Use of an optofluidic microreactor and Cu nanoparticles synthesized in ionic liquid and embedded in TiO₂ for an efficient photoreduction of CO₂ to methanol

Jonathan Albo^{a,*}, Muhammad I. Qadir^{b,c}, Mario Samperi^{d,e}, Jesum Alves Fernandes^e, Imanol de Pedro^f, Jairton Dupont^b

^aDepartment of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering, University of Cantabria (UC), Avda. Los Castros s/n, 39005 Santander, Spain
^bInstitute of Chemistry–UFRGS, Av. Bento Gonçalves, 9500, Porto Alegre, 91501-970, RS, Brazil
^cDepartment of Nanocatalysis, J. Heyrovský Institute of Physical Chemistry, Dolejškova 2155/3, 18223
Prague 8, Czech Republic
^dSchool of Pharmacy, University of Nottingham, University Park, NG7 2RD, United Kingdom
^eSchool of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, NG7 2RD, Nottingham, UK
^fCITIMAC, University of Cantabria (UC), Avda. Los Castros s/n, 39005 Santander, Spain

*Corresponding author; e-mail: jonathan.albo@unican.es

Abstract

The slow kinetics in the photocatalytic reduction of CO_2 , as well as the low quantum efficiencies achieved, directly related to the photocatalyst and reactor configuration applied, limit the widespread use of this technology. In light of this, the main objective of this work is to evaluate the continuous photocatalytic conversion of CO_2 into methanol in an optofluidic microreactor (with enhanced mass transport, large volume/active area ratio and uniform light distribution) using Cu nanoparticles synthesized in the hydrophilic 3-methyl-n-butylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate (BMIm.BF₄) ionic liquid and embedded in TiO₂ (P25). The ionic liquid not only acts as a template to control the size of the nanoparticles but also as a stabilizing agent. The analysis includes the effect of structural parameters of the photoactive layer such as Cu content (from 0.8 to 6.8 wt.%) and photocatalyst loading (0.5-3 mg·cm⁻²), as well as operating variables such as UV and visible light intensities (2.5-10 mW·cm⁻²) and cell configuration (i.e. one or two compartments). The maximum methanol yield reached from the continuous transformation of CO₂ is $r = 230.3 \,\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$. h⁻¹ at 2 wt.% Cu content, photocatalyst loading of 2 mg·cm⁻², UV light intensity of 10 mW·cm⁻² and a two-compartment microreactor configuration. This result outperforms the values previously reported for Cu/TiO₂-based systems using optofluidic microreactors, as well as most of those in common CO₂ photoreactors.

Keywords: Optofluidic microreactor, Cu/TiO₂ photocatalyst, ionic liquids, CO₂ photoreduction, methanol.

1. Introduction

The CO₂ levels have raised from a pre-industrial level of about 270 ppm to surpass the 400 ppm threshold (413 ppm in August 2020) [1] and the new scenario puts energy-related CO₂ emissions on a slow upward trend to 2040, a trajectory far out of step with what scientific knowledge says will be required to tackle climate change. Extensive efforts have been paid to capture CO₂ from combustion streams including adsorption/absorption or membrane separation methods in a Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) approach [2, 3]. However, in contrast to carbon sequestration that treats CO₂ as waste, Carbon Capture and Utilization (CCU) treats CO₂ as a resource [4, 5]. The reasons are simple, first profits since a robust market could be developed in the future to utilize CO₂ as an industrial feedstock and hopefully absorbing decisive quantities of CO₂ away from the atmosphere.

There are different methods for the activation and transformation of CO₂, including chemical, thermochemical, biochemical, electrochemical or photochemical pathways [6]. The latter is an alternative with increasing attention that permits the conversion of CO₂ under mild conditions without additional energy input (except for the solar irradiation) [7]. Among the different products from the photocatalytic conversion of CO₂, methanol (CH₃OH) is of particular interest [8]. The photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to CH₃OH can be considered a "killing two birds with one stone" approach since it gives the possibility to close the carbon loop and generate valuable chemicals from CO₂ in a circular economy. Besides, the process can be applied at mild conditions, in contrast to the conventional thermocatalytic process for CH₃OH production by reforming of CH₄ through syngas (H₂+CO).

Titanium dioxide (TiO_2), mainly in its anatase and rutile forms, has been the most widely used semiconductor for solar-fuel production, and particularly for the formation of CH₃OH [9-11]. This is because TiO₂ is a wide bandgap (~3 eV), cheap and nontoxic semiconductor made up of abundant elements and resistant to photocorrosion. Nevertheless, it is also well known that TiO_2 presents poor electron-hole pair separation. Among the different strategies to overcome this limitation, doping TiO₂ with a metal catalyst can be an effective and direct way to promote charge separation, CO₂ activation and selective formation of reaction products, inhibiting the backrecombination reaction [7]. In particular, doping TiO₂ with Cu has been proven to be a successful strategy for improving the photocatalytic efficiency of the CO₂ reduction process to CH₃OH [7, 10-12], that can be probably ascribed to the preference of H to adsorb on Cu and react with O of the co-adsorbed O-CH₃ intermediate, thereby forming CH₃OH [13]. Besides, Cu has the ability to trap electrons, without affecting their mobility, and thus reduce recombination losses of the photogenerated charges and enhance photoconversion performance. For proper development of the CO₂ photocatalytic reduction technology, however, it is not uniquely required active and stable photocatalysts, but also efficient photoreactor designs to effectively harness the light irradiation required in the process and allow an optimised exposure of catalytically active sites to light. A number of different laboratory photoreactor designs have been assayed for the photocatalytic production of CH₃OH from CO₂, mainly including common slurry batch-type reactors [14]. These simple configurations have been demonstrated to be inefficient to induce the challenging reaction to form CH₃OH, due to a low surface-area-to-volume ratio because of particle agglomeration, and the required separation of the photocatalyst material from obtained products. Recently, the research in the so-called optofluidics, a synergy of microfluidics and optics (which has a distinct feature of fluids, light, and their interaction) may offer several advantages in the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂, including large surface-area-to-volume ratio, uniform light distribution, enhanced mass transfer and fine flow control [15]. Besides, the incorporation of optofluidics can greatly reduce the requirements for time, sample volume and equipment. Microreactors can be classified in several categories, such as micro-capillary, single microchannel, multi-microchannel and planar microreactors, where the latter are usually advantageous due to a larger photon receiving area. In fact, planar microreactor configurations have already exhibited superior performance in various photocatalytic processes, such as water splitting [16] or wastewater treatment [17]. To date, however, few works applied this microreactor configuration to carry out

numerical simulations [18] or experimental analyses [12, 19-21] for the photocatalytic conversion of CO₂. Besides, only one of these previous reports takes advantage of the use of Cu/TiO₂-based materials (i.e. CuO-deposited TiO₂ nanorod thin films) [12], where the maximum CH₃OH formation rate obtained is still modest ($r = 36.2 \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$) under UV illumination and a reaction temperature of 80° C (which is an undesirable condition for practical applications). Therefore, a breakthrough to boost the photocatalytic hydrogenation of CO₂ into CH₃OH at ambient conditions is still to be seen.

