Journal: Journal of Geriatric Oncology

Article type: Letter to the Editor

Title: The SIOG COVID-19 Working Group Recommendations on the Rollout of COVID-19 Vaccines among Older Adults with Cancer

Authors:

Anna Rachelle Mislang
Department of Medical Oncology
Flinders Centre for Innovation in Cancer
College of Medicine and Public Health, Flinders University
Bedford Park, SA, 5042, Australia
anna.mislang@sa.gov.au

Enrique Soto-Perez-de-Celis
Department of Geriatrics
Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán
Mexico City, Mexico
enrique.sotop@incmnsz.mx

Chiara Russo

Department of Medical Oncology, Centre Léon Bérard, Regional Comprehensive Cancer Centre, Lyon, France

Chiara.RUSSO@lyon.unicancer.fr

Giuseppe Colloca

Dipartimento di Diagnostica per Immagini, Radioterapia Oncologica ed Ematologia, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS

Rome, Italy

giuseppeferdinando.colloca@policlinicogemelli.it

Grant R. Williams

Institute for Cancer Outcomes and Survivorship
University of Alabama at Birmingham School of Medicine
Birmingham, AL, USA

grwilliams@uabmc.edu

Shane O'Hanlon
University College Dublin
St Vincent's University Hospital
Dublin, Ireland
shaneohanlon@svhg.ie

Lisa Cooper
Division of Aging, Department of Medicine,
Brigham and Women's Hospital
Harvard Medical School
Boston, MA, USA
lcooper5@bwh.harvard.edu

Anita O'Donovan

Applied Radiation Therapy Trinity (ARTT), Trinity St James's Cancer Institute,

Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland

Anita.ODonovan@tcd.ie

Riccardo A. Audisio

Department of surgery

Sahlgrenska Academy - University of Gothenburg

Gothenburg, Sweden

raudisio@doctors.org.uk

Kwok-Leung Cheung
School of Medicine
University of Nottingham, Royal Derby Hospital Centre
Derby, UK
Kwok_Leung.Cheung@nottingham.ac.uk

Regina Gironés Sarrió
Department of Medical Oncology
Hospital Universitari i Politècnic La FE
Valencia, Spain
reginagiro@hotmail.com

Reinhard Stauder

Department of Internal Medicine V (Haematology and Oncology)

Innsbruck Medical University

Innsbruck, Austria

reinhard.stauder@i-med.ac.at

Michael Jaklitsch

Brigham and Women's Hospital – Dana-Farber Cancer Institute

Harvard Medical School

Boston, MA, USA

mjaklitsch@bwh.harvard.edu

Clarito Cairo

National Integrated Cancer Control Program

Department of Health

Manila, Philippines

dokclar@gmail.com

Luiz Antonio Gil Jr

Geriatric Division - São Paulo University

São Paulo, Brazil

gil.luizantonio@gmail.com

Schroder Sattar

College of Nursing – University of Saskatchewan

Regina, Canada

schroder.sattar@usask.ca

Kumud Kantilal

School of Pharmacy

University of East Anglia

Norwich, UK

k.kantilal@uea.ac.uk

Kah Poh Loh

University of Rochester Medical Center

Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine James P. Wilmot Cancer Institute Rochester, NY, USA kahpoh_loh@urmc.rochester.edu

Stuart M. Lichtman

Department of Medicine

Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center

New York, NY, USA

LichtmaS@mskcc.org

Etienne Brain

Department of Medical Oncology
Institut Curie
Saint-Cloud & Paris, France

Etienne.brain@curie.fr

Hans Wildiers

Department of General Medical Oncology
University Hospitals Leuven
Leuven, Belgium
hans.wildiers@uzleuven.be

Ravindran Kanesvaran

Division of Medical Oncology

National Cancer Centre Singapore

Singapore

ravindran.kanesvaran@singhealth.com.sg

Nicolò Matteo Luca Battisti

Breast Unit – Department of Medicine Department
The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust
Breast Cancer Research Division
The Institute of Cancer Research, London, UK
nicolo.battisti@rmh.nhs.uk

Corresponding author: Anna Rachelle Mislang

Email address: anna.mislang@sa.gov.au

Twitter: @AnnaMislang

Postal address: Flinders Centre for Innovation in Cancer

Level 4, 1 Flinders Drive Bedford Park, SA 5042

Australia

All authors contributed in the manuscript.

