**ORIGINAL PAPER**



## **Probability density function (PDF) models for particle transport in porous media**

**Matteo Icardi[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3924-3117) · Marco Dentz2**

Received: 4 August 2019 / Accepted: 30 May 2020 © The Author(s) 2020

### **Abstract**

Mathematical models based on probability density functions (PDF) have been extensively used in hydrology and subsurface flow problems, to describe the uncertainty in porous media properties (e.g., permeability modelled as random field). Recently, closer to the spirit of PDF models for turbulent flows, some approaches have used this statistical viewpoint also in pore-scale transport processes (fully resolved porous media models). When a concentration field is transported, by advection and diffusion, in a heterogeneous medium, in fact, spatial PDFs can be defined to characterise local fluctuations and improve or better understand the closures performed by classical upscaling methods. In the study of hydrodynamical dispersion, for example, PDE-based PDF approach can replace expensive and noisy Lagrangian simulations (e.g., trajectories of drift-diffusion stochastic processes). In this work we derive a joint position-velocity Fokker–Planck equation to model the motion of particles undergoing advection and diffusion in in deterministic or stochastic heterogeneous velocity fields. After appropriate closure assumptions, this description can help deriving rigorously stochastic models for the statistics of Lagrangian velocities. This is very important to be able to characterise the dispersion properties and can, for example, inform velocity evolution processes in continuous time random walk dispersion models. The closure problem that arises when averaging the Fokker–Planck equation shows also interesting similarities with the mixing problem and can be used to propose alternative closures for anomalous dispersion.

**Keywords** Porous media · Dispersion · PDF models

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 76S05 · 82C31 · 35Q35

 $\boxtimes$  Matteo Icardi matteo.icardi@nottingham.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nottingham, NG7 2RD Nottingham, UK

<sup>2</sup> IDAEA-CSIC, Barcelona, Spain

### **1 Introduction**

The evolution of solute and particle transport in heterogeneous porous media is determined by the heterogeneity of the medium, the consequent flow heterogeneity and small scale diffusion (Saffma[n](#page-15-0) [1959](#page-15-0); de Josselin de Jon[g](#page-14-0) [1958;](#page-14-0) Bea[r](#page-14-1) [1972](#page-14-1)). These processes determine the average transport behaviours and also the fluctuation dynamics. For example, small scale velocity fluctuations and mass transfer processes give rise to large scale transport dynamics characterised by hydrodynamic dispersion, but also non-Fickian transport characteristics such as long tails in breakthrough curves and non-linear evolution of solute dispersion (Liu and Kitanidi[s](#page-15-1) [2012;](#page-15-1) Kang et al[.](#page-15-2) [2014](#page-15-2); de Anna et al[.](#page-14-2) [2013;](#page-14-2) Dentz et al[.](#page-14-3) [2018a](#page-14-3); Puyguiraud et al[.](#page-15-3) [2019\)](#page-15-3). The understanding of these behaviours plays a central role in a series of applications across different fields and applications ranging from geothermal energy to packed bead reactors. The probability density functions (PDFs) of Eulerian and Lagrangian velocities, their relation with medium properties, and their evolution with travel distance and time provide quantitative insight into the mechanisms of hydrodynamic dispersion and mixing in porous media.

The pioneering study of Moroni and Cushma[n](#page-15-4) [\(2001](#page-15-4)) used 3-dimensional particle tracking velocimetry to analyze velocity PDFs in the context of a non-local theory for hydrodynamic dispersion in porous media. The works by de Anna et al[.](#page-14-2) [\(2013\)](#page-14-2) and Kang et al[.](#page-15-2) [\(2014](#page-15-2)) studied intermittency in purely advective Lagrangian velocity series in 2- and 3-dimensional synthetic porous media and modelled their evolution using a continuous time random walk approach. Siena et al[.](#page-16-0) [\(2014\)](#page-16-0) analysed the scaling behaviours of Eulerian velocity statistics in 3-dimensional digitised rocks and find stretched exponential distributions for the stream-wise velocities. Similar statistics were found for the distribution of stream-wise Eulerian velocity for 2-dimensional synthetic fibrous media Matyka et al[.](#page-15-5) [\(2016](#page-15-5)). Jin et al[.](#page-15-6) [\(2016\)](#page-15-6) analysed correlations in medium and flow properties as well as distributions of stream-wise velocities for different 3-dimensional porous media. These authors find similar behaviours for flow and structural correlation functions. Holzner et al[.](#page-15-7) [\(2015](#page-15-7)) and Morales et al[.](#page-15-8) [\(2017\)](#page-15-8) used particle tracking velocimetry to study Lagrangian velocities, accelerations and dispersion in 3-dimensional bead packs, which are modelled using spatial velocity Markov models. Holzner et al[.](#page-15-7) [\(2015\)](#page-15-7) propose a model to relate pore size and velocity distributions. de Anna et al[.](#page-14-4) [\(2017](#page-14-4)) analysed Eulerian velocity distributions in 2 dimensional synthetic porous media and revealed a relation between the pore aperture distribution and the distribution of the Eulerian velocity magnitude, which is explained by Poiseuille flow in individual pores combined with approximately constant pressure drops (Saffma[n](#page-15-0) [1959\)](#page-15-0). Meyer and Bijelji[c](#page-15-9) [\(2016](#page-15-9)) analysed Lagrangian velocity time series in 3-dimensional porous media, based on which they propose a stochastic model for the evolution of particle velocities. Alim et al[.](#page-14-5) [\(2017\)](#page-14-5) proposed a velocity model based on mass conservation and independence of the flow rates in neighboring pore throats to explain exponential tails for the stream-wise velocity distribution in simple 2 dimensional porous media. Puyguiraud et al[.](#page-15-3) [\(2019](#page-15-3)) conducted a thorough analysis of Eulerian and Lagrangian flow attributes for a digitised 3-dimensional Berea sandstone sample with the aim of predicting and characterising anomalous dispersion processes at the pore scale (Puyguiraud et al[.](#page-15-3) [2019](#page-15-3)).

