RESEARCH ARTICLE **STEM CELLS AND REGENERATION**

Stochastic specification of primordial germ cells from mesoderm precursors in axolotl embryos

Jodie Chatfield¹, Marie-Anne O'Reilly^{1,*}, Rosemary F. Bachvarova², Zoltan Ferjentsik¹, Catherine Redwood¹, Maggie Walmsley³, Roger Patient³, Mathew Loose¹ and Andrew D. Johnson^{1,‡}

ABSTRACT

A common feature of development in most vertebrate models is the early segregation of the germ line from the soma. For example, in Xenopus and zebrafish embryos primordial germ cells (PGCs) are specified by germ plasm that is inherited from the egg; in mice, Blimp1 expression in the epiblast mediates the commitment of cells to the germ line. How these disparate mechanisms of PGC specification evolved is unknown. Here, in order to identify the ancestral mechanism of PGC specification in vertebrates, we studied PGC specification in embryos from the axolotl (Mexican salamander), a model for the tetrapod ancestor. In the axolotl, PGCs develop within mesoderm, and classic studies have reported their induction from primitive ectoderm (animal cap). We used an axolotl animal cap system to demonstrate that signalling through FGF and BMP4 induces PGCs. The role of FGF was then confirmed in vivo. We also showed PGC induction by Brachyury, in the presence of BMP4. These conditions induced pluripotent mesodermal precursors that give rise to a variety of somatic cell types, in addition to PGCs. Irreversible restriction of the germ line did not occur until the mid-tailbud stage, days after the somatic germ layers are established. Before this, germline potential was maintained by MAP kinase signalling. We propose that this stochastic mechanism of PGC specification, from mesodermal precursors, is conserved in vertebrates.

KEY WORDS: Evolution, Primordial germ cell, PGC, Axolotl, Germ plasm, Mesoderm, Pluripotency

INTRODUCTION

The germ cell lineage is established during development through the specification of primordial germ cells (PGCs). Work with diverse animal models indicates that PGC specification is among the earliest cell fate decisions in embryogenesis. Nonetheless, at least two very different modes of PGC specification have evolved in the animal kingdom [\(Nieuwkoop and Sutasurya, 1979](#page-10-0), [1981\)](#page-10-0). The first of these is referred to as preformation. Preformation is found in many commonly used animal models. It describes the cell-autonomous specification of PGCs by determinants that are inherited from the

‡ Author for correspondence (andrew.d.johnson@nottingham.ac.uk)

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

egg, known as germ plasm. Evidence from diverse systems shows that germ plasm represses transcription in developing PGCs, inhibiting their ability to respond to somatic inducing cues [\(Nakamura and Seydoux, 2008](#page-10-0); [Shirae-Kurabayashi et al., 2011](#page-11-0); [Venkatarama et al., 2010\)](#page-11-0). As a result, presumptive germ cells are segregated from somatic cells, or soma, in the earliest stages of development. However, preformation is a derived trait that evolved by convergence, and the acquisition of germ plasm is associated with embryological innovations that enhance evolvability ([Crother](#page-10-0) [et al., 2007](#page-10-0); [Evans et al., 2014](#page-10-0); [Johnson et al., 2003a,b, 2011](#page-10-0)). The conserved mode of PGC specification, however, is called epigenesis, which describes a process wherein PGCs are induced from pluripotent cells by extracellular signals [\(Johnson et al.,](#page-10-0) [2003a,b, 2011\)](#page-10-0). To date, however, a detailed mechanism for epigenesis has only been elaborated in mouse.

At around day 6.25 post-coitum of mouse development, the transcription factor Blimp1 (Prdm1 – Mouse Genome Informatics) is induced in about six to eight cells of the proximal epiblast that are precursors to the PGCs ([Ohinata et al., 2005\)](#page-10-0). Blimp1 is part of a tripartite transcription factor network that induces the PGC programme [\(Magnusdottir et al., 2013; Nakaki et al., 2013\)](#page-10-0). It is also a determinant of the germ line, which acts by repressing somatic gene expression in specified PGC precursors. In the absence of Blimp1, nascent PGCs are diverted to a mesodermal cell fate [\(Kurimoto et al., 2008; Ohinata et al., 2005\)](#page-10-0). Related to this, recent work has shown that *Blimp1* is a direct target of brachyury (T) , a master regulator of mesoderm development [\(Aramaki et al., 2013\)](#page-10-0). However, T only induces *Blimp1* in the presence of bone morphogenetic protein 4 (Bmp4) signalling. How BMP4 mediates the induction of *Blimp1* to specify PGCs is unknown. But these signals are required early in the process, before the mesoderm programme can be established. Furthermore, it is unknown whether the mechanism of PGC specification that has been identified in mouse is conserved in other vertebrates.

Among vertebrates, epigenesis was first described in classic studies that used urodele amphibians (salamanders). Urodele embryos do not contain germ plasm ([Johnson et al., 2001](#page-10-0); [Tamori](#page-11-0) [et al., 2004\)](#page-11-0), and numerous authors report the induction of PGCs from primitive ectoderm (the animal cap) of axolotls, and other species, in response to signals that induce the ventral mesoderm [\(Boterenbrood and Nieuwkoop, 1973; Kocher-Becker and](#page-10-0) [Tiedemann, 1971](#page-10-0); [Maufroid and Capuron, 1977](#page-10-0); [Michael, 1984](#page-10-0); [Sutasurja and Nieuwkoop, 1974](#page-11-0)). This is consistent with the mesodermal origin of urodele PGCs [\(Bachvarova et al., 2004](#page-10-0); [Humphrey, 1925](#page-10-0); [Johnson et al., 2001](#page-10-0); [Nieuwkoop, 1947](#page-10-0); [Smith,](#page-11-0) [1964\)](#page-11-0), but what these signals are, and how they discriminate PGCs from neighbouring mesodermal cells, is unknown. In this regard, it is uncertain whether PGC precursors are specified by germline determinants ([Michael, 1984;](#page-10-0) [Smith et al., 1983\)](#page-11-0), or whether they Received 25 October 2013; Accepted 22 April 2014 **arise in response to mesoderm patterning**.

¹School of Life Sciences, University of Nottingham, Queens Medical Centre, Nottingham NG7 2UH, UK. ²Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Weill Medical College of Cornell University, New York, NY 10065, USA. ³Molecular Haematology Unit, Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine, Oxford University, Oxford OX3 9DS, UK.

^{*}Present address: Clinical Genetic Service, City Hospital Campus, Nottingham NG5 1PB.

Here, we show ectopic and in vivo induction of PGCs in axolotl embryos by fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signalling, and we confirm the mesodermal origin of PGCs by showing they can also be induced by Brachyury and BMP4. We find no evidence for germline determinants. Rather, mesoderm precursors in the ventral marginal zone (VMZ) are patterned towards the development of PGCs or blood cells by the competing effects of FGF and Nodal signalling, respectively. After this, germline potential is maintained within pluripotent mesodermal cells through MAP kinase (MAPK) activity. Indeed, specified PGC precursors are not irreversibly committed to the germ line until the tailbud stages, days after the somatic germ layers have been established. Based on the phylogenetic positioning of urodeles, we propose that this stochastic mechanism of PGC specification is ancestral to vertebrates.

RESULTS

PGCs are derived from pluripotent cells

The progenitors of PGCs have been previously mapped to the VMZ of gastrulating embryos by using deletion and transplant studies ([Nieuwkoop, 1947;](#page-10-0) [Smith, 1964\)](#page-11-0). To verify this, we prepared VMZ explants (Fig. 1A) from mid-gastrula embryos (stage 10.5) and cultured them until stage 42, when expression of the PGC-specific axolotl *dazl* gene can be detected by using *in situ* hybridisation (ISH) ([Bachvarova et al., 2004](#page-10-0); [Johnson et al.,](#page-10-0) [2001](#page-10-0)). The sectioned explants were hybridised to a probe for *dazl* or axolotl α -*globin* (a marker of blood cells), and we detected expression of both genes in the same explants (Fig. 1B,C). Ventral blood islands (VBI) have a dual origin in Xenopus – from both the dorsal and ventral side of the embryo ([Ciau-Uitz et al., 2000](#page-10-0)). To determine the origins of axolotl VBI, we injected RNA coding for β-galactosidase (β-gal) into dorsal or ventral blastomeres at the four-cell stage ([supplementary material Fig. S1A,B\)](http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.105346/-/DC1). These were later (stage 30) stained for β-gal activity and analysed for globin expression by whole-mount ISH. The β-gal signal overlapped with globin expression in cells from the VMZ, but not those from the dorsal marginal zone. Thus, blood is exclusively of ventral origin in axolotls, and globin RNA is an unambiguous marker for somatic derivatives of the VMZ.

