# Effects of titania based catalysts on in-situ pyrolysis of *Pavlova* microalgae

Tevfik Aysu<sup>a,b</sup>, Oluwafunmilola Ola<sup>c</sup>, M. Mercedes Maroto-Valer<sup>a</sup>, Aimaro Sanna<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Heriot-Watt University, Institute of Mechanical, Process and Energy Engineering

(IMPEE),EH14 4AS, Edinburgh, UK

<sup>b</sup>Yuzuncu Yil University, Faculty of Science, Department of Chemistry, 65080, Van, Turkey

<sup>c</sup> University of Exeter, College of Engineering, Mathematics and Physical Sciences,

Exeter EX4 4QJ, UK

10 ABSTRACT

Pavlova microalga was pyrolysed in presence of titania based catalysts in a fixed bed reactor at various temperatures. The effects of catalysts on Pavlova microalga pyrolysis was investigated. A large fraction of the starting energy (~63-74 % daf) was recovered in the bio-oils when the catalysts were used. The bio-oil yield was 20% higher in presence of Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> (22.55 wt.%) at 500 °C. The High Heating Values of the produced bio-oils were in the range of ~35-37 MJ/kg and suffered strong deoxygenation, with O content (% daf) diminished from 51 wt.% to ~9-12 wt.%. The <sup>1</sup>H Nuclear Magnetic Resounance and Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry suggested that the titania catalysts enlarged the aliphatics and aromatic compounds and decreased oxygenates in the bio-oils. Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> had the greatest activity in increasing aliphatic protons (60%) and decreasing coke formation. Its enhanced cracking activity was due to its higher availability on the catalyst surface, compared to Co and Ce, and to strong interaction between Ni and TiO<sub>2</sub> support. Despite the fact that the bio-oils were partially de-nitrogenated, the N-content still represent a major limitation for their use as bio-fuels without further upgrading.

Keywords: Bio-oil, Pavlova, Pyrolysis, deoxygenation, Catalyst, Titania

#### 1. Introduction

Microalgae are promising feedstock for bio-fuels, chemicals, food, cosmetics and
healthcare and have been cultivated in open pounds or raceways as human and animal feed
supplements on an industrial scale [1-3]. Microalgae have several advantages over terrestrial
crops: (i) can grow in open water such as sea water and ponds or in photobioreactors on non-
arable lands ii) have higher lipid content (some up to 80 %) iii) do not compete with food crops
(iv) have higher CO2 capture capacity and can remove and recycle nutrients from waste water
and flue gases [4-5]. Over the decades, different approaches for the production of bio-fuels from
microalgae have emerged, such as biogas from anaerobic digestion, biodiesel (from fatty acids),
hydrogen (from gasification) and bio-oils from liquefaction and pyrolysis [6,7]. Microalgae
contain three main components in varying amounts: lipids (3-50 wt%), carbohydrates (10-50
wt%) and proteins (6–65 wt%).

Compared to more established routes such as algal fatty acids to biodiesel, the thermochemical conversion of algae can advantagiously convert completely the algal biomass, which makes the process more attractive [5]. In recent years, pyrolysis of both lignocellulosic and algal biomass has been widely investigated. Pyrolysis refers to thermal depolymerisation or organics at moderate temperatures (400–600 °C) in absence of oxygen.

One of the most challenging barriers to the thermo-chemical conversion pathway is the high moisture content of microalgae. A study indicates that mechanical dewatering prior a 2000 t/day microalgae catalytic pyrolysis facility, can produce 21.4 million gallons of biofuel per year at a cost of \$1.49 per liter, which is similar to the gasoline cost range in Europe in 2016 (~1.2-1.7 \$ per liter, globalpetrolprices) [8] .

Pyrolysis of many algal species has been studied including Nannochloropsis, Chlorella, Tetraselmis, Isochrysis and Microcystis. In a previous study, Miao et al. [9] performed the fast pyrolysis of Chlorella prothothecoides and Microcystis aeruginosa species grown

phototrophically. They obtained bio-oil yields of 18% and 24% with HHVs of 30MJ/kg and 29MJ/kg, respectively. Belotti et al. [10] studied the pyrolysis of Chlorella vulgaris grown in complete and nitrogen starved medium. They found that the fast pyrolysis of nitrogen starved Chlorella vulgaris yielded 42.2% of bio-oil at 400 °C. The bio-oil produced from nitrogen starved algae had higher amount of fatty acids and lower amount of nitrogenous species, resulting in an improved quality.

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

Although pyrolysis is recently attracting rising interest for the producing of liquid fuels, the resulting bio-oils have several drawbacks, such as low heating value, high viscosity, high oxygen content and high acidity, whichlimit their widespread use and need to be upgraded first. Catalytic fast pyrolysis involves the catalytic conversion of primary pyrolysis vapours to less oxygenated liquid fuels by eliminating oxygen as CO, H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> [11]. The catalysts can be added directly to biomass in the pyrolysis reactor or added in a downstream reactor to upgrade the hot pyrolysis vapours [12]. Acidic metal oxides (e.g.Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), sulfated metal oxides (e.g. SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup>-/TiO<sub>2</sub>) and transition metal oxides (e.g. CeO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>) have been investigated as catalysts in lignocellulosic materials pyrolysis [13-16]. Metal oxides such as TiO<sub>2</sub> and CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts decreased the liquid and organic product yields and increased gas, water, and solid products yields; where carboxylic acids like acetic acid were transformed to gasoline-range organics, by elimination of O<sub>2</sub> as CO<sub>2</sub> and water [15,17]. CeO<sub>2</sub> was found to be highly performing for this scope and also tolerant to water. Employing Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub> as supports or doping them with a strong base improved their catalytic activity [12]. Other intensily investigated catalysts for microalgae pyrolysis are Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and ZSM-5-based zeolites [18-21]. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> tend to increase the gas yields while decreasing the bio-oil yield, in which an energy recovery of 40% of bio-oil was achieved [19]. HZSM-5 increased the hydrocarbons fraction and in particular the aromatics [20]. For example, Chlorella sp. bio-oils (43-50 wt%) was enriched in hydrocarbons in the organic phase (from 21 wt% in absence of catalyst to 43 wt%) [21].

