

**Fig. S1. Additional concentration-response curves.** Concentration-response curves for DAMGO, fentanyl, methadone, morphine, oxycodone, oliceridine, PZM21, SR-17018, and buprenorphine were constructed for **(A)** GIRK activation in the absence of  $\beta$ -CNA treatment, **(B)**  $\beta$ -arrestin 2 recruitment, and **(C)** trafficking of MOR to Rab5a-positive endosomes in the absence of GRK2 overexpression. Data are means ± SEM of 3 to 10 experiments, each performed in duplicate (GIRK activation) or triplicate ( $\beta$ -arrestin 2 recruitment and Rab5 trafficking).



Fig. S2. Kinetics of Nb33 and mGsi recruitment and naloxone antagonism. Kinetics of (A) Nb33 and (B) mGsi recruitment to MOR induced by DAMGO, oliceridine, PZM21, SR-17018, and buprenorphine (all at 10  $\mu$ M) and reversed by injection of 10  $\mu$ M Naloxone. Data are means ± SEM of 3 experiments, each performed in triplicate.



Fig. S3. Sensitivity of MOR phosphorylation to naloxone and Cmpd101. Effect of (A) 10  $\mu$ M Naloxone or (B) 30  $\mu$ M Cmpd101 on MOR phosphorylation induced by 10  $\mu$ M SR-17018, PZM21, or oliceridine (TRV130). Blot is representative of 3 independent experiments.



**Fig. S4. Kinetics of interaction of MOR with ß-arrestin 2 by FRET.** Arrestin recruitment induced by DAMGO, morphine, SR-17018, PZM21, or oliceridine measured using FRET. In all conditions, DAMGO was added at t=600 for normalization. Traces are representative of 5 to 7 independent experiments.



Fig. S5. Correlation matrix and statistical testing of correlation between  $log(\tau)$  values. (A) Pearson r and (B) SEM of  $log(\tau)$  correlations shown in Fig. 4.



**Fig. S6. Additional bias calculations. (A)**  $\Delta Log(\tau/K_A)$  for the indicated agonists across the different signaling pathways and **(B)** bias factors  $\Delta \Delta Log(\tau/K_A)$  in the absence of GRK2 overexpression. **(C)** Determination of biased agonism using an alternative, efficacy-driven approach  $(\Delta \Delta log(\tau))$ . The system response in each pathway was defined by the maximal response to DAMGO, and the  $\Delta log(\tau)$  was calculated by normalizing to morphine within each pathway as in Burgueño *et al.* (2017). In all cases, error was propagated using standard rules, and error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Each set of  $\Delta Log(\tau/K_A)$  values for a given agonist was statistically tested using a Brown-Forsythe unequal variance ANOVA, with Welch's t-tests conducted comparing agonists between each pathway multiplicity corrected using the Holm-Sidak method for the total of 76 comparisons made.



**Fig. S7. Opioid-induced respiratory depression analyzed as minute volume.** Respiratory depression induced by fentanyl, morphine, oliceridine, PZM21, SR-17018, or buprenorphine shown as minute volume. Data are means ± SEM of 5 to 9 animals.



**Fig. S8. Therapeutic window compared to efficacy and G-protein or ß-arrestin 2 bias for additional signaling assays.** Relationships of the therapeutic windows of fentanyl, morphine, oliceridine, PZM21, SR-17018, and buprenorphine with the **(A)** operational efficacies or **(B)** bias factors at the indicated signaling endpoints.



**Fig. S9. Bias plots for all additional assays.** Bias plots of  $\beta$ -arrestin 2 recruitment and Nb33, mGsi, cAMP inhibition, and GIRK channel activation in the absence or presence of overexpressed GRK2.

