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ABSTRACT

The Urban Heat Island (UHI) effect occurs when an urban area experiences higher temperatures than its rural surrounding because of heat being absorbed by built structures and heat being released by anthropogenic sources. UHIs can cause adverse effects to human health and increase energy consumption used for cooling buildings. Therefore, it is important to monitor accurately the UHI effect. The intensity of UHIs are usually monitored using satellite imagery, airborne sensors, and surface temperature sensors. Satellite imagery can cover a large area but requires a clear sky to obtain good images. Moreover, airborne sensors are expensive and also require a clear sky to obtain good data. A large network of surface temperature sensors is required to monitor the UHI of an entire region, which can also be expensive. In this paper, we present a three-step algorithm to monitor UHI intensity using data from Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS). The advantages of using GNSS data to monitor the UHI effect are the increased availability of observation data, high temporal resolution and high geographical resolution. The first step of the algorithm is the calculation of a priori environmental parameters (i.e., water vapour partial pressure, troposphere height, surface pressure, and the vertical profile of refractivity) from radiosonde data. The second step is the calculation of temperature from GNSS data. The last step is the UHI intensity computation. The algorithm presented in this paper has been tested and validated using publicly available GNSS and meteorological data from Los Angeles, California, USA. The validation of the algorithm is done by comparing the UHI intensity estimated from the algorithm with temperature data obtained from weather stations. In the validation, the proposed algorithm can achieve an accuracy of 1.71 °C at 95 % confidence level.

1. Introduction

The urban heat island (UHI) effect occurs when urban regions experience warmer temperatures than their rural surroundings (Roth, 2013). The main causes of the UHI are the heat absorbed by the built structures and the anthropogenic heat sources in a city (Roth, 2013). It is important to monitor UHI intensity (UHI) because they exacerbate heat waves and can cause adverse effects to the environment such as increases in ground-level ozone (Memon et al., 2009; Rizwan et al., 2008). An increasing population with a large and denser built area leads to a higher UHI intensity. (Golden et al., 2007) and increased energy consumption due to the increased demand for artificial air-conditioning of buildings (Kikegawa et al., 2003). As a result, more electrical energy is produced and more greenhouse gases are emitted due to the combustion of fossil fuels (Yu and Hien, 2006). This increases smog production, as well as contributing to the increased emission of pollutants from power plants (Shahmohamadi et al., 2011). Furthermore, UHI influences the quality of life and human well-being in urban areas (Schwarz et al., 2011). For instance, warmer temperatures in cities can cause discomfort, and an increase in morbidity and mortality rates (Memon et al., 2009; Rizwan et al., 2008). The UHI effect can also alter other environmental quality measures, create stress on water resources because of an increased demand for water in the city (Jauregui, 1997), decrease air quality (Jauregui, 1997) and reduce biodiversity (Schwarz et al., 2011).

Three techniques are currently used to monitor the UHI intensity: a...
network of ground-based temperature sensors (Jauregui, 1997; Ramamurthy and Sangobanwo, 2016); satellite data (Mohegh et al., 2018; Tanaka et al., 2005; Vahmani and Ban-Weiss, 2016) and airborne instruments (Grimmond et al., 2010). UHI intensity is monitored with ground-based sensors by measuring the difference of temperature between sensors within an urban area and in a nearby rural area (Jin, 2012). The main disadvantage of surface temperature sensors is that a dense network of sensors is needed to cover a metropolitan area leading to increased monitoring costs.

Satellite imaging and airborne instruments have the advantage of covering a large geographical area. However, clear-sky conditions are required to obtain accurate data. Satellite images are obtained from sensor products such as the LANDSAT satellite. The Thermic Mapper and the Enhanced Thermic Mapper of LANDSAT have been used to monitor UHI intensity in different cities such as Shanghai (Li et al., 2012) or Rio de Janeiro (de Faria Peres et al., 2018). Images are scarce because clear skies are required to obtain high quality images. Furthermore, the use of airborne instruments increases the cost of monitoring UHI intensity. Examples of other remote sensing instruments used to monitor the UHI is the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) which is used with a four-parameter diurnal temperature cycle model (Lai et al., 2018) to monitor the UHI in 354 Chinese cities. MODIS temperature data has also been used to monitor the urban heat island effect across biomes in the USA (Imhoff et al., 2010). Furthermore, the MODIS atmospheric profile product has been used to monitor the UHI in four mega-cities in North America (Hu and Brunsell, 2015). The Urban Heat Island in Los Angeles, California has been studied using meteorological data (Ramamurthy and Sangobanwo, 2016). Also, the UHI in LA has been studied using the Weather Forecast Research model and MODIS data in order to study the effect of vegetation to the UHII in LA (Vahmani and Ban-Weiss, 2016). Moreover, the effect of roof albedo to the UHI in LA has been studied (Mohegh et al., 2018).