We next performed lineage labelling to clarify the origins of germ cells. Individual blastomeres in the ventro-lateral region of embryos at the 128-cell stage were injected with mini-Ruby (Fig. 1D,E). Embryos in which the lateral mesoderm was strongly labelled were selected for analysis (Fig. 1F,G). These embryos also contained circulating blood cells (Fig. 1H,I). The embryos were sectioned and subjected to ISH with a probe against *dazl*, and then stained for horseradish peroxidase activity to detect mini-Ruby. Sections from representative embryos showed that mini-Ruby was present in clusters of PGCs (Fig. 1J,K; [supplementary material Fig. S1C\)](http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.105346/-/DC1). Mini-Ruby was also detected in each of the somatic germ layers (Fig. 1L). These results demonstrate that the cells in axolotl animal caps can produce germ cells and somatic cells, similar to the ground-state potential of the mouse epiblast ([Dixon et al., 2010](#page-10-0); [Nichols and Smith, 2011\)](#page-10-0). Moreover, the large amount of label that was observed in somatic tissue suggests that restriction of the germ line occurs relatively late in axolotl development.

Induction of PGCs from animal caps by FGF

Based on histological criteria ([Nieuwkoop and Sutasurya, 1979](#page-10-0)), the induction of PGCs has been reported from urodele animal caps that were either cultured with cells from the vegetal hemisphere [\(Boterenbrood and Nieuwkoop, 1973](#page-10-0); [Michael, 1984](#page-10-0); [Sutasurja](#page-11-0) [and Nieuwkoop, 1974\)](#page-11-0) or treated with extracts from chicken

Fig. 1. PGCs develop from pluripotent cells in the VMZ. (A) Schematic showing the isolation of VMZ explants. DL, dorsal lip of the blastopore. Black lines on ventral side show approximate area of dissection. (B,C) ISH (purple) in sections of the same explant shows expression of globin (B) and dazl (C). (D) A single blastomere of a 128-cell embryo that had been injected with mini-Ruby shown under bright light. Ventral is to the left. (E) The same embryo as that in D shown under UV light to show labelling of a single blastomere. (F) Bright field view of an embryo at stage 42. (G) Under UV light, the embryo shows labelling by mini-Ruby in lateral mesoderm. (H) View of gills from an injected embryo under bright light. (I) UV light shows blood cells circulating through the gills. (J) Mid-trunk section of an embryo, stained to detect horseradish peroxidase activity of mini-Ruby (brown) and ISH for dazl RNA (purple). (K) Close-up of the boxed section in J shows a labelled PGC cluster stained for mini-Ruby HRP activity (brown) from the injected side of embryo (top, brown arrow). ISH for dazl (purple) detects a PGC cluster from the uninjected side of the embryo (bottom, blue arrow). (L) A section from further towards the anterior of the same embryo as that shown in K shows mini-Ruby labelling in ectoderm (blue arrow), mesoderm (red arrow) and endoderm (yellow arrow).

embryos [\(Kocher-Becker and Tiedemann, 1971\)](#page-10-0). Boterenbrood and Nieuwkoop ([Boterenbrood and Nieuwkoop, 1973\)](#page-10-0) have shown that maximal induction was achieved upon culture with ventral vegetal cells of early gastrulae. In Xenopus, these cells express FGF and BMP4 ([Hemmati-Brivanlou and Thomsen, 1995;](#page-10-0) [Slack et al.,](#page-11-0) [1987](#page-11-0)). Therefore, we tested whether these molecules participate in PGC induction, and we used the expression of PGC-specific markers as an assay system. In contrast to the effects of BMP4 in mouse embryos, the forced expression of BMP4, over a wide range of RNA concentrations, was insufficient to induce PGC-specific gene expression in animal caps. Rather, similar to uninjected caps (data not shown), these cells differentiated as epidermis. We tested varying amounts of RNA encoding Xenopus embryonic FGF (eFGF; FGF4 – Xenbase; termed FGF herein), with or without RNA encoding Xenopus BMP4. The embryos were injected at the one-cell stage, and then the animal caps were explanted at

Fig. 2. Ectopic induction of PGCs by FGF and BMP4. (A) Scheme for PGC in animal cap explants. (B) qRT-PCR analysis of PGC (dazl, vasa, piwi) and blood (globin) markers in animal caps that expressed FGF and BMP4. The data were normalised to expression of ornithine decarboxylase. Means±95% CI are shown. (C) ISH to detect dazl (purple) in animal caps after injection of the indicated RNAs. (D) Diagram comparing the deep sequencing of animal caps containing PGCs (blue) against that of unstimulated controls (red). (E) qRT-PCR analysis of PGC or blood markers in animal caps that expressed Activin A or FGF with BMP4. The data were normalised to ODC. Means±95% CI are shown. (F) Serial sections of a cap that had been induced with low levels of FGF and Activin A with BMP4 and probed for globin or dazl expression by using ISH. (G) qRT-PCR analysis of dazl expression in caps that had been induced with FGF and BMP4 in the presence or absence of SB431542. The data were normalised to ODC. Means±95% CI are shown.

midblastula stage (stage 9) (Fig. 2A) and cultured for about 12 days, until stage 42 (early larva). The RNAwas then analysed by using reverse transcription and quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR). In response to FGF and BMP4, the animal caps showed strong induction of dazl, vasa and piwi (Fig. 2B). These markers of PGCs showed a dose-dependent response to FGF, and the highest expression was observed at maximal input. The maximum level of induction also required the highest dose of BMP4 that we tested (5 ng) (data not shown), and the expression of PGC markers was not detected in response to FGF alone. We confirmed these findings by using ISH analysis on sectioned animal caps (Fig. 2C). These results showed that all of the animal caps that had been induced by using FGF and BMP4 contained dazl-expressing cells, and more cells expressed dazl upon injection of higher levels of FGF, confirming the dose-dependent response.

The cell morphology of animal caps that had been injected with RNA encoding FGF and BMP4 (programmed) were then examined by staining sections with Toluidine Blue ([supplementary material](http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.105346/-/DC1) [Fig. S2A,B\)](http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.105346/-/DC1). The staining of cells from these animal caps was then compared with the staining of native PGCs in the genital ridge of intact embryos [\(supplementary material Fig. S2C-F](http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.105346/-/DC1)). PGCs contain a high nuclear to cytoplasmic volume, pigment granules, abundant mitochondria and a single large nucleolus ([Nieuwkoop and](#page-10-0) [Sutasurya, 1979\)](#page-10-0). These features were readily detected in the PGCs of embryos and the cells of the programmed animal caps. By using deep sequencing, we analysed the RNA expression profiles of the animal caps that had been prepared under the different conditions. This analysis identified >100 known PGC markers that were expressed only in caps that had been induced with FGF and BMP4 (Fig. 2D; [supplementary material Table S2](http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.105346/-/DC1)). We could

not demonstrate that the PGCs that had been induced were capable of germline transmission; nevertheless, the data strongly suggest that FGF and BMP4 are sufficient to stimulate normal PGC development. Moreover, our chosen markers are reliable indicators of PGC induction. However, because precursors of PGCs and blood develop in close proximity in the VMZ [\(Nieuwkoop, 1947;](#page-10-0) [Fig. 1\)](#page-1-0), we were surprised that globin RNA was undetectable in the animal caps that contained PGCs; therefore, we aimed to identify conditions that would induce the development of blood cells.

Nodal signalling initiates mesoderm development in vertebrates and is mimicked experimentally by Activin A. We injected embryos with varying amounts of RNA that encoded Xenopus Activin A and a constant amount of RNA that encoded BMP4 (5 ng), we then analysed gene expression in the animal caps. Low Activin A input (1 pg RNA) resulted in massive globin induction [\(Fig. 2E](#page-2-0)). This was diminished at fivefold-higher levels of Activin A and it was eliminated at high concentrations (25 pg), which induce endoderm (data not shown). Thus, globin induction reflects tissue patterning. However, PGC markers were undetectable in animal caps that had been induced by expression of Activin A. We then used low levels of Activin A (1 pg) or FGF (3 pg), concomitant with BMP4 (5 ng). We examined these caps by using ISH and found that at low levels of FGF globin and dazl can be co-induced, albeit in separate domains [\(Fig. 2](#page-2-0)F). Taken together, these data demonstrate the mutually exclusive effects of FGF and Activin A, which induce PGCs or blood cells, respectively. To test whether PGC specification requires Nodal signals that are downstream of FGF, we treated animal caps that expressed FGF and BMP4 with the Nodal signalling inhibitor SB431542 ([Swiers et al., 2010](#page-11-0)). Under these conditions, the expression of PGC markers was induced at about 50% of the level of that without inhibitor ([Fig. 2](#page-2-0)G). Thus, FGF is sufficient to stimulate PGC production in the presence of BMP4.