In light of this, the main objective of the present study is to evaluate the performance of a planar optofluidic microreactor-based system including Cu nanoparticles (NPs) embedded in commercially available TiO₂ (P25) and supported onto carbon papers for the continuous photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ to CH₃OH under UV and visible light irradiation at ambient conditions. Among the different reported methods to prepare Cu NPs from their salts, the Cu NPs in this work are synthesized in hydrophilic 3-methyl-n-butylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate (BMIm.BF₄) ionic liquid (IL). ILs, and in particular imidazolium-based ILs, are one of the most versatile and environmentally sustainable media templates for the generation of a plethora of stable and naked colloidal mono-and bi-metallic NPs, conferring electrosteric stabilization through the formation of a semi-organized protective layer composed of ions surrounding the Cu NPs [22]. This allows first avoiding the use of capping agents that are usually difficult to remove from the surface of the NPs and decrease the catalytic activity, and also calibrating the shape, size and distribution of the metal NPs [23]. The work includes an evaluation of the stability and performance of the Cu/TiO₂ carbon papers, paying attention to the effect of structural parameters of the photoactive layer such as Cu content and photocatalyst loading, as well as operating variables such as light intensity (UV and Vis light) and cell configuration (i.e. one or two compartments) on the photochemical formation of CH₃OH. The research efforts in this work thus cover several of the key parameters in the process, and it can be seen as a step forward into the development of more efficient systems for the continuous photocatalytic transformation of CO_2 to CH₃OH.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Synthesis and characterization of the Cu/TiO₂-based photocatalysts

The Cu NPs were prepared according to a previously reported method [23]. Typically, copper chloride dihydrate (CuCl₂·2H₂O, 134 mg, 0.79 mmol) was dissolved in the hydrophilic IL (BMIm.BF₄) (3 mL) at room temperature during 30 min. Then, a solution of sodium borohydride (NaBH₄, 296 mg, 7.9 mmol) dissolved in methanol (3 mL) was added to the reaction mixture dropwise. The reaction mixture turned black due to the formation of the Cu NPs, which were washed with methanol (3 times, 5 mL) and dichloromethane (3 times, 5 mL). Afterward, the NPs were isolated by centrifugation (3500 rpm) and dried under vacuum. Subsequently, the isolated Cu NPs (3.3, 5.0, 6.2, 7.5 and 20 mg) were dispersed in dichloromethane (5 mL) and loaded in the desired amount (300, 200, 150, 150 and 100 mg, respectively) of TiO₂ (P25) to obtain the Cu/TiO₂ composites (wt/wt. %). After stirring at room temperature for 1 h, the obtained nanomaterials were isolated by centrifugation, washed with dichloromethane, dried under vacuum and stored under argon before use.

The structural characterization of the samples was performed by X-ray powder diffraction (PXRD) in air atmosphere on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer, using Cu Ka radiation and a LynxEye detector. Diffraction patterns were collected with an angular 20 range between 20° and 100° with a 0.03° step size and measurement time of 3 s per step and a graphite monochromator. The instrumental resolution function (IRF) of the diffractometer was obtained from the LaB₆ standard. The spectrum analysis was done with EVA software (Bruker), comparing with the spectra of the COD database. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements were performed using a JEOL 2100F FEG-TEM operated with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. TEM samples were prepared using a nickel mesh holey carbon film TEM grids (Agar Scientific, UK). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed using a Kratos AXIS Ultra DLD instrument. High-resolution data on the C 1s, Ti 2p, O 1s, and Cu 2p photoelectron peaks were collected at pass energy of 20 eV over energy ranges suitable for each peak, and collection times of 5 min, step size of 0.1 eV. The high-resolution data were charge corrected to the reference C 1s signal at 284.8 eV. Micro Raman spectroscopy was performed using a Horiba Jobin Yvon LabRAM HR Raman spectrometer. Spectra were acquired using a 532 nm laser (at 20 mW power), a 100 x objective lens and a 200 µm confocal pinhole, using a Synapse CCD detector (1024 pixels)

thermoelectrically cooled to -60 °C. The instrument was calibrated using the zero-order line and a standard Si(100) reference band at 520.7 cm⁻¹. UV-Vis diffuse reflectance measurements were performed with a CARY 5000 spectrophotometer. IR analyses were conducted using a Bruker Alpha FTIR (Fourier transform infrared) spectrometer with an ATR attachment. Data collection utilised 256 cumulative scans with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. Finally, N₂ isotherms of the catalysts, previously degassed at 180 °C under vacuum for 18 h, were obtained using TriStar and 3Flex Micromeritics instruments. Specific surface areas were determined by the BET multipoint method, and the average pore volume was obtained by the BJH method.

2.2. Preparation of the light-responsive papers

The light-responsive surfaces were manufactured by airbrushing a catalytic ink onto a teflonated porous carbon paper type TGP-H60 (Toray Inc.) covered by a paper mask with a hole of 1 cm², according to the scheme shown in Figure S1 (please see Supplementary Information). This ink includes the Cu NPs synthesized in (BMIm.BF₄) an embedded in TiO₂, the bare TiO₂ or the Cu NPs, Nafion® dispersion 5 wt.% (Alfa Aesar) as binder and isopropanol (IPA) (Sigma Aldrich) as a vehicle, with a 70/30 catalyst/Nafion mass ratio and a 3 % solids (catalyst + Nafion) percentage; this mixture was sonicated for 30 min to obtain a homogeneous slurry that was subsequently airbrushed on the surface of the porous carbon paper. This chemically stable substrate present low thickness (0.19 mm) and high porosity (78%), which results in a high gas permeability (1900 ml·mm/(cm²·h·mmAq)), contributing to an efficient transfer of CO₂ and diffusion of products [24]. The photoactive surfaces with different catalytic loadings ($L = 0.5 - 3 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$), were prepared by simple accumulation of layers and complete IPA evaporation at 100 °C on a heating plate. The catalyst loading was determined by the weight difference between the mass of the carbon paper before spraying and the mass of catalyst coated paper after different times. Before spraying the photoactive materials, poly-tetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) was sprayed on the other side of the carbon paper (1 mg·cm⁻²) followed by calcination at 360 °C for 1 h in air. This allows to increase the hydrophobicity of the material and prevents water leakage [19, 20]. Thus, the developed Cu/TiO₂ carbon papers perform the separation between the liquid and gas phases in the microchambers when using a two-compartment configuration. Table 1 shows the nomenclature used and the elemental composition of the photocatalysts determined by Microwave Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (MP-AES 4200 Agilent Technologies). All materials were dried at ambient conditions for 24 hours and rinsed with deionised water before use.

Photocatalyst	Nomenclature	Cu content (wt.%)	$L (\mathrm{mg}\cdot\mathrm{cm}^{-2})$	
TiO ₂	TiO ₂	-	2	
Cu/TiO ₂	Cu0.8	0.78	2	
	Cu2	1.95	2	
	Cu3.6	3.61	2	
	Cu6.8	6.84	2	
	Cu2(0.5)	1.95	0.5	
	Cu2(1)	1.95	1	
	Cu2(3)	1.95	3	
Cu	Cu	100	2	

Table 1. Composition and metal loading of the prepared photoactive surfaces.

2.3. Optofluidic microreactor and experimental conditions

The prepared Cu/TiO₂ carbon papers were employed as photoactive surfaces in the planar optofluidic microreactor (APRIA Systems S.L.). The reaction microchamber was 1 cm² and 75 μ L, thus providing high surface-area-to-volume ratio, uniform light distribution, and mass transfer. The material was sandwiched with PTFE gaskets between two highly transparent (light transmission of 90% at >365 nm) polymethylmethacrylate, PMMA (Altuglas-Arkema) plates and a stainless-steel plate on the top. The light-responsive materials were placed in the centre of the reaction chamber of the PMMA plate (Figure S2 in *SI* for more details). A CO₂ saturated 0.5 M KHCO₃ (Panreac >97%) aqueous solution was prepared with ultra-pure water (18.2 MX cm at 273 K, MilliQ Millipore system) and supplied to the liquid microchamber with a peristaltic pump (Miniplus 3 Gilson) at a flow rate of $Q_L = 50 \,\mu$ L·min⁻¹. In the two-compartment experiments, CO₂ (99.99 %) flows continuously in the gas microchamber controlled with a gas volumetric flowmeter (Omega) at a flow rate of $Q_g = 25 \, \text{mL·min}^{-1}$. 1200 mW LEDs (LED Engin) with maximum intensities at wavelengths of 365 nm (UV) and 450 nm (Vis) lights, illuminated the liquid microchamber from the top through a window of 1 cm² to produce photo-excited electron-hole pairs for the reduction of CO₂ with water at the surface. As already mentioned, the experiments

were carried out in two different microreactor configurations: (a) one compartment, with one inlet (solution + CO₂) and outlet (solution + CO₂ + products) and; (b) two compartments, with two different inputs (CO₂ as gas and solution) and one output (solution + CO₂ + products). Figure 1 schematically represents the lab scale plant for the continuous photoreduction of CO₂ to CH₃OH, while Figure 2 shows the microreactor for one and two-compartment configurations. The light intensity, *E*, varied from 2.5 to 10 mW·m⁻², measured by a radiometer (Photoradiometer Delta OHM) and controlled by adjusting the distance between the microreactor and the LED. The experiments were carried out in continuous mode under ambient temperature and pressure conditions. The microcell was placed in a ventilated dark box and the temperature was controlled with an infrared thermometer to ensure an ambient temperature (~25 °C) during the experimental time.