The above cited works focus on Eulerian and Lagrangian flow attributes for purely advective particle motion. The classical works by de Josselin de Jon[g](#page-14-0) [\(1958\)](#page-14-0) and Saffma[n](#page-15-0) [\(1959](#page-15-0)) considered the stochastic motion of particles in porous media in the presence of diffusion to derive expressions for longitudinal and transverse hydrodynamic dispersion coefficients. Saffma[n](#page-15-0) [\(1959](#page-15-0)) provides an approach for the estimation of the Eulerian velocity distribution based in 3-dimensional porous media based on Poiseuille flow in individual pores and the approximation of a constant pressure drop across different pores. It is argued that diffusion homogenises the particle velocities within pores. Dentz et al[.](#page-14-3) [\(2018a\)](#page-14-3) used a similar approach to analyse the tailing of breakthrough curves in a 3-dimensional synthetic porous medium within a continuous time random approach parametrised by the Eulerian velocity distribution combined with diffusive mass transfer[.](#page-15-10) Most et al.  $(2016)$  $(2016)$  use a copula based method to investigate advective–diffusive particle motion in 3-dimensional porous media. Many of the works cited above are based on phenomenological understanding, rather than rigorously derived from the microscopic underlying mathematical equations. While more rigorous analytical upscaling techniques for partial differential equations (PDEs), such as homogenisation and volume averaging, can be extended to deal with non-Fickian dispersion and non-equilibrium effects (Icardi et al[.](#page-15-11) [2019](#page-15-11)), they lead to challenging non-local closure problems.

We focus here on an alternative approach based on probability density functions, in the following termed PDF methods (Pope and Pop[e](#page-15-12) [2000](#page-15-12)). PDF methods have been pioneered in turbulence research (Lumle[y](#page-15-13) [1962](#page-15-13); Lundgre[n](#page-15-14) [1967;](#page-15-14) Port and Ston[e](#page-15-15) [1976;](#page-15-15) Pop[e](#page-15-16) [1994](#page-15-16)), and specifically in the context of turbulent combustion (Pop[e](#page-15-17) [1985](#page-15-17); Fo[x](#page-14-6) [2003](#page-14-6); Hawort[h](#page-15-18) [2010\)](#page-15-18). In these contexts, the PDFs of Lagrangian and Eulerian velocities and their relations have been studied in order to systematically quantify the statistical nature of turbulent flow. In the context of turbulent combustion, PDF methods provide an elegant way to systematically quantify the non-linear interplay of turbulent mixing and chemical reaction. PDF methods have been used to determine, for example the distribution function of transported passive and reactive scalars, and the densities of particles that are dispersed in a turbulent flow field (Pop[e](#page-15-16) [1994](#page-15-16)), or by molecular diffusion (Einstei[n](#page-14-7) [1905](#page-14-7); von Smoluchowsk[i](#page-16-1) [1906](#page-16-1); Langevi[n](#page-15-19) [1908](#page-15-19)). For flow and transport processes in porous media, PDF methods have been used for the analysis of the impact of spatial heterogeneity on Darcy scale transport and reaction. This includes studies that map, numerically or analytically, the statistics of random porous media flow on the velocity and concentration statistics for purely advective (Shvidler and Karasak[i](#page-16-2) [2003\)](#page-16-2) and advective–dispersive transport under transient and steady state conditions (Nowak et al[.](#page-15-20) [2008;](#page-15-20) Sanchez-Vila et al[.](#page-16-3) [2009](#page-16-3); Dentz and Tartakovsk[y](#page-14-8) [2010](#page-14-8); Meyer et al[.](#page-15-21) [2010;](#page-15-21) Dent[z](#page-14-9) [2012;](#page-14-9) de Barros and Fior[i](#page-14-10) [2014\)](#page-14-10). Other works apply PDF methods to advective–reactive transport characterized by steady random flow and reaction conditions (Lichtner and Tartakovsk[y](#page-15-22) [2003;](#page-15-22) Tartakovsky et al[.](#page-16-4) [2009;](#page-16-4) Tartakovsky and Broyd[a](#page-16-5) [2011;](#page-16-5) Venturi et al[.](#page-16-6) [2013](#page-16-6)). While these works derive concentration PDFs based on the advection–dispersion or advection–reaction equation for the transported scalar, other PDF approaches (Bellin and Tonin[a](#page-14-11) [2007](#page-14-11); Suciu et al[.](#page-16-7) [2015,](#page-16-7) [2016](#page-16-8)) start from Langevin equations for the stochastic evolution of scalar concentration, and obtain the PDF models from the corresponding Fokker–Planck equations. PDF methods in porous media have also been used both for the upscaling of fluctuating small scale

dynamics of mixing and reaction, and in the context of probabilistic risk assessment (Tartakovsk[y](#page-16-9) [2013](#page-16-9)).

In this paper we introduce an equation for the joint distribution of particle position and velocity under advection and diffusion and apply statistical mechanics techniques to obtain the equation that describes the evolution of the velocity PDF. This is done by conditional expectations and perturbation techniques. This approach has not been applied before for porous media flows although it can be regarded as a special case of coarse-graining or model reduction (Givon et al[.](#page-14-12) [2004](#page-14-12)) of the high-dimensional Langevin governing equations for particle position and velocity. While this has been extensively studied for Hamiltonian-type systems (Hijón et al[.](#page-15-23) [2006](#page-15-23); Di Pasquale et al[.](#page-14-13) [2019](#page-14-13)), the coarse-graining of general advection-diffusion models has been only recently studied for the overdamped Langevin equation (Duong et al[.](#page-14-14) [2018](#page-14-14); Legoll and Lelièvr[e](#page-15-24) [2010;](#page-15-24) Hudson and L[i](#page-15-25) [2018\)](#page-15-25).

In Sect. [2,](#page-3-0) we derive a system of Langevin equations for the evolution of particle position and velocity and the equivalent Fokker–Planck equation for their joint probability density function (PDF). Section [3](#page-5-0) focuses on the evolution of the marginal velocity PDFs and closure models. Section [4](#page-9-0) provides some simplified models and exact solutions for the velocity PDF in deterministic linear shear flows. Eventually some preliminary numerical results and observations are presented in Sect. [5.](#page-12-0)

### <span id="page-3-0"></span>**2 Joint position-velocity PDF equation**

Scalar and particle (advective and diffusive) transport can be modelled with the Ito (also known as overdamped Langevin) stochastic differential equation (SDE)

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
dX = \mathbf{u}(X)dt + \sqrt{2D} dW
$$
 (1)

with  $\mathbf{X}(t=0) = \mathbf{X}_0$ , where **W** is a *n*-dimensional Brownian motion, *D* a diffusion constant, and **u**(**x**) is a space-dependent constant velocity field. If **X**, **V**, **u** are considered dimensionless, it is enough to replace *D* with the inverse Péclet number, Pe. Equivalently, this can be described by the Fokker–Planck equation

$$
\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = -\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot (\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})c) + \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} (Dc)
$$
 (2)

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian operator, with initial conditions  $c(\mathbf{x}; t = 0) = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{0})$ **X**<sub>0</sub>). The probability density function (PDF)  $c = c(\mathbf{x}; t)$  can be also interpreted as a concentration field as it is obtained by the SDE Eq. [\(1\)](#page-3-1) as

$$
c = \langle \delta(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{x}) \rangle \tag{3}
$$

where the operator  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  indicates the average with respect to the Brownian motion (stochastic average).