In vivo induction of PGCs by FGF

We next asked whether FGF signalling is required for the induction of PGCs in vivo. For this, we altered signalling within the VMZ. We injected both ventral vegetal blastomeres of eight-cell-stage embryos with RNA that encoded either a dominant-negative FGF receptor $(XFD; 5 ng)$ [\(Amaya et al., 1991](#page-10-0)) or FGF (20 pg). We included mini-Ruby as a lineage tracer. Fig. 3A shows representative embryos from such an experiment at stage 35. Expression of XFD caused posterior truncations, reminiscent of its effect in Xenopus [\(Amaya et al., 1991\)](#page-10-0). Conversely, overexpression of FGF expanded the posterior domain. Sections from injected embryos and controls, at stage 42, were analysed by using ISH for dazl expression (Fig. 3B). Expression of XFD eliminated dazl RNA expression. Importantly, axolotl PGCs develop in close proximity to the mesonephric ducts (MD) [\(Bachvarova et al., 2004; Nieuwkoop, 1947](#page-10-0)). Consistent with this, expression of XFD also eliminated development of the MD. By contrast, overexpression of FGF expanded the PGC domain, relative to controls, and this treatment expanded the MD region. These results suggest that FGF signalling mediates the development of the intermediate mesoderm, within which PGC precursors develop; therefore, we investigated the effects on PGCs by performing qRT-PCR on whole embryos. This showed that the level of *dazl* RNA was increased about sixfold by elevated levels of FGF (Fig. 3C), whereas XFD reduced *dazl* expression to background levels, i.e. below that of uninjected controls.

We then analysed the effects of altered FGF signalling in VMZ explants. This regime controls for effects that are caused by disrupted morphogenetic movements. Ventral vegetal blastomeres were, again, injected with RNA, but then the VMZs were dissected

Fig. 3. FGF regulates PGC induction in vivo. (A) The top row of images shows the effects of FGF signalling on posterior development of the axolotl. The control was uninjected. The bottom row of images shows embryos under UV light to detect mini-Ruby. XFD, dominant-negative FGF receptor. (B) ISH to detect dazl in sections from embryos as described in A. Alignment of the images also corresponds to the labelling in A. Mesonephric ducts are indicted by red arrows, PGCs by yellow arrows. (C) qRT-PCR analysis of dazl expression in whole embryos with altered FGF signalling. The fold-change relative to uninjected controls is shown. Means±95% CI are shown. (D) Scheme for isolation of VMZ explants. DL, dorsal lip of the blastopore. (E) qRT-PCR analysis of PGC and blood marker expression in embryos, or explants from embryos that had been treated as indicated. Data were normalised to ODC. Means±95% CI are shown.

at stage 10.5 and cultured until stage 42 ([Fig. 3D](#page-3-0)). Similar levels of globin RNA, and of PGC markers, were detected in control explants and intact embryos [\(Fig. 3E](#page-3-0)), demonstrating that dissections included the entire VMZ. Expression of XFD, again, diminished the expression of PGC markers, without affecting those of blood. Also, elevated levels of FGF enhanced the expression of PGCs, and downregulated the expression of globin, the latter resembling the effects of FGF in Xenopus [\(Isaacs et al., 2007;](#page-10-0) [Walmsley et al.,](#page-11-0) [2008](#page-11-0)). However, RNAs encoding both BMP4 and FGF increased the expression of markers of both cell types. Because BMP signalling is required for the induction of PGCs and blood, this result suggests that the levels of endogenous BMP limit the production of these cell types in the VMZ. From this, and the work above, we postulated that FGF and Nodal signalling pathways compete for a common pool of BMP-dependent precursors; therefore, we tested this hypothesis in animal caps.

Stochastic specification of PGCs

We titrated the FGF and TGFβ signalling pathways against one another, under conditions in which BMP signals were not limiting. Having shown that 5 ng of RNA encoding BMP4 was sufficient for maximal induction of PGCs or blood cells from animal caps, this level of BMP signalling was kept constant. To initiate TGFβ signalling, we employed a constitutively active variant of Smad2 (Smad2C), which translocates to the nucleus independently of receptor stimulation [\(Howell and Hill, 1997\)](#page-10-0). We expressed increasing amounts of Smad2C in animal caps with constant levels of FGF and BMP4 (Fig. 4A). However, we did not observe the expected inhibition of the development of PGCs; instead, the introduction of RNA encoding Smad₂C (10 pg) increased the expression of PGC markers (Fig. 4B). We then titrated FGF against Smad2C and a clear pattern emerged (Fig. 4C): as the levels of RNA encoding Smad2C were increased to 50 pg, globin expression became detectable, but with a corresponding decrease in the expression of PGC markers. Then, by reducing FGF levels (10-40 pg RNA) and keeping Smad2C constant at 50 pg, globin expression was increased, and PGC markers were decreased. These data strongly suggest that the pathways that are stimulated by FGF and TGFβ compete for BMP-dependent precursors, and from this PGCs or blood cells are differentially specified. Moreover, the increased PGC production that is stimulated by increased Smad2C expression suggests that the mesodermal precursors that are specified by Smad2 can be directed by FGF towards PGC development. These

results demonstrate the stochastic nature of PGC specification in axolotls. In addition, they led us to consider a role for Brachyury in PGC specification, because it specifies mesoderm precursors and is cooperatively induced by Activin A and FGF [\(Cunliffe and Smith,](#page-10-0) [1992; Latinkic et al., 1997](#page-10-0); [supplementary material Fig. S3A](http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.105346/-/DC1)).

Brachyury induces PGCs

We co-injected increasing amounts of RNA that encoded axolotl Brachyury, along with constant levels of RNA encoding FGF and BMP4. Increasing the levels of Brachyury led to increased expression of PGC markers ([Fig. 5A](#page-5-0)), but they did not induce globin. Then we tested the effects of the expression of Brachyury and BMP4 in the absence of RNA encoding FGF, and dazl expression was still induced at high levels [\(Fig. 5](#page-5-0)B), again, there was a lack of expression of *globin*. Importantly, in the absence of BMP4, Brachyury did not induce *dazl* [\(supplementary material](http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.105346/-/DC1) [Fig. S3B](http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.105346/-/DC1)), indicating that Brachyury does not target PGC markers directly; rather, it cooperates with BMPs to induce PGCs.

Recent work has shown that, in mouse embryos, *Blimp1* is a direct target of T, but that Blimp1 is only activated in the presence of BMP signalling during PGC specification [\(Aramaki et al., 2013](#page-10-0)). However, using whole-mount ISH, we could first detect blimp1 expression at about stage 15 (neurula), but the expression was found only in the developing neural folds; blimp1 was not expressed in lateral mesoderm ([supplementary material Fig. S4A\)](http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.105346/-/DC1). We then found that neither FGF nor Brachyury induced blimp1 in animal caps, regardless of the inclusion of BMP4 [\(supplementary material Fig. S4B,C\)](http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.105346/-/DC1). However, Brachyury and BMP4 did induce the expression of neural cell adhesion molecule (ncam) ([Fig. 5C](#page-5-0)), as well as markers that are expressed in the MD (wt1, pax2; [Carroll and Vize, 1996](#page-10-0); [Fleming](#page-10-0) [et al., 2013\)](#page-10-0) and the dorsal aorta ($fli1, flikl$; [Ciau-Uitz et al., 2000\)](#page-10-0) [\(Fig. 5D](#page-5-0)). These structures, together with the genital ridge, comprise the aorta-gonad-mesonephros (AGM) region ([Medvinsky and](#page-10-0) [Dzierzak, 1996\)](#page-10-0). Herein, these genes are referred to as somatic AGM markers. Taken together, the data suggest that Brachyury and BMP4 do not induce lineage-restricted PGC precursors, in contrast with their roles in mouse. Instead, PGCs might arise from pluripotent mesoderm precursors in response to patterning signals. To test this, we asked whether the expression of PGCs and AGM markers was dependent on FGF signalling, which is activated downstream of brachyury in Xenopus [\(Isaacs et al., 1994](#page-10-0); [Schulte-Merker and Smith,](#page-10-0) [1995\)](#page-10-0). Indeed, we found that when animal caps that had been

Fig. 4. Ectopic induction of PGCs by FGF and SMAD2.

(A) Morphology of animal caps expressing FGF and constitutively active Smad2 (Smad2C) with BMP4. (B) qRT-PCR analysis showed that a low level of Smad2C RNA enhances PGC induction by FGF. Data were normalised to the expression in caps that had been injected with RNA encoding FGF and BMP4. Means±95% CI are shown. (C) qRT-PCR analysis to detect PGC or blood markers in response to titration of FGF and Smad2C in the presence of a constant amount of RNA encoding BMP4. The numbers in red on the right-hand side of the graph show the fold-change in globin expression. Means±95% CI are shown. –RT, no reverse transcriptase.

programmed with Brachyury and BMP4 were incubated with the FGF signalling inhibitor SU5402 (50 μM) before the onset of gastrulation, the expression of both PGC and somatic AGM markers was abolished (Fig. 5C,D). By contrast, the addition of the inhibitor after gastrulation had no effect. Thus, FGF acts downstream of brachyury and is required during gastrula stages in order to specify the PGC lineage.