Figure 1. Scheme of the experimental setup.



Figure 2. Microreactor in (a) one compartment and, (b) two-compartment configurations.

Sample aliquots were taken every 30 min and for 120 min from the collection vessel placed at the outlet of the microreactor. The concentration of alcohols in each aliquot was analysed in duplicate in a headspace gas chromatograph (GCMS-QP2010 Ultra Shimadzu) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID). Formate (HCOO⁻) concentration was analysed in duplicate by Ion Chromatography (Dionex ICS 1100). Gas-phase reduction products were analysed in line with a microGC 3000 (Inficon) equipped with a Thermal Conductivity Detector (TCD). An average concentration was obtained for each product from the performance of three replicates with an experimental error of less than 14.2 %. The performance for the photocatalytic conversion of CO_2 was evaluated in terms of formation rate, *r*, in μ mol·g⁻¹·h⁻¹ (i.e., concentration obtained for every product at reactor outlet per photocatalyst amount and time), selectivity, *S*, defined as the ratio between reaction rates for different products and the cumulative reaction rate, and the Apparent Quantum Yield, *AQY*:

$$AQY(\%) = \frac{n_e}{n_p} \times 100 \tag{1}$$

where n_e is the rate of electrons transferred towards a certain product, calculated by multiplying the number of molecules evolved (mol) by the number of reacted electrons (i.e. 6 e⁻ for CH₃OH and 12 e⁻ for C₂H₅OH) and the Avogadro's number (mol⁻¹); and n_p is the rate of incident photons on the surface, calculated by multiplying the power density of the incident light (W·m⁻²) by the irradiation area (m²), reaction time (s) and wavelength peak (m), divided by the Planck's constant $(J \cdot s^{-1})$ multiplied by the speed of light (m $\cdot s^{-1}$).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of the Cu/TiO₂-based photocatalysts

The Cu NPs were prepared by reduction of copper chloride dehydrate in hydrophilic (BMIm.BF₄) IL using NaBH₄. These NPs were isolated and embedded into commercially available TiO₂ (P25) by impregnation and characterized by powder X-rays diffraction (PXRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and UV-visible absorption. First, the semiquantitative PRXD analyses of the Cu-based and Cu/TiO₂ photocatalysts was performed using the pattern matching routine of Diffrac Plus-EVA (Bruker). The Cu-based NPs display Cu₂O and CuO as mayor crystalline phases with the presence of a small quantity of Cu metal. Besides, the composites show anatase and rutile TiO₂ polymorphs in the ratio 4:1, which is consistent with Raman spectra (Figure S3 in SI). This is in anatase content range previously observed for an optimal photocatalytic activity [25], although the intense debate on the right polymorph composition and the origin of this synergism still continues. Cu doping does not alter the crystalline structure of TiO₂ (please see Figure S4 and Table S1 in SI). TEM images show Cu NPs deposited onto TiO₂ with a size ranging between 3-5 nm for the highest Cu concentration (6.8% Cu/TiO₂), whereas for lower Cu content (0.8 and 2% Cu/TiO₂) Cu NPs were not clearly observed by TEM (Figure 3) although detected via EDX analyses (Figure S6 and S7 in SI). These results suggest the formation of small Cu clusters (e.g. < 1 nm) for low Cu concentration in TiO₂ since Cu NPs were not clearly observed using cold-field emission gun TEM (non-spherical-aberrationcorrected) possibly due to the low contrast difference between Cu and Ti atoms, which prohibits the atomic scale investigations via traditional TEM, hiding the presence of Cu clusters.



Figure 3. TEM images of (a) TiO₂, (b) 0.8% Cu/TiO₂, (c) 2% Cu/TiO₂, and (d) 6.8% Cu/TiO₂.

XPS measurements of Ti 2p core (Figure 4a) showed peaks at 458.5 eV and 464.4 eV for all samples, which can be ascribed to Ti^{4+} ions in TiO₂ [26]. XPS measurements of Cu $2p_{3/2}$ region displayed a broad peak at ca. 932 that can be assigned to a mixture of valence states of Cu₂O and CuO (Figures 4 and S8, Table S2 in *SI*) [27]. The ratio between Cu₂O and CuO was estimated, showing an increase of CuO with the increase of Cu content in the composite, which is evidenced by the strong satellite peak observed for 6.8% Cu/TiO₂ photocatalyst that is associated with CuO, in agreement with PXRD results (Table S1 in *SI*). These results indicate that Cu(II) valence state is preferentially stabilised for higher Cu contents in the TiO₂ matrix, which might be due to the presence of IL in the synthesis of the Cu NPs [28].

UV–Vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (Figure 5a) showed that the absorption band edge for TiO_2 is around 400 nm and the addition of Cu NPs makes the absorption increasing at longer wavelengths (visible range), as observed from the absorption edge of 440 nm with Cu6.8. It can be also seen that increases in Cu content led to an enhanced absorbance in the Cu/TiO₂ samples. This characteristic can be attributed to the presence of Cu oxide species (as seen in PXRD and

XPS) and their d-d transitions [29]. Figure 5b shows the estimated optical bandgap energies of the samples as calculated by Kubelka- Munk method ($[F(reflectance, R)hv]^2$ vs. photon energy (hv)). Firstly, low bandgap energy values ranging from 2.86 and 2.96 eV can be obtained for the Cu/TiO₂ composites compared to 3.11 eV for TiO₂ that can be assigned to the presence of Cu₂O and CuO, which are *p*-type semiconductors with small bandgap energies (i.e. 1.93 eV for the Cu NPs). The narrowing in bandgap energy with Cu content can be linked to an improved electronic properties of the composites as a results of the interaction between the dopant metal and TiO₂.



Figure 4. XPS spectra of TiO₂, Cu/TiO₂ and Cu samples: (a) Ti 2p and (b) Cu 2p.



Figure 5. (a) UV-Vis absorption spectra and, (b) bandgap energies plot (Kubelka-Munk function) of TiO₂, Cu/TiO₂ and Cu samples.

Besides, FTIR analyses show three characteristic peaks at 3370, 1630 and 650 cm⁻¹ associated with stretching vibrations of hydrogen-bonded water molecules and hydroxyl groups, bending vibrations of O-H group and Ti-O-Ti bridging stretching mode, respectively [30]. It is of note that there is no C-H and C-N stretching observed in FTIR, which evidenced the absence of ionic liquid on the surface of the Cu/TiO₂ photocatalysts (Figure S9 in *SI*). Finally, BET analysis (Figure S10 and Table S3 in *SI*) showed that BET surface area and pore volume of the materials slightly decreased from 58.6 m²·g⁻¹ and 0.110 cm³·g⁻¹ to 56 m²·g⁻¹ and 0.104 cm³·g⁻¹ for Cu0.8 and Cu2, respectively. The values reached a minimum of 46.8 m²·g⁻¹ and 0.0.097 cm³·g⁻¹ as the loading of Cu NPs in TiO₂ was increased (Cu6.8).

3.2. Continuous transformation of CO₂ in the planar optofluidic microreactor

The micro-optofluidic system including the Cu NPs embedded in TiO₂ led predominantly to the formation of CH₃OH, with ethanol (C₂H₅OH) as the second alcohol, and also HCOOH, which is a probable intermediate in the CO₂ conversion pathway to CH₃OH [5, 13]. Additionally, small quantities of CO and CH₄ were detected (AQY<0.05%), which is not unexpected for Cucontaining TiO₂ surfaces [31]. Blank tests were also conducted in the dark and the absence of CO₂ and no CO₂-reduction products were detected. Figure 6 shows the yields for CH₃OH with irradiation time and the number of consecutive runs for Cu₂ photocatalyst ($L = 2 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$). The reaction solution was changed after each run. Moreover, Table 2 shows the Cu/TiO₂ weight in Cu₂ after 2, 4 and 8 hours of CO₂ photoreduction time. The total initial weight of Cu/TiO₂ placed in the Cu₂-based carbon paper was 2 mg.