In this paper we present a novel method of monitoring UHI intensity using ground-based observation data from Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS). The algorithm is based on the relationship between the tropospheric delay caused by the atmosphere and the environmental variables at the site of measurement. Ground-based GNSS data has been used to monitor environmental variables such as precipitable water (Bevis et al., 1994; Jin et al., 2014; Vaquero-Martínez et al., 2017). This algorithm presents the use of ground based GNSS data to estimate temperature and monitor the UHI intensity.

The advantages of GNSS data compared to other remote sensing satellite products are the availability of GNSS data, these signals are available in all weather conditions and there are many satellites available in a given position at all times (Jin, 2012). The second advantage is that GNSS data has a higher temporal resolution, because it can be collected and processed at different time intervals. Particularly, GNSS data can be obtained and processed in real-time. Another advantage is that because of miniaturization, GNSS sensors are currently embedded in many hand-held devices such as smartphones, recent research has focused on the potential that raw GNSS data collected with smartphones has in surveying and positioning (Hikansson, 2019). Therefore, GNSS sensors are widely available allowing for the building of a dense network with high spatial resolution at relatively low cost.

In Section 2 of this paper, the data used for validation of the algorithm is described. In Section 3 of this paper, the algorithm developed to monitor the UHI intensity from GNSS data is presented. The UHI intensity (UHII) in the testing location is presented in Section 4. The output of the algorithm is compared with the UHII found with surface meteorological data at the same locations as the GNSS stations in order to validate the output of the algorithm. In Section 5, discussion and conclusions are given.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study area

Los Angeles (LA) is the second most populous city and metropolitan area of the United States of America (USA). LA is a coastal city in the west coast of the USA, it is located across a broad coastal plain between mountains and the Pacific Ocean. The LA climate is typically classified as semi-arid or subtropical Mediterranean (Csb) based on Köppen-Geiger’s classification (Ramamurthy and Sangobanwo, 2016). In terms of built environment layout, the city is composed of a series of widely dispersed settlements loosely connected to downtown (Britannica, 2019). LA has been chosen as a test site because of the dispersed distribution of the settlement and the fact that there are different geographical conditions across the settlement, including mountains, the Pacific Ocean and desert. In LA metropolitan area there are many GNSS and meteorological stations covering most of the territory and data are easily available for both, GNSS and meteorological stations.

2.2. Data used to monitor the UHI intensity

GNSS data is the input to the algorithm to estimate the temperature from Zenith Tropospheric Delay (ZTD) and to monitor the UHII. For validation purposes, the temperature estimated with the algorithm is compared to the meteorological data obtained from meteorological stations near the GNSS stations. Therefore, the test site, the GNSS and the meteorological data used to test and validate the algorithm are described in the following sub-sections.

2.2.1. GNSS data in LA

The source of GNSS data in is the International GNSS Service (IGS) which provides observation data and other products such as navigation data and precise ephemeris. In total, there are 16 IGS GNSS stations in the LA metropolitan area located in different environments such as mountains, near bodies of water and near the desert. However, only 5 stations are used to test the algorithm because these GNSS stations are close to a meteorological station (less than 1 km away).

Table 1 shows the details of the GNSS stations in LA metropolitan area used to test the algorithm. The first column of Table 1 indicates the GNSS station code, followed by the columns indicating the latitude, longitude and altitude of the station as reported by the IGS. Furthermore, the fifth column indicates the municipality where the station is located. The last column indicates whether the station is in a rural-type area (R) or in an urban-type environment (U). The classification is done before the data is processed using satellite images of the surroundings of the station. Data from 5 years, 2014–2019 has been used to test the algorithm.