A requirement for FGF notwithstanding, we reasoned that brachyury acts in a cell-autonomous manner in order to specify PGCs, and that PGCs should, therefore, show a different pattern of distribution to that in animal caps that had been induced with FGF and BMP4. To assess this, we analysed caps that had been programmed with Brachyury and BMP4 for dazl expression by using ISH. *dazl*-positive cells were distributed evenly throughout all of the programmed caps (ten embryos), but not the caps from uninjected control embryos (zero out of ten embryos) (Fig. 5E). The programmed caps, however, also contained somatic vesicular structures comprised of cells that did not express *dazl* (arrow). We then examined the caps from programmed sibling embryos for the

expression of ncam as a somatic cell marker. ncam-positive cells were found in programmed caps in a punctate pattern, quite different from that of the uniform pattern we observed for *dazl* (Fig. 5F). These results confirm that both PGCs and somatic cells are derived from mesoderm precursors that are specified by Brachyury and BMP4. We then investigated when lineage restricted PGCs are established.

Germline restriction occurs after gastrulation

Mix is a downstream effector of *nodal* signalling ([Swiers et al., 2010\)](#page-11-0), and we reasoned that it might be capable of competing with the effects of brachyury. In this context, we hoped to use mix to inhibit PGC development. We expressed increasing levels of axolotl Mix (herein referred to as Mix) in animal caps, along with a fixed amount of Brachyury and BMP4. As expected, PGC marker expression was diminished in response to increasing levels of Mix [\(Fig. 6A](#page-6-0)). Conversely, Mix induced somatic markers of the AGM, as well as globin, and the levels of globin showed a dose-dependent response to the levels of Mix. At the highest concentrations of Mix (200 pg), globin expression reached a maximum, and PGC markers were

Fig. 5. Induction of PGCs by Brachyury. (A) qRT-PCR analysis of gene expression in caps that had been programmed with a constant amount of FGF and BMP4, with Smad2C or increasing amounts of Brachyury (Bra). Scales are at different levels; blue scale is PGC markers, red is globin. (B) qRT-PCR analysis of dazl expression in caps that had been programmed with constant levels of Brachyury and BMP4 with increasing levels of FGF. (C) qRT-PCR of dazl and ncam expression in caps expressing Brachyury and BMP4 after timed addition of SU5402 [stage (st) 9, 11, 13 or 20]. (D) qRT-PCR of AGM markers from the same caps as those used in C. All qRT-PCR data were normalised to ODC. –RT, no reverse transcriptase. Means±95% CI are shown. (E) ISH to detect dazl RNA (purple) in caps that had been induced with Brachyury and BMP4, or in caps from uninjected embryos. Note the vesicle from dazl-negative cells (arrow). (F) ISH to detect ncam RNA (purple) in caps prepared as described in E.

Fig. 6. Mix redirects specified PGC precursors to somatic development. (A) qRT-PCR analysis of markers for PGCs or somatic cells in caps that had been programmed with Brachyury (Bra) and BMP4 and increasing levels of Mix. The y-axis scales are at different levels. The red scale is for globin. (B) The morphology of caps in response to Mix. (C) qRT-PCR analysis of PGC and somatic markers after timed activation of Mix-GR-HA (Mix::GR) in caps that had been programmed by Brachyury and BMP4. Dex, dexamethasone. (D) qRT-PCR analysis of the response of AGM markers to Mix. St, stage. For all qRT-PCR data, Means±95% CI are shown. (E) Western blot analysis to detect the Mix-GR-HA fusion protein in staged caps by using an antibody against HA. (F) Experimental design of ventral Mix induction as shown in G-J. Embryos were injected at the VMZ with RNA encoding Mix-GR-HA and mini-Ruby; Dex was administered at specific time points. (G) Embryos that had been injected with the indicated RNAs were examined at the neurula stage under bright-field (left) or UV (right) conditions. (H) Embryos from G at the tailbud stage under a bright field (left) or UV (right). (I) ISH for dazl RNA (purple) in stage-45 control embryos, or after Mix-GR-HA had been activated at stage 14. Yellow arrows indicate PGCs. (J) H&E-stained sections of embryos that had been treated as described in H. PGCs are indicated by yellow arrows. Purple arrows indicate mesonephric ducts.

undetectable. Mix also changed the morphology of the caps so that they appeared smoother and rounder, resembling the cap morphology that was induced by Activin A (Fig. 6B). These data demonstrate that

mix is sufficient to interfere with PGC development, and we reasoned that its conditional activation might provide a system to investigate the timing of germline restriction.

We fused the coding region of Mix to a glucocorticoid receptor (GR) and a haemagglutinin (HA) tag (Mix-GR-HA). Protein from this construct is retained in the cytoplasm until the addition of the glucocorticoid dexamethasone ([Tada et al., 1997](#page-11-0)), and the HA epitope allows detection of the fusion protein. Mix-GR-HA was co-expressed in animal caps with Brachyury and BMP4. The fusion protein was then activated at various times by addition of dexamethasone, and the animal caps were assayed for gene expression. Application of dexamethasone at the midblastula stage eliminated PGC marker expression, and globin expression was induced strongly [\(Fig. 6C](#page-6-0)), similar to the effects that are observed upon the expression of native Mix. The application of dexamethasone at this stage also induced ncam and AGM markers [\(Fig. 6D](#page-6-0)). When activated after the gastrula stage, Mix also eliminated PGC markers, although globin induction was not as strong. Indeed, globin was not induced if Mix was activated after gastrulation, but this did not compromise the expression of AGM markers. Western blot analysis showed that the fusion protein was stable throughout the course of these experiments [\(Fig. 6E](#page-6-0)); therefore, changes in the ability of the fusion protein to induce expression of the different markers were not attributable to diminished levels of the protein. Most importantly, PGC markers first show resistance to Mix expression at (approximately) the tailbud stage (stage 20), suggesting that germline commitment is initiated at a point between the neurulation andtailbud stages. Because somatic cells are specified during gastrulation, these data suggest that germline restriction occurs after the somatic lineages are established. This is the opposite to the observed sequence of events in other animal models.

We then tested this hypothesis in vivo. We co-injected RNA encoding Mix-GR-HA into ventral vegetal blastomeres with mini-Ruby ([Fig. 6](#page-6-0)F), and then isolated embryos that had been properly targeted. These were treated with dexamethasone at different stages. When dexamethasone was added to early gastrulae (stage 10.5), gastrulation movements were disrupted, resulting in hyperventralised embryos ([Fig. 6G](#page-6-0),H). However, when dexamethasone was administered after gastrulation (stage 14), the embryos developed normally. These embryos were then cultured until stage 45, when they were examined for *dazl* expression by using ISH [\(Fig. 6I](#page-6-0)). dazl expression in most embryos (three out of five) was undetectable, indicating loss of PGCs. Importantly, when sections from sibling embryos were stained with Haematoxylin and Eosin (H&E), it was clear that the entire genital ridge was eliminated, with an associated expansion of the MD ([Fig. 6J](#page-6-0)). This phenotype was not observed in the absence of dexamethasone.

Our results suggest that PGCs are derived from uncommitted cells in the intermediate mesoderm. Given that the transcription factor *osr1* is expressed in undifferentiated intermediate mesoderm [\(Rankin et al., 2012;](#page-10-0) [Wang et al., 2005\)](#page-11-0), we investigated whether the expression of *osr1* correlated with the lineage restriction of PGCs. In a timecourse analysis of animal caps that had been programmed with FGF and BMP4, we found that *osr1* was expressed until the mid-tailbud stage (stage 25), when it began to be downregulated. This is approximately the same stage at which *dazl* expression commences ([supplementary material Fig. S5](http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.105346/-/DC1)), and it is consistent with our data on the timing of germline restriction.

MAPK signalling is required for PGC development

In the data presented above, we showed that abrogation of FGF signalling, by using XFD, caused a posterior truncation, similar to that observed in Xenopus [\(Amaya et al., 1991\)](#page-10-0). This resulted in the elimination of PGCs, as well as the MD. To better understand the role of FGF in PGC development, we investigated the events that occur downstream of receptor stimulation. First, we used the small-molecule signalling inhibitor LY2941002, which inhibits phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) signalling. LY2941002 (50 μM) was applied before the midblastula transition (MBT) and then washed away after gastrulation was completed (stage 14). Embryos treated in this way showed a posterior mesoderm truncation (20 out of 20) ([Fig. 7](#page-8-0)A). These results were expected, based on the effects of PI3K inhibition in Xenopus embryos ([Carballada et al., 2001](#page-10-0)), and they demonstrate that PI3K inhibition is sufficient to phenocopy the effects of the expression of XFD. We then tested the effects of MAPK signalling inhibition using U0126, a soluble inhibitor of MAPK activation. We placed embryos in medium that contained UO126 at levels as high 100 μM, which were sufficient to completely inhibit FGF-mediated activation of MAPK [\(supplementary material Fig. S6A\)](http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.105346/-/DC1). Embryos were treated with the inhibitor from the MBT through to the gastrula stages, as described above; however, we did not observe any morphological defects. Indeed, all UO126-treated embryos $(n=25)$ remained indistinguishable from untreated controls ([Fig. 7](#page-8-0)A). This result was unexpected because inhibition of MAPK in Xenopus embryos causes gastrulation defects ([Gotoh et al., 1995;](#page-10-0) [Sivak et al., 2005](#page-11-0); [Umbhauer et al., 1995\)](#page-11-0). We then considered a role for MAPK signalling in PGC development.