The problem with this formulation is that we lose completely the information on the trajectories and, particularly, on the Lagrangian velocities  $U = u(X)$  and their time evolution. One way to restore it is to introduce a joint position-velocity PDF. We can extend the Markovian variable to the joint variable (**X**, **U**) and write a system of Ito SDEs, by writing **U** using Ito's lemma and remembering that we have a steady flow field so that the time derivative disappears. Equivalently one can think of it as a Taylor expansion around the point **X**

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
d\mathbf{U} = d\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{X}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} d\mathbf{X} + \frac{1}{2} d\mathbf{X}^T \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}^2 \mathbf{u} d\mathbf{X} + \mathcal{O}(d\mathbf{X}^3)
$$
(4)

where  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{X})$  is the velocity field evaluated in the position **X** and, and  $\nabla^2$  indicating the Hessian operator. Note that Ito's lemma requires an expansion of d**U** up to second order in d**X** in order to obtain a consistent expansion in d*t* because the random increment dW is of order  $dt^{1/2}$ . Substituting Eq. [\(1\)](#page-3-1) in Eq. [\(4\)](#page-4-0) we obtain an explicit SDE for the Lagrangian position and velocity. Considering only the first order terms and remembering that  $dW^2 = dt$ ,

$$
d\begin{pmatrix} X \\ U \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ GU + D\Delta u \end{pmatrix} dt + \sqrt{2D} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{I}_{n \times n} \\ G \end{pmatrix} dW \tag{5}
$$

where  $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{X}) = \nabla \mathbf{u}|_{\mathbf{X}}$  is the deformation tensor evaluated at **X** and  $\mathcal{I}_{n \times n}$  is the identity matrix of order *n* (spacial dimensions and length of vectors **U** and **X**). If we assume that **u** is the solution of a stationary Stokes flow, then the term  $\Delta \mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla p$ , where *p* is the pressure.

The corresponding Fokker–Planck equation (Van Kampe[n](#page-15-26) [2007](#page-15-26); Gardine[r](#page-14-15) [2009\)](#page-14-15) for the joint PDF  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}; t)$  can be therefore written as<sup>[1](#page-4-1)</sup>

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot [\mathbf{v}f] + \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} [Df] - \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot [(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{v} + D\Delta \mathbf{u})f] + \nabla_{\mathbf{v}}^2 : \left[ D(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}^T)f \right] + 2\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} : [D\mathbf{G}f] \tag{6}
$$

where we have denoted the Frobenius double inner product with ":" notation.

Equation [\(6\)](#page-4-2) can also be written in a fully conservative way, as follows:

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot [(-\mathbf{v} + D\nabla_{\mathbf{x}})f] + \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \left[ \left( -\mathbf{G}\mathbf{v} - D\Delta\mathbf{u} + D\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}^T \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \right) f \right] \tag{7}
$$

where the change of sign of the term  $D\Delta u$  is due to a cancellation with the integration by parts of the mixed derivative. Another useful formulation is obtain by bringing outside derivatives all terms:

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{v} + D\Delta \mathbf{u}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f = D\Delta_{\mathbf{x}} f + D(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}^T) : \nabla_{\mathbf{v}}^2 f \tag{8}
$$

<span id="page-4-1"></span><sup>1</sup> We are dealing with a 2*n*-dimensional Fokker–Planck equation whose  $2n \times 2n$  diffusion matrix is

$$
\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2D} \mathcal{I}_{n \times n} \\ \sqrt{2D} \mathbf{G} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2D} \mathcal{I}_{n \times n} \\ \sqrt{2D} \mathbf{G} \end{pmatrix}^T = D \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{I}_{n \times n} & \mathbf{G}^T \\ \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^T \end{pmatrix}
$$

In the equivalence of these three forms of the joint position-velocity PDF, we have assumed here non-inertial particles<sup>2</sup> (i.e.,  $\nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{u} = 0$  such that  $\nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{G} = 0$ ) and an incompressible flow field  $(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0)^3$  $(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0)^3$ 

These equations represent a novel point of view in the analysis of transport and mixing in heterogeneous media. While the advection and diffusion in space might look similar to the standard advection–diffusion equation, their coefficients are no longer explicitly depending on space but only on the now internal coordinate **v**. This means that the advection term  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot [\mathbf{v} f]$  can now be integrated and/or averaged in space with no closure. This is at the expenses of introducing three additional dimensions for velocity. As we will discuss in the next section, if the equation is averaged spatially, the closure problems arise instead from the terms that contain derivative in the velocity space.

Let us focus our analysis here to Eq. [\(7\)](#page-4-3). Two different velocity-drift (advection) terms (i.e., acceleration/deceleration) can be identified, and the diffusion term in the velocity. The latter, compared to the standard diffusion in space, has now a non-constant coefficient that depends on the local shear rate tensor. The diffusivity also enters into the second velocity-drift advection term due to the diffusion-induced changes of velocities. This can be explained by considering the effect that spatial diffusion has on the velocity. For example, if a particle lies in the slowest (w.r.t. fastest) regions of the flow, the diffusive jumps will cause a change in the velocity that is not isotropic (like for changes of position in spatial diffusion) but instead weighted by the local shear and will depend on the local velocity, causing therefore an additional velocity-drift term (similarly to a non-constant diffusion in space that cause an additional drift term).

### <span id="page-5-0"></span>**3 Velocity marginal PDF and closures**

In certain cases, we can be interested solely on the evolution of the velocity PDF. We can therefore marginalise the general Eq. [\(6\)](#page-4-2), by defining  $F = \int f dx = \overline{f}$  as the global spatial average<sup>4</sup> and neglecting all the boundary terms arising from spatial derivatives (assuming an infinite or periodic domain), obtaining

<span id="page-5-4"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = -\int \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot [(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{v} - D\Delta \mathbf{u}) f] \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + \int D(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}^T) : \nabla_{\mathbf{v}}^2 f \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \tag{9}
$$

<span id="page-5-2"></span><sup>3</sup> In fact, when **Gv** is taken out of the velocity derivative

$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{v}f) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{G})f + (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}}f
$$

and the first is null only for incompressible fluids.

<span id="page-5-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This means that the advective velocity is always equal to the flow velocity and depends only on the spatial coordinates. In the case of inertial particles this it not true anymore since one can define a advective velocity that is a function of the fluid velocity. This will be studied in our future work.

<span id="page-5-3"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This is not to be confused with the stochastic average used above. To distinguish, the spatial average is denoted by  $\overline{\cdot}$ , while the stochastic average with respect to the Brownian/dispersive motion will be denoted by  $\langle \cdot \rangle$ .