2.2.2. Meteorological data from LA

The meteorological data used for the validation of the algorithm is obtained from the U.S National Centres for Environmental Information (NCEI) of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) which provides access to climate databases run by different authorities in the US and Canada. The classification is done before the data is processed using satellite images of the surroundings of the station. Data from 5 years, 2014–2019 has been used to test the algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IGS code</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Municipality</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HOLP</td>
<td>33.92</td>
<td>–118.16</td>
<td>–6.71</td>
<td>Hollydale</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPK1</td>
<td>34.05</td>
<td>–118.64</td>
<td>440.13</td>
<td>Saddle Peak</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIDC</td>
<td>33.93</td>
<td>–116.39</td>
<td>445.04</td>
<td>Sky Valley</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBCH</td>
<td>33.79</td>
<td>–118.2</td>
<td>–27.58</td>
<td>Long Beach</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORP</td>
<td>33.79</td>
<td>–118.33</td>
<td>–5.22</td>
<td>Torrance</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
at every station during every day of the study. The data used for this study comes from 5 stations deployed around the city, the exact locations and details of the meteorological stations are presented in Table 2.

Data from year 2014-2019 has been processed. All data is collected at a standard height of 2 m above the surface. The first column of Table 2 indicates the code assigned by NOAA, then the latitude, longitude and height of the stations are reported. The fourth column indicates the municipality where the station is located, and the last column indicates the type of area where the station is located following the same classification scheme used for the GNSS stations.

3. Algorithm to monitor the UHII from GNSS data

The intensity of the UHI (UHII) is defined by the difference between a temperature in an urban area and one in its surrounding rural area. The algorithm is divided in 4 steps:

1. Data collection simultaneously in an urban and a rural area.
2. Processing of GNSS data to obtain the ZTD and location of the station. The Precise Point Positioning technique (Lau et al., 2003; Zumberge et al., 1997) is used (PPP).
3. Calculation of temperature using ZTD in the urban and the rural station.
4. Computation of the UHII.

3.1. Classification of the type of environment around the station

Urban areas are densely populated and densely constructed (Memon et al., 2009). In urban areas, there are anthropogenic sources of heat, such as the means of transportation and air conditioning units, among others. In contrast, a rural area has few built structures, is mostly surrounded by nature and the numbers and types of anthropogenic heat sources are reduced. Usually rural and urban areas are attached to each other, with rural areas found outside the city or urban area. (Memon et al., 2009).

In satellite imagery where a pixel of the picture represents several km, an urban area will be typically a city, and a rural area, its surroundings. However, when the remote sensing resolution is increased, an urban-like or rural-like area can be defined within a city. Examples of urban-like and rural-like areas in the Los Angeles (LA) metropolitan area are shown in Fig. 1 a) and Fig. 1 b), respectively.

Fig. 1 a) shows a rural-like area, in this case, a golf course in Carson, California. The golf course is a big green area with no built structures. It is surrounded by an expressway, which is a source for anthropogenic heat caused by the moving vehicles. However, the space is open air so the contribution to the UHI is minimum. The golf course is also surrounded by other urban areas, which also have many built structures and sources for anthropogenic heat such as highways and the airport. The station LBCH is surrounded by built structures, it is located in Long Beach. The station HOLP is located in Hollydale and it is surrounded by built structures, however the structures are not densely packed as in the case of LBCH.

3.2. Estimation of ZTD

Ground-based GNSS observation data described in Table 1 has been processed with RTKLIB to obtain the location of the receivers and the ZTD. RTKLIB is an open source positioning software, developed by T. Takatsu (http://www.rtklib.com/). It can implement different positioning techniques, among them the Precise Point Positioning (PPP) method, which can be computed in either static or kinematic mode. The PPP technique is implemented with an Extended Kalman Filter (EKF) (Tolman, 2008) which allows the estimation of parameters such as ZTD using observation data, navigation data and precise ephemeris (Zumberge et al., 1997). The estimation of parameters can achieve a cm accuracy (Gao, 2006). RTKLIB is used because a previous study has shown that the estimations are done with high precision (Mendez Astudillo et al., 2018).