Disclosures:

SML: National Cancer Institute Cancer Center Support Grant (P30CA008748)

KPL: National Cancer Institute in the United States (K99CA237744), Wilmot Cancer Institute Research Fellowship Award, and is Consultant to Pfizer and Seattle Genetics

RK: Speaker/ Advisory Board / Honoraria : AstraZaneca, Pfizer, MSD, BMS, Astellas, J&J, Eisai, Ipsen, Amgen, Merck

NMLB: Speaker fees: Abbvie, Pfizer. Travel grants: Genomic Health, Pfizer, Lilly.

Keywords: COVID-19, cancer, older patients, vaccine, SIOG

Title: The SIOG COVID-19 Working Group Recommendations on the Rollout of COVID-19 Vaccines among Older Adults with Cancer

The COVID-19 pandemic continues to negatively impact our society. Older adults are at increased risk of morbidity and mortality. People who are frail, living in residential care facility, and/or with comorbidities, including cancer are disproportionately disadvantaged. To reduce the risk of infection among older adults with cancer, several anticancer therapies have been prioritized, delayed, de-escalated, or omitted based on clinical need (1). However, public health interventions remain critical to mitigate transmission and minimize adverse outcomes. Of these, mass immunization is perhaps a more effective preventive health measure and potentially a key exit strategy from this crisis.

Considerations on the role of COVID-19 vaccines in older patients with cancer

To date, data on eight COVID-19 vaccines have been successfully submitted for authorization by the World Health Organization (2), five vaccines have reported results on efficacy and/or safety (Table 1), and over 50 are at various stages of development. As vaccines are made available to the general population, their rollout should be prioritized for those at higher risk of adverse outcomes including hospitalization and/or death. Older individuals are traditionally excluded from or underrepresented in clinical trials, and the same holds true for COVID-19 vaccine studies (3). Similarly, patients with cancer, comorbidities, or immunosuppression have been excluded. Therefore, clinicians are expected to make recommendations based on the risk-benefit ratio and extrapolation of trial data to the real world until more information becomes available. The efficacy of vaccines relies on an intact host response, which could be disrupted in people with myelosuppression due to cancer or its treatment. Age-related dysregulation and immune dysfunction, called immunosenescence, could potentially result in lower immunogenicity of vaccines in older adults (4). Physical exercise may augment vaccine-specific antibody responses; however, activities are limited by the imposed counter-pandemic measures. An adjuvanted vaccine may be used to overcome immunosenescence, as shown in the AZD1222 trial (5).

Variability in the relationship between neutralizing- and binding-antibody titres in older adults was seen in the Ad26.COV2.S trial (6). Nevertheless, vaccine efficacy appears to be consistent in older subgroups with a trend for lower reactogenicity (Table 1). Notably, these findings are all based on short-term analyses, where the long-term efficacy is still unclear. Also, these studies did not include frailty measures nor large

groups of older individuals, which limit the characterization of those recruited. Longer follow-up from vaccine trials will provide insight into the impact of vaccination on COVID-19 transmissibility, asymptomatic infections, or emerging mutant strains. The role of anticancer treatments, age, frailty and functional status on vaccine efficacy also needs to be investigated. Despite these caveats, the International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG) COVID-19 Working Group advocates for a call to action to prioritize older adults with cancer in the vaccine rollout to protect this vulnerable group from the adverse outcomes of COVID-19, even in the absence of robust data.

The SIOG COVID-19 Working Group supports the following recommendations on the rollout of the COVID-19 vaccines for all older patients with cancer:

Recommendation

Rationale

A. For immediate action

Prioritize the rollout of vaccines to Higher COVID-19, including older patients with cancer (7). active progressive or cancer, anticancer therapy at high risk for immunosuppression

Implement the use of regulated vaccines at the earliest opportunity, especially in areas with high community transmission

 For older patients receiving active anticancer therapy - if possible, schedule vaccination at the time of bone marrow function recovery and a few days before the next cycle to maximize its efficacy and minimize the impact of potential side effects on ongoing anticancer treatments.

Persevere with community-based intervention strategies, such as physical vaccines on COVID-19 transmission. distancing, hand hygiene, mask wearing,

30-day all-cause mortality individuals at disproportionate risk of observed in patients with older age, death and other complications from comorbidities, active or progressive

> No specific data available on COVID-19 vaccine. Data extrapolated from experiences with influenza vaccine (8). Recommendations UK from the Chemotherapy Board and Public Health England "Green Book" on Immunization Against Infectious Disease.

> The efficacy and timing on patients on immunosuppressive therapy still needs to be established.

