# Experimental study of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in a 30 kW<sub>th</sub> pressurized oxy-coal fluidized bed combustor

Lei Pang<sup>1</sup>, Yingjuan Shao<sup>1,\*</sup>, Wenqi Zhong<sup>1</sup>, Zheng Gong<sup>1</sup>, Hao Liu<sup>2,\*\*</sup>,

- Key Laboratory of Energy Thermal Conversion and Control of Ministry of Education, School of Energy and Environment, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, P.R. China
- 2. Department of Architecture and Built Environment, Faculty of Engineering, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author

<sup>\*\*</sup> Corresponding author

E-mail address: yjshao@seu.edu.cn (Y. Shao), Liu.Hao@nottingham.ac.uk (H. Liu)

# Abstract

As one of the most promising carbon capture technologies for coal-fired power plants, oxy-coal combustion has attracted wide interests during the last two decades. In comparison to atmospheric oxy-fuel combustion, pressurized oxy-fuel combustion has the potential to further reduce the energy penalties caused by the carbon capture and storage and improve the net power plant efficiency. Although many researchers have investigated the  $NO_x$  emissions of atmospheric oxy-coal combustion, the  $NO_x$  emission behaviors under pressurized oxy-coal combustion conditions are much less understood and further comprehensive experimental investigations with continuous fuel-feeding pressurized oxy-coal combustion systems are needed in order to fill this knowledge gap. In the present study, a series of oxy-coal combustion experiments were conducted in a 30 kW<sub>th</sub> pressurized fluidized bed combustor. The effects of combustion pressure, bed temperature and excess oxygen on the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions were investigated systematically. The experimental results have shown that an increase in combustion pressure from 0.1 MPa to 0.4 MPa leads to a significant reduction in  $NO_x$  emissions. An increase in bed temperature or excess oxygen results in higher NO<sub>x</sub> emissions under the higher combustion pressure conditions, which is consistent with what is observed under the atmospheric pressure combustion condition. Besides, it is found that the promoting effect of temperature increase on NO<sub>x</sub> emissions under the higher combustion pressures is weaker than that under the atmospheric pressure.

**Keywords:** NO<sub>x</sub> emission; Oxy-coal combustion; Pressurized fluidized-bed; Temperature; Excess oxygen.

#### 1. Introduction

Oxy-fuel fluidized bed combustion technology which combines the advantages of fluidized bed, e.g., fuel flexibility, in-furnace desulfurization and low NO<sub>x</sub> emission, has attracted widespread attention in recent years [1-2]. A large number of oxy-coal combustion studies focusing on the coal combustion performance, heat transfer and emissions of combustion-generated pollutants in atmospheric pressure fluidized beds have been reported [1-5]. Although oxy-coal combustion is one of the most promising technologies to capture carbon dioxide for coal-fired power plants, the extra energy cost of air separation unit and compression purification unit leads to a reduction of about 10% in net plant efficiency [6-7], and this may hinder the commercial application of oxy-coal combustion within the power generation industry. Pressurized oxy-fuel combustion (POFC) can improve the net plant efficiency as the elevated pressure is helpful to recover more thermal energy from the flue gas and reduce the energy cost of CO<sub>2</sub> compression work [8]. In recent years, a number of researchers have carried out economic system analyses on POFC technology and reported results favoring POFC over atmospheric pressure oxy-fuel combustion [6-12]. However, except for those experiments conducted on the pressurized thermogravimetric analyzer (PTGA) [13-14], there are few experimental studies investigating POFC with continuous fuel-feeding pressurized oxy-coal combustion systems [15-16]. In addition, the studies of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions under POFC conditions are even less and hence there exists a considerable knowledge gap on the  $NO_x$  emission behaviors under pressurized oxy-coal combustion conditions which will ultimately adversely affect the conceptual design of NO<sub>x</sub> control strategies for large-scale pressurized oxy-coal combustion systems in the future.

In contrast to the rare investigations of  $NO_x$  emissions under POFC conditions, the behavior of  $NO_x$  emission under the atmospheric pressure oxy-fuel combustion conditions has been extensively studied in both laboratory-scale and pilot-scale experiments [17-20], and the effects of operation parameters, e.g., temperature, excess air/oxygen, oxygen concentration and staging on the NO<sub>x</sub> emission have been comprehensively investigated [2]. Generally, the NO<sub>x</sub> emission of oxy-fuel combustion under the atmospheric pressure condition is found to be lower than that of air combustion due to the recycled flue gas and the higher CO concentration around the char particles [1], and an increase in combustion temperature increases the NO<sub>x</sub> emission [21-23]. The higher temperature not only accelerates the release of char-N but also promotes the formation of NO precursors by increasing the concentration of free radicals (-O and -OH) [22]. A higher level of excess oxygen leads to a higher NO<sub>x</sub> emission in atmospheric oxy-fuel combustion [22-24]. The results from Lupianez et al. [22] showed that the effect of stoichiometric oxygen ratio on the NO<sub>x</sub> emission of oxyfuel combustion was significant within the range of 1.1-1.7. As one of the key operating parameters in atmospheric oxy-fuel combustion, the oxygen concentration in the oxidant also influences the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Most researchers have shown that an increase in O<sub>2</sub> concentration increased both the NO emission and the conversion ratio of fuel-N to NO<sub>x</sub> [23-26]. Nevertheless, there are other experimental studies which have presented the opposite trends, e.g., the work of Diez et al. [27] which reported the findings of an experimental investigation of atmospheric oxy-combustion of anthracite in a 90 kWth bubbling fluidized bed reactor. On one hand, the higher oxygen concentration in oxidant leads to an increase in the reaction rate of fuel-N to NO<sub>x</sub> [25]. On the other hand, some previous studies [28] have shown that the high reaction rates with oxygen-rich atmospheres (over 40 vol%) led to the simultaneous combustion of char and volatiles, which limited the transportation of O<sub>2</sub> to the char surface and hence reduced the conversion rate of char-N to NO. In addition, as the NO<sub>x</sub> emission is very

sensitive to temperature under both air and oxy-fuel combustion conditions and the ratio of  $O_2/CO_2$  in the oxidant can significantly influence the combustion temperature, an increase in  $NO_x$  emission with an increase in  $O_2$  concentration was often explained by the higher temperature. Therefore, the contradictory results between different studies are more likely attributed to the different experimental parameters, e.g., the bed temperature.