We overexpressed Brachyury and BMP4 in embryos, and then incubated the animal caps in medium that contained increasing concentrations of U0126 through the gastrula stages. MAPK inhibition induced a morphological response, such that, at high concentrations of the inhibitor, the caps showed an elongation response ([Fig. 7B](#page-8-0)). More importantly, the expression of PGCspecific genes was decreased in response to the increasing doses of U0126, and, at the highest concentration of U0126 (100 μ M), the expression of these genes was reduced to background levels [\(Fig. 7C](#page-8-0)). By contrast, AGM markers were not eliminated [\(Fig. 7D](#page-8-0)). Interestingly, *osr1* expression was abolished by the inhibition of MAPK ([supplementary material Fig. S6B\)](http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.105346/-/DC1), suggesting that loss of MAPK signalling causes the premature differentiation of the intermediate mesoderm.

Given these data, we revisited the effect of MAPK inhibition on intact embryos. Embryos were, again, incubated in medium containing UO126 (100 μM) during the cleavage stages through to gastrulation (stage 13) and were then thoroughly washed with normal medium. These embryos were then cultured for an additional two weeks, in the absence of the inhibitor, until stage 45. The external phenotype of the treated embryos at this stage was indistinguishable from that of controls ([Fig. 7E](#page-8-0)). The embryos were then harvested, and sections from the treated and control embryos were stained with H&E to examine internal structures. Startlingly, most of the treated embryos completely lacked a genital ridge and PGCs (five out of six embryos) [\(Fig. 7](#page-8-0)E). Furthermore, the MDs in the treated embryos were enlarged relative to controls, by contrast to the effects of XFD [\(Fig. 3B](#page-3-0)). Crucially, there were no other indications of irregular somatic tissues. We cannot exclude the possibility that MAPK is required for the development of the somatic component of the genital ridge. However, these results, together with the induction of PGCs from animal caps, suggest that MAPK plays a specific role in the development of PGCs ([Fig. 7](#page-8-0)F).

DISCUSSION

The induction of PGCs from axolotl animal caps was first reported by Boterenbrood and Nieuwkoop over 40 years ago ([Boterenbrood and](#page-10-0) [Nieuwkoop, 1973](#page-10-0)), but the identity of the agents that stimulate the

RESEARCH ARTICLE **EXECUTE DEVELOP IN THE SEARCH ARTICLE** Development (2014) 141, 2429-2440 doi:10.1242/dev.105346

Fig. 7. MAPK signalling is required for PGC development. (A) Embryos at stage 30 after treatment with UO126 (100 μM) or LY294002 (50 μM) during gastrula stages. (B) Morphology of caps that expressed Brachyury (Bra) and BMP4 after treatment with varying doses of UO126. Elongation is indicated by arrows. (C) qRT-PCR of PGC markers from animal caps that expressed Brachyury and BMP4 and had been treated with increasing levels of UO126. (D) Expression of AGM markers from the caps shown in C. Data were normalised to ODC. The red numbers are the fold induction of WT1. Means±95% CI are shown. (E) Top panel: stage-45 embryo after treatment with UO126 (100 μM) during gastrula stages. Middle panel: H&E-stained section of AGM from an untreated stage-45 embryo. PGCs have darkly stained nuclei and are indicated by blue arrows. Mesonephric ducts are indicated by yellow arrows and the dorsal aorta by the red arrow. Bottom panel: section of AGM from a stage-45 embryo that had been treated with UO126 (100 μM) during gastrula stages. In the middle and bottom rows, the image on the right is an enlarged section of that shown on the left. (F) Model for mesoderm patterning in the VMZ. FGF is activated downstream of Brachyury. PI3K promotes mesoderm development. MAPK signalling inhibits mesoderm differentiation to promote the development of PGCs.

formation of PGCs has remained unknown. Here, we show that PGCs are induced by a combination of FGF and BMP signalling. FGF has been shown to act as an inducer of mesoderm in seminal studies that used *Xenopus* embryos ([Kimelman and Kirschner, 1987](#page-10-0); [Slack et al.,](#page-11-0) [1987\)](#page-11-0); FGF is known to support posterior mesoderm development through the induction and maintenance of brachyury expression [\(Amaya et al., 1991](#page-10-0); [Fletcher and Harland, 2008](#page-10-0)). However, the pluripotent mesoderm that gives rise to PGCs is not conserved in frogs [\(Johnson et al., 2003b](#page-10-0)). Thus, it is not surprising that a role for FGF in germline development has gone unrecognised. We showed that FGF can redirect mesoderm that has been induced by Smad2 to form the germ line instead of blood. In addition, the forced expression of FGF inhibited the development of blood in the VMZ, as it does in Xenopus [\(Isaacs et al., 2007](#page-10-0); [Walmsley et al., 2008](#page-11-0)) ([Fig. 3](#page-3-0)D). We suggest, therefore, that FGF promotes PGC development by inhibiting mesoderm differentiation. This is achieved through MAPK activity downstream of the brachyury-FGF feedback loop, and we postulate that MAPK signalling arrests the development of mesoderm precursors in the VMZ to maintain germ cell potential (Fig. 7F). How MAPK functions in this context is unclear; however, MAPK sustains NANOG expression in human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) [\(Yu et al., 2011\)](#page-11-0), and axolotl animal caps (A.D.J., unpublished). Furthermore, recent work has demonstrated a central role for MAPK in the regulation of chromatin on pluripotency genes of both mouse and human ESCs [\(Goke et al., 2013](#page-10-0); [Tee et al., 2014\)](#page-11-0). On this basis, we postulate a role for Elk-1, which can target MAPK to

promoters ([Zhang et al., 2008\)](#page-11-0). Elk-1 has been shown to inhibit the differentiation of hESCs ([Goke et al., 2013\)](#page-10-0), and it might function to maintain germ cell potential downstream of brachyury. This, or related factors, might also later initiate germline commitment from undifferentiated precursors.

The original proposal that urodele PGCs are specified by induction, rather than by germ plasm, was controversial ([Michael,](#page-10-0) [1984; Nieuwkoop and Sutasurya, 1979;](#page-10-0) [Smith et al., 1983](#page-11-0)). Indeed, the possibility that two unrelated modes of PGC specification could exist in amphibians led Nieuwkoop and Sutasurya to propose that amphibians are diphyletic $-$ i.e. from two distinct lineages of fish [\(Nieuwkoop and Sutasurya, 1976\)](#page-10-0). However, it is now known that the urodele and anuran (frog) lineages of amphibians diverged from a common urodele-like ancestor over 260 million years ago [\(Ahlberg et al., 2005](#page-10-0); [Anderson et al., 2008;](#page-10-0) [Zhang and Wake,](#page-11-0) [2009\)](#page-11-0). Recent evidence indicates that, subsequent to this, *nanog* was deleted from the frog genome, and the gene regulatory network for mesoderm in Xenopus evolved to include an increased number of copies of the nodal and mix genes [\(Dixon et al., 2010; Hellsten](#page-10-0) [et al., 2010;](#page-10-0) [Swiers et al., 2010](#page-11-0)). Here, we show that Mix overexpression is sufficient to eliminate the PGCs in programmed animal caps and to stimulate the development blood. These results support the hypothesis that expansion of *mix* and *nodal* families was constrained in urodeles and other vertebrates that employ epigenesis, because increased Mix activity would terminate the germ line ([Johnson et al., 2011;](#page-10-0) [Swiers et al., 2010\)](#page-11-0). Similarly, we

DEVELOPMENT

 \circ ū \geq \triangle

π

also show that inhibition of MAPK signalling elicits a PGC-specific effect on axolotl development. Because MAPK inhibition results in gastrulation defects in Xenopus, but not axolotls, our results suggest that MAPK signalling was integrated into the gene regulatory network for frog mesoderm at a point after germ plasm had evolved and MAPK no longer participated in development of the germ line.

