



Genome Sequence Analysis Reveals Selection Signatures in Endangered Trypanotolerant West African Muturu Cattle

Abdulfatai Tijjani^{1,2,3*}, Yuri Tani Utsunomiya⁴, Arinze G. Ezekwe⁵, Oyekanmi Nashiru² and Olivier Hanotte^{1,3*}

¹ Cells, Organisms and Molecular Genetics, School of Life Sciences, University Park Campus, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom, ² Center for Genomics Research and Innovation, National Biotechnology Development Agency, Abuja, Nigeria, ³ International Livestock Research Institute, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, ⁴ Department of Support, Production and Animal Health, School of Veterinary Medicine, São Paulo State University, São Paulo, Brazil, ⁵ Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Farai Catherine Muchadeyi, Agricultural Research Council of South Africa (ARC-SA), South Africa

Reviewed by:

Filippo Biscarini, Italian National Research Council (CNR), Italy Bertrand Servin, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique de Toulouse, France

*Correspondence:

Abdulfatai Tijjani fataiatc@yahoo.com Olivier Hanotte olivier.hanotte@nottingham.ac.uk

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Livestock Genomics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

Received: 01 November 2018 Accepted: 29 April 2019 Published: 04 June 2019

Citation:

Tijjani A, Utsunomiya YT, Ezekwe AG, Nashiru O and Hanotte O (2019) Genome Sequence Analysis Reveals Selection Signatures in Endangered Trypanotolerant West African Muturu Cattle. Front. Genet. 10:442. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2019.00442 Like most West African Bos taurus, the shorthorn Muturu is under threat of replacement or crossbreeding with zebu. Their populations are now reduced to a few hundred breeding individuals and they are considered endangered. So far, the genetic variation and genetic basis of the trypanotolerant Muturu environmental adaptation have not been assessed. Here, we present genome-wide candidate positive selection signatures in Muturu following within-population *iHS* and between population *Rsb* signatures of selection analysis. We compared the results in Muturu with the ones obtained in N'Dama, a West African longhorn trypanotolerant taurine, and in two European taurine (Holstein and Jersey). The results reveal candidate signatures of selection regions in Muturu including genes linked to the innate (e.g., TRIM10, TRIM15, TRIM40, and TRIM26) and the adaptive (e.g., JSP.1, BOLA-DQA2, BOLA-DQA5, BOLA-DRB3, and BLA-DQB) immune responses. The most significant regions are identified on BTA 23 at the bovine major histocompatibility complex (MHC) (iHS analysis) and on BTA 12 at genes including a heat tolerance gene (INTS6) (Rsb analysis). Other candidate selected regions include genes related to growth traits/stature (e.g., GHR and GHRHR), coat color (e.g., MITF and KIT), feed efficiency (e.g., ZRANB3 and MAP3K5) and reproduction (e.g., RFX2, SRY, LAP3, and GPX5). Genes under common signatures of selection regions with N'Dama, including for adaptive immunity and heat tolerance, suggest shared mechanisms of adaptation to environmental challenges for these two West African taurine cattle. Interestingly, out of the 242,910 SNPs identified within the candidate selected regions in Muturu, 917 are missense SNPs (0.4%), with an unequal distribution across 273 genes. Fifteen genes including RBBP8, NID1, TEX15, LAMA3, TRIM40, and OR12D3 comprise 220 missense variants, each between 11 and 32. Our results, while providing insights into the candidate genes under selection in Muturu, are paving the way to the identification of genes and their polymorphisms linked to the unique tropical adaptive traits of the West Africa taurine, including trypanotolerance.

Keywords: African Bos taurus, MHC, disease resistance, heat tolerance, comparative genomics

1

INTRODUCTION

The Muturu cattle, indigenous to the West African sub-region, is among the least characterized shorthorn (brachyceros) humpless Bos taurus, which include also the Somba, Baoulé, and Lagune. The word Muturu, the Hausa word for humpless, is used for all taurine shorthorns in English-speaking West African countries. The origin of the Muturu is disputed, their ancestors are likely the shorthorn humpless cattle which appeared in ancient Egypt in the second millennium BC, migrating from the center(s) of cattle domestication in the Near East. They were first recorded in West Africa during the first half of the first millennium AD (Epstein, 1971). The past distribution of Muturu ranged across West and Central Africa, particularly in Cameroon, Liberia, Ghana and Nigeria. Nowadays, Muturu is sparsely distributed in the humid forest zone and in a few savannah areas in Nigeria (Rege et al., 1994; Adebambo, 2001; Gwaza and Momoh, 2016).

Two Muturu ecotypes may be recognized in Nigeria. The Savannah Muturu, the larger one, found in pockets of central North (Benue plateau and surroundings) and in the Eastern parts of the country, and the Dwarf Forest Muturu in South West Nigeria and along the coastline bordering Benin and Cameroun. It is thought that Muturu populated most of Nigeria before the arrival of zebu *B. indicus* cattle in the 17th century (Felius, 1995). Since then, the existence of Muturu has been consistently under threat as a result of farmers' preference for zebu larger body size. Also, the late 19th century cattle rinderpest plagues seem to have caused the extinction of many Muturu herds (Blench, 1998). Following its rapid decline, from 115,000 cattle heads reported in 1992 to a recent unofficial estimate of about 25,000, the Muturu has now been classified in Nigeria as an endangered breed (Adebambo, 2001).

Muturu are the smallest cattle of Nigeria and also the smallest among the shorthorns in West Africa, with reported wither heights varying from 71 to 100 cm (Maule, 1990; Rege et al., 1994). Muturu are important in traditional culture and there is a strong spiritual attachment to the animals. They are frequently used for prestige and dowry purposes. The animals play an important role in all kinds of ceremonies and they are used for ritual sacrifices, particularly at funerals, when their hides are used as wrapping for the deceased. Many bulls are therefore killed for such purposes which may lead to shortage of breeding bulls (Blench, 1998). Muturu cattle have good temperament and can be highly productive when managed under station condition. They are known for their tolerance to the parasitic protozoal disease, trypanosomiasis, due to their innate ability to remain productive even after infection (Murray, 1988). They are also tolerant to tick and tick-borne diseases, but they are susceptible to rinderpest (Rege et al., 1994).

The genetic variation and loci under selection across the genome of Muturu have not been so far assessed. Such investigations are of relevance for conservation purposes (e.g., inbreeding avoidance), breed management and for the understanding of the genetic bases of local adaptive traits. In this study, we report genome-wide assessment of candidate positive selection signatures in a Muturu population from Nigeria using whole genome re-sequencing data. These analyses involved the assessment of genetic diversity, population structure and signatures of positive selection, using two complementary extended haplotypes homozygosity selection tests, within population, integrated haplotype score (*iHS*) and between population, *Rsb*. Muturu results were compared to N'Dama, a West African longhorn trypanotolerant taurine, and two European taurine breeds (Holstein and Jersey).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Populations and Whole Genome Re-sequencing

The genome sequences of the Muturu samples included in this study were recently made available in the public domain following the inclusion of these samples as reference population in a study of adaptation of the East African shorthorn zebu (Bahbahani et al., 2017). Sequenced reads are available in NCBI SRA database under the project accession: PRJNA386202. Tissue samples were collected from the earlobes of 10 Muturu animals, which were randomly sampled in villages in Nsukka (6.830801" N, 7.413971" E) and Igbo-Etiti (6.692760" N, 7.398349" E), Local Government Areas in Southeast Nigeria. Total genomic DNA was extracted following the manufacturer's protocol using the Macherey-Nagel NucleoSpin® tissue DNA extraction kit. Samples were sent to a commercial company (Novogene) for sequencing, where paired-end libraries with an insert size of 150 bp were constructed from at least 5 µg genomic DNA according to the Illumina's library preparation protocol. Paired-end sequencing of libraries was performed on the Illumina Hiseq 2500 platform (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, United States), samples were sequenced to about 10x coverage. The genome sequence data of 10 cattle samples each from three other B. taurus populations (N'Dama, Holstein and Jersey) were obtained from previous studies (accessions numbers PRJNA312138, PRJNA176557 and PRJNA238491, respectively) (Daetwyler et al., 2014; Stothard et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2017).

Sequence Mapping and Variants Discovery

The generated raw sequence data were subjected to filtering steps in order to remove reads pair containing adapter and when more than half of the reads comprise of low base quality ($Q_{phred} < 5$). The final quality of the resulting clean reads was confirmed using the fastqc tool¹. Clean sequence reads for the individual cattle samples were aligned to the *B. taurus* reference genome (UMD 3.1) (Zimin et al., 2009) using the Burrows-Wheeler Alignment tool (BWA) version 0.7.5a with the option "bwa-mem" (Li and Durbin, 2009). We followed the Broad Institute recommended Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) Best Practices pre-processing workflow preceding variant discovery². We used SAMtools v1.1 (Li et al., 2009) to convert SAM files to BAM files. Picard tools

¹https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/ ²https://software.broadinstitute.org/gatk/best-practices/

v1.119³ was used to sort the alignment files by coordinates, to index them, to mark duplicate reads and to generate quality matrices after mapping. We then performed local re-alignment around InDels and recalibration of the base quality scores with GATK v3.4 (McKenna et al., 2010), using the default settings and the dbSNP Build 148⁴ as list of known variant sites.

Discoveries of single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and Insertion/Deletions (InDels) on individual cattle sample was performed using the default parameters of the GATK "HaplotypeCaller." Thereafter, the joint genotyping approach (GenotypeGVCFs mode) was adopted to identify variants simultaneously in all B. taurus samples. GATK "SelectVariants" mode was used to separate SNPs and InDels into two different files before subjecting each variant type to hard filtering in order to reduce false positive variants. We excluded SNPs with "DP < 4" (SNPs covered by less than 4 reads), "MQ < 40.0" (SNPs with low mapping quality), quality by depth, QD < 2.0and other GATK recommended default SNP filtering criteria; "MQRankSum < -12.5," "ReadPosRankSum < -8.0," and Fisher strand, "FS > 200." The InDels were filtered with the following criteria; QD < 2.0, FS > 200.0 and ReadPosRankSum < -20.0. BCFtools v 0.1.14 was used to estimate SNP statistics such as the numbers of total and average bi-allelic autosomal SNPs, ratios of heterozygous to homozygous SNPs and ratios of transition to transversion (Ts/Tv) in each B. taurus breeds.

Genetic Relationship and Population Structure Analyses

For genetic relationship analysis, VCFtools v.0.1.14 (Danecek et al., 2011) was used to select SNPs with 100% genotyping rate across the four *B. taurus* populations which were then subjected to a further thinning by selecting a single SNP per 1000-kb window resulting in a dataset of about 1.9 million SNPs. These SNPs were loaded into the R software using the vcfR package (Knaus and Grünwald, 2017) to create a vcfR object and subsequently converted into a genlight object (Jombart, 2008). Using this package, we estimated pairwise Nei's Genetic Distances (*D*) (Nei, 1972) between populations and between individuals. A heat map was plotted within the R environment to visualize the relationship between African and European *B. taurus* based on Nei's Genetic Distances.

Principal component (PCA) and admixture analyses were performed to infer the population structure among the four *B. taurus* cattle. VCFtools *v.0.1.14* was used to convert the entire genotype dataset into Plink input file format. PCA was then performed using the SmartPCA software from the EIGENSOFT (Patterson et al., 2006) package, and the first four eigenvectors were plotted. Block relaxation algorithm implemented in the ADMIXTURE *v1.3.0* program (Alexander et al., 2009) was used for analysis of ancestry proportions (admixture) with K set from 2 to 4. The whole genotype data set was subjected to linkage disequilibrium (LD) pruning using the default parameter of PLINK *v1.9* (50 SNPs step 5 SNPs, r2 0.5) (Purcell et al., 2007) prior to use in admixture analysis. GENESIS software

³http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard

(Buchmann and Hazelhurst, 2014) was used to visualized both PCA and admixture plots.

Signature of Selection Using Integrated Haplotype Score (iHS) Test

The *iHS* statistical test is a haplotype-based signature of selection scan method that is computed based on the estimation of extended haplotype homozygosity (EHH) from each core biallelic SNPs, which are either ancestral or derived. In this case, with the use of whole genome re-sequencing data aligned to the bovine reference genome (UMD3.1), the reference allele is considered the ancestral while that of our study population is considered the derived allele. The sum of EHH computed over both directions away from the core SNP is referred to as integrated EHH. It is denoted iHHA for the ancestral allele, iHHD for derived allele and iES for the SNP site (Qanbari et al., 2011; Gouveia et al., 2014). *iHS* is based on the extent of decay of LD at a variant, it is thus described as a within population score as the ratio between iHHA and iHHD:

$$iHS = \ln\left(\frac{iHHA}{iHHD}\right)$$
 (Voight et al., 2006) (1)

The *REHH* package (Gautier and Vitalis, 2012) in R was used to compute *iHS* score for SNPs with MAF ≥ 0.05 within each of the four *B. taurus* populations separately and using the option "*freqbin* = 0.1." The *freqbin* option enables the calculation of empirical *P*-values for every score based on the number of SNPs within a bin of similar allele frequency of size 0.1 (Voight et al., 2006). *piHS* were derived as -log10(1-2| Φ (iHS)-0.5|), where, Φ (iHS) represents the cumulative distribution function of the Gaussian density (Gautier and Vitalis, 2012).

To infer candidate regions under positive selection, we utilized an in-house R script to summarize the *iHS* scores for each SNP within a sliding window of 100 kb with an overlap of 50 kb step, selecting SNPs with the highest test score to represent a particular window. For any window to be considered as significant, we applied a threshold of P < 0.0001, equivalent to piHS > 4, corresponding to false discovery rates (FDR) of 0.0084, 0.0080. 0.0041 and 0.0128 in Muturu, Holstein, N'Dama and Jersey, respectively, when applying the approach of Storey and Tibshirani (2003). Bedtools *v2.25.0* (Quinlan and Hall, 2010) was then used to merge overlapping significant windows to define a candidate selected region.

As this test require phased haplotypes (Sabeti et al., 2002; Voight et al., 2006), phasing was performed separately for each *B. taurus* population and for each chromosome using the SHAPEIT software (Delaneau et al., 2013). We adopted the read aware phasing approach which takes advantage of the phase information contained in sequencing reads to improve the quality of the resulting haplotypes. Following this approach, we extracted Phase Informative Read (PIR, which is defined as a sequencing read that span at least 2 heterozygous sites), from the sequence alignment files (Bam files) for all samples and then performed the actual phasing of the genotypes contained in the variant file using the extracted PIRs as an additional input to SHAPEIT (Delaneau et al., 2013).