Because the oxidant used in oxy-fuel combustion is a mixture of pure O<sub>2</sub> and the recycled flue gas, oxygen staging, which provides an opportunity to change the  $O_2$ concentration in the primary and/or secondary oxidant stream, is able to reduce the NO<sub>x</sub> emission. The experimental results of oxy-coal combustion in an atmospheric circulating fluidized bed combustor from Duan et al. [23] showed that the NO emission reduced significantly by decreasing the O<sub>2</sub> concentration in the primary oxidant stream while keeping the whole excess oxygen coefficient at the same level. The positive effects of oxygen staging on the reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> emission was also observed by many other researchers [29-31]. The recycled flue gas (RFG) can greatly influence the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions of real oxy-fuel combustion processes which use the mixture of pure oxygen and the recycled flue gas as the oxidant. Previous studies have shown that RFG could lead to more than 40% reduction in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions as the NO in the flue gas was recycled back to the combustion zone where it was reduced to N<sub>2</sub> as a result of the reactions between NO and hydrocarbon radicals through the 'reburning mechanism' [1, 32-33]. It was also noticed that the mass fraction of water vapor in RFG could influence the formation of  $NO_x$  [21]. There could be two types of RFG, i.e., wet and dry RFG, and it was shown that the recirculation of wet flue gas was beneficial to reduce NO<sub>x</sub> emissions [21, 34-35].

In one of our previous studies [36], we reported the results of oxy-coal combustion

experiments conducted with a 15 kW<sub>th</sub> pressurized fluidized bed combustor. The focus of that study was to investigate the effects of pressure on the combustion efficiency and the chemical composition of fly ash. Recently, we had modified the heat removal system of the 15 kW<sub>th</sub> combustor [36-37] and managed to double the capacity of the combustor to 30 kW<sub>th</sub>. In this paper, we present the results of combustion experiments conducted with this 30 kW<sub>th</sub> pressurized fluidized bed combustor, focusing on the NO<sub>x</sub> emission behaviors under pressurized oxy-coal combustion conditions.

#### 2. Experimental

## 2.1 Experimental setup

A sketch of the combustion system is displayed in Fig. 1(a), which mainly contains a pressurized fluidized-bed combustor, a coal feed sub-system, a gas supply sub-system, a flue gas cooler, a flue gas analyzer, and a distributed control system [36-37]. The combustor's inner diameter is 80 mm and its height is 1.8 m. The designed maximum operation pressure of the combustor is 0.6 MPa, and the water cooling probe located inside the bed zone allows the bed temperature to be controlled within a desired range. Due to the installation of a new water cooling system which can take more heat away from the combustor, the maximum thermal input capacity of the combustor has hence been increased from 15 kW<sub>th</sub> to 30 kW<sub>th</sub>. Fig. 1(b) shows the photo of the combustion system.





Figure 1. (a) Schematic and (b) Photo of the combustion system

#### 2.2 Fuel and bed material

One anthracite from Shanxi province, China, was used as the fuel in this study. The particle size distribution of the coal is shown in Fig. 2(a), and its average diameter is about 1200  $\mu$ m while d<sub>v10</sub> and d<sub>v90</sub> (the corresponding diameter when the volume distribution is 10% and 90%) is about 800  $\mu$ m and 1600  $\mu$ m, respectively. The ultimate analysis and proximate analysis of the coal are listed in Table 1. As shown in Table1, this coal has the representative values of ultimate and proximate analysis of anthracite, i.e., high mass fraction of carbon (over 70%) and low mass fraction of volatiles (10.4%). Silica sand was used as the bed material, and Fig. 2 (b) shows its particle size distribution. The average diameter of the silica sand is 845  $\mu$ m while d<sub>v10</sub> and d<sub>v90</sub> is about 600  $\mu$ m and 1150  $\mu$ m, respectively.





Figure 2. Particle size distribution of (a) coal particle and (b) silica sand

	Anthracite
Proximate Analysis (wt%, as received)	
Moisture	2.51
Ash	14.09
Volatile matter	10.44
Fixed carbon (by difference)	72.96
Ultimate Analysis (wt%, as received)	
Carbon	76.83
Hydrogen	2.30
Nitrogen	0.94
Sulfur	1.30
Oxygen (by difference)	2.03
Low Heating Value (MJ/kg, as received)	22.03

Table 1. Ultimate analysis and proximate analysis of the anthracite coal

# 2.3 Experimental procedure

Table 2 shows the main operating parameters of the experiments, including the combustion pressure, the  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  concentrations (vol%) in the oxidant, the coal

feeding rate and total oxidant gas flow rate, the bed temperature (T1), the corresponding superficial gas velocity (calculated based on the total oxidant gas flow rate, bed temperature and the diameter of the combustor). Besides, the O<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> concentration in the flue gas are also listed in Table 2. 1.5 kg silica sand (equivalent to a static bed height of 0.2 m) was introduced into the combustor before each experiment. The bed temperature (T1) was controlled within a range of 830-950 °C by controlling the coal feeding rate and the use of the water cooling system which could take heat away from the combustor. The stoichiometric air/oxygen coefficient ( $\lambda$ ) level was kept within a range of 1.1-1.5, i.e., the O<sub>2</sub> concentration in the flue gas was between 2 vol% - 6 vol%. In order to keep the same superficial gas velocity and excess oxygen, the coal feeding rate and oxidant flow rate were increased in proportion to the combustion pressure, e.g., the coal feeding rate and oxidant flow rate were 0.65 kg/h and 7.0 kg/h respectively under 0.1 MPa whereas they were increased to about 2.60 kg/h and 30.5 kg/h when the pressure was increased to 0.4 MPa.

