## Patient-centric goal-oriented perioperative care

Geoffrey P Roberts<sup>1</sup>, Nicholas Levy<sup>2</sup>, Dileep N Lobo<sup>3,4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>Department of Surgery and <sup>2</sup>Department of Anaesthesia and Perioperative Medicine, West Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust, Bury St. Edmunds, Suffolk IP33 2QZ, UK
- <sup>3</sup> Gastrointestinal Surgery, Nottingham Digestive Diseases Centre, National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Nottingham Biomedical Research Centre, Nottingham University Hospitals and University of Nottingham, Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham NG7 2UH, UK
- <sup>4</sup>MRC Versus Arthritis Centre for Musculoskeletal Ageing Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Nottingham, Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham NG7 2UH, UK

**Key Words:** goal-oriented; patient-centred outcomes; perioperative outcome; quality of life; quality of recovery; surgery

## **Corresponding Author:**

E-mail: dileep.lobo@nottingham.ac.uk

Running Head: Patient-centric perioperative care

#### Introduction

Anaesthetists and surgeons largely focus on quantifiable postoperative outcome measures as a means for improving the quality of patient care. However, while traditional measures such as postoperative morbidity and mortality are useful and relatively straightforward to audit, they do not always reflect outcomes that are meaningful and relatable to the individual, especially if the patient has complex health needs.

A patient-centric goal-oriented approach to surgical and perioperative care considers the holistic needs and health goals of the individual, and includes symptom resolution, improvement of physical function and mobility, and resumption of normal social interactions and roles. Adoption of this goal-oriented model of care provides a means for identifying the realistic expectations of the individual patient, and the mechanisms to achieve them.

#### **Current practice in surgical outcome monitoring**

Traditionally, clinicians have concentrated on development of procedures designed to treat the condition (problem-oriented medical care) and have gathered short-term outcomes to benchmark their results and validate their practice; length of hospital stay, readmission rates, pain scores and 30-day morbidity and mortality are some of the more common outcomes.

A legitimate concern for traditional outcome measures is that they record adverse events based on their relevance to healthcare providers and funders, but do not necessarily consider what is pertinent to the individual patient. To complicate matters, economic

inducements and patient demand have led to development and offering of procedures that may be of low therapeutic value or have the propensity to cause more harm than benefit.<sup>3</sup>

Patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs), and to a lesser extent patient-reported experience measures (PREMs) of care are useful additions to standard surgical outcome measures and have become indices for quality of treatment.<sup>4</sup> However, as they often rely on patient satisfaction, they may not provide a true reflection of health improvement and the quality of care.

#### Patient-centred surgical outcome measures

To overcome limitations of both standard surgical outcome measures and PROM/PREM data, it has been recognised that delivery and assessment of the quality of healthcare needs to evolve. In 2008, the 'triple aim' was first suggested as a vehicle to implement interventions that are relevant to individual patients, as well as improving the health of populations and reducing the *per capita* cost of health care. The first two aims are aligned with those of the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (<a href="https://www.pcori.org">https://www.pcori.org</a>) which recommends that medical care should focus on outcomes that people notice and care about such as survival, function, symptoms and health-related qualities of life.

In addition, it has been suggested that there are five meaningful domains of patient-centred outcomes that are relevant to surgical patients.<sup>6, 7</sup> These are:

- 1. life impact (survival),
- 2. patient satisfaction,
- 3. functional status,

- 4. well-being, and
- 5. health-related quality of life.

A sixth domain of preparation for and improving the chances of a good death<sup>1, 8, 9</sup> may be added to this list.

### **Goal-oriented patient care**

Recognising that some treatments and interventions may cause harm, specialties like family practice and care of the older adult have advocated a move to 'goal'- rather than 'problem'- or 'diagnosis'-oriented patient care.<sup>1, 2</sup> As well as aligning with the components of the triple aim of healthcare delivery,<sup>5</sup> this approach promotes attainment of specific and realistic health goals that are relevant to the individual patient.