⁴ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/snp/organisms/archive/cow_9913/chr_rpts/

Signature of Selection Using Extended Haplotype Homozygosity, *Rsb* Test

Evidence of positive selection in Muturu was further investigated by comparing Muturu to each of the other three *B. taurus* breeds using the *Rsb* test, which is also based on the estimation of EHH as described above. However, in contrast to *iHS*, where EHH is compared between alleles at a SNP within a population, *Rsb* involved the comparison of the EHH patterns of the same allele (denoted as "iES") between two populations. Tang et al. (2007), described *Rsb* as:

$$\ln(Rsb) = \ln\left(\frac{iESpop1}{iESpop2}\right) \quad (Tang et al., 2007) \tag{2}$$

To obtain Rsb scores, we utilized the different iES statistics estimated using REHH package during the *iHS* analyses in each of Muturu, N'Dama, Holstein and Jersey populations as described above. The iES values were summarized using overlapping window size of 100 kb with overlapping step 50 kb as for *iHS* analysis. *Rsb* scores were then computed by comparing Muturu with N'Dama, Holstein and Jersey using Equation 2. *Rsb* scores were standardized in R environment. As *Rsb* score is directional, a positive *Rsb* score suggests selection in population 1 while the negative score suggests selection in population 2. In our case, selection signature in Muturu is represented by the positive values. To select candidate selection signature windows, the 0.5% extreme values were considered. Bedtools *v2.25.0* (Quinlan and Hall, 2010) was used to merge overlapping selected windows as selected region.

Functional Characterization of Candidate Selected Genes and Haplotype Diversity

Cattle genes based on Ensembl Genes 92 database, which overlapped the genomic coordinates (in bp) of our significant selective sweep regions were retrieved using *Ensembl BioMart* online tool⁵ (last accessed on June 10, 2018) (Smedley et al., 2009). The list of the retrieved genes was processed in the web-based Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) v6.8⁶ tool (Huang et al., 2009a,b) for functional annotation and identification of enriched terms including Gene ontology (GO) biological processes and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways, associated with out gene list. For DAVID analysis, the modified Fisher exact test *P*-value was used to estimate the significance of each functional annotation term.

Further characterization of candidate selected genes was performed by comparing the missense variants within candidate selected regions among the four *B. taurus* breeds. Furthermore, haplotype diversity in regions of candidate genes was investigated by using the scripts provided in the hapFLK webpage⁷ for the estimation of haplotype frequencies and visualization of haplotype clusters within selected candidate genes (Fariello et al., 2013).

RESULTS

Variants Discovery and Statistics

Sequencing of the 10 Muturu samples generated a total of 324.179 Gigabase pairs (Gbp) of clean sequence data after trimming of adapters and low-quality reads. Individual sample sequence reads ranged from 188,884,710 to 240,635,522. Mapping of each sample sequence reads to the *B. taurus* cattle genome of reference UMD 3.1, yielded a minimum alignment rate of 0.99 and an assembly coverage of 98 percent in all 10 Muturu samples. The genome coverage for each Muturu sample ranged from 6.45 to 10.41 with a total of 83.28 folds genome coverage (**Supplementary Table S1**). The sequences statistics for the genomes of Jersey, Holstein and N'Dama populations are provided in Daetwyler et al. (2014), Stothard et al. (2015), Kim et al. (2017), respectively. The genomes coverage of individual animal is around 10 folds for these three breeds.

After the SNPs quality control steps, 8,794,483, 14,107,603, 10,277,798, and 9,838,049 autosomal bi-allelic SNPs were obtained in Muturu, N'Dama, Holstein and Jersey, respectively (**Table 1**), giving a total of 19,947,406 SNPs (shared and breed-specific SNPs). Amongst these, 4,876,129 SNPs are common to all four populations, a proportion equivalent to 55.4, 49.6, 47.4, and 34.6% of autosomal SNPs in Muturu, Jersey, Holstein and N'Dama, respectively; 1,022,193 (11.6%) are common to Muturu and N'Dama, 999,226 (5%) are unique to Muturu and 5,435,777 (27%) unique to N'Dama (**Figure 1**). So more than 43% of the SNPs are unique to African cattle compared to the two European breeds. More so, new SNPs are higher in the African *B. taurus* (~5%) than in the European counterpart (less than 1%) following comparison with the cattle SNPs database dbSNP Build 148⁴.

The average transition to transversion (Ts/Tv) ratio is quite similar across breed, 2.28 in European taurine, 2.29 in Muturu and 2.31 in N'Dama (**Table 1**). This number is quite comparable to what has been reported in other cattle studies (Choi et al., 2015; Stothard et al., 2015; Stafuzza et al., 2017). It is indicative of high quality of our variant call sets. Among the different *B. taurus* breeds, the ratio of heterozygous to homozygous non-reference SNPs is the lowest in the Muturu breed (het/hom = 0.69), while the ratios are above 1.0 in other breeds. The numbers of singletons SNPs are lower in the two West African taurine than in the two European taurine (**Table 1**).

Genetic Relationships and Within Population Structure

We examined genetic relationships among the animals and populations by computing Nei's genetic distances (*D*) (Nei, 1972; **Supplementary Tables S2, S3**). The relationships among individuals and population are presented as heat maps at **Figures 2A,B**. From the individual sample plot (**Figure 2A**), samples of the same population clearly cluster together. Samples within the Muturu population are more related to each other compared to the N'Dama samples as indicated by the corresponding dark and light colors of the squares (**Figure 2A**). Within N'Dama, some genetic distance heterogeneities among animals are observed in relation to the European taurine

⁵http://www.ensembl.org/biomart

⁶http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/

⁷https://forge-dga.jouy.inra.fr/projects/hapflk

TABLE 1 | Summary statistics of the SNPs in the four Bos taurus cattle.

SNP stats	Muturu	N'Dama	Holstein	Jersey
Total bi-allelic autosomal SNPs	8,794,483	14,107,603	10,277,798	9,838,049
Average number of SNPs per sample	4,841,893	7,116,855	5,180,989	4,650,501
Het/Hom	0.69	1.53	1.56	1.16
Ts/Tv ratio	2.29	2.31	2.28	2.28
Singleton SNPs (%)	13.9	12.7	16.3	16.9
dbSNP (%)	94.7	95	99.6	99.5



Holstein and Jersey. At population level (**Figure 2B**), the genetic distances heat map shows a closer genetic relationship between the two European breed (D = 0.041) than between the two African (D = 0.066) populations. The highest genetic distance is observed between N'Dama and Jersey (D = 0.089). Muturu has a closer relationship with the two European taurine than N'Dama.

Principal component analysis supports the population relationships based on average genetic distances. The plot of the first (PC1) and second eigenvectors (PC2) (**Figure 3A**), explaining about 6.4 and 3.5% of the proportion of variations, respectively, shows a clear separation of the African taurine from the European taurine, and a separation between the two African taurine, respectively. PC3 separates the two European taurine while PC4 reveals within breed diversity in N'Dama (**Figure 3B**). The admixture level indicates clustering at continental level at K = 2. At K = 3, the European populations are separated, while the African populations are still clustered together. A separate ancestry for all four populations is visible at K = 4 (**Figure 3C**).

Candidate Selection Signatures of Muturu as Revealed by *iHS*

To investigate ongoing within breed recent selection in Muturu, we used the EHH-based *iHS* test. Genome-wide within population *iHS* scores was calculated using all SNPs with a minimum allele frequency of 5% in the breed. The distributions of the standardized *iHS P*-values along the 29 bovine autosomes are shown at **Figure 4**. Using a threshold of *iHS* \geq 4 (*P*-value < 0.0001), we identified 266 significant genomic regions under positive selection in Muturu (**Supplementary Table S4**). From these, 169 regions overlap with 430 genes, while the remaining 97 regions are gene desert regions according to the *Ensembl* cow genes database 92.

Amongst the top 10 candidate selection signature regions, beside several known bovine genes, we do find also several others yet to be annotated (**Table 2**). The strongest selection signal in Muturu is observed on BTA 23 [$-\log 10 (piHS) = 9.69$] (**Figure 4**), and overlapping an unannotated protein coding gene, *ENSBTAG0000026163*. Here, among the functionally annotated genes, we do find the bovine major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I (*JSP.1, BOLA*) and class II (*BLA-DQB*), the olfactory receptor genes family (*OR5V1* and *OR12D3*), tripartite motif-containing (TRIM) genes (*TRIM10, TRIM15, TRIM26*, and *TRIM40*), and the *NOTCH4, PBX2, RNF5, PPT2, PRRT1*, and *AGER* genes. Other top selection signatures regions based on *iHS* analysis were detected on chromosomes BTA 12, 13, 17, and 26 (**Table 2**).

Candidate Selection Signatures of Muturu as Revealed by *Rsb*

The EHH-based *Rsb* approach was used to unravel the genomic signatures of selection in Muturu by comparing its genome to each of three other taurine breeds (N'Dama, Holstein and Jersey). The distribution of *Rsb* values along bovine autosomes are presented in the Manhattan plots shown in **Figures 5–7**. A total of 145 selection signature regions is identified, out of which 81 overlap with 206 candidate genes with the remaining 64 being gene desert regions (**Supplementary Table S5**).

Ninety-three, 73 and 66 genes were identified following the comparison of Muturu with N'Dama, Holstein and Jersey, respectively (**Figure 8**). Overlaps among the three sets show two genes (*TFEC* and *OSBP2*) detected in the three comparison tests, and twenty-one in two different comparison tests (**Figure 8**). Among these, eight genes including *FAM124A*, *PIGK*, *ST6GALNAC5*, *WDR49*, *SERPINI2*, *CD38*, *ENSBTAG00000033558*, and *ENSBTAG00000047597* were present in regions commonly identified between the Muturu and the two European taurine breeds. These 23 candidate genes are found within candidate selected regions on chromosomes 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 13, and 17 (**Table 3**).

The strongest candidate selective sweep region in Muturu is on BTA 12 (550 Mb region; position 20550001 – 21100000, Rsb = 3.95) (**Table 4**). It is detected following Rsb analysis between Muturu and the European taurine breeds. The candidate genes present here are *FAM124A*, *SERPINE3*, *WDFY2*, and *INTS6* (**Table 4**). *FAM124A* was detected following comparison of



FIGURE 2 | Heat map of genetic relationships among the four *B. taurus* based on average Nei's genetic distances matrix. (A) individuals and (B) populations comparisons. MUT, Muturu; NDM, N'Dama; HOL, Holstein; JER, Jersey.



Muturu with Holstein and Jersey, while the remaining three genes (*SERPINE3*, *WDFY2*, and *INTS6*) were detected following the comparison with Holstein only. Other identified strong selection signatures regions and candidate genes in Muturu based on the 15 top *Rsb* scores are presented at **Table 3**. Candidate selected regions identified on chromosomes BTA 2 (*OLA1*), BTA 11 (*GFI1B*, *SPACA9*, *GTF3C5*, *CEL*, *RALGDS*, *AK8*, and *TSC1*), BTA 24 (*ANKRD29*, *NPC1*, *RMC1*, and *LAMA3*), and BTA 26 (*KIF20B*) are the results of the *Rsb* analysis between Muturu and N'Dama. These genes may, therefore, provide insights into the

genetic adaptive differences between the shorthorn and longhorn taurine of West Africa.

Comparison of Selection Signatures in Muturu and Other Taurine *Breeds*

To enable us to compare the selection signatures in Muturu with the ones in the other *B. taurus* breeds (N'Dama, Holstein and Jersey), we additionally performed selection scans of the genomes of each of the three other taurine breeds both within



TABLE 2 | Most significant 10 iHS candidate selection signature regions in Muturu.

Chr	Start (bp)	End (bp)	–log10 (<i>iHS</i>)	Genes overlapping candidate selected region*
23	26200001	26400000	9.69	ENSBTAG0000026163
12	73950001	74300000	8.17	ENSBTAG00000047360, ENSBTAG00000047764
23	26650001	27050000	7.03	ENSBTAG00000046920, PBX2, AGER, RNF5, EGFL8, PRRT1, ENSBTAG00000046116, ENSBTAG00000023563, ENSBTAG00000048304, NOTCH4, AGPAT1, PPT2, ENSBTAG00000023541
23	25700001	26200000	6.02	BLA-DQB, ENSBTAG00000033979
17	35800001	35950000	5.97	ENSBTAG0000045738, ENSBTAG0000045938
26	11450001	11600000	5.95	KIF20B
13	5300001	5450000	5.89	SRY
23	28400001	28650000	5.66	JSP.1, BOLA, ENSBTAG00000037421, ENSBTAG00000044550, TRIM10, TRIM40, TRIM15, TRIM26
23	29150001	29400000	5.50	OR12D3, ENSBTAG00000039901, OR5V1, ENSBTAG00000013654, ENSBTAG00000031843, ENSBTAG00000039534, ENSBTAG00000046777, ENSBTAG00000047086, ENSBTAG00000046023, ENSBTAG00000038608, ENSBTAG00000037628, ENSBTAG00000031850, ENSBTAG0000000228
14	71350001	71500000	5.49	C8orf37

*As per cow genes 92 Ensembl database.



population (*iHS*) and between them (*Rsb*). The distributions of these genome-wide *iHS* and *Rsb* scores are presented in **Supplementary Figures S1–S6**.

The numbers of candidate genes identified in N'Dama, Holstein and Jersey based on the *iHS* test are 809, 557, and 346, respectively (**Supplementary Tables S6–S8**). The numbers





of genes detected following the *Rsb* analysis of N'Dama, Holstein and Jersey are 123, 337, and 298, respectively (**Supplementary Tables S9–S11**). **Figure 9** summarizes the number of candidate genes detected by both tests and their overlaps for each of the four breeds. Nine hundred and two, 761 and 563 candidate genes excluding duplicates, were identified in N'Dama, Holstein and Jersey. This total is 607 in Muturu. The numbers of detected genes associated with fixed or close to fixation selective sweeps (*Rsb*) are higher in the European breeds than in Muturu and N'Dama (**Figure 9**). Likewise, higher numbers of overlapped candidate genes between the two selection scan tests are observed in the European taurine than in the West African taurine (**Figure 9**).