Pressure (MPa)	O2 in (vol%)	CO <sub>2</sub> in (vol%)	Coal feeding rate (kg/h)	Total gas flow (kg/h)	Superficial gas velocity (m/s)* <sup>1</sup>	T1 (°C)	O2 out (vol%)	CO <sub>2</sub> out (vol%)	NO <sub>x</sub> (ppm)
0.1	Air	Air	0.55-0.80	5.8-8.4	1.01-1.61	830-950	2.0-6.3	14-19	560-680
0.1	21	79	0.65	10.2	1.20*2	<b>800</b> * <sup>2</sup>	3.5-4.5*2	<b>92-93</b> * <sup>2</sup>	<b>400-500</b> * <sup>2</sup>
0.1	25	75	0.65	8.5	1.05	850-860	3.5-4.5	92-93	520-550
0.1	30	70	0.5-0.7	6.0-7.3	0.74-1.00	830-950	2.0-6.1	90-94	610-790
0.4	Air	Air	2.50-2.90	28.4-34.8	1.23-1.67	830-950	1.9-5.9	14-19	220-300
0.4	30	70	2.50-2.80	28.4-33.0	0.88-1.13	830-950	1.6-6.9	90-94	280-390

Table 2. Operating parameters and dry flue gas compositions

 $^{*1}$ Superficial gas velocities were calculated on the basis of the measured bed zone temperature T1  $^{*2}$ unstable condition

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### **3.1 Oxygen concentration**

Many researchers have investigated the effect of oxygen concentration on the oxycoal combustion [39-41]. As expected, the switch from air combustion to 21 vol% O<sub>2</sub>/79 vol% CO<sub>2</sub> (denoted as oxy-21) combustion caused a reduction in temperature due to the higher specific heat of  $CO_2$  than that of  $N_2$ . In some previous studies [25], the oxygen concentration in the oxidant varied from 21 vol% to over 50 vol%. However, the steady combustion condition under oxy-21 in a fluidized bed could only be achieved with a very high oxidant preheating temperature [15, 36, 41], i.e., over 500 °C, which greatly exceeds the oxidant preheating temperature in real large-scale oxy-fuel combustion processes. In this study, the oxidant preheating temperature was kept at 250 °C. Fig. 3 shows the real-time experimental data under the combustion pressure of 0.1 MPa. The temperature decreased continuously after the oxidant was switched from air to oxy-21, and it was unable to achieve a steady combustion state. The lower combustion temperature (lower than 800 °C) led to a lower combustion rate of the coal particles, and hence the total mass of unburnt char in the bed zone increased with time, which resulted in the higher CO concentration and a reduction in  $NO_x$  emissions. The CO concentration increased continuously from 200 ppm to over 3000 ppm, which indicated the bad combustion condition prevailed under the condition of oxy-21. The switch of oxidant from oxy-21 to oxy-25 (i.e. 25 vol% O<sub>2</sub>/75 vol% CO<sub>2</sub>) led to an increase in temperature while it was still lower than that under air. Only when the oxygen concentration in the oxidant reached 30 vol%, the combustion temperature was close to that of air. The results agreed with most of the previous studies [38-40] which suggested that the required oxygen concentration in the oxy-fuel oxidant needed to be in the range between 25-30 vol%. In this study, the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions under oxy-30 (i.e.

 $30 \text{ vol}\% \text{ O}_2/70 \text{ vol}\% \text{ CO}_2$ ) were compared with those under air. It is worth mentioning that, the water cooling system was designed to operate only after the pressure was increased to 0.4 MPa, and it was not in use with the combustion tests under atmospheric pressures. Therefore, in order to keep the same combustion temperature with air combustion at atmospheric pressure, the coal feeding rate under oxy-30 (0.1 MPa) was decreased slightly, and the flow rate of oxidant was also decreased to keep the excess oxygen at the same level.



Figure 3. Real-time data of temperatures and flue gas (0.1 MPa)

# **3.2 Effect of pressure**

Fig. 4 (a) and (b) shows the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and concentrations under different combustion pressures with both air and oxy-30 atmospheres, respectively. In order to eliminate the influence caused by the flow rate (the oxidant gas flow rate under oxy-30 is lower than that under air if the total flow rate of oxygen is kept at the same value), the normalized emission per energy unit is more commonly used in oxy-fuel

combustion studies. Fig. 4 (a) shows that an increase in combustion pressure led to a significant reduction of  $NO_x$  emissions under both air and oxy-30 atmospheres. The NO<sub>x</sub> emission under air reduced from 242 mg/MJ to 103 mg/MJ as the pressure increased from 0.1 MPa to 0.4 MPa, meanwhile, the NO<sub>x</sub> emission under oxy-30 reduced from 202 mg/MJ to 91 mg/MJ. The same phenomenon was reported by several researchers [16, 42]. Svoboda and Pohorely [42] investigated the effect of pressure on NO<sub>x</sub> emissions of coal-air combustion and found that the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions were substantially reduced when the pressure was increased from 0.1 MPa to 0.5 MPa. Lasek et al. [16] indicated that the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions of "Sobieski" lignite under oxy-30 were reduced from 300 ppm to 150 ppm when the pressure was increased from 0.1 MPa to 0.47 MPa. However, the oxidant was excessive in their study as the O<sub>2</sub> concentration in the flue gas was over 10 vol%. The NO<sub>x</sub> emissions data shown in Fig. 4 were obtained from the tests of this study with almost the same operating parameters except for the combustion pressure, i.e., bed temperature (890 - 910 °C), excess oxygen (the O2 concentration in the flue gas was kept at 3.5 - 4.5 vol%) and superficial gas velocity (1.3 - 1.4 m/s for air atmosphere and 0.9 - 1.0 m/s for oxy-30 atmosphere). Although the coal feeding rate under the pressure of 0.4 MPa was four times of the coal feeding rate under the pressure of 0.1 MPa, however, with the use of the water cooling system, the bed temperature under the pressure of 0.4 MPa was controlled to the level similar to that achieved under the pressure of 0.1 MPa. Therefore, the reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> emission observed in Fig. 4 was mainly caused by the pressure increase. On one hand, as the diffusion coefficients of  $O_2$  in both  $N_2$  and  $CO_2$  are smaller under higher pressures, the transport of O<sub>2</sub> to the surface of coal particles is slower, and the rate of oxidation of fuel-N is reduced. Fig. 5 shows the diffusion coefficient of O<sub>2</sub> in both N<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> under different pressures [16], and it is clear that both of them decrease with an increase in

pressure. Specifically, the diffusion coefficient of  $O_2$  in  $N_2$  decreases from 1.66 cm<sup>2</sup>/s to 0.42 cm<sup>2</sup>/s as the pressure increases from 0.1 MPa to 0.4 MPa, and the coefficient in  $CO_2$  decreases from 2.09 cm<sup>2</sup>/s to 0.52 cm<sup>2</sup>/s. On the other hand, the higher pressure prolongs the time for the diffusion of NO<sub>x</sub> throughout the char particles, which is beneficial to the reduction reaction of NO<sub>x</sub> with char and CO (R1).