A similar result would be obtained by local spatial averages (filtering) with the difference that the spatial derivative will not disappear. In this work, we want to focus on the closure of the terms that depend on derivatives in the velocity space, and in the dynamics in the velocity space. Local spatial averages will be the subject of further investigations.

Equation [\(9\)](#page-5-4) is now an equation in  $3 + 1$  dimensions (velocity and time), that represent the evolution of Lagrangian particle velocities in the whole space. This is however unclosed as the last two terms contain non-trivial spatial integrals of velocity gradients (**G** and  $\Delta$ **u**) weighted by the joint pdf *f*. To approximate these integrals, we can decompose  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}; t) = f(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{v}; t) F(\mathbf{v}; t)$  and, denoting conditional averaging over  $f(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{v}; t)$  with superscript  $\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{v}$ , the equation can be written as

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = -\nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \left[ \left( \overline{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v} - D \overline{\Delta \mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{v}} \right) F \right] + \Delta_{\mathbf{v}} \left( D \overline{\mathbf{G}} \overline{\mathbf{G}}^T^{\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{v}} F \right) \tag{10}
$$

In general, compared to the simplified case considered in Sect. [4.2,](#page-11-0) the flow map that links each position to a velocity might not be invertible, making the conditional expectations not trivial to compute. Furthermore, the conditional expectations in general depend on time. To better understand the physical meaning of these conditional expectations, we can rewrite the conditional PDF (see also an alternative derivation in "Appendix A"), using Bayes formula, as:

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
f(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{v};t) = \frac{f(\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{x};t)}{F(\mathbf{v};t)} = \frac{\delta(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}))c(\mathbf{x};t)}{F(\mathbf{v};t)}
$$
(11)

where the marginal PDF of position  $f(\mathbf{x}; t) = c(\mathbf{x}; t)$  is the concentration field, and the conditional probability  $f(\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{x})$  is a deterministic function that maps each position into its velocity,<sup>5</sup> i.e., it is the delta function  $\delta(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}))$ . The appearance of the delta function inside the conditional probability results in the closure integral terms to be evaluated only on the domain  $\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} = {\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{v}}$  with the concentration field as a weight, i.e., for a generic function  $g = g(\mathbf{x})$ ,

$$
\overline{g(\mathbf{x})}^{\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{v}} = \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{v}}} g(\mathbf{x}) c(\mathbf{x}; t) d\mathbf{x}
$$

As we will see in Sect. [3.1,](#page-7-0) this closure gives an exact analytical formula for simple shear flows. More generally, in Eq. [\(10\)](#page-6-1), one has to compute these closure terms as functions of velocity **v** and time *t*, or relying on equilibrium approximations (see Sect. [3.2\)](#page-7-1), in which the invariant (long-time) concentration (position PDF)  $c_e$  is used instead, and the corresponding marginal velocity PDF at equilibrium  $F_e(\mathbf{v})$ , defined as:

$$
F_e(\mathbf{v}) = \lim_{t \to \infty} F(\mathbf{v}; t)
$$
\n(12)

<span id="page-6-0"></span><sup>5</sup> Since our model assume that the particles instantaneously relax to the local, constant in time, fluid velocity field.

### <span id="page-7-0"></span>3.1 Constant shear

For the simple case of constant shear rate, **G** is a constant matrix, and Eq. [\(10\)](#page-6-1) can be explicitly closed and written as an advection diffusion reaction equation

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = -(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} F - \text{tr}(\mathbf{G})F + D(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}^T) : \nabla_{\mathbf{v}}^2 F \tag{13}
$$

where we have taken (Gv) out of the derivative and  $tr(G) = 0$  for an incompressible fluid. The stationary (equilibrium) velocity PDF  $F_e(\mathbf{v})$ , can be calculated by removing the time derivative. This can be formally integrated (similarly to the stationary distribution of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process), provided that **G** is an invertible matrix, resulting in a Gaussian solution

$$
F_e(\mathbf{v}) \propto \exp^{-\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{G}^{-1} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{v}}
$$

This is, however, a well-defined probability only for positive definite matrix **G**, which is in contradiction with assumption of it being traceless. A physical explanation of this is that an incompressible fluid in an infinite domain with a constant shear necessarily brings particles towards region of infinite velocity. If we drop instead the assumption of incompressibility and we assume a positive definite **G**, the particles will all be driven into the origin and oscillate around it by diffusion, assuming therefore a Gaussian distribution of velocities.

#### <span id="page-7-1"></span>**3.2 Equilibrium Eulerian velocity PDF**

At equilibrium, the concentration  $c(\mathbf{x}, t)$ , for solenoidal (divergence-free) velocity fields **u**, tends to a constant value  $c_e$ . Therefore, the joint PDF at equilibrium is constant in **x** and simply proportional to  $F_e(\mathbf{v})$ , and the conditional expectations (spatial averages against the conditional distribution), for a generic function  $g$ , are defined as

<span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
\overline{g(\mathbf{x})}^{e|\mathbf{v}} = \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{v}}} g(\mathbf{x}) f_e(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega_{\mathbf{v}}} g(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\delta(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) c_e}{F_e(\mathbf{v})} d\mathbf{x}
$$
(14)

where, as above, we have used Bayes formula to express the equilibrium conditional probability. As it can be seen, this expectation is now independent of time, as long as the velocity field does not depend on time.

The stationary version of Eq.  $(10)$ , i.e.,

<span id="page-7-4"></span>
$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \left[ \left( \overline{\mathbf{G}}^{e|\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v} - D \overline{\Delta \mathbf{u}}^{e|\mathbf{v}} \right) F_e \right] = \Delta_{\mathbf{v}} \left( D \overline{\mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^T}^{e|\mathbf{v}} F_e \right) \tag{15}
$$

<span id="page-7-2"></span><sup>6</sup> Provided appropriate boundary conditions, such as local periodicity. For more general cases, with reactions, sources or sinks, or with non-solenoidal velocity fields, the equilibrium concentration can be a non-constant function.

is therefore the equation satisfied by the equilibrium velocity PDF  $F_e(\mathbf{v})$  which, in this case, it is equivalent to the Eulerian velocity PDF, i.e., the velocity PDF obtained by sampling uniformly<sup>7</sup> the whole domain.

# **3.3 Perturbation near equilibrium**

To obtain a simpler dynamics, we can now decompose the velocity PDF near the equilibrium as:

$$
F(\mathbf{v},t) = F_e(\mathbf{v}) + F^*(\mathbf{v},t)
$$

and decompose also the conditional expectations as:

$$
f(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{v}) = f_e(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{v}) + f^*(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{v}) \implies g^{\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{v}} = g^{e | \mathbf{v}} + g^{* | \mathbf{v}}
$$

where the latter, being the difference between two conditional distributions, is not a distribution (e.g., it does not integrate to one but instead its integral is null) and it is not directly computable from fluctuations  $c(\mathbf{x}, t) - c_e$ , as the conditional distributions in Eqs. [\(11\)](#page-6-2) and [\(14\)](#page-7-3) have a different denominator.