Figure 6. Time course for the (a) photocatalytic production of CH_3OH using Cu2 under UV (\blacksquare) and Vis (\Box) light and, (b) after three consecutive runs under UV.

Time (h)	Cu2 (mg)		Weight loss	
	Initial	Final	(%)	
2		1.91	4.5	
4	2	1.8	10	
8		1.69	15.5	

Table 2. Weight loss for Cu2-based carbon papers after a CO₂ photoreduction period of 2, 4 and 8 hours.

As observed in Figure 6a, the activity of Cu2 decays more abruptly during the first 2 hours of irradiation, going from $r = 207.8 \,\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ to $r = 165.9 \,\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ and from $r = 54.1 \,\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ to $r = 34.4 \,\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ under UV and Vis light irradiation, respectively. Beyond this point, the yields decay slowly after 8 hours of continuous photoreduction of CO₂, which may be related with the use of (BMIm.BF₄) in the synthesis that acts as stabilizing agent. The phenomenon of reduction in CH₃OH photoproduction with longer periods of light irradiation is commonly attributed to the reduction in photocatalyst ability to absorb light due to particle detachment, the formation of intermediate un-desorbed products that block the active sites, or CH₃OH reforming (i.e. CH₃OH can act as hole scavenger itself, removing OH⁻ and resulting in a reduction of electronhole recombination or the back reaction of O₂ and H₂) [32-34]. Besides, an initial reduction in catalytic efficiency for CO₂ photoreduction is commonly observed when applying Cu-modified TiO₂ materials (which is attributed to the change of the Cu oxidation state under catalytic conditions) after which pseudo-stable production rates can be achieved [35].

In any case, the results from Table 2 may indicate that the deactivation observed with the photoactive Cu2-based surfaces after 2 h of photocatalytic reaction can be mostly linked to material leaching from the carbon paper during operation, as we reported before for spray-coated Cu NPs onto porous carbon supports [24]. It is also important to notice that the photocatalyst surface changes from dark grey to greenish-white, which could be initially associated to the formation of Cu₂O during the experimental time, although it has probably more to do with the transformation of CuO to malachite (CuCO₃.Cu(OH)₂) as previously reported [36] since CuO is not stable in the reduction of CO₂ in water. Besides, Figure 6b shows how the yields decay progressively after three consecutive runs of 2.5 hours. Despite that, the increase at the initial stage of each cycle suggests that the activity loss can be also partially associated with the blocking of the photocatalytic sites,

which is mitigated from one cycle to the other upon washing of the material. Thus, CH_3OH yield at the initial stage of the second cycle is 94 % of the initial yield in the first one and results in the 79 % in the third run. Based on this, the results presented hereafter are for one run and 2 h of irradiation, where pseudo-steady conditions can be reached.

3.3. Effect of Cu content on process performance

The effect of Cu loading in the formation rates for CH₃OH, together with C₂H₅OH and HCOOH, is shown in Figure 7, while Figure 8 shows reaction selectivity to alcohols (CH₃OH and C₂H₅OH) with TiO₂, Cu and the different Cu/TiO₂ photocatalytic composites tested under UV and Vis light illumination.



Figure 7. Yields for CH₃OH, C_2H_5OH and HCOOH in the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ at different Cu contents and under (a) UV and (b) Vis light illumination.



Figure 8. Selectivity of the reaction at increasing Cu content for CH_3OH (in red) and C_2H_5OH (in blue) under (a) UV and (b) Vis light illumination.

The results firstly show that yields for CH₃OH (and also for C₂H₅OH) can be increased with Cu loading until 2 wt.% (Cu2), in similarity with the optimum Cu content reported for other Cu/TiO₂-based systems in the production of CH₃OH [37-45]. At this optimal point, the CH₃OH yield is $r = 167.5 \,\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ($AQY = 3.7 \,\%$) after 2 hours of UV illumination (Figure 7a). The same can be said under Vis light illumination (Figure 7b) where *r* for CH₃OH can be as high as $r = 36.7 \,\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ($AQY = 0.7 \,\%$). It is also worth revealing that the formation of CH₃OH is 4.6 fold higher than that production rate achieved over bare TiO₂ (P25) under UV illumination ($r = 36.1 \,\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$, $AQY = 0.8 \,\%$). As expected, no CH₃OH was detected with TiO₂ under Vis illumination. Thus, doping with the Cu NPs may be responsible for the Vis light activity, where probably the electrons generated on the Cu conduction band can be easily injected into the inactivated TiO₂, enhancing charge separation [12, 31, 46, 47]. In spite of this, the absorption of incident light with the Cu-doped TiO₂ samples remains significantly higher under UV light than under visible light illumination as expected for TiO₂ (P25). This means that upon light absorption, the materials applied are able to generate more electron-hole pairs under UV light, resulting in an enhanced CO₂ photoreduction performance.

Moreover, the fact that the rates and AQYs for CH₃OH obtained at Cu ($r = 0.94 \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ and AQY = 0.02% under UV illumination; $r = 1.03 \ \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ and AQY = 0.018% for Vis light illumination) are also remarkably lower than those values reached with the Cu/TiO₂ composites, demonstrates the favoured interplay between the Cu NPs synthesized in IL and TiO₂, that probably act together as active sites for CO₂ photoreduction. PXRD and XPS analyses demonstrated the presence of Cu₂O. Cu₂O is a *p*-type semiconductor with a narrow bandgap (ca. 2 eV) [48] that has been proved to be an active catalyst for CO₂ conversion to alcohols [5, 7, 10-12] and in particular in the photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ to CH₃OH under Vis light [46, 49]. In general, the enhancements in yields and efficiencies with Cu content, which is a well-known material for the production of alcohols [5, 7, 9-12], can be firstly explained by a higher amount of Cu sites available for CO_2 transformation. The results from previous reports demonstrated that the tight contact of TiO₂ with Cu may cause a redistribution of electric charges. Cu can serve as an electron trapper, without affecting its mobility, and prohibits the recombination of electron and hole, significantly increasing photoefficiency, as also confirmed with UV-Vis absorption (Figure 5). Moreover, the rapid transfer of excited electrons in the presence of Cu enhances the separation of electrons and holes [31, 35, 39, 41]. Unfortunately, higher Cu contents cannot further increase the production of

CH₃OH. This can be firstly explained by a shielding effect of the TiO_2 surface reducing the photoexciting capacity and thereby weakening photocatalytic activity of the composites, together with a reduction in BET surface area as Cu content increases in the composite materials. This effect can be also associated with a decrease in the Cu₂O/CuO ratio with increases in Cu content (as observed from PXRD and XPS), since CuO is usually more selective to CH₄ and CO formation [50]. This change in Cu₂O/CuO can be linked to the use of (BMIm.BF₄) IL in the synthesis of the Cu NPs [28].

In accordance with Figure 7, the results presented in Figure 8 evidence that Cu content has a marked effect on reaction selectivity at the Cu/TiO₂ photoactive surfaces developed. In particular, the data show that a Cu content between 0.8 % to 3.6 % (Cu0.8-3.6) seems to be beneficial for the conversion of CO₂ to CH₃OH and C₂H₅OH over HCOOH production, with an *S* of 0.77 and 0.15, respectively. When exceeding a 3.6 wt.% Cu content (Cu3.6-Cu), the photocatalyst tends to enhance the production of HCOOH, which has been also reported before at Cu-containing TiO₂ surfaces [7, 9, 10]. This led to a decrease in CH₃OH production, indicating that the amount of Cu active sites in the photoactive surface have a critical influence on reaction selectivity (and so in reaction mechanisms). Overall, the optimum amount of Cu in the photocatalyst has been proved to be 2 wt.% (Cu2) for an efficient and selective formation of CH₃OH ($r = 167.5 \mu mol \cdot g^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}$, AQY = 3.7%, S = 0.77) under the experimental conditions tested.

3.4. Effect of photocatalyst loading and light intensity

Photocatalyst loading can affect not only the transport of CO₂, OH⁻ and photons inside the active layer but it may also modify the active area available for the photoconversion of CO₂ to CH₃OH [19]. Thus, Figure 9 analyses the effect of a Cu2 loading ranging from L = 0.5 mg·cm⁻² to 3 mg·cm⁻² (Cu2(0.5) - Cu2(3)) on the reaction rates and concentrations obtained for CH₃OH and C₂H₅OH.



