publication of their case histories and collection of associated data was approved by Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust: Audit number 20-158C.

All patients presented with profound bifacial weakness (facial diplegia) and normal facial sensation. Unless stated, the remainder of their neurological examination was normal. Deep tendon reflexes were normal, and there were no objective sensorimotor signs in the limbs. Cerebellar, bulbar, and respiratory function were normal, as were extraocular movements. No evidence of dysautonomia was observed during their inpatient stays. General examination was unremarkable.

They all tested negative for SARS-CoV-2 by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of nasopharyngeal swabs. Routine blood tests were normal. There was normal CSF:serum glucose ratio, negative CSF microscopy and culture, and negative viral PCR for herpes simplex virus, varicella-zoster virus, enterovirus, parechovirus and adenovirus. Serology for Epstein-Barr virus; cytomegalovirus; campylobacter; hepatitis A, B, C and E; and mycoplasma pneumoniae were negative for acute infection. Lyme, human immunodeficiency virus and syphilis serology were negative, serum angiotensin converting enzyme was normal, and chest radiographs showed no evidence of sarcoidosis or SARS-CoV-2 infection. Ganglioside antibodies GM1, GD1a, GD1b, GQ1b and GM2 were negative.

Case 1

A 54-year-old Caucasian male with no relevant past medical history was taking no regular medications. Four days before presentation he noted distal dysaesthesia in his feet and hands, which ascended over two days, but had begun to recede as facial weakness developed. He had received his first dose of the AZV 16 days prior to presentation.

CSF analysis revealed a mild lymphocytosis (19 cells / microlitre (ml), normal range ≤5) and elevated protein (1626 milligram / litre (mg / l), normal range 150-450). Contrast enhanced MRI of the brain

demonstrated subtle enhancement bilaterally in the distal facial nerves at the internal auditory canal. There was symmetric enhancement of the labyrinthine, tympanic, and descending portions of the facial nerves. This was considered within the normal limits of contrast enhanced MRI by the reporting neuroradiologist.

He was commenced on oral prednisolone 60mg for five days. There was no progression of his neurological symptoms. Electrophysiological assessment was performed 16 days after presentation. Facial nerve conduction studies (NCS) showed severely reduced compound muscle action potential amplitude responses (0.6-1.7 millivolts (mV)) and normal terminal latencies bilaterally (2.92-3.85 milliseconds (ms)). The right orbicularis oris and oculi showed active denervation with no volitional motor activity. The left orbicularis oris and oculi showed active denervation with occasional fast firing, long duration polyphasic units and severely reduced recruitment. Sensory and motor NCS were normal in the upper and lower limbs.

Case 2

A 20-year-old male of British Iranian origin with a past medical history of ulcerative colitis since 2018, he completed a course of prednisolone in February 2021, and was maintained on mesalazine 1.5 grams twice daily. Five days before presentation he complained of an occipital headache without photophobia or neck stiffness. One day later he developed dysaesthesia in his distal lower limbs and three days before admission he developed facial diplegia which reached its nadir over less than 24 hours. He had received his first dose of the AZV 26 days prior to presentation.

On examination, passive movement of the neck was uncomfortable but without nuchal rigidity. Non-contrast MRI of the brain was normal. CSF analysis revealed a mild lymphocytosis (14 cells / ml) and elevated protein (1232 mg / l).

He was started on oral prednisolone 60mg for five days. There was no progression of his neurological symptoms. Electrophysiological assessment was performed 13 days after presentation. Facial NCS showed borderline normal amplitude responses (3.2-3.3 mV) and normal terminal latencies bilaterally (2.71-3.65 ms). Bilaterally, orbicularis oculi and oris showed active denervation in addition to early recruited fast firing polyphasic units of small duration and low amplitude. Sensory and motor NCS were normal in the upper and lower limbs. Minimum F wave latencies were 28 ms in the right ulnar nerve and 49-50 ms in the tibial nerves.

Case 3

A 57-year-old Caucasian male with a past medical history of asthma and osteoarthritis requiring bilateral knee replacements. His regular medications are steroid and salbutamol inhalers, loratadine, omeprazole, and tamsulosin. Ten days before presentation he noted a dull lumbar back pain that radiated into his flanks. Four days later he noticed dysarthria and facial weakness. The facial weakness reached a nadir within 48 hours. He also noted distal dysaesthesia in his feet and proximal leg weakness that continued to progress until admission. He reported a fall two days before presentation. He had received his first dose of the AZV 21 days prior to presentation.

On examination, there was subjective diplopia on extreme left gaze, but a full range of extraocular eye movements. There was symmetric weakness proximally in the legs (4/5 Medical Research Council (MRC) scale). Deep tendon reflexes were absent at the knees but normal elsewhere. Non-contrast MRI of the brain was normal. CSF analysis revealed a mild lymphocytosis (8 cells / ml) and elevated protein (2471 mg / l).