It is widely accepted that the prompt NO<sub>x</sub> and thermal-NO<sub>x</sub> are insignificant in the fluidized bed combustor due to its relatively low combustion temperature (lower than 1000 °C), and the NO<sub>x</sub> is mainly coming from the conversion of fuel-N. As shown in literature [16, 25, 32, 40-41, 49-50], the mass fraction of nitrogen in coal varied in a wide range (as low as ca. 0.2 % to as high as ca. 2.0 %), and it is clear that the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions' level depends directly on the nitrogen content of the coal. In order to compare the formation of NO<sub>x</sub> with different coals, the conversion ratio of fuel-N to NO<sub>x</sub> is calculated and compared with the values reported by a number of previous studies [40-41, 49-50] (Fig. 4 (c)). It can be seen that the conversion ratio under atmospheric oxy-coal combustion determined by this study is within the range of the values reported by the previous studies.







Figure 4. NO<sub>x</sub> and CO emissions: (a) with normalized emission per energy unit (b) concentration with a unit of ppm (c) conversion ratio of fuel-N to NO<sub>x</sub> (800-900°C)



Figure 5. Diffusion coefficients of O2 in N2 and CO2 under different pressures

NO+CO
$$\xrightarrow{char}$$
  $\frac{1}{2}$ N<sub>2</sub>+CO<sub>2</sub> (R1)

Fig. 6 (a) and Fig. 6 (b) show the real-time data in some typical combustion tests which included the processes of pressure increase and atmosphere switch. After the steady-state conditions were reached, the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions were seen to stay at much lower values under the higher pressure conditions. However, even though the bed temperature (T1), excess oxygen and superficial gas velocity were kept at the same levels, it was noticed that the CO emissions (concentrations) were much higher for the pressurized conditions. Fig. 4 shows that the CO emissions (concentration) increased with an increase in combustion pressure from 0.1 MPa to 0.4 MPa. It is worth mentioning that the higher CO emission (concentration) is not a direct consequence of the pressure increase. It is expected that the increase in coal feeding rate with pressure leads to the higher CO concentration in this study. As mentioned above in Section 2.3, in order to keep the superficial gas velocity at the same value, both the coal feeding rate and oxidant flow rate increased proportionally with an increase in pressure. The higher coal feeding rate means more coal particles are introduced into the combustor within a given time, and hence the thermal load of the combustor increases with the combustion pressure. In theory, the higher thermal input of a fluidized bed combustor can be realized by increasing combustion pressure while keeping the same fluidization velocity at the same value. However, one practical problem is that the combustibles of the coal particles fed in the combustor may not be burned out completely. In this study, the maximum value of CO concentration was still quite low, i.e., less than 1000 ppm, and hence the combustion under 0.4 MPa could still be considered as normal.



Figure 6. Real-time data of temperatures and flue gas (a) pressure increase with air (b) atmosphere switch under 0.4 MPa

The higher CO emission (concentration) should lead to a reduction in NO<sub>x</sub> emission [1-2]. Fig. 4 shows that the CO emission in the flue gas increased from 44 mg/MJ to 113 mg/MJ as the pressure increased from 0.1 MPa to 0.4 MPa under air, whereas it increased from 51 mg/MJ to 103 mg/MJ under oxy-30. As the reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions may be caused by an increase in CO emissions rather than the pressure increase, it is necessary to further analyze the effect of CO emissions on NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Fig. 7 compares the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions under 0.1 MPa and 0.4 MPa while the CO emissions' values were very similar. The NO<sub>x</sub> emissions under both air and oxy-30 decreased significantly as the pressure increased from 0.1 MPa to 0.4 MPa while the difference of CO concentrations were much smaller, and this indicates that the reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions is mainly attribute to the increase in pressure rather than the higher CO emissions. Although the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and CO emissions data in Fig. 7 were obtained from the tests with different excess oxygen, the results are useful to separate the effect of CO emissions on NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from that of combustion pressure.



Pressure, P(MPa)



Figure 7. Comparison of  $NO_x$  emissions with CO emissions under different pressures (a) with normalized emission per energy unit (b) concentration with a unit of ppm

As shown in Fig. 4, the pressure increase led to a reduction of 57.4% and 54.9% in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions with air and oxy-30 combustion, respectively. The reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> emission resulted from the pressure increase was quite significant, and hence it is necessary to find out if there was a significant portion of the Coal-N left in the fly ash under the higher pressure conditions. Table 3 shows the results of the elemental analysis of the fly ash under different pressures and atmospheres. A more detailed discussion about the effects of pressure on unburnt carbon/combustibles in fly ash can be found in some previous studies [36-37], and the main focus of this study was the residual coal-N in the fly ash. The values of TML and NML (Equation 1-2 [43]) are listed in Table 3, and the higher value of NML than that of TML with each test indicated that most of the coal-N had been released rather than being left in the fly ash. Table 3 also shows the combustion efficiencies ( $\eta$ , Equation 3-7 [8-9]) under different combustion conditions,

and it is clear that the combustion efficiency increased with the combustion pressure (from 90.22 % to 92.17 % to 91.26 % to 93.34 % under air combustion and oxy-coal combustion, respectively), having the same trend as TML.

Total Mass Loss (TML) = 
$$(1.0 - \frac{Ash_{coal}}{Ash_{fly\,ash}}) \times \frac{100}{100 - Ash_{coal}}$$
 (1)

Nitrogen Mass Loss (NML) = 
$$1.0 - \frac{\text{Nitrogen}_{\text{fly ash}}}{\text{Nitrogen}_{\text{coal}}} \times \frac{\text{Ash}_{\text{coal}}}{\text{Ash}_{\text{fly ash}}}$$
 (2)

$$\eta = 1 - q_3 - q_4 \tag{3}$$

where  $q_3$  and  $q_4$  are the efficiency losses associated with the combustible gases and the unburnt carbon, respectively, and can be calculated based on the GB10184-88 and ASME PTC4-1998.

$$q_{3} = \frac{Q_{CO} + Q_{H_{2}} + Q_{CH_{4}}}{Q_{\text{net, ar}}}$$
(4)

where  $Q_{CO}$ ,  $Q_{H^2}$  and  $Q_{CH^4}$  are the heating values of CO,  $H_2$  and CH<sub>4</sub> in the flue gas, respectively.  $Q_{net,ar}$  is the thermal input of the coal.

$$q_4 = q_4^{ba} + q_4^{fa}$$
(5)

$$q_4^{ba} = \frac{Q_c * C_{ba} * G_{ba}}{Q_{\text{net, ar}}}$$
(6)

$$q_{4}^{fa} = \frac{Q_{c} * C_{fa} * G_{fa}}{Q_{\text{net, ar}}}$$
(7)

where  $q_4^{ba}$  and  $q_4^{fa}$  are the efficiency losses associated with the unburnt carbon in bottom ash and fly ash, respectively.  $C_{ba}$  and  $C_{fa}$  are the mass ratio of the unburnt carbon in the bottom ash and fly ash, respectively.  $G_{ba}$  and  $G_{fa}$  are the mass of bottom ash and fly ash.  $Q_C$  is the heating value of the carbon ( $Q_C=32.7$  MJ/kg).