The numbers of unique and shared candidate genes for the four taurine breeds is shown in **Figure 10**. Comparisons of the candidate genes identified in each of the taurine breeds indicate a moderate overlap between pairs of taurine breeds, with no candidate gene common to the four breeds (**Figure 10**). More precisely, the numbers of genes within selected regions in Muturu shared with N'Dama, Holstein and Jersey are 44, 29, and 19, respectively (**Figure 10** and **Supplementary Tables S12–S14**). Among the 44 genes shared with N'Dama is *BLA-DQB*, a member of MHC class II genes and *RNF122*, *SERPINE3*, *INTS6*, *FAM124A*, *DUSP26*, *PIGK*, and *ST6GALNAC5*, commonly detected in Muturu and N'Dama based on *Rsb* analysis, also among the top selection signature regions in the Muturu analysis (**Table 3**). Additionally, seven candidate genes also belonging to the bovine MHC class II genes (*BOLA-DQA2*, *LOC100848815*, *BOLA-DQB*, *BOLA-DQA5*, *BOLA-DRB3*, *ENSBTAG0000003352*, and *ENSBTAG0000000432*) are commonly detected in Muturu, N'Dama and Holstein. While one gene (*PPP2R2B*) is detected in Muturu, N'Dama and Jersey only.

Functional Annotation of Candidate Genes

To map the genes under selection in Muturu to biological processes and pathways, we performed gene enrichment (overrepresentation) analyses using the web-based DAVID database *v*.6.8 (Huang et al., 2009a,b). Five distinct functional annotation analyses were performed for the genes present within candidate



selected regions: Muturu genes exclusively shared with N'Dama (n = 44), Muturu genes exclusively shared with Holstein (n = 29), Muturu genes exclusively shared with Jersey (n = 19), Muturu genes shared with N'Dama and Holstein (n = 7) and Muturu specific genes (n = 507) and the (**Figure 9**).

Annotation of the 44 genes shared with N'Dama indicates the importance of these genes in three candidate KEGG pathways, namely phagosome (e.g., BLA-DQB, ATP6V0D2, and COLEC11), metabolic pathways (e.g., ATP6VOD2, ST6GALNAC5, ADI1, ALLC, and PIGK) and olfactory transduction (e.g., LOC787659, LOC507560, and OR6C76). The twenty-nine Muturu candidate genes shared with Holstein are involved in innate immune response (e.g., FBXO9, TRIM10, and TRIM15), neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction (e.g., GHR, GHRHR, and ADCYAP1R1) and olfactory transduction pathways, the 19 genes shared with Jersey are involved in metabolic and arachidonic acid metabolism (e.g., ALOX12, ALOX15, ALOX12E, and UGT2A1) pathways, while the seven genes in a single common region shared between Muturu, N'Dama and Holstein are involved in adaptive immune responses. Functional annotation of the 507 unique candidate genes identified in Muturu, reveals their roles in several biological processes, including the biological processes already mentioned above, such as the olfactory transduction pathways (n = 31), phagosome (n = 5), innate immune response (n = 3), metabolic (n = 24), neuroactive ligandreceptor interaction (n = 5) and arachidonic acid metabolism (n = 3) pathways.

We also performed the enrichment analysis using the entire 607 genes under selection in Muturu. Of the numerous associated functional annotation terms revealed, six candidate enriched terms are statistically significant (FDR \leq 0.05), these

TABLE 3 | List of overlapping candidate genes detected by *Rsb* analysis following the comparison of Muturu with each of three other taurine breeds (N'Dama, Holstein and Jersey).

Chr	Start (bp)	End (bp)	Gene	Rsb comparisons
1	351708	362907	RCAN1	N'Dama, Holstein
1	100676575	100786489	WDR49	Holstein, Jersey
1	100791772	100827942	SERPINI2	Holstein, Jersey
3	67687802	67824632	PIGK	Holstein, Jersey
3	67863093	68073051	ST6GALNAC5	Holstein, Jersey
4	52589574	52812807	TFEC	N'Dama, Holstein, Jersey
4	52989410	52989499	ENSBTAG00000045017	N'Dama, Jersey
6	115802869	115858942	CD38	Holstein, Jersey
6	115940219	115943190	FGFBP1	N'Dama, Holstein
6	115981183	116105180	PROM1	N'Dama, Holstein
8	74834628	74913332	PPP2R2A	N'Dama, Jersey
8	74924184	74947549	BNIP3L	N'Dama, Jersey
8	75089346	75168436	DPYSL2	N'Dama, Jersey
8	75108590	75108708	ENSBTAG0000029080	N'Dama, Jersey
12	20704476	20778873	FAM124A	Holstein, Jersey
13	42398735	42399402	NXT1	N'Dama, Jersey
13	42407301	42413062	GZF1	N'Dama, Jersey
13	42416038	42453614	NAPB	N'Dama, Jersey
17	51217469	51219592	ENSBTAG0000047597	Holstein, Jersey
17	51260767	51262423	ENSBTAG0000033558	Holstein, Jersey
17	71750227	71755793	DUSP18	N'Dama, Holstein
17	71755943	71760583	C17H5orf52	N'Dama, Holstein
17	71771703	71894872	OSBP2	N'Dama, Holstein, Jersey

TABLE 4 | Most significant 15 Rsb selection signatures regions in Muturu.

Chr	Start (bp)	End (bp)	Rsb	Genes overlapping the candidate selected regions
12	20550001	21100000	3.95	SERPINE3, FAM124A, WDFY2, INTS6
27	28450001	29000000	3.90	RNF122, DUSP26
26	11450001	11800000	3.77	KIF20B
4	52650001	53100000	3.66	TFEC
11	102900001	103150000	3.56	GFI1B, SPACA9, GTF3C5, CEL, RALGDS, AK8, TSC1
24	33250001	33500000	3.52	ANKRD29, NPC1, RMC1, LAMA3
2	22650001	22800000	3.49	OLA1
3	67600001	68000000	3.47	AK5, PIGK, ST6GALNAC5
8	74850001	75300000	3.46	PNMA2, BNIP3L, ADRA1A, PPP2R2A, DPYSL2
6	86650001	86900000	3.37	UGT2A1
1	100750001	100900000	3.36	WDR49, SERPINI2, ZBBX
1	101000001	101200000	3.33	ZBBX
4	68650001	68750000	3.31	JAZF1
6	115750001	116050000	3.27	FGFBP1, CD38, PROM1
21	54650001	54900000	3.23	FSCB

include sensory perception of smell (GO: 0007608, n = 25, FDR = 7.29x10⁻⁷), G-protein coupled receptor signaling pathway (GO:0007186, n = 44, FDR = 1.63 × 10–3), arachidonic acid metabolic process (GO:0019369, n = 6, FDR = 0.0214),



Graft-versus-host disease (bta05332, n = 9, FDR = 0.0216), lipoxygenase pathway (GO:0019372, n = 5, FDR = 0.0251) and Autoimmune thyroid disease (bta05320, n = 10, FDR = 0.054). Nevertheless, candidate genes associated with some enriched terms that are relevant to important Muturu phenotypes, such



as immunity/disease resistance (e.g., immune response, innate immune response, natural killer cell mediated cytotoxicity and phagosome), heat tolerance (e.g., protein folding), tick resistance (e.g., inflammatory response), feeding behavior (e.g., glucose homeostasis), and morphological traits (e.g., skeletal muscle fiber development) are presented in **Supplementary Table S15**.

Muturu Specific Missense SNPs at Candidate Selected Regions

We identified and selected for further analyses, the nonsynonymous (missense) SNPs within genes in candidate selected regions in Muturu (*iHS* and *Rsb* tests). Missense SNPs are particularly of interest because they may lead to amino acid changes in proteins, and as such may be associated with specific phenotypes, e.g., disease resistance/susceptibility. Out of the 242,910 SNPs identified within genes in Muturu, 917 are missense SNPs (0.4%). These variants were detected in 273 genes, 152 of them containing between 2 to 10 missense SNPs, and for 15 genes, including eight unannotated and seven annotated genes (*RBBP8*, *TEX15*, *LAMA3*, *TRIM40*, *LOC100848815*, *NID1*, and *OR12D3*), the numbers of missense SNPs ranged from 11 (*OR12D3*) to 32 (*RBBP8*) (**Supplementary Table S16**).

One hundred and sixty-three missense variants were found to be fixed in the 10 Muturu. Compared to the other three taurine, one SNP variant is uniquely found in Muturu (uncharacterized gene), six are uniquely found in Muturu and N'Dama, these include three located within an uncharacterized gene on BTA 12 and the remaining three within *NID1* on BTA 28 (**Table 5**).

	Genes	containing	fixed	Muturu	unique	and	shared	missense	variants
TADLE J	Genes	Containing	IIVEO	muturu	unique	anu	Shareu	11112261126	variarits.