Two days post admission his weakness had worsened, MRC scale scores in his legs where 3/5 proximally and 4/5 distally and in his arms 4/5 proximally and 5/5 distally. Intravenous immunoglobulin was commenced. There was no further progression of his neurological symptoms. Electrophysiological assessment was performed 13 days after presentation. Facial NCS and electromyography were not performed. Sensory and motor NCS were normal in the upper and lower limbs. Minimum F wave latencies were 26-33 ms in the median nerves.

Case 4

A 55-year-old Caucasian male with a past medical history of hypertension. His regular medications are amlodipine and lisinopril. Seven days before presentation he noted bilateral thigh paraesthesias. One day later he reported numbness to the sacral and lumbar regions. Two days prior to admission he developed facial diplegia which reached a nadir within 96 hours. He had received his first dose of AZV 29 days prior to presentation.

MRI of the brain and whole spine with contrast showed enhancement of the facial nerve within the right internal auditory canal. CSF analysis revealed normal cell count (4 lymphocytes / ml) and elevated protein (890 mg / l).

He did not receive any treatment. There was improvement of his subjective numbness two days post admission. Electrophysiological assessment was not performed.

No previous exposure to SARS-CoV-2

None of these patients reported previous infection with SARS-CoV-2. Paired serum and CSF samples were taken at the time of clinical presentation from Cases 1-3. They were tested by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays for the presence of antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein (Baculovirus-expressed recombinant SARS-CoV-2 His_6-tagged nucleocapsid; Sino Biological) and spike protein (recombinant, HEK293-expressed His_6-tagged SARS-CoV-2 Spike S1 (B.1 variant)). All three CSF samples were negative, at a dilution of 1 in 10 (Fig 1).[11] Two of the three serum samples (from Cases 2 and 3), were negative for anti- nucleocapsid but positive for the presence of low level anti-spike, at a dilution of 1 in 120, consistent with an evolving immune response to vaccination. Whilst Case 1 was negative for both antibodies on their admission sample, they had the shortest duration between vaccination and presentation, 16 days. None of Cases 1-3 had a previous SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Discussion

Cerebrovascular disorders associated with SARS-CoV-2 are well-described, as are post-infectious encephalopathies, transverse myelitis and acute disseminated encephalomyelitis.[12] Peripheral neurological manifestations, typically GBS and its variants, have also been described, but causality is debated.[13-16] GBS associated with SARS-CoV-2 typically has a median onset of eleven days following initial manifestation of infection.[15] In our cases, there was an interval of 11-22 days between vaccination and symptom onset. This would coincide with the period that the maximal immune response to the vaccine would be anticipated.

Although these patients had neurological symptoms temporally associated with vaccination, causality cannot be assumed. It certainly warrants robust post-vaccination surveillance, which requires both accurate clinical diagnosis and robust national reporting mechanisms. Surveillance mechanisms linked to the prescription of intravenous immunoglobulin would not have recorded three of our cases. We

felt secure in the diagnosis, despite the mild CSF pleocytosis in three cases, having considered alternative causes for isolated facial diplegia and screened for several common infectious triggers of GBS. Alternative explanations include coincidental idiopathic cases occurring in our large catchment area and all four cases having sub-clinical infections with a known causative pathogen, which was not detected. Over the four months prior to our cases presenting, 320,160 first doses of AZV and 187,145 first doses of the Pfizer SARS-CoV-2 vaccine had been administered to a population of 1,018,611 where Cases 1-3 lived. In Case 4's region, the figures were 391,890 AZV first doses and 164,643 Pfizer first doses to a total population of 914,648. From the latest epidemiological data, we expect less than four GBS cases per month in the total population described.[13] A systematic review of SARS-CoV-2 associated GBS found 3/42 cases (7.1%) were bifacial weakness with paraesthesias variant, which is higher than previous estimates.[5] The largest case series of this variant to date showed it was more likely to be associated with upper respiratory tract infections than 'typical' GBS.[2]

The development of a post-vaccination neurological syndrome, as we describe, could result from the generation of host antibodies which cross-react with proteins present in peripheral myelin. These antibodies may be generated in direct response to the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, but a less specific immune response, for example to components of the adenovirus vector, is also plausible. However, the report of a similar syndrome in the setting of SARS-CoV-2 infection suggests an immunologic response to the spike protein. There is evidence that the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein can bind to sialic acid-containing glycoprotein and gangliosides on cell surfaces, increasing its viral transmissibility.[17] Antibody cross-reactivity between the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and peripheral nerve glycolipids may be involved in the pathogenesis of GBS associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection or immunisation. The specific genetic background of the host, the human leucocyte antigen haplotype profile, may also play a role, as it does in SARS-CoV-2 associated GBS and other autoimmune neurological disorders.[18]

In conclusion, we suggest vigilance for cases of bifacial weakness with paraesthesias variant GBS following vaccination for SARS-CoV-2 and that post-vaccination surveillance programs ensure robust data capture of this outcome, to assess for causality.