**Table S1**. **Calculation of transduction coefficients.** Transduction coefficient,  $log(\tau/K_A)$ , values used in generation of  $\Delta\Delta log(\tau/K_A)$  estimates. Mean ± S.E.M. from 3 to 14 experiments.

log(τ/ <i>K</i> <sub>A</sub> )	Nb33	mGsi	GPA	cAMP	GIRK
DAMGO	6.8 ± 0.08	7.1 ± 0.11	8.0 ± 0.14	8.0 ± 0.14	7.1 ± 0.06
Fentanyl	7.4 ± 0.08	7.7 ± 0.11	8.8 ± 0.16	8.8 ± 0.16	8.2 ± 0.08
Methadone	6.5 ± 0.08	7 ± 0.05	8.1 ± 0.16	8.1 ± 0.16	ND
Morphine	6.5 ± 0.04	6.8 ± 0.06	7.8 ± 0.19	7.8 ± 0.19	6.9 ± 0.03
Oxycodone	5.8 ± 0.11	$6.1 \pm 0.1$	7.0 ± 0.24	7.0 ± 0.24	ND
Oliceridine	6.7 ± 0.17	7.4 ± 0.08	8.2 ± 0.24	8.2 ± 0.24	7.5 ± 0.04
PZM21	6.9 ± 0.18	7.2 ± 0.1	8.0 ± 0.27	8.0 ± 0.27	7.3 ± 0.03
SR-17018	7.1 ± 0.37	6.6 ± 0.38	6.1 ± 0.58	6.1 ± 0.58	5.5 ± 0.07
Buprenorphine	7.6 ± 0.2	$8.0 \pm 0.14$	8.6 ± 0.13	8.6 ± 0.13	7.0 ± 0.06

log(τ/K <sub>A</sub> )	βArr2	βArr2 (GRK2)	
DAMGO	6.5 ± 0.09	7.6 ± 0.09	
Fentanyl	6.8 ± 0.06	8.0 ± 0.08	
Methadone	6.3 ± 0.05	7.2 ± 0.11	
Morphine	$6.0 \pm 0.14$	7.2 ± 0.14	
Oxycodone	5.2 ± 0.3	6.1 ± 0.07	
Oliceridine	5.7 ± 0.28	7.5 ± 0.07	
PZM21	6.0 ± 0.37	7.3 ± 0.07	
SR-17018	6.7 ± 0.22	6.7 ± 0.5	

**Table S2. G protein efficacy estimated from previous publications.** G protein efficacy estimates from previous papers describing biased MOR ligands. Efficacy,  $\tau$ , was estimated from equation described in Black *et al.* [71]:

$$\alpha = \frac{E_m * \tau}{1 + \tau}$$

This relates the asymptote of the concentration-response curve,  $\alpha$ , to the efficacy of the test compound,  $\tau$ , relative to the maximum response of the system,  $E_m$ , defined here by the maximal response to DAMGO.

Paper, assay, cell type,	Compound	α ± S.E.M.	Efficacy (τ)
receptor			
Manglik at al. (2016)	DAMGO	1 ± 0.01	
Gu GloSensor HEK293	Morphine	0.89 ± 0.02	8.1
hMOR	Oliceridine (TRV130)	0.66 ± 0.02	1.9
	PZM21	0.76 ± 0.03	3.2
Schmid <i>et al</i> . (2017)	DAMGO	100	
GTP <sub>y</sub> S binding,	Morphine	82 ± 1	4.6
CHO, mMOR	SR-17018	72 ± 1	2.6
	DAMGO	100	
Present study,	Morphine	80 ± 4	4
mGsi recruitment BRET,	Oliceridine (TRV130)	51 ± 4	1
HEK293, mMOR	PZM21	53 ± 3	1.1
	SR-17018	30 ± 4	0.4
	DAMGO (untreated)	100	
Present study,	DAMGO + β-CNA	76 ± 5	3.2
GIRK activation membrane-	Morphine + $\beta$ -CNA	57 ± 3	1.3
potential dye,	Oliceridine + $\beta$ -CNA	39 ± 2	0.6
HEK293, mMOR	PZM21 + β-CNA	40 ± 4	0.7
	SR-17018 + β-CNA	35 ± 7	0.5