Figure 9. Effect of photocatalyst loading on CH_3OH (in red) and C_2H_5OH (in blue) production under (a) UV and (b) Vis light illumination.

From Figure 9, the production of CH₃OH per gram of Cu₂ can be clearly enhanced when the loading increased from L = 0.5 to 2 mg·cm⁻², going from $r = 73.4 \,\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ to $r = 167.5 \,\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$, and from $r = 22.3 \,\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ to $r = 36.1 \,\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ for UV and Vis light illumination, respectively. The same can be observed for the yields to C₂H₅OH. At lower catalyst loading (below $L = 2 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$), a reduced number of electron-hole pairs are probably generated due to the low photoactive surface available resulting in lower yields. As photocatalyst loading increases from 2 to 3 mg·cm⁻², the performance of the planar optofluidic microreactor-based system worsens, which can be probably associated not only to particle agglomeration, which led to a reduction in the photoactive surface available and a shadow effect but also to an increase in photocatalyst layer thickness which can cause mass transfer resistance (also due to a probable formation of boundary layers), leading to more difficult access of reactants and photons [45]. Those effects together produce a reduction in CH₃OH yield of 65 % at Cu₂(3) in comparison to the value achieved at the optimal photocatalyst loading of $L = 2 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ (Cu₂) under UV illumination.

In addition, the adjustment of the incident light intensity is key for optimal continuous production of CH₃OH in the photocatalytic microreactor. Figure 10 shows the yields and *AQYs* for CH₃OH in a light intensity range from $E = 2.5 \text{ mW} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ to $E = 10 \text{ mW} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ under UV and Vis light illumination. It should be noted that the microreactor remains close to ambient temperature during the experimental time.



Figure 10. Rates and *AQYs* for CH₃OH production at different light intensities of (a) UV and (b) Vis LED lights.

It can be concluded that CH₃OH production can be still enhanced when increasing *E* from 5 to 10 mW·cm⁻², achieving a maximum $r = 189.1 \ \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ under UV light. This can be easily explained as the increased light can generate more electron-hole pairs for the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to CH₃OH. In any case, beyond $E = 5 \ \text{mW} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$, increases in light intensity are not proportionally reflected on CH₃OH concentration. This can be clearly seen in *AQY* evolution from 5 to 10 mW·cm⁻², that decreases from *AQY* = 3.7 % to *AQY* = 2.1 % and from *AQY* = 0.6 % to *AQY* = 0.4 % for UV and Vis light, respectively, which might be explained by the consumption of additional incident photons for side reactions and a higher electron-hole recombination rate. Worth also mentioning that further increases in light intensity would not necessarily bring higher CH₃OH yields, since other factors (i.e. actives sites, irradiation time, reaction solution, reactor configuration, operational flows, etc.) may be key in defining the maximum production attainable in the system [7, 11]. The maximum yield achieved with Cu2 is therefore $r = 189.1 \ \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ (*AQY* = 3.7 %) under UV with an intensity of $E = 10 \ \text{mW} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$.

3.5. Effect of microreactor configuration

Table 3 compares the yields for CO₂ reduction in one and two-compartment (CO₂ supplied as gas) configurations under UV light ($E = 10 \text{ mW} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$) at Cu2 surfaces. The table includes the results obtained in a CO₂-saturated 0.5 M KHCO₃ solution when N₂ gas is supplied in the gas compartment (instead of CO₂) at a flow rate of 25 mL·min⁻¹.

Config.	Flowing gas	<i>r</i> (µmol·g ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹)			S (-)	
		НСООН	CH ₃ OH	C_2H_5OH	CH ₃ OH	C_2H_5OH
Two compartments	CO_2	23.5	230.3	87.1	0.68	0.26
	N_2	21.8	216.8	56.5	0.73	0.19
One compartment	-	21	189.1	41.9	0.75	0.17

Table 3. Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ in one and two-compartment configurations under UV light.

The production rates for liquid products in a two-compartment configuration demonstrated that the supply of additional CO₂ contributed to a higher photolysis efficiency with the Cu/TiO₂ composites. Specifically, the production of CH₃OH can be as high as $r = 230.3 \,\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ (*AQY* = 2.5 %) in a two-compartment configuration, which implies that process performance can be still significantly enhanced by increasing CO₂ availability in the light-responsive Cu₂ surface, in contrast to that value obtained with bare TiO₂ (P25) under the same conditions ($r = 41.4 \,\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$, *AQY* = 0.4 %) that results only slightly improved. The fact that the values are also enhanced when N₂ is supplied in the gas compartment suggests that the data cannot be uniquely explained by an increase in CO₂ (adsorbate) availability on the photocatalytic surface, but also to an enhanced agitation of reactants in the microreactor, leading to increases concentration of CH₃OH. The twocompartment configuration may also provoke that products diffuse more easily, enhancing their concentration at the reactor outlet.

The results also suggest that the microreactor configuration may be able to vary reaction selectivity, where *S* to CH₃OH slightly declines to S = 0.68 when additional CO₂ is supplied as gas, while *S* to C₂H₅OH increases from S = 0.17 to S = 0.26. This may be explained by the effect of CO₂ in the vicinity conditions of the photoactive material, which might alter the catalytic mechanisms and provoke the formation of more reduced species [24]. Certainly, an in-depth study on reaction mechanisms is required to elucidate and control reaction selectivity in the photoconversion of CO₂ to CH₃OH, although important efforts have been already done [13, 18].

3.5. Comparison with other Cu/TiO₂-based systems

Finally, Figure 11a compares the highest yield obtained at Cu2 in the planar optofluidic microreactor, with other Cu/TiO₂-based systems for CH₃OH production reported over the years

[12, 31, 32, 37-47, 51-70]. In addition, Figure 11b compares the yields normalized by the production of CH_3OH obtained over bare TIO_2 (P25) (when data available) so that the properties of the Cu/TiO₂ photocatalyst applied can be discriminated from other variables such as reactor configuration, reaction solution or operating conditions [38-43, 45, 53].



Figure 11. Evolution of normalized (a) r, and (b) $r \cdot r_{P25}^{-1}$ for CH₃OH over the years for different Cu/TiO₂-based systems in the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ under UV (•) and Vis (\circ) light illumination. The values obtained in this work are in red.

As can be seen, the values obtained in the tested planar microreactor-based system with Cu2 photocatalyst outperformed most of those values observed at Cu containing TiO₂-based systems in literature for CH₃OH production (please see Table S4 in *SI*), which may denote the positive

effect of applying a planar optofluidic microreactor configuration with enhanced mass transport, larger volume/active area ratio and uniform light distribution. In particular, the maximum formation rate obtained in this study ($r = 230.3 \,\mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$) is clearly higher than that found (r = $36.2 \,\mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$) in the current state-of-the-art Cu/TiO₂-based optofluidic microreactor systems, when using a similar optofluidic microreactor and CuO (1.5 wt.%)-TiO₂ nanorod thin films as photocatalyst illuminated with UV light and a reaction temperature of 80° C [12]. This reveals the potential of the Cu NPs synthesized in ILs and embedded in TiO₂, as well as the optimized reactor configuration and operational variables, applied in this work for the continuous formation of CH₃OH at ambient conditions. Nevertheless, the values lag behind those achieved with other Cu/TiO₂-based materials suspended in the reaction media in slurry batch reactors under UV (values ranging from r = 442.5 to $r = 627 \ \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$) and Vis light irradiation (from r = 352.9 to 429 μ mol·g⁻¹·h⁻¹) [38, 39, 56], which can be ascribed first to the effect of doping the Cu/TiO₂ composites with other non-metallic materials (i.e. carbon, silica or $g-C_3N_4$) that are able to enhance the photoresponse by narrowing the bandgap energy of TiO_2 and improve the access of reactants to the actives sites [33, 34]; and then, to the effect of using specific reaction medium (i.e. acetonitrile/triethanolamine), able to promote the formation of CH₂ that eventually links up with OH⁻ to form CH₃OH [42]. Moreover, Figure 11b further confirms the ability of Cu2 (Cu NPs synthesized in ILs and embedded in TiO₂ (P25)) to conduct the continuous photocatalytic transformation of CO₂ into CH₃OH under UV light illumination, which is only surpassed by the normalized rate $(r \cdot r_{P25})$ achieved by Y. N. Kavil and collaborators [38, 39] over Cu/C-co-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles, which may be explained by the bandgap narrowing produced with carbon doping. In any case, it is also important to mention that these previous systems do not operate in continuous mode, and the obtained products need to be separated from the photocatalytic material at the reactor outlet, which makes them less suitable for a practical application of the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂.