Applying these decompositions to Eq.  $(10)$ , and using the definition of  $F_e$ , Eq.  $(15)$ , we obtain:

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial F^*}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \left[ \left( \overline{\mathbf{G}}^{e|\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v} - D \overline{\Delta \mathbf{u}}^{e|\mathbf{v}} \right) F^* \right] - \Delta_{\mathbf{v}} \left( D \overline{\mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^T}^{e|\mathbf{v}} F^* \right) = -\tau(\mathbf{v}, t, F^*) \tag{16}
$$

where

$$
\tau(t, \mathbf{v}, F^*) = \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \left[ \left( \overline{\mathbf{G}}^{*|\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v} - D \overline{\Delta \mathbf{u}}^{*|\mathbf{v}} \right) F^* \right] - \Delta_{\mathbf{v}} \left( D \overline{\mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^T}^{*|\mathbf{v}} F^* \right) \tag{17}
$$

where we have used the fact that  $\tau(t, \mathbf{v}, F^e) = 0$  to preserve the correct equilibrium.

Equation [\(16\)](#page-8-1) is now an equation for  $F^*$  with coefficients constant in time. They have however a possibly complex dependence on the independent variable **v**, through the conditional expectation. Furthermore, the forcing term  $\tau$  is still a complex timedependent term that still needs a closure.

Since  $f^* (\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{v}) = 0$ , the easiest closure, used below in the numerical example in Sect. [4.2,](#page-11-0) is to assume the terms to be averaged are uncorrelated with  $f^*$ , resulting in  $\tau = 0$ . Alternatively, another possibility that will be considered in future works, is to lump this term into an relaxation term to drive the system towards equilibrium, i.e.,  $\tau(t, \mathbf{v}, F^*) \approx \tau_0(\mathbf{v}) F^*$ .

<span id="page-8-0"></span><sup>7</sup> Since we have here assumed a constant equilibrium concentration.

# **3.4 Interaction by exchange with the mean**

We introduce here two simplifying assumptions that allows to rewrite the parameters given by the conditional expectations in Eq.  $(16)$ , that still depend in a complex way on the independent variable **v**, in a simpler form. Similarly to the IEM model (interaction by exchange with the mean) in turbulent mixing (Pope and Pop[e](#page-15-12) [2000](#page-15-12)), and to the Mori Projector in StatisticalMechanics (Graber[t](#page-14-16) [2006\)](#page-14-16), by assuming that velocity **v** and velocity gradient **G** are spatially distributed according to a joint Gaussian distribution, or, equivalently, approximating **G** as a linear function of **v**, we can rewrite<sup>8</sup> the first two conditional expectations in Eq. [\(16\)](#page-8-1) as follows:

$$
\overline{\mathbf{G}}^{e|\mathbf{v}} \approx (\mathbf{v} - \overline{\mathbf{u}})^T \overline{\mathbf{u}' \mathbf{u}'^{T}}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{u}' \otimes \mathbf{G}}
$$
(18)

$$
\overline{\Delta \mathbf{u}}^{e|\mathbf{v}} \approx -(\mathbf{v} - \overline{\mathbf{u}})^T \overline{\mathbf{u}' \mathbf{u}'^T}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^T}
$$
(19)

where we have assumed that  $\overline{G} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{u}' = \mathbf{u} - \overline{\mathbf{u}}$ . All averages are now unconditional averages and can be taken out of the derivatives. These averages are now more easily computable from the Eulerian velocity field.  $\mathbf{u}'\mathbf{u}'^T$  is, in fact, the spatial correlation matrix of the velocity field and  $\overline{GG}^T$ , that appears with an opposite sign due to the integration by parts, is a contraction of the full velocity gradient covariance  $\overline{G \otimes G}$ .

One could apply the same closure also for the third expectation in Eq. [\(16\)](#page-8-1) related to the second order velocity derivative. However, here, assuming that **GG***<sup>T</sup>* is a linear function of **v** (or equivalently a joint Gaussian) is not consistent with the same assumption for **G**. Also, applying this closure, we can end up with possibly negative diffusion coefficients in the velocity space.

This IEM approximation could have been equally applied directly to Eq. [\(10\)](#page-6-1) but, without the equilibrium approximation, all the averages would still depend on time through the concentration that would act as a weighting function in the spatial averaging. This means that the evolution equation for the velocity PDF would noto be fully closed since it would require the solution of the concentration field at all times.

#### <span id="page-9-0"></span>**4 Deterministic shear flows**

Consider the following 2D shear-flow dynamics

$$
d\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u(y) \\ v \end{pmatrix} dt + \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2D_x} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2D_y} \end{pmatrix} dW \tag{20}
$$

$$
\mathbb{E}[Y|X] = \mathbb{E}[Y] + \frac{\text{cov}(X, Y)}{\text{var}(X)} (X - \mathbb{E}[X])
$$

or, equivalently, interpreting the conditional expectation as a projection into the reduced space span by linear functions of **v**.

<span id="page-9-1"></span><sup>8</sup> Using the formula for conditional expectations of joint Gaussian distributions

where  $u$  is a shear flow depending on  $y$  only (and possibly in a random manner),  $v$  is a generic function (specified later), and **W** is a two-dimensional Wiener process. In the following we will consider a few special cases of this dynamics.

Let us assume  $D_x = 0$ , i.e., molecular diffusion is acting only on *y*. The joint position-velocity PDF can be written for  $f = f(x, y, u, v; t)$  where the dependence on the velocity field is only through the velocity gradient<sup>[9](#page-10-0)</sup>  $\sigma = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$  and, from now on, *u* represents only the internal velocity coordinate.

Under these assumptions,

$$
\mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}^T = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

and a few simplifications can be made on the general Fokker–Planck Eq. [\(7\)](#page-4-3), leading to the following equation:

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (uf) - \frac{\partial}{\partial u} (\sigma v + D\sigma')f + D\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} + D\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} (\sigma^2 f) \tag{21}
$$

where  $\sigma' = \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial y}$ . Since no significant dynamics happens in the *y*-component of the velocity, v, if we start from an initial condition  $f_0 = \delta(v)g(u, x, y)$ , the term  $\sigma v \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}$  $\partial u$ <br>can be disregarded.