> Limited evidence exists on the impact of

and use of personal equipment to mitigate transmission, even for patients and healthcare professionals that have already been vaccinated

middle-income countries by means of negotiation of fair prices and by equitable distribution of the vaccine supply through international collaborations and partnerships.

Ensure equitable and timely access to vaccines in within older people community, local, or national level.

Prioritize older patients with cancer from Higher incidence and mortality from socially and medically disadvantaged populations, including those with poor access to healthcare or from underrepresented racial/ethnic groups, in vaccination campaigns.

Create and disseminate educational messaging and risk communication campaigns aimed at convincing older adults with cancer and their caregivers of the value and safety of vaccination Foster collaboration with advocacy groups to dispel simplistic and populist statements suggesting that "access to vaccines should be prioritized based on the capacity to contribute to economy", as these stigmatize ageing people as a

protective The timing and level of measures to contain the virus, such as travel restrictions, facilities shutdowns, and social distancing have impacted the incidence and mortality from COVID-19 (9).

Facilitate the availability of vaccines for In line with WHO recommendations for older adults with cancer living in low and Let's #ACTogether for #VaccinEquity and the United Nations COVAX program.

> COVID-19 in racial/ethnic minorities likely related to underlying disparities in social determinants of health (10).

> Avoid "fake news", misinformation, and minimise confusion from several media platforms by disseminating accurate information readily that is available/accessible to a wider audience. Advocacy, community engagement, and cross-sectoral collaborations are key strategies to COVID-19 response (11).

burden, thereby compromising ethics and health equity

B. For subsequent action

Investigate the vaccines' long-term Population safety, seroconversion, and randomized seroprotection rates in older adults with younger cancer comorbic

long-term Populations included in phase III and randomized controlled trials were mostly idults with younger individuals without comorbidities. "Real-world" evidence can further support the effectiveness COVID-19 vaccines among other populations such as older adults and patients with cancer.

Prioritize investigations on the impact of aging, reduction in physical activities, function, frailty, and anticancer treatments on vaccine efficacy and adverse effects

Therefore, SIOG joins the call of other international organizations for prioritizing patients at higher risk of morbidity and mortality from COVID-19, specifically older adults with cancer, when implementing global and local vaccination plans.

Table 1. Summary of the published results on COVID-19 Vaccines and efficacy in older people (in alphabetical order)

	•	•			•	• • • •		,		
Vaccine	N	Design	Design	Type	Main	Main Exclusion	Dose interval	Efficac	Older Adults	
				Inclusion	Criteria		у	inclusion and		
				Criteria				vaccine safety		
AstraZeneca	11,63	Single	Chimpanze	Age <u>></u> 18 years	Severe or	LD (2·2 × 10 ¹⁰	70.4%	≥70 years (9.5%)		
AZD1222 (5,	6	blind	е		uncontrolled medical	virus particles)				
12)			adenovirus		comorbidities	or SD (3·5–6·5 ×		In phase II		
			vectored		Participants aged	10 ¹⁰ virus		component <70		
			vaccine		≥65 years with a	particles)		(n=79) vs. <u>≥</u> 70		
					Dalhousie Clinical	x2		(n=49) years:		
					Frailty Score of <u>></u> 4	28 days apart		Similar antibody		
								response across all		
								age groups		
								Fewer		
								reactogenicity		
								events		
								Localized AEs:		
								82% vs. 61%		
								Systemic AEs:		
								82% vs. 65%		

Gam-COVID-	19,86	Double	recombinan	Age ≥ 18 years	Immunosuppression	1x10 ¹¹	91.6%	>60 year	s (10.8%)
Vac (Sputnik	6	blind	t replication-			viral particles x			
V) (13)			deficient			2, 21 days apart	>60:		
			adenovirus				91.8%		
Janssen	805	Single	Modified	Healthy adults	-	LD: (5×10 ¹⁰	>90%	<u>></u> 65 year	s (50%)
Ad26.COV2.		blind	adenovirus	of 2 age		viral particles) or			
S (6)				cohorts		HD: (1×10 ¹¹ viral		Cohort 1	a vs. 3
				1a: 18-55		particles) in		Lower	Immune
				years		single vs. 2		response)
				3: <u>></u> 65 years		doses, 56 days		LD: 100%	% vs. 91%
						apart		HD: 1009	% vs. 94%
								Lower in	cidence of
								AEs	
								Localized	d AEs
								LD: 64%	vs.41%
								HD: 65%	vs.84%
								Systemic	e AEs
								LD: 78%	vs. 42%
								HD: 46%	vs. 55%