RTKLIB required the precise ephemeris, clock and navigation data which are obtained from the IGS final products. A value for ZTD was estimated with a 30 s interval because that is the interval of data availability. 5 years (2014–2019) of data have been processed.

3.3. Algorithm to calculate temperature from GNSS data

The algorithm developed to calculate temperature from GNSS data is shown in the block diagram in Fig. 4. The algorithm requires a priori values for the height of the troposphere (S), air pressure at the place of measurement (P) and the water vapor partial pressure (e) at the site of measurement to compute the profile of the refractivity. These values are obtained from radiosonde data and are called the universal parameters.

3.3.1. Calculation of universal parameters

3.3.1.1. Height of the troposphere (S). The height of the troposphere S is calculated using GNSS data and radiosonde data as described in Mendez Astudillo et al. (2020). The height of the troposphere $Z_{\text{top}}$ is calculated using the criteria for the first and second lapse rate tropopause (LRT1 and LRT2) defined by the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) and radiosonde data: the LRT1 is defined as the lowest level at which the lapse rate (change of temperature with height) decreases to 2 °C / km or less, provided also that the averaged lapse rate between this level and levels within the next 2 km vertically does not exceed 2 °C / km. If above the LRT1 the average lapse rate between any level and all higher levels with 1 km exceeds 3 °C / km, then a second tropopause (LRT2) is defined. LRT2 may be either within or above the 1 km layer (WMO, 1957). The path of the GNSS signal in troposphere is defined as the
The height of LRT2 has been calculated with radiosonde data from stations covering all the latitudes of the world. (from latitudes in -90° to 90° with a 5° step). Fig. 5 shows a diagram indicating the path of the GNSS signal from the satellite to the receiver and the location of LRT1 and LRT2 within the troposphere.

3.3.1.2. Pressure (P). The pressure is obtained from radiosonde sounding, it is considered a constant, as its annual fluctuation is negligible.

3.3.1.3. Water vapor partial pressure at different heights $e(z)$. The water vapor partial pressure $e(z)$ is calculated using Antoine’s model and temperatures from radiosonde data at the different heights ($z$). Defined with the following equation (Tolman, 2008):

$$
e(z) = \frac{10^{4} \cdot \frac{T(z)}{0.75}}$$

Fig. 1. Examples of a) a rural-type area, and b) an urban-like area, in LA.

Fig. 2. Surroundings of GNSS stations SPK1 and WIDC, considered rural stations.

Fig. 3. Surroundings of the stations: TORP, LBCH and HOLP considered urban stations.
where A, B and C are Antoine’s constants \( A = 8.071, B = 1730.63 \) and \( C = 233.43 \). \( T(z) \) is the temperature in Kelvin (Tolman, 2008). Equation 1 is valid for temperatures greater than 0 °C and lower than 100 °C. It is assumed that urban temperatures in the metropolitan areas under study are between that range most of the time. Negative temperatures are assumed to yield 0 hPa of water vapor partial pressure.

3.3.2. Calculation of local parameters

The tropospheric delay is related to the environment conditions through the refractivity of the troposphere. The refractivity \( N \) of the troposphere is defined as: (Essen and Froome, 1951).

\[
N = k_1 \cdot \frac{e}{T} + k_2 \cdot \frac{e}{T} + k_3 \cdot \frac{e}{T}^2
\]  

(2)

where the empirically calculated constants \( k_1 = 77.6 \), \( k_2 = 72 \) and \( k_3 = 3.75 \times 10^5 \) (Thayer, 1974). \( P \) is the pressure of the air at the point of measurement, \( e \) is the water vapor partial pressure and \( T \) is the temperature in kelvins. The profile of the refractivity against height has been calculated using radiosonde data and Eq. 2 at different heights. 10 years of radiosonde data have been processed from 2009 to 2019 (Radiosonde USM00072376 located in the coordinates latitude = 35.05°, longitude = -111°, height = 2179 m) and the following relation has been empirically derived:

\[
N = N_0 \exp^{-N_{dz}}
\]  