As done for the general case (see Sect.  $3$ ), we now perform the marginalisation to obtain an equation for the velocity PDF  $F(u, t)$ . In an infinite channel, the derivatives in *x* disappear, while integrating along the channel height *y*, the second-order derivative in *y* can be written as a diffusive flux at the boundary walls. Since no particles can enter or exit through the walls, they are null and we obtain:

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = -D \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left( \mathcal{M}_{\sigma'} F \right) + D \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \left( \mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2} F \right) \tag{22}
$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(u; t) = \int \sigma' f(y|u; t) dy$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}(u; t) = \int \sigma^2 f(y|u; t) dy$ . As shown in Sect. [3,](#page-5-0) this depends, in general on time, as  $f(y|u; t) \propto \delta(y-y(u))c(y; t)$ . However, as expected in a stratified flow, the evolution of velocities is due solely to the diffusion.

# **4.1 Constant shear (Couette flow)**

Couette flow is characterised by  $\sigma = \sigma_0$ . The velocity marginal PDF does not need any closure. This reduces to a simple heat equation for  $F(u)$ .

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = D\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial u^2}
$$
 (23)

<span id="page-10-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> We assume the velocity field is smooth enough, i.e., with a Gaussian correlation. In this way the derivative exists and it is Gaussian itself with Gaussian correlation function.

As expected, starting from any initial condition, the particles will reach a final equilibrium velocity distribution which is a linear function between the two values of velocity at the boundaries. At early times, starting from a delta-distributed concentration of particles, the velocity PDF will evolve as a Gaussian distribution before feeling the presence of the walls.

# <span id="page-11-0"></span>**4.2 Linear shear (Hagen–Poiseuille flow)**

This is the case of a two-dimensional channel flow with  $\sigma = -2y \frac{u_0}{L^2}$  and  $\sigma' = -2 \frac{u_0}{L^2}$ . In this case, there exists a deterministic relation between *y* and *u*, i.e.,  $u(y) = \frac{u_0}{L^2}(\tilde{L}$ *y*)(*L* + *y*) =  $u_0(1 - \frac{y^2}{L^2})$ , such that  $y^2 = L^2\left(1 - \frac{u}{u_0}\right)$  and the solution for long time limits, near equilibrium, can be computed analytically.

*Equilibrium closure* With the equilibrium closure, considering a constant concentration  $c(x, y) = c_e$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma'}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^2}$  are constant in time. Equation [\(22\)](#page-10-1) can be therefore closed as an inhomogeneous diffusion in the velocity space:

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \frac{4Du_0^2}{L^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \left( \left( 1 - \frac{u}{u_0} \right) F \right) + \frac{2Du_0}{L^2} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}
$$

$$
= \frac{2Du_0}{L^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left( 2(u_0 - u) \frac{\partial F}{\partial u} - F \right) \tag{24}
$$

The stationary solution of this equation is given by:

$$
F \propto \left(1 - \frac{u}{u_0}\right)^{-1/2} \tag{25}
$$

This solution is, in fact, consistent with the fact that, when particles are uniformly spread throughout the channel, their velocity is simply given by the inverse function of the velocity profile.

In Fig. [1,](#page-12-1) we test the equilibrium closure and its convergence to the Eulerian velocity PDF. Equation [\(24\)](#page-11-1) is solved with the Matlab library Chebfun (Driscoll et al[.](#page-14-17) [2014](#page-14-17)), for  $L = 1$ ,  $u_0 = 1$ ,  $D = 1$ , on the domain [0, 1], with no-flux boundary conditions. The initial conditions (crosses) are such that particles (or solutes) are injected only in 20% highest (red dot-dashed, wrt 20% lowest, blue dashed) velocity regions, and the evolution towards the Eulerian velocity distribution (black continuous curve with asterisks) is depicted for different times  $(t = 0.005; 0.1; 0.2; 0.4; 0.8; 1.6)$ . As it can be seen, both the initial conditions converges relatively fast towards the equilibrium distribution. In this equation only diffusion is the driving force for this relaxation, therefore, rescaling appropriately the time, the solution is independent of the Péclet number.



<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Fig. 1** Evolution of the Lagrangian velocity PDF for Poiseuille flow, starting from particles concentrated in fast (red dot-dashed curves) or slow (blue dashed curve) regions. The initial conditions are recognisable by added cross symbols. The equilibrium (Eulerian velocity) distribution is represented by the black solid line with symbols). The different curves show the evolution for dimensionless (advective-based) times  $t = 0.005; 0.1; 0.2; 0.4; 0.8; 1.6$  (colour figure online)

### <span id="page-12-0"></span>**5 Discussion and conclusions**

In this paper, we have derived a joint position-velocity probability density function (PDF) model for passive particles flowing in a porous medium or a heterogeneous flow field. This is achieved by extending the phase space and writing down the stochastic differential equation (SDE) and the corresponding Fokker–Planck partial differential equation (PDE). While the (Smoluchowki) position-only PDF equation contains classical advection terms **u**∇**x***c*, this new formulation requires only first and secondorder derivatives of the flow field that often have higher-frequency oscillations and therefore, better mixing/averaging properties. This aspect, possibly leading to more accurate macroscopic models, will be explored in our future works.

Another advantage of this joint position-velocity formulation is that it can be easily extended to full second-order models with velocity increments given, for example, by the drag force as follows:

$$
d\begin{pmatrix} X \\ U \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \frac{U - u(X)}{St} \end{pmatrix} dt + \sqrt{2D} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathcal{I}_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix} dW \tag{26}
$$

Here *St* is the Stokes number, that depends on the particle size and density, and the slip velocity (difference between particle velocity and local fluid velocity) is  $U - u(X)$ ). In this alternative model, it is more appropriate to put the random fluctuating term in the momentum, as in the classical Langevin dynamics. This problem is more complex as the particle velocities are no longer divergence free. This case will be studied in future works.

Since the joint PDF model is defined on a high-dimensional space  $(6 + 1)$  dimensions), we have studied reduced equations obtained by integrating (marginalising) over space (assuming therefore periodicity or ergodicity) to focus on the evolution of Lagrangian particle velocities. Connections with the theory of coarse-graining are established and several possible closures are proposed. This approach, first derived for a general flow field, is then applied for two-dimensional shear flows. Here, the effect of the equilibrium, and short-time approximations are analysed.

The study of the evolution of particle Lagrangian velocities is motivated by recent studies that highlight the importance of modelling the evolution of Lagrangian velocities (Dentz et al[.](#page-14-18) [2016,](#page-14-18) [2018](#page-14-19)) for predicting anomalous transport in heterogeneous media. For the first time, in this work, we propose a rigorous approach to derive an evolution equation for the Lagrangian velocity PDF.

Future works will include the application of this theoretical study to threedimensional periodic and random porous media will be performed, the derivation of local averaged joint pdf equations (averaged in a small spatial and velocity representative volume), as well as the consequences of the closures proposed for developing improved Lagrangian and Eulerian non-Fickian transport models.