HUT NOM HCL JER 3 67744481 67744483 PTAK3PT C 1.00 0.26 0.01 0.25 3 118280037 118290038 TTAK3PT C 1.00 0.48 0.010 0.25 3 11827750 118277782 TTAK3PT A 1.00 0.40 0.10 0.20 4 65610357 GES01037 GERAPH C 1.00 1.00 - 0.05 4 52707850 17827750 TTRS2 C 1.00 1.00 - 0.10 5 27078501 27078503 TTRS2 C 1.00 1.00 - 0.43 5 82656127 Unchwateretrievel G 1.00 0.25 0.10 0.25 5 82656132 82650177 Unchwateretrievel G 1.00 0.45 0.10 0.35 6 11022808 102828 0.00 0.10 0.35 0.10 0.3	Chr	Start	End	Gene	Allele	Frequencies			
3 07740191 07740193 PICK A 1.00 0.25 0.25 3 111820037 1118220039 TVANSIPP C 1.00 0.84 0.25 3 118278756 119278756 119278756 119278756 0.01 0.20 4 05017935 05901937 GHRHR C 1.00 0.20 0.25 0.40 4 05017935 05901937 GHRHR C 1.00 0.20 0.25 0.40 5 27079835 27079837 TX82 C 1.00 1.00 -0 0.05 5 27079837 TX82 C 1.00 0.25 0.10 0.35 5 28058132 0599149 Unchannetwind G 1.00 0.25 0.10 0.35 5 82656137 Unchannetwind A 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 5 82656137 Elestestestestestestestestestestestesteste						MUT	NDM	HOL	JER
3 114220007 1142200309 FRAFAUPT C 1.00 0.85 0.10 0.25 3 1142279760 114279785 TRAFAUPT A 1.00 0.86 0.10 0.20 3 114279760 114279782 TRAFAUPT A 1.00 0.86 0.10 0.20 4 65601937 CHAPHT C 1.00 1.00 0.05 5 27075801 27075903 TRS2 C 1.00 1.00 0.10 5 27075901 27075903 TRS2 C 1.00 0.05 - 0.45 5 28055125 28255127 Unchanachered G 1.00 0.25 0.10 0.35 5 82655125 28265127 Unchanachered G 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 6 116025806 120261876 Unchanachered G 1.00 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10	3	67740491	67740493	PIGK	А	1.00	0.90	0.25	0.35
3 118268231 11827976 11827976 11827976 11827976 11827978 17747397 A 1.00 0.80 0.10 0.20 3 118279760 118279782 17747397 A 1.00 0.80 0.10 0.20 4 656401857 66601877 G/HAMP C 1.00 0.20 0.35 0.40 5 27072855 27072807 TNS2 C 1.00 1.00 - 0.10 5 27074917 27074191 TNS2 C 1.00 1.00 - 0.45 5 28056125 82055127 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.35 - 0.45 5 82656152 82056157 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 5 82656152 82056157 Uncharacterized A 1.00 0.25 0.10 0.35 6 110025806 110025806 10025806 CO28 0.028 1.00 0.10 - - 11 103811784 CO201	3	118280037	118280039	TRAF3IP1	С	1.00	0.85	0.10	0.25
3 118279786 118279786 1784297 A 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.20 4 68501955 68501937 GMHR C 1.00 1.00 - 0.05 4 55746522 55746524 77FC A 1.00 1.00 - 0.010 5 27075805 27076303 17K2 C 1.00 1.00 - 0.05 5 27075805 27074517 270774517 270774517 0.05 - 0.45 5 30696448 309896420 DDN T 1.00 0.25 0.10 0.35 5 82656125 82656127 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 5 82656124 82656127 Uncharacterized A 1.00 0.16 0.30 11 10025668 1002877826 G377828 1787174 CCC187 T 1.00 0.10	3	118296231	118296233	TRAF3IP1	Т	1.00	0.83	0.25	0.40
3 118279780 118279782 7484391 A 1.00 0.08 0.01 0.05 4 65911355 65801937 Gr49494 C 1.00 0.025 0.35 0.40 5 27072835 27072837 TNS2 G 1.00 1.00 0.10 5 27074317 2707501 2707501 0.025 0.10 0.35 - 0.05 5 30968420 DON T 1.00 0.35 - 0.45 5 82656132 82656134 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 5 82666132 82656134 Uncharacterized A 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 5 82666276 Uncharacterized A 1.00 0.10 0.30 - - 11 10811792 10811792 A 1.00 0.46 0.20 0.17 12 77986809 77986809 Uncharacterized A 1.00 1.00 - - 12	3	118279756	118279758	TRAF3IP1	А	1.00	0.80	0.10	0.20
4 65801935 69601937 G/H/H C 1.00 1.00 0.05 5 2707285 27072857 7NS2 G 1.00 1.00 0.10 5 27072817 2707303 7NS2 C 1.00 1.00 0.05 5 27074317 2707430 7NS2 C 1.00 0.25 0.10 0.25 5 30086418 30086420 DDN T 1.00 0.25 0.10 0.35 5 82656125 8265617 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 5 82666132 8265617 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 6 116028808 116028808 C028, PPOMT A 1.00 0.10 0.0 0.10 0.0 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - </td <td>3</td> <td>118279780</td> <td>118279782</td> <td>TRAF3IP1</td> <td>А</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>0.80</td> <td>0.10</td> <td>0.20</td>	3	118279780	118279782	TRAF3IP1	А	1.00	0.80	0.10	0.20
4 52746522 5746524 7FRC A 1.00 0.20 0.35 0.40 5 27072835 27072837 7NS2 G 1.00 1.00 - 0.10 5 27074317 27074319 7NS2 C 1.00 0.35 - 0.45 5 30969420 DDN T 1.00 0.25 0.10 0.35 5 82665132 82656134 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 5 82666149 82665137 Uncharacterized A 1.00 0.25 0.40 0.35 5 82666149 82665276 Uncharacterized A 1.00 0.10 0.30 11 103811782 103811784 CCD26.F87 T 1.00 1.00 0.43 12 7798800 77988009 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 0.4 <td< td=""><td>4</td><td>65801935</td><td>65801937</td><td>GHRHR</td><td>С</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>-</td><td>0.05</td></td<>	4	65801935	65801937	GHRHR	С	1.00	1.00	-	0.05
5 27072835 27072847 7NS2 G 1.00 1.00 0.10 5 2707401 2707503 7NS2 C 1.00 1.00 0.03 5 30986418 30986420 0.00N T 1.00 0.25 0.10 0.35 5 82855122 82855127 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 5 82856195 82856174 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 5 82856274 82856276 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.33 6 110028808 CD28, PEOM1 A 1.00 0.10 0.33 11 103811792 103811794 CCCC187 T 1.00 0.46 0.20 0.11 12 7798800 77989801 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 12 77988081 77989803 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.45 0.30	4	52746522	52746524	TFEC	А	1.00	0.20	0.35	0.40
5 27075001 27075003 7NS2 C 1.00 1.00 - 0.10 5 27074317 27074319 7NS2 C 1.00 0.35 - 0.45 5 30986418 30866420 DON T 1.00 0.25 0.10 0.35 5 82856132 82856197 Uncharacherized G 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 5 82856182 82856197 Uncharacherized G 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 6 116025805 110025805 CD38, FPOM1 A 1.00 0.10 0.30 11 10811792 10381174 CCOC187 T 1.00 1.00 12 77988630 77988630 Uncharacherized G 1.00 1.00 0.14 12 77988631 77988673 Uncharacherized G 1.00 0.00 1.04 12 77988731 7798873 Uncharacherized G 1.00 0.0 0.30	5	27072835	27072837	TNS2	G	1.00	1.00	-	0.10
5 27074317 27074319 7NS2 C 1.00 1.00 0.05 5 30986418 30986420 DDN T 1.00 0.25 0.10 0.35 5 82656132 B2656134 Uncharacterized T 1.00 0.25 0.10 0.35 5 82656132 B2656174 Uncharacterized A 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 6 116025806 C1028, PROM1 A 1.00 0.45 0.00 11 103811732 103811744 C2CC187 T 1.00 1.00 12 77988600 77988960 Uncharacterized A 1.00 1.00 12 77988601 77988960 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 12 77988601 77988961 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 12 77988731 779889631 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.33 <td>5</td> <td>27075001</td> <td>27075003</td> <td>TNS2</td> <td>С</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.10</td>	5	27075001	27075003	TNS2	С	1.00	1.00	-	0.10
5 30388418 30388420 DN T 1.00 0.25 - 0.45 5 82655125 82655127 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.25 0.10 0.35 5 82656135 82656197 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 6 116025806 116025808 CD38, PPOMT A 1.00 0.45 0.00 0.05 6 116025808 CD38, PPOMT A 1.00 0.10 0.30 11 103811792 CDC187 T 1.00 0.10 12 7798860 Uncharacterized A 1.00 1.00 12 7798861 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 12 77988731 77988731 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.014 12 77988731 77988731 Uncharacterized G	5	27074317	27074319	TNS2	С	1.00	1.00	-	0.05
5 8285125 82865132 Uncharacterized Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.25 0.10 0.35 5 82856132 82656197 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 5 82856214 82656276 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 6 116025806 116025806 CO38, PROM1 A 1.00 0.46 0.20 0.40 0.35 8 63797826 767022 A 1.00 0.46 0.20 0.17 12 77988600 77988000 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 12 77988631 77988631 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 0.14 12 77988631 Tranacterized G 1.00 0.01 0.33 12 7483823 7483823 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.65 0.10	5	30986418	30986420	DDN	Т	1.00	0.35	-	0.45
5 82656132 82656134 Uncharacterized T 1.00 0.25 0.10 0.35 5 82656195 82656276 Uncharacterized A 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 6 116025806 116025808 C/33, PROM1 A 1.00 0.25 0.40 0.05 8 63797828 F397828 TBC1DZ A 1.00 0.45 0.20 0.117 12 77988600 77988600 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 - - 12 77988601 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 0.14 - 12 7798829 7798861 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.04 0.33 - 12 77988297 77988292 Uncharacterized A 1.00 - 0.33 - 13 423080 423082 Uncharacterized G 1.00 - 0.33 - 14	5	82655125	82655127	Uncharacterized	G	1.00	0.25	0.10	0.35
5 82656195 82656177 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 5 8265274 8265274 8265274 0.058 0.16 0.16 0.10 0.35 8 63797828 63797828 TEC122 A 1.00 0.45 0.20 0.71 11 103811792 17388600 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 - - 12 77898609 77988609 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 - - 12 778986731 77988673 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 0.14 - 12 77898731 77988273 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 0.14 - 12 74838297 Vacharacterized G 1.00 - 0.33 - 13 424740 424742 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.65 0.10 - 15 <	5	82656132	82656134	Uncharacterized	Т	1.00	0.25	0.10	0.35
5 82656274 82656276 Uncharacterized A 1.00 0.15 0.10 0.35 6 116025806 116025808 CD38, PROM1 A 1.00 0.45 0.40 0.05 11 103811792 103811794 CCDC187 T 1.00 0.45 0.20 0.17 12 77988600 Uncharacterized A 1.00 1.00 - - 12 7798861 T7988691 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 - - 12 77988611 T7988691 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 0.14 - 12 7798827 T7888731 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.033 - 12 74838297 T483823 Uncharacterized A 1.00 - 0.33 - 13 423080 423082 Uncharacterized C 1.00 - 0.33 - 13 423683	5	82656195	82656197	Uncharacterized	G	1.00	0.15	0.10	0.35
6 116025806 116025808 CD38, PROM1 A 1.00 0.25 0.40 0.05 8 63797826 63797828 TEC1D2 A 1.00 0.45 0.20 0.77 12 03811792 103811794 CDC0787 T 1.00 0.45 0.20 0.77 12 77988609 77988609 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 - - 12 77988673 77988673 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 0.14 - 12 77988293 77988297 Uncharacterized G 1.00 - 0.33 - 12 74838297 7483823 Uncharacterized C 1.00 - 0.33 - 13 423080 423082 Uncharacterized C 1.00 - 0.33 - 13 423080 423082 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.70 0.45 0.10	5	82656274	82656276	Uncharacterized	А	1.00	0.15	0.10	0.35
8 63797826 63797828 TBC1D2 A 1.00 0.10 0.30 11 103811792 103811794 CCDC187 T 1.00 0.45 0.20 0.17 12 77988609 10ncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 12 7798861 77988601 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 0.14 12 77988259 77988251 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.10 0.14 12 77988259 77988271 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.7 0.33 12 74838323 74838323 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.33 13 423080 423082 Uncharacterized T 1.00 0.70 0.10 13 423080 4234742 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.60 0.10 14	6	116025806	116025808	CD38, PROM1	А	1.00	0.25	0.40	0.05
11 103811792 103811794 CCDC187 T 1.00 0.45 0.20 0.17 12 77988600 77988600 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 12 77988601 77988609 Uncharacterized C 1.00 1.00 12 77988731 77988733 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 0.14 12 77988259 77988261 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.96 0.25 0.30 12 74838297 7483823 Uncharacterized A 1.00 0.33 12 7483823 74838323 Uncharacterized T 1.00 0.33 13 423080 423082 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.65 0.10 15 81220674 81220676 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.60 0.39 0.66 15 81220674 81220576 Uncharacterized G 1.00	8	63797826	63797828	TBC1D2	А	1.00	0.10	0.30	_
12 77988600 77988600 Uncharacterized A 1.00 1.00 - - 12 77988609 77988631 Uncharacterized C 1.00 1.00 - - 12 77988631 77988633 Uncharacterized C 1.00 1.00 0.14 - 12 77988259 77988261 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.95 0.25 0.30 12 74838297 Trids3297 Uncharacterized C 1.00 - 0.33 - 12 7483823 74838233 Uncharacterized C 1.00 - 0.33 - 12 7483823 7483823 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.70 0.10 - 13 423080 423620 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.70 0.45 0.10 15 81220648 81220650 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.06 15 8122048 81220576 Uncharacterized T 1.00 0	11	103811792	103811794	CCDC187	Т	1.00	0.45	0.20	0.17
12 77988609 77988609 Uncharacterized A 1.00 1.00 - - 12 77988631 77988733 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 0.14 - 12 77988731 77988733 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 0.14 - 12 77988731 20658125 SERPINE3 C 1.00 0.95 0.25 0.30 12 74838297 74838297 Uncharacterized C 1.00 - 0.33 - 12 74838341 7483823 Uncharacterized T 1.00 - 0.33 - 13 423680 423620 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.65 0.10 - 13 423618 423620 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.10 - 15 8122054 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.066 15 8122054 Uncharacterized T 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.066 <td>12</td> <td>77988600</td> <td>77988600</td> <td>Uncharacterized</td> <td>G</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	12	77988600	77988600	Uncharacterized	G	1.00	1.00	_	_
12 77988681 77988681 Uncharacterized C 1.00 1.00 12 77988731 77988253 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 0.14 12 20658123 20658125 SERPINE3 C 1.00 0.95 0.25 0.30 12 74838297 Uncharacterized A 1.00 0.33 12 74838323 Tr48383241 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.33 13 423080 423082 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.65 0.10 13 424740 424742 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.10 15 81220574 81220576 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.13 15 81220574 81220576 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.13 15 81220574 81220576 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.1	12	77988609	77988609	Uncharacterized	А	1.00	1.00	_	_
12 77988731 77988733 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 0.14 - 12 77988259 77988261 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.95 0.25 0.30 12 20858123 20658125 SERPINE3 C 1.00 0.33 12 74838323 74838323 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.33 13 423080 423082 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.33 13 423618 423082 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.65 0.10 13 424740 424742 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.10 15 81220648 81220556 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.60 0.39 0.06 15 81220524 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.33 16 81220523 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.66	12	77988681	77988681	Uncharacterized	С	1.00	1.00	_	_
12 77988259 77988261 Uncharacterized G 1.00 1.00 0.14 - 12 20685123 20658125 SERPINE3 C 1.00 0.95 0.25 0.30 12 74838297 Uncharacterized A 1.00 - 0.33 - 12 74838323 Uncharacterized C 1.00 - 0.33 - 13 423080 423082 Uncharacterized A 1.00 0.70 0.10 - 13 424740 424742 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.65 0.10 - 15 81220674 81220576 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.80 0.45 0.10 15 81220574 81220576 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.06 15 81220574 81220576 Uncharacterized T 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.60 16 81220274 81220576 Uncharacterized T 1.00 0.50 0.13 -	12	77988731	77988733	Uncharacterized	G	1.00	1.00	0.14	_
12 20858123 20858125 SERPINE3 C 1.00 0.95 0.25 0.30 12 74838297 Uncharacterized A 1.00 - 0.33 - 12 74838323 Uncharacterized C 1.00 - 0.33 - 12 74838341 Uncharacterized T 1.00 - 0.33 - 13 4233080 423082 Uncharacterized A 1.00 0.65 0.10 - 13 424740 424742 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.65 0.10 - 15 81220648 81220556 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.06 15 81220249 81220551 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.06 15 81220249 81220251 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.13 - 17 51217739 SRY A 1.00 0.25 0.15 0.05 23 25405216	12	77988259	77988261	Uncharacterized	G	1.00	1.00	0.14	_
12 74838297 74838297 Uncharacterized A 1.00 - 0.33 - 12 74838323 74838323 Uncharacterized T 1.00 - 0.33 - 13 4283080 423082 Uncharacterized T 1.00 - 0.33 - 13 424740 424742 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.65 0.10 - 13 423618 423620 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.650 0.10 - 15 8122054 81220576 Uncharacterized T 1.00 0.70 0.45 0.15 15 8122054 81220251 Uncharacterized T 1.00 0.50 0.33 - 15 81220249 81220251 Uncharacterized T 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.60 17 51217737 51217739 SRY A 1.00 - - - - 18 28405216 25405218 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.25	12	20858123	20858125	SERPINE3	С	1.00	0.95	0.25	0.30
12 74838323 74838323 Uncharacterized T 1.00 - 0.33 - 12 74838341 74838341 Uncharacterized T 1.00 - 0.33 - 13 423080 423082 Uncharacterized A 1.00 0.70 0.10 - 13 424740 424742 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.65 0.10 - 13 423618 423620 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.60 0.45 0.10 15 81220574 81220576 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.70 0.45 0.15 15 81220249 81220251 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.60 17 51217737 5121773 SPY A 1.00 - - - - 19 28314450 28314452 ALOX15B T 1.00 0.25 0.67 0.40 23 25405216 25405218 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.25	12	74838297	74838297	Uncharacterized	А	1.00	_	0.33	_
12 74838341 74838341 Uncharacterized T 1.00 - 0.33 - 13 423080 423082 Uncharacterized A 1.00 0.70 0.10 - 13 424740 424742 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.65 0.10 - 13 423618 423620 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.10 - 15 81220574 8122056 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.70 0.45 0.10 15 81220574 8122051 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.33 0.06 15 81220249 81220251 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.13 - 17 51217737 51217739 SRY A 1.00 -	12	74838323	74838323	Uncharacterized	С	1.00	_	0.33	_
13 423080 423082 Uncharacterized A 1.00 0.70 0.10 - 13 424740 424742 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.65 0.10 - 13 423618 423620 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.10 - 15 81220574 81220576 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.70 0.45 0.15 15 81220232 81220234 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.06 15 81220249 81220251 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.60 17 51217737 51217739 SRY A 1.00 - - - - 19 28314450 28314452 ALX15B T 1.00 0.25 0.67 0.40 0.45 0.45 0.45 23 25405216 25405218 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 25351733 25351735 BOLA-DQA5 <td>12</td> <td>74838341</td> <td>74838341</td> <td>Uncharacterized</td> <td>Т</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>_</td> <td>0.33</td> <td>_</td>	12	74838341	74838341	Uncharacterized	Т	1.00	_	0.33	_
13 424740 424742 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.65 0.10 - 13 423618 423620 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.10 - 15 81220648 81220576 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.80 0.45 0.10 15 8122032 81220234 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.06 15 8122023 81220251 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.66 16 81220249 81220251 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.66 17 51217737 5121773 SRY A 1.00 - - - 19 28314450 28314452 ALOX15B T 1.00 0.25 0.63 0.40 23 25405216 25405218 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 25351733 25351735 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.20 0.	13	423080	423082	Uncharacterized	А	1.00	0.70	0.10	_
13 423618 423620 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.10 - 15 81220648 81220650 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.80 0.45 0.10 15 81220574 81220576 Uncharacterized T 1.00 0.70 0.45 0.15 15 81220232 81220234 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.06 15 81220249 81220251 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.13 - 17 51217737 51217739 SRY A 1.00 - 0.45 0.60 17 35913849 Uncharacterized T 1.00 - - - - 19 28314450 28314452 ALOX15B T 1.00 0.25 0.63 0.40 23 25405216 25405218 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 26354255 26354257 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.03 <td< td=""><td>13</td><td>424740</td><td>424742</td><td>Uncharacterized</td><td>С</td><td>1.00</td><td>0.65</td><td>0.10</td><td>_</td></td<>	13	424740	424742	Uncharacterized	С	1.00	0.65	0.10	_
15 81220648 81220570 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.80 0.45 0.10 15 81220574 81220576 Uncharacterized T 1.00 0.70 0.45 0.15 15 81220232 81220234 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.06 15 81220249 81220251 Uncharacterized G 1.00 - 0.45 0.60 17 51217737 51217739 SRY A 1.00 - - - - 19 28314450 28314452 ALOX15B T 1.00 0.25 0.63 0.40 0.25 0.67 0.40 0.25 0.67 0.40 0.23 0.63 0.40 0.23 0.63 0.40 0.25 0.67 0.40 0.23 0.63 0.40 0.23 0.63 0.40 0.23 0.63 0.40 0.23 0.63 0.40 0.23 0.63 0.40 0.23 0.63 0.40 0.23 0.63 0.40 0.23 0.67 0.40	13	423618	423620	Uncharacterized	G	1.00	0.50	0.10	_
15 81220574 81220576 Uncharacterized T 1.00 0.70 0.45 0.15 15 81220232 81220234 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.06 15 81220249 81220251 Uncharacterized G 1.00 - 0.45 0.60 17 51217737 51217739 SRY A 1.00 - - - 19 28314450 28314452 ALOX15B T 1.00 0.25 0.63 0.40 23 25405216 25405218 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 25405216 25405218 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 26354255 26354257 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 25351733 25351735 BOLA-DQA2 C 1.00 0.33 - 0.20 23 2538099 2538809 BOLA-DQB T 1.00 0.33 -	15	81220648	81220650	Uncharacterized	G	1.00	0.80	0.45	0.10
15 81220232 81220234 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.39 0.06 15 81220249 81220251 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.45 0.60 17 51217737 51217739 SRY A 1.00 0.45 0.60 17 35913849 35913849 Uncharacterized T 1.00 19 28314450 28314452 ALOX15B T 1.00 0.25 0.63 0.40 23 25405216 25405218 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 25405216 25405218 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 26354255 26354257 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 25351733 25351735 BOLA-DQA2 C 1.00 1.00 0.33 23 25375390 25378809 BOLA-DQB G 1.00 0.43 -	15	81220574	81220576	Uncharacterized	Т	1.00	0.70	0.45	0.15
15 81220249 81220251 Uncharacterized G 1.00 0.50 0.13 - 17 51217737 51217739 SRY A 1.00 - 0.45 0.60 17 35913849 35913849 Uncharacterized T 1.00 - - - 19 28314450 28314452 ALOX15B T 1.00 0.25 0.15 0.05 23 25405216 25405218 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 25405216 25405218 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 26354255 26354257 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 25351733 25351735 BOLA-DQA2 C 1.00 0.03 - 0.20 23 25375390 25375392 BOLA-DQB T 1.00 0.43 - - 23 32742809 32742811 RIPOR2 A 1.00 0.43 - - <td>15</td> <td>81220232</td> <td>81220234</td> <td>Uncharacterized</td> <td>G</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>0.50</td> <td>0.39</td> <td>0.06</td>	15	81220232	81220234	Uncharacterized	G	1.00	0.50	0.39	0.06
17 51217737 51217739 SRY A 1.00 - 0.45 0.60 17 35913849 35913849 Uncharacterized T 1.00 - - - - 19 28314450 28314452 ALOX15B T 1.00 0.25 0.15 0.05 23 25405216 25405218 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 25405216 25405218 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 25405216 25405218 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 26354255 26354257 Uncharacterized C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 25351733 25351735 BOLA-DQA2 C 1.00 1.00 - 0.33 23 25375390 25375392 BOLA-DQB G 1.00 0.43 - - 23 32742809 32742811 RIPOR2 A 1.00 0.43 -	15	81220249	81220251	Uncharacterized	G	1.00	0.50	0.13	_
173591384935913849UncharacterizedT1.00192831445028314452ALOX15BT1.000.250.150.05232540521625405218BOLA-DQA5C1.000.200.630.40232540525925405218BOLA-DQA5A1.000.250.670.40232540521625405218BOLA-DQA5C1.000.200.630.40232635425526354257UncharacterizedC1.00-0.860.75232535173325351735BOLA-DQA2C1.001.00-0.830.40232537539025375392BOLA-DQA2C1.000.43-0.200.63232537539025375392BOLA-DQBG1.000.43233274280932742811RIPOR2A1.000.43233275372632753728RIPOR2C1.000.050.250.152887981808798180NID1C1.001.002887982028798202NID1G1.001.002887982428798242NID1G1.001.00	17	51217737	51217739	SRY	А	1.00	_	0.45	0.60
192831445028314452ALOX15BT1.000.250.150.05232540521625405218BOLA-DQA5C1.000.200.630.40232540525925405218BOLA-DQA5A1.000.250.670.40232540521625405218BOLA-DQA5C1.000.200.630.40232635425526354257UncharacterizedC1.00-0.860.75232535173325351735BOLA-DQA2C1.001.00-0.33232537539025375392BOLA-DQBT1.000.43233274280932742811RIPOR2A1.000.400.250.20233275372632753728RIPOR2C1.000.050.250.152887981808798180NID1C1.001.002887982428798242NID1G1.001.00	17	35913849	35913849	Uncharacterized	Т	1.00	_	_	_
23 25405216 25405218 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 25405259 25405261 BOLA-DQA5 A 1.00 0.25 0.67 0.40 23 25405216 25405218 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 26354255 26354257 Uncharacterized C 1.00 - 0.86 0.75 23 25351733 25351735 BOLA-DQA2 C 1.00 1.00 - 0.33 23 25375390 25375392 BOLA-DQB T 1.00 0.33 - 0.20 23 25388099 25388099 BOLA-DQB G 1.00 0.43 - - 23 32742809 32742811 RIPOR2 A 1.00 0.40 0.25 0.20 23 32753726 32753728 RIPOR2 C 1.00 0.40 0.25 0.20 23 32753726 32753728 RIPOR2 C 1.00 0.05 0.25 0.15	19	28314450	28314452	ALOX15B	Т	1.00	0.25	0.15	0.05
23 25405259 25405261 BOLA-DQA5 A 1.00 0.25 0.67 0.40 23 25405216 25405218 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 26354255 26354257 Uncharacterized C 1.00 - 0.86 0.75 23 25351733 25351735 BOLA-DQA2 C 1.00 1.00 - 0.33 23 25375390 25375392 BOLA-DQB T 1.00 0.33 - 0.20 23 25388099 25388099 BOLA-DQB G 1.00 0.43 - - 23 32742809 32742811 RIPOR2 A 1.00 0.40 0.25 0.20 23 32753726 32753728 RIPOR2 C 1.00 0.40 0.25 0.15 28 8798180 8798180 NID1 C 1.00 1.00 - - 28 8798200 879820 NID1 G 1.00 1.00 - -	23	25405216	25405218	BOLA-DQA5	С	1.00	0.20	0.63	0.40
23 25405216 25405218 BOLA-DQA5 C 1.00 0.20 0.63 0.40 23 26354255 26354257 Uncharacterized C 1.00 - 0.86 0.75 23 25351733 25351735 BOLA-DQA2 C 1.00 1.00 - 0.33 23 25375390 25375392 BOLA-DQB T 1.00 0.33 - 0.20 23 25388099 25388099 BOLA-DQB G 1.00 0.43 - - 23 32742809 32742811 RIPOR2 A 1.00 0.40 0.25 0.20 23 32753726 32753728 RIPOR2 C 1.00 0.40 0.25 0.20 23 32753726 32753728 RIPOR2 C 1.00 0.05 0.25 0.15 28 8798180 NID1 C 1.00 1.00 - - 28 8798242 8798242 NID1 G 1.00 1.00 - -	23	25405259	25405261	BOLA-DQA5	А	1.00	0.25	0.67	0.40
23 26354255 26354257 Uncharacterized C 1.00 - 0.86 0.75 23 25351733 25351735 BOLA-DQA2 C 1.00 1.00 - 0.33 23 25375390 25375392 BOLA-DQB T 1.00 0.33 - 0.20 23 25388099 25388099 BOLA-DQB G 1.00 0.43 - - 23 32742809 32742811 RIPOR2 A 1.00 0.40 0.25 0.20 23 32753726 32753728 RIPOR2 C 1.00 0.05 0.25 0.15 28 8798180 8798180 NID1 C 1.00 1.00 - - 28 8798202 8798202 NID1 G 1.00 1.00 - - 28 8798242 8798242 NID1 G 1.00 1.00 - -	23	25405216	25405218	BOLA-DQA5	С	1.00	0.20	0.63	0.40
23 25351733 25351735 BOLA-DQA2 C 1.00 1.00 - 0.33 23 25375390 25375392 BOLA-DQB T 1.00 0.33 - 0.20 23 25388099 25388099 BOLA-DQB G 1.00 0.43 - - 23 25388099 25388099 BOLA-DQB G 1.00 0.43 - - 23 32742809 32742811 RIPOR2 A 1.00 0.40 0.25 0.20 23 32753726 32753728 RIPOR2 C 1.00 0.05 0.25 0.15 28 8798180 8798180 NID1 C 1.00 1.00 - - 28 8798200 8798200 NID1 G 1.00 1.00 - - 28 8798242 8798242 NID1 G 1.00 1.00 - -	23	26354255	26354257	Uncharacterized	С	1.00	_	0.86	0.75
23 25375390 25375392 BOLA-DQB T 1.00 0.33 - 0.20 23 25388099 25388099 BOLA-DQB G 1.00 0.43 - - 23 253742809 32742811 RIPOR2 A 1.00 0.40 0.25 0.20 23 32753726 32753728 RIPOR2 C 1.00 0.05 0.25 0.15 28 8798180 8798180 NID1 C 1.00 1.00 - - 28 8798200 8798200 NID1 G 1.00 1.00 - - 28 8798242 8798242 NID1 G 1.00 1.00 - -	23	25351733	25351735	BOLA-DQA2	С	1.00	1.00	_	0.33
23 25388099 25388099 BOLA-DQB G 1.00 0.43 - - 23 32742809 32742811 RIPOR2 A 1.00 0.40 0.25 0.20 23 32753726 32753728 RIPOR2 C 1.00 0.05 0.25 0.15 28 8798180 8798180 NID1 C 1.00 1.00 - - 28 8798200 8798200 NID1 G 1.00 1.00 - - 28 8798242 8798242 NID1 G 1.00 1.00 - -	23	25375390	25375392	BOLA-DOB	Т	1.00	0.33	_	0.20
23 32742809 32742811 <i>RIPOR2</i> A 1.00 0.40 0.25 0.20 23 32753726 32753728 <i>RIPOR2</i> C 1.00 0.05 0.25 0.15 28 8798180 8798180 <i>NID1</i> C 1.00 1.00 - - 28 8798200 8798200 <i>NID1</i> G 1.00 1.00 - - 28 8798242 8798242 <i>NID1</i> G 1.00 1.00 - -	23	25388099	25388099	BOLA-DQB	G	1.00	0.43	_	_
23 32753726 32753728 <i>RIPOR2</i> C 1.00 0.05 0.25 0.15 28 8798180 8798180 <i>NID1</i> C 1.00 1.00 - - 28 8798200 8798200 <i>NID1</i> G 1.00 1.00 - - 28 8798242 8798242 <i>NID1</i> G 1.00 1.00 - -	23	32742809	32742811	RIPOR2	A	1.00	0.40	0.25	0.20
28 8798180 8798180 NID1 C 1.00 1.00 - - 28 8798200 8798200 NID1 G 1.00 1.00 - - 28 8798242 8798242 NID1 G 1.00 1.00 - -	23	32753726	32753728	RIPOR2	C	1.00	0.05	0.25	0.15
28 8798200 8798200 NID1 G 1.00 1.00 - - 28 8798242 8798242 NID1 G 1.00 1.00 - -	28	8798180	8798180	NID1	C	1.00	1.00	_	_
28 8798242 8798242 NID1 G 1.00	28	8798200	8798200	NID1	G	1.00	1.00	_	_
	28	8798242	8798242	NID1	G	1.00	1.00	_	_

