## Appendix A – domain data

At 3 months		Litility woight	\/AC				
•	Mobility	Pain	Anxiety	ADLs	Selfcare	_ Utility weight	VAS
All	58.5% (131)	40.6% (91)	54.9% (119)	58.5% (131)	81.7% (183)	0.77	75
Sex							
Male	61.3% (57)	47.3% (44)	63.4% (59)	63.4% (59)	86.0% (80)	0.84	80
Female	38.9% (70)	20.8% (43)	31.6% (60)	37.4% (68)	74.2% (98)	0.74	75
Age							
<40	89.5% (17)	57.9% (11)	52.6% (10)	78.9% (15)	94.7% (18)	0.88	80
40-49	53.7% (22)	41.5% (17)	29.3% (12)	43.9% (18)	70.7% (29)	0.66	66
50-59	66.7% (40)	43.3% (26)	50.0% (30)	66.7% (40)	80.0% (48)	0.77	80
60-69	52.1% (38)	31.5% (23)	64.4% (47)	56.2% (41)	83.6% (61)	0.77	75
70+	43.5% (10)	47.8% (11)	78.3% (18)	56.5% (13)	87.0% (20)	0.79	80
Referral reason							
Alcohol excess	58.1% (25)	41.9% (18)	46.5% (20)	60.5% (26)	74.4% (32)	0.79	80
NALFD	56.3% (45)	40.0% (32)	55.0% (44)	56.3% (45)	86.3% (69)	0.77	68
Abnormal liver enzymes	60.7% (51)	39.3% (33)	59.5% (50)	57.1% (48)	79.8% (67)	0.77	75
Liver fibrosis category							
No significant liver disease	64.4% (105)	42.9% (70)	57.1% (93)	63.8% (104)	84.7% (138)	0.79	80
Significant liver disease	45.2% (19)	35.7% (15)	42.9% (18)	47.6% (20)	76.2% (32)	0.70	70
Advanced liver disease	30.8% (4)	23.1% (3)	61.5% (8)	30.8% (4)	69.2% (9)	0.65	66

At 12 months		C	Litility woight	\/ <b>\</b> C			
	Mobility	Pain	Anxiety	ADLs	Selfcare	_ Utility weight	VAS
All	67.6% (161)	48.3% (115)	61.8% (147)	68.9% (164)	84.5% (201)	0.81	80
Sex							
Male	71.7% (81)	53.1% (60)	66.4% (75)	69.9% (79)	82.3% (93)	0.85	80
Female	45.3% (78)	27.6% (54)	38.9% (70)	49.7% (83)	73.6% (106)	0.77	80
Age							
<40	80.8% (21)	57.7% (15)	46.2% (12)	76.9% (20)	80.8% (21)	0.88	80
40-49	80.6% (29)	63.9% (23)	55.6% (20)	63.9% (23)	83.3% (30)	0.82	75
50-59	67.7% (42)	45.2% (28)	53.2% (33)	67.7% (42)	80.6% (50)	0.80	80
60-69	53.9% (41)	38.2% (29)	63.2% (48)	60.5% (46)	80.3% (61)	0.75	80
70+	70.6% (24)	55.9% (19)	82.4% (28)	82.4% (28)	97.1% (33)	0.86	80
Referral reason							
Alcohol excess	62.5% (30)	47.9% (23)	56.3% (27)	64.6% (31)	81.3% (39)	0.77	80
NALFD	60.2% (50)	39.8% (33)	55.4% (46)	61.4% (51)	79.5% (66)	0.77	73
Abnormal liver enzymes	76.8% (73)	55.8% (53)	70.5% (67)	75.8% (72)	89.5% (85)	0.85	80
Liver fibrosis category							
No significant liver	71 20/ (124)	E0 60/ (99)	60.00/ (106)	71 90/ (125)	96 99/ (1 <b>5</b> 1)	0.84	90
disease	71.3% (124)	50.6% (88)	60.9% (106)	71.8% (125)	86.8% (151)	0.84	80
Significant liver disease	64.7% (33)	47.1% (24)	62.7% (32)	64.7% (33)	80.4% (41)	0.80	80
Advanced liver disease	30.8% (4)	23.1% (3)	69.2% (9)	46.2% (6)	69.2% (9)	0.69	75