The end purpose of PGC specification is to produce cells that can become gametes. Surprisingly, it is clear that PGC development in the animal kingdom is initiated from a diverse array of upstream pathways. For example, in frogs, PGCs are of endodermal origin, whereas, in sea urchins, PGCs develop in a cell-autonomous manner from small micromeres [\(Yajima and](#page-11-0) [Wessel, 2012](#page-11-0)). In this study, we demonstrate that axolotl PGCs develop downstream of the same pathway that initiates mesoderm development. Phylogenetic inference indicates that this is the primitive mechanism for PGC specification in terrestrial vertebrates, and probably vertebrates in general [\(Johnson et al.,](#page-10-0) [2001, 2003b, 2011\)](#page-10-0). Moreover, recent work on basal insects demonstrates that PGCs are derived from abdominal mesoderm by epigenesis, suggesting that a mesodermal origin for PGCs is basal to metazoans ([Ewen-Campen et al., 2013\)](#page-10-0). By contrast, direct programming of PGCs from mouse ESCs with transcription factors demonstrates that the mesodermal programme is dispensable for gamete production in the mouse ([Magnusdottir et al., 2013](#page-10-0); [Nakaki et al., 2013](#page-10-0)). It is possible that *Blimp1* evolved as a target of T in rodents to accelerate germline restriction, and that this short circuited an ancestral mesoderm programme for initiating PGC development. A consequence of *Blimp1*, therefore, is that germline development in mouse is initiated from a quasi-pluripotent state [\(Leitch and Smith, 2013](#page-10-0)), rather than mesoderm. It is clear that the mechanism of PGC specification in urodeles was adapted as primitive amniotes and mammals evolved [\(Bachvarova et al.,](#page-10-0) [2009a,b](#page-10-0)). We argue, therefore, that elucidation of this mechanism could aid in the development of in vitro methods for producing PGCs from non-rodent mammals, such as humans, because current methods for these species remain inefficient ([Hayashi et al., 2012\)](#page-10-0).

A major conclusion from this study is that irreversible commitment to the germ line in axolotls occurs after gastrulation is completed and the somatic cell lineages are established. Thus, the germ line is the last cell lineage to be established, contrasting starkly with other models. On this basis, we propose, the 'last cell standing hypothesis' in which PGCs are derived from the last cells in the embryo that are to undergo lineage commitment. This model postulates that, in the ancestral case, the germ line is a basal cell lineage, not one that is specialised by germline determinants. Predetermined germ cells evolved repeatedly in vertebrates, and their appearance is associated with embryological innovations that lead to accelerated development and an enhanced rate of evolution [\(Evans et al., 2014; Johnson et al., 2003a,b, 2011\)](#page-10-0). Their prevalence in model systems has long obscured the complex evolutionary history of the germ line-soma relationship.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Axolotl embryos and explants

Embryos were collected following natural matings as described previously ([Johnson et al., 2001\)](#page-10-0). For microinjection, embryos were manually de-jellied and cultured in $1\times$ modified Barth's solution (MBS) with 4% Ficoll (Sigma). From stage 7, embryos were maintained in 0.2× MBS, and dissected explants were maintained in $1 \times$ MBS. Culture solutions were supplemented with antibiotics (50 µg/ml penicillin and streptomycin, and 20 µg/ml kanamycin) and fungizone (50 µg/ml). Embryos were staged as described previously [\(Bordzilovskaya et al., 1989\).](#page-10-0) Activin A cell supernatant was diluted in $1 \times$ MBS supplemented with 0.1% bovine

serum albumen fraction V (BSA V; Sigma). The Activin-nodal inhibitor SB431542 and FGF inhibitor SU5402 (Sigma) were solubilised in dimethyl sulfoxide and used at a final concentration of 50 μM.

In vitro transcription and microinjection

mRNAs for microinjection were synthesised using mMessage mMachine (Ambion) from plasmids encoding Xenopus BMP4 (XBMP4) ([Jones et al.,](#page-10-0) [1992\)](#page-10-0), Xenopus eFGF [\(Isaacs et al., 1994\)](#page-10-0), Xenopus Smad2C (XSmad2C) ([Howell and Hill, 1997\)](#page-10-0), XFD ([Amaya et al., 1991](#page-10-0)), axolotl Mix and axolotl Brachyury [\(Swiers et al., 2010\)](#page-11-0).

Lineage tracing

Mini-Ruby dextran (mini-Ruby; Invitrogen) was prepared, as described previously [\(Lane and Smith, 1999\)](#page-10-0). Following in situ hybridisation on wax sections, mini-Ruby was detected by avidin-biotin-horseradish peroxidise conjugation using a Vectastain Elite ABC Kit (Vector Laboratories), followed by visualisation by using diaminobenzidine-hydrogen peroxide.

Histology

For histological examination, embryos and explants were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in buffered sodium cacodylate, and 0.5 µm plastic sections were prepared and stained with Toluidine Blue or H&E using standard methods.

In situ hybridisation

Embryos were fixed in phosphate-buffered 4% paraformaldehyde for at least 48 h. ISH was performed on sections of embryos and larvae using digoxigenin-labeled probes for axolotl dazl ([Johnson et al., 2001\)](#page-10-0) and axolotl globin (AF308869), as described previously [\(Johnson et al., 2001](#page-10-0)).

Quantitative PCR

RNA was extracted and analysed by qRT-PCR (Taqman or SYBR Green probes), as described previously ([Swiers et al., 2010\)](#page-11-0). The oligonucleotide sequences for qRT-PCR are listed in [supplementary material Table S1.](http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.105346/-/DC1) All error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

Deep sequencing

Libraries prepared from uninjected caps were compared with caps that had been injected with FGF and BMP by Illumina RNA-sequencing (carried out at The Genome Centre, Queen Mary, University of London, London, UK). Approximately 50 million paired-end reads were generated for each sample. These reads were mapped to an in-house reference collection of axolotl transcripts using Bowtie [\(Langmead et al., 2009](#page-10-0)). The reference collection was annotated using Blast2Go and transcripts that were associated with PGC specification were identified by GO annotation ([Conesa et al., 2005](#page-10-0)). Differential expression of transcripts was determined using DEGseq [\(Wang](#page-11-0) [et al., 2010](#page-11-0)) with a fourfold minimal change between samples.

Acknowledgements

This paper is dedicated to L. D. Smith, a pioneer in germ cell research. The authors thank R. G. Lloyd for generous support; R. Alberio, C. Allegrucci, F. Sablitzky, P. Shaw and K. Downs for comments on the manuscript; J. Smith and C. Hill for reagents; and C. Mein, M. Bozek, K. Diaz and A. Terry for sequencing support. A.D.J. thanks Tom Leivers and Alice Philip for excellent care of the axolotls.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Author contributions

J.C., M.A.O., A.D.J., R.F.B., Z.F., M.W., C.R. and M.L. performed experiments and interpreted data. R.P. helped initiate the study. A.D.J. conceived the study and wrote the manuscript.

Funding

The work was funded by the Wellcome Trust [076201]; Medical Research Council UK [G1100025 and G0900147]; and EvoCell. Deposited in PMC for immediate release.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material available online at <http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.105346/-/DC1>

References

Ahlberg, P. E., Clack, J. A. and Blom, H. [\(2005\). The axial skeleton of the Devonian](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature03893) [tetrapod Ichthyostega.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature03893) Nature 437, 137-140.