Pavlova sp. is known to be able to synthesize DHA and EPA (essential n-3 PUFA) in larger amounts. The potential of the *Pavlova* sp. as n-3 PUFA source in marine fish nutrition was investigated by Rehberg-Haas [22]. However, there is no reported study regarding the evaluation of *Pavlova sp.* as a biomass feedstock for bio-oil generation. Since characteristics such as low cost, availability, sustainability, resistance to attrition and catalytic activity are important criteria for the selection of catalysts, there is a great interest in developing catalysts from non-nobel metals and rare materials. Under this scenario, TiO<sub>2</sub> represents a promising candidate as catalyst for biomass conversion technologies. Kaewpengkrow et al. [23] performed the catalytic upgrading of pyrolysis vapors from Jatropha wastes using alumina, zirconia and titania. They found that alumina and titania yielded high hydrocarbons and less oxygenates, while hydrocarbon selectivity was the highest with titania based catalysts. Lu et al. [24] studied the catalytic fast pyrolysis of cellulose mixed with sulphated titania to produce levoglucosenone. They found that the SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup>-/TiO<sub>2</sub> (anatase) decreased the early cellulose breakdown temperature and changed the pyrolytic product considerably resulting the best catalyst for levoglucosenone production. Mante et al. [25] used anatase TiO2 nanorods, CeOx-TiO<sub>2</sub> mixed oxides, pure CeO<sub>2</sub>, ZrO<sub>2</sub>, and MgO as catalysts for the catalytic conversion of biomass pyrolysis vapors into hydrocarbons. Ceria-based catalysts resulted very effective in producing ketones.

The cracking properties of nickel, ceria and titania can be advantageous to the in-situ removal of oxygen from the microalgal bio-oils. To the best of our knowledge, there is no single study available in the literature on the comparison of product yields and compositions of bio-oils attained by catalytic pyrolysis of *Pavlova* microalga with titania based catalysts and their deoxygenation effect. Accordingly, the aim of this study is to screen and compare the effects of ceria and titania based catalysts on *Pavlova* pyrolysis yield and products selectivity.

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

### 2. Experimental

# 2.1 Feedstock preparation

*Pavlova sp.* was acquired from Varicon Aqua Solutions. The biochemical composition of *Pavlova* algae in terms of proteins, carbohydrates and lipids was also provided by Varicon Aqua Solutions. The microalgae were dried in an oven at 50 °C, milled to a particle size between 80 and 140 meshes (105-174 μm) and stored in a desiccator for further use.

#### 2.2. Catalyst preparation and characterization

Commercial ceria (CeO<sub>2</sub>) and titania (TiO<sub>2</sub>) nanopowders were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Titania (TiO<sub>2</sub>) based catalysts were prepared according to the procedure shown in Fig. S1. As shown in Fig. S1, metal based TiO<sub>2</sub> sols were prepared firstly by refluxing a solution containing titanium (IV) butoxide, propan-2-ol, nitric acid and fixed amount of cerium (III) nitrate (Ce(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O), cobalt (II) acetylacetonate (Co(C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) or nickel (II) nitrate hexahydrate (Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O) using an oil bath at around 95°C for 24 hours. After the reflux period, the resulting sol was dried and calcined in a furnace (Carbolite, CWF 1100) under airflow at the rate of 1°C min<sup>-1</sup> to 100 °C for 12 h.

The physical characteristics of the different catalysts were determined by  $N_2$  adsorption method at -195.8 °C using Micromeritics Gemini VII instrument [5], while Quantachrome Instruments Autosorb IQ Station 2 was used for the titania based catalysts. The catalysts were outgassed overnight at 180 °C. Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) and the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) equations were used for determining the materials surface and pore size distribution/volume. The mineral phases and elemental composition of the catalysts were checked by powder X-ray diffraction using a Bruker D8 Advance powder diffractometer (Gemonochromated Cu  $K\alpha1$  radiation; wavelength=1.5406 Å, 40 kV, 30 mA) with Sol-X Energy Dispersive detector. The data were obtained over the angular range 5°-85° degrees in two-theta under atmospheric pressure.

- 126 2.3. Feedstock and products analyses
- 2.3.1. Proximate and ultimate analysis
- The proximate analyses were conducted according to ASTM standarts (D2016, E872-
- 82, D1102-84). C, N and H were determined using LECO CHNS-932 analyzer, while O content
- was obtained by difference. Higher heating values (HHV) of samples were obtained using the
- 131 Dulong's Formula [23].
- 2.3.2. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) analysis
- <sup>1</sup>H NMR analyses were performed using a Bruker Avance III operating at 400 MHz. The
- samples were dissolved in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (1:1 volume ratio) and TMS (tetramethylsilane) was used a
- internal standard.
- 136 2.3.3. TGA analysis
- Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out using a TA Q500 in presence of N<sub>2</sub>
- for determining volatiles and in presence of air to determine the fixed carbon and ash content.
- The method used is described in details in a previous work [13].
- 2.3.4. Gas chromatography–Mass spectrometry (GC–MS) analysis
- An Agilent GC-MS 7890A/5975C series (column: HP 235–INNOWAX; transfer line at
- 270 °C, ion source at 250 °C and electron energy of 70 eV) was used for the GC-MS analysis
- of the bio-oils [26]. The bio-oil components were identified using mass spectral libraries
- 144 (PMW\_Tox3.l, Wiley7n.1 and NIST05a.L).
- 145 2.3.5. Coke analysis
- 146 Coke yield on catalysts was determined by the weight change during combustion using
- an Exstar TG/DTA 7200 in a 70 mL/min air flow. In a typical run, approximately10 mg of

sample was placed in the ceramic crucible and heated from 25  $^{\circ}$ C to the final temperature of 900  $^{\circ}$ C at a rate of 10  $^{\circ}$ C/min.

# 2.4. Pyrolysis experiments

The pyrolysis experiments of *Pavlova* were performed using a semi-fixed-bed reactor. The set-up was previously descibed [26]. The catalytic and non-catalytic algae pyrolysis experiments were performed at temperatures of 450, 500 and 550  $^{\circ}$ C at a constant heating rate 100  $^{\circ}$ C/min under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere with a flow rate of 545 ml/min. The reactor temperature was kept constant at the final temperature for 60 min.

Actual pyrolysis tests were performed feeding 3 g of material per test (1.5g Pavlova and 1.5g catalyst). The bio-oils were recovered in three Dreshel bottles immersed in a ice-water bath with temperature kept constant at  $0^{\circ}$ C.

The remaining solid was recorded as bio-char yield (subtracting the catalyst weight).

The amount of gaseous products was calculated by subtraction of solid and bio-oil yields from the amount of initial raw material.

The distribution of the parent algal material energy in the pyrolysis products was based on the pyrolysis material balance and HHV of bio-oils and bio-chars. The energy content of each

pyrolysis material balance and HHV of bio-oils and bio-chars. The energy content of each product (bio-char and bio-oil) was calculated by multiplying the HHVs to the wt% of the products recovered. The energy content of bio-gas was calculated by subtracting the energy content of the bio-char and bio-oil from the starting energy content of the raw material (microalgae) [13].