DISCUSSION

We report here for the first time the autosomal genome diversity and genome-wide candidate positive selection signatures of a West African trypanotolerant shorthorn cattle using full genome resequencing data. We compared our results with an African longhorn taurine, N'Dama and two European taurine, Holstein and Jersey. Compared to these, the Muturu population examined appears to be more genetically homogenous as translated by the lower ratio of heterozygous SNPs to non-reference homozygous SNPs (**Table 1**) and as illustrated by the PCA results. Most notably, none of the Muturu samples are differentiated at PC3 and PC4. It is in agreement with the known management of the breed and its endangered status. Alternatively, the relative lack of diversity may be a consequence of population bottleneck following rather environmental selection pressures. Also, our genetic distance results and proportion of SNP shared between breeds support a more recent shared ancestry between Muturu and N'Dama than between Muturu and Holstein or Jersey.

Genome-wide selection signatures were assessed in the endangered Muturu cattle in order to provide insights into and possibly unravel the genetic architecture and control of some of its important phenotypes. We have used two complementary EHH-based selection scan tests, within population iHS and between population Rsb. Both tests have substantial statistical powers to detect loci under natural selection even when sample sizes are small (Pickrell et al., 2009). In particular, iHS test has the power to detect partial selective sweeps within a population, while Rsb can detect selected alleles that have risen to near-fixation or a point of fixation in a specific population but remains polymorphic in the other population (Biswas and Akey, 2006; Voight et al., 2006). To enable us to characterize the genomic signatures of Muturu, we also carried out comparison with regions identified in three other taurine breeds following the same procedures. However, one of the limitations of this type of study is the likelihood of errors on the cattle reference genome assembly (UMD3.1) that we have utilized (Boitard et al., 2016; Utsunomiya et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2016). Evidently, among the candidate selection signature regions identified, two regions on BTA 13 (13:5300001-5450000) and BTA 17 (17:35800001:35950000) (Table 2), have previously been reported as wrong assignments of sequences on the UMD3.1 cattle reference assembly. In particular, these regions contain Y chromosome specific genes, and the UMD3.1 reference assembly lacked chromosome Y, thus, a possible indication of the sex chromosome sequences wrongly assigned to autosomes (Boitard et al., 2016).