Pressure (MPa)	Atmosphere	Unburnt combustibles (%)	C (%)	H (%)	N (%)	TML (%)	NML (%)	η* <sup>2</sup> (%)
0.1	Air	45.28±0.6	44.33	0.47	0.24	86.43	93.42	90.22
0.1	Oxy-30	40.41±0.4	39.65	0.44	0.22	88.87	94.46	91.26
0.4	Air	36.55±0.6	36.49	0.22	0.15	90.55	96.45	92.17
0.4	Oxy-30	31.73±0.3	31.41	0.19	0.13	92.38	97.14	93.14

Table 3. Analysis of the fly ash and the calculated combustion efficiency\*1

\*1 Bed temperature  $\approx$  900 °C, O<sub>2</sub> concentration in the flue gas  $\approx$  3.5-4.5 vol%

\*<sup>2</sup> Combustion efficiency

#### **3.3 Effect of temperature**

Fig. 8 shows the effect of bed temperature on NO<sub>x</sub> emissions within a range of 830 to 950 °C under different pressures and atmospheres. In order to separate the effect of excess oxygen on NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from that of bed temperature, the O<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the flue gas were kept at the same level, i.e., 3.5-4.5 vol%. With an increase in bed temperature from 830 °C to 950 °C, the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions increased under both air and oxy-30 with the atmospheric pressure (0.1 MPa). The increasing tendency of  $NO_x$ emissions with temperature is in agreement with most of the previous studies, e.g., Lupianez et al. [44] showed that the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions under oxy-combustion atmosphere (40 vol% O<sub>2</sub>/60 vol% CO<sub>2</sub>) increased from 100 mg/MJ to 140 mg/MJ when the temperature increased from 820 °C to 880 °C. Besides, an increase in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions was also observed by Svoboda and Pohorely [42] as the temperature increased from 800 °C to 900 °C. As some researchers [22] pointed out, coal devolatilization is strongly influenced by the temperature, and the releases of volatile-N and char-N also depend on the temperature. The higher temperature not only improves the char combustion and char-N release, but also increases the concentration of free radicals (-O and -OH) which promotes the oxidation of  $NO_x$  precursors (R2-R12). Besides, the higher bed

temperature can also reduce the char and CO concentrations in the combustor, which decreases the heterogeneous reduction of  $NO_x$  on the char surface [21]. Fig. 9(a) summaries the CO concentration and  $NO_x$  emissions under atmospheric pressure with different bed temperature, and it shows that the CO concentration decreases with an increase in bed temperature while the reduction of CO is more obvious under oxy-30.

$$HCN+0.5O_2 \rightarrow CNO+H$$
(R2)

$$CNO+H \rightarrow NH+CO \tag{R3}$$

$$NH+OH \rightarrow NO+H_2$$
 (R4)

$$CNO+0.5O_2 \rightarrow NO+CO \tag{R5}$$

$$NH_3 + OH \rightarrow NH_2 + H_2O$$
 (R6)

$$NH_3 + O \rightarrow NH_2 + OH$$
 (R7)

$$\mathbf{NH}_3 + \mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{NH}_2 + \mathbf{H}_2 \tag{R8}$$

$$NH_2 + O \rightarrow NHO + H$$
 (R9)

$$HNO+M \rightarrow NO+H+M \tag{R10}$$

$$HNO+OH \rightarrow NO+H_2O$$
(R11)

$$HNO+NH_2 \rightarrow NO+NH_3$$
(R12)

As shown in Fig. 8, the NO emissions' increase under 0.4 MPa is smaller than that under 0.1 MPa when the bed temperature increased from 830 °C to 950 °C. Specifically, it increased from 94 mg/MJ to 109 mg/MJ and from 82 mg/MJ to 96 mg/MJ under air and oxy-30, respectively. As some previous studies indicated [36, 45], the higher combustion pressure accelerated the combustion rate of coal particles and decreased the mass fraction of unburnt carbon in fly ash. Because the combustion of the coal has been effectively improved by an increase in pressure, it is expected that the effect of temperature increase on the combustion performance gets weaker under higher pressures, and hence the increase in  $NO_x$  emissions with an increase in temperature becomes smaller. Fig. 9(b) summaries the CO concentration in the flue gas and  $NO_x$  emissions under the pressure of 0.4 MPa with different bed temperatures, and it shows the similar tendency with Fig. 9(a) that the higher bed temperature leads to the reduction of CO concentration in the flue gas and an increase, albeit at a lower rate, in  $NO_x$  emissions.



Figure 8. Effect of bed temperature on the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions



Figure 9. NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and CO concentrations (a) 0.1 MPa (b) 0.4 MPa

It is worth mentioning that, although the bed temperature (T1) can be kept at the same value under different pressures by the water cooling system, the temperatures

within the freeboard were always higher in the pressurized combustion tests than those in the atmospheric combustion tests. As shown in Fig. 6, the temperatures above the bed zone (T2, T3 and T4) increased significantly after the pressure was increased to 0.4 MPa, whereas the temperature of the bed zone (T1) was maintained at the same level. As mentioned above in section 2.3, the coal feeding rate and oxidant flow rate were increased proportionally with an increase in combustion pressure, and hence the flow rate of flue gas also increased with pressure. Because more heat was taken from the bed zone to the upper zone of the combustor by the flue gas, all the temperatures along the combustor were higher under pressurized combustion conditions (as shown in Fig. 10, the temperatures of the upper zone were higher under 0.4 MPa, which was mainly caused by the larger flow rate of flue gas under pressurized combustion). In theory, all the temperatures along the combustor can be controlled to maintain the desired values if the water cooling system was installed along the whole combustor rather than only in the bed zone, but it is difficult to realize it with a laboratory-scale FB combustor. Fig. 10 illustrates the temperature distribution profiles of the combustor with different combustion pressures. The temperature differences within the dilute zones (T3 and T4) between 0.1 MPa and 0.4 MPa were about 150 -180 °C even though the bed temperatures (T1) were kept at the same value, i.e., 900 °C. As some previous studies [46-47] indicated that the kinetics of NO<sub>x</sub> formation was of relevance for a temperature over 600 °C, the temperature differences in the dilute zones between different pressures may influence the  $NO_x$  emissions. However, it should be noticed that the higher temperature in the dilute zones is more likely to increase the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, and hence the  $NO_x$  emissions under 0.4 MPa is expected to be higher than that under 0.1 MPa, which is contradictory to the experimental results shown in section 3.2, i.e., the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions decreased with an increase in pressure. In other words, if the temperature

distribution profiles under different pressures were kept the same, the reduction in  $NO_x$  emissions with an increase in pressure may be even more significant. In this study, as most of the conversion happened in the dense zone due to the quite low volatile content of the coal, the effect of freeboard temperature on the  $NO_x$  emissions is expected to be limited.