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Feedstock characterization

Ultimate and proximate analyses of *Pavlova* were performed and the results are reported in Table S1. This alga strain has large protein content (43%) and a moderate amount of lipids

(20%) and carbohydrates (26%). The *Pavlova* moderate lipid content reduces this microalga suitability for biodiesel production, but enhances its suitability for pyrolysis. The large protein content, which was similar to that of other algal species such as *Scenedesmus obliquus*, *Chlorella vulgaris* and *Spirulina platensis* [27], resulted in high nitrogen content (4.81%). Ash content (24.45%) and fixed carbon (11.60%) were rather great compared to values of other algae species [28]. The decomposition behaviour of *Pavlova* was estimated using TGA/DTG (Fig. S2). According to Fig.S2, the *Pavlova* decomposition occurs between 105 and 800 °C (53. 9 % weight loss) that includes three main steps (at 130, 250, 455°C), corresponding to carbohydrates, proteins and lipids volatilisation [5]. The material left behind (40 %) at 800 °C, represents the fixed carbon and ash.

### 3.2. Catalyst characterization

Table 1 shows the surface area, pores size and volume of the synthetised catalysts assessed using  $N_2$  adsorption isotherms at 77 K. The addition of metal oxides to  $TiO_2$  influenced its catalyst properties. When we look at the loaded  $TiO_2$  based catalysts, we see that there were clear differences between them. The specific surface areas (SBET) of  $Ce/TiO_2$ ,  $Co/TiO_2$  and  $Ni/TiO_2$  catalysts were 126.80, 278.50 and 229.60 m<sup>2</sup>/g, respectively, while starting  $TiO_2$  had only ~9.5 m<sup>2</sup>/g. All synthetised catalysts had a pore distribution between 17 and 300 Å, indicating the presence of micropores (<20 Å) and mesopores (>20 Å).  $Ni/TiO_2$  had an average pore size of 58.06 Å (similar to the starting  $TiO_2$ ), while  $Co/TiO_2$  and  $Ce/TiO_2$  had smaller average pore size (~29-31 Å). This may indicate that Co and Ce are deposited in the pores partially reducing their size.

The X-ray diffraction patterns of the prepared catalysts with TiO<sub>2</sub> support are shown in the Supplementary data (Fig. S3), which shows the X-ray diffraction patterns of metal loaded nanoparticles of Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub>, Co/TiO<sub>2</sub> and Ce/TiO<sub>2</sub>. Tetragonal anatase phase was confirmed by Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS) Card File no. 21-1272. Additional peaks of brookite at

ca. 31.4° were further observed in the metal loaded nanoparticles. Only small diffraction peaks of metal oxides (NiO, CoO and CeO<sub>2</sub>) phase were detected for the samples as they are hidden from the large peaks of TiO<sub>2</sub>. This could be due to their occurrence being in highly dispersed phase within TiO<sub>2</sub> matrix or due to detectable limit of the diffractometer. EDS analysis confirmed the elemental composition as 1.6wt%, 3.98wt% and 1.36wt% for Co, Ce and Ni loaded TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts, respectively.

# 3.3. Effect of temperature on products distribution

The total volatiles (bio-oil+gas yields) and distribution of products obtained by pyrolysis of Pavlova with titania based catalysts with (1:1 ratio) and without catalyst is given in Table 2. High char yields were probably due to the slow heating rate used (100°C/min) during pyrolysis [29]. For example, when temperature was increased from 450 to 550 °C, the conversion (total volatiles) was increased from 51% to 61% in the non-catalytic runs and from 52% to 63.7% in the catalytic runs with CeO<sub>2</sub>. Similar trend was observed with TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. The higher bio-oil yield was obtained at 500 °C, with Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> producing the highest (22.5 wt%). The bio-oil yields were increased first at 500°C and then decreased at 550 °C. This result is in accordance with previous work [30].

#### 3.4. Effect of catalysts on product distribution

Catalytic pyrolysis favours deoxygenation by removal of CO, CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O [26,31]. When we look at the effect of titania based catalysts used in this study, we see that all had a positive effect and increased the total volatiles compared to non-catalytic runs, with Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> being the most effective.

The use of a neutral catalyst support (TiO<sub>2</sub>) that does not promote acid catalyzed polymerization of the various components of *Pavlova* increase the conversion of char, when coupled with cracking metals such as Ni. Iliopoulou et al. found out that the addition of NiO to

a zeolite led to a decrease in the total condensed organic hydrocarbon phase during beech pyrolysis vapours, and increased the yields of aromatic hydrocarbons. Also, H<sub>2</sub> production and C2-C6 gas-phase hydrocarbons increased with nickel, with an emphasis on C4-C6 alkanes [32]. In our work, the highest amounts of total volatiles of 63.7 % and 64.1% were obtained with CeO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> respectively, but the amount of Ce loaded on the TiO<sub>2</sub> support was 3 times larger than that of Ni (see Section 3.2). Thereofre, Ni had the largest impact on the microalgae decomposition, which could be linked to the fact that Ni was deposited on the surface of the catalyst, while the Co and Ce were also deposited in the materials pores, as indicated by the pores size distribution. A large fraction of the starting microalgae was found in the gas product, with yield increasing sharply according to temperature increase to 550°C. This is due to the long residence time (60 min) and high cracking capacity of ceria. As for the bio-oil yields, ceria and nickel containing catalysts were the most effective and the highest bio-oil yields were obtained in their presence (Ce/TiO<sub>2</sub>: 21.7 % and Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub>: 22.5 %). This result is in agreement with our previous studies on Nannochloropsis, Tetraselmis and Isochrysis catalytic pyrolysis, where Ni containing catalysts resulted in the highest bio-oil yields and good deoxygenation effect [5,26]. These low bio-oil yields can be linked to the relatively low content in fatty acids of the pyrolysed specimen (20 wt%). Despite this, a notable fraction of the microalgae energy content was recovered in the bio-oils. Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> was the most effective maintaining 74.59 % (daf) of *Pavlova*'s energy in the bio-oil, (55.72 % without catalyst) (Fig. 1). This indicates that deoxygenation of *Pavlova* in the presence of nickel is very effective and can be attributed to the cracking activity of Ni and synergic effect of Ni-Ti [32]. TiO<sub>2</sub> alone did not show any benefit in terms of increasing the conversion of Pavlova, but as soon as Ni was added to the support, the reduction in char yield and the increase in volatile species was clear. This agrees to a previous work, where Ni addition to zeolite led to higher conversion of oxygenates and lower rate of deactivation [33].