Goal-oriented perioperative care can be considered as having two components. Firstly, to identify the realistically achievable goals of the individual patient (based on the aforementioned six meaningful domains of patient-centred outcomes),<sup>6, 7</sup> and secondly, to devise a treatment pathway for the patient in which these goals are the objective of perioperative care. When it is perceived that the preoperative level of health-related quality of life (HRQOL) will not be achieved or the patient will not return to their previous accommodation,<sup>10</sup> these concerns should form a core component of the meaningful discussion process prior to surgery.<sup>11</sup> At this point, some patients may refuse surgery if the prospect of deterioration of function or cognitive impairment is real, and may consider other therapies or even the option of doing nothing.<sup>12, 13</sup>

To meet this patient-centric approach, a fundamental change is required in patient and procedure selection, perioperative care, and rehabilitation, with a focus on medium- to long-term outcomes that matter to the individual patient. Furthermore, patients (and their family and carers) and perioperative healthcare professionals need to be aware that recovery from a surgical procedure is a continuum that starts in the immediate postoperative phase and often extends for several months, and that sometimes resumption of normal function and activities may not be achieved. These factors must be considered in the shared decision-making process. 11-13, 15

#### Using patient goals to promote postoperative recovery

Full recovery from surgery, as defined by Allvin and colleagues, <sup>16</sup> can no longer be seen as readiness for discharge from hospital, but should be regarded as an energy-requiring process that includes several attributes:

- a return to a state of normality and wholeness defined by comparative standards,
- regaining control over physical, psychological, social, and habitual functions,
- returning to preoperative levels of independency/dependency in activities of daily living, and
- regaining one's optimal level of well-being,

to which, in view of the problems associated with persistent postoperative opioid use, may be added

• cessation of all postoperative analgesia. 17, 18

Tribble and Julliard<sup>19</sup> expanded the concept of consent to include full disclosure of best- and worst-case scenarios for surgical outcomes. This places the onus on the surgeon to ensure that the patient and their family or carers have a clear understanding of the short-, medium-and long-term effects of surgery: the classical description of "informed consent" is superseded by the concept of "shared decision-making", and introduces the concept of a "therapeutic alliance" between the patient, family, carers and treating team.<sup>12, 13</sup>

Patients' definitions of recovery can depend on their situation and expectations, and it is useful to train perioperative care teams to discuss best- and worst-case outcome scenarios with patients and their family and carers<sup>20</sup> (**Table 1**). Surgery can sometimes have such a deleterious effect on function and independence that even if a good "clinical" result (i.e. 30-day survival) is achieved, the outcome is catastrophic for the individual patient if their goals are not met.<sup>7</sup>

The multidisciplinary perioperative team must understand the personal goals of the patient through meaningful dialogue and reconcile these with what is realistically achievable, and secure a shared understanding of the possible outcomes of treatment (**Figure 1**). This is now mandated by the UK General Medical Council (GMC) in the recently published professional guidance on decision making and consent. <sup>11</sup> In addition, the concept of utilising the period between diagnosis and admission for surgery to prepare the patient better for surgery is now being increasingly accepted as a method for improving patient-centred outcomes. <sup>13</sup> This can be achieved by having conversations around shared decision-making, and optimising the patient physiologically, medically and psychologically. Health care professionals should realise that although their knowledge, experience and opinions can guide the decision-making process, it is the patient who should be the real decision maker.

Sometimes doing nothing, in accordance with the patient's wishes, goes a long way in achieving the patient's goals.

There remains the challenge of reconciling what is achievable from surgical care with what the patient desires. While most surgical procedures can be reasonably expected to result in a return to baseline or better function, some (e.g. oesophagectomy) result in a long-term reduction in quality of life.<sup>21</sup> Research in the field should bring clinicians and patients together to describe the challenges of these treatments in a manner that can be explained to patients preoperatively, and informed consent must include some understanding of the achievable functional recovery and impact on HRQOLs.

## Perioperative implications of meaningful discussion and shared decision-making

The concepts of meaningful dialogue and shared-decision making are designed to enhance the communication between the patient, carers and treating team and aid the patient in making what they judge to be the appropriate choice of therapy. There is now a responsibility on the treating team to disclose any risk of serious harm, irrespective of how unlikely it is to occur, and this may raise ethical and legal issues. Clinicians may also be faced with a moral dilemma when a high-risk patient opts for a high-risk intervention, despite being fully informed that it is highly likely that the intervention may result in death or disability. Many of these concerns may be resolved with risk-assessment and multidisciplinary dialogue. However, just as clinicians may seek guidance from a local clinical ethics committee in the event of disagreement about the best interests of a patient lacking

capacity, it may occasionally be necessary to refer decisions made by patients with capacity to ethics committees.