(3)

where \( N_0 \) is the refractivity at height equals to 0 m, \( N_{dz} \) is the ratio of change of the refractivity with altitude. \( Z_{rop} \) is the height at which the measurement is taken. The troposphere causes a delay to the signal in the zenith direction \( ZTD \) (in m) which can be expressed as an integral of the total refractivity \( N \) along propagation path \( s \) from receiver \( r \) to satellite \( w \) (Hofmann-Wellenhof et al., 2008):

\[
ZTD = 10^{-6} \int_{-a}^{w} N_{dz} ds
\]  

(4)

A local \( N_0 \) (\( N_{0\text{,local}} \)) is found using \( N_{h_0} \), \( Z_{rop} \) (height of the tropopause), the altitude of the receiver (\( Z_{rec} \)) and the ZTD in Eq. 5:

\[
N_{0\text{,local}} = \frac{ZTD}{\int_{-a}^{w} N_{dz} \exp^{N_{dz}/dz}}
\]  

(5)

\( N_{0\text{,local}} \) is the value of the refractive index near the surface of the place of measurement.

3.3.2.1. Calculation of temperature. The temperature of the site is calculated by putting zero to the equation of refractivity (Eq. 2) and solving the quadratic equation:

\[
N_{0\text{,local}} T_v^2 - T_v(k_1P - (k_1 + k_2)e) - k_3e = 0
\]  

(6)

3.3.3. Calculation of the UHII (intensity) from GNSS data

The UHI intensity is calculated by subtracting the temperature at an urban station from the temperature at a rural station. An average daily temperature is obtained per year. An average for the 5 year is obtained in order to test the algorithm. The algorithm is validated by comparing the UHII obtained with GNSS data with the UHII obtained with meteorological data. The UHII in LA has been calculated using the temperature estimated with ground based GNSS observation data in an urban and a rural station. The temperatures estimated with the algorithm in the rural stations: SPK1 and WIDC have been subtracted to the temperatures estimated with ground based GNSS observation data in an urban and a rural station. The UHI intensity is calculated by subtracting the temperature at an urban station from the temperature at a rural station. An average daily temperature is obtained per year. An average for the 5 year is obtained in order to test the algorithm. The algorithm is validated by comparing the UHII obtained with GNSS data with the UHII obtained with meteorological data. The UHII in LA has been calculated using the temperature estimated with ground based GNSS observation data in an urban and a rural station. The temperatures estimated with the algorithm in the rural stations: SPK1 and WIDC have been subtracted to the temperatures estimated with ground based GNSS observation data in an urban and a rural station. The UHI intensity from meteorological data has been calculated using the relations shown in Equation 8.

3.4. UHII obtained with meteorological data

Meteorological data described in Fig. 2 has been processed to calculate the UHII in LA. The meteorological stations in urban areas are in Los Angeles Downtown (LAD) and Long Beach (LBC). The stations in rural-like areas are in the Hawthorne Municipal Airport (HAW), Los Angeles International Airport (LAX) and Redondo Beach (RBC) as described in Table 2. The UHI intensity from meteorological data has been calculated using the relations shown in Equation 8.
\[ UHII_{lw} = T_{met(\text{lbc})} - T_{met(\text{haw})} \]
\[ UHII_{lx} = T_{met(\text{lbc})} - T_{met(\text{lax})} \]
\[ UHII_{lr} = T_{met(\text{lbc})} - T_{met(\text{rbc})} \]

The UHII obtained with GNSS and meteorological data are presented in Section 4.

4. Results

In this section, the intensity of the UHI in LA obtained with GNSS and meteorological data are shown. Fig. 6 shows the UHII estimated with ground based GNSS observation data from 2014–2019.

Spring in the northern hemisphere has been defined as days of the year 60–151, summer has been defined as days of the year 152–243. Autumn has been defined as days of the year 244–334 and winter has been defined as days of the year 1–60 and 335–365. During the summer months, the intensity of the UHI increases while during the winter

Fig. 6. UHI intensity estimated with ground based GNSS observation data in three locations in LA metropolitan area; see Eq. 6.
months the UHII is lower. The yearly average intensity and the average intensity with GNSS data from each season (spring, summer, autumn and winter) with data from years 2014–2019 are shown in Table 3. The seasonal data each year has been averaged and a single seasonal average has been obtained. Table 3 shows the average UHII in °C using 5-year data and seasonal data.