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

The nitrogen distribution in the products of catalytic and non-catalytic pyrolysis of *Pavlova* at 500 °C is given in Fig 2. Bio-oil obtained without catalyst contained about 34.27 wt% of the nitrogen, while 31.21 wt% remained in the solid bio-char and 34.52 wt% went into the gas products. The use of titania based catalysts lowered the nitrogen content in the bio-oils to about 26% (from 34%). N removal was comparable with that obtained by staged hydrothermal liquefaction (1<sup>st</sup> stage: 225°C, 15 min; 2<sup>nd</sup> stage: 350°C, 60 min) [34]. In a previous work, the hydrodenitrogenation performance of a TiO<sub>2</sub>-promoted Ni<sub>2</sub>P catalyst was studied. It was found out that both the denitrogenation activity and the hydrogenation activity of Ni<sub>2</sub>P were enhanced by the addition of TiO<sub>2</sub> [35]. In this work, as supported by the N content of bio-chars and bio-oils (Tables 3 and 4), the N removed from the bio-oils went in the gas phase (possibly in form of NH<sub>3</sub>) thanks to the cracking capability of the metals loaded in the TiO<sub>2</sub> support. Among the catalysts, Ni and CO loaded TiO<sub>2</sub> were the best performing ones.

The TGA curves of the coked spent titania catalysts are shown in Fig. S4, where the mass lost between 600 and 900 °C was associated to coke combustion. Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> (5.0%) had the lowest amount of coke, while Co/TiO<sub>2</sub> (15.3%) and CeTiO<sub>2</sub> (15%) had the highest. These results are substantially consistant with the pyrolysis mass balances (Table 2), which show their catalytic activities in terms of high total volatiles. Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> and Co/TiO<sub>2</sub> have larger surface and pore volume compared to the other materials (see Table 1). The fact that Ni was mostly loaded in the surface of the catalyst, while Co entered the support pores, can explain the higher coke reduction capacity of the Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst.

# 3.5. EA, TGA, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and GC–MS analyses

The HHVs and elemental analyses of the bio-chars produced at 500 °C are shown in Table 3. The produced bio-chars had carbon content between 38 and 42 wt% and HHV between 5 and 7 MJ/kg. Van Krevelen diagram showing *Pavlova*, *Chlorella* and *Nannochloropsis* bio-

chars obtained at 500°C along with coal is given in Fig. 3. As seen in Table 3 and Fig. 3, Pavlova bio-chars had lower HHVs than Chlorella and Nannochloropsis obtained at the same temperature. This is because most of the starting microalgae energy was recovered in the biooils. The Pavlova bio-chars have high ash and nitrogen contents, which make them suitable as soil amendment rather than solid bio-fuel. The HHVs and elemental analyses of the bio-oils are given in Table 4 and the corresponding Van Krevelen diagram is shown in Fig. 4. All bio-oils had higher HHV and lower oxygen contents than raw feedstock. Nitrogen content of bio-oils was lower in presence of catalysts decreasing from 8.75 wt% without catalyst to a minimum of 6.12 wt% in presence of Co/TiO2. Nitrogen compounds originated from chlorophyll and proteins present in raw feedstock. Altought N was reduced, its content still represents a problem for potential NOx emission during combustion. The bio-oils produced in the presence of catalyst had higher HHVs than the one without catalyst. The most effective catalysts in improving the bio-oil quality were Ce/TiO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub>, which increased the HHVs of bio-oils up to about 37 MJ/kg, compared to non-catalytic run (33.32 MJ/kg). As seen in the Van Krevelen diagram (Fig. 4), the Pavlova bio-oils had greater HHVs than Chlorella (ZSM-5) and Nannochloropsis [5]. This indicates that not only the catalyst but also the feedstock composition affects the deoxygenation during pyrolysis. The pyrolysis bio-oils from Pavlova were also enriched in carbon and hydrogen content in presence of the catalysts, which makes them more suitable for fuel use compared to lignocellulose-derived bio-oils [36].

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

Most of the bio-oils were virtually ash free and composed of only volatiles. The bio-oil obtained with Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> had the highest amount of low molecular weight volatiles while Co/TiO<sub>2</sub> contained the highest amount of ash (Bio-oils proximates are presented in Table S2).

The integration of selected regions of the proton-NMR spectra versus specific chemical shift ranges are presented in Table 5. NMRs, which give an overview of the chemical functionalities present in the bio-oils, show that titania catalysts altered the functionalities

distribution. The aliphatic proton region of the titania catalysts oils (0.0 to 1.5 ppm) was the most abundant. Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> had the highest percentage of aliphatic protons (~60% of all), while the not metal loaded TiO<sub>2</sub> had the lowest (~50 % of all). The next integrated region from 1.5 to 3.0 ppm (aliphatic protons bonded to C=C double bond (aromatic or olefinic) or H two bonds away from a heteroatom) did not show clear differences between bio-oils obtained without and with catalyst. The region of the spectra (3.0-4.4 ppm) that characterises the aliphatic alcohol/ether protons, or methylene groups joining two aromatic rings were less in presence of Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> and Co/TiO<sub>2</sub> (~3 %). This sharp decrease in alcohols is mainly ascribable to the cracking of phytol, with Ni/TiO2 being the most effective catalyst. The protons in the carbohydrates/aromatic ether (4.4-6.0 ppm) region were found to be in small amounts (~0.5-1.5 %) in all bio-oils, with lower level in presence of titania based catalysts. These results are in accordance with the elemental (Table 4) and GC-MS (Table 6) analyses of bio-oils, which show lower oxygen contents when the catalysts were used. The aromatic region of the spectra (6.0-9.5 ppm) contain  $\sim 8-12 \%$  of the protons in the bio-oils. This region represents both hydrogen atoms in benzenoid aromatic compounds and in heteroaromatics containing nitrogen and oxygen such as indole (see Table 6). All catalysts, except Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub>, increased the percentage of protons slightly in this region compared to non-catalytic run. Aldehydes and carboxylic acids (9.0-10.1 ppm) were not detected in the bio-oil without catalyst and detected only in very small amounts in other bio-oils. This is a clear difference with lignocellulosic bio-oils that are particularly rich in oxygenated compounds. According to the proton NMR analyses, the biooils obtained from pyrolysis of *Pavlova* contain greater percentages of the aliphatic protons.

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

GC-MS analysis of the *Pavlova* bio-oils produced at 500°C was carried out in order to determine the main products and to compare the effects of different catalysts. The list of the identified compounds with main functionalities identified by GC-MS from pyrolysis of *Pavlova* is given in Table 6. Bio-oils from *Pavlova* were composed of a mixture of different

functionalities such as aliphatics, monoaromatics, oxygenates, nitrogenates and polycyclic compounds. Aliphatics (alkanes and alkenes) were mainly generated during the depolymerisation of algal saturated and unsaturated fatty acids. Among the aliphatics, tridecane, tetradecane, pentadecane, neophytadiene, pentadecene, tetradecene were identified. Aliphatics are considered as valuable compounds due to their contribution to high heating value products. Represented chemical functionalities are not quite consistent with those detected by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. This can be ascribed to the different classification used for the two techniques, where tetradecanenitrile, dodecanenitrile and hexadecanenitrile, which have long-chain aliphatic parts, were not considered aliphatics in GC-MS. The main monoaromatics were phenol, phenol substitutes and benzenes, which were produced from algal components thermal cracking, metal promoted cracking, dehydration, decarbonylation and decarboxylation reactions.