## Appendix B – sensitivity analysis

			% no	o problems			Utility	VAS
	<del>-</del>	Mobility	Pain	Anxiety	ADLs	Self-care	weight	
Pre-diagnosis	No significant liver disease	57.0% (61)	27.1% (29)	48.6% (52)	59.8% (64)	85.0% (91)	0.75	80
	Significant liver disease	41.9% (13)	19.4% (6)	61.3% (19)	51.6% (16)	77.4% (24)	0.69	80
	Advanced liver disease	20.0% (2)	20.0% (2)	60.0% (6)	30.0% (3)	70.0% (7)	0.66	73
3-months	No significant liver disease	65.4% (70)	44.9% (48)	57.9% (62)	65.4% (70)	86.9% (93)	0.80	80
	Significant liver disease	48.4% (15)	35.5% (11)	54.8% (17)	54.8% (17)	80.6% (25)	0.77	70
	Advanced liver disease	40.0% (4)	30.0% (3)	60.0% (6)	40.0% (4)	80.0% (8)	0.69	68
12-months	No significant liver disease	71.0% (76)	52.3% (56)	62.6% (67)	72.0% (77)	88.8% (95)	0.84	80
	Significant liver disease	71.0% (22)	41.9% (13)	61.3% (19)	67.7% (21)	83.9% (26)	0.80	80
	Advanced liver disease	30.0% (3)	30.0% (3)	70.0% (7)	50.0% (5)	70.0% (7)	0.70	78

Change in utility index								
		Pre-diagnosis to	o 3 months	Pre-diagnosis to	12 months			
All	n=148	+0.02 (0.21)	p=0.122	+0.06 (0.20)	p<0.001			
No significant liver disease	n=107	+0.02 (0.22)	p=0.150	+0.07 (0.20)	p<0.001			
Significant liver disease	n=31	+0.02 (0.19)	p=0.471	+0.05 (0.23)	p=0.089			
Advanced liver disease	n=10	-0.01 (0.23)	p=0.813	+0.01 (0.23)	p=0.944			

ADLs activities of daily living; VAS visual analogue scale Values are % (n) or mean (sd)

## Appendix C - STROBE Statement (cohort studies)

	Item No	Decommendation	
Title and abstract	1	Recommendation (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used	✓abstract
		term in the title or the abstract	
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced	<b>✓</b>
		summary of what was done and what was found	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the	✓
		investigation being reported	
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified	<b>√</b>
		hypotheses	
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	<b>√</b>
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates,	<b>√</b>
		including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up,	
		and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and	<b>√</b>
		methods of selection of participants. Describe methods	
		of follow-up	
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and	NA
		number of exposed and unexposed	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors,	<b>✓</b>
		potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give	
		diagnostic criteria, if applicable	
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and	<b>✓</b>
measurement		details of methods of assessment (measurement).	

Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group

Bias	9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias			
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	<b>√</b>	
Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the	<b>√</b>	
variables		analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were		
		chosen and why		
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used	<b>√</b>	
		to control for confounding		
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups	<b>√</b>	
		and interactions		
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	<b>√</b>	
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was	<b>√</b>	
		addressed		
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	<b>√</b>	
Results	40*		/ C - A	
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of	✓ fig1	
		study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for		
		eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study,		
		completing follow-up, and analysed		
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage		
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	√ fig1	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg	✓ tab1	
		demographic, clinical, social) and information on		
		exposures and potential confounders		

		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	√ tab1
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	√ p6
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	✓ tab2-3
Main results	16	<ul><li>(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable,</li><li>confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg,</li><li>95% confidence interval). Make clear which</li><li>confounders were adjusted for and why they were</li><li>included</li></ul>	✓ CI
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	<b>✓</b>
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	NA
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	✓ App
Discussion	40		<b>✓</b>
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	•
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	<b>✓</b>
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of	<b>√</b>

		analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the	✓
		study results	
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders	✓
		for the present study and, if applicable, for the original	
		study on which the present article is based	

<sup>\*</sup>Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.