- [Amaya, E., Musci, T. J. and Kirschner, M. W.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(91)90616-7) (1991). Expression of a dominant [negative mutant of the FGF receptor disrupts mesoderm formation in Xenopus](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(91)90616-7) embryos. Cell 66[, 257-270.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(91)90616-7)
- [Anderson, J. S., Reisz, R. R., Scott, D., Fro](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06865)̈bisch, N. B. and Sumida, S. S. (2008). [A stem batrachian from the Early Permian of Texas and the origin of frogs and](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06865) [salamanders.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06865) Nature 453, 515-518.
- [Aramaki, S., Hayashi, K., Kurimoto, K., Ohta, H., Yabuta, Y., Iwanari, H.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2013.11.001) [Mochizuki, Y., Hamakubo, T., Kato, Y., Shirahige, K. et al.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2013.11.001) (2013). A [mesodermal factor, T, specifies mouse germ cell fate by directly activating](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2013.11.001) [germline determinants.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2013.11.001) Dev. Cell 27, 516-529.
- [Bachvarova, R. F., Masi, T., Drum, M., Parker, N., Mason, K., Patient, R. and](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.20195) Johnson, A. D. [\(2004\). Gene expression in the axolotl germ line: Axdazl, Axvh,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.20195) [Axoct-4, and Axkit.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.20195) Dev. Dyn. 231, 871-880.
- [Bachvarova, R. F., Crother, B. I. and Johnson, A. D.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-142X.2009.00366.x) (2009a). Evolution of germ [cell development in tetrapods: comparison of urodeles and amniotes.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-142X.2009.00366.x) Evol. Dev. 11[, 603-609.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-142X.2009.00366.x)
- [Bachvarova, R. F., Crother, B. I., Manova, K., Chatfield, J., Shoemaker, C. M.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-142X.2009.00360.x) Crews, D. P. and Johnson, A. D. [\(2009b\). Expression of Dazl and Vasa in turtle](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-142X.2009.00360.x) [embryos and ovaries: evidence for inductive specification of germ cells.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-142X.2009.00360.x) Evol. Dev. 11[, 525-534.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-142X.2009.00360.x)
- Bordzilovskaya, N. P., Dettlaff, T. A., Duhon, S. T. and Malacinski, G. M. (1989). Developmental-stage series of axololt embryos. In Developmental Biology of the Axolotl (ed. J. B. A. a. G. M. Malacinski), pp. 201-219. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [Boterenbrood, E. C. and Nieuwkoop, P. D.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00575837) (1973). The formation of the mesoderm [in urodelean amphibians. V. Its regional induction by the endoderm.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00575837) Wilhelm Roux Arch. 173[, 319-332.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00575837)
- Carballada, R., Yasuo, H. and Lemaire, P. (2001). Phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase acts in parallel to the ERK MAP kinase in the FGF pathway during Xenopus mesoderm induction. Development 128, 35-44.
- Carroll, T. J. and Vize, P. D. (1996). Wilms' [tumor suppressor gene is involved in the](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0177(199606)206:2<131::AID-AJA2>3.0.CO;2-J) [development of disparate kidney forms: evidence from expression in the Xenopus](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0177(199606)206:2<131::AID-AJA2>3.0.CO;2-J) [pronephros.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0177(199606)206:2<131::AID-AJA2>3.0.CO;2-J) Dev. Dyn. 206, 131-138.
- [Ciau-Uitz, A., Walmsley, M. and Patient, R.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)00067-2) (2000). Distinct origins of adult and [embryonic blood in Xenopus.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)00067-2) Cell 102, 787-796.
- [Conesa, A., Gotz, S., Garcia-Gomez, J. M., Terol, J., Talon, M. and Robles, M.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti610) [\(2005\). Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti610) [functional genomics research.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti610) Bioinformatics 21, 3674-3676.
- [Crother, B. I., White, M. E. and Johnson, A. D.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2007.05.035) (2007). Inferring developmental [constraint and constraint release: primordial germ cell determination mechanisms](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2007.05.035) as examples. [J. Theor. Biol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2007.05.035) 248, 322-330.
- Cunliffe, V. and Smith, J. C. [\(1992\). Ectopic mesoderm formation in Xenopus](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/358427a0) [embryos caused by widespread expression of a Brachyury homologue.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/358427a0) Nature 358[, 427-430.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/358427a0)
- [Dixon, J. E., Allegrucci, C., Redwood, C., Kump, K., Bian, Y., Chatfield, J.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.049262) Chen, [Y.-H., Sottile, V., Voss, S. R., Alberio, R. et al.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.049262) (2010). Axolotl Nanog [activity in mouse embryonic stem cells demonstrates that ground state](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.049262) [pluripotency is conserved from urodele amphibians to mammals.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.049262) Development 137[, 2973-2980.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.049262)
- [Evans, T., Wade, C. M., Chapman, F. A., Johnson, A. D. and Loose, M.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1249325) (2014). [Acquisition of germ plasm accelerates vertebrate evolution.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1249325) Science 344, 200-203.
- [Ewen-Campen, B., Donoughe, S., Clarke, D. N. and Extavour, C. G.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.03.063) (2013). [Germ cell specification requires zygotic mechanisms rather than germ plasm in a](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.03.063) [basally branching insect.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.03.063) Curr. Biol. 23, 835-842.
- [Fleming, B. M., Yelin, R., James, R. G. and Schultheiss, T. M.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.093740) (2013). A role for [Vg1/Nodal signaling in specification of the intermediate mesoderm.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.093740) Development 140[, 1819-1829.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.093740)
- Fletcher, R. B. and Harland, R. M. [\(2008\). The role of FGF signaling in the](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.21517) [establishment and maintenance of mesodermal gene expression in Xenopus.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.21517) Dev. Dyn. 237[, 1243-1254.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.21517)
- Gö[ke, J., Chan, Y.-S., Yan, J., Vingron, M. and Ng, H.-H.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2013.04.030) (2013). Genome-wide [kinase-chromatin interactions reveal the regulatory network of ERK signaling in](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2013.04.030) [human embryonic stem cells.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2013.04.030) Mol. Cell 50, 844-855.
- Gotoh, Y., Masuyama, N., Suzuki, A., Ueno, N. and Nishida, E. (1995). Involvement of the MAP kinase cascade in Xenopus mesoderm induction. EMBO J. 14, 2491-2498.
- [Hayashi, Y., Saitou, M. and Yamanaka, S.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.04.037) (2012). Germline development from [human pluripotent stem cells toward disease modeling of infertility.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.04.037) Fertil. Steril. 97[, 1250-1259.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.04.037)
- [Hellsten, U., Harland, R. M., Gilchrist, M. J., Hendrix, D., Jurka, J., Kapitonov, V.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1183670) [Ovcharenko, I., Putnam, N. H., Shu, S., Taher, L. et al.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1183670) (2010). The genome of [the Western clawed frog Xenopus tropicalis.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1183670) Science 328, 633-636.
- [Hemmati-Brivanlou, A. and Thomsen, G. H.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/dvg.1020170109) (1995). Ventral mesodermal [patterning in Xenopus embryos: expression patterns and activities of BMP-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/dvg.1020170109) and BMP-4. [Dev. Genet.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/dvg.1020170109) 17, 78-89.
- Howell, M. and Hill, C. S. [\(1997\). XSmad2 directly activates the activin-inducible,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/emboj/16.24.7411) [dorsal mesoderm gene XFKH1 in Xenopus embryos.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/emboj/16.24.7411) EMBO J. 16, 7411-7421.
- Humphrey, R. R. [\(1925\). The primordial germ cells of Hemidactylium and other](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jmor.1050410103) Amphibia. [J. Morphol. Physiol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jmor.1050410103) 41, 1-43.
- Isaacs, H. V., Pownall, M. E. and Slack, J. M. (1994). eFGF regulates Xbra expression during Xenopus gastrulation. EMBO J. 13, 4469-4481.
- [Isaacs, H. V., Deconinck, A. E. and Pownall, M. E.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/BC20060103) (2007). FGF4 regulates blood [and muscle specification in Xenopus laevis.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/BC20060103) Biol. Cell 99, 165-173.
- [Johnson, A. D., Bachvarova, R. F., Drum, M. and Masi, T.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/dbio.2001.0264) (2001). Expression of [axolotl DAZL RNA, a marker of germ plasm: widespread maternal RNA and onset](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/dbio.2001.0264) [of expression in germ cells approaching the gonad.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/dbio.2001.0264) Dev. Biol. 234, 402-415.
- [Johnson, A. D., Crother, B., White, M. E., Patient, R., Bachvarova, R. F., Drum, M.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2003.1331) and Masi, T. [\(2003a\). Regulative germ cell specification in axolotl embryos: a](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2003.1331) [primitive trait conserved in the mammalian lineage.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2003.1331) Philos. Trans. R Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 358[, 1371-1379.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2003.1331)
- [Johnson, A. D., Drum, M., Bachvarova, R. F., Masi, T., White, M. E. and](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-142X.2003.03048.x) Crother, B. I. [\(2003b\). Evolution of predetermined germ cells in vertebrate](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-142X.2003.03048.x) [embryos: implications for macroevolution.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-142X.2003.03048.x) Evol. Dev. 5, 414-431.
- [Johnson, A. D., Richardson, E., Bachvarova, R. F. and Crother, B. I.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1530/REP-10-0474) (2011). [Evolution of the germ line-soma relationship in vertebrate embryos.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1530/REP-10-0474) Reproduction 141[, 291-300.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1530/REP-10-0474)
- Jones, C. M., Lyons, K. M., Lapan, P. M., Wright, C. V. and Hogan, B. L. (1992). DVR-4 (bone morphogenetic protein-4) as a posterior-ventralizing factor in Xenopus mesoderm induction. Development 115, 639-647.
- Kimelman, D. and Kirschner, M. [\(1987\). Synergistic induction of mesoderm by](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(87)90110-3) [FGF and TGF-beta and the identification of an mRNA coding for FGF in the early](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(87)90110-3) [Xenopus embryo.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(87)90110-3) Cell 51, 869-877.
- [Kocher-Becker, U. and Tiedemann, H.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/233065a0) (1971). Induction of mesodermal and [endodermal structures and primordial germ cells in Triturus ectoderm by a](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/233065a0) [vegetalizing factor from chick embryos.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/233065a0) Nature 233, 65-66.