Although Muturu cattle and the two European breeds are both taurine, they have diverged thousands of years ago following their domestication from wild aurochs at the Near East domestication center(s) and distinct geographic continental dispersion (Loftus et al., 1999). The breeds have evolved differently, following different demographic histories, human and environmental selection pressures. In particular, the European breeds have undergone recently heavy human-mediated selection pressures for production traits such as dairy. For Muturu, natural selection, in relation to its tropical environments, rather than human selection was and remains the main selection pressure. Accordingly, it may be expected that a larger proportion of the signature of selection in Muturu may be related to environmental adaptation rather than productivity traits. Nevertheless, some candidate selected genes were identified both in Muturu and each of the European breeds, but with no overlap identified

between Muturu and both European breeds (Figure 10). The majority of the 29 genes shared with Holstein (Supplementary Table S10) belongs to the olfactory receptor genes family, other genes include; BOLA, TRIM10, TRIM15, MAP3K5, GCM1, MAP7, CHAF1B, GHRHR, and GHR. The BOLA and TRIMs genes are known to have related function in adaptive and innate immune responses, respectively. Sequence variations at the growth hormone releasing hormone receptor (GHRHR) and the growth hormone receptor (GHR) have been reported to affect stature in human (Goddard et al., 1995; Ko et al., 2009; Inoue et al., 2011; Dauber et al., 2014). Polymorphisms within GHRHR gene have also been associated with growth traits in livestock in general such as cattle and goat (Curi et al., 2005; An et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2011). To the best of our knowledge, the GHR has been reported so far to be associated with milk production but not bovine stature in cattle (Blott et al., 2003; Viitala et al., 2006; Bouwman et al., 2018). However, variants in this genes have been demonstrated to affect body size in other mammalian species, such as in the case of the Laron syndrome in human (Cui et al., 2015), in miniature pig (Tian et al., 2014) and in dogs breeds (Rimbault et al., 2013; Plassais et al., 2017). Muturu cattle are particularly known for their dwarf stature, which may explain the selection signals observed in our study at the GHR and GHRHR genes. On the contrary, selection signals at the same gene regions in Holstein might be the result of selection for milk traits rather than stature. Indeed, a further investigation of the haplotype diversity in the regions of the genes reveals distinct haplotypes among the breeds (Supplementary Figure S7). Interestingly, a fixed non-synonymous T > C mutation at rs109390134 (exon 6) of the GHRHR gene was identified in Muturu cattle with the allele being absent in Holstein.

Muturu and N'Dama are two cattle breeds indigenous to the West Africa region. A major common phenotype is their resistance/tolerance to tropical disease such as trypanosomiasis. They are also both adapted to the humid and sub-humid tropical environments. Their trypanotolerant phenotypes have been linked to their innate capacity to control level of parasitemia and anemia (Murray and Morrison, 1978; Murray et al., 1984; Murray, 1988), processes involving innate and adaptive immune responses and hematopoietic control. Accordingly, we may expect that the shared genomic signatures of these two African breeds will point toward their distinct phenotypes.

Intriguingly, an unannotated protein coding gene, ENSBTAG00000026163, which overlapped the highest selection signal observed in Muturu on BTA 23 as revealed by the *iHS* test (**Figure 4**), is also shared with the N'Dama (**Supplementary Tables S4, S6**). Although the function of this gene in cattle is unknown, it is orthologous to the erythroblast membrane associated protein (*ERMAP*) human gene that is responsible for the recognition of the Scianna blood group (Wagner et al., 2003). Polymorphisms within the human gene have been associated with hemolytic disease in the newborn (Hue-Roye et al., 2005), the cattle orthologous as detected in our study might be of relevance to infections of blood parasites such as trypanosome species in cattle.

Among other genes overlapping candidate selected regions on BTA 23 in the two West African taurine breeds are members of the MHC class II genes. In cattle and other ruminant species, the MHC region is highly polymorphic and is a major source of variation in immune responses. The bovine MHC, also known as BoLA (bovine leucocyte antigen) complex, is of particular interest to animal breeders and veterinary geneticists because of its links to genetic resistance and susceptibility to several diseases. For instance, polymorphisms in BOLA-DRB3 has been linked to resistance to bovine leukemia virus (BLV) infection (Xu et al., 1993; Amills et al., 1998). Some other studies have linked it with tolerance/resistance to several cattle diseases such as mastitis, tick-borne infection and tick-borne pathogen infections (Sharif et al., 1998; Martinez et al., 2006; Kulberg et al., 2007; Rupp et al., 2007; Duangjinda et al., 2013). Other evolutionary factors such as genetic drift and population bottlenecks may have also played a role in the diversity at the MHC loci (Mikko and Anderson, 1995; Amills et al., 1998; Lewin et al., 1999). We may, therefore, hypothesize that common phenotypes in Muturu and N'Dama such as trypanotolerance may be associated with this variation within the MHC gene region. Accordingly, a fixed non-synonymous (missense) variant, T > C mutation (rs208515389) at exon 3 of the BOLA-DQA2 gene, is found both in Muturu and N'Dama. The allele is absent in Holstein and at a low frequency (30%) in Jersey. Further investigation of this MHC gene region between trypanotolerant and susceptible cattle populations will be necessary to further support a possible association between this polymorphism and the trypanotolerance of the two breeds. Another gene related to parasitic disease challenges and found in both breeds, is DUSP26. This gene has been identified among highly expressed genes in resistant group of goat exposed to gastrointestinal nematode (GIN) infections (Bhuiyan et al., 2017), making it an interesting candidate for further investigation in indigenous African taurine cattle.

Heat stress is also a common environmental challenge for the two breeds. Here, a gene of interest commonly identified at a candidate selected region in both African taurine is INTS6. Although, both the African taurine share similar haplotype at the gene region with the European taurine, the haplotype frequencies is nearly fixed in the African taurine but at a low and moderate frequencies in the Holstein and Jersey, respectively (Supplementary Figure S7). The INTS6 gene has been shown to be upregulated in peripheral blood leukocytes of cattle and buffalo exposed to heat stress (Kolli, 2012). The gene has also been showed to be upregulated following exposure to UV irradiation, with a switch from the expression of long mRNA isoforms to short isoforms and preferential use of short alternative last exon (ALE) transcript isoforms (Williamson et al., 2017). The signature of selection signal in the genomic region overlapping INTS6 may be therefore the results of the climatic selection pressures (high temperature and UV radiation), witnessed by these two taurine cattle living in tropical areas.

Eighty three percent (n = 507) of the genes in candidate selected regions in Muturu are not detected under positive selection in any of the three *B. taurus* breeds studied. Among the Muturu unique candidate genes, *TFEC* and *OSBPS* were the only two genes identified in the *Rsb* comparisons of Muturu with each of the other breeds (Figure 8). Of particular interest is the transcription factor TFEC, a gene found within a candidate selected region on chromosome 4 (iHS analysis). It contains a missense SNP (G > A, gCt/gTt) that is fixed in Muturu (Table 5). TFEC is a member of the MIT-TFE gene family of transcription factors which includes MITF, TFE3, and TFEB (Hemesath et al., 1994). TFEC is mainly expressed in cells of myeloid origin, MITF is predominantly expressed in melanocytes, osteoclasts, mast cells, macrophages, NK cell, B cells and heart, while TFE3 and TFEB are thought to be moreubiquitously expressed (Rehli et al., 1999; Martina et al., 2014). Homo-dimerization and hetero-dimerization within members of the MITF/TFE family are critical for the binding to DNA and the transcriptional activation of the target genes (Martina et al., 2014). The microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (MITF) is involved in the development of melanocytes and melanoma and to regulate transcription of a broad range of genes, ranging from genes important for pigment production to genes involved in cell cycle regulation, migration and survival. When TFEC acts like an isoform of MITF it is involved in retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) differentiation (Hemesath et al., 1994; Levy et al., 2006; Bharti et al., 2012; Raviv et al., 2014). Another gene within a Muturu specific candidate selected genome region (iHS analysis) is KIT. It is known to interact with MITF, which also plays an important roles in melanogenesis (Besmer et al., 1993). Selection signatures spanning the regions of both the KIT and the MITF genes have been associated with coat color variations in several animals species including pigs (Rubin et al., 2012), sheep (Fariello et al., 2014), goats (Benjelloun et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2018), horses (Hauswirth et al., 2012, 2013), and cattle (Fontanesi et al., 2010; Hayes et al., 2010; Whitacre, 2014; Kim et al., 2017). These two genes and their interaction in Muturu cattle may explain the different coat color patterns also observed in Nigerian Muturu (Adebambo, 2001) including the white spotting in Holstein (Liu et al., 2009). Interestingly, both Muturu and Holstein share similar haplotype at high frequencies at the KIT gene region, compared to the other two taurine breeds (Jersey and N'Dama) in which they segregate for a number of different haplotypes at the same region (Supplementary Figure S7).

Other identified Muturu specific genes include ZRANB3 and MAP3K5 related to feed efficiency/residual feed intake in cattle and pigs (Bovine HapMap Consortium, 2009; Do et al., 2014; Taye et al., 2017), ABCG2 and LAP3 related to milk production (Blott et al., 2003; Cohen-Zinder et al., 2005; Ron et al., 2006; Flori et al., 2009), RFX2, SRY, LAP3, and GPX5 related to reproduction and fertility (Horvath et al., 2004; Szreder and Zwierzchowski, 2004; Chabory et al., 2010; Mishra et al., 2013; Braud et al., 2017), ACTA1 and CTNNB1 related to heat stress (Kecskés et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2016), and PRKAG3 related to meat quality traits in domestic cattle and pigs (Reardon et al., 2010; Ryan et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2015; Bongiorni et al., 2016). The later gene has also recently been reported as a cattle candidate domestication gene due to its extensive miRNA binding site polymorphisms between B. taurus and B. primigenius (Braud et al., 2017).

A number of unique Muturu candidate regions and genes was detected following the Rsb comparison between Muturu and N'Dama. Among them are the GFI1B, NPC1, RCAN1, and OLA1 genes, for which the two African taurine breeds have distinct haplotypes in the gene regions (Supplementary Figure S8). These genes may therefore, provide an insight into selected differentiate regions between West African shorthorn and longhorn taurine population. GFI1B is essential for neutrophil differentiation and it is required for the development of both erythroid and megakaryocytic lineages. Congenital mutations of the gene in human and mouse have been related to abnormal platelet function and decrease in erythropoiesis of embryonic stem cells, respectively (Karsunky et al., 2002; Saleque et al., 2002; Anguita et al., 2016). NPC1 has been reported to play an important role in subcellular lipid transport, homeostasis, platelet function and formation. Louwette et al. (2012) reported that NPC1 defect resulted in abnormal platelet formation and function which imply that NPC1 gene may show a role in platelet function and formation. Other studies have reported the roles of NPC1 in the control of appetite in mice (Xie et al., 1999), obesity in human (Meyre et al., 2009; Mejía-Benítez et al., 2013) and in possible regulation of bovine growth and body development (Dang et al., 2014). OLA1 (Obg-like ATPase 1) has important roles in the regulation of cellular stress responses such as oxidative stress (Zhang et al., 2009) and improved thermal resistance in mammalian cells (Mao et al., 2013). The latter role is mediated by the gene involvement in the stabilization and subsequent upregulation of the heat shock HSP70 gene (Mao et al., 2013).

The aforementioned important functions of *GFI1B*, *NPC1*, and *OLA1* are indicative of their importance in Muturu adaptation to the tropics. The influence of *GFI1B* and *NPC1* on erythropoiesis and feed intake, respectively, could be related to the trypanotolerance of Muturu, while *OLA1* involvement in the thermotolerance of Muturu is possible. However, both Muturu and N'Dama are known for their tolerance to trypanosomiasis and they both have intrinsic thermotolerance. Therefore, these genes may represent example of genes contributing to common phenotypes but under positive selection in one breed and not the other.

More so, three unique Muturu candidate genes, namely *FAS*, *IDO2*, and *PLCB1*, are part of the candidate African trypanosomiasis KEGG pathway (BTA05143) revealed following functional annotation terms by DAVID bioinformatic tool (**Supplementary Table S15**). Generally, our results indicate some of the enriched biological processes and associated candidate genes in Muturu may have important roles in their adaptation phenotypes. However, a transcriptomic analysis may provide further support for the importance of these pathways including tolerance of Muturu to trypanosome infection in cattle.

Interestingly, we do find in Muturu, for a few genes, a large number of missense mutations, the majority of them novel. This will need to be confirmed through the analysis of more samples and targeted resequencing. It indicates the presence of outlier haplotypes in the genome of Muturu cattle within candidate selected regions. Investigating their origins will be of interest in the ongoing debate of possible presence of African auroch *Bos* *primigenius* introgression in the today genome of African cattle (Decker et al., 2014).

CONCLUSION

The work reported here is the first full autosomal genome-wide scan which aims to unravel genomic selection signatures in a trypanotolerant West African shorthorn Muturu using whole genome re-sequencing. Population structure analysis revealed clearly genetic differences between African and European B. taurus, and between the African B. taurus (Muturu and N'Dama). Selection signature analyses based on *iHS* and *Rsb* revealed several loci under selection in Muturu and the three other B. taurus breeds studied. The majority of the identified candidate genes are unique to each breed, while the few overlaps are genes with related functions in immune responses. The shared selection signatures between the two West African B. taurus could be related to their adaptation to local environmental, particularly their trypanotolerance traits. This result could be a pointer for further investigation into the genetic basis of trypanotolerance in the entire West African B. taurus population. However, additional studies such as comparative genomics analyses between a larger group of trypanotolerant and trypanosusceptible cattle populations may be required to further confirm the results obtained here. More so, across the Muturu genome and in some of the identified selection signature regions are several unannotated regions (gene desert regions) which may limit our full understanding of the genetic mechanisms of adaptation and other important phenotypes/traits in this population.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AT, OH, and ON conceived the project. AT and OH designed the experiment. ON and AE provided the support during sampling. AT performed the analyses. YU provided the bioinformatics support. AT prepared and wrote the manuscript. YU and OH revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This study was conducted during AT Ph.D. program at the University of Nottingham, United Kingdom and co-funded by the Livestock CGIAR – CRP. We wish to acknowledge the support of the National Biotechnology Development Agency (NABDA), Nigeria, which has enabled this research project to be conducted.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the University of Nottingham, United Kingdom for providing AT with a Ph.D. scholarship Vice-Chancellor's Scholarship for Research Excellence (International). We also thank the farmers in Nsukka and Igbo Etiti villages in Enugu State of Nigeria for their cooperation and support to sample their priced Muturu cattle. We also thank the reviewers for their useful comments which has helped improve the sections "Results and Discussion."