**Acknowledgements** The support of the HPC Midlands Plus and Nottingham Supercomputing facilities is gratefully acknowledged.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

### **A Appendix: Alternative derivation of** *F* **and** *f*

Starting again from Eq. [\(4\)](#page-4-0), one can also formally write *f*

$$
f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t) = \langle \delta (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}(t)) \delta (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}(t)) \rangle = \langle \delta (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u} (\mathbf{X}(t))) \delta (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}(t)) \rangle
$$
  
=  $\delta (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u} (\mathbf{x})) \langle \delta (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}(t)) \rangle = \delta (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u} (\mathbf{x})) c (\mathbf{x}; t)$  (27)

Similarly, one can define directly the marginal velocity PDF *F* as

$$
F(\mathbf{v}, t) = \langle \delta(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{X}(t))) \rangle = \int d\mathbf{x} \langle \delta(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}(t)) \rangle
$$
  
= 
$$
\int d\mathbf{x} \delta(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) \langle \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}(t)) \rangle = \int d\mathbf{x} \delta(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) c(\mathbf{x}, t) \qquad (28)
$$
  
= 
$$
\int d\mathbf{x} |G|^{-1} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0(\mathbf{v})) c(\mathbf{x}, t)
$$

 $\textcircled{2}$  Springer

where the last step is well defined only locally or when flow field is invertible and  $\mathbf{x}_0(\mathbf{v})$ is the inverse of the function  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$  (i.e., the point in space whose velocity is **v**). This highlights the relation between the joint PDF *f* and the usual spatial concentration PDF  $c(\mathbf{x}, t) = \langle \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}(t)) \rangle$  and the role of the velocity gradient **G**. In particular, in an homogeneous state, when  $c(\mathbf{x}) = const$ , the velocity PDF is equivalent to the inverse of the velocity gradient determinant composed with the inverse velocity function  $\mathbf{x}_0(\mathbf{v})$ 

$$
F(\mathbf{v},t) = |\mathbf{G}|^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_0(\mathbf{v})).
$$