All in all, the high CO_2 photoconversion rates and selectivities to CH_3OH obtained in this continuous flow microreactor-based system with Cu NPs synthesized in (BMIm.BF₄) and embedded in TiO₂ look promising, and can be seen as a step further in the development of efficient CO_2 photoreduction processes and devices.

4. Conclusions

In this work, a system based on a planar optofluidic microreactor and Cu nanoparticles synthesized in hydrophilic 3-methyl-n-butylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate (BMIm.BF₄) ionic liquid and embedded in TiO₂ has been applied for a more efficient photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ to CH₃OH, with C₂H₅OH and HCOOH as main secondary products.

The results firstly demonstrated that the activity of the prepared Cu/TiO₂-based carbon papers remains pseudo-stable after 8 hours of operation. Then, the yields for CH₃OH increased with Cu content up to 2 wt.% ($r = 167.5 \mu mol \cdot g^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}$, AQY = 3.7 %), clearly enhancing the values obtained at bare TiO₂ ($r = 36.5 \text{ } \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$, AQY = 0.8 %) under UV. This is explained by a higher availability of Cu sites for CO₂ conversion, as well as an enhanced redistribution of electric charges caused by Cu. The formation rates and AQYs for CH₃OH obtained at Cu are additionally significantly lower than those reached with Cu/TiO₂ composites, which demonstrate the favoured interplay of TiO₂ and the Cu nanoparticles synthesized in IL. Doping TiO₂ with Cu nanoparticles also made the photocatalyst to present activity in the visible region. Besides, CH₃OH production found an optimum at a catalyst loading of 2 mg \cdot cm⁻². Higher loadings probably led to particle agglomeration, reducing the photoactive surface and limiting the access of CO₂ and OH⁻. The formation rates could still be enhanced by increasing light intensity up to 10 mW·cm⁻², although the AQY fell as the additional incident photons may be consumed by side reaction and a higher electron-hole recombination occurs. Interestingly, a two-compartment microreactor configuration allowed achieving a higher CH₃OH production ($r = 230.3 \,\mu$ mol·g⁻¹·h⁻¹, $AQY = 2.5 \,\%$), also varying product selectivity under identical conditions. Thus, when CO₂ was supplied as gas in a twocompartment configuration, CH₃OH declines in favour of C₂H₅OH formation, which can be probably explained by the alteration provoked by CO₂ in the vicinity conditions of the photoactive material.

The high photoconversion rates and selectivities of CO_2 to CH_3OH conversion obtained in this continuous flow planar microreactor with Cu/TiO_2 -based carbon papers at ambient conditions outperformed most of the values previously reported with Cu/TiO_2 in common photoreactor configurations and also in previous optofluidic microreactor systems, showing the benefits of both, the microreactor configuration and the Cu nanoparticles synthesized in (BMIm.BF₄) and embedded in TiO₂ applied in this work.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (MICINN) under Ramón y Cajal programme (RYC-2015-17080), as well as PID2019-104050RA-I00 and MAT2017-83631-C3-3-R projects. M. I. Qadir and J. Dupont thank the CAPES (158804/2017-01 and 001), FAPERGS (16/2552-0000 and 18/2551-0000561-4) and CNPq (406260/2018-4, 406750/2016-5 and 465454/2014-3) for their financial support. M. I. Qadir also acknowledges support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 810310, which corresponds to the J. Heyrovsky Chair project ("ERA Chair at J. Heyrovský Institute of Physical Chemistry AS CR – The institutional approach towards ERA") during the finalization of the paper. J. Alves Fernandes and M. Samperi thank the Nanoscale and Microscale Research Centre (nmRC) in Nottingham; and Beacons of Excellence: Propulsion Futures and Green Chemicals. J. Alves Fernandes is also grateful to Dr. Graham A. Rance from nmRC for assistance with Raman spectroscopy analysis.

References

[1] Mauna Loa Observatory – National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), <u>https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/monthly.html (accessed 1 August 2020).</u>

[2] M. E. Boot-Handford, J. C. Abanades, E. J. Anthony, M. J. Blunt, S. Brandani, N. Mac Dowell, J. R. Fernandez, M. C. Ferrari, R. Gross, J. P. Hallet, R. S. Haszeldine, P. Heptonstall, A. Lyngfelt, Z. Makuch, E. Mangano, R. T. J. Porter, M. Pourkashanian, G. T. Rochelle, N. Shah, J. G. Yao, P. S. Fenell, Carbon capture and storage update, Energ. Environ. Sci. 7 (2014) 130-189. https://doi.org/10.1039/C3EE42350F.

[3] J. Albo, T. Yoshioka, T. Tsuru, Porous Al_2O_3/TiO_2 tubes in combination with 1-ethyl-3methylimidazolium acetate ionic liquid for CO_2/N_2 separation, Sep. Purif. Technol. 122 (2014) 440-448. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2013.11.024</u>.

[4] J. Albo, M. Perfecto-Irigaray, G. Beobide, A. Irabien, Cu/Bi metal-organic framework-based systems for an enhanced electrochemical transformation of CO₂ to alcohols, J. CO2 Util. 33 (2019) 157-165. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2019.05.025</u>.

[5] J. Albo, M. Alvarez-Guerra, P. Castaño, A. Irabien, Towards the electrochemical conversion of carbon dioxide into methanol, Green Chem. 17 (4) (2015) 2304-2324. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4GC02453B.

[6] G. Centi, E. A. Quadrelli, S. Perathoner, Catalysis for CO₂ conversion: A key technology for rapid introduction of renewable energy in the value chain of chemical industries, Energ. Environ. Sci. 6(6) (2013) 1711–1731. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/C3EE00056G</u>.

[7] W. Tu, Y. Zhou, Z. Zou, Photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ into renewable hydrocarbon fuels: State-of-the-art accomplishment, challenges, and prospects, Adv. Mater. 26 (2014) 4607–4626. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201400087.

[8] R. Chauvy, N. Meunier, D. Thomas, G. De Weireld, Selecting emerging CO₂ utilization products for short- to mid-term deployment, Appl. Energ. 236 (2019) 662–680. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.11.096.

[9] Y. Ma, X. Wang, Y. Jia, X. Chen, H. Han, C. Li, Titanium dioxide-based nanomaterials for photocatalytic fuel generations, Chem. Rev. 114 (2014) 9987–10043. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr500008u.

[10] S. N. Habisreutinger, L. Schmidt-Mende, J. K. Stolarczyk, Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ on TiO₂ and other semiconductors, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 52 (2013) 7372–7408. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201207199.

[11] J. Albo, Photocatalytic synthesis of methanol from CO₂ and H₂O, in: J. Albo (Ed.), Carbon Dioxide Capture: Processes, Technology and Environmental Implications, Nova Publishers, New York, 2016, pp. 277–300. ISBN: 978-163485321-7.

[12] M. Cheng, S. Yang, R. Chen, X. Zhu, Q. Liao, Y. Huang, Copper-decorated TiO₂ nanorod thin films in optofluidic planar reactors for efficient photocatalytic reduction of CO₂, Int. J. Hydrogen Energ. 42(15) (2017) 9722–9732. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.01.126</u>.

[13] E. Karamian, S. Sharifnia, On the general mechanism of photocatalytic reduction of CO₂, J.
CO2 Util. 16 (2016) 194-203. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2016.07.004</u>.

[14] O. Oluwafunmilola, M. M. Maroto-Valer, Review of material design and reactor engineering on TiO₂ photocatalysis for CO₂ reduction, J. Photoch. Photobio. C. 24 (2015) 16–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochemrev.2015.06.001.

[15] N. Wang, X. Zhang, Y. Wang, W. Yu, H. L. W. Chan, Microfluidic reactors for photocatalytic water purification, Lab. Chip. 14 (2014) 1074–1082. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/C3LC51233A</u>.