REFERENCES

- Adebambo, O. A. (2001). The muturu: a rare sacred breed of cattle in Nigeria. *Anim. Genet. Resour.* 31, 27–36. doi: 10.1017/s1014233900001450
- Alexander, D. H., Novembre, J., and Lange, K. (2009). Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated individuals. *Genome Res.* 19, 1655–1664. doi: 10.1101/ gr.094052.109
- Amills, M., Ramiya, V., Nonmine, J., and Lewin, H. (1998). The major histocompatibility complex. *Rev. Sci. Tech. Off. Int. Epiz.* 17, 108–120.
- An, X., Wang, L., Hou, J., Li, G., Song, Y., Wang, J., et al. (2011). Novel polymorphisms of goat growth hormone and growth hormone receptor genes and their effects on growth traits. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 38, 4037–4043. doi: 10.1007/ s11033-010-0522-3
- Anguita, E., Gupta, R., Olariu, V., Valk, P. J., Peterson, C., Delwel, R., et al. (2016). A somatic mutation of GFI1B identified in leukemia alters cell fate via a SPI1 (PU. 1) centered genetic regulatory network. *Dev. Biol.* 411, 277–286. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2016.02.002
- Bahbahani, H., Tijjani, A., Mukasa, C., Wragg, D., Almathen, F., Nash, O., et al. (2017). Signatures of selection for environmental adaptation and zebu × taurine hybrid fitness in east african shorthorn zebu. *Front. Genet.* 8:68. doi: 10.3389/ fgene.2017.00068
- Benjelloun, B., Alberto, F. J., Streeter, I., Boyer, F., Coissac, E., Stucki, S., et al. (2015). Characterizing neutral genomic diversity and selection signatures in indigenous populations of Moroccan goats (Capra hircus) using WGS data. *Front. Genet.* 6:107. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2015.00107
- Besmer, P., Manova, K., Duttlinger, R., Huang, E. J., Packer, A., Gyssler, C., et al. (1993). The kit-ligand (steel factor) and its receptor c-kit/W: pleiotropic roles in gametogenesis and melanogenesis. *Development* 119(Suppl.), 125–137.
- Bharti, K., Gasper, M., Ou, J., Brucato, M., Clore-Gronenborn, K., Pickel, J., et al. (2012). A regulatory loop involving PAX6, MITF, and WNT signaling controls retinal pigment epithelium development. *PLoS Genet.* 8:e1002757. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pgen.1002757
- Bhuiyan, A. A., Li, J., Wu, Z., Ni, P., Adetula, A. A., Wang, H., et al. (2017). Exploring the genetic resistance to gastrointestinal nematodes infection in goat using RNA-sequencing. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 18:751. doi: 10.3390/ijms18040751
- Biswas, S., and Akey, J. M. (2006). Genomic insights into positive selection. Trends Genet. 22, 437–446. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2006.06.005
- Blench, R. M. (1998). Le West African Shorthorn au Nigeria. Cameroun: Des taurins et des hommes, 249–292.
- Blott, S., Kim, J.-J., Moisio, S., Schmidt-Küntzel, A., Cornet, A., Berzi, P., et al. (2003). Molecular dissection of a quantitative trait locus: a phenylalanineto-tyrosine substitution in the transmembrane domain of the bovine growth hormone receptor is associated with a major effect on milk yield and composition. *Genetics* 163, 253–266.
- Boitard, S., Boussaha, M., Capitan, A., Rocha, D., and Servin, B. (2016). Uncovering adaptation from sequence data: lessons from genome resequencing of four cattle breeds. *Genetics* 203, 433–450. doi: 10.1534/genetics.115.181594
- Bongiorni, S., Gruber, C., Bueno, S., Chillemi, G., Ferre, F., Failla, S., et al. (2016). Transcriptomic investigation of meat tenderness in two Italian cattle breeds. *Anim. Genet.* 47, 273–287. doi: 10.1111/age.12418
- Bouwman, A. C., Daetwyler, H. D., Chamberlain, A. J., Ponce, C. H., Sargolzaei, M., Schenkel, F. S., et al. (2018). Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for cattle stature identifies common genes that regulate body size in mammals. *Nat. Genet.* 50, 362–367. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0056-5
- Bovine HapMap Consortium (2009). Genome-wide survey of SNP variation uncovers the genetic structure of cattle breeds. *Science* 324, 528–532. doi: 10. 1126/science.1167936
- Braud, M., Magee, D. A., Park, S. D., Sonstegard, T. S., Waters, S. M., MacHugh, D. E., et al. (2017). Genome-wide microRNA binding site variation between extinct wild aurochs and modern cattle identifies candidate

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene. 2019.00442/full#supplementary-material

microRNA-regulated domestication genes. Front. Genet. 8:3. doi: 10.3389/ fgene.2017.00003

- Buchmann, R., and Hazelhurst, S. (2014). *Genesis Manual*. Johannesburg: University of the Witwatersran.
- Chabory, E., Damon, C., Lenoir, A., Henry-Berger, J., Vernet, P., Cadet, R., et al. (2010). Mammalian glutathione peroxidases control acquisition and maintenance of spermatozoa integrity 1. J. Anim. Sci. 88, 1321–1331. doi: 10.2527/jas.2009-2583
- Choi, J.-W., Choi, B.-H., Lee, S.-H., Lee, S.-S., Kim, H.-C., Yu, D., et al. (2015). Whole-genome resequencing analysis of hanwoo and yanbian cattle to identify genome-wide SNPs and signatures of selection. *Mol. Cells* 38, 466–473. doi: 10.14348/molcells.2015.0019
- Cohen-Zinder, M., Seroussi, E., Larkin, D. M., Loor, J. J., Everts-van der Wind, A., Lee, J.-H., et al. (2005). Identification of a missense mutation in the bovine ABCG2 gene with a major effect on the QTL on chromosome 6 affecting milk yield and composition in holstein cattle. *Genome Res.* 15, 936–944. doi: 10.1101/gr.3806705
- Cui, D., Li, F., Li, Q., Li, J., Zhao, Y., Hu, X., et al. (2015). Generation of a miniature pig disease model for human laron syndrome. *Sci. Rep.* 5:15603. doi: 10.1038/srep15603
- Curi, R. A., De Oliveira, H., Silveira, A. C., and Lopes, C. (2005). Association between IGF-I, IGF-IR and GHRH gene polymorphisms and growth and carcass traits in beef cattle. *Livestock Prod. Sci.* 94, 159–167. doi: 10.1016/j. livprodsci.2004.10.009
- Daetwyler, H. D., Capitan, A., Pausch, H., Stothard, P., Van Binsbergen, R., Brøndum, R. F., et al. (2014). Whole-genome sequencing of 234 bulls facilitates mapping of monogenic and complex traits in cattle. *Nat. Genet.* 46, 858–865. doi: 10.1038/ng.3034
- Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M. A., et al. (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2156–2158. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330
- Dang, Y., Li, M., Yang, M., Cao, X., Lan, X., Lei, C., et al. (2014). Identification of bovine NPC1 gene cSNPs and their effects on body size traits of Qinchuan cattle. *Gene* 540, 153–160. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2014.03.001
- Dauber, A., Rosenfeld, R. G., and Hirschhorn, J. N. (2014). Genetic evaluation of short stature. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 99, 3080–3092.
- Decker, J. E., McKay, S. D., Rolf, M. M., Kim, J., Alcalá, A. M., Sonstegard, T. S., et al. (2014). Worldwide patterns of ancestry, divergence, and admixture in domesticated cattle. *PLoS Genet.* 10:e1004254. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen. 1004254
- Delaneau, O., Howie, B., Cox, A. J., Zagury, J.-F., and Marchini, J. (2013). Haplotype estimation using sequencing reads. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 93, 687–696. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.09.002
- Do, D. N., Ostersen, T., Strathe, A. B., Mark, T., Jensen, J., and Kadarmideen, H. N. (2014). Genome-wide association and systems genetic analyses of residual feed intake, daily feed consumption, backfat and weight gain in pigs. *BMC Genet*. 15:27. doi: 10.1186/1471-2156-15-27
- Duangjinda, M., Jindatajak, Y., Tipvong, W., Sriwarothai, J., Pattarajinda, V., Katawatin, S., et al. (2013). Association of BoLA-DRB3 alleles with tick-borne disease tolerance in dairy cattle in a tropical environment. *Vet. Parasitol.* 196, 314–320. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.03.005
- Epstein, H. (1971). *The Origin of the Domestic Animals of Africa*. Malawi: Africana publishing corporation.
- Fariello, M. I., Boitard, S., Naya, H., SanCristobal, M., and Servin, B. (2013). Detecting signatures of selection through haplotype differentiation among hierarchically structured populations. *Genetics* 193, 929–941. doi: 10.1534/ genetics.112.147231
- Fariello, M. I., Servin, B., Tosser-Klopp, G., Rupp, R., Moreno, C., San Cristobal, M., et al. (2014). Selection signatures in worldwide sheep populations. *PLoS One* 9:e103813. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0103813

Felius, M. (1995). Cattle Breeds: An Encyclopedia. Doetinchem: C Misset bv.

- Flori, L., Fritz, S., Jaffrézic, F., Boussaha, M., Gut, I., Heath, S., et al. (2009). The genome response to artificial selection: a case study in dairy cattle. *PLoS One* 4:e6595. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0006595
- Fontanesi, L., Tazzoli, M., Russo, V., and Beever, J. (2010). Genetic heterogeneity at the bovine KIT gene in cattle breeds carrying different putative alleles at the spotting locus. *Anim. Genet.* 41, 295–303. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2052.2009. 02007.x
- Gautier, M., and Vitalis, R. (2012). rehh: an R package to detect footprints of selection in genome-wide SNP data from haplotype structure. *Bioinformatics* 28, 1176–1177. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts115
- Goddard, A. D., Covello, R., Luoh, S.-M., Clackson, T., Attie, K. M., Gesundheit, N., et al. (1995). Mutations of the growth hormone receptor in children with idiopathic short stature. *New Eng. J. Med.* 333, 1093–1098.
- Gouveia, J. J. D. S., Silva, M. V. G. B. D., Paiva, S. R., and Oliveira, S. M. P. D. (2014). Identification of selection signatures in livestock species. *Genet. Mol. Biol.* 37, 330–342. doi: 10.1590/s1415-47572014000300004
- Guo, J., Tao, H., Li, P., Li, L., Zhong, T., Wang, L., et al. (2018). Whole-genome sequencing reveals selection signatures associated with important traits in six goat breeds. *Sci. Rep.* 8:10405. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-28719-w
- Guo, Q., Miller, D., An, H., Wang, H., Lopez, J., Lough, D., et al. (2016). Controlled heat stress promotes myofibrillogenesis during myogenesis. *PLoS One* 11:e0166294. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0166294
- Gwaza, D., and Momoh, O. (2016). Endangered indigenous cattle breeds of Nigeria a case for their conservation and management. *World Sci. News* 30, 68–88.
- Hauswirth, R., Haase, B., Blatter, M., Brooks, S. A., Burger, D., Drögemüller, C., et al. (2012). Mutations in MITF and PAX3 cause splashed white and other white spotting phenotypes in horses. *PLoS Genet.* 8:e1002653. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pgen.1002653
- Hauswirth, R., Jude, R., Haase, B., Bellone, R. R., Archer, S., Holl, H., et al. (2013). Novel variants in the KIT and PAX 3 genes in horses with whitespotted coat colour phenotypes. *Anim. Genet.* 44, 763–765. doi: 10.1111/age. 12057
- Hayes, B. J., Pryce, J., Chamberlain, A. J., Bowman, P. J., and Goddard, M. E. (2010). Genetic architecture of complex traits and accuracy of genomic prediction: coat colour, milk-fat percentage, and type in Holstein cattle as contrasting model traits. *PLoS Genet.* 6:e1001139. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1001139
- Hemesath, T. J., Steingrímsson, E., McGill, G., Hansen, M. J., Vaught, J., Hodgkinson, C. A., et al. (1994). microphthalmia, a critical factor in melanocyte development, defines a discrete transcription factor family. *Genes Dev.* 8, 2770–2780. doi: 10.1101/gad.8.22.2770
- Horvath, G. C., Kistler, W. S., and Kistler, M. K. (2004). RFX2 is a potential transcriptional regulatory factor for histone H1t and other genes expressed during the meiotic phase of spermatogenesis. *Biol. Reprod.* 71, 1551–1559. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.104.032268
- Huang, D. W., Sherman, B. T., and Lempicki, R. A. (2009a). Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, 1–13. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn923
- Huang, D. W., Sherman, B. T., and Lempicki, R. A. (2009b). Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat. Protoc.* 4, 44–57. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2008.211
- Hue-Roye, K., Chaudhuri, A., Velliquette, R. W., Fetics, S., Thomas, R., Balk, M., et al. (2005). STAR: a novel high-prevalence antigen in the scianna blood group system. *Transfusion* 45, 245–247. doi: 10.1111/j.1537-2995.2004.04226.x
- Inoue, H., Kangawa, N., Kinouchi, A., Sakamoto, Y., Kimura, C., Horikawa, R., et al. (2011). Identification and functional analysis of novel human growth hormone-releasing hormone receptor (GHRHR) gene mutations in Japanese subjects with short stature. *Clin. Endocrinol.* 74, 223–233. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2265.2010.03911.x
- Jombart, T. (2008). adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. *Bioinformatics* 24, 1403–1405. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/ btn129
- Karsunky, H., Mende, I., Schmidt, T., and Möröy, T. (2002). High levels of the onco-protein Gfi-1 accelerate T-cell proliferation and inhibit activation induced T-cell death in Jurkat T-cells. Oncogene 21, 1571–1579. doi: 10.1038/sj/onc/ 1205216
- Kecskés, M., Jacobs, G., Kerselaers, S., Syam, N., Menigoz, A., Vangheluwe, P., et al. (2015). The Ca 2+-activated cation channel TRPM4 is a negative regulator

of angiotensin II-induced cardiac hypertrophy. *Basic Res. Cardiol.* 110:43. doi: 10.1007/s00395-015-0501-x