Declaration of competing interests

All authors report no conflicts of interest pertaining to this work.

Data availability

All data has been shared with the relevant regulatory agency.

References

1. Possible link between COVID-19 Vaccine AstraZeneca and extremely rare, unlikely to occur blood clots [press release]. 2021.
2. Susuki K, Koga M, Hirata K, Isogai E, Yuki N. A Guillain-Barré syndrome variant with prominent facial diplegia. J Neurol. 2009;256(11):1899-905.
3. Ropper AH. Further regional variants of acute immune polyneuropathy. Bifacial weakness or sixth nerve paresis with paresthesias, lumbar polyradiculopathy, and ataxia with pharyngeal-cervical-brachial weakness. Archives of neurology. 1994;51(7):671-5.
4. Goh Y, Beh DLL, Makmur A, Somani J, Chan ACY. Pearls & Oy-sters: Facial nerve palsy in COVID-19 infection. Neurology. 2020;95(8):364-7.
5. Uncini A, Vallat JM, Jacobs BC. Guillain-Barré syndrome in SARS-CoV-2 infection: an instant systematic review of the first six months of pandemic. Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry. 2020;91(10):1105-10.
6. Chan JL, Ebadi H, Sarna JR. Guillain-Barré Syndrome with Facial Diplegia Related to SARS-CoV-2 Infection. Can J Neurol Sci. 2020;47(6):852-4.
7. Juliao Caamaño DS, Alonso Beato R. Facial diplegia, a possible atypical variant of Guillain-Barré Syndrome as a rare neurological complication of SARS-CoV-2. J Clin Neurosci. 2020;77:230-2.
8. Li Z, Li X, Shen J, Chan MTV, Wu WKK. Miller Fisher syndrome associated with COVID-19: an up-to-date systematic review. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2021:1-6.
9. Keyhanian K, Umeton RP, Mohit B, Davoudi V, Hajighasemi F, Ghasemi M. SARS-CoV-2 and nervous system: From pathogenesis to clinical manifestation. Journal of neuroimmunology. 2020;350:577436-.
10. Crémieux G, Dor JF, Mongin M. [Peripheral facial paralysis and post-antirabies-vaccination polyneuroradiculitis (author's transl)]. Acta neurologica Belgica. 1978;78(5):279-300.
11. Cunningham JL, Virhammar J, Rönnberg B, Castro Dopico X, Kolstad L, Albinsson B, et al. Anti-SARS-CoV2 antibody responses in serum and cerebrospinal fluid of COVID-19 patients with neurological symptoms. The Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2021.
12. Varatharaj A, Thomas N, Ellul MA, Davies NWS, Pollak TA, Tenorio EL, et al. Neurological and neuropsychiatric complications of COVID-19 in 153 patients: a UK-wide surveillance study. The lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(10):875-82.

13.   Keddie S, Pakpoor J, Mousele C, Pipis M, Machado PM, Foster M, et al. Epidemiological and cohort study finds no association between COVID-19 and Guillain-Barré syndrome. Brain. 2020;144(2):682-93.

14.   Vogrig A, Moritz CP, Camdessanché J-P, Tholance Y, Antoine J-C, Honnorat J, et al. Unclear association between COVID-19 and Guillain-Barré syndrome. Brain. 2021.

15.   Rahimi K. Guillain-Barre syndrome during COVID-19 pandemic: an overview of the reports. Neurol Sci. 2020;41(11):3149-56.

16.   Taquet M, Geddes JR, Husain M, Luciano S, Harrison PJ. 6-month neurological and psychiatric outcomes in 236 379 survivors of COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study using electronic health records. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2021.

17.   Fantini J, Di Scala C, Chahinian H, Yahi N. Structural and molecular modelling studies reveal a new mechanism of action of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine against SARS-CoV-2 infection. International journal of antimicrobial agents. 2020;55(5):105960.

18.   Gigli GL, Vogrig A, Nilo A, Fabris M, Biasotto A, Curcio F, et al. HLA and immunological features of SARS-CoV-2-induced Guillain-Barré syndrome. Neurological Sciences. 2020;41(12):3391-4.

Figure 1: Serology results showing evolving vaccine response in Cases 2 and 3, none show previous SARS-CoV-2 infection