Oxygenated compounds (e.g. alcohols, ethers) were not abundant in the bio-oils, while the bio-oils were rich in nitrogen containing compounds (e.g nitriles, amines and indole).

The presence of the titania catalysts affected the distribution and number of the identified chemicals. When we consider the catalysts effect, we see that TiO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> have increased the fractions of monoaromatics considerably than other catalysts. There was a clear increase in aliphatics and decrease in oxygenated compounds in the presence of all titania catalysts, which shows their good cracking properties. Among them, Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> was found to be the most effective in terms of deoxygenation and denitrogenation of Pavlova bio-oils. Nitrogen compounds were also decreased (except Ce/TiO<sub>2</sub>) in the presence of titania catalysts, indicating that TiO<sub>2</sub> has an active role on the O and N elimination capacity. All titania catalysts favoured the formation of polycyclic compounds in varying amounts (Table 6). This can be related to the presence of large mesopores (30-58 Å), which allow large molecules to be adsorbed and rearranged in polyaromatic structures. The selectivity on mono-aromatics transformation in polyaromatics such as naphthalenes was linked to longer reaction times indicating that first the

depolymerised molecules form mono-aromatics and the latter form polycyclic-aromatics by secondary reactions [37].

The better activity of Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> can be partially explained in terms of higher availability of Ni in surface (Co/TiO<sub>2</sub> has higher surface but Co is mostly loaded into the TiO<sub>2</sub> pores) and also can be linked to strong interaction between Ni and TiO<sub>2</sub> support, which lead to superior cracking capacity [38]. This is supported by the increaeed cracking of long chain alcohols such as phytol (C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>40</sub>O) and reduced presence of PAH as reported in Table 6.

# 4. Conclusion

The effects TiO<sub>2</sub> and Ni, Ce, Co loaded TiO<sub>2</sub> and CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts on the catalytic pyrolysis of *Pavlova* microalgae was studied. The presence of Ni, Ce and CO metals supported on TiO<sub>2</sub> affected the product yields distribution and their quality. Presence of Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> resulted in the highest bio-oil yield (22.55 wt.%) at 500 °C. In addition, the bio-oils obtained in presence of the titania catalysts had high HHV (~35-37 MJ/kg) and were effectively deoxygenated (~9-12 wt.%). Deoxygenation power decreased in this order: Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> > Ce/TiO<sub>2</sub> > Co/TiO<sub>2</sub>. Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> was the catalyst that greatly affected the composition of the bio-oil, which resulted enriched in aliphatics and aromatics and depleted in O and N -compounds. The better activity of Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> was related to higher availability of Ni on the catalyst surface, which also led to low coke formation and to strong interaction between Ni and TiO<sub>2</sub> support, which results in enhanced cracking activity.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Centre for Innovation in Carbon Capture and Storage (EPSRC grant No. EP/F012098/2), Heriot-Watt University and Varicon Aqua Solutions for providing the algae sample. Tevfik Aysu acknowledges the financial support provided by the Scientific and

- 372 Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) as a post-doctoral research fellowship
- 373 programme, 2219.

#### 374 References

- 375 [1] V. Skorupskaite, V. Makareviciene, M. Gumbyte, Opportunities for simultaneous oil
- extraction and transesterification during biodiesel fuel production from microalgae: A review,
- 377 Fuel Process. Technol. 150 (2016) 78–87.
- 378 [2] N. Mallik, S. Mandal, A.K. Sing, M. Bishai, A. Dash, Green microalga *Chorella vulgaris*
- as a potential feedstock for biodiesel, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 87 (2012) 137-45.
- 380 [3] G. Olivieri, I. Gargano, R. Andreozzi, R. Marotta, A. Marzocchella, G. Pinto, A. Pollio,
- Effects of photobioreactors design and operating conditions on *Stichococcus bacillaris*
- biomass and biodiesel production, Biochem. Eng. J. 74 (2013) 8-14.
- 383 [4] X. Zeng, M. Danquah, X.D. Chen, Y. Lu, Microalgae bioengineering: From CO<sub>2</sub> fixation
- to biofuel production, Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev. 15 (2011) 3252-60.
- 385 [5] T. Aysu, A. Sanna, Nannochloropsis algae pyrolysis with ceria-based catalysts for
- production of high-quality bio-oils. Bioresource Technol. 194 (2015) 108-16.
- 387 [6] A. Dahiya, Bioenergy: Biomass to Biofuels, Algae Biomass Cultivation For Advanced
- Biofuel Production. GSR Solutions. USA, 2014.
- [7] M.K. Lam, K.T. Lee, Microalgae biofuels: a critical review of issues, problems and the way
- 390 forward, Biotechnol. Adv. 30 (2012) 673–90.
- 391 [8] R. Thilakaratne, M.M. Wright, R.C. Brown, A techno-economic analysis of microalgae
- remnant catalytic pyrolysis and upgrading to fuels, Fuel 128 (2014) 104-112.
- [9] X. Miao, Q. Wu, C. Yang, Fast pyrolysis of microalgae to produce renewable fuels. J. Anal.
- 394 Appl. Pyrol. 71 (2004) 855–63.

- 395 [10] G. Belotti, B. de Caprariis, P. De Filippis, M. Scarsella, N. Verdone, Effect of Chlorella
- vulgaris growing conditions on bio-oil production via fast pyrolysis. Biomass Bioenerg. 61
- 397 (2014) 187–95.
- 398 [11] M. Stöcker, Biofuels and Biomass-To-Liquid Fuels in the Biorefinery: Catalytic
- Conversion of Lignocellulosic Biomass using Porous Materials. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 47
- 400 (2008) 9200–9211.
- 401 [12] C. Liu, H. Wang, A.M. Karim, J. Sun, Y. Wang, Catalytic fast pyrolysis of lignocellulosic
- 402 biomass, Chem. Soc. Rev. 43 (2014) 75-94.
- 403 [13] T. Aysu, M.M. Maroto-Valer, A. Sanna, Ceria promoted deoxygenation and
- denitrogenation of Thalassiosira weissflogii and its model compounds by catalytic in-situ
- pyrolysis, Bioresource Technol. 208 (2016) 140-8.
- 406 [14] A. Sanna, S. Li, R. Linforth, K.A. Smart, J.M. Andrésen, Bio-oil and bio-char from low
- temperature pyrolysis of spent grains using activated alumina. Bioresource Technol. 102
- 408 (2011) 10695-703.
- 409 [15] Q. Lu, Z.F. Zhang, C.Q. Dong, X.F. Zhu, Catalytic Upgrading of Biomass Fast Pyrolysis
- Vapors with Nano Metal Oxides: An Analytical Py-GC/MS Study, Energies 3 (2010)
- 411 1805–20.
- 412 [16] Q. Lu, W.M. Xiong, W.Z. Li, Q.X. Guo, X.F. Zhu, Catalytic pyrolysis of cellulose with
- sulfated metal oxides: a promising method for obtaining high yield of light furan
- compounds, Bioresource Technol. 100 (2009) 4871–6.
- 415 [17] Q. Lu, Z. Zhang, X. Wang, C. Dong, Y. Liu, Catalytic Upgrading of Biomass Fast
- Pyrolysis Vapors Using Ordered Mesoporous ZrO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub>. Energy Procedia 61
- 417 (2014) 1937–41.