#### Implementation of patient-centric goal-oriented perioperative care

Perioperative care embraces the concept of integrated multidisciplinary care of patients from the moment surgery is contemplated through to full recovery. It is now appreciated that before listing for surgery, the goals of the patient must be ascertained, <sup>11</sup> and this can only occur through meaningful discussion based on evidence-based risk assessment. <sup>23</sup> Once the patient has decided that surgery is the desired option for them, multiple interventions can and should be undertaken to improve the subsequent surgical and patient-centred outcomes. <sup>13</sup> These interventions include lifestyle modification, comorbidity optimisation, drug modification, surgery school, as well as prehabilitation (physical, nutritional and psychological optimisation). <sup>13</sup>

Perioperative care, often bundled as 'enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS)' programmes, has changed beyond recognition over the last two decades, with significant steps forward in optimising physiology, recovery of organ function, analgesia and early recovery of independence.<sup>24</sup> The promotion of earlier restoration of function, mobilisation and safer earlier hospital discharge is also being promoted by strategies advocated in the international #EndPJparalysis campaign (<a href="https://endpjparalysis.org">https://endpjparalysis.org</a>) – 'get up, get dressed, get moving'.<sup>25</sup>

Rehabilitation, and increasingly prehabilitation, are approaches to optimising the rate and extent of postoperative recovery. Both pre- and post-operative approaches focus on general

cardiovascular fitness (particularly in the setting of major thoraco-abdominal surgery) as well as more focused recovery of function (e.g. after orthopaedic surgery).<sup>26, 27</sup>

Prehabilitation and rehabilitation are central to recovery, and span the time from the decision to operate to beyond hospital discharge.

Discharge from hospital should be regarded as a watershed moment in the continuum of recovery, but not the end point of recovery. Key goals should be set to allow discharge, including degree of independence, physical rehabilitation, nutrition and a proactive plan to reduce use of analgesics. Clear guidance should also be given on time to return to driving and measures to reduce opioid-related harm.<sup>18</sup>

Many operations carry long-term sequelae and management of the recovery process must include plans to support and monitor the patient for expected and possible late effects, including malnutrition, functional failure of the procedure, disease recurrence and ensuing psychological effects.

However, there are several barriers that may hinder the realisation of the benefits of patient-centric goal-oriented perioperative care. These barriers arise at the system, clinical and patient levels,<sup>28</sup> and overcoming them requires implementation of several enablers at various levels of the health care system<sup>29</sup> (**Figure 2**).

#### Conclusion

The improvements in objective, clinician-centric postoperative outcomes, such as reductions in morbidity and mortality, represent a major advance in surgical care. We are now able to offer multiple procedures, with well-described morbidity and mortality risks, to

even high-risk patients with a reasonable expectation of survival to hospital discharge. However, treatment and life goals of the patient should be explored through meaningful dialogue and these should be incorporated into shared decision-making throughout the patient's healthcare journey. In addition, once these goals are articulated, clinicians can implement relevant strategies to ensure that these patient-centric goals are the focus of clinical care. Furthermore, patient-centric outcomes should no longer be regarded purely as academic research tools, but as clinical goals. Clinicians and healthcare teams will need to individualise their approach to achieve these patient-centric goals and healthcare providers will have to allocate resources to enable this.

# **Declaration of interests**

None of the authors has a direct conflict of interest to declare. DNL has received a speaker's honorarium from Fresenius Kabi for unrelated work in the last 36 months.