Figure 10. Temperature distribution profiles with different combustion pressures (oxy-

30)

#### **3.4 Effect of excess oxygen**

Fig. 11 shows the impact of excess oxygen on the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions behavior under different pressures and atmospheres with a bed temperature of about 900 °C. Under atmospheric pressure, the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions under air increased from 192 mg/MJ to 242 mg/MJ when the O<sub>2</sub> concentration in the flue gas increased from 2 vol% to 4 vol% and no obvious increase was observed with a further increase from 4 vol% to 6 vol%, whereas the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions under oxy-30 increased gradually from 191 mg/MJ to 223 mg/MJ as the O<sub>2</sub> concentration increased from 2 vol% to 6 vol%. The promoting effect of excess oxygen on NO<sub>x</sub> emissions has been observed by many other researchers. Duan et al. [23] showed that both the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions with bituminous coal and anthracite increased as the stoichiometric ratio increased from 1.1 to 1.3 under oxy-30. The results obtained by Czakiert et al. [24] indicated that the conversion ratio of fuel-N to  $NO_x$ under oxy-combustion atmosphere (28 vol% O<sub>2</sub>/72 vol% CO<sub>2</sub>) increased by 50%, i.e., from 8.5% to 13%, when the stoichiometric ratio was changed from 1.2 to 1.3. De diego et al. [48] concluded that both the increases of combustion temperature and excess air increased the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. The higher NO<sub>x</sub> emissions were attributed to the enhanced combustion of the volatile matter and char in the oxygen-rich atmosphere. In addition, the higher excess oxygen led to the lower char and CO concentrations in the combustor, which weakened the heterogeneous reduction of NO on the char surfaces. Fig. 12(a) summaries the CO concentration and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions under atmospheric pressure with different excess oxygen. An increase in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions is closely related to the reduction of CO concentration. It can be seen that the CO concentration decreased dramatically as the O<sub>2</sub> concentration increased from 2 vol% to 4 vol%, meanwhile the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions increased significantly. When the  $O_2$  concentration increased from 4 vol% to 6 vol%, the CO concentration under oxy-30 decreased continuously while NO<sub>x</sub> emissions increased, whereas the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and CO concentration under air maintained at almost the same value. The effect of excess oxygen on the combustion is more significant when the excess oxygen is relatively low, and getting smaller with an increase in excess oxygen. In this study, increasing the  $O_2$  concentration from 2 vol% to 4 vol% can decrease the CO concentration effectively under both air and oxy-30, but the reduction with a further increase in O<sub>2</sub> concentration from 4 vol% to 6 vol% is limited under air. It is expected that the minimum concentration of CO is about 100

ppm in this study because no further reduction was observed when the  $O_2$  concentration in the flue gas was increased from 4 vol% to 6 vol% under air. Therefore, although the CO concentration under oxy-30 decreased from 200 ppm to 125 ppm as the  $O_2$ concentration increased from 4 vol% to 6 vol%, the reduction of CO concentration is expected to be insignificant with a further increase in excess oxygen because the CO concentration has almost reached its minimum value.

As shown in Fig. 11, the promoting effect of excess oxygen on NO<sub>x</sub> emissions under 0.4 MPa was consistent with that under atmospheric pressure. Both the  $NO_x$ emissions under air and oxy-30 increased with an increase in excess oxygen. Fig. 12(b) summarizes the CO concentration in the flue gas and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions under 0.4 MPa with different O<sub>2</sub> concentration in the flue gas. Similar to the results obtained at atmospheric pressure shown in Fig. 12(a), an increase in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions was always accompanied by the reduction of CO concentration. The CO concentration under 0.4 MPa with both air and oxy-30 decreased obviously when the O<sub>2</sub> concentration increased from 2 vol% to 6 vol%. As mentioned in section 3.2, the CO concentrations under 0.4 MPa were higher than those under 0.1 MPa in this study, and the CO concentration was about 200-300 ppm which still has a potential to decrease when the  $O_2$  concentration was increased to 6 vol%. However, it is noticed that the reduction rate of CO concentration has become smaller as the  $O_2$  concentration in the flue gas increased from 4 vol% to 6 vol%, and the reduction rate with a further increase in excess oxygen should be even smaller. In an actual commercial combustion system, the oxygen excess has to be controlled as low as possible to avoid extra operational costs and heat loss through the exhaust flue gas, whereas the excessively low oxygen cannot meet the requirement of complete combustion. Based on the pressurized oxy-coal combustion results obtained in this study, it is suggested that a reasonable value of O<sub>2</sub> concentration in the

flue gas should be around 4 vol% which can not only meet the requirements of oxygen consumption but also avoid the further increase in  $NO_x$  emissions.



Figure 11. Effect of excess oxygen on the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions (T1≈900 °C)





Figure 12. NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and CO concentrations with different excess oxygen (a) 0.1 MPa (b) 0.4 MPa

# 4. Conclusions

Oxy-coal combustion experiments focusing on the  $NO_x$  emission behavior under high pressures were conducted in a 30 kW<sub>th</sub> pressurized fluidized bed combustor. The effects of combustion pressure, temperature and excess air/oxygen on  $NO_x$  emissions under both air combustion and oxy-combustion conditions were systematically investigated with a series of carefully designed experiments. Based on the analysis and interpretation of the experimental results, the following conclusions can be drawn:

(1) The NO<sub>x</sub> emission of oxy-coal combustion decreased significantly with an increase in combustion pressure from 0.1 MPa to 0.4 MPa, and the reduction was mainly caused by the pressure increase as the bed temperature, excess oxygen and fluidization velocity were kept at the same levels during the experiments with different combustion pressures.

(2) An increase in bed temperature led to an increase in  $NO_x$  emissions under both atmospheric and pressurized oxy-fuel combustion, whereas the promoting effect of bed temperature on  $NO_x$  emissions was weaker under higher pressures.