- 418 [18] P. Pan, C. Hu, W. Yang, Y. Li, L. Dong, L. Zhu, D. Tong, R. Qing, Y. Fan, The direct
- pyrolysis and catalytic pyrolysis of Nannochloropsis sp. residue for renewable bio-oils,
- 420 Bioresource Technol. 101 (2010) 4593–9.
- 421 [19] I.V. Babich, M.V.D. Hulst, L. Lefferts, J.A. Moulijn, P. O'Connor, K. Seshan, Catalytic
- pyrolysis of microalgae to high-quality liquid bio-fuels, Biomass Bioenerg. 35 (2011)
- 423 3199–207.
- 424 [20] Z. Du, B. Hu, X. Ma, Y. Cheng, Y. Liu, X. Lin, Y. Wan, H. Lei, P. Chen, R. Ruan, Catalytic
- pyrolysis of microalgae and their three major components: carbohydrates, proteins, and
- 426 lipids, Bioresource Technol. 130 (2013) 777–82.
- 427 [21] A. Campanella, M.P. Harold, Fast pyrolysis of microalgae in a falling solids reactor: effects
- of process variables and zeolite catalysts, Biomass Bioenerg. 46 (2012) 218–32.
- 429 [22] S. Rehberg-Haas, Utilization of the microalga Pavlova sp. in marine fish nutrition.
- Dissertation. Fakultät der Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel. 2014.
- https://www.tierzucht.uni-kiel.de/dissertationen/diss\_rehberg\_14.pdf
- 432 [23] P. Kaewpengkrow, D. Atong, V. Sricharoenchaikul, Catalytic upgrading of pyrolysis
- vapors from Jatropha wastes using alumina, zirconia and titania based catalysts,
- 434 Bioresource Technol. 163 (2014) 262–9.
- 435 [24] Q. Lu, X.M. Zhang, Z.B. Zhang, Y. Zhang, X.F. Zhu, C.Q. Dong, Catalytic fast pyrolysis
- of cellulose mixed with sulfatedtitania to produce levoglucosenone: Analytical Py-GC/MS
- 437 study, BioResources 7 (2012) 2820-34.
- 438 [25] O.D. Mante, J.A. Rodriguez, S.D. Senanayake, S.P. Babu, Catalytic conversion of biomass
- pyrolysis vapors into hydrocarbon fuel precursors, Green Chem. 17 (2015) 2362-8.
- 440 [26] T. Aysu, N.A. Abd Rahman, A. Sanna, Catalytic pyrolysis of Tetraselmis and Isochrysis
- microalgae by nickel ceria based catalysts for hydrocarbon production, Energy 103 (2016)
- 442 205-14.

- 443 [27] J.L. Garcia-Moscoso, W. Obeid, S. Kumar, P.G. Hatcher, Flash hydrolysis of microalgae
- 444 (Scenedesmus sp.) for protein extraction and production of biofuels intermediates, J.
- 445 Supercrit. Fluid. 82 (2013) 183–90.
- 446 [28] G.S. Costard, R.R. Machado, E. Barbarino, R.C. Martino, S.O. Lourenço, Chemical
- composition of five marine microalgae that occur on the Brazilian coast, Int. J. Fish
- 448 Aquaculture 4 (2012) 191-201.
- 449 [29] Y.M. Chang, W.T. Tsai, M.H. Li, Chemical characterization of char derived from slow
- 450 pyrolysis of microalgal residue, J. Anal. Appl. Pyrol. 111 (2015) 88–93.
- 451 [30] K. Chaiwong, T. Kiatsiriroat, N. Vorayos, C. Thararax, Study of bio-oil and bio-char
- production from algae by slow pyrolysis, Biomass Bioenerg. 56 (2013) 600-6.
- 453 [31] S. Du, Y. Sun, D.P. Gamliel, J.A. Valla, G.M. Bollas, Catalytic pyrolysis of miscanthus x
- giganteus in a spouted bed reactor, Bioresource Technol. 169 (2014) 188–97.
- 455 [32] E.F. Iliopoulou, S.D. Stefanidis, K.G. Kalogiannis, A. Delimitis, A.A. Lappas, K.S.
- Triantafyllidis, Catalytic Upgrading of Biomass Pyrolysis Vapors Using Transition Metal-
- 457 Modified Zsm-5 Zeolite, Appl. Catal. B: Env. 127 (2012) 281–290.
- 458 [33] M.M. Yung, A.K. Starace, C. Mukarakate, A.M. Crow, M.A. Leshnov, K.A. Magrini,
- Biomass Catalytic Pyrolysis on Ni/ZSM-5: Effects of Nickel Pretreatment and Loading,
- 460 Energy Fuels 30 (2016) 5259–5268.
- 461 [34] W. Costanzo, U. Jena, R. Hilten, K.C. Das, J.R. Kastner, Low temperature hydrothermal
- pretreatment of algae to reduce nitrogen heteroatoms and generate nutrient recycle streams,
- 463 Algal Res. 12 (2015) 377–87.
- 464 [35] X. Li, M. Lu, A. Wang, C. Song, Y. Hu, Promoting Effect of TiO<sub>2</sub> on the
- Hydrodenitrogenation Performance of Nickel Phosphide, J. Phys. Chem. C 112 (2008)
- 466 16584–16592.
- 467 [36] Z. Hu, X. Ma, L. Li, J. Wu, The catalytic pyrolysis of microalgae to produce syngas, Energ.

Convers. Manage. 85 (2014) 545–50.

[37] T.R. Carlson, J. Jae, G.W. Huber, Mechanistic Insights from Isotopic Studies of Glucose

Conversion to Aromatics Over ZSM-5, ChemCatChem 1 (2009) 107–110.

[38] V.M. Shinde, G. Madras, CO methanation toward the production of synthetic natural gas

over highly active Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, AIChE Journal 60 (2014) 1027-1035.

473

472

468

469

470

471

474

475

# **Figures Captions**

Figure 1. Energy (daf) distribution in the pyrolysis products.

Figure 2. Nitrogen (N) distribution in the pyrolysis products.

Figure 3. Van Krevelen diagram of bio-chars.

Figure 4. Van Krevelen diagram of bio-oils.

