

**Figure 1. Transcriptomic analysis of hiPSC-CMs identified altered genes within the HCM pathway.** (i) Genes with transcripts of increased expression are shown in green. (ii) Genes with transcripts of decreased expression are shown in red.

**Figure 2. Transcriptomic analysis of HCM hiPSC-CM EVs identified differential cellular expression between WT and HCM hiPSC-CM.** **A)** Volcano plot analysis of the differential expression of total RNAs between WT and HCM hiPSC-CM EVs. A comparison of fold change ( $\log_2$ ) and significance ( $-\log_{10}$  p-value). RNAs significantly increased in abundance in HCM hiPSC-CM EVs (red) and in WT hiPSC-CM EVs (blue). Significance is determined as  $\text{adj-}p < 0.05$ . **B)** Biological process enrichment analysis conducted using Panther to determine the number of genes per function pathway present in EVs produced from either the WT and HCM hiPSC-CMs. **C)** Detailed analysis of the catalase activity pathways and **D)** Detailed analysis of the binding pathways accounting for the number of mRNAs found in WT and HCM hiPSC-CM EVs per pathway. Specific genes and their functions are detailed in the supplementary tables.

**Figure 3. Comparison of RNAs enriched in EVs compared to their parental cell lines identified both mutation dependent and independent changes.** **A)** Of the mRNAs enriched in EVs, 34.9% are independent of the disease mutation, whilst 65.1% are mutation dependent. **B)** Volcano plot analysis of the enriched exosome small RNA and **C)** enriched exosome total mRNA of fold change ( $\log_2$ ) and significance ( $-\log_{10}$  p-value). RNAs significantly increased in abundance in cells compared to EVs (red) and EVs compared to cells (blue). **(i)** Results of comparing WT hiPSC-CMs cells to WT hiPSC-CMs EVs. **(ii)** Comparison of HCM hiPSC-CMs cells to HCM hiPSC-CMs EVs. Significance is determined as  $\text{adj-}p < 0.05$ . Data limited to the top 5000 hits demonstrating greatest difference in expression. **D)** Pathway enrichment analysis of the mRNAs found to be unique to either WT hiPSC-CMs EVs or HCM hiPSC-CMs EVs.

**Figure 4. Altered cellular gene expression detected as a result of the presence of disease mutation and/or pacing as the stimulus.** **A)** Breakdown of comparisons of transcripts with altered abundance detected in hiPSC-CMs as a result of the presence of the disease mutation and/or pacing stimulus. **B)** Summary of the total number of transcripts with altered abundance as a result of either the presence of the mutation and/or pacing stimulus. **C)** Biological processes regulated by changes in transcript expression mediated by pacing stimulus alone. **D)** Biological processes regulated by changes in transcript expression altered during pacing stimulus by the presence of the disease mutation.

**Figure 5. Altered EVs release and small RNA cargo detected as a result of the presence of disease mutation and/or pacing as the stimulus.** **A)** Quantification of EV release following pacing frequency stimulus. **(B)** Quantification of EV size following pacing frequency stimulus **C)** Small RNA with increased abundance ( $>2\text{fc}$ ) in HCM hiPSC-CM EVs compared to WT hiPSC-CM when stimulated to pace. **D)** Small RNA with increased abundance ( $>2\text{fc}$ ) in HCM hiPSC-CM EVs when paced compared to HCM hiPSC-CM EVs when unstimulated.

(a-b) One-way ANOVA performed with correction (Turkey). \*  $p \leq 0.05$ , \*\*  $p \leq 0.01$ , \*\*\*  $p \leq 0.001$ , \*\*\*\*  $p \leq 0.0001$ . (n=3 biological replicates) per assay (c-d) Bonferroni correction

**Supplementary Figure 1. Characterisation of hiPSC-CMs confirms HCM phenotype.** (a) Phenotypic analysis of HCM hiPSC-CMs compared to their isogenic WT hiPSC-CM control. (b) Transcriptomic analysis of hiPSC-CMs showing the GO biological processes regulated by the presence of the HCM disease mutation.

**Supplementary Figure 2. Characterisation of HCM and WT hiPSC-CM EVs confirms identity EV identity and differences in size distribution.** (A) Expression of surface EV marker CD9, cytosolic EV marker Alix, and non-EV Golgi structural protein GM130 in EV samples isolated from both HCM and WT hiPSCs, compared to a total cell lysate control. (B) Representative transmission electron microscopy images of EVs from HCM and WT hiPSC-CM EVs. Black arrows indicate examples of EVs in each sample. (C) Histogram representation of the size distribution of EVs isolated from each sample type (n=3 samples).

**Supplementary Figure 3. List of mRNAs enriched in HCM hiPSC - CM EVs that are involved in the pathway 'catalytic activity on proteins'.**

**Supplementary Figure 4. List of mRNAs decreased in HCM hiPSC-CM EVs involved in the pathway 'protein binding'.**

**Supplementary Figure 5. List of the small RNAs with increased abundance (>2fc) in HCM hiPSC-CM EVs compared to WT hiPSC-CM EVs.**