4.1. UHII calculated with meteorological data

An average of the intensity of the UHI, a maximum and a minimum value each day has been obtained with meteorological data. Fig. 7 shows these values for the 6 pairs of selected stations in LA.

Fig. 7 shows that in all cases, during the summer the difference of temperature between the urban and the rural stations is bigger than during the winter. The UHII for stations in Los Angeles Downtown and Hawthorne Airport have a very similar environment, both are in rural-like areas, therefore, the intensity of the UHI between these stations is around 2 °C and the minimum and maximum values are around 1 °C on top or bottom of the average value. The average UHI intensity for each station with data from 5 years is shown in Table 4. Moreover, the average intensity for each season of the year is presented in Table 4.

5. Validation of results

GNSS receivers are located 1.5–2 meters above the ground in order to reduce multipath effect by the ground. Therefore, the temperature estimated with the algorithm is used to monitor the UHI intensity in the urban canopy layer because the air temperature around the receiver is estimated. Meteorological stations measure the air temperature at 1.5–2 meters above the ground. Therefore, the results presented in Fig. 7 and Table 4 measure the UHI intensity in the urban canopy layer.

Thus, the validation of the algorithm has been carried out by comparing the UHII determined by GNSS data (UHII_gnss) with the UHII calculated from temperature sensors at weather stations (UHII_met). The difference of the intensity at a given day of the year (\( \text{Diff}_UHII(\text{DOY}) \)) has been compared by using the following simple calculation:

\[
\text{Diff}_UHII(\text{DOY}) = \text{UHII}_\text{gnss}(\text{DOY}) - \text{UHII}_\text{met}(\text{DOY})
\]

The mean value of the differences is used as the indicator of the quality of the estimation. The first comparison is done using all available data, then the comparison of the differences using data from different seasons is done. The average difference of the results obtained with GNSS and with meteorological data are shown in Table 6. The RMS value of the differences is used to validate the algorithm. Also, the maximum and minimum value of UHI intensity found with GNSS data and with meteorological using all data and using seasonal data are shown in Table 7.

All the results obtained with GNSS data shown in Fig. 6, are within the range of the UHII found with meteorological data as shown in Fig. 7. The shape of the graphs obtained with both methods is very similar, during the summer months the intensity of the UHI increases in comparison with the winter months. The UHI intensity has been calculated with different stations which are located in similar environments and nearby.

The 6 pairs of meteorological and GNSS data used for validation purposes are related by location as described in Table 5.

The average differences between UHII from GNSS data and meteorological data are shown in Table 6 where all differences are below 3 °C.

The Root Mean Square of the differences between the UHII obtained with GNSS data and meteorological data are shown in Table 7. The RMS value for the 5-year data and for each of the seasons are shown in Table 7.

The accuracy of the UHII determination is 1.71 °C at a 95% confidence level using the whole 5-year data.

6. Discussion and conclusion

The algorithm is based on the estimation of temperature from GNSS data, which is achieved because of the relation between the refractivity of the troposphere and the environmental variables (pressure, water vapor partial pressure and temperature) at the site of measurement and the relation of the refractivity of the troposphere and the ZTD presented in this paper. Furthermore, the ZTD is defined as the integral over the path of the signal affected by the refractivity of the troposphere. Therefore, it is necessary to know the height of the top of the troposphere at the point of measurement. Radiosonde data and the WMO definition of the second lapse rate tropopause are used to calculate the height of the top of the troposphere.

Radiosonde data are used to calculate the profile of the refractivity of the troposphere as described in this paper. Moreover, values of pressure and water vapor partial pressure are estimated from radiosonde data. The values estimated from radiosonde data and the ZTD estimated with a PPP implementation, in this case RTKLIB, are the input to the algorithm. The output of the algorithm is the temperature at the site of measurement. In order to monitor the UHI intensity, it is necessary to estimate the temperature from GNSS data at an urban and a rural location simultaneously. GNSS data from the 6 selected locations in LA has been used as input to the algorithm to monitor the UHI effect.

The algorithm here presented demonstrates the possibility of using GNSS data to monitor the UHI intensity. Ground-based GNSS data has the following advantages: it works in all weather conditions, it is widely available as GNSS constellations are designed to cover the earth at all moments and it can be collected with hand-held receivers such as smartphones which can allow to build dense sensor networks without increasing the cost. Also, GNSS data has a very high temporal resolution and it can be processed in real-time or near-real-time. This algorithm shows that GNSS data can be used in urban climate studies in the micro- and meso-scale.