480

**Table 1** The physical properties of the synthesized titania supported catalysts.

Catalyst	Surface area (m <sup>2</sup> /g)	Pore size (Å)	Pore volume (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)
CeO <sub>2</sub>	18.69	71.04	0.038
TiO <sub>2</sub>	9.48	59.06	0.015
Ce/TiO <sub>2</sub>	126.80	29.68	0.026
Co/TiO <sub>2</sub>	278.50	31.32	0.410
Ni/TiO <sub>2</sub>	229.60	58.06	0.368

482

483

484

**Table 2** The total volatiles<sup>a</sup> and distribution of products obtained by pyrolysis of Pavlova with (1:1 ratio) and without catalyst (Heating rate: 100 °C/min, N<sub>2</sub> flow rate: 545 ml/min)

Temperature (°C)	Total volatiles (%)	Solid (%)	SD	Liquid (%)	SD
	450 °C				
No catalyst	51.04	48.96	0.08	14.11	0.30
$CeO_2$	52.04	47.96		15.10	
$TiO_2$	51.82	48.18		14.44	
Ce/TiO <sub>2</sub>	52.56	47.44	0.64	15.46	1.07
Ni/TiO <sub>2</sub>	52.34	47.66	1.16	15.30	0.46
Co/TiO <sub>2</sub>	51.72	48.28		15.24	
	500 °C				
No catalyst	59.10	40.90	0.14	18.68	0.25
$CeO_2$	62.14	37.86		21.07	
$TiO_2$	60.51	39.49		20.04	
Ce/TiO <sub>2</sub>	62.54	37.46	0.91	21.67	0.05
Ni/TiO <sub>2</sub>	62.84	37.16	0.93	22.55	0.57
Co/TiO <sub>2</sub>	61.15	38.85		20.41	
	550 °C				
No catalyst	61.03	38.97		16.57	
$CeO_2$	63.74	36.26		17.82	
$TiO_2$	62.24	37.76	0.76	17.14	0.18
Ce/TiO <sub>2</sub>	63.64	36.36		17.38	
Ni/TiO <sub>2</sub>	64.10	35.90	1.99	18.71	0.25
Co/TiO <sub>2</sub>	62.02	37.98		17.41	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Mass fraction percentage of the dry and ash free feedstock.

Table 3 The results of elemental analysis of Pavlova bio-chars obtained with titania based catalysts at 500 °C.

Elemental	No catalyst	CeO <sub>2</sub>	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Ce/TiO <sub>2</sub>	Ni/TiO <sub>2</sub>	Co/TiO <sub>2</sub>
analysis <sup>a</sup>						
Carbon	40.74	40.41	42.10	41.72	38.42	40.03
Hydrogen	1.50	1.46	1.54	1.56	1.47	1.51
Nitrogen	3.64	3.57	3.61	3.37	3.14	3.45
Oxygen <sup>b</sup>	54.12	54.56	52.75	53.35	56.97	55.01
H/C molar ratio	0.44	0.43	0.44	0.45	0.46	0.45
O/C molar ratio	0.99	1.01	0.94	0.96	1.11	1.03
HHV (MJ/kg)	6.18	5.93	6.94	6.74	4.84	5.79

<sup>498 &</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Weight percentage on dry and ash free basis. <sup>b</sup>By difference

Table 4 The results of elemental analysis of Pavlova bio-oils obtained with titania based catalysts at 500 °C.

Elemental	No catalyst	CeO <sub>2</sub>	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Ce/TiO <sub>2</sub>	Ni/TiO <sub>2</sub>	Co/TiO <sub>2</sub>
analysis <sup>a</sup>						
Carbon	68.31	72.63	72.27	74.32	75.20	73.41
Hydrogen	8.84	9.10	9.02	9.47	9.16	9.36
Nitrogen	8.75	6.43	6.23	6.58	6.17	6.12
Oxygen <sup>b</sup>	14.10	11.84	12.48	9.63	9.47	11.11
H/C molar ratio	1.55	1.50	1.49	1.53	1.46	1.53
O/C molar ratio	0.15	0.12	0.13	0.10	0.09	0.11

HHV	33.32	35.56	35.21	37.07	36.95	36.33
(MJ/kg)						

Weight percentage on dry and ash free basis. <sup>b</sup>By difference

**Table 5** <sup>1</sup>H NMR Integrations of Pavlova bio-oils formed with titania based catalysts at 500 °C versus specific chemical shift ranges.

Chemical shift	l Hydrogen content (% of all hydrogen)							
region	Proton assignment	No catalyst	$CeO_2$	$TiO_2$	Ce/TiO <sub>2</sub>	Co/TiO <sub>2</sub>	Ni/TiO <sub>2</sub>	
0.0 - 1.5	Alkanes	40.476	47.186	49.792	55.074	54.591	60.375	
1.5 - 3.0	Aliphatics α-to	33.324	33.124	32.655	28.051	30.875	27.185	
3.0 – 4.4	heteroatom Alcohols, methylene-	11.672	7.480	4.856	4.329	3.085	3.548	
	dibenzene							
4.4 - 6.0	Methoxy,	4.990	1.631	1.212	0.587	1.574	0.662	
	carbohydrates							
6.0 - 9.5	(Hetero-) aromatics	9.552	10.396	11.311	11.920	9.863	8.112	
9.5 – 10.1	Aldehydes	-	0.182	0.174	0.039	0.013	0.118	

**Table 6** The chemical compounds present in the bio-oils obtained from Pavlova pyrolysis with titania based catalysts at 500 °C.

No	Compounds	Relative abundance (% area)					
		No catalyst	CeO <sub>2</sub>	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Ce/TiO <sub>2</sub>	Co/TiO <sub>2</sub>	Ni/TiO <sub>2</sub>
	Monoaromatics	_			_		
1	(1-Methylbuta-1,3-dienyl)benzene	_			_	4.24	
2	1-Hexyl-4-methylbenzene	_		2.26	_	_	3.81
3	1-Phenyl-1-penten-5-ol	_		2.65	_	_	
4	2-Methyl-3-(methylthio)furan	_		2.58	_	_	