- Kim, J., Hanotte, O., Mwai, O. A., Dessie, T., Bashir, S., Diallo, B., et al. (2017). The genome landscape of indigenous African cattle. *Genome Biol.* 18:34. doi: 10.1186/s13059-017-1153-y
- Knaus, B. J., and Grünwald, N. J. (2017). VCFR: a package to manipulate and visualize variant call format data in R. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 17, 44–53. doi: 10. 1111/1755-0998.12549
- Ko, J. M., Park, J. Y., and Yoo, H. W. (2009). Common exon 3 polymorphism of the GH receptor (GHR) gene and effect of GH therapy on growth in Korean children with idiopathic short stature (ISS). *Clin. Endocrinol.* 70, 82–87. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2265.2008.03418.x
- Kolli, V. (2012). Gene Expression Profiling in Indigeneous Cattle and Buffalo Peripheral Blood Leukocytes in Response to Heat Stress. Karnal: NDRI.
- Kulberg, S., Heringstad, B., Guttersrud, O., and Olsaker, I. (2007). Study on the association of BoLA-DRB3. 2 alleles with clinical mastitis in Norwegian Red cows. J. Anim. Breed. Genet. 124, 201–207. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0388.2007. 00662.x
- Levy, C., Khaled, M., and Fisher, D. E. (2006). MITF: master regulator of melanocyte development and melanoma oncogene. *Trends Mol. Med.* 12, 406–414. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2006.07.008
- Lewin, H. A., Russell, G. C., and Glass, E. J. (1999). Comparative organization and function of the major histocompatibility complex of domesticated cattle. *Immunol. Rev.* 167, 145–158. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-065x.1999.tb0 1388.x
- Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1754–1760. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btp324
- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., et al. (2009). The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25, 2078– 2079. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
- Liu, L., Harris, B., Keehan, M., and Zhang, Y. (2009). Genome scan for the degree of white spotting in dairy cattle. *Anim. Genet.* 40, 975–977. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2052.2009.01936.x
- Liu, Y., Lan, X., Qu, Y., Li, Z., Chen, Z., Lei, C., et al. (2011). Effects of genetic variability of the dairy goat growth hormone releasing hormone receptor (GHRHR) gene on growth traits. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 38, 539–544. doi: 10.1007/ s11033-010-0138-7
- Loftus, R., Ertugrul, O., Harba, A., El-Barody, M., MacHugh, D., Park, S., et al. (1999). A microsatellite survey of cattle from a centre of origin: the Near East. *Mol. Ecol.* 8, 2015–2022. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-294x.1999.00 805.x
- Louwette, S., Régal, L., Wittevrongel, C., Thys, C., Vandeweeghde, G., Decuyper, E., et al. (2012). NPC1 defect results in abnormal platelet formation and function: studies in Niemann–Pick disease type C1 patients and zebrafish. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 22, 61–73. doi: 10.1093/hmg/dds401
- Mao, R., Rubio, V., Chen, H., Bai, L., Mansour, O., and Shi, Z.-Z. (2013). OLA1 protects cells in heat shock by stabilizing HSP70. *Cell Death Disease* 4:e491. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2013.23
- Martina, J. A., Diab, H. I., Li, H., and Puertollano, R. (2014). Novel roles for the MiTF/TFE family of transcription factors in organelle biogenesis, nutrient sensing, and energy homeostasis. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 71, 2483–2497. doi: 10. 1007/s00018-014-1565-8
- Martinez, M., Machado, M., Nascimento, C., Silva, M., Teodoro, R., Furlong, J., et al. (2006). Association of BoLA-DRB3. 2 alleles with tick (Boophilus microplus) resistance in cattle. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 5, 513–524.
- Maule, J. (1990). *The Cattle of the Tropics*. Edinburgh: Centre for Tropical Veterinary Medicine, 225.
- McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A., et al. (2010). The genome analysis toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* 20, 1297–1303. doi: 10.1101/gr.107524.110
- Mejía-Benítez, A., Klünder-Klünder, M., Yengo, L., Meyre, D., Aradillas, C., Cruz, E., et al. (2013). Analysis of the contribution of FTO, NPC1, ENPP1, NEGR1, GNPDA2 and MC4R genes to obesity in Mexican children. *BMC Med. Genet.* 14:21. doi: 10.1186/1471-2350-14-21
- Meyre, D., Delplanque, J., Chèvre, J.-C., Lecoeur, C., Lobbens, S., Gallina, S., et al. (2009). Genome-wide association study for early-onset and morbid adult

obesity identifies three new risk loci in European populations. *Nat. Genet.* 41, 157–159. doi: 10.1038/ng.301

- Mikko, S., and Anderson, L. (1995). Extensive MHC class II DRB3 diversity in African and European cattle. *Immunogenetics* 42, 408–413.
- Mishra, C., Palai, T. K., Sarangi, L. N., Prusty, B. R., and Maharana, B. R. (2013). Candidate gene markers for sperm quality and fertility in bulls. *Vet. World* 6, 905–910. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.112.101089
- Murray, M. (1988). "Trypanotolerance, its criteria and genetic and environmental influences. Livestock Production in Tsetse Affected Areas of Africa," in *Proceedings of a meeting of the African Trypanotolerant Livestock Network*, *Nairobi*, (Nairobi: International Livestock Centre for Africa and the International Laboratory for Research on Animal Diseases), 133–151.
- Murray, M., and Morrison, W. (1978). "Parasitemia and host susceptibility to African trypanosomiasis," in *Pathogenicity of Trypanosomes: Proceedings of a Workshop*, (Ottawa, ON: IDRC).
- Murray, M., Trail, J., Davis, C., and Black, S. (1984). Genetic Resistance to African Trypanosomiasis. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.
- Nei, M. (1972). Genetic distance between populations. Am. Nat. 106, 283-292.
- Patterson, N., Price, A. L., and Reich, D. (2006). Population structure and eigenanalysis. *PLoS Genet.* 2:e190. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0020190
- Pickrell, J. K., Coop, G., Novembre, J., Kudaravalli, S., Li, J. Z., Absher, D., et al. (2009). Signals of recent positive selection in a worldwide sample of human populations. *Genome Res.* 19, 826–837. doi: 10.1101/gr.087577.108
- Plassais, J., Rimbault, M., Williams, F. J., Davis, B. W., Schoenebeck, J. J., and Ostrander, E. A. (2017). Analysis of large versus small dogs reveals three genes on the canine X chromosome associated with body weight, muscling and back fat thickness. *PLoS Genet*. 13:e1006661. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.100 6661
- Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M. A., Bender, D., et al. (2007). PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 81, 559–575. doi: 10.1086/519795
- Qanbari, S., Gianola, D., Hayes, B., Schenkel, F., Miller, S., Moore, S., et al. (2011). Application of site and haplotype-frequency based approaches for detecting selection signatures in cattle. *BMC Genomics* 12:318. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-12-318
- Quinlan, A. R., and Hall, I. M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 26, 841-842. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btq033
- Raviv, S., Bharti, K., Rencus-Lazar, S., Cohen-Tayar, Y., Schyr, R., Evantal, N., et al. (2014). PAX6 regulates melanogenesis in the retinal pigmented epithelium through feed-forward regulatory interactions with MITF. *PLoS Genet.* 10:e1004360. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004360
- Reardon, W., Mullen, A., Sweeney, T., and Hamill, R. (2010). Association of polymorphisms in candidate genes with colour, water-holding capacity, and composition traits in bovine M. longissimus and M. semimembranosus. *Meat Sci.* 86, 270–275. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2010.04.013
- Rege, J., Aboagye, G., and Tawah, C. (1994). Shorthorn cattle of West and Central Africa. IV. Production characteristics. *World Anim. Rev.* 78, 33–48.
- Rehli, M., Lichanska, A., Cassady, A. I., Ostrowski, M. C., and Hume, D. A. (1999). TFEC is a macrophage-restricted member of the microphthalmia-TFE subfamily of basic helix-loop-helix leucine zipper transcription factors. *J. Immunol.* 162, 1559–1565.
- Rimbault, M., Beale, H. C., Schoenebeck, J. J., Hoopes, B. C., Allen, J. J., Kilroy-Glynn, P., et al. (2013). Derived variants at six genes explain nearly half of size reduction in dog breeds. *Genome Res.* 23, 1985–1995. doi: 10.1101/gr.1573 39.113
- Ron, M., Cohen-Zinder, M., Peter, C., Weller, J., and Erhardt, G. (2006). A polymorphism in ABCG2 in Bos indicus and *Bos taurus* cattle breeds. *J. Dairy Sci.* 89, 4921–4923. doi: 10.3168/jds.s0022-0302(06)72542-5
- Rubin, C.-J., Megens, H.-J., Barrio, A. M., Maqbool, K., Sayyab, S., Schwochow, D., et al. (2012). Strong signatures of selection in the domestic pig genome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 19529–19536. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1217149109
- Rupp, R., Hernandez, A., and Mallard, B. (2007). Association of bovine leukocyte antigen (BoLA) DRB3. 2 with immune response, mastitis, and production and type traits in canadian holsteins. *J. Dairy Sci.* 90, 1029–1038. doi: 10.3168/jds. s0022-0302(07)71589-8
- Ryan, M. T., Hamill, R. M., O'Halloran, A. M., Davey, G. C., McBryan, J., Mullen, A. M., et al. (2012). SNP variation in the promoter of the PRKAG3 gene and

association with meat quality traits in pig. *BMC Genet.* 13:66. doi: 10.1186/1471-2156-13-66

- Sabeti, P. C., Reich, D. E., Higgins, J. M., Levine, H. Z., Richter, D. J., Schaffner, S. F., et al. (2002). Detecting recent positive selection in the human genome from haplotype structure. *Nature* 419, 832–837. doi: 10.1038/nature 01140
- Saleque, S., Cameron, S., and Orkin, S. H. (2002). The zinc-finger proto-oncogene Gfi-1b is essential for development of the erythroid and megakaryocytic lineages. *Genes Dev.* 16, 301–306. doi: 10.1101/gad.959102
- Sharif, S., Mallard, B., Wilkie, B., Sargeant, J., Scott, H., Dekkers, J., et al. (1998). Associations of the bovine major histocompatibility complex DRB3 (BoLA-DRB3) alleles with occurrence of disease and milk somatic cell score in Canadian dairy cattle. *Anim. Genet.* 29, 185–193. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2052. 1998.00318.x
- Smedley, D., Haider, S., Ballester, B., Holland, R., London, D., Thorisson, G., et al. (2009). BioMart-biological queries made easy. *BMC Genomics* 10:22. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-10-22
- Stafuzza, N. B., Zerlotini, A., Lobo, F. P., Yamagishi, M. E. B., Chud, T. C. S., Caetano, A. R., et al. (2017). Single nucleotide variants and InDels identified from whole-genome re-sequencing of Guzerat, Gyr, Girolando and Holstein cattle breeds. *PLoS One* 12:e0173954. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0173954
- Storey, J. D., and Tibshirani, R. (2003). Statistical significance for genomewide studies. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 100, 9440–9445. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 1530509100
- Stothard, P., Liao, X., Arantes, A. S., De Pauw, M., Coros, C., Plastow, G. S., et al. (2015). A large and diverse collection of bovine genome sequences from the canadian cattle genome project. *Gigascience* 4:49. doi: 10.1186/s13742-015-0090-5
- Szreder, T., and Zwierzchowski, L. (2004). Polymorphism within the bovine estrogen receptor-alpha gene 5'-region. J. Appl. Genet. 45, 225–236.
- Tang, K., Thornton, K. R., and Stoneking, M. (2007). A new approach for using genome scans to detect recent positive selection in the human genome. *PLoS Biol.* 5:e171. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0050171
- Taye, M., Kim, J., Yoon, S. H., Lee, W., Hanotte, O., Dessie, T., et al. (2017). Whole genome scan reveals the genetic signature of african ankole cattle breed and potential for higher quality beef. *BMC Genet.* 18:11. doi: 10.1186/s12863-016-0467-1
- Tian, Y., Yue, M., Gu, Y., Gu, W., and Wang, Y. (2014). Single-nucleotide polymorphism analysis of GH, GHR, and IGF-1 genes in minipigs. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* 47, 753–758. doi: 10.1590/1414-431x20143945
- Utsunomiya, A. T., Santos, D. J., Boison, S. A., Utsunomiya, Y. T., Milanesi, M., Bickhart, D. M., et al. (2016). Revealing misassembled segments in the bovine reference genome by high resolution linkage disequilibrium scan. *BMC Genomics* 17:705. doi: 10.1186/s12864-016-3049-8
- Viitala, S., Szyda, J., Blott, S., Schulman, N., Lidauer, M., Mäki-Tanila, A., et al. (2006). The role of the bovine growth hormone receptor and prolactin receptor genes in milk, fat and protein production in Finnish Ayrshire dairy cattle. *Genetics* 173, 2151–2164. doi: 10.1534/genetics.105.046730
- Voight, B. F., Kudaravalli, S., Wen, X., and Pritchard, J. K. (2006). A map of recent positive selection in the human genome. *PLoS Biol.* 4:e72. doi: 10.1371/journal. pbio.0040072
- Wagner, F. F., Poole, J., and Flegel, W. A. (2003). Scianna antigens including Rd are expressed by ERMAP. *Blood* 101, 752–757. doi: 10.1182/blood-2002-07-2064
- Wang, X., Liu, J., Zhou, G., Guo, J., Yan, H., Niu, Y., et al. (2016). Wholegenome sequencing of eight goat populations for the detection of selection signatures underlying production and adaptive traits. *Sci. Rep.* 6:38932. doi: 10.1038/srep38932
- Whitacre, L. (2014). Structural Variation at the KIT Locus is Responsible for the Piebald Phenotype in Hereford and Simmental Cattle. Columbia: University of Missouri.
- Williamson, L., Saponaro, M., Boeing, S., East, P., Mitter, R., Kantidakis, T., et al. (2017). UV irradiation induces a non-coding RNA that functionally opposes the protein encoded by the same gene. *Cell* 168, 843–855 .e13. doi: 10.1016/j. cell.2017.01.019
- Xie, C., Turley, S. D., Pentchev, P. G., and Dietschy, J. M. (1999). Cholesterol balance and metabolism in mice with loss of function of Niemann-Pick C protein. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 276, E336–E344.

- Xu, A., Van Eijk, M., Park, C., and Lewin, H. A. (1993). Polymorphism in BoLA-DRB3 exon 2 correlates with resistance to persistent lymphocytosis caused by bovine leukemia virus. J. Immunol. 151, 6977–6985.
- Zhang, C., Wang, Z., Bruce, H., Kemp, R. A., Charagu, P., Miar, Y., et al. (2015). Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) identify a QTL close to PRKAG3 affecting meat pH and colour in crossbred commercial pigs. *BMC Genet.* 16:33. doi: 10.1186/s12863-015-0192-1
- Zhang, J., Rubio, V., Lieberman, M. W., and Shi, Z.-Z. (2009). OLA1, an Obg-like ATPase, suppresses antioxidant response via nontranscriptional mechanisms. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 15356–15361. doi: 10.1073/pnas.090721 3106
- Zhou, Y., Utsunomiya, Y. T., Xu, L., Bickhart, D. M., Sonstegard, T. S., Van Tassell, C. P., et al. (2016). Comparative analyses across cattle genders and breeds reveal the pitfalls caused by false positive and lineage-differential copy number variations. *Sci. Rep.* 6:29219. doi: 10.1038/srep29219
- Zimin, A. V., Delcher, A. L., Florea, L., Kelley, D. R., Schatz, M. C., Puiu, D., et al. (2009). A whole-genome assembly of the domestic cow. Bos taurus. *Genome Biol.* 10:R42. doi: 10.1186/gb-2009-10-4-r42

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Tijjani, Utsunomiya, Ezekwe, Nashiru and Hanotte. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.