Moderna	30,42	Double	mRNA	Age <u>></u> 18 ye	ears	Immunosuppression	100mcg	x2	28	94.1%	>65 years (25%)
mRNA-1273	0	blind		At high risk of			days apart				
(14)				COVID-19						<64:	Less common AEs
				infection	by					95.6%	in <u>></u> 65 (89%) vs.
				location	or					<u>></u> 65:	18-64 (93%) years
				comorbiditie	es					86.4%	
Pfizer	43,54	Double	mRNA	Age <u>></u> 16		Immunosuppression	30 mcg >	x2		95%	>65 years (21%)
BioNTech	8	blind		Healthy	or		21 days	apar	t		
BNT162b2				stable chro	onic						Lower
(15)				medical							reactogenicity
				conditions							events in >55 years
											(2.8%) vs. 16-55
											years (4.6%)

LD: low dose; HD: high dose; SD: standard dose; AE: adverse events

References

- 1. Battisti NML, Mislang AR, Cooper L, O'Donovan A, Audisio RA, Cheung KL, et al. Adapting care for older cancer patients during the COVID-19 pandemic: Recommendations from the International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG) COVID-19 Working Group. Journal of geriatric oncology. 2020;11(8):1190-8.
- 2. Organization WH. Status of COVID-19 Vaccines within WHO EUL/PQ evaluation process 2021 [Available from:

https://extranet.who.int/pqweb/sites/default/files/documents/Status COVID VAX 25Jan2021.pdf.

- 3. Helfand BKI, Webb M, Gartaganis SL, Fuller L, Kwon CS, Inouye SK. The Exclusion of Older Persons From Vaccine and Treatment Trials for Coronavirus Disease 2019-Missing the Target. JAMA Intern Med. 2020.
- 4. Crooke SN, Ovsyannikova IG, Poland GA, Kennedy RB. Immunosenescence and human vaccine immune responses. Immun Ageing. 2019;16:25.
- 5. Ramasamy MN, Minassian AM, Ewer KJ, Flaxman AL, Folegatti PM, Owens DR, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine administered in a prime-boost regimen in young and old adults (COV002): a single-blind, randomised, controlled, phase 2/3 trial. The Lancet. 2020;396(10267):1979-93.
- 6. Sadoff J, Le Gars M, Shukarev G, Heerwegh D, Truyers C, de Groot AM, et al. Interim Results of a Phase 1-2a Trial of Ad26.COV2.S Covid-19 Vaccine. N Engl J Med. 2021.
- 7. Kuderer NM, Choueiri TK, Shah DP, Shyr Y, Rubinstein SM, Rivera DR, et al. Clinical impact of COVID-19 on patients with cancer (CCC19): a cohort study. The Lancet. 2020;395(10241):1907-18.
- 8. Vollaard A, Schreuder I, Slok-Raijmakers L, Opstelten W, Rimmelzwaan G, Gelderblom H. Influenza vaccination in adult patients with solid tumours treated with chemotherapy. European journal of cancer. 2017;76:134-43.
- 9. Thu TPB, Ngoc PNH, Hai NM, Tuan LA. Effect of the social distancing measures on the spread of COVID-19 in 10 highly infected countries. Sci Total Environ. 2020;742:140430.
- 10. Moore JT, Ricaldi JN, Rose CE, Fuld J, Parise M, Kang GJ, et al. Disparities in Incidence of COVID-19 Among Underrepresented Racial/Ethnic Groups in Counties Identified as Hotspots During June 5-18, 2020 22 States, February-June 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69(33):1122-6.
- 11. Schiavo R. Advocacy, community engagement and cross-sectoral collaborations as key strategies during COVID-19 response and beyond. Journal of Communication in Healthcare. 2020;13(1):1-5.
- 12. Voysey M, Clemens SAC, Madhi SA, Weckx LY, Folegatti PM, Aley PK, et al. Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK. The Lancet. 2021;397(10269):99-111.
- 13. Logunov DY, Dolzhikova IV, Shcheblyakov DV, Tukhvatulin AI, Zubkova OV, Dzharullaeva AS, et al. Safety and efficacy of an rAd26 and rAd5 vector-based heterologous prime-boost COVID-19 vaccine: an interim analysis of a randomised controlled phase 3 trial in Russia. The Lancet. 2021.
- 14. Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, Kotloff K, Frey S, Novak R, et al. Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. N Engl J Med. 2020.
- 15. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(27):2603-15.