5	Benzyl nitrile					2.72	1.38
6	Benzeneacetonitrile	_		2.52	_	2.72	3.71
7	Phenol	5.77	9.01	6.69	4.32	3.99	6.83
8	Maltol	2.33		/		_	2. <b>22</b>
9	Benzene, (methoxymethyl)-	1.26				_	
10	Phenol, 2,3-dimethyl-	_		2.71	2.90	_	4.08
11	Phenol, 2,4-dimethyl-	_	3.00		_	_	3.01
12	Phenol, 2-ethyl-	_		5.04	_		
13	1-Ethyl-1-phenylhydrazine	_		3.52	_	2.41	3.17
14	p-Cresol	5.69	7.90	5.05	4.73	4.43	5.52
15	Phenol, 2-ethyl-5-methyl-		7.30				
16	Phenol, 2-(1-methylethyl)-		3.61				
17	Phenol, 2,3,5-trimethyl-	_		_		_	5.74
18	Phenol, 2,3,6-trimethyl-	_		_	5.23	_	
19	Phenol, 2-ethyl-4-methyl-	_		_	_	_	3.22
20	2-Ethyl-6-methylphenol	2.94		_	_	_	_
21	Phenol, 3-ethyl-	5.47	3.86	4.46	_	2.22	_
22	3-Methyl-4-ethylphenol			_	_	4.45	_
23	2,4-Diethylphenol			_	_	_	2.15
24	2,5-Diethylphenol	1.56		_	_	_	
25	Phenol, 3-amino-	2.05		_	_	_	
26	N-(1-methyl-2-propynyl)aniline			2.58	3.20	_	2.80
27	Furoxan, 4-nitro-3-phenyl-, 2-				5.42	3.61	2.41
	oxide	27.07	34 68	40.06	25.80	28.07	47.83
	Aliphatics	27.07	34.00	40.00	25.00	20.07	47.03
28	Tridecane	_		1.69	_	1.75	2.71
29	Tetradecane	_		2.55	_	1.77	2.33
30	2-Tetradecene, (E)-	_			_	1.35	
31	Pentadecane	1.98		2.39	1.88	2.32	5.14
32	2-Methyl-Z-4-tetradecene	_		_	_	0.82	
33	1-Pentadecene	_		_	_	3.21	
34	2-Pentadecene	_		_	_		4.02
35	2,6,10-Trimethyl,14-ethylene-	_			8.23	6.33	_
36	14-pentadecane 5-t-Butyl-cycloheptene			6.78			_

37	4-Chloro-2-(chloromethyl)-1- butene			1.16			_
38	Neophytadiene		7.90				
		1.98	<b>7.90</b>	14.57	10.11	17.55	14.20
	Oxygenated compounds						
39	Decyl acetate	_		_	_	1.58	
40	Pentanoic acid	_		_	_		0.81
41	Octanoic acid	_		_	_	1.92	
42	1-Cyclohexyl-2-methyl-prop-2-	_			_	3.19	
43	1-Tetradecanol	_		2.65	_	_	1.36
44	Butanoic acid	_		1.29	_	_	1.07
45	Pentane, 2-methoxy-	_	0.77		_	_	1.33
46	3-Acetoxy-5- (acetoxymethyl)cyclohexene	_		3.42	_	_	
47	3,7,11,15-Tetramethyl-2-	_		_	_	_	5.19
48	hexadecen-1-ol Dimethyl Sulfoxide	2.45		_	_	_	_
49	Dodecanoic acid, 4-methyl-, methyl ester	1.06		_	_	_	_
50	dl-Erythro-O-methylthreonine	1.26		_	_	_	_
51	(-)-(3S,4R,5R)-3-Azido-4- hydroxy-5-methyldihydro-2- (3h)-furanone	1.39		_	_	_	_
52	2-Butenoic acid, 3-ethoxy-, ethyl ester	0.57		-	_	_	_
53	6-Isopropyl-3-methyl-2-cyclohexen-1-ol			3.36	_	_	_
54	Cis-chrysanthenol			_	4.07	_	_
55	Methyl phenyl(2-piperidinyl) acetate	2.45		_	_		_
56	4-Heptenoic acid, 3,3-dimethyl-6-oxo-, methyl ester			-	_	2.93	_
	2-Cyclopenten-1-one, 3-(1-methylethyl)-	2.23		_	_	_	_
57	(+)-(1S,6R)-6-Phenyl-2- cyclohexene-1-carboxylic acid	0.82		_	-	_	_
58	S-Butyl ester of .alpha phenylbenzeneethanethioic acid	2.72		-	-	_	_
59	Isobutyric acid, tetradecyl ester		10.8				
60	Phytol	20.93	10.8	6.32	5.19	5.93	4.47

		35.88	22.4	17.04	9.26	15.55	14.23
	Nitrogen compounds		-				
61	Benzenepentanamide			_	5.23	_	
62	5-Hepten-2-amine, N,6-dimethyl-		1.28				
63	Propanamide	1.39	0.94	_	_	_	
64	Pyridine, 3-phenyl-			_	_	_	1.72
65	Pyrrolidine, 1-acetyl-	0.57		_	_	_	_
66	Hexahydro-2(1H)-azocinone	1.11		_	_	_	_
67	Butanamide, 3-methyl-	2.03		_	_	_	_
68	N-(1-Methylethylidene)-2- propanamine	0.99		_	_	_	_
69	Butanamide, 3-methyl-	2.08		_	_	_	_
70	Benzenepropanenitrile	7.37	5.67	5.30	3.35	3.61	3.16
71	2-Piperidinone	1,16					
72	Cyclohexanamine, N-cyclopentylidene-					3.48	
73	Tetradecanenitrile	4.31		1.53	13.67	6.90	1.78
74	Pyridine, 4-phenyl-				1.63		
75	1-Buta-1,3-dienyl-pyrrolidine	5.44					
76	N-Ethyl-hexahydro-1H-azepine		2.92				
77	Octanamide		2.06				
78	Hexadecanenitrile	1.66	8.62	2.61	4.88	2.76	2.24
79	Dodecanenitrile		6,1				
80	Indole	4.95	6.25	5.23	7.01	4.68	3.57
81	1H-Indole, 2-methyl-	_	1.04	_	1.58		
82	1H-Indole, 5-methyl-	_		_			0.77
83	1H-Indole, 4-methyl-	_		_		0.80	
84	1H-Indole, 1-ethyl-	_				1.03	_
85	Benzonitrile, 2,4,6-trimethyl-	_			0.66	_	_
86	7-Methyl-1H-indole	_		1.42	_	_	_
87	1H-Indole, 3-methyl-	1.04			_	_	1.03
88	5-(1H-Indol-3-ylmethyl)-2-thioxo-4-imidazolidinone	0.97			-		
		35.07	34.9	16.09	38.01	23.26	14.27
	Polycyclic compounds						

89	1H-Indene, 1-methylene-	_		7.46	1.60	1.14	2.63
90	Naphthalene, 2-methyl-	_		7.46	1.68	3.71	
91	Naphthalene, 1-methyl-	_		_	3.76	4.71	2.63
92	Naphthalene, 1,3-dimethyl-	_		_		6.01	
93	Naphthalene, 1,5-dimethyl-	_		_		_	4.21
94	Naphthalene, 2,7-dimethyl-	_		-	7.42	_	_
95	Naphthalene, 2,6-dimethyl-	_		4.78		_	_
96	Naphthalene, 3-(1-methoxyethyl)-1-methyl-	_			3.96		_
		0	0	12.24	16.82	15.57	9.47
	Total	100	100	100	100	100	100