There are three main challenges to the algorithm that have been overcome. The first is the definition of urban and rural areas. Since, the algorithm allows to increase the spatial resolution of the estimation of temperature, the classification of an urban and rural station is made in terms of the amount of anthropogenic heat sources surrounding the GNSS and meteorological station. A high-density sensor network of GNSS receivers allows the monitoring of the UHI at low cost and high spatial resolution.

The second challenge is the difference of heights where the UHII is estimated and measured. All meteorological stations have a weather sensor which is located 2 m above the ground. Therefore, the UHI intensity is measured with weather stations data within the canopy layer. The GNSS stations are located at different altitudes. Therefore, during validation, the effect of height in temperature has been taken into account. According to WMO definition, every 1 km of altitude there is one 6.5 °C of difference. After the adjustment of temperature due to altitude, the temperature reported by the weather stations and estimated from GNSS data are at the same altitude and can be compared.

The third challenge of the algorithm is the estimation of water vapor partial pressure since it cannot be measured with sensors. The value of e must be modeled using temperatures at different heights obtained with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Average intensity and average intensity from each season obtained using GNSS data from 2014-2019.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pair of stations</td>
<td>5-year data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOLP-SFK1</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOFP-WIDC</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBCH-SFK1</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBCH-WIDC</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORP-SFK1</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORP-WIDC</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
radiosondes. Therefore, only two directly measured values are available per day, one at 00:00 UTC and one at 12:00 UTC. The value of $e$ at different times can be obtained using interpolation techniques.

The output of this novel algorithm has been validated by comparing the UHII obtained from the algorithm and from temperature sensors available at weather stations in the Los Angeles metropolitan area. The average difference is less than 3 °C for all pairs of stations studied. In all cases, during the summer is when the intensity of the UHI is the highest and the difference between the results of the algorithm and meteorological data are the lowest. According to the RMS of the differences, all pairs of stations except for UHII 3 (Table 5) are less than 2.5 °C. Overall, the proposed algorithm has achieved an average accuracy of 1.71 °C at 95% confidence level.

It has been shown that during spring and winter, the difference of the UHII obtained with GNSS data and the measured UHII with temperature data is lower than during other seasons, because during the summer and autumn it is typically dry season. Therefore, the water vapor partial pressure is not accurately modeled for those seasons. The discrepancies

---

Fig. 7. UHII calculated from 3 pairs of meteorological stations.
Table 4
Yearly UHII for 6 pairs of meteorological stations in LA metropolitan area using 5 years data and using seasonal data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intensity [°C]</th>
<th>Pair of stations</th>
<th>5-year data</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Autumn</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAD-HAW</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD-LAX</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD-RBC</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBC-HAW</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBC-LAX</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBC-RBC</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5
Relation of meteorological and GNSS pairs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meteorological data</th>
<th>GNSS data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UHII1</td>
<td>LAD-HAW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHII2</td>
<td>LAD-LAX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHII3</td>
<td>LAD-RBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHII4</td>
<td>LBC-HAW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHII5</td>
<td>LBC-LAX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHII6</td>
<td>LBC-RBC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6
Average differences between UHII obtained with GNSS data and meteorological data at the 6 selected locations in LA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UHII difference [°C]</th>
<th>Pair of stations</th>
<th>5-year data</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Autumn</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UHII1</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHII2</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHII3</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHII4</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHII5</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHII6</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7
RMS of the differences between UHII obtained with GNSS data and meteorological data at the 6 selected locations in LA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RMS [°C]</th>
<th>Pair of stations</th>
<th>5-year data</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Autumn</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UHII1</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHII2</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHII3</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHII4</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHII5</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHII6</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

between the UHII estimated with the algorithm and the UHII obtained from meteorological stations can be attributed to the lack of water vapor partial pressure data, difference of altitude between the GNSS and meteorological station and GNSS processing. The algorithm can be implemented in real- or near-real- time if GNSS data and inputs to the algorithm are found in that time frame. It can be used to monitor the diurnal cycle of the UHII.
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