#### References

- 1 Mold JW, Blake GH, Becker LA. Goal-oriented medical care. Fam Med 1991; 23: 46-51
- 2 Reuben DB, Tinetti ME. Goal-oriented patient care--an alternative health outcomes paradigm. *N Engl J Med* 2012; **366**: 777-9
- 3 Berlin NL, Skolarus TA, Kerr EA, Dossett LA. Too much surgery: overcoming barriers to deimplementation of low-value surgery. *Ann Surg* 2020; **271**: 1020-2
- 4 Kingsley C, Patel S. Patient-reported outcome measures and patient-reported experience measures. *Bja Education* 2017; **17**: 137-44
- 5 Berwick DM, Nolan TW, Whittington J. The triple aim: care, health, and cost. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2008; **27**: 759-69
- 6 Moonesinghe SR, Jackson AIR, Boney O, et al. Systematic review and consensus definitions for the Standardised Endpoints in Perioperative Medicine initiative: patient-centred outcomes. *Br J Anaesth* 2019; **123**: 664-70
- 7 Ladha KS, Wijeysundera DN. Role of patient-centred outcomes after hospital discharge: a state-of-the-art review. *Anaesthesia* 2020; **75 Suppl 1**: e151-e7
- 8 Rabow MW, Hauser JM, Adams J. Supporting family caregivers at the end of life: "they don't know what they don't know". *JAMA* 2004; **291**: 483-91
- 9 Wickersham E, Gowin M, Deen MH, Nagykaldi Z. Improving the adoption of advance directives in primary care practices. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2019; **32**: 168-79
- 10 Schandl A, Lagergren J, Johar A, Lagergren P. Health-related quality of life 10 years after oesophageal cancer surgery. *Eur J Cancer* 2016; **69**: 43-50
- 11 General Medical Council. Guidance on professional standards and ethics for doctors: Decision making and consent. 2020. Available from <a href="https://www.gmc-uk.org/-/media/documents/updated-decision-making-and-consent-guidance-english-09">https://www.gmc-uk.org/-/media/documents/updated-decision-making-and-consent-guidance-english-09</a> 11 20 pdf-
- 84176092.pdf?la=en&hash=4FC9D08017C5DAAD20801F04E34E616BCE060AAF (Accessed 01/12/2020)
- 12 Choosing Wisely UK. Available from <a href="https://www.choosingwisely.co.uk/about-choosing-wisely-uk/">https://www.choosingwisely.co.uk/about-choosing-wisely-uk/</a> (Accessed 01/12/2020)
- 13 Levy N, Selwyn DA, Lobo DN. Turning 'waiting lists' for elective surgery into 'preparation lists'. *Br J Anaesth* 2020 [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1016/j.bja.2020.08.021
- 14 Bowyer AJ, Royse CF. Postoperative recovery and outcomes--what are we measuring and for whom? *Anaesthesia* 2016; **71 Suppl 1**: 72-7
- 15 Santhirapala R, Partridge J, MacEwen CJ. The older surgical patient to operate or not? A state of the art review. *Anaesthesia* 2020; **75 Suppl 1**: e46-e53
- 16 Allvin R, Berg K, Idvall E, Nilsson U. Postoperative recovery: a concept analysis. *J Adv Nurs* 2007; **57**: 552-8
- 17 Levy N, Grocott MPW, Lobo DN. Restoration of function: the holy grail of peri-operative care. *Anaesthesia* 2020; **75 Suppl 1**: e14-e7
- 18 Levy N, Quinlan J, El-Boghdadly K, et al. An international multidisciplinary consensus statement on the prevention of opioid-related harm in adult surgical patients. *Anaesthesia* 2020 [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1111/anae.15262
- 19 Tribble C, Julliard W. First, we do harm: obtaining informed consent for surgical procedures. *Heart Surg Forum* 2019; **22**: E423-E8

- 20 Kruser JM, Taylor LJ, Campbell TC, et al. "Best case/worst case": training surgeons to use a novel communication tool for high-risk acute surgical problems. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2017; **53**: 711-9 e5
- 21 Markar SR, Zaninotto G, Castoro C, et al. Lasting symptoms after esophageal resection (LASER): European multicenter cross-sectional study. *Ann Surg* 2020 [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000003917
- 22 Sokol D. New guidance from the GMC: what constitutes meaningful dialogue? *BMJ* 2020; **371**: m3933
- 23 Wijeysundera DN, Beattie WS, Hillis GS, et al. Integration of the Duke Activity Status Index into preoperative risk evaluation: a multicentre prospective cohort study. *Br J Anaesth* 2020;**124**:261-270.
- 24 Ljungqvist O, Scott M, Fearon KC. Enhanced Recovery After Surgery: a review. *JAMA Surg* 2017; **152**: 292-8
- 25 Surkan MJ, Gibson W. Interventions to mobilize elderly patients and reduce length of hospital stay. *Can J Cardiol* 2018; **34**: 881-8
- 26 Bandholm T, Wainwright TW, Kehlet H. Rehabilitation strategies for optimisation of functional recovery after major joint replacement. *J Exp Orthop* 2018; **5**: 44
- 27 Hughes MJ, Hackney RJ, Lamb PJ, Wigmore SJ, Christopher Deans DA, Skipworth RJE. Prehabilitation before major abdominal surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J Surg* 2019; **43**: 1661-8
- 28 Charette SL, Garcia MB, Reuben DB. Goal-Oriented Care. In: Bensadon BA, ed. *Psychology and Geriatrics: Integrated Care for an Aging Population*. London: Academic Press, 2015; 1-19 29 Steele Gray C, Grudniewicz A, Armas A, Mold J, Im J, Boeckxstaens P. Goal-oriented care: a catalyst for person-centred system integration. *Int J Integr Care* 2020; **20**: 8, 1-10

pathway

# **Figures**

Discover the goals of the individual patient (survival, Confirm that the patient's and carers' goals reconcile with satisfaction, likely and potential outcomes restoration of function, well-being and quality Ensure that the Optimise surgical and patient-centred patient and carers of life) are aware of the outcomes by possible outcomes personalised
interventions throughout
the perioperative of surgery (including best and worst outcomes)