- [Kurimoto, K., Yabuta, Y., Ohinata, Y., Shigeta, M., Yamanaka, K. and Saitou, M.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.1649908) [\(2008\). Complex genome-wide transcription dynamics orchestrated by Blimp1 for](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.1649908) [the specification of the germ cell lineage in mice.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.1649908) Genes Dev. 22, 1617-1635.
- Lane, M. C. and Smith, W. C. (1999). The origins of primitive blood in Xenopus: implications for axial patterning. Development 126, 423-434.
- [Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M. and Salzberg, S. L.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25) (2009). Ultrafast and [memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25) [Genome Biol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25) 10, R25.
- [Latinkic, B. V., Umbhauer, M., Neal, K. A., Lerchner, W., Smith, J. C. and](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.11.23.3265) Cunliffe, V. [\(1997\). The Xenopus Brachyury promoter is activated by FGF and low](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.11.23.3265) [concentrations of activin and suppressed by high concentrations of activin and by](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.11.23.3265) [paired-type homeodomain proteins.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.11.23.3265) Genes Dev. 11, 3265-3276.
- Leitch, H. G. and Smith, A. [\(2013\). The mammalian germline as a pluripotency](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.091603) cycle. [Development](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.091603) 140, 2495-2501.
- Magnúsdóttir, E., Dietmann, S., Murakami, K., Günesdogan, U., Tang, F., Bao, S., [Diamanti, E., Lao, K., Gottgens, B. and Azim Surani, M.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncb2798) (2013). A tripartite [transcription factor network regulates primordial germ cell specification in mice.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncb2798) Nat. Cell Biol. 15[, 905-915.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncb2798)
- Maufroid, J. P. and Capuron, A. (1977). Induction of the mesoderm and primordial germ cells by the endoderm of Pleurodeles waltlii (Amphibia, Urodele): development during gastrulation. C R Acad. Sci. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci. D 284, 1713-1716.
- Medvinsky, A. and Dzierzak, E. [\(1996\). Definitive hematopoiesis is autonomously](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80165-8) [initiated by the AGM region.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80165-8) Cell 86, 897-906.
- Michael, P. [\(1984\). Are the primordial germ cells \(PGCs\) in urodela formed by the](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(84)90012-5) [inductive action of the vegetative yolk mass?](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(84)90012-5) Dev. Biol. 103, 109-116.
- [Nakaki, F., Hayashi, K., Ohta, H., Kurimoto, K., Yabuta, Y. and Saitou, M.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12417) (2013). [Induction of mouse germ-cell fate by transcription factors in vitro.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12417) Nature 501, [222-226.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12417)
- Nakamura, A. and Seydoux, G. [\(2008\). Less is more: specification of the germline](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.022434) [by transcriptional repression.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.022434) Development 135, 3817-3827.
- Nichols, J. and Smith, A. [\(2011\). The origin and identity of embryonic stem cells.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.050831) [Development](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.050831) 138, 3-8.
- Nieuwkoop, P. D. [\(1947\). Experimental investigations on the origin and](http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/187530151X00018) [determination of the germ cells, and on the development of the lateral plates](http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/187530151X00018) [and germ ridges in the urodeles.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/187530151X00018) Arch. Neer. Zool. 8, 1-205.
- Nieuwkoop, P. D. and Sutasurya, L. A. (1976). Embryological evidence for a possible polyphyletic origin of the recent amphibians. J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol. 35, 159-167.
- Nieuwkoop, P. D. and Sutasurya, L. A. (1979). Primordial Germ Cells in the Chordates. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Nieuwkoop, P. D. and Sutasurya, L. A. (1981). Primordial Germ Cells in the Invertebrates. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ohinata, Y., Payer, B., O'[Carroll, D., Ancelin, K., Ono, Y., Sano, M., Barton, S. C.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature03813) [Obukhanych, T., Nussenzweig, M., Tarakhovsky, A. et al.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature03813) (2005). Blimp1 is a [critical determinant of the germ cell lineage in mice.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature03813) Nature 436, 207-213.
- [Rankin, S. A., Gallas, A. L., Neto, A., Gomez-Skarmeta, J. L. and Zorn, A. M.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.078220) [\(2012\). Suppression of Bmp4 signaling by the zinc-finger repressors Osr1 and](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.078220) [Osr2 is required for Wnt/beta-catenin-mediated lung specification in Xenopus.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.078220) [Development](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.078220) 139, 3010-3020.
- Schulte-Merker, S. and Smith, J. C. [\(1995\). Mesoderm formation in response to](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(95)00017-0) [Brachyury requires FGF signalling.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(95)00017-0) Curr. Biol. 5, 62-67.
- [Shirae-Kurabayashi, M., Matsuda, K. and Nakamura, A.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.058131) (2011). Ci-Pem-1 [localizes to the nucleus and represses somatic gene transcription in the germline](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.058131) [of Ciona intestinalis embryos.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.058131) Development 138, 2871-2881.
- [Sivak, J. M., Petersen, L. F. and Amaya, E.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2005.02.011) (2005). FGF signal interpretation is [directed by Sprouty and Spred proteins during mesoderm formation.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2005.02.011) Dev. Cell 8, [689-701.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2005.02.011)
- [Slack, J. M. W., Darlington, B. G., Heath, J. K. and Godsave, S. F.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/326197a0) (1987). [Mesoderm induction in early Xenopus embryos by heparin-binding growth factors.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/326197a0) Nature 326[, 197-200.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/326197a0)
- Smith, L. D.[\(1964\). A test of the capacity of presumptive somatic cells to transform into](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jez.1401560209) [primordial germ cells in the Mexican axolotl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jez.1401560209) J. Exp. Zool. 156, 229-242.
- Smith, L. D., Michael, P. and Williams, M. A.(1983). Does a predetermined germ line exist in amphibians? In Current Problems in Germ Cell Differentiation (ed. A. M. a. C. C. Wylie), pp. 19-39. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Sutasurja, L. A. and Nieuwkoop, P. D. [\(1974\). The induction of the primordial germ](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00582092) [cells in the urodeles.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00582092) Wilhelm Roux Arch. 175, 199-220.
- [Swiers, G., Chen, Y.-H., Johnson, A. D. and Loose, M.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.04.002) (2010). A conserved [mechanism for vertebrate mesoderm specification in urodele amphibians and](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.04.002) [mammals.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.04.002) Dev. Biol. 343, 138-152.
- Tada, M., O'Reilly, M. A. and Smith, J. C. (1997). Analysis of competence and of Brachyury autoinduction by use of hormone-inducible Xbra. Development 124, 2225-2234.
- [Tamori, Y., Iwai, T., Mita, K. and Wakahara, M.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00427-004-0443-z) (2004). Spatio-temporal expression [of a DAZ-like gene in the Japanese newt Cynops pyrrhogaster that has no germ](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00427-004-0443-z) plasm. [Dev. Genes Evol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00427-004-0443-z) 214, 615-627.
- [Tee, W.-W., Shen, S. S., Oksuz, O., Narendra, V. and Reinberg, D.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.009) (2014). Erk1/2 [activity promotes chromatin features and RNAPII phosphorylation at](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.009) [developmental promoters in mouse ESCs.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.009) Cell 156, 678-690.
- [Umbhauer, M., Marshall, C. J., Mason, C. S., Old, R. W. and Smith, J. C.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/376058a0) (1995). [Mesoderm induction in Xenopus caused by activation of MAP kinase.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/376058a0) Nature 376, [58-62.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/376058a0)
- [Venkatarama, T., Lai, F., Luo, X., Zhou, Y., Newman, K. and King, M. L.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.038554) (2010). [Repression of zygotic gene expression in the Xenopus germline.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.038554) Development 137[, 651-660.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.038554)
- [Walmsley, M., Cleaver, D. and Patient, R.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2007-03-081323) (2008). Fibroblast growth factor controls [the timing of Scl, Lmo2, and Runx1 expression during embryonic blood](http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2007-03-081323) [development.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2007-03-081323) Blood 111, 1157-1166.
- [Wang, Q., Lan, Y., Cho, E.-S., Maltby, K. M. and Jiang, R.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.09.024) (2005). Odd-skipped [related 1 \(Odd 1\) is an essential regulator of heart and urogenital development.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.09.024) Dev. Biol. 288[, 582-594.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.09.024)
- [Wang, L., Feng, Z., Wang, X. and Zhang, X.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp612) (2010). DEGseq: an R package for [identifying differentially expressed genes from RNA-seq data.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp612) Bioinformatics 26, [136-138.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp612)
- Yajima, M. and Wessel, G. M. [\(2012\). Autonomy in specification of primordial germ](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.082230) [cells and their passive translocation in the sea urchin.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.082230) Development 139, [3786-3794.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.082230)
- [Yu, P., Pan, G., Yu, J. and Thomson, J. A.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2011.01.001) (2011). FGF2 sustains NANOG and [switches the outcome of BMP4-induced human embryonic stem cell](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2011.01.001) differentiation. [Cell Stem Cell](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2011.01.001) 8, 326-334.
- Zhang, P. and Wake, D. B. [\(2009\). Higher-level salamander relationships and](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2009.07.010) [divergence dates inferred from complete mitochondrial genomes.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2009.07.010) Mol. [Phylogenet. Evol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2009.07.010) 53, 492-508.
- [Zhang, H.-M., Li, L., Papadopoulou, N., Hodgson, G., Evans, E., Galbraith, M.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn099) [Dear, M., Vougier, S., Saxton, J. and Shaw, P. E.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn099) (2008). Mitogen-induced [recruitment of ERK and MSK to SRE promoter complexes by ternary complex](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn099) factor Elk-1. [Nucleic Acids Res.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn099) 36, 2594-2607.