(3) The excess oxygen had a significant effect on NO<sub>x</sub> emissions under pressurized oxy-coal combustion, which was consistent with the trend found under atmospheric pressure. The  $O_2$  concentration in the flue gas should be controlled at about 4 vol%, which can not only meet the requirement of coal combustion but also avoid high NO<sub>x</sub> emissions.

(4) The NO<sub>x</sub> emissions of oxy-coal combustion were closely related to the CO concentration in the flue gas under both pressurized and atmospheric conditions. An increase in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions caused by the increase of combustion temperature or excess oxygen was accompanied by a reduction in CO emissions.

From the point of view of reducing  $NO_x$  emissions, a pressurized oxy-coal fluidized bed combustion system should have a higher combustion pressure, a lower bed temperature and a lower excess oxygen coefficient. However, it should be noticed that these three parameters also have remarkable influences on the net power plant efficiency, other pollution emissions (such as  $SO_2$  emissions) and desulfurization efficiency etc. Therefore, to determine the most suitable operating condition for pressurized oxy-coal fluidized bed combustion, further research is still needed and this may include both economic analysis such as those of [refs 6-8] and experimental investigations with a more advanced facility than the one used in this study, e.g., a pilotscale pressurized oxy-coal fluidized bed combustion system.

# Acknowledgement

Funding: This work was supported by National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFB0600802), National Natural Science Foundation of China

(51736002, 51876037), Key Research and Development Program of Jiangsu Province (BE2017195) and Scientific Research Foundation of Graduate School of Southeast University (YJBB1810).

# References

[1] Chen L, Yong S Z, Ghoneim A F. Oxy-fuel combustion of pulverized coal: characterization, fundamentals, stabilization and CFD modeling. Prog. Energy combust. Sci. 2012, 38 (2), 156-214.

[2] Mathekga H I, Oboirien B O, North B C. A review of oxy-fuel combustion in fluidized bed reactors. Int. J. Energy. Res. 2016, 40, 878-902.

[3] Scheffknecht G, AI-Makhadmeh L, Schnell U, Maier J. Oxy-fuel coal combustion-A review of the current state of the art. Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control 2011, 5, S16-35.

[4] Toftegaard M, Brix J, Jensen P, Glarborg P, Jensen A. Oxy-fuel combustion of solid fuels. Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. 2010, 36(5), 581-625.

[5] Koornneef J, Junginger M, Faaij A. Development of fluidized bed combustion-an overview of trends, performance and cost. Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. 2007, 33(1), 19-55.

[6] Escudero A I, Espatolero S, Remeo L M, Lara Yolanda, Panfique Cyrille, Lesort A, Liszka M. Minimization of CO<sub>2</sub> capture energy penalty in second generation oxy-fuel power plants. Appl. Therm. Eng. 2016, 103, 274-281.

[7] Tranier J, Dubettier R, Darde A, Perrin N. Air separation, flue gas compression and purification units for oxy-coal combustion systems. Energy Proc. 2011, 4, 966-971.

[8] Hong J, Field R, Gazzino M, et al. Operating pressure dependence of the pressurized oxy-fuel combustion power cycle. Energy 2010, 35 (12), 5391-5399.

[9] Gopan A, Kumfer B M, Phillips J, Thimsen D, Smith R, Axelbaum R L. Process design and performance analysis of a Staged, Pressurized Oxy-Combustion (SPOC) power plant for carbon capture. Appl. Energy 2014, 125, 179-188.

[10] Zebian H, Gazzino M, Mitsos A. Multi-variable optimization of pressurized oxycoal combustion. Energy 2012, 38 (1), 37-57.

[11] Hagi H, Nemer M, Moullec Y L, et al. Towards second generation oxy-pulverized coal power plants: energy penalty reduction potential of pressurized oxy-combustion systems. Energy Procedia. 2014, 63, 431-439.

[12] Xia F, Yang Z, Adeosun A, et al. Pressurized oxy-combustion with low flue gas

recycle: computational fluid dynamic simulations of radiant boilers. Fuel 2016, 181, 1170-1178.

[13] Wang C, Lei M, Yan W, Wang S, Jia L. Combustion characteristics and ash formation of pulverized coal under pressurized oxy-fuel conditions. Energy Fuels 2011.25, 4333-4344.

[14] Ying Z, Zheng X, Cui G. Pressurized oxy-fuel combustion performance of pulverized coal for CO<sub>2</sub> capture. Appl. Therm. Eng. 2016, 99, 411-418.

[15] Lasek J A, Glod K, Janusz M, Kazalski K, Zuwala J. Pressurized Oxy-fuel Combustion: A Study of Selected Parameters. Energy Fuels 2012, 26 (11), 6492-6500.

[16] Lasek J A, Janusz M, Zuwała J, Glod K, Iluk A. Oxy-fuel combustion of selected solid fuels under atmospheric and elevated pressures. Energy 2013, 62, 105-112.

[17] Stadler H, Ristic D, Forster M.  $NO_x$  emissions from flameless coal combustion in air, Ar/O2 and  $CO_2/O_2$ . Proc. combust. Inst. 2009, 32, 3131-3138.

[18] Liu H, Okazaki K. Simultaneous easy  $CO_2$  recovery and drastic reduction of  $SO_2$  and  $NO_x$  in  $O_2/CO_2$  coal combustion with heat recirculation. Fuel, 2003, 82(11), 1427-1436.

[19] Hu Y, Kobayashi N, Hasatani M. The reduction of recycled  $NO_x$  in coal combustion with  $O_2$ /recycled flue gas under low recycling ration. Fuel, 2001, 80(13), 1851-1855.

[20] Tan Y, Croiset E, Douglas M. Combustion characteristics of coal in a mixture of oxygen and recycled flue gas. Fuel, 2006, 85(4), 507-512.

[21] De Diego L, De Obras-loscertales M, Rufas A, Garacia-Labiano F, Gayan P, Abad A, Adanez J. Pollutant emissions in a bubbling fluidized bed combustor working in oxy-fuel operating conditions: effect of flue gas recirculation. Appl. energy, 2013, 102, 860-867.

[22] Lupianez C, Diez L I, Romeo L M. NO emissions from anthracite oxy-firing in a fluidized-bed combustor: effect of the temperature, limestone and O<sub>2</sub>. Energy Fuels. 2013, 27, 7619-7627.