[16] L. Li, R. Chen, Q. Liao, X. Zhu, G.Y. Wang, D.Y. Wang, High surface area optofluidic microreactor for redox mediated photocatalytic water splitting, Int. J. Hydrogen Energ. 39 (2014) 19270–19276. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.05.098</u>.

[17] L. Li, R. Chen, X. Zhu, H. Wang, Y.Z. Wang, Q. Liao, D.Y. Wang, Optofluidic microreactors with TiO₂-coated fiber glass, ACS Appl. Mater. Inter. 5 (2013) 12548–12553. https://doi.org/10.1021/am403842b.

[18] M. Cheng, Y. Huang, R. Gao, S. Bai1, Numerical simulation of photocatalytic reduction of gas phase CO2 in optofluidic microreactor, Catal. Lett. 149 (2019) 3000–3011. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10562-019-02838-z.

[19] X. Cheng, R. Cheng, X. Zhu, Q. Liao, L. An, D. Ye, X. He, S. Li, An optofluidic planar microreactor for photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ in alkaline environment, Energy. 120 (2017) 267–282. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2016.11.081</u>.

[20] R. Chen, X. Cheng, X. Zhu, Q. Liao, L. An, D. Ye, X. He, Z. Wang, High-performance optofluidic membrane microreactor with a mesoporous CdS/TiO₂/SBA-15@carbon paper composite membrane for the CO₂ photoreduction, Chem. Eng. J. 316 (2017) 911–918. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.02.044.

[21] X. Cheng, R. Chen, X. Zhu, Q. Liao, X. He, S. Li, L. Li, Optofluidic membrane microreactor for photocatalytic reduction of CO₂, Int. J. Hydrogen Energ. 41 (2016) 2457–2465. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2015.12.066.

[22] J. Dupont, J. D. Scholtena, On the structural and surface properties of transition-metal nanoparticles in ionic liquids, Chem. Soc. Rev. 39 (2010) 1780-1804. https://doi.org/10.1039/B822551F. [23] L. P. Matte, A. S. Kilian, L. Luza, M. C. M. Alves, J. Morais, D. L. Baptista, J. Dupont, F. Bernardi, Influence of the CeO₂ support on the reduction properties of Cu/CeO₂ and Ni/CeO₂ nanoparticles, J. Phys. Chem. C. 119(47) (2015) 26459–26470. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b07654.

[24] J. Albo, A. Irabien, Cu₂O-loaded gas diffusion electrodes for the continuous electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to methanol, J. Catal. 343 (2016) 232–239.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2015.11.014</u>.

[25] H. Cheng, J. Wang, Y. Zhao, X. Han, Effect of phase composition, morphology, and specific surface area on the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ nanomaterials, RSC Adv. 4 (2014) 47031-47038. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/C4RA05509H</u>.

[26] E. Silva Junior, F. A. La Porta, M. S. Liu, J. Andrés, J. A. Varela, E. Longo. A relationship between structural and electronic order–disorder effects and optical properties in crystalline TiO₂ nanomaterials. Dalton Trans. 44 (2015) 3159-3175. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/C4DT03254C</u>.

[27] M. C. Biesinger. Advanced analysis of copper X-ray photoelectron spectra. Surf. Interface Anal.
 49 (2017) 1325–1334. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/sia.6239</u>.

[28] C. Valdebenito, J. Pinto, M. Nazarkovsky, G. Chacón, O. Martínez-Ferraté, K. Wrighton-Araneda, D. Cortés-Arriagada, M. B. Camarada, J. A. Fernandes, G. Abarca, Highly modulated supported triazolium-based ionic liquids: direct control of the electronic environment on Cu nanoparticles, Nanoscale Adv. 2 (2020) 1325-1332. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/D0NA00055H.</u>

[29] K. C. Christoforidis, M. Fernandez-Garcia, Photoactivity and charge trapping sites in copper and vanadium doped anatase TiO₂ nano-materials, Catal. Sci. Technol. 6 (2016) 1094-105. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CY00929D.

[30] N. C.T. Martinsa, J. Ângeloa, A. V. Girãob, T. Trindadeb, L. Andradea, A. Mendesa, N-doped carbon quantum dots/TiO₂ composite with improved photocatalytic activity, Appl. Catal B-Environ. 193 (2016) 67–74. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.04.016</u>.

[31] J. O. Olowoyo, M. Kumar, T. Dash, S. Saran, S. Bhandari, U. Kumar, Self-organized copper impregnation and doping in TiO₂ with enhanced photocatalytic conversion of H₂O and CO₂ to

 fuel,
 Int.
 J.
 Hydrogen
 Energ.
 43
 (2018)
 19468–19480.

 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2018.08.209.

[32] I.-H. Tseng, J. C.S. Wu, H.-Y. Chou, Effects of sol-gel procedures on the photocatalysis of Cu/TiO₂ in CO₂ photoreduction, J. Catal. 221 (2004) 432–440. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2003.09.002.

[33] M. Hussain, P. Akhter, G. Saracco, N. Russo, Nanostructured TiO₂/KIT-6 catalysts for improved photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to tunable energy products, Appl. Catal. B-Environ. 170-171 (2015) 53-65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2015.01.007</u>.

[34] Patsoura, D.I. Kondarides, X.E. Verykios, Photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants with simultaneous production of hydrogen, Catal. Today. 124 (2007) 94–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2007.03.028.

[35] K. C. Christoforidis, P. Fornasiero, Photocatalysis for Hydrogen Production and CO₂ Reduction: The Case of Copper-Catalysts, ChemCatChem, 9 (2019) 368-382. https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201801198.

[36] J. Albo, D. Vallejo, G. Beobide, O. Castillo, P. Castaño, A. Irabien, Copper-based Metal– Organic Porous Materials for CO₂ electrocatalytic reduction to alcohols, ChemSusChem. 10
(2017) 1100-1109. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201600693.</u>

[37] Q. Luo, Y. Cao, Z. Liu, B. Feng, Q. Zhou, N. Li, A feasible process for removal and utilization of CO₂ in thermal power plants by MDEA+DMSO scrubbing and Cu/TiO₂ photocatalytic reduction, Appl. Therm. Eng. 153 (2019) 369–378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2019.02.049.

[38] Y. N. Kavil, Y. A. Shaban, R. K. Al Farawati, M. I. Orif, M. Zobidi, S. U. M. Khan, Efficient photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ present in seawater into methanol over Cu/C-Co-doped TiO₂ nanocatalyst under UV and natural sunlight, Water Air Soil Pollut. (2018) 229-236. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-018-3881-3.

[39] Y. N. Kavil, Y. A. Shaban, R. K. Al Farawati, M. I. Orif, M. Zobidi, S. U.M. Khan, Photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ into methanol over Cu-C/TiO₂ nanoparticles under UV light and

natural sunlight, J. Photoch. Photobio. A., 347 (2017) 244–253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2017.07.046.

[40] H. Li, C. Li, L. Han, C. Li, S. Zhang, Photocatalytic reduction of CO_2 with H_2O on CuO/TiO_2 catalysts, Energ. Source Part A. 38(3) (2016) 420-426. https://doi.org/10.1080/15567036.2011.598910.

[41] H.-C. Yang, H.-Y. Lin, Y.-S. Chien, J. C.-S. Wu, H.-H. Wu, Mesoporous TiO₂/SBA-15, and Cu/TiO₂/SBA-15 composite photocatalysts for photoreduction of CO₂ to methanol, Catal Lett. 131 (2009) 381–387. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10562-009-0076-y</u>.

[42] Slamet, H.W. Nasution, E. Purnama, K. Riyani, J. Gunlazuardi, Effect of copper species in a photocatalytic synthesis of methanol from carbon dioxide over copper-doped titania catalysts, World Applied Sciences Journal 6 (1) (2009) 112-122. ISSN 1818-4952.

[43] Slamet, H. W. Nasution, E. Purnama, S. Kosela, J. Gunlazuardi, Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ on copper-doped titania catalysts prepared by improved-impregnation method, Catal. Commun. 6(5) (2005) 313–319. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2005.01.011</u>.