Figure 1: Meaningful discussion to personalise decision-making and optimise outcomes.

#### **Enablers Barriers** Inadequate resources Time constrained clinical consultations System Use person-centred goals rather than problem-Fragmentation of care oriented care to create shared aims across level Reductionism and jurisdiction organisations with senior leadership support Lack of emphasis in academic curricula Train health and social care professionals, patients and their carers to engage in shared decision-Failure to contextualise the patient's condition making and goal-oriented care Paternalism and lack of introspection Clinical Difficulty in dealing with uncertainty Inter- and intra-institutional processes to enable level Cultural (in)competence professionals to communicate and share information Failure to appreciate change in patient goals Create a common vision for system level reform Difficulty in making decisions Invest in resources to enable a shared person-Variable levels of health literacy centred approach within and across health and **Patient** Variable degree of patient engagement in social care systems goal-setting level Unrealistic goals Biomedical heterogeneity among older adults

Figure 2: Barriers to and enablers of implementation of patient-centric goal-oriented care.

[Data from<sup>28, 29</sup>]

Table 1: Selected patient scenarios with possible outcomes

Patient scenario	Patient goals	Therapeutic option	Best possible outcome	Worst possible outcome
Elite athlete with anterior	Return to professional	Surgical repair	Return to full function	Surgical failure
cruciate ligament rupture	sports			Long-term disability
		Physiotherapy	Good function but below	Inadequate long-term
			level necessary for	function
			professional sport	
65-yr-old man with locally	Attend daughter's wedding	Chemoradiotherapy with	50% 5-yr survival,	2% perioperative mortality
advanced oesophageal	in 4 months' time	surgical resection	permanently reduced	risk
cancer	Long-term survival		quality of life	Intolerable long-term side-
				effects of surgery
		Palliative stent and	Alive and able to attend	Small risk of early mortality
		chemoradiotherapy	wedding, life-expectancy	from
			1-2 yr	stent/chemoradiotherapy
85-yr-old with incidentally	Survival and maintain	Open aneurysm repair	Successful repair, 6-12	5% perioperative mortality
diagnosed 6 cm infra-renal	independence at home		month recovery period,	risk
abdominal aortic			potential for long-term	Never regaining
aneurysm, not amenable			reduction in quality of life	independence
to endovascular repair		Observation	No reduction in quality of	14% annual risk of rupture
			life	and death

62-yr-old man with	Reduced pain and	Total hip replacement	Reduced pain	Failed/infected surgical
diabetes mellitus, BMI of	increased mobility			repair
40 kg m <sup>-2</sup> and lifestyle-				Persistent pain
limiting hip pain due to				Function worse than it was
osteoarthritis				preoperatively
		Weight loss and	Reduced pain, increased	Failure to lose weight
		physiotherapy	mobility	Unchanged poor function
			Prolonged life-expectancy.	
			Option of lower risk	
			surgery in the future	
87-yr-old widow who has	Absence of pain	Cemented	Return to previous level of	Bone cement syndrome
carers but lives alone with		hemiarthroplasty	function	Delirium
a displaced intracapsular	Return to previous level of		Pain controlled	Reduced cognitive function
neck of femur fracture	accommodation		Dying a good death in	Requires higher level of
			comfort	post-hospital care
	A good death			Intraoperative death
		Conservative treatment	Requires higher level of	In hospital death following
			post hospital care after	slow and unpleasant
			prolonged hospital stay	deterioration
78-yr-old with congestive	Maintaining independence	Hartmann's procedure	Survival at cost of	In hospital death following
cardiac failure, limited	and acceptable quality of		prolonged hospital stay	slow and unpleasant
exercise tolerance and	life		Permanent stoma	deterioration
perforated diverticulitis			Likely reduction in quality	
			of life	
		Palliative care	Dying in comfort	Dying in discomfort