[23] Duan L, Zhao C, Zhou W, Qu C, Chen X. Effects of operation parameters on NO emission in an oxy-fired CFB combustor. Fuel Process. Technol. 2011, 92, 379-384.

[24] Czakiert T, Sztekler K, Karski S, Markiewicz D, Nowak W. Oxy-fuel circulating fluidized bed combustion in a small pilot-scale test rig. Fuel Process. Technol. 2010, 91, 1617-1623.

[25] Li S, Li H, Li W, Xu M, Eddings E G, Ren Q, Lu Q. Coal combustion emission

and ash formation characteristics at high oxygen concentration in a 1 MW<sub>th</sub> pilot-scale oxy-fuel circulating fluidized bed. Appl. Energy 2017, 197, 203-211.

[26] Hofbauer G, Beisheim T, Dieter H, Scheffknechet G. Experiences from oxy-fuel combustion of bituminous coal in a 150 k $W_{th}$  circulating fluidized bed pilot facility. Energy procedia. 2014, 51 (0), 24-30.

[27] Diez L, Lupianez C, Guedea I, Bolea I, Romeo L. Anthracite oxy-combustion characteristics in a 90kW<sub>th</sub> fluidized bed reactor. Fuel Process. Technol. 2015, 139, 196-203.

[28] Khatami R, Stivers C, Joshi K, Levendis Y, Sarofim A. Combustion behavior of single particles from three different coal ranks and from sugar cane bagasse in  $O_2/N_2$  and  $O_2/CO_2$  atmospheres. Combust. Flame 2012, 159, 1253-1271.

[29] Tan L, Li S, Li w, Shou E, Lu Q. Effects of oxygen staging and excess oxygen on  $O_2/CO_2$  combustion with a high oxygen concentration in a circulating fluidized bed. Energy Fuels 2014, 28, 2069-2075.

[30] Jia L, Tan Y, Anthony E J. Emissions of  $SO_2$  and  $NO_x$  during oxy-fuel CFB combustion tests in a mini-circulating fluidized bed combustion reactor. Energy Fuels 2010, 24, 910-915.

[31] Lupianez C, Diez L, Romeo L. Influence of gas-staging on pollutant emissions from fluidized bed oxy-firing. Chem. Eng. J. 2014, 256, 380-389.

[32] Liu H, Shao Y. Predictions of the impurities in the CO<sub>2</sub> stream of an oxy-coal combustion plant. Appl. Energy 2010, 87(10), 3126-3170.

[33] Liu H, Zailani R, Gibbs B, Pulverized coal combustion in air and in  $O_2/CO_2$  mixtures with NO<sub>x</sub> recycle. Fuel 2005, 84, 2109-2115.

[34] Stewart M, Symonds T, Manovic V, Macchi A, Anthony E. Effects of steam on the sulfation of limestone and NO<sub>x</sub> formation in an air and oxy-fired pilot-scale circulating fluidized bed combustor. Fuel 2012, 92, 107-115.

[35] Hosoda H, Toshimasa H.  $NO_x$  and  $N_2O$  emission in bubbling fluidized-bed coalcombustion with oxygen and recycled flue gas: macroscopic characteristics of their formation and reduction. Energy Fuels 1998, 12, 102-108

[36] Pang L, Shao Y, Zhong W, Liu H, Jiang P. An experimental investigation of oxycoal combustion in a 15 kW<sub>th</sub> pressurized fluidized bed combustor. Energy Fuels 2019, 33, 1694-1703.

[37] Pang L, Shao Y, Zhong W, Liu H. Experimental investigation on the coal combustion in a pressurized fluidized bed. Energy 2018, 165, 1119-1128.

[38] Pickard S, Daood S, Pourkashanian M, Nimmo W. Co-firing coal with biomass in oxygen and carbon dioxide-enriched atmospheres for CCS applications. Fuel 2014, 137, 185-192.

[39] Liu H, Zailani R, Gibbs B. Comparisons of pulverized coal combustion in air and in mixtures of O<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub>. Fuel 2005, 84 (7), 833-840.

[40] Sher F, A. Pans M, Sun C, Snape C, Liu H. Oxy-fuel combustion study of biomass fuels in a 20 kW<sub>th</sub> fluidized bed combustor. Fuel 2018, 215, 778-786.

[41] Duan L, Zhao C, Zhou W, Qu C, Chen X.  $O_2/CO_2$  coal combustion characteristics in a 50 kW<sub>th</sub> circulating fluidized bed. Int. J. Greenhous Gas Control. 2011, 5, 770-776. [42] Svoboda K, Pohorely M. Influence of operating conditions and coal properties on  $NO_x$  and  $N_2O$  emissions in pressurized fluidized bed combustion of subbituminous coals. Fuel 2004, 83, 1095-1103.

[43] Ballantyne B, Ashman P, Mullinger P. A new method for determining the conversion of low-ash coal using synthetic ash as a tracer. Fuel 2005, 84, 1980-1985.

[44] Lupianez C, Guedea I, Bolea I, Diez L I, Romeo L M. Experimental study of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in fluidized bed oxy-fuel combustion. Fuel Process. Technol. 2013, 106, 587-594.

[45] Saastamoinen J J, Aho M J, Hamalainen J P, Hernberg R, Joutsenoja T. Pressurized pulverized fuel combustion in different concentrations of oxygen and carbon dioxide. Energy Fuels 1996, 10, 121-133.

[46] Zijlma G, Jensen A, Johnsson J, Van den bleek C. The influence of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> on the reactivity of limestone for the oxidation of NH3. Fuel 2000, 72(12), 1449-1454.
[47] Zijlma G, Jensen A, Johnsson J, Van den bleek C. NH<sub>3</sub> oxidation catalyzed by calcined limestone – a kenetic study. Fuel 2002, 81(14), 1871-1881.

[48] De Diego L, Londono C, Wang X, Gibbs B. Influence of operating parameters on  $NO_x$  and  $N_2O$  axial profiles in a circulating fluidized bed combustor. Fuel 1996, 75(8), 971-978.

[49] Harding A W, Brown S D, Thomas K M. Release of NO from the combustion fo coal chars. Combustion and flame 1996, 107, 336-350.

[50] Li P W, Chyang C S, Ni H W. An experimental study of the effect of nitrogen on the formation and reduction of NOx in fluidized bed combustion. Energy 2018, 154, 319-327.

[51] Man C B, Zhu J G, Ouyang Z Q, et al. Experimental study on combustion

characteristics of pulverized coal preheated in a circulating fluidized bed. Fuel Process Technol, 2018, 172, 72-78.