### **References**

- <span id="page-14-5"></span>Alim, K., Parsa, S., Weitz, D.A., Brenner, M.P.: Local pore size correlations determine flow distributions in porous media. Phys. Rev. Lett. (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.119.144501>
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>Bear, J.: Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media. American Elsevier, New York (1972)
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>Bellin, A., Tonina, D.: Probability density function of non-reactive solute concentration in heterogeneous porous formations. J. Contam. Hydrol. **94**(1–2), 109–125 (2007)
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>de Anna, P., Le Borgne, T., Dentz, M., Tartakovsky, A.M., Bolster, D., Davy, P.: Flow intermittency, dispersion, and correlated continuous time random walks in porous media. Phys. Rev. Lett. (2013). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.110.184502) [doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.110.184502](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.110.184502)
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>de Anna, Pietro, Quaife, Bryan, Biros, George, Juanes, Ruben: Prediction of the low-velocity distribution from the pore structure in simple porous media. *Physical Review Fluids*, 2(12), (2017). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevfluids.2.124103) [org/10.1103/physrevfluids.2.124103](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevfluids.2.124103)
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>de Barros, F.P.J., Fiori, A.: First-order based cumulative distribution function for solute concentration in heterogeneous aquifers: theoretical analysis and implications for human health risk assessment. Water Resour. Res. **50**(5), 4018–4037 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013wr015024>
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>de Josselin, J.G.: Longitudinal and transverse diffusion in granular deposits. Trans. Am. Geophys. Un. **39**, 67–74 (1958)
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>Dentz, M., de Barros, F.P.J., Le Borgne, T., Lester, D.R.: Evolution of solute blobs in heterogeneous porous media. J. Fluid Mech. **853**, 621–646 (2018a). <https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2018.588>
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>Dentz, M.: Concentration statistics for transport in heterogeneous media due to stochastic fluctuations of the center of mass velocity. Adv. Water Resour. **36**, 11–22 (2012). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2011.04.005) [2011.04.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2011.04.005)
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>Dentz, M., Tartakovsky, D.M.: Probability density functions for passive scalars dispersed in random velocity fields. Geophys. Res. Lett. (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL045748>
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>Dentz, M., Kang, P.K., Comolli, A., Le Borgne, T., Lester, D.R.: Continuous time random walks for the evolution of Lagrangian velocities. Phys. Rev. Fluids **1**(7), 074004 (2016)
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>Dentz, M., Icardi, M., Hidalgo, J.J.: Mechanisms of dispersion in a porous medium. J. Fluid Mech. **841**, 851–882 (2018)
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>Di Pasquale, N., Hudson, T., Icardi, M.: Systematic derivation of hybrid coarse-grained models. Phys. Rev. E **99**(1), 013303 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.99.013303>
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>Driscoll T.A., Hale N., Trefethen L.N.: Chebfun guide (2014)
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>Duong, M.H., Lamacz, A., Peletier, M.A., Schlichting, A., Sharma, U.: Quantification of coarse-graining error in Langevin and overdamped Langevin dynamics. Nonlinearity **31**(10), 4517–4566 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/aaced5>
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>Einstein, A.: Über die von der molekularkinetischen Theorie der Wärme geforderte Bewegung von in ruhenden Flüssigkeiten suspendierten Teilchen. *Ann. Phys.* 549–560 (1905)
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>Fox, R.O.: Computational models for turbulent reacting flows. Cambridge Series in Chemical Engineering. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003). <https://books.google.it/books?id=aIW6ECRlDDoC> Gardiner, C.: Stochastic Methods: A Handbook for the Natural and Social Sciences (2009)
- <span id="page-14-15"></span><span id="page-14-12"></span>Givon, D., Kupferman, R., Stuart, A.: Extracting macroscopic dynamics: model problems and algorithms. Nonlinearity **17**(6), R55–R127 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/17/6/R01>
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>Grabert, H.: Projection operator techniques in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics. Springer Tracts in Modern Physics. Springer, Berlin (2006)
- <span id="page-15-18"></span>Haworth, D.C.: Progress in probability density function methods for turbulent reacting flows. Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. **36**(2), 168–259 (2010)
- <span id="page-15-23"></span>Hijón, C., Serrano, M., Espaol, P.: Markovian approximation in a coarse-grained description of atomic systems. J. Chem. Phys. (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2390701>
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>Holzner, M., Willmann, M., Morales, V.L., Dentz, M.: Intermittent Lagrangian velocities and accelerations in three-dimensional porous medium flow. Phys. Rev. E **92**, 13015 (2015)
- <span id="page-15-25"></span>Hudson, T., Li, X.H.: Coarse-graining of overdamped Langevin dynamics via the Mori–Zwanzig formalism. [arXiv:1810.08175,](http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.08175) (2018)
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>Icardi, M., Boccardo, G., Dentz, M.: Flowing matter, soft and biological matter. In: Upscaling Flow and Transport Processes. Springer, Berlin (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23370-9>
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>Jin, C., Langston, P.A., Pavlovskaya, G.E., Hall, M.R., Rigby, S.P.: Statistics of highly heterogeneous flow fields confined to three-dimensional random porous media. Phys. Rev. E (2016). [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.93.013122) [1103/physreve.93.013122](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.93.013122)
- <span id="page-15-26"></span>Van Kampen, N.G.: Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2007). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52965-7.X5000-4) [doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52965-7.X5000-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52965-7.X5000-4)
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>Kang, P.K., de Anna, P., Nunes, J.P., Bijeljic, B., Blunt, M.J., Juanes, R.: Pore-scale intermittent velocity structure underpinning anomalous transport through 3-d porous media. Geophys. Res. Lett. **41**(17), 6184–6190 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014gl061475>
- <span id="page-15-19"></span>Langevin, P.: Sur la théorie du mouvement brownien. C. R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) **146**, 530–533 (1908)
- <span id="page-15-24"></span>Legoll, F., Lelièvre, T.: Effective dynamics using conditional expectations. Nonlinearity **23**(9), 2131–2163 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/23/9/006>
- <span id="page-15-22"></span>Lichtner, P.C., Tartakovsky, D.M.: Stochastic analysis of effective rate constant for heterogeneous reactions. Stochastic Environ. Res. Risk Assess. (SERRA) **17**(6), 419–429 (2003). [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-003-0163-3) [1007/s00477-003-0163-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-003-0163-3)
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>Liu, Y., Kitanidis, P.K.: Applicability of the dual-domain model to nonaggregated porous media. Ground Water **50**(6), 927–934 (2012)
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>Lumley, J.L.: The mathematical nature of the problem of relating lagrangian and Eulerian statistical functions in turbulence. Méc. Turbul. **108**, 17–26 (1962)
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>Lundgren, T.S.: Distribution functions in the statistical theory of turbulence. Phys. Fluids **10**(5), 969 (1967). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1762249>
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>Matyka, M., Gołembiewski, J., Koza, Z.: Power-exponential velocity distributions in disordered porous media. Phys. Rev. E (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.93.013110>
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>Meyer, D.W., Bijeljic, B.: Pore-scale dispersion: bridging the gap between microscopic pore structure and the emerging macroscopic transport behavior. Phys. Rev. E (2016). [https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.94.013107) [94.013107](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.94.013107)
- <span id="page-15-21"></span>Meyer, D.W., Jenny, P., Tchelepi, H.A.: A joint velocity-concentration PDF method for tracer flow in heterogeneous porous media. Water Resour. Res. (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010WR009450>
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>Morales, V.L., Dentz, M., Willmann, M., Holzner, M.: Stochastic dynamics of intermittent pore-scale particle motion in three-dimensional porous media: experiments and theory. Geophys. Res. Lett. **44**(18), 9361–9371 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017gl074326>
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>Moroni, M., Cushman, J.H.: Statistical mechanics with three-dimensional particle tracking velocimetry experiments in the study of anomalous dispersion. II. Experiments. Phys. Fluids **13**(1), 81–91 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1328076>
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>Most, S., Bijeljic, B., Nowak, W.: Evolution and persistence of cross-directional statistical dependence during finite-péclet transport through a real porous medium. Water Resour. Res. **52**(11), 8920–8937 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016wr018969>
- <span id="page-15-20"></span>Nowak, W., Schwede, R.L., Cirpka, O.A., Neuweiler, I.: Probability density functions of hydraulic head and velocity in three-dimensional heterogeneous porous media. Water Resour. Res. (2008). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007WR006383) [doi.org/10.1029/2007WR006383](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007WR006383)
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>Pope, S.: Lagrangian PDF methods for turbulent flows. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. **26**(1), 23–63 (1994)
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>Pope, S.B., Pope, S.B.: Turbulent Flows. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2000)
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>Pope, S.B.: Pdf methods for turbulent reactive flows. Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. **11**(2), 119–192 (1985)
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>Port, S.C., Stone, C.J.: Random measures and their application to motion in an incompressible fluid. J. Appl. Probab. **13**(3), 498–506 (1976)
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>Puyguiraud, A., Gouze, P., Dentz, M.: Upscaling of anomalous pore-scale dispersion. Transp. Porous Media **128**(2), 837–855 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-019-01273-3>
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Saffman, P.G.: A theory of dispersion in a porous medium. J. Fluid Mech. **6**(03), 321–349 (1959)
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>Sanchez-Vila, X., Guadagnini, A., Fernàndez-Garcia, D.: Conditional probability density functions of concentrations for mixing-controlled reactive transport in heterogeneous aquifers. Math. Geosci. **41**(3), 323–351 (2009)
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>Shvidler, M., Karasaki, K.: Probability density functions for solute transport in random field. Transp. Porous Media **50**(3), 243–266 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1021129325701>
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>Siena, M., Guadagnini, A., Riva, M., Bijeljic, B., Pereira Nunes, J.P., Blunt, M.J.: Statistical scaling of pore-scale Lagrangian velocities in natural porous media. Phys. Rev. E (2014). [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.90.023013) [1103/physreve.90.023013](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.90.023013)
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>Suciu, N., Radu, F.A., Attinger, S., Schüler, L., Knabner, P.: A Fokker–Planck approach for probability distributions of species concentrations transported in heterogeneous media. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **289**, 241–252 (2015)
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>Suciu, N., Schüler, L., Attinger, S., Knabner, P.: Towards a filtered density function approach for reactive transport in groundwater. Adv. Water Resour. **90**, 83–98 (2016)
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>Tartakovsky, D.M., Broyda, S.: PDF equations for advective–reactive transport in heterogeneous porous media with uncertain properties. J. Contam. Hydrol. **120–121**(C), 129–140 (2011)
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>Tartakovsky, D.M.: Assessment and management of risk in subsurface hydrology: a review and perspective. Adv. Water Resour. **51**, 247–260 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.04.007>
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>Tartakovsky, D.M., Dentz, M., Lichtner, P.C.: Probability density functions for advective–reactive transport with uncertain reaction rates. *Water Resour. Res.* (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008wr007383>
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>Venturi, D., Tartakovsky, D.M., Tartakovsky, A.M., Karniadakis, G.E.: Exact PDF equations and closure approximations for advective-reactive transport. J. Comput. Phys. **243**, 323–343 (2013)
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>von Smoluchowski, M.: Zur kinetischen Theorie der Brownschen Molekularbewegung und der Suspensionen. Ann. Phys. **21**, 757–780 (1906)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.