[44] I.-H. Tseng, J. C.-S. Wu, Chemical states of metal-loaded titania in the photoreduction of CO₂, Catal. Today. 97 (2004) 113–119. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2004.03.063</u>.

[45] I.-H. Tseng, W-C. Chang, J. C. S. Wu, Photoreduction of CO₂ using sol–gel derived titania and titania-supported copper catalysts, Appl. Catal. B-Environ. 37 (1) (2002) 37-48. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-3373(01)00322-8.

[46] B. Li, W. Niu, Y. Cheng, J. Gu, P. Ning, Q. Guan, Preparation of Cu₂O modified TiO₂ nanopowder and its application to the visible light photoelectrocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to CH₃OH. Chem, Phys. Lett. 700 (2018) 57-63. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2018.03.049</u>.

[47] E. Liu, L. Qi, J. Bian, Y. Chen, X. Hu, J. Fan, H. Liu, C. Zhu, Q. Wang, A facile strategy to fabricate plasmonic Cu modified TiO_2 nano-flower films for photocatalytic reduction of CO_2 to methanol, Mater. Res. Bull. 68 (2015) 203–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.materresbull.2015.03.064. [48] L. Liu, W. Yang, Q. Li, S. Gao, J. K. Shang, Synthesis of Cu₂O nanospheres decorated with TiO₂ nanoislands, their enhanced photoactivity and stability under visible light illumination, and their post-illumination catalytic memory, ACS Appl. Mater. Inter. 6 (8) (2015) 5629-5639. https://doi.org/10.1021/am500131b.

[49] J. Wang, G. Ji, Y. Liu, M.A. Gondal, X. Chang, Cu₂O/TiO₂ heterostructure nanotube arrays prepared by an electrodeposition method exhibiting enhanced photocatalytic activity for CO₂ reduction to methanol, Catal. Commun. 46 (2014) 17-21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2013.11.011.

[50] A. E. Nogueira, J. A. Oliveira, G. T. S. T. da Silva, C. Ribeiro. Insights into the role of CuO in the CO₂ photoreduction process. Sci. Rep. 9 (2019) 1316. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36683-8</u>.

[51] F. Xu, J. Zhang, B. Zhu, J. Yu, J. Xu, CuInS₂ sensitized TiO₂ hybrid nanofibers for improved photocatalytic CO₂ reduction, Appl. Catal. B-Environ. 230 (2018) 194-202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2018.02.042.

[52] Z. Q. He, L. X. Jiang, J. Han, L. N. Wen, J. M. Chen, S. Song, Activity and selectivity of Cu and Ni doped TiO₂ in the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O under UV-light irradiation, Asian J. Chem. 26 (15) (2014) 4759-4766. <u>https://doi.org/10.14233/ajchem.2014.16199</u>.

[53] T. Yang, Q. Yu, H. Wang, Photocatalytic Reduction of CO₂ to CH₃OH coupling with the oxidation of amine to imine, Catal. Lett. 148 (2018) 2382–2390. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10562-018-2412-6</u>.

[54] M. R. Uddin, M. R. Khan, M. W. Rahman, A. Yousuf, C. K. Cheng, Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ into methanol over CuFe₂O₄/TiO₂ under visible light irradiation, Reac. Kinet. Mech. Cat. 116 (2015) 589–604. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11144-015-0911-7</u>.

[55] J. C.S. Wu, H.-M. Lin, C.-L. Lai, Photo reduction of CO₂ to methanol using optical-fiber photoreactor, Appl. Catal. A-Gen. 296 (2005) 194–200.
<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2005.08.021</u>.

[56] K. Hirano, K. Inoue, T. Yatsu, Photocatalysed reduction of CO₂ in aqueous TiO₂ suspension mixed with copper powder, J. Photochern. Photobiol. A: Chem. 64 (1992) 255-258. https://doi.org/10.1016/1010-6030(92)85112-8.

[57] S. Nadeem, A. Mumtaz, M. Mumtaz, M. I. A. Mutalib, M. S. Shaharun, B. Abdullah, Visible light driven CO₂ reduction to methanol by Cu-porphyrin impregnated mesoporous Ti-MCM-48, J. Mol. Liq. 272 (2018) 656–667. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2018.09.077</u>.

[58] D. O. Adekoya, M. Tahir, N. A. S. Amin, g-C₃N₄/(Cu/TiO₂) nanocomposite for enhanced photoreduction of CO₂ to CH₃OH and HCOOH under UV/visible light, J. CO2 Util. 18 (2017) 261–274. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2017.02.004</u>.

[59] M. Tahir, B. Tahir, N. A. S. Amina, H. Alias, Selective photocatalytic reduction of CO_2 by H_2O/H_2 to CH_4 and CH_3OH over Cu-promoted In_2O_3/TiO_2 nanocatalyst, Appl. Surf. Sci. 389 (2016) 46–55. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2016.06.155</u>.

[60] M. M. R. Khan, M. R. Uddin, H. Abdullah, K. M. R. Karim, A. Yousuf, C. K. Cheng, H. R. Ong, Preparation and characterization of CuFe₂O₄/TiO₂ photocatalyst for the conversion of CO₂ into methanol under visible light, International Journal of Chemical and Molecular Engineering. 10 (2016). <u>https://doi.org/10.10.5281/zenodo.1126852</u>.

[61] Y.-H. Cheng, V.-H. Nguyen, H.-Y. Chan, J. C.S. Wu, W.-H. Wang, Photo-enhanced hydrogenation of CO₂ to mimic photosynthesis by CO co-feed in a novel twin reactor, Appl. Energ. 147 (2015) 318–324. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.02.085</u>.

[62] J.-J. Wang, Y.-H. Jing, T. Ouyang, Q. Zhang, C.-T. Chang, Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to energy products using Cu–TiO₂/ZSM-5 and Co–TiO₂/ZSM-5 under low energy irradiation, Catal. Commun. 59 (2015) 69-72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2014.09.030.

[63] O. Oluwafunmilola, M. M. Maroto-Valera, Copper based TiO₂ honeycomb monoliths for CO₂ photoreduction, Catal. Sci. Technol. 4 (2014) 1631–1637.
https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CY00991B.

[64] M. Bellardita, A. Di Paola, E. García-López, V. Loddo, G. Marcì, L. Palmisano, Photocatalytic CO₂ reduction in gas-solid regime in the presence of bare, SiO₂ supported or Culoaded TiO₂ samples, Curr. Org. Chem. 17 (2013) 2440-2448. https://doi.org/10.2174/13852728113179990057.

[65] B. Srinivas, B. Shubhamangala, K. Lalitha, P. A. K. Reddy, V. D. Kumari, M. Subrahmanyam, B. R. De, Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ over Cu-TiO₂/molecular sieve 5A composite. Photochem. Photobiol. 87 (2011) 995-1001. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-1097.2011.00946.x.</u>

[66] D. Luo, Y. Bi, W. Kan, N. Zhang, S. Hong, Copper and cerium co-doped titanium dioxide on catalytic photo reduction of carbon dioxide with water: Experimental and theoretical studies, J. Mol. Struct. 994 (2011) 325-331. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molstruc.2011.03.044</u>.

[67] J. C. S. Wu, H.-M. Lin, Photo reduction of CO₂ to methanol via TiO₂ photocatalyst, Int. J. Photoenergy. (2005) 115-119. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/S1110662X05000176</u>.

[68] G. Guan, T. Kida, A. Yoshida, Reduction of carbon dioxide with water under concentrated sunlight using photocatalyst combined with Fe-based catalyst, Appl. Catal. B-Environ. 41 (2003) 387–396. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-3373(02)00174-1</u>.

[69][68] M. Anpo, H. Yamashita, Y. Ichihashi, S. Ehara, Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O on various titanium oxide catalysts, J. Electroanal. Chem. 396 (1995) 21-26. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0728(95)04141-A.

[70] H. Yamashita, H. Nishiguchi, N. Kamada, M. Anpo, Y. Teraoka, H. Hatano, S. Ehara, K. Kikui, L. Palmisano, A. Sclafani, M. Schiavello, M. A. Fox, Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O on TiO₂ and Cu/TiO₂ catalysts, Res. Chem. Intermed. 20 (8) (1994) 815-823. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0944-8.